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ABSTRACT

Introduction Chronic cancer-related pain is one of the most common excruciating symptom that can be caused by the cancer (by the primary tumour or by metastases) or by its treatment (surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy). Although multiple clinical trials and systematic reviews have suggested that acupuncture could be effective in treating chronic cancer-related pain, the comparative efficacy and safety of these acupuncture methods remains unclear. We, therefore, performed this study to evaluate and rank the efficacy and safety of different acupuncture methods for chronic cancer-related pain.

Methods and analysis Seven databases will be searched, including Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang Database, the Chongqing VIP Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) from their inception to March 2020. The primary outcome is the change of pain intensity. Bayesian network meta-analysis will be conducted using software R3.5.1. Finally, we will use the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation System (GRADE) to assess the quality of evidence.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required for literature-based studies. The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publication.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020165747

INTRODUCTION

Chronic cancer-related pain is one of the most common symptoms in cancer patients, which includes chronic cancer pain and chronic post-cancer treatment pain. Studies showed that the incidence of chronic cancer-related pain is established to be 33% for patients after curative treatment, 59% for patients undergoing anticancer treatment and 64% for patients with metastatic, advanced or terminal disease. Particularly, pain is highly prevalent in early stages in certain cancer types such as pancreatic cancer (44%) and head and neck cancer (40%). It could lead to mood disturbance, dyspepsia and poor quality of life. In terms of treatment approach, the WHO analgesic ladder recommends opioid therapy on the basis of pain intensity. However, over half of all cancer patients still suffer intolerable pain. The inadequate management of chronic cancer-related pain have a significant harmful impact on the quality of life for patients and may lead to increased healthcare costs. Moreover, many patients develop adverse effects from analgesic regimen, such as constipation, nausea, drowsiness, confusion and hallucinations. Each adverse effect requires a careful assessment and treatment strategy, and increase the financial burden of patients. Therefore, it is necessary to explore other forms of alternative therapies which are both safe and effective in relieving chronic cancer-related pain.

The USA and Europe have developed guidelines on complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) for chronic cancer-related pain; most patients use CAM as an adjunct therapy along with the conventional treatments. As one of CAM treatments, acupuncture plays an important role in the treatment of pain. In recent years, various acupuncture methods have been widely used in treating chronic cancer-related pain and adverse effects related...
to the cancer treatments. Most National Cancer Institute-designated comprehensive cancer centres have begun offering acupuncture. In addition, systematic reviews showed that acupuncture and/or acupressure is significantly associated with reducing chronic cancer-related pain and decreasing the use of analgesics. Another Cochrane systematic review showed that all studies reported benefits of acupuncture in managing pancreatic cancer pain. The comparison between acupuncture plus drug therapy, and drug therapy alone demonstrated a significant favouring of acupuncture plus drug therapy. A randomised control trial indicates the efficacy of auricular acupuncture for patients receiving chemotherapy. However, due to the diversity of acupuncture approach, its relative effectiveness has not yet been studied or explained. Clinicians are confused about how to choose the optimal acupuncture method for chronic cancer-related pain.

Studies showed that the rankings of different treatments can be provided using the network meta-analysis (NMA) to analyse the direct and indirect randomised data. Therefore, we will perform this NMA to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of various acupuncture therapies for chronic cancer-related pain.

Objective
The purpose of this study is to compare the efficacy and safety of existing acupuncture methods for the treatment of chronic cancer-related pain through NMA and systematic review.

METHODS
This protocol will be conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement and the Checklist of Items to Include When Reporting a Systematic Review Involving a Network Meta-analysis. The research has been registered on PROSPERO (online supplemental file 1 for PRISMA-P checklist).

Criteria for including studies in this review
Types of studies
The review will include randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that were reported in English or Chinese without any regional restrictions. The first period of randomised cross-over trials will be included. Non-RCT reviews, case report, animal experimental studies, expert experience, conference article and duplicated publications will be excluded.

Types of participants
We will include patients with chronic cancer-related pain, which includes chronic cancer pain and chronic post-cancer treatment pain, regardless of the cancer type.

We will define chronic cancer-related pain as pain directly linked to the development of cancer confirmed by pathology or radiology. Trials that studied chronic cancer-related pain mixed with other types of pain and trials that studied chronic post-cancer surgery pain will be excluded.

Types of interventions
We will define acupuncture in this review as acupoint-based therapy, regardless of needling techniques and stimulation method, including manual acupuncture, electro-acupuncture, auricular (ear) acupuncture, acupressure, acupoint application, moxibustion, catgut embedding, transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation, acupoint injection and others. We will rule out interventions without stimulating the acupoint.

