

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033722
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Cartledge, Susie; Monash University, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine; Monash University, Saxton, Danielle ; National Heart Foundation of Australia Finn, Judith; Curtin University Bray, Janet; Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine
Keywords:	cardiopulmonary resuscitation, resuscitation, EDUCATION & TRAINING (see Medical Education & Training), PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart**
4
5 **Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.**
6
7

8 Susie Cartledge^{1,2,3}, Danielle Saxton⁴, Judith Finn^{1,5}, Janet Bray^{1,2,5}
9

- 10 1. Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne,
11 Victoria
12
- 13 2. Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria
14
- 15 3. Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria
16
- 17 4. National Heart Foundation, Melbourne, Victoria
18
- 19 5. Prehospital, Resuscitation and Emergency Care Research Unity (PRECRU), Curtin University,
20 Perth, Western Australia
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Corresponding Author**
31

32 Susie Cartledge
33

34 Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine
35

36 553 St Kilda Road
37

38 Monash University
39

40 Melbourne, 3004
41

42 T: +61 3 9903 0320
43

44 Fax: +61 3 9903 0556
45

46 E: susie.cartledge@monash.edu
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Word count:** 2418
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Title: Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart**
4 **Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.**
5
6

7
8 **Running Title:** Australian CPR training rates
9
10

11
12
13
14 **Abstract**
15

16
17 **Objective:** We aimed to provide the first national estimates of cardiopulmonary (CPR) training and
18 awareness of cardiac arrest.
19

20
21 **Design:** A retrospective analysis of a national cross-sectional survey was undertaken. Data was
22 collected online from adults in July 2017 as part of the Heart Foundation of Australia HeartWatch
23 survey. We used logistic regression to examine demographic factors associated with CPR training.
24
25

26
27 **Participants:** A national cohort was invited to participate in the survey using purposive, non-
28 probability sampling methods with quotas for age, gender and area of residence, in order to reflect
29 the wider Australian population. The final sample consisted of 1076 respondents.
30
31

32
33 **Main outcome measure:** To determine an estimation of the prevalence of CPR training at a national
34 level and if training was related to demographic factors.
35
36

37
38 **Results:** The majority (76%) of respondents were born in Australia and 51% were female, 41% were
39 aged between 35 and 64 years. Only 16% of respondents could identify the difference between a
40 cardiac arrest and a heart attack. While 56% reported previous CPR training, only 22% were
41 currently trained (within one year). CPR training was associated with younger age (35-54 years)
42 (odds ratio, 1.45, 95% CI, 1.06-2.0), being born in Australia (OR, 1.59, 95% CI 1.17-2.17) and higher
43 levels of education (university, OR, 1.86, 95% CI 1.35-2.57). CPR training increased confidence in
44 respondents ability to perform effective CPR and use a defibrillator. Lack of CPR training was the
45 most common reason why respondents would not provide CPR training to a stranger.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Conclusions:** There is a need to improve the community's understanding of cardiac arrest, and to
4 increase awareness and training in CPR. CPR training rates have not changed over the past decades –
5
6 new initiatives are needed.
7
8

9
10 **Keywords:** cardiopulmonary resuscitation, cardiac arrest, education, education surveillance,
11
12 resuscitation
13
14
15
16
17

18 **ARTICLE SUMMARY**

19 **Strengths and limitations**

- 20
21 • This is the first time a national perspective investigating the awareness of cardiac arrest and
22 cardiopulmonary resuscitation training has been undertaken in Australia.
23
- 24 • A representative group of Australians were represented in this survey using probability
25 sampling methods that included quotas for age, gender and area of residence.
26
- 27 • While the limitations of cross-sectional survey methods include recall bias, our results are
28 consistent with past surveys conducted in Australia.
29
- 30 • Future surveys of this nature require validation of survey questions and could employ mixed
31 methods of using both online and phone surveys to address the challenge of participants
32 using online searches to source survey answers.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

Bystander CPR more than doubles the chance of surviving a cardiac arrest^{1,2}, however the provision of bystander CPR remains low.³ While there has been improvement in bystander CPR rates with the introduction of dispatcher-assisted CPR instructions during the emergency call⁴, a significant proportion still do not feel confident to provide CPR even with instruction.⁵

There is growing evidence of a link between rates of bystander CPR and CPR training. Three studies have now reported communities with higher rates of bystander CPR have high rates of CPR trained residents.⁶⁻⁸ This most likely occurs because CPR training is significantly associated with increased confidence and willingness to provide CPR.^{9,10} Existing data also suggests specific demographics are associated with CPR training, including age, education level, country of birth and occupation.⁹⁻¹¹

There is also a need to examine the impact of socio-economic factors on rates of bystander CPR training, as regions with lower bystander CPR also have lower CPR training rates.^{12,13} Therefore, understanding current rates of CPR training in the community is important, and may drive local initiatives.

In Australia, CPR training is currently not mandatory, and state-based surveys^{9,14-16} suggest that less than 60% of Australian adults have received CPR training at least once. However, these surveys were conducted in specific regions, and most more than a decade ago. This study aimed to provide the first Australian-wide estimates of CPR training and willingness to learn CPR.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study used data from the Heart Foundations of Australia's "HeartWatch" Survey. This quarterly survey is conducted using a purposive, non-probability sampling method with quotas for age, gender and area of residence, in order to reflect the wider Australian population.

Respondents of the survey belong to an online survey panel. In July 2017, 21 questions about CPR

1
2
3 were added to the survey. The CPR questions (Supplementary File) were in three sections: cardiac
4
5 arrest knowledge; CPR knowledge and experience; and defibrillator knowledge. The Residential
6
7 postcodes were used to assign deciles of Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA)¹⁷ using 2016
8
9 Australian Census data.
10

11
12
13 The present study was granted an ethics exemption from Monash University Human Research Ethics
14
15 Committee (Project Number: 12329) as data provided for the research by the Heart Foundation of
16
17 Australia was de-identified.
18

19 20 *Patient and Public Involvement*

21
22
23 The public were not invited to comment on the design of this study and were not consulted to
24
25 develop relevant outcomes or interpret the results. The research group (Australian and New Zealand
26
27 Prehospital Emergency Care [PEC-ANZ] Centre of Research Excellence) do however have
28
29 representatives from the public on the steering committee who will be consulted about the
30
31 outcomes and directions of dissemination of this research during regularly scheduled meetings.
32
33 Results will also be disseminated via Heart Foundation of Australia channels in addition to the
34
35 research group.
36
37

38 39 *Data analysis*

40
41
42 Data were analysed using descriptive statistics with proportions expressed as percentages and tests
43
44 of association using chi-squared statistic between respondent characteristics and CPR training
45
46 status. Logistic regression was used to identify respondent characteristics independently associated
47
48 with CPR training. Characteristics with p-values <0.2 at the univariate level were included in the
49
50 model. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis in a subsample of respondents excluding those who
51
52 reported they had previously performed CPR. Free text responses were categorically coded by two
53
54 health care professionals (Registered Nurse [SC] and Paramedic [DS]), both of whom are experienced
55
56
57
58
59
60

community first aid trainers. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$ and analysis was conducted with Stata V15.1.

RESULTS

The survey sample consisted of 1,076 Australian adults. Responses were received from every state and territory in Australia (Table 1). There was a similar proportion of female ($n=554$, 50.6%) and male ($n=532$, 49.4%) respondents. The majority were aged between 35 and 64 years ($n = 443$, 41%), had completed at least 10 years of schooling ($n = 968$, 90%) and were born in Australia ($n= 817$, 76%).

< Table 1. Characteristics of the sample according to cardiopulmonary resuscitation training status >

Cardiac arrest knowledge

Respondents were asked if they “knew the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack”. The majority of respondents stated they were “unsure” ($n = 404$, 37.6%), followed by “yes” ($n = 356$, 33.1%), with the remaining responding “no” ($n=316$, 29.4%). The majority of those responding “yes” had received CPR training (72%). Those who answered “yes” were then asked to describe the difference between the two conditions using free text. Less than half of the “yes” respondents identified the two conditions correctly ($n = 174$, 48.3%), however 22.2% ($n=79$) identified the conditions incorrectly or only had the definitions partially correct ($n=66$, 18.5%). A small proportion ($n=37$, 10.4%) of yes respondents declared they were unsure once asked for a definition. When coding free text descriptions of the conditions it was noted that several respondents ($n=10$, 2.8%) had used the exact same wording. This wording was identical to the top result from online search engine Google when pasting the question into a search.

