Efficacy of adjunctive azithromycin versus single-dose cephalosporin prophylaxis for caesarean scar defect: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Perioperative infections may be considered predictors of caesarean scar defect (CSD), and multidose antibiotics have a protective effect against CSD. However, the ability of adjunctive azithromycin combined with cephalosporin to reduce the prevalence of CSD remains unclear. The planned study aims to clarify the protective effect of antibiotics against CSD and to assess the effectiveness of adjunctive azithromycin prophylaxis for CSD.

Methods and analysis This study is a double-blind, parallel-control randomised clinical trial that will be carried out at the International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital. A total of 220 eligible patients will be randomised (1:1) to receive either adjunctive azithromycin or single-dose cephalosporin 30 min before the incision. The evaluation criteria are the prevalence and characteristics of CSD as assessed by transvaginal ultrasound (TVU) and saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) at 42 days, 6 months and 12 months after delivery. The primary outcome will be the prevalence of CSD, and the characteristics of CSD will be assessed by TVU and SIS 42 days after delivery; all other outcomes are secondary.

Ethics and dissemination This protocol received authorisation from the Medical Research Ethics Committee of International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital on 25 April 2018 (approval no. GLK2017-84). The findings will be reported in peer-reviewed publications and presentations at international scientific meetings.

Trial registration number ChiCTR-INR-17013272.

INTRODUCTION

The rate of caesarean section (CS) delivery is increasing worldwide, especially in China due to the prevalence of maternally requested caesarean deliveries and the 30-year national one-child policy.1 According to the data from official figures of the China National Bureau of Statistics, there were approximately 17 million newborns in China in 2017, with a caesarean delivery rate of approximately 40%, which means that there are approximately 7 million CS deliveries each year.

There is no universally accepted definition or criterion for caesarean scar defect (CSD). In most studies, CSD is defined as ‘the thinning of the myometrium or a triangular defect in the myometrium that is contiguous with the endometrial cavity’.2 It was stated in the research by Di Spiezzo Sardo et al3 that remaining myometrial thickness (RMT) is defined as ‘the distance from the delineation of the endometrium to the serosal surface at the level of the caesarean scar, and total myometrial thickness was measured at the myometrium adjacent to the scar’, which refers to adjacent myometrium thickness (AMT). Some researchers have also indicated that a niche should be described as...
the indentation of myometrium with a depth of at least 2 mm.\textsuperscript{4,5} Additionally, according to Osser \textit{et al},\textsuperscript{6} a large CSD was defined as ‘thickness of the remaining myometrium over the defect $\leq 2.2$ mm by TVU and $\leq 2.5$ mm by SIS, ratio between the thickness of the remaining myometrium over the defect and the myometrial thickness adjacent to the defect $\leq 23\%$ by TVU and $\leq 29\%$ by SIS for women who had undergone only one caesarean section’. Small CSDs may be definitely common and not considered significant. Nevertheless, large CSDs may lead to some long-term potential sequelae.\textsuperscript{5} In our study, we will focus on the differences in the prevalence rates and outcomes of CSDs and large CSDs. Data on measured parameters such as the height, length, width, RMT, AMT and niche volume of the CSD will be collected to present the characteristics of CSD.

In a random population of women with a history of CS, the prevalence of CSD ranges from 56$\%$ to 84$\%$ and 24$\%$ to 70$\%$ when assessed by transvaginal ultrasound (TVU) with and without contrast enhancement, respectively.\textsuperscript{9} Saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) is better than traditional TVU for characterising CSD and has a higher sensitivity.\textsuperscript{9} Moreover, sonohysterography can more precisely evaluate the size and shape of the defect and thus the severity.\textsuperscript{10} In this study, we will apply and compare the differences between TVU and SIS.