Types of control groups
Treatments in the comparison groups can be sham-acupuncture, placebo, pharmacotherapy or no additional intervention to usual care. Studies that compared different types of acupoint-based therapy will be included.

Types of outcome measures
Studies reporting one or more of the following outcomes will be included.

Primary outcomes
The change of pain intensity will be measured by a visual analogue scale (VAS), McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) or other validated outcome measures.

Secondary outcomes
1. Quality of life measured by validated scales including the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC-QLQ-C30), the General Version of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy (FACT-G), the Edmonton Symptom Assessment System (ESAS) or other validated scales.
2. Consumption of analgesics, including opioids and non-opioids.
3. Frequency of breakthrough pain and rescue medication use or dosage.
4. Side effects of analgesic regimen, such as nausea and vomiting, constipation and cognitive deficits.
5. Safety of the acupoint-based therapies, including adverse events and withdrawals for any reason.

Search methods for identification of studies
The following databases will be searched from their inception to March 2020: Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang Database, the Chongqing VIP Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), WHO Clinical Trials Registry, Chinese clinical registry, ClinicalTrials.gov and reference lists of articles to identify additional studies.
The following medical search headings (MeSH) will be used: “cancer”, “tumor”, “carcinoma”, “neoplasms”, “pain”, “analgesia”, “acupuncture”, “electroacupuncture or electro-acupuncture or meridian* or moxibustion* or “traditional Chinese medicine” or “traditional oriental medicine” or auriculotherapy or needle or “acupoint catgut embedding” or “wrist-ankle acupuncture” or “scalp acupuncture” or “transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation” or “acupoint injection”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Search items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>exp acupuncture therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>exp medicine, east asian traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>exp acupuncture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(acupuncture or acupoint* or electroacupuncture or electro-acupuncture or meridian* or moxibustion* or “traditional Chinese medicine” or “traditional oriental medicine” or auriculotherapy or needle or “acupoint catgut embedding” or “wrist-ankle acupuncture” or “scalp acupuncture” or “transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation” or “acupoint injection”).mp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Or 1 to 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>exp neoplasms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(neoplasm* or cancer* or carcino* or malignan* or tumor* or tumour*).mp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Or 6 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>exp pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>pain*.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>exp analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(analges* or nocicept* or neuropath*).mp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Or 9 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13 and 8 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>randomised controlled trial.pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>controlled clinical trial.pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>randomised.ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>placebo.ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>drug therapy.fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>randomly.ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>trial.ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>groups.ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Or 15 to 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>exp animals/ not humans.sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>23 not 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>25 and 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This significance of '*' means that phrase including the term before '*' can be searched.

The following medical search headings (MeSH) will be used: “cancer”, “tumor”, “carcinoma”, “neoplasms”, “pain”, “analgesia”, “acupuncture”, “electro acupuncture”, “auriculotherapy”, “acupoint”, “needle”, “acupoint catgut embedding”, “wrist-ankle acupuncture”, “moxibustion”, “scalp acupuncture”, “transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation”, “acupoint injection”, “randomized controlled trial”, “randomised controlled”, “randomised, controlled” and “clinical trial”. Chinese translations of these search terms will be used for the Chinese databases. The search strategy for MEDLINE is shown in table 1.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two reviewers (JY and GX) will screen all hits independently on the titles and abstracts. Full texts will be downloaded for further evaluation when necessary. By the next stage, the reviewers will examine the full-text articles according to the inclusion criteria. A third reviewer (QZ) will be consulted to resolve any disagreement by discussion and consensus. The selection procedure will be shown in a PRISMA flow chart (figure 1).

Data extraction and management

Two independent reviewers (ZHYn and MSS) will extract information using a pre-designed form including: (1) identification information (publication year, first author); (2) general information (country, study type, number of centres, sample size and study design); (3) participants (type and/or stage of cancer, age, sex and pain intensity before treatment); (4) interventions (type of acupuncture, acupuncture points selection and treatment frequency/session/duration); (5) comparator (if there is any, details of the treatment including name, dosage, frequency and course); and (6) outcomes (data and time points for each measurement, and safety).

We will try to contact corresponding authors for missing data or clarification for unclear information. Any disagreements will be arbitrated by a third reviewer (LZ). Cross-check of all data will be done by ZHY and MSS before transfer into RevMan software (V.5.3).

Quality assessment

Two or more independent reviews (YC and JC) will appraise the quality of the included trials using the risk of bias tool developed by the Cochrane Collaboration. We will appraise each study in terms of selection bias (random sequence generation and allocation concealment), performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel), detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), selective reporting bias and other bias. Trials will be evaluated and classified into three levels: low risk, high risk and unclear. Any disagreements will be arbitrated by a third reviewer (LZ).

