What is spiritual care? Professional perspectives on the concept of spiritual care identified through group concept mapping


ABSTRACT

Objectives The overall study aim was to synthesise understandings and experiences regarding the concept of spiritual care (SC). More specifically, to identify, organise and prioritise experiences with the way SC is conceived and practised by professionals in research and the clinic.

Design Group concept mapping (GCM).

Setting The study was conducted within a university setting in Denmark.

Participants Researchers, students and clinicians working with SC on a daily basis in the clinic and/or through research participated in brainstorming (n=15), sorting (n=15), rating and validation (n=13).

Results Applying GCM, ideas were identified, organised and prioritised online. A total of 192 unique ideas of SC were identified and organised into six clusters. The results were discussed and interpreted at a validation meeting. Based on input from the validation meeting a conceptual model was developed. The model highlights three overall themes: (1) ‘SC as an integral but overlooked aspect of healthcare’ containing the two clusters SC as a part of healthcare and perceived significance; (2) ‘delivering SC’ containing the three clusters quality in attitude and action, relationship and help and support, and finally (3) ‘the role of spirituality’ containing a single cluster.

Conclusion Because spirituality is predominantly seen as a fundamental aspect of each individual human being, particularly important during suffering, SC should be an integral aspect of healthcare, although it is challenging to handle. SC involves paying attention to patients’ values and beliefs, requires adequate skills and is realised in a relationship between healthcare professional and patient founded on trust and confidence.

BACKGROUND

The number of international research articles concerning spirituality and spiritual care (SC) and the relationship between spirituality and health have increased exponentially throughout the past decades. In the research literature, ‘spirituality’ tends to be understood...
as a collective designation for the interior life with its convictions, practices, emotions and sources of meaning that are present as a source of hope and energy in every person. SC, on the other hand, is broadly understood as a type of care that addresses and seeks to meet existential and spiritual needs and challenges in connection with illness and crisis.

Research has shown that SC increases the quality of life of patients. Failure to provide SC is associated with existential and spiritual distress, which again is associated with risk of depression and reduced health, with increased healthcare costs as a consequence. Spirituality is described as particularly important during a crisis, whether such spirituality involves religious convictions or just the open-mindedness towards ‘more between heaven and earth’. The growing research on SC has shown that life-threatening illness often leads to an intensification of spiritual considerations and needs. These intensify in step with the severity of disease as well as prospect of imminent death. The same tendency is found in Denmark, one of the most secular cultures in the world, where research has shown a relationship between the severity of illness and increase in existential and spiritual needs and considerations. Consequently, there is a growing necessity to address spiritual needs among cancer patients who experience an immensely present fear of death. Particularly, senior patients with cancer, have frequent experiences of ‘existential loneliness’ and feelings of ‘invisibility’, longing for opportunities to discuss death and spiritual needs with peers, hereby increasing their risk of isolation and depression, especially during crisis such as the COVID-19 crisis.

Some of the reasons given for not prioritising SC is lack of time and money. However, a recent US Harvard study suggests that it is not feasible to neglect SC as patients who felt spiritually well-supported cost half of those who did not the last week prior to death; for ethnic minorities and for religious people, the difference was even higher. The authors argue that the patients who receive limited SC experience more worries, anxiety, shortness of breath, pain increasing the probability that they will be hospitalised and die at intensive care units (ICUs), instead of at home. Limited access to SC is not only a large strain on the dying persons and their relatives, it is also expensive for society in terms of added healthcare costs.

But what is the role of SC within cultures, that are more secular than the US culture? Research projects from Scandinavian research institutions have given an insight into Scandinavian patients’ and relatives’ spiritual needs (see publications at www.faith-health.org/?p=5592). The Scandinavian research projects show that illness activate often dormant spiritual needs. This seems particularly to be the case for Denmark, which international sociologists characterise as ‘the least religious society in the world’.

