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ABSTRACT

Introduction The emergence of tuberculosis (TB) and diabetes mellitus (DM) coepidemic threatens the gains made in fighting the prevalence of these two diseases. As a result, in 2011, WHO and the International Union Against Lung Disease launched a framework to address the growing TB-DM coepidemic across the world. The aim of the proposed review study is mapping evidence on the implementation of the WHO collaborative framework for the management of TB-DM using a scoping review.

Methodology and analysis This study will map literature on the global implementation of the WHO collaborative framework for the management of TB-DM, using Arksey and O’Malley’s scoping review framework. An extensive literature search for the peer-reviewed articles, grey literature, unpublished studies, thesis, studies in the press and a list of references from the selected studies will be conducted to find eligible studies. PubMed, Google Scholar, Web of Science, Science Direct, the EBSCOhost platform (Academic search complete, health source: nursing/academic edition, CINAHL with full text) and the WHO library will be used to source literature. The researcher will perform title screening of articles using keywords in the databases, and two independent reviewers will then screen abstracts and full articles. The screening will be guided by the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The Mixed Method Appraisal Tool V.2018 will be used to examine the quality of studies to be included. The findings will be analysed using the thematic content analysis approach and the results presented in the form of a narrative report.

Ethics and dissemination The study did not require ethics approval because it is a scoping review protocol. Findings from this study will be disseminated by print and electronic mediums.

BACKGROUND

The association between tuberculosis (TB) and diabetes is gaining global traction, as more evidence is increasingly being documented on the adverse effect of one disease on the other. The emergence of TB and diabetes mellitus coepidemic (TB-DM) makes the diagnostic accuracy and management of the two diseases, challenging to achieve, thereby necessitating a rethink on the vertical nature of TB and DM management.

The coepidemic of TB and DM has serious public health consequences, mainly because TB infects one-third of the global population, DM is one of the top four non-communicable diseases, which in 2015, caused an estimated 3 million deaths, worldwide. Research demonstrates that approximately 80% and 90% of DM and TB cases, respectively, occur in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). Chronic conditions, such as DM, weaken the immune system and leaves populations susceptible to and at increased risk for TB infection. Having DM triples the risk of developing TB, and the risk of TB is higher in people with DM than in the general population. The clinical manifestations of TB-DM coepidemic adversely affect the treatment, increase the risk of death and the likelihood of TB recurrence. WHO estimated that
about 15% of people with TB, globally, can be linked to DM as well.\textsuperscript{4} It is estimated that in 22 countries which carry 80% of the global TB burden, TB arising from DM increased from 10% in 2010 to 15% in 2013.\textsuperscript{8} Nine of the top 10 countries with high TB-DM incidence are from the LMICs.\textsuperscript{8}

In 2011, an approach to curb the rising TB-DM coepidemic, otherwise known as a Collaborative Framework for the Management of TB and DM, was launched by WHO and International Union Against Lung Disease.\textsuperscript{9} This collaborative framework was developed to guide the care, prevention and control of TB and DM in signatory countries across the world.\textsuperscript{9} The aim was to outline TB-DM comanagement strategies for use by policy-makers and implementers in order to reverse the TB-DM coepidemic.\textsuperscript{9} The framework is designed to complement the core systems setup for the prevention and management of both diseases and focuses on a three-broad objectives, namely; to establish mechanisms of collaboration between TB and DM programmes, to improve detection and management of TB in patients with DM and to improve detection and management of DM in patients with TB.\textsuperscript{9} To achieve these objectives, the framework proposed 12 interventions, which include: ‘exploration of screening for active TB on broader indications (eg, in all people diagnosed with diabetes, regardless of symptoms), as part of the research agenda for improved TB diagnosis among people with diabetes,’ ‘provision of the management of diabetes in patients with TB in line with existing guidelines,’ and the ‘establishment of joint coordination at regional, district and/or local levels (sensitive to country-specific factors), with representation from all relevant stakeholders.’

Further implementation research is encouraged to gather evidence for countries to adopt these recommendations to their healthcare systems.\textsuperscript{9} This scoping review, therefore, aims at mapping evidence on the implementation of the WHO’s collaborative framework for the management of TB and DM. Results from this scoping review are expected to demonstrate current data on the state of implementation of the WHO’s TB-DM collaborative framework globally, thereby revealing gaps in current literature, and ultimately inform the refinement of questions for further primary research.

**METHOD**

**Study design**

This study will use a scoping review methodology, guided by Arksey and O’Malley’s framework,\textsuperscript{10} to map literature on the implementation of the WHO collaborative framework for the management of TB-DM. Arksey and O’Malley’s framework\textsuperscript{10} gives five clear steps to be used to explore core concepts in a specific research area. These steps are identifying the research question, identifying relevant studies, study selection, charting the data, collating, summarising and reporting the results. This process is expected to help identify the existing evidence in the research area. In this study, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) will be used to report the results from the screening process (online supplementary file).\textsuperscript{11}

**Identify research questions**

Main research question: What is the evidence on the implementation of the WHO’s TB-DM collaborative framework?