CSD is associated with abnormal uterine bleeding (75$\%$ to 82$\%$), postmenstrual spotting (29$\%$ to 34$\%$), caesarean scar ectopic pregnancies (1:1800 to 1:2216) and infertility (32/92).\textsuperscript{11} Uterine dehiscence, uterine rupture, caesarean scar pregnancy and morbidly adherent placenta are also associated with CSD.\textsuperscript{13,15} Annually, there are thousands of fatalities and near-death cases caused by uterine rupture or haemorrhage from the CSD.\textsuperscript{16}

Our previously published data first revealed a CSD prevalence of 43.4$\%$ (95$\%$ CI 39.1$\%$ to 47.7$\%$, n=514) in Shanghai, which is a cause for concern.\textsuperscript{17} Our cohort study also showed that infection might be an important risk factor for CSD and that multidose antibiotic administration has a significant protective effect compared with single-dose antibiotic administration (CSD prevalence 31.1$\%$, 95$\%$ CI 23.8$\%$ to 38.3$\%$ vs 49.0$\%$, 95$\%$ CI 43.8$\%$ to 54.3$\%$; aOR=0.4, 95$\%$ CI 0.3 to 0.7).\textsuperscript{18,20} Furthermore, the single-dose cefuroxime subgroup (n=190) was found to have a significantly lower CSD prevalence than the single-dose cefadroxil subgroup (n=162) (38.9$\%$, 95$\%$ CI 34.7$\%$ to 48.6$\%$ vs 56.8$\%$, 95$\%$ CI 51.3$\%$ to 66.7$\%$; aOR=0.5, 95$\%$ CI 0.3 to 0.8, p=0.006). These results strongly indicate that antibiotics may be a protective factor for CSD.

According to the results of a study involving pathogen monitoring for nosocomial infections, Ureaplasma urealyticum, \textit{Escherichia coli}, Enterococcus and Streptococcus are the most common infectious agents.\textsuperscript{21,22} Second-generation cephalosporins have a stronger antibacterial effect against \textit{E. coli} and \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} than first-generation cephalosporin, while azithromycin has stronger antibacterial and bacteriostatic effects against atypical pathogens, such as \textit{Mycoplasma chlamydia} and anaerobic bacteria.\textsuperscript{23,24} Current recommendations for antibiotic prophylaxis in caesarean delivery include the standard administration of a broad-spectrum antibiotic, most commonly a first-generation cephalosporin, before the skin incision.\textsuperscript{25} Recent reports on adjunctive azithromycin prophylaxis for caesarean delivery showed a significant protective effect against maternal endometritis (3.8$\%$ vs 6.1$\%$, p=0.02), wound infection (2.4$\%$ vs 6.6$\%$, p<0.001) and serious maternal adverse events (1.5$\%$ vs 2.9$\%$, p=0.03) but no significant between-group differences in secondary neonatal composite outcomes.\textsuperscript{26} In other words, the addition of azithromycin to caesarean delivery prophylaxis is less costly and leads to better maternal outcomes in index and subsequent deliveries.\textsuperscript{27,30}

Therefore, we designed a prospective, randomised, double-blind, parallel-group study to determine whether the prophylactic use of cephalosporin plus azithromycin combination is superior to that of a single dose of cephalosporin in reducing the prevalence of CSD. Our study has a strong theoretical basis and foundation, and this trial may provide evidence of the effective use of antibiotics in clinical practice and data indicating a reduction in the rate of CSD.

**Objective and hypothesis**

The objective of this trial is to clarify the protective effects of antibiotics against CSD and to assess the effectiveness of adjunctive azithromycin prophylaxis for CSD. Our hypothesis is that the prophylactic use of cephalosporins combined with azithromycin is superior to a single dose of cephalosporin and can reduce the prevalence of CSD.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design and setting**

The study design is that of a double-blind, parallel-control randomised clinical trial. Pregnant women with singleton pregnancies in cephalic presentation who have ruptured membranes or signs of labour will be informed about the study and the need to undergo CS by the medical team. The subjects will be randomly divided into two groups. The trial group (group A) will be administered the regular single-dose cefuroxime sodium (1.5$\text{g}$/50$\text{mL}$ 0.9$\%$ sodium chloride (NaCl)) plus azithromycin (0.5$\text{g}$/250$\text{mL}$ 0.9$\%$ NaCl). The control group (group B) will be administered the regular single-dose cefuroxime sodium (1.5$\text{g}$/50$\text{mL}$ 0.9$\%$ NaCl) plus an additional placebo (250$\text{mL}$ 0.9$\%$ NaCl) as an intravenous drip 30 min before surgery. The prevalence and clinical symptoms of CSD at 6 weeks, 6 months, and 12 months after delivery will be observed with TVU and SIS. The primary outcome measure will be the prevalence of CSD, and the characteristic of CSD will be assessed by TVU and SIS 42 days after delivery. The secondary outcome measures will be infection indexes and labour results, as shown in figure 1.
Figure 1 Flowchart of the study. CS, caesarean section; TVUS, transvaginal ultrasonography.