Religiosity is described as among the largest taboos in Denmark, as religion is often relegated to the private sphere, partly inspired by trends in Lutheran thinking, partly inspired by natural science discourse and positivist philosophy. Religion is of limited significance in the public domain and is very difficult to verbalise for Danes. This is, however, not the same as stating that Danes are non-believers. Anonymous surveys, such as Eurobarometer, finds that only 9% of Danes describe themselves as ‘atheists’, 13% as ‘non-believers/agnostics’, whereas 75.4% describe themselves as ‘believers/religious’. Approximately one-third of the latter believe in a ‘personal God’, the rest in ‘a higher power’. In many ways, Danes may figuratively be said to be the people in the world with the highest degree of ‘passive’ membership of the church, which can be activated in case of existential and spiritual needs. More than 76% of Danes are members of the Danish National Evangelical Lutheran Church (the world’s highest degree of membership of any organised church), whereas only 2% go to church on a weekly basis (the world’s lowest degree of religious practice). This ‘membership’ does not merely concern church attendance; it involves cultural, ethnic and existential affiliation, and for many people symbolises the belief that there is ‘more between heaven and earth’ without them retorting to active religion. Thus, the title of a PhD-thesis that investigates the spirituality of young Danes and Swedes in the Øresund region fittingly reads: ‘I’m a believer, but I’ll be damned if I’m religious’. This ‘passive membership’ can be activated if a person experiences a loss of control in connection with a crisis, especially illness, either of his own or in the nearest family. Nothing thus seems to move secular people to think about existential, spiritual and religious issues more than crisis and illness, and nowhere are people more confronted with illness, than at the hospital. And dying people, even in secular societies like Denmark, often have unmet ambivalent spiritual needs. Because spiritual and/or religious considerations seem tabooed and ambivalent in a secular society like Denmark, and because many Danes have limited language for these considerations there is a need for competent SC. Potentially many Danes will be existentially unprepared, when encountering suffering. This leads us to the question of what SC is.

Based on years of consensus processes and dialogue in Nordic Network for Faith and Health, including research and writing by the authors of the present article, we sought to approach the definitions and understandings through group concept mapping (GCM) methodology. GCM methodology is a mixed-method approach to generating and structuring ideas on a specific topic. During the GCM process, participants are involved in several steps of the research process and the final results are illustrated in maps where ideas on the specific topic are organised thematically.

Objective

Much uncertainty remains as to what the concept of SC includes. The objective of this article was hence to identify, organise and prioritise experiences with the way SC is conceived and practised by professionals in research and the clinic in a secular Danish context.

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

We used a GCM approach to synthesise understandings and experiences regarding SC among members of a ‘SC Research Group’ in Denmark.

Participants

Researchers, students and clinicians connected to the ‘existential and SC research group’ at the Research Unit of General Practice, Institute of Public Health at the University of Southern Denmark (SDU) were invited to participate in the study. Thus, purposive sampling was applied. Participants were to fulfil the following inclusion criteria: (1) Having at least completed a master’s degree AND (2) Having professional clinical experience with SC OR (3) Having professional research experience with SC.

Eighteen persons who met the inclusion criteria were identified and invited either through email or personal contact. All were informed about the study design including participation in at least one of three elements: brainstorming, sorting and rating, and validation of data. Participation was not compensated. All 18 persons agreed to participate. All participants gave information regarding age, gender, profession, employment, years working with SC as a clinician, and years working with SC as a researcher (Table 1).

Patient and public involvement

As this research project centred on professional perspectives on SC, we only included professional users in the study. However, the need for the present study became clear out of the base patient user panel of our research group that we consult ongoingly.

Study design and procedures

To address the aim of the study, GCM was applied. The following phases were included in the structured GCM process: preparing for GCM, generating the ideas (brainstorming), structuring the statements (sorting and rating), GCM analysis (data analysis), interpreting the map (validation) and utilisation (developing a conceptual model). These six phases provided a structure for the process.

