Association between cardiovascular risk factors and coronary artery disease assessed using CAD-RADS classification: a cross-sectional study in Romanian population
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INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Even though CAD mortality rates have declined since 1980s, it still accounts for approximately one-third of all deaths of individuals aged over 35 years old.1,2

It is well known that atherosclerosis is the underlying cause of cardiovascular diseases, and multiple risk factors augment the atherosclerotic process. These risk factors include non-modifiable ones such as age and sex and modifiable risk factors such as hypertension, dyslipidaemia, obesity, diabetes mellitus and smoking.3–7 Studies suggest that the majority of patients with CAD have at least one modifiable risk factor; and their presence has an impactful role in the progression of CAD.8,9 Many risk-scoring systems have been developed such as Framingham and SCORE (Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation: High & Low cardiovascular Risk Charts) which are based on the presence of various traditional cardiovascular risk factors.10,11

ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aimed to evaluate the association between cardiovascular risk factors and Coronary Artery Disease—Reporting and Data System (CAD-RADS) score in the Romanian population. CAD-RADS is a new, standardised method to assess coronary artery disease (CAD) using coronary CT angiography (CCTA).

Design A cross-sectional observational, patient-based study.

Setting Referred imaging centre for CAD in Transylvania, Romania.

Participants We retrospectively reviewed 674 patients who underwent CCTA between January 2017 and August 2018. The exclusion criteria included: previously known CAD, defined as prior myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery (n=91), cardiac CT for other than evaluation of possible CAD (n=85), significant arrhythmias compromising imaging quality (n=23). Finally, 475 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

Methods Demographical, clinical and CCTA characteristics of the patients were obtained. CAD was evaluated using CAD-RADS score. Obstructive CAD was defined as ≥50% stenosis of ≥1 coronary segment on CCTA.

Results We evaluated the association between risk factors and CAD-RADS score in univariate and multivariable analysis. We divided the patients into two groups according to the CAD-RADS system: group 1: CAD-RADS score between 0 and 2 (stenosis <50%) and group 2: CAD-RADS score ≥3 (stenosis ≥50%). On univariate analysis, male gender, age, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking and diabetes mellitus were positively associated with a CAD-RADS score ≥3. The multivariate analysis showed that male sex, age, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and smoking were independently associated with obstructive CAD.

Conclusion This study demonstrated a significant association between multiple cardiovascular risk factors and a higher coronary atherosclerotic burden assessed using CAD-RADS system in the Romanian population.
of comorbidities and lifestyle together with basic laboratory investigations are recommended as step 2 and step 3 in the approach of patients with angina and suspected CAD. After identifying the potential cardiovascular risk factors and establishing the pretest probability and clinical likelihood of coronary artery disease, the next step is to select the appropriate tests for the diagnosis of CAD.

With the recent advancements made in medical technology, coronary CT angiography (CCTA) has rapidly evolved into one of the most highly accurate methods for diagnosis and evaluation of CAD. It is a unique non-invasive test which can provide direct and accurate visualisation of the coronary vessel lumen, being able to quantify the presence and extent of coronary stenosis and to assess the characteristics of coronary atherosclerotic plaques.

In the latest European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guideline for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes, CCTA has been categorised as class I recommendation for diagnosing CAD in symptomatic patients in whom obstructive CAD cannot be excluded by clinical assessment alone. Also, it can be considered as an alternative investigation to invasive angiography if another non-invasive test is equivocal or non-diagnostic.

In 2016, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography published the Coronary Artery Disease—Reporting and Data System (CAD-RADS) grading system, which is a standardised reporting method of CCTA results. This is meant to facilitate communication of the results along with suggestions for consecutive management of the patients. The grading system ranges from 0 to 5, where CAD-RADS 0 score means a complete absence of stenosis and CAD-RADS 5 represents total occlusion of at least one coronary segment.

Among European countries, Romania is one of the leading countries regarding the cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality burden, having the second highest standardised death rate caused by ischaemic heart disease. Also, the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors is relatively high in our country. Romania is on the fourth place in Europe concerning raised blood pressure, on the eighth place regarding the presence of diabetes mellitus and an increasing trend in the incidence of obesity.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the association between traditional cardiovascular risk factors and CAD evaluated using the CAD-RADS score in the Romanian population.