1
2
3 Knowledge of signs of cardiac arrest were variable among respondents. When coding free text
4 responses according to Australian Resuscitation Council criteria (unresponsive and not breathing
5 normally)¹⁸, only 2.9% (n = 32) of respondents answered correctly. However, many respondents
6 described the absence of a pulse (“no heart beat”, “heart stops”). When we added absence of a
7 pulse as a correct descriptor of cardiac arrest 14.2% (n = 153) of respondents had the answer coded
8 as correct. Commonly respondents described a cardiac arrest victim as either unconscious or having
9 an absence of breathing (n = 97, 9%). Incorrect answers (n = 684, 63.6%) featured chest pain,
10 shortness of breath, weakness and dizziness. Numerous (11.8%) respondents (n = 127) stated they
11 were unsure of the signs and symptoms.
12
13

14 *CPR knowledge, confidence and training preferences*

15
16 The majority of respondents (n=969, 90.1%) had heard of CPR. Few respondents (n = 95, 8.8%) had
17 previously performed CPR. When respondents were asked what they would do if someone was in
18 cardiac arrest, only 9 (0.8%) respondents correctly identified the chain of survival¹⁹ sequence of
19 calling an ambulance, commencing CPR and applying a defibrillator. These respondents all had prior
20 CPR training within five years. More respondents (n=141, 13%) were able to identify two correct
21 actions (i.e. calling an ambulance, and CPR or defibrillation). A smaller proportion (n = 121, 11.2%)
22 described CPR and or defibrillation but did not mention calling an ambulance. The majority of
23 respondents (n = 536, 49.7%) responded they would call an ambulance, but did not describe any
24 further actions.
25
26

27 In total 55.7% (n = 540) of respondents had undertaken CPR training previously, however a large
28 proportion (42.5%, n = 412) had not, and a small proportion were unsure (n = 17, 1.8%), or did not
29 answer (n = 107, 9.9%) (Figure 1A). The majority of CPR trained respondents had not been trained in
30 CPR for over 5 years (n = 227, 42%), with only 21.7% (n = 117) classified as being currently trained
31 (within 12 months) as per the Australian guidelines.²⁰ (Figure 1B).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<Figure 1A and Figure 1B>

CPR training was not associated with any region (state or territory) of residence (Table 2) or socioeconomic status (all deciles $p > 0.05$, data not shown). However, CPR training was associated with age 35-54 years (odds ratio [OR] 1.45, 95% CI 1.06 - 2.00), Australian-born (OR 1.59, 95% CI 1.17 - 2.17), and university (OR 1.86, 95% CI 1.35 - 2.57) and vocational level of education (OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.64 - 3.30) (Table 2). These factors remained significant when restricted to those who had not previously performed CPR. The main barriers to learning CPR included lack of awareness ("never thought about it") ($n = 190$, 44%), not knowing where to go to learn ($n = 91$, 21%) and cost (12%).

The relationship between confidence in ability to provide CPR was significantly related to CPR training status, with respondents who stated they were very confident to perform CPR more likely to have CPR training ($p < 0.001$ (Figure 2).

Of those with no prior CPR training, the majority ($n = 312$, 72.7%) of respondents were willing to learn CPR. The preferred format for CPR training was for group learning, led by a professional provider ($n = 237$, 76.0%) with a smaller proportion choosing learning via self-instruction ($n = 57$, 18.3%).

<Table 2. Factors associated with CPR training. >

<Figure 2. Self-rated confidence levels (%) about ability to perform effective CPR in an emergency according to CPR training status.>

Barriers to performing CPR

Only half ($n = 530$, 49.3%) of respondents stated they would provide CPR to a stranger. The remaining respondents were predominantly unsure ($n = 307$, 28.5%). In those that responded no ($n = 132$, 12.3%) the most common response was not being trained in CPR ($n = 57$, 43.2%) or not feeling confident ($n = 26$, 19.7%). Fear ($n = 9$, 6.8%), a physical inability ($n = 5$, 3.9%), or concern over legalities ($n = 5$, 3.9%) were other factors mentioned.

1
2
3 Of the respondents who were not CPR trained (n = 412), the majority stated they were willing to
4 learn (n = 312, 75.7%), with only small proportion of respondents stating they were unsure (n = 74,
5
6 17.9%) or unwilling (n = 26, 6.3%).
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 *Defibrillator knowledge (confidence, willingness)* 14

15
16 The majority of respondents (n = 903, 83.9%) had heard of a defibrillator and of these respondents
17 more than half (n = 633, 58.8%) would be willing to use it. However confidence levels to use a
18 defibrillator were low, with a third (33.9%, n = 215) stating they were not confident. (Table 1,
19 Supplement).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **DISCUSSION**

30
31 In this Australia-wide study, just over half (56%) of the adults reported having ever undertaken CPR
32 training, however only 21% had current (within one year) training. CPR training was associated with
33 younger age (35 – 54 years), being born in Australia and having a higher level of education. The
34 association between these demographics and CPR training are similar to studies conducted in other
35 countries.^{9–11} Alarming however, this study found a low understanding of cardiac arrest, or being
36 able to identify the actions involved with the chain of survival. There is a large opportunity to
37 increase national training prevalence, as the majority of those who were untrained in CPR are willing
38 to learn and identified that learning in a group class, led by a professional instructor was the
39 preferred learning format.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 The prevalence of CPR training in this Australian study are similar to other recent international
53 surveys conducted in the United Kingdom (57%)¹⁰ and the United States of America (65%)¹¹. Unlike
54 these countries however, Australia has no national or state-based mandatory training strategy, and
55 there has only been limited attempts to promote awareness of cardiac arrest and CPR via mass-
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 media (e.g. Shock Verdict <https://www.utas.edu.au/shockverdict>). These strategies are important to
4
5 increase cardiac arrest and CPR training knowledge and awareness and should be considered.
6
7

8
9 In Australia, CPR training is only mandatory for selected professions (i.e. Health care professionals,
10 teachers, childcare workers and fitness instructors)²¹. The effect of workplace training is likely
11
12 evidenced in our results by the fact younger working ages (35 – 54 years, OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.06 –
13
14 2.00) and those who attended both vocational college (OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.64-3.30) and university (OR
15
16 1.86, 95% CI 1.35-2.57) were independently associated with CPR training. Recent evidence from the
17
18 USA²² demonstrates that mandatory CPR training in schools is associated with higher levels of
19
20 individuals who are currently trained in CPR. Mandatory community-level training strategies require
21
22 cooperation from many parties including federal and state governments and Resuscitation Councils.
23
24 Nevertheless, these strategies have been successfully implemented elsewhere and they should not
25
26 be overlooked, as they could ensure a large proportion of the community receive CPR training, at
27
28 least once in their lifetime.
29
30
31

32
33 Our results, as has been identified before^{10,23,24}, also demonstrate that those with CPR training had
34
35 higher levels of self-reported confidence to perform CPR and use a defibrillator. Concurrently, the
36
37 most common barrier to not performing CPR in this study was not being trained. This highlights the
38
39 importance of CPR training especially given the positive link between levels of training and bystander
40
41 CPR rates.^{6–8} CPR training is consistently related to younger age and higher levels of education both
42
43 in Australia^{9,14} and internationally.¹¹ Future training initiatives need to consider targeting populations
44
45 less likely to receive training, particularly those that are older, who are at higher risk of future
46
47 cardiac events. Along with the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation²⁵, we also place
48
49 value on training high-risk populations, such as households containing a person with heart disease
50
51 and have success in piloting a targeted training program through cardiac rehabilitation programs.²⁶
52
53
54

55
56 In addition to training, raising awareness of cardiac arrest and CPR training is essential. A significant
57
58 proportion of respondents in our study had never thought about CPR training or didn't know where
59
60

1
2
3 to go to receive training. A national and co-ordinated campaign to increase public awareness of
4 cardiac arrest and CPR training is warranted given the low rates of knowledge assessed in this study.