Subquestions:

1. What is the evidence of DM detection and management in patients with TB?
2. What is the evidence of TB detection and management in patients with DM?
3. What is the evidence of collaboration between TB and DM programmes?
4. What is the evidence of a referral system for patients with DM suspected to have TB for diagnosis and management?
5. What is the evidence of a referral system for patients with TB suspected to have DM for diagnosis and management?

**Eligibility of the research question**

This scoping review will use the Population, Concept, Context Mnemonic (table 1) to determine the eligibility of the primary research question.\textsuperscript{10}

**Identifying relevant studies**

We intend to conduct a scoping review to map literature on the implementation of the TB-DM collaborative framework, globally. This will be done by searching electronic databases for peer-reviewed articles, grey literature and reference lists of included articles. The databases will include the EBSCOhost platform (Academic search complete, health source: nursing/academic edition, CINAHL with full text), PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus and WHO library databases. Grey literature will be accessed by searching for policy documents, treatment guidelines and reports by ministries of health, health agencies either through their websites or links.

We will search for articles using keywords and Boolean terms AND/OR. Additionally, a combination of the appropriate Medical Subject Headings terms will be included in the search. In conducting the ‘electronic search, the proposed combinations of keywords to be used include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>PCC framework for defining the eligibility of the studies for the primary research question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Population</td>
<td>Individuals with TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Concept</td>
<td>WHO Collaborative Framework Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Context</td>
<td>Globally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM, diabetes mellitus; TB, tuberculosis.
Studies on TB/HIV.

Studies before 2011.

Studies on type 1 diabetes.

Present evidence of bidirectional screening for TB

Evidence of study conducted from 2011 to the last search date.

Evidence of the study among TB and DM populations.

Bidirectional screening of TB and DM, mechanisms for TB and DM collaborative activities and comanagement of TB/DM comorbidity. Emerging themes will also be coded using NVivo software V.10.13 The themes emerging from the analysis will be examined to determine whether they address the research questions. Furthermore, the researcher will explore the linkages between the findings, study aim and the implications for future research, policy and practice.

This will include the following:

Studies on type 1 diabetes.

Studies before 2011.

Studies on TB/HIV.

The eligibility criteria will guide the researcher in conducting the title screening. Studies that qualify will be compiled into a library using the Endnote reference management software. Duplicated articles will be deleted from the EndNote library. The final list of compiled articles will be shared with two other reviewers who will conduct both abstract and full screening into two categories ‘excluded’ and ‘included’ according to the inclusion criteria detailed above. The service of the University of KwaZulu-Natal Libraries will be sought to source articles that are not available online, or the full text may be requested from the authors. During the abstract screening stage, categorisation by the two reviewers will be compared. In the event of any disagreement, the reviewers will discuss until an agreement is reached. At the full-text stage, a third reviewer will be engaged as a decider on any unresolved disputes. Details of the process: date of search, database, keywords, number of studies and number of eligible studies will be comprehensively documented.

Screening of the results and reporting will follow the PRISMA-ScR.11

To extract information in line with the aim of this study, an analytical method will be used. We will develop a form electronically, using google forms, pretest it and use feedback to refine the tool. During data extraction, all articles reviewed and excluded will be tracked (table 3).

When the extraction of data is completed, the results from existing studies will be summarised and presented in a narrative account. This summary will be analysed using thematic content analysis. Data extracted will be structured around the following outcomes: Bidirectional screening of TB and DM, mechanisms for TB and DM collaborative activities and comanagement of TB/DM comorbidity. Emerging themes will also be coded using NVivo software V.10.13 The themes emerging from the analysis will be examined to determine whether they address the research questions. Furthermore, the researcher will explore the linkages between the findings, study aim and the implications for future research, policy and practice.

The quality of the studies will be appraised using the Mixed Method Appraisal Tool V.2018.14 The tool is used to assess the risk of bias of the included studies. The tool will be used to assess the selected articles under the following groupings: the appropriateness of the aim of the study, adequacy of methodology, study design, data collection, data analysis, the presentation of findings, authors’ discussions and conclusions. Scores will be allocated to determine the quality of the included studies. The quality of the selected articles will be determined from the examination of the aspects mentioned above.

Patient and public involvement

The conception, design and planning of this study did not directly include patients or the public.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Search results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2: Pilot search strategy in PubMed database
expected to be useful to the implementation process by various countries.

A preliminary search suggests that accumulated literature since the launch of the framework in 2011 is limited, especially given that publications on type 1 diabetes will be excluded since this condition does not share socioeconomic, environmental and behavioural factors with TB. The findings of the scoping review will generate valuable information necessary to support initiatives aimed at curtailing the rising tide of TB and DM comorbidity. The results of this study may interest policy-makers and stakeholders involved in the implementation of the prevention, care and control strategies for TB and DM, including the health systems strengthening. Also, the findings of this study will be of interest to researchers, as it will highlight the gaps in evidence that may require further empirical investigation.
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