**METHODS**

**Study population**

We retrospectively reviewed 674 consecutive patients who underwent CCTA between January 2017 and August 2018 in our institution. The indications for CCTA were: atypical angina, typical angina with an inconclusive stress test, patients with intermediate/high risk for major cardiac events. The exclusion criteria included: previously known CAD, defined as prior myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery (n=91), cardiac CT for other than evaluation of possible CAD (n=85), significant arrhythmias compromising imaging quality (n=23). Besides these exclusion criteria, patients with renal failure, documented contrast allergy or pregnant women did not perform the CT examination. Finally, 475 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

**Scan protocol**

All CCTA scans were performed with a 64-sliced multidetector CT (Sensation 64, Siemens, Forchheim, Germany). The scanning parameters were: slices/collimation 64/0.6 mm, tube voltage 120 kV, 850 mAs, gantry rotation time 330 ms, pitch 0.2, effective slice thickness 0.75 mm and reconstruction increment 0.4 mm. Patients with a heart rate >70 beats/min received premedication with oral beta-blockers 1 hour prior to the examination. Short-acting nitroglycerine sublingual spray was administered to all patients for coronary vasodilatation.

First, a non-contrast-enhanced scan was performed to assess the coronary artery calcium score (CACS), followed by the CCTA to evaluate the coronary artery lumen and to characterise the atherosclerotic plaques. A bolus of 80 mL of iodinated contrast medium was administered intravenously at 5 mL/s, followed by 40 mL of saline injected at the same rate. After the acquisition, the images were transferred to a dedicated workstation for post-processing, which included multiplanar reconstructions, maximum intensity projections and volume rendering images.

**Coronary artery analysis**

All CCTA images were assessed by an experienced radiologist who was blinded to the study (LEP). CACS was calculated using a semiautomatically software, according to the Agatston method. Plaque composition was classified as: calcified, non-calcified or mixed, with calcified coronary plaque being defined as any structure with a density ≥130 HU.

Coronary atherosclerotic lesions were quantified for stenosis by visual estimation. We evaluated only the coronary segments with a diameter greater than 1.5 mm.

Every patient received a final CAD-RADS score based on the extent of coronary stenosis (figure 1). CAD-RADS score of 0 was assigned if there was a total absence of coronary plaques or stenosis. Minimal coronary stenosis between 1% and 24% was considered CAD-RADS 1. CAD-RADS score 2 was given when there was a mild stenosis between 25% and 49%. CAD-RADS score of 3 corresponded to a moderate stenosis between 50% and 69%. CAD-RADS score of 4 was assigned if there was a single coronary stenosis between 70% and 99% or if the left main artery was depicted with a stenosis of more than 50%. Also, CAD-RADS score of 4 was given in the situation of 3-vessel obstructive disease, when there was stenosis of more than 70% involving all the three coronary arteries (left anterior descending artery, circumflex artery and right coronary artery). If total occlusion was
identified in at least one coronary segment, a CAD-RADS score of 5 was assigned.

Obstructive CAD was defined as ≥50% stenosis of ≥1 coronary segments on CCTA.

Cardiovascular risk factors
Prior to CCTA, a detailed medical history with the risk factors was obtained from all patients. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥140/90 mm Hg or treatment with antihypertensive medications. Dyslipidaemia was defined as a total cholesterol level ≥5 mmol/L or treatment with lipid-lowering medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL or the use of insulin or oral antidiabetic agents. Obesity was defined as body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg/m². Self-reported smoking status was obtained by a query regarding both current and previous smoking history. Classification of symptoms (typical angina, atypical angina, non-anginal pain) was judged by cardiologists using patient interviews conducted prior to the CT examination.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as means±SD, those with non-normal distribution as median with IQR. Normality was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between CAD-RADS groups were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance for continuous variables and χ² test for categorical variables. Whenever the distribution of continuous data was not normal, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparison.

Cardiovascular risk factors that showed a significant association with the CAD-RADS score were included in multivariable logistic regression analysis to evaluate their simultaneous influence. Through logistic regression analysis, independent relationship between cardiovascular risk factors and obstructive CAD (CAD-RADS score ≥3) was identified.

For all comparisons, a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed using commercially available software (MedCalc for Windows, V.14.8, MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

Patient and public involvement
There was no involvement of patients and/or public in this study.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the study population

The clinical and angiographic characteristics of our study population according to the CAD-RADS classification are shown in the online supplementary table 1. Among the 475 patients included in this study, the mean age was 57.8±13.2 years and the majority of them were female: 54.4%. There was a high prevalence of patients both with hypertension (74.5%) and dyslipidaemia (69.7%). The percentage of patients with diabetes was relatively small, with only 19.3% individuals having this condition. Smoking was reported among 46.3% of the study group. The majority of the patients were symptomatic, 72.6% presenting with either typical or atypical angina.