5
6
7 Highlighting the simplification of CPR through promoting hand-only CPR may encourage more
8
9
10 people to render assistance in an emergency and to undertake training. As traditional television,
11
12 radio and print mass media campaigns are very costly, dissemination of these messages via social
13
14 media should be investigated.²⁷ These approaches could be successful now that smartphones and
15
16 other digital mobile devices are almost ubiquitous in Australia, including among older Australians.²⁸

17
18
19 In the modern era, CPR training can be provided in many formats (e.g. with an instructor, via self-
20
21 instruction, online). The majority of respondents in our study stated they would prefer to learn from
22
23 an instructor in a class, with a smaller proportion preferring self-instruction. Now that “hands-only”
24
25 CPR is the preferred teaching method for lay people¹⁸, the simplified algorithm has the benefits of
26
27 being appropriate for all levels of literacy and education, in addition to decreasing barriers to
28
29 performing CPR (such as mouth-to-mouth ventilations).

30
31
32
33 Our study is subject to a number of potential limitations. Firstly, the online survey may be subject to
34
35 selection bias. Secondly, the survey questions were not formally validated. It is therefore possible
36
37 that some respondents may not have understood some of the questions or terms such as cardiac
38
39 arrest. We also acknowledge that survey methodology is subject to recall bias. However, our results
40
41 are consistent with previous Australian^{14,15} and international research.^{10,11} Thirdly, the survey was
42
43 restricted to those who could read and respond in English. Additionally, future online survey's need
44
45 to be aware that some participants will undertake an online search to answer questions. In our case,
46
47 we saw ten identical answers to one question and upon further examination determined these had
48
49 been copied and pasted from the top search result of Google. Future online surveys could
50
51 supplement responses using other methods such as a phone survey to address this issue.

52
53
54
55 In conclusion, our data suggest CPR training rates in Australia are unchanged over the past decades,
56
57 however the majority of untrained respondents were willing to learn. This willingness should be
58
59
60

1
2
3 leveraged through national training and awareness strategies to increase knowledge of cardiac
4
5 arrest and CPR. Such strategies need to consider targeting training to men, those with lower levels of
6
7 education and those born overseas.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **Funding statement**

14
15
16 Associate Professor Bray was funded by a Heart Foundation Fellowship (No. 101171). The study was
17
18 also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) funded Australian
19
20 and New Zealand Prehospital Emergency Care (PEC-ANZ) Centre of Research Excellence (No.
21
22 1116453).
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Competing interests**

30
31
32 All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form. No competing interests to declare.
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Authors contributions**

39
40 SC and JB led the data analysis and drafted the paper. DS contributed to data analysis. All authors
41
42 contributed to critical revisions of the paper.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Data sharing statement**

49
50
51 No additional data are available as data are owned by the National Heart Foundation of Australia.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Sasson C, Rogers MAM, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation Cardiovascular quality and outcomes*. 2010;3(1):63–81.
2. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, Rosenqvist M, Hollenberg J, Nordberg P, et al. Early Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015 Jun 11;372(24):2307–15.
3. Beck B, Bray J, Cameron P, Smith K, Walker T, Grantham H, et al. Regional variation in the characteristics, incidence and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Australia and New Zealand: results from the Aus-ROC Epistry. *Resuscitation*. 2018;126:49–57.
4. Bray JE, Deasy C, Walsh J, Bacon A, Currell A, Smith K. Changing EMS dispatcher CPR instructions to 400 compressions before mouth-to-mouth improved bystander CPR rates. *Resuscitation*. 2011 Nov;82(11):1393–8.
5. Case R, Cartledge S, Siedenburg J, Smith K, Straney L, Barger B, et al. Identifying barriers to the provision of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in high-risk regions: A qualitative review of emergency calls. *Resuscitation*. 2018;129:43–47.
6. Bray JE, Straney L, Smith K, Cartledge S, Case R, Bernard S, et al. Regions with low rates of bystander CPR have lower rates of CPR training in Victoria, Australia. *Journal of American Heart Association*. 2017;6:e005972.
7. Ro YS, Do Shin S, Song KJ, Hong SO, Kim YT, Lee D-W, et al. Public awareness and self-efficacy of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in communities and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A multi-level analysis. *Resuscitation*. 2016;102:17–24.

- 1
2
3 8. Anderson ML, Cox M, Al - Khatib SM, Nichol G, Thomas KL, Chan PS, et al. Rates of
4
5 cardiopulmonary resuscitation training in the United States.(Report). JAMA Internal Medicine.
6
7 2014;174(2):194.
8
9
- 10
11 9. Bray JE, Smith K, Case R, Cartledge S, Straney L, Finn J. Public cardiopulmonary resuscitation
12
13 training rates and awareness of hands-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a cross-sectional
14
15 survey of Victorians. Emergency Medicine Australasia. 2017;29(2):158–164.
16
17
- 18
19 10. Hawkes CA, Brown TP, Booth S, Fothergill RT, Siriwardena N, Zakaria S, et al. Attitudes to
20
21 cardiopulmonary resuscitation and defibrillator use: a survey of UK adults in 2017. Journal of the
22
23 American Heart Association. 2019;8(7):e008267.
24
25
- 26
27 11. Blewer AL, Ibrahim SA, Leary M, Dutwin D, McNally B, Anderson ML, et al. Cardiopulmonary
28
29 Resuscitation Training Disparities in the United States. Journal of the American Heart
30
31 Association. 2017 May 1;6(5):e006124.
32
33
- 34
35 12. Sasson C, Magid DJ, Chan P, Root ED, Mcnally BF, Kellermann AL, et al. Association of
36
37 neighborhood characteristics with bystander-initiated CPR. The New England journal of
38
39 medicine. 2012;367(17):1607–15.
40
41
- 42
43 13. Vaillancourt C, Lui A, De Maio VJ, Wells GA, Stiell IG. Socioeconomic status influences bystander
44
45 CPR and survival rates for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. RESUSCITATION. 2008;79(3).
46
47
- 48
49 14. Smith KL, Cameron PA, Meyer ADM, McNeil JJ. Is the public equipped to act in out of hospital
50
51 cardiac emergencies? Emergency Medicine Journal. 2003 Jan 1;20(1):85–7.
52
53
- 54
55 15. Jelinek GA, Gennat H, Celenza T, O'Brien D, Jacobs I, Lynch D. Community attitudes towards
56
57 performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation in Western Australia. Resuscitation. 2001
58
59 Dec;51(3):239–46.
60