In general, GCM involves a type of integrative mixed method participatory approach, combining qualitative and quantitative approaches to data collection and analysis. The process may involve face-to-face group sessions, online participation or both. In this study, both were applied. Phases one to four were conducted online, whereas phases five and six took place during a face-to-face session. Brainstorming was completed using Microsoft Excel, whereas sorting, labelling, rating and generation of cluster rating map was performed using the CS Global Max software system.

Preparing for GCM

Before initiating the data collection, a focus prompt was formulated and piloted. The final version was: ‘In my professional perspective, SC is characterized by….’

Table 1. Participant characteristics (n=18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>47.5 (42.3–51.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, range</td>
<td>27–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participated in brainstorm, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participated in sorting/rating, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participated in validation, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participated in both sorting/rating and validation, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research experience, years, median (IQR)</td>
<td>9, 5 (2.8–10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research experience, years, range</td>
<td>1–44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of research experience, n (%)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature or bibliographic</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both qualitative and quantitative</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment, n (%)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research assistant</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD student</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.doc.</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research fellow</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate professor</td>
<td>3 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician or MD</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaplain</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some participants contribute to this statistic more than once, why the sum does not equal 100%.

Generation of ideas (brainstorming)

Through email, participants were instructed to brainstorm with as many brief continuations as possible to the focus prompt using an attached Excel file. They were reminded to keep each sentence/idea short containing only one meaning (g, ‘SC is an important aspect of palliative care’), and it was clarified that the word ‘professional’ related to both clinical and research-based perspectives. Participants forwarded their Excel file and an overall list of ideas was generated. Identical ideas were individually identified by the second and last author and removed after consensus was reached.

Structuring the statements (sorting and rating)

Again, the participants received an e-mail containing information about the sorting and rating tasks as well as a link to online participation using CS Global Max software. The first task was to sort all the ideas generated during the brainstorm into clusters, and to label each cluster. This was an individual task performed according to individual preferences. Next, the participants rated the importance of each idea on a four-point ordinal scale.
a score of one being ‘Unimportant’ and a score of four being ‘Very important’.

GCM analysis (data analysis)
Based on phases 2 and 3, a Cluster Rating Map was generated using the CS Global Max software to be presented at the face-to-face validation meeting in phase 5. For further information, see section on data analysis.

Interpreting the map (validating)
At the validation session, the Cluster Rating Map was presented to the participants and they engaged in a group discussion, revising and interpreting the map, for example, the number and content of derived clusters and the labels.

Utilisation (developing a conceptual model)
Finally, the participants created a conceptual model based on the Cluster Rating Map generated using the CS Global Max software (phase 4) and input from the validation session (phase 5). For further information, see section on data analysis.

DATA ANALYSES
Participant characteristics
Participant data on age, gender, research experience, employment type and types of involvement in the GCM process were registered. Age and research experience were reported using median and IQR due to lack of normal distribution of the data. Data on gender, profession, employment and project involvement were presented in percentages. Analyses of participant data were performed using Microsoft Excel 365 ProPlus.

Data from GCM
CS Global Max software was used to perform data analyses based on the ideas derived from the brainstorming. The analyses were conducted in several steps. First, ideas gathered were consolidated; if needed, identical ideas were removed, and ideas revised in order to clarify the meaning. The remaining ideas were then imported into CS Global Max in preparation for phases 3 and 4.

Participant data from phase 3 were to be included in the cluster analysis if more than 75% of the ideas were sorted and if less than five ideas remained unrated. Based on the sorting and rating of these ideas (phase 3), multidimensional scaling analysis and cluster analysis were performed in which related ideas were grouped into clusters.48 During this process, several cluster solutions were generated and the one that matched the data the best (ie, the cluster solution representing sufficient details on the topic) was applied, creating the Cluster Rating Map (phase 4). Within the multidimensional scaling analysis, the stress value is a statistic used to indicate ‘goodness of fit’. A low stress value (<0.39) was used to determine congruence between the raw data and processed data.48 Based on the labels provided by the participants in phase 3, cluster labels were suggested by CS Global Max software. Besides illustrating the labelled clusters in relation to each other, the importance of ideas included in each cluster was also depicted by the number of layers in each cluster, based on median values for importance ratings given for each idea in the cluster.