When we classified the patients according to the CAD-RADS score, 177 of them had CAD-RADS score=0, 99 patients had CAD-RADS score=1 while 80 patients CAD-RADS score=2. A percentage of 14.1% of people included in this study were diagnosed with CAD-RADS 3 score. Finally, 9.3% patients had severe stenosis, with a CAD-RADS score of 4 and 8 patients had total occlusion of a coronary segment (CAD-RADS score=5).

Patient gender, age, the presence of hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus as well as clinical presentation and coronary artery calcium score were significantly different across CAD-RADS scores (p<0.0001 for all comparisons) (see online supplementary table 1). However, our results did not reveal any association between obesity and different CAD-RADS scores (p=0.63) (see online supplementary table 1).

CAD-RADS score and multiple cardiovascular risk factors

Using the cardiovascular risk factors mentioned above, we tested if there is any association regarding their presence and obstructive coronary artery disease, defined as coronary stenosis ≥50% and equivalent with a CAD-RADS score ≥3 (table 1).

Our results show that a CAD-RADS score between 0 and 2 was more frequent in younger patients, with a mean age of 55.4±13.11 years in this subgroup, while patients with CAD-RADS score ≥3 had a higher mean age of 63.1±10.55 years (table 1). Regarding gender, patients with CAD-RADS scores higher than 3 were more frequently male. The majority of the female patients (82.9%) received a CAD-RADS score of 0, 1 or 2 (table 1).

Our findings indicated a positive association between systolic hypertension and CAD-RADS score, with over 90% of the patients with moderate/severe stenosis (CAD-RADS ≥3) being hypertensive (table 1). Moreover, based on our results, patients with CAD-RADS scores ≥3 had a greater frequency of dyslipidaemia, with more than 85% patients in these categories being also dyslipidaemic (table 1).

Furthermore, the proportion of smokers was larger among patients identified with higher CAD-RADS scores: almost two-thirds of the patients who received a CAD-RADS score ≥3 admitted the use of cigarettes (table 1). On the other hand, in the CAD-RADS groups of 0, 1 and 2, the percentage of the smokers was less than 50% (table 1).

Regarding the association between diabetes mellitus and CAD-RADS score, our results show increasing per cent of diabetic individuals proportional with higher CAD-RADS scores: from 16.3% of patients with diabetes and CAD-RADS scores of 0–2% to 28.6% of patients with diabetes and CAD-RADS scores ≥3 (table 1). However, the percentage of patients with obesity patients did not differ significantly among different CAD-RADS groups (table 1).

Multivariable analysis

According to the multivariable analysis, male sex, age, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and smoking remained independently associated with obstructive CAD defined as CAD-RADS score ≥3 (table 2). Men had more than three times higher odds of developing significant coronary stenosis. The OR for coronary stenosis ≥50% was approximately 3.5-fold greater in individuals with hypertension. Our results showed that having dyslipidaemia significantly increased the odds of moderate/severe coronary stenosis by more than 2.5 times. Last but not least,
smoking was associated with increased odds of having CAD-RADS score ≥3 by approximately two times.

**DISCUSSION**

Romania is one of the high cardiovascular risk European countries according to data from the last ESC guideline for prevention of CVD. There are only a limited number of national epidemiological studies which estimate the prevalence and future trends of cardiovascular risk factors in the Romanian population. The latest study from 2017, Sephar III, shows an increasing trend regarding the majority of cardiovascular risk factors in our population. The prevalence of hypertension increased from 40.4% in 2011 to 45.1% in 2016. Moreover, the percentage of Romanians diagnosed with dyslipidaemia is alarmingly high, reaching 77.3% in 2016, with 53.4% newly diagnosed cases. Furthermore, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus, another important risk factor for coronary artery disease, is 12.4%, a relatively high percentage that puts Romania on the eighth place in Europe regarding this medical condition. Overweight and obesity represent another medical issue encountered in our country. Both PREDATORR (PREvalence of DiAbetes mellitus, prediabetes, overweight, Obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia and chronic kidney disease in Romania) and SEPHAR III (Study for the Evaluation of Prevalence of Hypertension and Cardiovascular Risk in Romania III) studies reported a prevalence of over 30% of patients with obesity based on BMI index, similar to the data from WHO database which shows an increasing trend of obesity in our country over the last 40 years. Last but not least, smoking can be considered another cause for the high incidence of cardiovascular disease in our country. Even if there is a decreasing trend regarding this habit in our country, Romania still occupies one of the leading places in European Union, with 28% of individuals reporting the use of cigarettes, a number higher than the average European percentage: 26%. According to the data by the National Institute for Public Health in Romania, tobacco is attributed to 16.3% CVD-related deaths in Romania.