16. Johnston TC, Clark MJ, Dingle GA, FitzGerald G. Factors influencing Queenslanders' willingness to perform bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2003 Jan;56(1):67–75.
17. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas [Internet]. Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas. 2018 [cited 2019 Jun 27]. Available from: <https://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifa>
18. Australian and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation. ANZCOR Guideline 8, cardiopulmonary resuscitation [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 14] p. 1–5. Report No.: Section 8, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Available from: <https://resus.org.au/guidelines/>
19. Cummins R, Ornato J, Thies W, Pepe P, Billi J, Seidel J, et al. Improving survival from sudden cardiac-arrest - the chain of survival concept - a statement for health-professionals from the advanced cardiac life-support subcommittee and the emergency cardiac care committee, American-Heart-Association. *Circulation*. 1991;83:1832–47.
20. Australian and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation. ANZCOR Guideline 10.1, basic life support training [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 14] p. 1–5. Report No.: Section 8, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Available from: <https://resus.org.au/guidelines/>
21. Safe Work Australia. Safe Work Australia First Aid [Internet]. Canberra, Australia; 2018 Sep [cited 2019 Jun 29] p. 1–28. Available from: <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/first-aid>
22. Alexander TD, McGovern SK, Leary M, Abella BS, Blewer AL. Association of state-level CPR training initiatives with layperson CPR knowledge in the United States. *Resuscitation*. 2019 Jul 1;140:9–15.
23. Gräsner J-T, Lefering R, Koster RW, Masterson S, Böttiger BW, Herlitz J, et al. EuReCa ONE 27 Nations, ONE Europe, ONE Registry: A prospective one month analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes in 27 countries in Europe. *Resuscitation*. 2016 Aug;105:188–95.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
24. Perkins GD, Travers AH, Berg RA, Castren M, Considine J, Escalante R, et al. Part 3: Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2015 Oct;95:e43–69.
 25. Finn JC, Bhanji F, Lockey A, Monsieurs K, Frengley R, Iwami T, et al. Part 8: Education, implementation, and teams: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2015 Oct;95:e203–24.
 26. Cartledge S, Finn J, Bray JE, Case R, Barker L, Missen D, et al. Incorporating cardiopulmonary resuscitation training into a cardiac rehabilitation programme: A feasibility study. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2017;17(2):148–58.
 27. Greif R, Lockey AS, Conaghan P, Lippert A, De Vries W, Monsieurs KG, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: section 10. Education and implementation of resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2015;95:288–301.
 28. Deloitte. Mobile phone consumer survey 2015 - The Australian Cut [Internet]. Sydney; 2017 [cited 2019 Apr 30] p. 1–51. Available from: http://landing.deloitte.com.au/rs/761-IBL-328/images/tmt-mobile-consumer-survey-2017_pdf.pdf

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample according to cardiopulmonary resuscitation training status

	Overall n = 1076	CPR training status		p value
		CPR trained n = 540 (55.7%)	Not CPR trained n = 429 (44.3%)	
Gender				
Female	544 (50.6%)	292 (54.1%)	210 (48.9%)	0.11
Male	532 (49.4%)	248 (45.9%)	219 (51.1%)	
Age				
18 – 34	114 (10.6%)	154 (28.5%)	135 (31.4%)	0.07
35-44	220 (20.5%)	231 (42.8%)	149 (34.7%)	
45-64	223 (20.7%)	142 (26.3%)	130 (30.3%)	
75+	207 (19.2%)	13 (2.4%)	15 (3.5%)	
Country of birth				
Australia	817 (75.9%)	431 (79.8%)	311 (72.5%)	0.03
Overseas	253 (23.5%)	108 (20.0%)	116 (27.0%)	
Prefer not to answer	6 (0.6%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	

State

Australian Capital Territory	8 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)	4 (0.9%)	0.83
New South Wales and Northern Territory	339 (31.5%)	174 (32.2%)	127 (29.6%)	
Queensland	218 (20.3%)	111 (20.6%)	92 (21.4%)	
South Australia	85 (7.9%)	43 (8.0%)	33 (7.7%)	
Tasmania	24 (2.2%)	16 (3.0%)	6 (1.4%)	
Victoria	284 (26.4%)	132 (24.4%)	115 (26.8%)	
Western Australia	114 (10.6%)	58 (10.7%)	44 (10.3%)	

Education

Primary or grade school	3 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	<0.001
Some high school	97 (9%)	41 (7.8%)	44 (10.3%)	
High school graduate	193 (17.9%)	75 (13.9%)	104 (24.2%)	
Technical college	302 (28.1%)	179 (33.2%)	102 (23.8%)	
University diploma	332 (30.9%)	161 (29.8%)	133 (31.0%)	
Postgraduate	141 (13.1%)	78 (14.4%)	43 (10.0%)	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Prefer not to answer	8 (0.8%)	5 (0.9%)	2 (0.5%)	
Previously performed emergency CPR	95 (8.8%)	84 (15.5%)	11 (2.6%)	<0.0001

For peer review only

Table 2. Factors associated with CPR training.

	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Females	1.30 (0.99-1.70)	0.05
Age		
18-34	Ref	
35-54	1.45 (1.06-2.00)	0.02
55-74	1.11 (0.78-1.58)	0.55
>75	0.92 (0.42-2.06)	0.85
Australian born	1.59 (1.17-2.17)	0.003
Education		
High school or less	Ref	
Vocational college	2.33 (1.64-3.30)	<0.001
University	1.86 (1.35-2.57)	<0.001




Figure 1A: Proportion of sample who have “ever had” CPR training

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1B: Of those with CPR training, years since last training completed.




Figure 2. Self-rated confidence levels (%) about ability to perform effective CPR in an emergency

Supplementary 1: CPR specific questions of HeartWatch Survey 2017

1. To begin with could you please confirm your age?
2. What gender are you?
3. Where do you live?
4. What is your postcode?
5. Where you born in Australia or overseas?
6. What is the highest level of schooling you have received?
7. Do you know the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack?
8. How would you describe the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack?
9. What symptoms or signs would you associate with having a cardiac arrest?
10. What do you think you would do if you saw someone in cardiac arrest?
11. Have you ever heard of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, also known as CPR or mouth-to-mouth?
12. What is your understanding of when a person requires CPR?
13. Which of the following reflects how confident you currently feel about your ability to perform effective CPR in an emergency?
14. Have you ever performed CPR in a real-life emergency?
15. Would you intervene and provide CPR to a stranger?
16. Why would you not provide CPR?
17. Would you provide CPR to a family member or someone you know?
18. When a person has a cardiac arrest, CPR can more than double a person's chance of survival. Now knowing this, would you be more likely to provide CPR to a stranger?
19. Have you been trained in CPR?
20. How long ago did you receive the CPR training?

- 1
2
3 21. Which of these statements best describes your reasons for not receiving CPR
4 training?
5
6
7 22. Would you be willing to learn CPR?
8
9 23. Which format of learning CPR would you prefer?
10
11 24. Have you ever heard of a defibrillator?
12
13 25. Would you be willing to use a defibrillator to help someone in difficulty/in an
14 emergency?
15
16 26. Which of the following reflects how confident you currently feel about using a
17 defibrillator in an emergency?
18
19 27. Why would you not use a defibrillator to help someone in difficulty/in an
20 emergency?
21
22 28. How long do you think someone will survive after a cardiac arrest without CPR or
23 defibrillation?
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supplementary 2: Defibrillator knowledge

	Overall n = 1076	CPR training status	
		CPR trained n = 540 (55.7%)	Not CPR trained n = 429 (44.3%)
Have you ever heard of a defibrillator?			
Yes	903 (83.9%)	511 (94.6%)	392 (91.4%)
No	127 (11.8%)	20 (3.7%)	107 (24.9%)
Unsure	46 (4.3%)	9 (1.7%)	37 (8.6%)
Willingness to use a defibrillator			
Yes	633 (58.8%)	390 (72.2%)	243 (56.6%)
No	73 (6.8%)	27 (5.0%)	46 (10.7%)
Unsure	197 (18.3%)	94 (17.4%)	103 (24.0%)
Self-rated confidence to use a defibrillator			
Very confident	85 (7.9%)	77 (14.3%)	8 (1.9%)
Confident	137 (12.7%)	119 (22.0%)	18 (4.2%)
Somewhat confident	187 (17.4%)	118 (21.9%)	69 (16.1%)
Not confident	215 (20.0%)	73 (13.5%)	142 (33.1%)
Unsure	9 (0.8%)	3 (0.6%)	6 (1.4%)

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

pen-2019-0337 on 6 January 2023. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4 - 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4 - 5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4 - 5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	NA
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	NA
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	NA – secondary analysis
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	NA
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	NA
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	5 - 6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	5 - 6
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	5 - 8
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	5 - 8
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	NA
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9 – 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	11

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033722.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Nov-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Cartledge, Susie; Monash University, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine; Monash University, Saxton, Danielle ; National Heart Foundation of Australia Finn, Judith; Curtin University Bray, Janet; Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	cardiopulmonary resuscitation, resuscitation, EDUCATION & TRAINING (see Medical Education & Training), PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart**
4
5 **Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.**
6
7