The Cluster Rating Map was validated at the face-to-face validation meeting (phase 5), revising the number and labels of clusters. The final conceptual model was generated based on this validated Cluster Rating Map, thematic analysis and consensus among participants. Thus, the participants analysed the clusters and the included ideas to determine if the included ideas were placed in a cluster that best matched the meaning of the ideas and discussed to obtain consensus.

RESULTS
All participants were involved in at least one of the three phases. In total, 15 (83%) were involved in the brainstorming phase, 15 (83%) in sorting and rating online only, and finally, 13 (72%) participated at the validation meeting. Overall, 10 (56%) were involved in all three
phases. The number of participants involved in the study is par with recommendations of core literature on the GCM methodology.46

During the brainstorm phase, participants generated a total of n=327 ideas. Identical ideas were identified and removed before 192 unique ideas were imported into the CS Global Max software for sorting and labelling. In the third phase, all participants sorted 100% of the ideas into between 2 and 21 clusters, and four participants (22%) left between one and five ideas unrated (n=10). As the proportions of unsorted and unrated statements for each participant were below the predefined criteria, the 192 ideas were all included in the analyses (phase 4). Cluster solutions from 10 to 6 clusters were applied; the one with six clusters matched the data the best and was used to create the first Cluster Rating Map (figure 1). These initial six clusters contained between 23 and 49 ideas and were preliminarily labelled by individual participants during phase 3. The clusters represented ideas with varying importance, which is depicted by the height of each cluster. The most important ideas were placed in the highest clusters. A total of n=44 ideas (23%) with high importance (median=4) were identified across the six clusters. The multidimensional scaling analysis involved 24 iterations and revealed a low stress value of 0.3023.

Discussions at the face-to-face validation meeting (phase 5) resulted in revision of the Cluster Rating Map. First, the participants decided to keep the same number of clusters but renamed four clusters. In the thematic analysis, the authors agreed on the cluster location of 96% (n=185) of the ideas. Thus, seven ideas were moved between clusters. The final six clusters are presented in table 2. The 192 ideas sorted into the final six clusters are presented in online supplemental appendix 1 along with median importance ratings for each idea. There are no additional data available.

The first cluster named SC as a part of healthcare contained 24 ideas, for example, ‘Attending one of the four aspects of health along with physical, psychological and social’ suggesting that SC should be understood and practised as a standard aspect of healthcare. The second cluster named perceived significance contained 27 ideas capturing a broad spectrum of notions related to SC, for example, ‘recognising that SC can play a fundamental role in the healing process’ but also that SC is not easy to grasp and practice in secular culture and that it, in order to be qualified, needs to be an integrated aspect of basic and continued learning in healthcare. The third cluster named The role of spirituality included 25 ideas about the nature and role of spirituality, for example, ‘being an essential part of being human’, recognising that it

