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ABSTRACT

Introduction Sub-Saharan Africa remains the epicentre of the HIV pandemic, yet enormous knowledge gaps still exist to elicit a comprehensive portrait of multimorbidity and HIV linkage. This study aims to conduct a systematic meta-analysis of peer-reviewed literature to investigate the current status of multimorbidity epidemiology among people living with HIV (PLHIV) in sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods and analysis Our review will assess observational studies (ie, cohort, case–control and cross-sectional) on multimorbidity associated with HIV/AIDS between 1 January 2005 and 31 October 2020 from sub-Saharan Africa. Databases to be searched include PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane library, African Index Medicus and African Journals Online. We will also search the WHO clinical trial registry and databases for systematic reviews. The search strategy will involve the use of medical subject headings and key terms to obtain studies on the phenomena of HIV and multimorbidity at high precision. Quality assessment of eligible studies will be ascertained using a validated quality assessment tool for observational studies and risk of bias through sensitivity analysis to identify publication bias. Further, data on characteristics of the study population, multimorbidity conditions, epidemiological rates and spatial distribution of multimorbidity conditions in PLHIV will be extracted. Heterogeneity of individual studies will be evaluated using the I² statistic from combined effect measurements or self-reports to identify diseases; thus, the interpretive worth of results may be limited by the quality and adequacy of available data.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not applicable in this study as it is a systematic review of published literature. The review findings may also be presented at conferences or before other relevant stakeholders.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Reporting incident, prevalent estimates and trajectories of the multimorbidity burden among people living with HIV across different sociodemographic settings in sub-Saharan Africa epidemic will provide requisite evidence to inform clinical thinking and practice.
- Findings may be integrated into non-communicable diseases surveillance programmes, health systems and delivery for patient-centric prevention and control services of cardiometabolic diseases by national HIV care programmes.
- The novelty of the proposed systematic review study includes being set in a 15-year period (ie, 2005–2020) in a region comprising of low-income and middle-income countries with large HIV burden and knowledge gaps for HIV and multimorbidity.
- Part of the challenge will be defining and ascertaining the presence of multimorbidity since individual studies interchangeably use biomarker measurements or self-reports to identify diseases; thus, the interpretive worth of results may be limited by the quality and adequacy of available data.
- Synthesis of literature examining the link between HIV and multimorbidity reflects a necessary focus given the HIV treatment landscape changes in sub-Saharan Africa, a reality often omitted in the development of health research and programmatic responses.

INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of HIV in the 1980s, the global HIV epidemic remains disproportionately concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa such that the region carries the highest HIV-related morbidity and mortality burden.2–5 In 2018, 68% of people living with HIV (PLHIV) resided in the region, and an estimated 60% of deaths were attributable to HIV, thereby demonstrating the massive scale of the HIV epidemic. As the HIV burden increased over the years, substantial declines in AIDS-related deaths largely due to survival benefits of combined antiretroviral therapy (ART) was reported.7–9 Unfortunately, emerging non-acquired immune-deficiency syndrome (non-AIDS) conditions in HIV-infected patients treated with highly active ART has greatly affected progress made in the reduction of HIV-related deaths.10 The implication of these emerging non-AIDS conditions is a devastating increase in the burden of multimorbidity ranging from chronic non-communicable diseases (NCD) such as...
obesity/overweight and cardiometabolic conditions, chronic cardiovascular diseases, dysfunctional immune systems to concomitant disruptions of cognitive function and mental health conditions.\textsuperscript{11,12} The huge overlap between killer infections such as HIV, tuberculosis (TB), with NCDs like hypertension and diabetes mellitus (DM) results in a ‘double burden of disease’ in low-income and middle-income countries.\textsuperscript{13} This double burden of disease as explained by Bates et al.\textsuperscript{13} can also be referred to as multimorbidity (as defined below), and this causes a huge health problem in PLHIV in sub-Saharan Africa.