Participants
Patients will be included in this study if they meet all the following criteria:
1. Han Chinese ethnicity with a maternal age of 18 years or older,
2. Singleton pregnancy with a gestational age \( \geq 37 \) weeks,
3. Fibrinogen \( \geq 2 \) g/L, platelet count \( \geq 100 \times 10^9 \) and haemoglobin \( \geq 90 \) g/L before surgery,
4. Non-elective caesarean delivery during labour or after membrane rupture and
5. Labour defined as regular contractions with cervical dilation of 3 cm or with documented cervical changes of at least 1 cm of dilation or at least 50% effacement (women with membrane rupture for at least 4 hours will be eligible, regardless of whether labour has started).

Patients will be excluded from participating in the study if one of the following criteria is met:
1. The patient declines to participate in the trial,
2. The patient is known to be allergic to cefuroxime sodium or azithromycin (including an allergy to any kind of macrolide or cephalosporin),
3. The patient was administered azithromycin within 7 days before randomisation,
4. The patient is positive for Group B Streptococcus haemolyticus on screening at approximately 36 weeks.
5. The patient has a diagnosis of a non-reproductive tract infection (appendicitis, upper respiratory infection, urinary tract infection) and needs additional antibiotic treatment,
6. The patient has a severe maternal disease (severe liver or renal dysfunction, pulmonary oedema, cardiac structural abnormality or a condition requiring antiarrhythmic drug use, systemic lupus erythaematosus or inadequately controlled diabetes).
7. The patient has a stillbirth
8. The patient has a preoperative diagnosis of uterine abnormalities (such as uterine malformation, adenomyosis or myoma of the uterus) or
9. The patient has undergone a previous CS.

Randomisation
Demographic, medical and obstetrical information will be collected at baseline. The patient will then be randomised between the trial group (group A) and the control group (group B) according to her serial number (number 1 to 250, depending on the time of trial entry). The randomisation codes will be generated by the block of the statistical software at a 1:1 ratio (SPSS, V.22.0, IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). We will generate a list of 250 randomisation codes (A or B). Each code will correspond to one serial number, and each code will be placed in a black envelope with the serial number printed on the outside. Anyone who will have contact with the patient or other researchers will be blinded to the list. Antibiotic prophylaxis will be administered within 30 min before incision. The medicine will be dissolved in the hospital dispensary, where the patient’s envelope will be opened by an independent pharmacist, and the containers will be identical so that no one else can identify the set. The opened envelope will be locked in a non-transparent box until the trial is finished. These settings will ensure that both the participants and investigators or surgeons are blinded to the treatment.

Intervention
All participants will undergo a standard CS with a lower segment uterine incision and double-layer suturing of the uterine wound. The first layer will be the decidua and total myometrium, and the second layer will be the serosa and the upper half layer of myometrium. All sutures will be continuously unlocked with absorbable suture material. We have three experienced surgeons with the same technical standards who will be responsible for the trial. Each of them will perform approximately 70 CSs randomly in the trial. All the participants will be cared for in the wards, and additional antibiotics will be given if there is a confirmed infection before the patient checks out.

Withdrawal of participants
The participants will be able to leave the study at any time for any reason if they wish to do so, without any consequences. The investigator will be able to decide to withdraw a subject from the study for urgent medical reasons. After randomisation, if a woman wishes to change her assigned protocol, she will be considered a crossover subject. All subjects will remain in the study for analysis based on the intention-to-treat principle.
Table 1  Patient’s characteristics and data collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL 0 1 2 3 4 42 6 months 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomisation</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVU</td>
<td>× × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIS</td>
<td>× × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>× ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood infection index</td>
<td>× ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood coagulation function</td>
<td>× ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion culture</td>
<td>× × × × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>× × × × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The skin infection of incision</td>
<td>× × × × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometritis</td>
<td>× × × × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerperal fever</td>
<td>× × × × ×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other postoperative complications</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>× × ×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BL, baseline; BMI, body mass index; TVU, transvaginal ultrasound; SIS, saline infusion sonohysterography.

Participant timeline
The schedules for enrolment, interventions and assessments are summarised in table 1.

The CS will be performed on day 0, and antibiotic treatment, which will be randomly assigned, will be started on day 1.