In Europe, Romania records one of the greatest incidences of cardiovascular diseases, according to the latest statistics offered by EuroStat in 2018. Our country occupies the second place in Europe regarding the per cent of total deaths caused by diseases of the circulatory system. Concerning the standardised death rates caused by ischaemic heart disease, Romania is also one of the leading countries, being on the sixth and fifth place in deaths of men and women, respectively.

CAD-RADS is a standardised radiological reporting system dating since 2016, and there are only a few studies published in the area of cardiac imaging using the CAD-RADS score. It is used to quantify coronary artery stenosis in patients with suspected or known coronary artery disease to provide a basis for further investigation, diagnosis, management and treatment, substantially reducing human error and improving data integrity.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first one to evaluate the association between multiple associations of cardiovascular risk factors and the severity of coronary artery disease assessed on CCTA and evaluated using CAD-RADS classification in the Romanian population.

The association between cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular events was first demonstrated by the Framingham study through an epidemiological approach. The INTERHEART study showed that the cumulative effect of risk factors increased the risk of CAD, especially of myocardial infarction worldwide, in both sexes and all ages worldwide.

Our research reports that male sex, age, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and smoking are significantly associated with obstructive CAD defined as CAD-RADS score ≥3, with the prevalence being increased by a cumulative effect on them.

Male sex and age are well-known risk factors for coronary atherosclerosis, being used in prediction models for the estimation of pretest probability of developing coronary artery disease. Among medical risk factors, our study showed that hypertension and dyslipidaemia were positively associated with CAD-RADS score ≥3 in both univariate and multivariable analyses. Our results are in concordance with the latest data from the European Heart Network which shows that systolic blood pressure and total cholesterol levels are the determinants with the greatest contribution to CVD mortality. Also, these two factors are included in the widely used SCORE charts (3), and there are many clinical models that add them for increasing the probability of obstructive CAD.

Our multivariable analysis did not found an association between diabetes mellitus and obstructive CAD, one possible explanation being that only 19.3% of our study group had diabetes as their comorbidity.

Also, we did not find a direct association between obesity and coronary artery burden defined by CAD-RADS score. Our study is in concordance with Medakovic et al and Dores H et al. According to Dores H et al, obesity assessed by BMI can be an indicator of the presence of CAD but not necessarily associated with its

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>3.136 (1.841 to 5.341)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.063 (1.036 to 1.090)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3.493 (1.444 to 6.251)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>2.648 (1.283 to 5.466)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.207 (0.698 to 2.088)</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>2.112 (1.126 to 5.466)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD-RADS, Coronary Artery Disease—Reporting and Data System.
severity.\textsuperscript{11} They also described an ‘obesity paradox’ with better outcomes after percutaneous coronary interventions at patients with a higher BMI.\textsuperscript{13} One hypothesis for this paradox is that patients with obesity tend to be diagnosed at an earlier age and stage of CAD, therefore having lower morbidity and mortality rates.\textsuperscript{42, 43} Another potential reason for better outcomes of patients with obesity compared with those of underweight ones is that the latter group is more likely to have postprocedural complications due to excessive anticoagulation which is usually not weight adjusted.\textsuperscript{44, 45} Moreover, underweight patients usually have more concomitant comorbidities which lead to worse prognosis.\textsuperscript{46} Another theory is that obesity is associated with higher amounts of lean mass and which can have a protective effect when not associated with increased systemic inflammation.\textsuperscript{47}

Finally, our findings show that smoking is an independent risk factor for the presence of obstructive coronary disease, this being also one of the behavioural factors with the highest contribution for CVD mortality and morbidity rates across Europe.\textsuperscript{17}

Limitations of the study

Our study has several limitations, the most important one being the fact that it is a retrospectively conducted one. Secondary, our results were confined to the experience of a single medical centre, and the findings of this study were based on a relatively small patient population. Regarding the risk factors, dyslipidaemia was not analysed by fractions of the cholesterol: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Also, we did not analyse other additional risk factors like alcohol use, physical activity, anthropometric measurements or C-reactive protein levels. Taking the retrospective approach into consideration, our research assess only the association between traditionally known cardiovascular risk factors and coronary stenosis evaluated by CAD-RADS score and does not assess the incidence of major cardiac events after performing the CT angiography.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that there is a significant association between multiple cardiovascular risk factors and a higher coronary atherosclerotic burden assessed using CAD-RADS score in the Romanian population. Considering CAD as a priority for Romanian healthcare system, our study provides an overview of imaging and clinical characteristics of CAD and their association, offering valuable information for both cardiologists and radiologists to improve the management of the patients.
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