The term multimorbidity is defined as the coexistence of two or more chronic conditions, where each must be an NCD, a mental health disorder or an infectious disease of long duration.\textsuperscript{14,15} Global health data show that Africa, compared with other continents, suffers more from the combined presence and effects of NCDs together with HIV/AIDS and TB.\textsuperscript{16} The interactions between these multimorbid conditions and their treatment pose many challenges to the effective management of PLHIV; a major challenge in managing PLHIV and multimorbidity is attributed to polypharmacy. One review, for example, noted that polypharmacy in older adults could lead to toxic drug interactions and/or ineffectiveness in achieving therapeutic effects, as well as falls, increased resource utilisation and higher mortality.\textsuperscript{17} The prevalence of adverse interactions of ART and non-HIV medications has been linked to potentially serious drug toxicities and interruptions in ART.\textsuperscript{18,19} Further, optimal management of patients with multimorbidity is known to be complicated by economic, social, emotional and psychological issues, coupled with declining functional capacity and increasing need for multiple medications and health specialty providers for patients.\textsuperscript{20,21} Consequences of these challenges to the optimal management of multimorbidity include poorer clinical outcomes, increased hospitalisation rates and concomitant healthcare expenditure and frequency of service use featuring high long-term dependence on secondary than primary care.\textsuperscript{22–25}

Currently, there is paucity of evidence using literature-based synthesis to evaluate the occurrence of multimorbidity in PLHIV from sub-Saharan Africa. The studies providing evidence on HIV morbidities from settings in sub-Saharan Africa,\textsuperscript{26–28} are majorly observational studies and fewer compared with the larger literature from high-income countries,\textsuperscript{11,29–35} however, most sampling an ageing population. Given the detrimental effects of emerging multimorbidity within the context of long-term survival with HIV, there is a need to synthesise available evidence to determine the trends and magnitude of multimorbidity among PLHIV. An effort of this scale will help identify existing gaps and provide strategies for strengthening health systems for effective management of comorbid conditions in PLHIV from sub-Saharan Africa and other geographical areas where HIV is prevalent. Thus, the proposed systematic review aims to examine the epidemiology of multimorbidity in the HIV-infected population in sub-Saharan Africa. To this end, the questions that this review seeks to answer, and specific objectives are outlined below.

**Review questions**

1. What are the multimorbid conditions affecting PLHIV in sub-Saharan Africa?
2. What is the incidence and prevalence of multimorbidity in PLHIV in sub-Saharan Africa?
3. What is the spatial distribution of HIV multimorbidity across sub-Saharan Africa?

**Specific objectives**

1. To profile the multimorbid diseases among PLHIV in sub-Saharan Africa.
2. To determine the incidence and prevalence of multimorbidity among PLHIV in Sub Saharan Africa.
3. To assess the spatial distribution of HIV associated multimorbidity across sub-Saharan Africa.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

The systematic review and meta-analysis protocol will follow the Joanna Briggs Institute online manual\textsuperscript{36} and Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines.\textsuperscript{37}

**Eligibility criteria**

In order to systematically identify the relevant primary studies for this review and minimise the potential for bias, we will define the outcome of interest and develop an inclusion/exclusion criterion as discussed below. There are different frameworks used to define and understand the phenomena of multimorbidity globally,\textsuperscript{38,39} however, for our working definition, we will adopt the definition mentioned above for multimorbidity by WHO and The Lancet.\textsuperscript{14,15} Accordingly, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for studies in the systematic review will be guided by the following factors:

**Inclusion criteria**

The studies will be selected for inclusion using the PICOTS framework explained by Lackey\textsuperscript{40} where, P is Population, I imply Intervention, C is Comparison, O is Outcome, T is Time frame and S is Study setting. However, in this review, we will adopt elements of the framework to suit our study design by replacing intervention (I) with exposures (E), that is, PECOTS.

**Population**

Studies whose population include PLHIV prior to diagnosis or development of the multimorbid conditions will be included for review. In addition, PLHIV that have commenced ART prior to diagnosis or development of the multimorbid conditions will also be included.

**Exposures of interest**

The exposures of interest in this study will be HIV infection and ART. The ascertainment of exposure to HIV and
ART including whether HIV tests were performed, or initiation of ART was known from patient records versus self-reported including definitions of multiple disease diagnoses will be based on information provided in the eligible studies. Importantly, it has been shown that, despite the survival benefits of ART, treatment confers excess risk for multimorbid conditions among PLHIV. Hence, the study population will include PLHIV prior to diagnosis or development of the multimorbid conditions. In addition, PLHIV that have commenced ART prior to diagnosis or development of the multimorbid conditions will also be included. Exposure to ART will be defined as HIV positive individuals initiated on ART and linked to HIV care.