### Table 2 Description of the final six group concept mapping clusters of understanding of spiritual care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Summary/content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Spiritual care as a part of healthcare</td>
<td>SC is a growing type of healthcare which goes beyond biophysical and social needs and relates to patients’ and relatives’ existential and spiritual needs. Health professionals (eg, nurses, chaplains, psychologists and medical doctors) often engage in interdisciplinary work with patients and relatives through dialogue about spiritual issues. SC is a particularly important aspect of rehabilitation, palliative care, and general practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perceived significance</td>
<td>SC is an underprioritised aspect of healthcare and not perceived as relevant for all patients. It is also perceived as difficult to approach—especially in a secular country (eg, Denmark). It is a sphere of healthcare which, particularly in a multicultural and pluralistic context, calls for more attention: for example, in the fields of education, supervision and research. It is an area with the potential to relieve anxiety and suffering, and thereby support a holistic approach to healthcare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The role of spirituality</td>
<td>Spirituality is an essential part of spiritual care. Spirituality may comprise both patients’ existential, spiritual and religious concerns into an existential frame of self-concept. It emphasizes the connection/relationship between an individual self (body, mind and spirit/soul) and that individual’s self-transcending experiences, meaning and not rarely also sacred entities like oracles, prophets, spirits and/or deities (ie, God). It is always embedded and understood within and with regard to the prevailing culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Help and support</td>
<td>SC involves supporting and helping patients when they face existential/spiritual/religious crises in healthcare. This involves taking the time to explore the patients’ spiritual history and not just their medical history; supporting both patients and relatives through active listening, and using dialogue to explore their thoughts, feelings and outlook on life; and assisting patients in finding meaning and purpose in the things they value, and, if possible, gaining inner peace and well-being.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Quality in attitude and action</td>
<td>SC is attentive and respectful towards patients’ values and beliefs. Healthcare professionals achieve this by acknowledging and supporting patients’ personal dignity through empathic listening and by offering comfort, compassion, love and advice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Relationship</td>
<td>SC requires relationships between healthcare professionals and patients that are characterised by empathy and trustworthiness. Healthcare professionals are aware of their responsibility for this relationship with the patient. The professional encounter should be grounded in a committed and compassionate relationship. SC takes place when healthcare professionals are fully present and engaged in exploring the patients’ resources, allowing periods of silence in conversation, or holding the hands of a patient in need of a hand to hold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ideas: 49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC, spiritual care.
may variously comprise patients’ existential, religious and spiritual concerns. The fourth cluster named Help and support comprised 34 ideas that involved helping patients or a family to cope with existential, religious and/or spiritual issues such as hope and fear, and that SC involved ‘non-verbal relational communication’ and that it requires ‘accepting any belief system my patients/their relatives/their caregivers may hold.’ The fifth cluster named Quality in attitude and action contained 35 ideas such as ‘being ‘out in the open’ with the patient even if I have no treatment or ailment for their disease’ and that SC should be attentive and respectful towards patients’ values and beliefs, something that basic inquiry into the patients background (including beliefs) may assist. The sixth cluster named Relationship included 49 ideas that all emphasised the importance and nature of relations in healthcare, for example, ‘human equality between health professionals and patients’ underlining the importance of being aware of one’s own weakness and fundamental values.

Based on the discussion and decisions at the validation meeting, a final conceptual model revealing what characterises SC was developed (figure 2). The conceptual model encompassed six clusters reflecting vital aspects of SC. They clustered in three over-all themes: (1) SC as an integral but underdeveloped part of healthcare (involving two concepts), (2) delivering SC (involving three concepts) and (3) the role of spirituality.

**DISCUSSION**

Although substantial research documents the integral role of SC for high-quality patient-centred care there is much uncertainty as to what SC actually is and how it is best practised. Accordingly, in this study a GCM approach was used to synthesise experiences among researchers, students and clinicians involved in an ‘Existential and Spiritual Care Research Group’ in Denmark. As mentioned in the Conceptual Model, three overall themes emerged: (1) SC as an integral but underdeveloped part of healthcare, (2) Delivering SC and (3) The role of spirituality.

**SC as an integral but underdeveloped part of healthcare (green colour theme)**

SC was found to be a part of healthcare (cluster 1) and has continued to grow as an important theme, partly due to the enhanced focus on the interactions of biological, psychological and social issues in healthcare. We recognised that nurses, medical doctors, psychologists and chaplains already engage in existential and spiritual issues with their patients and that it is particularly true for palliative care, general practice and rehabilitation.