8 Susie Cartledge^{1,2,3}, Danielle Saxton⁴, Judith Finn^{1,5}, Janet Bray^{1,2,5}
9

- 10
11 1. Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne,
12 Victoria
13
14 2. Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria
15
16 3. Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria
17
18 4. National Heart Foundation, Melbourne, Victoria
19
20 5. Prehospital, Resuscitation and Emergency Care Research Unity (PRECRU), Curtin University,
21 Perth, Western Australia
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Corresponding Author**
31

32
33 Susie Cartledge
34

35
36 Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine
37

38
39 553 St Kilda Road
40

41
42 Monash University
43

44
45 Melbourne, 3004
46

47
48 T: +61 3 9903 0320
49

50
51 Fax: +61 3 9903 0556
52

53
54 E: susie.cartledge@monash.edu
55

56
57 E: susie.cartledge@deakin.edu.au
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Word count: 2510**
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-033722 on 6 January 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

1
2
3 **Title: Australia's awareness of cardiac arrest and rates of CPR training: results from the Heart**
4 **Foundations' Heart Watch Survey.**
5
6

7
8 **Running Title:** Australian CPR training rates
9
10

11
12
13
14 **Abstract**
15

16
17 **Objective:** We aimed to provide the first national estimates of cardiopulmonary (CPR) training and
18 awareness of cardiac arrest.
19

20
21 **Design:** A retrospective analysis of a national cross-sectional survey was undertaken. Data was
22 collected online from adults in July 2017 as part of the Heart Foundation of Australia HeartWatch
23 survey. We used logistic regression to examine demographic factors associated with CPR training.
24
25

26
27 **Participants:** A national cohort was invited to participate in the survey using purposive, non-
28 probability sampling methods with quotas for age, gender and area of residence, in order to reflect
29 the wider Australian population. The final sample consisted of 1076 respondents.
30
31

32
33 **Main outcome measure:** To determine an estimation of the prevalence of CPR training at a national
34 level and if training was related to demographic factors.
35
36

37
38 **Results:** The majority (76%) of respondents were born in Australia and 51% were female, 41% were
39 aged between 35 and 64 years. Only 16% of respondents could identify the difference between a
40 cardiac arrest and a heart attack. While 56% reported previous CPR training, only 22% were
41 currently trained (within one year). CPR training was associated with younger age (35-54 years)
42 (odds ratio (OR), 1.45, 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.06-2.0), being born in Australia (OR, 1.59, 95%
43 CI 1.17-2.17) and higher levels of education (university, OR, 1.86, 95% CI 1.35-2.57). CPR training
44 increased confidence in respondents ability to perform effective CPR and use a defibrillator. Lack of
45 CPR training was the most common reason why respondents would not provide CPR training to a
46 stranger.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Conclusions:** There is a need to improve the community's understanding of cardiac arrest, and to
4 increase awareness and training in CPR. CPR training rates have not changed over the past decades –
5
6 new initiatives are needed.
7
8

9
10 **Keywords:** cardiopulmonary resuscitation, cardiac arrest, education, education surveillance,
11
12 resuscitation
13
14
15
16
17

18 **ARTICLE SUMMARY**

19 **Strengths and limitations**

- 20
21 • This is the first time a national perspective investigating the awareness of cardiac arrest and
22 cardiopulmonary resuscitation training has been undertaken in Australia.
23
- 24 • A representative group of Australians were represented in this survey using probability
25 sampling methods that included quotas for age, gender and area of residence.
26
- 27 • While the limitations of cross-sectional survey methods include recall bias, our results are
28 consistent with past surveys conducted in Australia.
29
- 30 • Future surveys of this nature require validation of survey questions and could employ mixed
31 methods of using both online and phone surveys to address the challenge of participants
32 using online searches to source survey answers.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

INTRODUCTION

Bystander CPR more than doubles the chance of surviving a cardiac arrest,^{1,2} however the provision of bystander CPR remains low.³ While there has been improvement in bystander CPR rates with the introduction of dispatcher-assisted CPR instructions during the emergency call,⁴ a significant proportion still do not feel confident to provide CPR even with instruction.⁵

There is growing evidence of a link between rates of bystander CPR and CPR training. Three studies have now reported communities with higher rates of bystander CPR have high rates of CPR trained residents.⁶⁻⁸ This most likely occurs because CPR training is significantly associated with increased confidence and willingness to provide CPR.^{9,10} Existing data also suggests specific demographics are associated with CPR training, including age, education level, country of birth and occupation.⁹⁻¹¹

There is also a need to examine the impact of socio-economic factors on rates of bystander CPR training, as regions with lower bystander CPR also have lower CPR training rates.^{12,13} Therefore, understanding current rates of CPR training in the community is important, and may drive local initiatives.

In Australia, CPR training is currently not mandatory, and state-based surveys^{9,14-16} suggest that less than 60% of Australian adults have received CPR training at least once. However, these surveys were conducted in specific regions, and most more than a decade ago. This study aimed to provide the first Australian-wide estimates of CPR training and willingness to learn CPR.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study used data from the Heart Foundations of Australia's "HeartWatch" Survey. This quarterly survey is conducted using a purposive, non-probability sampling method with quotas for age, gender and area of residence, in order to reflect the characteristics of the wider Australian population. Respondents of the survey belong to an online survey panel. In July 2017, 21 questions

1
2
3 about CPR were added to the survey, generated from previous Australian surveys.^{9,14–16} The CPR
4 questions (Supplementary File 1) were in three sections: cardiac arrest knowledge; CPR knowledge
5 and experience; and defibrillator knowledge.
6
7
8

9
10 The present study was granted an ethics exemption from Monash University Human Research Ethics
11 Committee (Project Number: 12329) as data provided for the research by the Heart Foundation of
12 Australia was de-identified.
13
14
15

16 17 18 *Patient and Public Involvement*

19
20 The public were not invited to comment on the design of this study and were not consulted to
21 develop relevant outcomes or interpret the results. The research group (Australian and New Zealand
22 Prehospital Emergency Care [PEC-ANZ] Centre of Research Excellence) do however have
23 representatives from the public on the steering committee who will be consulted about the
24 outcomes and directions of dissemination of this research during regularly scheduled meetings.
25 Results will also be disseminated via Heart Foundation of Australia channels in addition to the
26 research group.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 37 *Data analysis*

38
39 Data were analysed using descriptive statistics with proportions expressed as percentages and tests
40 of association using chi-squared statistic between respondent characteristics and CPR training
41 status. Logistic regression was used to identify respondent characteristics independently associated
42 with CPR training. Characteristics with p-values <0.2 at the univariate level were included in the
43 model. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis in a subsample of respondents excluding those who
44 reported they had previously performed CPR. Free text responses were categorically coded by two
45 health care professionals (Registered Nurse [SC] and Paramedic [DS]) in parallel, both of whom are
46 experienced community first aid trainers. These authors met several times to compare and discuss
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 coding frameworks with outstanding disagreements referred to a third author (JB). Statistical
4
5 significance for quantitative analysis was set at $p < 0.05$ and analysis was conducted with Stata V15.1.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **RESULTS**

12
13
14 The survey sample consisted of 1,076 Australian adults. Responses were received from every state
15
16 and territory in Australia (Table 1). There was a similar proportion of female (n=554, 50.6%) and
17
18 male (n=532, 49.4%) respondents. The majority were aged between 35 and 64 years (n = 443, 41%),
19
20 had completed at least 10 years of schooling (n = 968, 90%) and were born in Australia (n= 817,
21
22
23 76%).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample according to cardiopulmonary resuscitation training status

	Overall n = 1076	CPR training status		
		CPR trained n = 540 (55.7%)	Not CPR trained n = 429 (44.3%)	p value
Gender				
Female	544 (50.6%)	292 (54.1%)	210 (48.9%)	0.11
Male	532 (49.4%)	248 (45.9%)	219 (51.1%)	
Age				
18 – 34	114 (10.6%)	154 (28.5%)	135 (31.4%)	0.07
35-44	220 (20.5%)	231 (42.8%)	149 (34.7%)	
45-64	223 (20.7%)	142 (26.3%)	130 (30.3%)	
75+	207 (19.2%)	13 (2.4%)	15 (3.5%)	
Country of birth				
Australia	817 (75.9%)	431 (79.8%)	311 (72.5%)	0.03
Overseas	253 (23.5%)	108 (20.0%)	116 (27.0%)	
Prefer not to answer	6 (0.6%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	

pen-2019-033722 on 6 January 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

