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ABSTRACT

Introduction Delirium in the postoperative period is a wide-reaching problem that affects important clinical outcomes. The incidence and risk factors of delirium in individuals with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) after primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has not been completely determined and no relevant systematic review and meta-analysis of incidence or risk factors exists. Hence, we aim to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to ascertain the incidence and risk factors of delirium among AMI patients undergoing PCI.

Methods and analyses We will undertake a comprehensive literature search among PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, PsyCINFO, CINAHL and Google Scholar from their inception to the search date. Prospective cohort and cross-sectional studies that described the incidence or at least one risk factor of delirium will be eligible for inclusion. The primary outcome will be the incidence of postoperative delirium. The quality of included studies will be assessed using a risk of bias tool for prevalence studies and the Cochrane guidelines. Heterogeneity of the estimates across studies will be assessed. Incidence and risk factors associated with delirium will be extracted. Incidence data will be pooled. Each risk factor reported in the included studies will be recorded together with its statistical significance; narrative and meta-analytical approaches will be employed. The systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Ethics and dissemination This proposed systematic review and meta-analysis is based on published data, and thus there is no requirement for ethics approval. The study will provide an up to date and accurate incidence and risk factors of delirium after PCI among patients with AMI, which is necessary for future research in this area. The findings of this study will be disseminated through publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020184388.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This study will provide an up to date and accurate incidence of delirium after primary percutaneous coronary intervention among patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI).
► A subgroup analysis based on certain patient characteristics will help to determine the incidence for specific populations and screen the populations with higher than average risk of delirium.
► An understanding of the risk factors that predict delirium will contribute to the early recognition of delirium and the formulation of prevention strategies, resulting to reduce postoperative delirium and its associated effects.
► The findings of this study will help guide clinicians to care the patients with AMI undergoing interventional therapy more efficiently, and researchers as they develop intervention trials to prevent delirium in this potentially vulnerable population.
► Only English articles will be included in our study and this may cause publication bias to some extent.

INTRODUCTION

Delirium is a disorder hallmarkmed by an acute disturbance in attention and cognition. Common characteristics of delirium include inability to direct, focus, sustain, or shift attention, and cognitive disturbances include impairment of memory, orientation, perception and consciousness. Delirium in the postoperative period is a wide-reaching problem that affects important clinical outcomes. Multiple studies have found significant associations between development of postoperative delirium and longer hospital stay, higher care cost, increased hospital readmission rates, as well as a prolonged cognitive
Coronary artery disease is one of the most common causes of death all over the world. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) are two mainly therapy of coronary artery disease. For patients undergo coronary artery bypass graft surgery, postoperative delirium has been investigated extensively. Previous studies have confirmed that delirium after coronary artery bypass graft surgery is common and associated with adverse outcomes. Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the severe manifestations of coronary artery disease. PCI has become increasingly important and common in the treatment of AMI, resulting in improving coronary perfusion, fewer ischaemic complications, more survival myocytes, preserved ventricular function and improved survival of patients. The incidence and risk factors of delirium in individuals with AMI after primary PCI has not been completely determined and no relevant systematic review and meta-analysis of incidence or risk factors exists. Such a systematic review and meta-analysis is needed for several reasons. Future intervention studies aimed at preventing delirium will need an accurate estimate of incidence, which could be used to help researchers perform sample size and power calculations for trials. In addition, the incidence of postoperative delirium may vary depending on patient characteristics and operation correlative factors. A systematic review and meta-analysis that analyses and identifies higher incidence of certain subgroups could help future researchers focus interventions on these high-risk populations. Finally, there are few effective treatments for established delirium, but postoperative delirium and its associated effects can be reduced through prevention strategies and early recognition. Prevention strategies require an understanding of the risk factors that predict delirium. Some studies have explored the risk factors of delirium in other populations, but for patients being diagnosed AMI and undergoing PCI, it still need to be further analysed and unvaried.

Hence, we intend to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to ascertain the incidence of delirium among AMI patients undergoing PCI. We will also ascertain incidence based on the patient characteristics that may have a higher than average expected incidence. It has been confirmed that there are numerous patient characteristics or operation correlative factors associated with delirium. We will conduct a subgroup analysis mainly based on these characteristics and operation correlative factors, including, but not limited to, age over 65 years, diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular disease and depression, duration of operation, intraoperative hypotension, as well as the degree of coronary artery lesions. Moreover, another aim of this systematic review is to identify key risk factors for delirium in AMI patients undergoing PCI.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Prior to the start of the project, a search in the relevant databases showed that there was no previous or ongoing systematic review on this subject. The article will be reported following the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols, and of meta-analyses of observational studies. The study has been registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews database (PROSPERO) on 5 May 2020. The study is expected to start on 1 October 2020 and be completed on 31 December 2021. Should any amendments to this protocol be necessary, they will be recorded on the PROSPERO platform.