This finding resonates well with WHO that identified spiritual problems among the dying as part of ‘total pain’ consisting of physical, psychological, social but also spiritual pain. According to WHO’s definition of palliative care, (2002) it is care that consists of treatment and care directed towards ‘total pain’, that is, care of all four aspects of pain, including patients’ ‘pains and other problems of both physical, psychological, psychosocial and existential/spiritual type’. WHO emphasises that existential and spiritual beliefs have decisive impact on humans in crisis, and that providing existential and SC among patients with life-threatening, terminal conditions is a vital quality-of-life enhancing factor. Likewise, The World Organization of Family Doctors (WONCA) Europe has presented a Wonca Tree of Core Competencies and Characteristics of Family Medicine highlighting the holistic modelling that focuses on ‘physical, psychological, social, cultural and existential’ aspects of care. Documents highlight the degree to which SC is part of patient-centred care. Like the WHO and WONCA, the Danish Quality Model underlines that the care and treatment of patients include incorporating existential and SC, and there is an increased focus on existential and spiritual needs included in the National Board of Health’s ‘Professional guidelines for palliative efforts’ and ‘Recommendations for palliative efforts’. Despite these affirmations on all four aspects of health, of suffering and of pain, few documents speak of the existential and spiritual dimension of rehabilitation. Apart from patients’ needs for both physical, psychological and social rehabilitation, patients likewise may be in need of existential and/or spiritual rehabilitation. We thus permit ourselves to coin the concept of existential and spiritual rehabilitation to reflect the need for coping with the spiritual challenges following a cancer diagnosis involving meaning, generativity, hope, and, for some, faith in a higher being and in life after death. Such existential and spiritual rehabilitation may be of great importance, whether a person recovers from a disease, has just been diagnosed or is incurable.

Despite these affirmations, there was agreement (confirmed in the GCM data) that SC is the most underdeveloped and difficult aspect of patient-centred, holistic healthcare (Cluster 2). Danish as well as international...
studies indicate that both senior patients and healthcare professionals (HCPs) experience existential and spiritual needs as very difficult to address, and that these needs therefore remain largely unmet. This has consequences not only for the patients and HCPs, but also at the economic level through prolonged stays in hospitals (in particular ICUs) and hospices. One of today’s largest societal health challenges is thus how to meet the existential needs of patients, in particular those challenged by life-threatening disease. The European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC) has appointed a Task Force for SC. Here SC is seen as care directed towards existential needs which people may experience in case of severe illness with similar initiatives in other realms of healthcare.

There may be many reasons for this deficiency in modern healthcare: SC is hard to define, it involves personal values that are difficult to base on empirical evidence, and to put into general guidelines for healthcare practice. In Denmark, where spiritual values are highly individualised and private, this might be difficult to an even greater extent. SC thus involves strategic leadership and proactive attention if it is to be implemented in a qualified and non-arbitrary manner.

Delivering SC (blue colour theme)

SC was found to provide help and support patients (cluster 4) as they cope with existential, religious and/or spiritual issues. This can be done among others by taking the patients’ spiritual history alongside their medical history. Numerous tools have been developed for spiritual history taking, among which the FICA tool is the best known. But it also involves a particular type of attitude, active listening fostering dialogue about things that matter deeply, supporting their reflections on values in life. Such personal attitudes and qualities are central to SC (cluster 5) wherefore the delivery of SC can never be considered a task external to the person providing SC. German hospice chaplain Thomas Harding thus speaks of Wahrnehmung as a fundamental aspect of SC. The word Wahrnehmung is not directly translatable but literally means to take true, and this could well be said to be a core of SC: taking in and caring for the truth or essence of the other person. Although SC does not require religious studies with detailed insight into world religious beliefs, SC is attentive to the beliefs and values of patients supporting their dignity by means of empathic listening, and by offering comfort, compassion, love and advice.

Such qualities can only be achieved by means of what may be another innermost requirement of SC: Significantly, enriching and trust-inspiring relationships between HCPs, patients and relatives (cluster 6). In fact, research has identified spirituality as relational in its core, as the individual human being relates inwards, outwards and upwards—to self, to others and (for some) to the divine/transcendent, a tripartite movement that has been identified in patterns of mindfulness, meditation and prayer as well. Such ‘healing’ relationships are promoted by the HCP’s empathic, non-judgemental and appreciative attitude towards the patient, that make the patient feel seen, heard and understood in his/her suffering, but furthermore by an awareness of mutuality in the relationship: that both patient and HCP is depending on one another’s willingness to invest him/herself in the relationship and to share in a common human vulnerability. Research indicates that HCP’s ability to relate to patients in the fullness of their humanity, rather than as to objects (ie, as I-Thou, instead of I-It) might be among the most important spiritual experiences of all. Recognising the potential of experiences of mutuality in the relationship between HCPs and patients is not to deny that the HCP–patient relationship is inherently asymmetrical. It is pointing to an interhuman, relational dimension that enables the HCP to use his/her biomedical knowledge and technical competence as appropriately and meaningful as possible in a way that resonates with both the HCP’s and the patients’ spirituality, regardless their potential differences. This, in turn, brings us to the third overall theme of the Conceptual Model of SC which impacts the understanding of the former two overall themes: the role of spirituality.