1					
2					
3	State				
4					
5	Australian Capital Territory	8 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)	4 (0.9%)	0.83
6					
7	New South Wales and	339 (31.5%)	174 (32.2%)	127 (29.6%)	
8					
9	Northern Territory	4 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.5%)	
10					
11	Queensland	218 (20.3%)	111 (20.6%)	92 (21.4%)	
12					
13	South Australia	85 (7.9%)	43 (8.0%)	33 (7.7%)	
14					
15	Tasmania	24 (2.2%)	16 (3.0%)	6 (1.4%)	
16					
17	Victoria	284 (26.4%)	132 (24.4%)	115 (26.8%)	
18					
19	Western Australia	114 (10.6%)	58 (10.7%)	44 (10.3%)	
20					
21					
22					
23	Education				
24					
25	Primary or grade school	3 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	<0.001
26					
27	Some high school	97 (9%)	41 (7.8%)	44 (10.3%)	
28					
29	High school graduate	193 (17.9%)	75 (13.9%)	104 (24.2%)	
30					
31	Technical college	302 (28.1%)	179 (33.2%)	102 (23.8%)	
32					
33	University diploma	332 (30.9%)	161 (29.8%)	133 (31.0%)	
34					
35	Postgraduate	141 (13.1%)	78 (14.4%)	43 (10.0%)	
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					

pen-2019-033722 on 6 January 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

1					
2					
3	Prefer not to answer	8 (0.8%)	5 (0.9%)	2 (0.5%)	
4					
5	Previously performed emergency CPR	95 (8.8%)	84 (15.5%)	11 (2.6%)	<0.0001
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					

For peer review only

Cardiac arrest knowledge

Respondents were asked if they “knew the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack”.

The majority of respondents stated they were “unsure” (n = 404, 37.6%), followed by “yes” (n = 356, 33.1%), with the remaining responding “no” (n=316, 29.4%). The majority of those responding “yes” had received CPR training (72%). Those who answered “yes” were then asked to describe the difference between the two conditions using free text. Less than half of the “yes” respondents identified the two conditions correctly (n = 174, 48.3%), however 22.2% (n=79) identified the conditions incorrectly or only had the definitions partially correct (n=66, 18.5%). A small proportion (n=37, 10.4%) of yes respondents declared they were unsure once asked for a definition. When coding free text descriptions of the conditions it was noted that several respondents (n=10, 2.8%) had used the exact same wording. This wording was identical to the top result from online search engine Google when pasting the question into a search.

Knowledge of signs of cardiac arrest were variable among respondents. When coding free text responses according to Australian Resuscitation Council criteria (unresponsive and not breathing normally)¹⁷, only 2.9% (n = 32) of respondents answered correctly. However, many respondents described the absence of a pulse (“no heart beat”, “heart stops”), which has been removed within the last decade as a criteria for cardiac arrest in accredited Australian CPR training and from emergency call dispatch CPR instructions.⁴ When we added the absence of a pulse as a correct descriptor of cardiac arrest, 14.2% (n = 153) of respondents had the answer coded as correct. Commonly respondents described a cardiac arrest victim as either unconscious or having an absence of breathing (n = 97, 9%). Incorrect answers (n = 684, 63.6%) featured chest pain, shortness of breath, weakness and dizziness. Numerous (11.8%) respondents (n = 127) stated they were unsure of the signs and symptoms.

CPR knowledge, confidence and training preferences

1
2
3 The majority of respondents (n=969, 90.1%) had heard of CPR. Few respondents (n = 95, 8.8%) had
4 previously performed CPR. When respondents were asked what they would do if someone was in
5 cardiac arrest, only 9 (0.8%) respondents correctly identified the chain of survival¹⁸ sequence of
6 calling an ambulance, commencing CPR and applying a defibrillator. These respondents all had prior
7 CPR training within five years. More respondents (n=141, 13%) were able to identify two correct
8 actions (i.e. calling an ambulance, and CPR or defibrillation). A smaller proportion (n = 121, 11.2%)
9 described CPR and or defibrillation but did not mention calling an ambulance. The majority of
10 respondents (n = 536, 49.7%) responded they would call an ambulance, but did not describe any
11 further actions.

12
13 In total 55.7% (n = 540) of respondents had undertaken CPR training previously, however a large
14 proportion (42.5%, n = 412) had not, and a small proportion were unsure (n = 17, 1.8%), or did not
15 answer (n = 107, 9.9%) (Figure 1). The majority of CPR trained respondents had not been trained in
16 CPR for over 5 years (n = 227, 42%), with only 21.7% (n = 117) classified as being currently trained
17 (within 12 months) as per the Australian guidelines.¹⁹ (Figure 2).

18
19 <Figure 1: Proportion (%) of sample who have "ever had" cardiopulmonary resuscitation training>

20
21 <Figure 2: Of those with cardiopulmonary resuscitation training, years since last training
22 completed

23
24 CPR training was not associated with any region (state or territory) of residence (Table 2) or
25 socioeconomic status (all deciles p>0.05, data not shown). However, CPR training was associated
26 with age 35-54 years (odds ratio [OR] 1.45, 95% CI 1.06 - 2.00), Australian-born (OR 1.59, 95% CI 1.17
27 – 2.17), and university (OR 1.86, 95% CI 1.35 – 2.57) and vocational level of education (OR 2.33, 95%
28 CI 1.64 – 3.30) (Table 2). These factors remained significant when restricted to those who had not
29 previously performed CPR. The main barriers to learning CPR included lack of awareness ("never
30 thought about it") (n = 190, 44%), not knowing where to go to learn (n = 91, 21%) and cost (12%).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The relationship between confidence in ability to provide CPR was significantly related to CPR
4 training status, with respondents who stated they were very confident to perform CPR more likely to
5 have CPR training ($p < 0.001$ (Figure 3).
6
7
8
9

10 Of those with no prior CPR training, the majority ($n = 312$, 72.7%) of respondents were willing to
11 learn CPR. The preferred format for CPR training was for group learning, led by a professional
12 provider ($n = 237$, 76.0%) with a smaller proportion choosing learning via self-instruction ($n = 57$,
13 18.3%).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 2. Factors associated with CPR training.

	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Females	1.30 (0.99-1.70)	0.05
Age		
18-34	Ref	
35-54	1.45 (1.06-2.00)	0.02
55-74	1.11 (0.78-1.58)	0.55
>75	0.92 (0.42-2.06)	0.85
Australian born	1.59 (1.17-2.17)	0.003
Education		
High school or less	Ref	
Vocational college	2.33 (1.64-3.30)	<0.001
University	1.86 (1.35-2.57)	<0.001

<Figure 3. Self-rated confidence levels (%) about ability to perform effective CPR in an emergency according to CPR training status.>

Barriers to performing CPR

Only half (n = 530, 49.3%) of respondents stated they would provide CPR to a stranger. The remaining respondents were predominantly unsure (n = 307, 28.5%). In those that responded no (n = 132, 12.3%) the most common response was not being trained in CPR (n = 57, 43.2%) or not feeling confident (n = 26, 19.7%). Fear (n = 9, 6.8%), a physical inability (n = 5, 3.8%), or concern over legalities (n = 5, 3.9%) were other factors mentioned, with only two (1.5%) mentioning fear of infection.

Of the respondents who were not CPR trained (n = 412), the majority stated they were willing to learn (n = 312, 75.7%), with only small proportion of respondents stating they were unsure (n = 74, 17.9%) or unwilling (n = 26, 6.3%).

Defibrillator knowledge (confidence, willingness)

The majority of respondents (n = 903, 83.9%) had heard of a defibrillator and of these respondents more than half (n = 633, 58.8%) would be willing to use it. However confidence levels to use a defibrillator were low, with a third (33.9%, n = 215) stating they were not confident. (Supplementary File 2).