All included patients will undergo randomisation and treatment. We will conduct preoperative and postoperative routine blood tests and detect C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, procalcitonin (PCT) levels and blood coagulation function. We will also culture vaginal secretions before surgery and at 42 days postpartum.

Clinical evaluations of the efficacy and safety of anti-infection prophylaxis will be performed during hospitalisation and will include evaluations of the incidence of puerperal fever, skin infections at the incision site, endometritis and other postoperative complications.

Clinical symptoms as well as the prevalence and characteristics of CSD at 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months after caesarean delivery will be documented.

Primary outcome measure
The prevalence of CSD, and the characteristic of CSD will be assessed by TVU and SIS 42 days after delivery.

Secondary outcome measure
1. The changes in infection indexes, such as routine blood examinations including CRP and PCT before and after surgery.
2. Presurgical vaginal secretion culture results and intraoperative uterine cavity culture results.
3. Body temperature 6 hours before and 72 hours after CS.
4. Postoperative morbidity, endometritis and incisional infection after surgery and severe infection 42 days after delivery.
5. Type and dosage of all antibiotics administered postoperatively according to standard processes.
6. Responses to postpartum questionnaires at approximately 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months.
7. Follow-up data from TVU and SIS evaluations performed at 6 months and 12 months after delivery.
8. The size and position of the uterus and the height, length, width, RMT, AMT and niche volume of the defects.

STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS
Sample size calculation
Based on the findings of previous studies, we concluded that the occurrence of CSD decreases from 47.9% to 29% after the administration of multidose antibiotics during emergency caesarean delivery. We will predict the occurrence of CSD after using two prophylactic antibiotics with PASS sample prediction software V.11.0. We will need to include 220 women in total (two groups of 110 women), with an alpha error of 0.05. Assuming a 10% dropout rate, we will need to randomise a total of 242 participants (121 participants per group).
Statistical analysis
For analysis, the adopted Electronic Data Capture (EDC) system will be used to collect data uniformly and record all relevant personal information for data collection points. The method of automatic computer verification, which will include data that clearly do not conform to the conventional standards and among which values are missing, will be adopted. Researchers will be required to check the original data, and all changes in the data will be managed with traces. Query tables will be checked if necessary.

For the analysis of the data, t-tests, analysis of variance, \( \chi^2 \) tests and other differential tests will be carried out with SPSS software.

Descriptive statistics will be used to analyse demographic data and laboratory indicators, such as basic information from the preoperative period, from the postoperative hospitalisation period and at 6 weeks post-surgery. Preoperative and postoperative indicators will be used for baseline data, and the normality of the distribution of continuous variables from the baseline data will be tested. Normally distributed data will be reported as the mean and SD, and the two groups will be assessed by the \( t \)-test. Normally distributed data will be reported as the median and IQR. We will use the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test to analyse categorical variables.

We will apply the \( \chi^2 \) test to assess the primary outcome to compare the occurrence rate of the CSD in two groups.

We will apply the \( t \)-test, analysis of variance and \( \chi^2 \) test to evaluate the secondary outcome according to the characteristics of the endpoint.

Patient and public involvement
Neither the patients nor the public will be involved in the study design. They will also not be involved in the recruitment process or conduct of the study. The results will be disseminated to patients via an open access publication and our local trials teams.

DISCUSSION
Since the mechanism of wound healing in uterine caesarean incisions is not fully known and since the natural development of CSD over time is still unclear, uterine scars and CSD may change over time. One prospective observational study showed that CSD occurred from 6 weeks to 6 months or longer after surgery.30 Another prospective study reported that the statuses of some women with or without CSD showed a reversal between 6 weeks and 6 months after surgery (90.7% at 6 weeks and 93.1% at 6 months (OR 0.96 (0.61 to 1.49), p=0.91)).31 This trial is based on our previous cohort study in which CSD was observed at 6 weeks postpartum. We will set the initial CSD assessment time point as 6 weeks postpartum and follow patients for 1 year, aiming to find the optimal time point for the early detection of CSD. Our sample size calculation is also based on previously published data, which were based on the measurement of CSD with TVU and may have resulted in an underestimation.16 Since we believe that the difference between the two groups will be more significant when CSD is measured with SIS, the sample size could be large enough to observe a difference. We believe that surgical techniques of uterine incision and closure may be important in the occurrence of CSD, and there are many trials that are ongoing or that already have results.32 33 We will focus on the effects of infection and antibiotics against CSD based on the strong indication of our previous study.
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