The role of spirituality (red colour theme)

Spirituality was found to be an integral part of human life, comprising various patients’ existential, spiritual and religious concerns (cluster 3). Spirituality is the connection between the different parts of the human being (body, mind and soul). This resonates with international incentives to define spirituality. EAPC, thus, defines spirituality as ‘the dynamic dimension of human life regarding the way people (individuals and society) experience, express and/or seek meaning, purpose and transcendence, and the way they connect to the moment, to themselves, to others, to nature, to the important and/or the holy aspects’. This definition emerged from a consensus process and develops further a similar consensus-based definition in an American health professional context. Important to note is, that care is not only directed towards patients’ individual existential perspectives or considerations, but towards exactly ‘the dynamic dimension of human life’, a dimension which other researchers summarise as a person’s inner vitality and/or energy always at play as the foundation of what can bring us through a severe crisis.

In a mixed-method study, 514 randomly selected adult Danes were asked which associations among 115 possible ones they related to the concept of spirituality. The respondents could tick off as many boxes as they would. Factor analyses indicated six clear nodes of understandings: (1) Well-being, (2) New Age ideology, (3) A part of religious life, (4) A weak striving opposed to religiosity, (5) Inspiration in human life. A sixth association expressed a negative attitude to spirituality understood and (6) Selfishness. Also, our present study shows how spirituality covers a wide field where most of them may overlap, but also contain differences and tensions, which


may in turn help explain, why SC is not easy to practise and integrate into healthcare. In many ways, attending to patients’ needs for SC and spiritual rehabilitation calls for significant different training of HCPs than providing physical, psychological and social rehabilitation.

Ironically, because spirituality constitutes a fundamental core aspect of each human being, it calls for SC to be a well-integrated aspect of healthcare (cluster 1), but it also makes it difficult to practise SC, because each individual has to be treated as a unique being with a unique kind of spirituality and with unique values associated to that spirituality. The fundamentality and universality of spirituality bear the paradox that it is fundamental to healthcare and at the same time difficult to provide.

### Strengths and limitations

The use of GCM methodology in the present study is considered a strength Hence, GCM implies a structured approach mixing quantitative and qualitative methodology by combining qualitative data generation (ie, ideas on a specific topic) and statistical analyses to support the structuring of data. Further, participants are involved throughout the entire research process (data generation, analyses, validation of results, discussion). This study was conducted with the purpose of synthesising understandings and experiences regarding SC among members of a specific ‘Spiritual Care Research Group’. Thus, the sample size was relatively small (n=18). However, according to GCM literature, ten persons is generally considered to be minimum in order to perform a valid statistical analysis.46

### CONCLUSION

The present GCM investigation has identified six clusters of understandings of SC that could be organised in three overall themes: (1) SC as an integral but underdeveloped part of healthcare, (2) Delivering SC and (3) The role of spirituality. Because spirituality in the common understanding is a fundamental aspect of each individual human being, SC should be an integral aspect of healthcare. Paradoxically, precisely because of this fundamentality, it is nevertheless also challenging to practise SC, as it involves the individual spirituality of the HCP, tuning in on the individual spirituality of the patient (or relative) and engaging care for needs for which there are no quick fixes but that require personal attunement and investment. The benefits of engaging in SC nevertheless seem plentiful, both for HCPs, patients and relatives.
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