DISCUSSION

In this Australia-wide study, just over half (56%) of the adults reported having ever undertaken CPR training, however only 21% had current (within one year) training. CPR training was associated with younger age (35 – 54 years), being born in Australia and having a higher level of education. The association between these demographics and CPR training are similar to studies conducted in other countries.^{9–11} Alarming however, this study found a low understanding of cardiac arrest, or being able to identify the actions involved with the chain of survival. There is a large opportunity to

1
2
3 increase national training prevalence, as the majority of those who were untrained in CPR are willing
4 to learn and identified that learning in a group class, led by a professional instructor was the
5
6 preferred learning format.
7
8

9
10 The prevalence of CPR training in this Australian study are similar to other recent international
11 surveys conducted in the United Kingdom (57%)¹⁰ and the United States of America (65%).¹¹ Unlike
12
13 these countries however, Australia has no national or state-based mandatory training strategy, and
14
15 there has only been limited attempts to promote awareness of cardiac arrest and CPR via mass-
16
17 media (e.g. Shock Verdict <https://www.utas.edu.au/shockverdict>). These strategies are important to
18
19 increase cardiac arrest and CPR training knowledge and awareness and should be considered.
20
21

22
23 In Australia, CPR training is only mandatory for selected professions (i.e. Health care professionals,
24
25 teachers, childcare workers and fitness instructors).²⁰ The effect of workplace training is likely
26
27 evidenced in our results by the fact younger working ages (35 – 54 years, OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.06 –
28
29 2.00) and those who attended both vocational college (OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.64-3.30) and university (OR
30
31 1.86, 95% CI 1.35-2.57) were independently associated with CPR training. Recent evidence from the
32
33 USA²¹ demonstrates that mandatory CPR training in schools is associated with higher levels of
34
35 individuals who are currently trained in CPR. Mandatory community-level training strategies require
36
37 cooperation from many parties including federal and state governments and Resuscitation Councils.
38
39 Nevertheless, these strategies have been successfully implemented elsewhere and they should not
40
41 be overlooked, as they could ensure a large proportion of the community receive CPR training, at
42
43 least once in their lifetime.
44
45
46
47

48
49 Our results, as has been identified before,^{10,22,23} also demonstrate that those with CPR training had
50
51 higher levels of self-reported confidence to perform CPR and use a defibrillator. Concurrently, the
52
53 most common barrier to not performing CPR in this study was not being trained. This highlights the
54
55 importance of CPR training especially given the positive link between levels of training and bystander
56
57 CPR rates.^{6–8} CPR training is consistently related to younger age and higher levels of education both
58
59
60

1
2
3 in Australia^{9,14} and internationally.¹¹ Future training initiatives need to consider targeting populations
4 less likely to receive training, particularly those that are older, who are at higher risk of future
5 cardiac events. Along with the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation,²⁴ we also place
6 value on training high-risk populations, such as households containing a person with heart disease
7 and have success in piloting a targeted training program through cardiac rehabilitation programs.²⁵

8
9
10 In addition to training, raising awareness of cardiac arrest and CPR training is essential. A significant
11 proportion of respondents in our study had never thought about CPR training or didn't know where
12 to go to receive training. A national and co-ordinated campaign to increase public awareness of
13 cardiac arrest and CPR training is warranted given the low rates of knowledge assessed in this study.
14 Highlighting the simplification of CPR through promoting hand-only CPR may encourage more
15 people to render assistance in an emergency and to undertake training. As traditional television,
16 radio and print mass media campaigns are very costly, dissemination of these messages via social
17 media should be investigated.²⁶ These approaches could be successful now that smartphones and
18 other digital mobile devices are almost ubiquitous in Australia, including among older Australians.²⁷

19
20
21 In the modern era, CPR training can be provided in many formats (e.g. with an instructor, via self-
22 instruction, online). The majority of respondents in our study stated they would prefer to learn from
23 an instructor in a class, with a smaller proportion preferring self-instruction. Now that "hands-only"
24 CPR is the preferred teaching method for lay people,¹⁷ the simplified algorithm has the benefits of
25 being appropriate for all levels of literacy and education, in addition to decreasing barriers to
26 performing CPR (such as mouth-to-mouth ventilations).^{9,28}

27
28
29 Our study is subject to a number of potential limitations. Firstly, the online survey may be subject to
30 selection bias and the results may only be applicable to those who respond to online surveys.

31
32
33 However, the rationale of the sampling method used was to generate a sample which matched the
34 characteristics (ie. age, sex, nationality) of the underlying Australian population. Secondly, the survey
35 questions were not formally validated. It is therefore possible that some respondents may not have
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 understood some of the questions or terms such as cardiac arrest. We also acknowledge that survey
4 methodology is subject to recall bias. However, our results are consistent with previous
5
6 Australian^{14,15} and international research.^{10,11} Thirdly, the survey was restricted to those who could
7
8 read and respond in English. Additionally, future online survey's need to be aware that some
9
10 participants will undertake an online search to answer questions. In our case, we saw ten identical
11
12 answers to one question and upon further examination determined these had been copied and
13
14 pasted from the top search result of Google. Future online surveys could supplement responses
15
16 using other methods such as a phone survey to address this issue.
17
18
19
20

21 **Conclusion**

22
23 Our data suggest CPR training rates in Australia are unchanged over the past decades, however the
24
25 majority of untrained respondents were willing to learn. This willingness should be leveraged
26
27 through national training and awareness strategies to increase knowledge of cardiac arrest and CPR.
28
29 Such strategies need to consider targeting training to men, those with lower levels of education and
30
31 those born overseas.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Funding statement**

41
42 Associate Professor Bray was funded by a Heart Foundation Fellowship (No. 101171). The study was
43
44 also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) funded Australian
45
46 and New Zealand Prehospital Emergency Care (PEC-ANZ) Centre of Research Excellence (No.
47
48 1116453).
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Competing interests**

56
57 All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form. No competing interests to declare.
58
59
60

Authors contributions

SC and JB led the data analysis and drafted the paper. DS contributed to data analysis. All authors (SC, JB, DS and JF) contributed to critical revisions of the paper.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available as data are owned by the National Heart Foundation of Australia.

References

1. Sasson C, Rogers MAM, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation Cardiovascular quality and outcomes*. 2010;3(1):63–81.
2. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, Rosenqvist M, Hollenberg J, Nordberg P, et al. Early Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015 Jun 11;372(24):2307–15.
3. Beck B, Bray J, Cameron P, Smith K, Walker T, Grantham H, et al. Regional variation in the characteristics, incidence and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Australia and New Zealand: results from the Aus-ROC Epistry. *Resuscitation*. 2018;126:49–57.
4. Bray JE, Deasy C, Walsh J, Bacon A, Currell A, Smith K. Changing EMS dispatcher CPR instructions to 400 compressions before mouth-to-mouth improved bystander CPR rates. *Resuscitation*. 2011 Nov;82(11):1393–8.
5. Case R, Cartledge S, Siedenburg J, Smith K, Straney L, Barger B, et al. Identifying barriers to the provision of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in high-risk regions: A qualitative review of emergency calls. *Resuscitation*. 2018;129:43–47.
6. Bray JE, Straney L, Smith K, Cartledge S, Case R, Bernard S, et al. Regions with low rates of bystander CPR have lower rates of CPR training in Victoria, Australia. *Journal of American Heart Association*. 2017;6:e005972.
7. Ro YS, Do Shin S, Song KJ, Hong SO, Kim YT, Lee D-W, et al. Public awareness and self-efficacy of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in communities and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A multi-level analysis. *Resuscitation*. 2016;102:17–24.
8. Anderson ML, Cox M, Al - Khatib SM, Nichol G, Thomas KL, Chan PS, et al. Rates of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training in the United States.(Report). *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2014;174(2):194.
9. Bray JE, Smith K, Case R, Cartledge S, Straney L, Finn J. Public cardiopulmonary resuscitation training rates and awareness of hands-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a cross-sectional survey of Victorians. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*. 2017;29(2):158–164.
10. Hawkes CA, Brown TP, Booth S, Fothergill RT, Siriwardena N, Zakaria S, et al. Attitudes to cardiopulmonary resuscitation and defibrillator use: a survey of UK adults in 2017. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2019;8(7):e008267.
11. Blewer AL, Ibrahim SA, Leary M, Dutwin D, McNally B, Anderson ML, et al. Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Training Disparities in the United States. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2017 May 1;6(5):e006124.
12. Sasson C, Magid DJ, Chan P, Root ED, McNally BF, Kellermann AL, et al. Association of neighborhood characteristics with bystander-initiated CPR. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2012;367(17):1607–15.
13. Vaillancourt C, Lui A, De Maio VJ, Wells GA, Stiell IG. Socioeconomic status influences bystander CPR and survival rates for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. *RESUSCITATION*. 2008;79(3).