**Comparison**

The comparator group will include HIV negative individuals if available in studies to be included for review.

**Outcomes**

The outcome of this review is the development of multimorbidity due to HIV and also ART, where data are available. The selection of articles for synthesis will be based on whether reports on co-occurring morbid conditions available following HIV infection in PLHIV are and PLHIV that started ART, respectively. Multimorbid conditions such as TB, hypertension, obesity, DM, asthma and depression will be considered, with definition of diseases derived from self-reported diagnosis and use of chronic disease medication, medical records and clinical results in the case of population-specific health screening. The International Classification of Diseases version 9 system for coding and classifying morbidity data from inpatient and outpatient records, physician offices and population-specific surveys will provide an overall basis for disease diagnosis.

Mortality, the secondary outcome will be verified from aggregated cause of death data from individual studies (ie, verbal autopsies) and hospital-level reports subject to the availability of information.

**Time frame**

Studies on multimorbidity in the context of HIV published between 1 January 2005 and 31 October 2020 from sub-Saharan Africa will be included. The year 2005 was chosen as the starting point for this review period because that is when most African national HIV programmes had access to subsidised ART through international donors and the US President’s Emergency Plan For AIDS Relief which was created in 2003 as a US$15 billion 5-year plan to combat AIDS.

**Study setting**

The study setting for this review is the African continent, particularly sub-Saharan Africa.

In addition to our inclusion criteria explained above, study designs of eligible studies will be limited to that of peer-reviewed epidemiological studies (cross-sectional, case–control and cohort studies). Further, only studies published in English language will be considered.

**Exclusion criteria**

Non-empirical (such as conceptual papers and opinion pieces), qualitative studies and epidemiological studies which do not report rates and measures of association such as prevalence, incidence ORs and/or adjusted ORs, HRs/or adjusted HRs quantifying the increase in the risk of additional health conditions due to HIV infection will be excluded.

**Information sources and search strategy**

We will perform a comprehensive search for eligible studies from databases such as PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online, Web of Science and Cochrane library. We will also search the WHO clinical trial registry and databases for systematic reviews. The search strategy will involve the use of medical subject headings to elicit studies at high precision, and key terms typically used to express the phenomena of HIV and multimorbidity (online supplemental appendix 1). Overall, only studies meeting the eligibility criteria outlined above will be included in the review.

**Study selection**

The title and abstracts of studies on HIV and multimorbidity will be screened for eligibility and data extraction by two of this review authors, (KEO and AD). The two reviewers will independently screen the title and abstract of retrieved articles after duplicates has been removed using Microsoft excel and Endnote V.9. Next, the full text of articles selected after screening titles and abstract will be retrieved for further data extraction. During the study selection process, if any disagreements arise (as often is the case) a third author (OA) will be consulted for expert advice and a consensus. In the event of unclear or missing data, we would contact the corresponding authors of these studies through email. If there is no reply from the corresponding authors of these articles after a period of 2weeks, we will phone (if a phone number can be reached) and also send a reminder email. If there is still no response, the articles requiring further details from the corresponding authors will be excluded and reported in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram.

**Data extraction**

Data extraction from eligible selected studies will be conducted independently by two reviewers (KEO and AD). On completion of the data extraction process by both KEO and AD, the data extracted will be discussed at a meeting with the third reviewer (OA). This third reviewer (OA) will examine the extracted data to identify errors or inconsistencies that need to be corrected if necessary. Data to be extracted will include; author’s details, year of publication, type of study, geographical setting, HIV status of the study population, study population age, gender, sample size, ART treatment status, incidence and prevalence of multimorbidity, mortality and potential confounders (online supplemental appendix.
Quality assessment

As part of ongoing quality assessment, two reviewers (KEO and AD) will independently review and validate eligible articles for further synthesis. In the event of the two reviewers disagreeing on the final critical appraisal, a third reviewer would be consulted. For the quality assessment process, we will use the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analyses (online supplemental appendix 3). The scoring system has a ‘star’ structure for assessing the quality of non-randomized studies in three broad areas: the selection of study groups; comparability of groups; and determination of either exposure or outcome of interest.44 Following the coding process in the quality assessment report by Roa et al.45 and the decision rule for application of the NOS,46 we will assign a star to each of the eight questions (with yes=1 star and no=0 stars). In principle, studies scoring 5-8 stars will be rated as high quality and those between 1-4 stars, low quality.