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation System (GRADE) system will be used to grade the quality of the evidence for main outcomes. Two reviewers will use the GRADE system to independently assess the quality of evidence for each outcome. Evidence quality will be rated ‘high’, ‘moderate’, ‘low’ or ‘very low’ according to the GRADE rating standards. The quality of evidence of a specific study will be assessed according to the risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision and publication bias.

Assessment of similarity and consistency

An assessment of similarity and consistency will be performed to produce a credible and valid result. Since it is difficult to determine similarity using statistical analysis,
the assessment will be based on clinical and methodological characteristics, including study designs, participant characteristics and interventions. We will conduct the z-test to check the consistency, and the p value will be calculated to confirm whether there are inconsistencies among the comparison of direct and indirect. If p>0.05, the comparison of direct and indirect is consistent; on the contrary, the comparison will be considered inconsistent.

Network meta-analysis

Efficacy data will be synthesised and statistically analysed in R3.5.1 with the Bayesian method.\textsuperscript{38} Dichotomous data will be investigated by using a risk ratio with 95\% CIs. For continuous outcomes, data will be analysed by using a standard mean difference with 95\% CIs or a weighted mean difference. The weighted mean difference will be used for the same scale or the same assessment instrument, whereas the standard mean difference will be used for different assessment tools.

The contribution of different designs to the final effect size of the NMA will be evaluated by net-heat plots. The acupoint-based therapies will be ranked by using p score that measures the extent of certainty when treatment is better than control. A p score equals 100\% when a treatment is certain to be the best and 0\% p score indicates a treatment to be the worst. We will use the forest plots to present the results of NMA. Ranking of the different acupuncture methods will be displayed according to the surface under the cumulative ranking curve analysis (SUCRA). Network plot will be used to show the comparisons between interventions.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Clinical and methodological heterogeneity will be evaluated by closely checking the features of the participants, interventions and outcomes of the inclusive studies, and comparing fit of the fixed effect model and random effect model. Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed by the $I^2$ index. Values of $I^2<50\%$ will indicate that heterogeneity is not salient for the cases that we explored; otherwise, substantial heterogeneity will be considered.\textsuperscript{37} Meta-analysis will be performed after removal of studies where main or unacceptable sources of heterogeneity were derived. Furthermore, if the source of heterogeneity cannot be explored, a narrative review will be provided.

Meta-regression, subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis

A network meta-regression will be performed to explore sources of heterogeneity using a random effects network meta-regression model. If sufficient evidence is available, we will conduct subgroup analyses based on cancer types and degree of pain. In order to obtain a stable conclusion, a sensitivity analysis will be conducted to remove effects of trials with small sample size and remove studies rated as high risk of bias based on accounting of methodological quality. These steps will be crucial to ensure the accuracy and depth of inferences from results.
Patients and public involvement

There were no patients nor public will be directly involved in this review. Only data already existent in the literature and the aforementioned sources will be used for this study.

DISCUSSION

Pain often affects cancer patients and represents a major challenge for both clinicians and patients. More than one-third of patients with cancer rate their pain as moderate-to-severe in nature. In most National Cancer Institutions, acupuncture has a decisive role in the treatment of chronic cancer-related pain, but acupuncture therapies for chronic cancer-related pain are diverse. Clinicians are confused to select the optimal one. However, exploring the most suitable acupuncture methods may not only increase financial burden but also waste medical resources.

NMA can be used to integrate direct and indirect comparisons across a set of multiple variables, it can help evaluate the comparative efficacy and safety of various acupuncture methods. Bayesian methods involve a formal combination of a prior probability distribution with a (likelihood) distribution of the pooled effect based on the observed data to obtain a posterior probability distribution of the pooled effect. Compared with frequency methods, Bayesian methods can naturally lead to a decision framework to support decision-making. This overcomes the defect of the frequency method in parameter estimation, which estimates the maximum likelihood through continuous iteration and causes unstable results. Moreover, Bayesian meta-analysis is straightforward in making predictions and it is possible to incorporate different sources of uncertainty, which was recommended for NMA. Therefore, efficacy data will be synthesised and analysed with Bayesian method in our review. Based on the type of single study, we will conduct a rigorous analysis of multiple inclusion criteria and quality scores for results evaluated by GRADE.

To the best of our knowledge, this study will be the first systematic review (SR) and NMA to investigate acupuncture therapies for chronic cancer-related pain. Based on evidence of comparative effectiveness and safety, the NMA is expected to provide a ranking of these methods for cancer patients suffering from pain. Moreover, the NMA may assist patients, physicians and clinical research investigators to choose the most appropriate acupuncture method. Finally, we sincerely hope that our results will offer credible evidence for the clinicians and encourage wider application of acupuncture for chronic cancer-related pain.
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