14. Smith KL, Cameron PA, Meyer ADM, McNeil JJ. Is the public equipped to act in out of hospital cardiac emergencies? *Emergency Medicine Journal*. 2003 Jan 1;20(1):85–7.
15. Jelinek GA, Gennat H, Celenza T, O'Brien D, Jacobs I, Lynch D. Community attitudes towards performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation in Western Australia. *Resuscitation*. 2001 Dec;51(3):239–46.
16. Johnston TC, Clark MJ, Dingle GA, FitzGerald G. Factors influencing Queenslanders' willingness to perform bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2003 Jan;56(1):67–75.
17. Australian and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation. ANZCOR Guideline 8, cardiopulmonary resuscitation [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 14] p. 1–5. Report No.: Section 8, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Available from: <https://resus.org.au/guidelines/>
18. Cummins R, Ornato J, Thies W, Pepe P, Billi J, Seidel J, et al. Improving survival from sudden cardiac-arrest - the chain of survival concept - a statement for health-professionals from the advanced cardiac life-support subcommittee and the emergency cardiac care committee, American-Heart-Association. *Circulation*. 1991;83:1832–47.
19. Australian and New Zealand Committee on Resuscitation. ANZCOR Guideline 10.1, basic life support training [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 14] p. 1–5. Report No.: Section 8, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Available from: <https://resus.org.au/guidelines/>
20. Safe Work Australia. Safe Work Australia First Aid [Internet]. Canberra, Australia; 2018 Sep [cited 2019 Jun 29] p. 1–28. Available from: <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/first-aid>
21. Alexander TD, McGovern SK, Leary M, Abella BS, Blewer AL. Association of state-level CPR training initiatives with layperson CPR knowledge in the United States. *Resuscitation*. 2019 Jul 1;140:9–15.
22. Gräsner J-T, Lefering R, Koster RW, Masterson S, Böttiger BW, Herlitz J, et al. EuReCa ONE 27 Nations, ONE Europe, ONE Registry: A prospective one month analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes in 27 countries in Europe. *Resuscitation*. 2016 Aug;105:188–95.
23. Perkins GD, Travers AH, Berg RA, Castren M, Considine J, Escalante R, et al. Part 3: Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2015 Oct;95:e43–69.
24. Finn JC, Bhanji F, Lockey A, Monsieurs K, Frengley R, Iwami T, et al. Part 8: Education, implementation, and teams: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Resuscitation*. 2015 Oct;95:e203–24.
25. Cartledge S, Finn J, Bray JE, Case R, Barker L, Missen D, et al. Incorporating cardiopulmonary resuscitation training into a cardiac rehabilitation programme: A feasibility study. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2017;17(2):148–58.
26. Greif R, Lockey AS, Conaghan P, Lippert A, De Vries W, Monsieurs KG, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: section 10. Education and implementation of resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2015;95:288–301.

- 1
2
3 27. Deloitte. Mobile phone consumer survey 2015 - The Australian Cut [Internet]. Sydney; 2017
4 [cited 2019 Apr 30] p. 1–51. Available from: [http://landing.deloitte.com.au/rs/761-IBL-](http://landing.deloitte.com.au/rs/761-IBL-328/images/tmt-mobile-consumer-survey-2017_pdf.pdf)
5 [328/images/tmt-mobile-consumer-survey-2017_pdf.pdf](http://landing.deloitte.com.au/rs/761-IBL-328/images/tmt-mobile-consumer-survey-2017_pdf.pdf)
6
7
8 28. Sasson C, Haukoos JS, Bond C, Rabe M, Colbert SH, King R, et al. Barriers and facilitators to
9 learning and performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation in neighborhoods with low bystander
10 cardiopulmonary resuscitation prevalence and high rates of cardiac arrest in Columbus, OH.
11 *Circulation Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2013 Sep 1;6(5):550–8.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


For peer review only

For peer review only


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46


bmjopen-2019-033722 on 6 January 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46



Open-2019-033722 on 6 January 2020. Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 2, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.



Supplementary 1: CPR specific questions of HeartWatch Survey 2017

1. To begin with could you please confirm your age?
2. What gender are you?
3. Where do you live?
4. What is your postcode?
5. Where you born in Australia or overseas?
6. What is the highest level of schooling you have received?
7. Do you know the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack?
8. How would you describe the difference between a cardiac arrest and a heart attack?
9. What symptoms or signs would you associate with having a cardiac arrest?
10. What do you think you would do if you saw someone in cardiac arrest?
11. Have you ever heard of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, also known as CPR or mouth-to-mouth?
12. What is your understanding of when a person requires CPR?
13. Which of the following reflects how confident you currently feel about your ability to perform effective CPR in an emergency?
14. Have you ever performed CPR in a real-life emergency?
15. Would you intervene and provide CPR to a stranger?
16. Why would you not provide CPR?
17. Would you provide CPR to a family member or someone you know?
18. When a person has a cardiac arrest, CPR can more than double a person's chance of survival. Now knowing this, would you be more likely to provide CPR to a stranger?
19. Have you been trained in CPR?
20. How long ago did you receive the CPR training?

- 1
2
3 21. Which of these statements best describes your reasons for not receiving CPR
4 training?
5
6
7 22. Would you be willing to learn CPR?
8
9 23. Which format of learning CPR would you prefer?
10
11 24. Have you ever heard of a defibrillator?
12
13 25. Would you be willing to use a defibrillator to help someone in difficulty/in an
14 emergency?
15
16 26. Which of the following reflects how confident you currently feel about using a
17 defibrillator in an emergency?
18
19 27. Why would you not use a defibrillator to help someone in difficulty/in an
20 emergency?
21
22 28. How long do you think someone will survive after a cardiac arrest without CPR or
23 defibrillation?
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supplementary 2: Defibrillator knowledge

	Overall n = 1076	CPR training status	
		CPR trained n = 540 (55.7%)	Not CPR trained n = 429 (44.3%)
Have you ever heard of a defibrillator?			
Yes	903 (83.9%)	511 (94.6%)	392 (91.4%)
No	127 (11.8%)	20 (3.7%)	107 (24.9%)
Unsure	46 (4.3%)	9 (1.7%)	37 (8.6%)
Willingness to use a defibrillator			
Yes	633 (58.8%)	390 (72.2%)	243 (56.6%)
No	73 (6.8%)	27 (5.0%)	46 (10.7%)
Unsure	197 (18.3%)	94 (17.4%)	103 (24.0%)
Self-rated confidence to use a defibrillator			
Very confident	85 (7.9%)	77 (14.3%)	8 (1.9%)
Confident	137 (12.7%)	119 (22.0%)	18 (4.2%)
Somewhat confident	187 (17.4%)	118 (21.9%)	69 (16.1%)
Not confident	215 (20.0%)	73 (13.5%)	142 (33.1%)
Unsure	9 (0.8%)	3 (0.6%)	6 (1.4%)

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4 - 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4 - 5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4 - 5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	NA
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	NA
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	NA – secondary analysis
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	NA
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	NA
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	5 - 6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	5 - 6
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	5 - 8
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	5 - 8
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	NA
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9 – 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	11

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.