Risk of bias

Further ascertainment of risk of bias will include conducting sensitivity analysis, determining the status of each individual study by how they graphically fit on a funnel plot. Ideally in the funnel plot, studies with high precision plot closer to the average while those with low precision spread evenly on either side of the average, producing a near funnel-shaped distribution. As such, variation from this shape will suggest publication bias. The checklist for MOOSE in online supplemental appendix 4 will be applied to provide details of how background and search strategies including methods, results, discussions and conclusions would be reported.

Outcome(s) measures and data synthesis

The outcomes for this review will include; types of multimorbid conditions, prevalence and incidence rate of multimorbid conditions and spatial distribution of multimorbid conditions among PLHIV in sub-Saharan Africa. Qualitatively, data will be thematically synthesised; this will involve the systematic coding of data and the development of descriptive and analytical themes. This is a particularly robust approach given our aim to determine HIV coinfections contained in individual studies. First, data extracted through the rubric in online supplemental appendix 2 will be classified according to its content. Quantitatively, we will conduct meta-analysis using a random-effects model because we expect heterogeneity in the eligible studies to be synthesised. Heterogeneity of individual studies will be evaluated using the I² statistic from combined effect size estimates in our meta-analysis. A forest plot will be used to graphically present the extent of heterogeneity of synthesised studies in this review. For the random-effects model, we will use the restricted maximum likelihood method to estimate the parameters. As such, we will solely restrict our data extraction to dichotomous data amenable to meta-analysis including prevalence point estimates, ORs and HRs, incidence rate ratios and their corresponding 95% CIs from profiled multimorbidity. Outcome measures will be stratified by HIV and ART status if data are available. Further, we will estimate the overall incidence and prevalence of each multimorbid condition (see online supplemental appendices 5 and 6) among those who were HIV positive compared with those that were HIV negative based on a random-effects model with combined effects estimates being calculated through meta-analyses. Prior to estimating pooled-effects of the incidence and prevalence data, the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation would be performed to keep at a minimum the variance in incidence and prevalence data from synthesised studies. Funnel plot and Egger’s test will be carried out to ascertain publication bias. All statistical analysis will be performed with STATA V.15 statistical software.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Subgroup analysis on articles retrieved from 1 January 2005 to 31 October 2020 will be performed by countries in sub-Saharan Africa, countries in the WHO Africa region, and HIV multimorbid conditions based on the availability of data and quality of included studies. This subgroup analysis will explore demographic factors (age groups and gender), ART treatment and study period.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

DISCUSSION

In tandem with major social transformations such as urbanization across settings in sub-Saharan Africa, health transition has accelerated in recent years against the background of an evolving HIV treatment and prevention landscape thereby worsening the disease burden of HIV patients.8 26 47 48 The resultant effects are the widespread emergence of an NCDs epidemic as a result of the overall ageing of the HIV infected population and mental disorders especially among the young and middle-aged populations given the early age of infection.49 Consequently, our intent for this study is to summarize the totality of evidence linking HIV and multimorbidity risk in sub-Saharan Africa. The study aim is consistent with the Academy of Medical Sciences UK current funding model and approach to address the global challenge of multimorbidity which involves measuring the prevalence of multimorbidity, identifying key diseases including understanding severity of regional and national multimorbidity epidemics as an important initial first step towards developing evidence-based strategies adaptable to healthcare systems.50 Findings may in one part be useful in identifying
further research priorities to address gaps these gaps and on the other support better understanding of the major dimensions predicting multimorbidity such as biological factors (age, gender), social factors and health systems factors (polypharmacy due to treatment of HIV and occurring morbidities) by providing the adequate disaggregated data.

Ethics and dissemination
Ethical approvals will not be required because this is aggregated data.
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