Information sources

Multiple sources will be used in this systematic review. A systematic comprehensive search will be performed in the following online databases: PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, CINAHL and Google Scholar. Bibliographies of all included studies and any other relevant reviews or meta-analyses identified via the search will be screened. All databases will be searched from their inception to the search date.

Search strategy

The search terms are combinations of myocardial infarction, ischaemic heart disease, acute coronary syndrome, PCI, delirium, acute confusion, acute organic psychosis and delirium (see the search strategy in online supplemental appendix 1).

Eligibility criteria

We will consider the following criteria for study inclusion: (1) full-length reports published in peer-reviewed journals; (2) prospective cohort studies or cross-sectional studies on patients who was diagnosed AMI and underwent coronary interventional therapy; (3) studies in which a validated measurement tool was used to screen for delirium as well as those that used diagnostic criteria for delirium as described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders or International Classification of Diseases, such as the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) Delirium Rating Scale, CAM for the Intensive Care Unit, Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist and so on; (4) at least incidence reported or one risk factor identified as being associated with delirium. If the inclusion criteria are not met, the study will be excluded.

Outcome

The primary outcome is the incidence of postoperative delirium, which is diagnosed using a validated delirium assessment tool and defined as an episode of delirium occurring after PCI.

Study selection and data extraction

All records identified in the database will be collected in the reference management software EndNote X8.
Quality assessment
Two reviewers will independently evaluate the quality of the studies, and the level of agreement between them will be recorded. Any disagreements between the two reviewers will be resolved by discussion with a third reviewer. The risk of bias tool for prevalence studies developed by Hoy et al, and the Cochrane guidelines will be used to assess the quality of included studies. Risk of bias and quality scores will be presented in a table.

Statistical analysis
The meta-analysis of comparable data will be performed using Stata V.15.0 software. An overall summary estimate of the incidence will be calculated from studies reporting incidence of delirium among AMI patients undergoing PCI. Inverse variance method or generalised linear mixed model may be used for pooling. The pooled incidence with 95% CI will be given for either the fixed-effect model or the random-effects model. Statistical heterogeneity across studies will be assessed by the Cochrane Q test and quantified by calculating I^2. An I^2 of >50% will be considered to indicate substantial heterogeneity. The random-effects model or the fixed-effect model will be used depending on the heterogeneity of studies included. Where heterogeneity is statistically significant, we will conduct a subgroup analysis to investigate the possible sources of heterogeneity according to the patient characteristics and operation correlative factors, such as age over 65 years, diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular disease and depression, diagnostic methods, duration of operation, intraoperative hypotension and the degree of coronary artery lesions. Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis will be performed to find out how our results would change if only high-quality studies were considered.

To analyse associations with postoperative delirium, each risk factor reported in the included studies will be recorded together with its statistical significance; narrative (tabular) and meta-analytical approaches (forest plots) will be employed. Univariable risk factors will be summarised for each included study. Pooled measure will be calculated as the inverse variance weighted mean of the OR for categorical data, or mean difference for continuous data with 95% CI to assess the strength of association between the risk factors and postoperative delirium. Statistical heterogeneity will also be assessed. A random-effects model will be used for heterogeneous data. Otherwise, a fixed-effect model will be used. Meta-regression will be performed to assess the potentially important covariates that might exert substantial impact on between study heterogeneity. A funnel plot and Egger test will be used to assess the potential for publication bias.

**DISCUSSION**
This systematic review will provide an overview of the current state of evidence concerning the incidence and risk factors for delirium after PCI in the patients with AMI. To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first systematic review concerning this topic. The primary outcomes analysed will provide evidence for clinicians to attach importance to the current burden of postoperative delirium in the patients with AMI undergoing PCI, and providing more care to this potentially vulnerable population. Secondary, outcomes will provide information to help guide researchers as they develop interventional trials to prevent this important syndrome in this high-risk population. Furthermore, gaps in the current literature will be identified, and recommendations for future avenues of research will be given. Strengths of this systematic review include the search in multiple databases, the systematic approach including screening, data extraction and quality assessment by two independent reviewers, as well as transparency in reporting according to guidelines. The main limitation is the language restriction to English. Only English articles will be included in our study, resulting to the fact that relevant data from non-English spoken areas may lose, and this may cause publication bias to some extent.
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