

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

Cohort Profile: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) to examine the health impacts of international migration from the Philippines to the United States

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-032966
Article Type:	Cohort profile
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Jul-2019
Complete List of Authors:	de Castro, A.; University of Washington, School of Nursing Hing, Anna; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Lee, Nanette; University of San Carlos, Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc. Kabamalan, Maria; University of the Philippines Diliman, College of Social Sciences and Philosophy Llave, Karen; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Crespi, Catherine M. ; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Wang, May; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Gee, Gilbert; University of California Los Angeles Jonathan and Karin Fielding School of Public Health, Department of Community Health Sciences.
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Longitudinal, Migration, Obesity, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Cohort Profile: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) to examine the health impacts of international migration from the Philippines to the United States

*A.B. de Castro, PhD, MSN/MPH, RN, FAAN, Department of Child, Family, and Population Health Nursing, School of Nursing, University of Washington, Box 357260, Seattle, WA 98195, USA, (206) 543-6819, butchdec@uw.edu

Anna K. Hing, MPH, Department of Community Health Sciences, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Nanette R. Lee, PhD, USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc. and Department of Anthropology, Sociology and History, University of San Carlos, Talamban, Cebu City, Philippines

Maria Midea M. Kabamalan, PhD, Population Institute, College of Social Sciences and Philosophy, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

Karen Llave, MPH, Department of Community Health Sciences, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Catherine M. Crespi, PhD, Department of Biostatistics, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

May C. Wang, DrPH, Department of Community Health Sciences, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Gilbert C. Gee, PhD, Department of Community Health Sciences, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

*Corresponding author

Keywords: Public health, epidemiology, longitudinal, migration, obesity

Word count: 2814 (excluding title page, abstract, references, and tables)

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) longitudinally investigates over three years whether migrating from the Philippines to the United States (U.S.) results in increased risk for obesity relative to non-migrants in the Philippines. The study is designed to test the healthy immigrant hypothesis by collecting health measures from migrants starting from a pre-migration baseline and enrolling a non-migrant cohort matched on age, gender, and education for comparison.

Participants: A migrant cohort (n=832) was recruited from clients of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas prior to exiting the Philippines. A non-migrant cohort (n=805) was recruited from among community households in municipalities throughout the cities of Manila and Cebu. By intention, these two cohorts are comparable demographically, including urban/rural status of residency in the Philippines at baseline.

Findings to date: At baseline, migrants report significantly better self-rated health and less depression, and have significantly lower body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio. Migrants had a lower mean level of c-reactive protein, however higher mean level of Apolipoprotein B.

Future plans: Data collection is scheduled to be completed in December 2020, time that the final data collection wave (36 months after baseline) will conclude for the non-migrant cohort. Both migrant and non-migrant cohorts will be maintained so long as research funding allows and emerges for new proposed study questions. Findings can inform the need and design of health-related/relevant interventions, whether clinical, behavioral, educational, policy, that are implemented at the individual or population level.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- Migrant cohort enrolled starting from pre-migration baseline data collection in country of origin and followed prospectively post-migration in destination country.
- A matched cohort of matched non-migrants in the country of origin is enrolled providing opportunities for comparative data analyses.

2	
3 4	Data collected involved both subjective and objective, biological measures of health status,
5	including frozen banking of dried blood spots creating future opportunities for laboratory
7 8	assays.
9 10 •	Non-migrant cohort is not representative of the general population, however the study
11 12	objective is for them to be demographically similar to the migrant cohort.
13 14 •	Possibility of participant attrition, including because of continued migration domestically and
15 16	internationally.
17 18	
19 20	
21 22	
23 24	
25 26	
27 28	
29 30	
31 32	Possibility of participant attrition, including because of continued migration domestically and internationally.
33 34	
35 36 37	
37 38 39	
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55	
56 57	
58 59	3
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

INTRODUCTION

The prevailing view of the immigrant health literature asserts that immigrants arrive in the destination country with better health status relative to their same race/ethnicity counterparts born in that country. However, this health advantage has been observed to decline over time with duration in the new country. Research has documented this phenomenon across a variety of physical and mental health outcomes, as well as population groups [1-6]. In the U.S. context, immigrants originating from developing often exhibit the immigrant health paradox [7-9], referring to the contradiction that immigrants generally have better health than U.S. born individuals who presumably have access to better healthcare services, reside in better environmental conditions, and have better opportunities for employment and education. As well, the phrase *healthy immigrant hypothesis* has been coined to suggest that immigrants generally have better health as a function of higher social class (e.g., having education, employability, and fiscal resources) compared to others in their country of origin, which positions them with the prospect and opportunity to emigrate. While a body of research has informed these perspectives, the preponderance of studies have not been designed to thoroughly investigate them. More specifically, conventional understanding of immigrant health has been premised on cross-sectional studies utilizing self-report survey data collected after arrival in the U.S. and without a comparison group in the country of origin. The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) was created to address these major limitations in the field of immigrant health by collecting both survey and objective health measures starting from a premigration baseline and enrolling a non-migrant cohort matched on age, gender, and education.

Filipinos have a long history of migration to the U.S., dating back to the late 1500's and continuing over subsequent centuries, with migration at various times tied to labor, political, and military service reasons. In 2016, there were 4.1 million Filipinos in the U.S., approximately half of whom were immigrants [10]. As such, Filipino immigrants are a significant population group within which to explore the health effects of migration. A well-documented health issue among

Filipinos in the U.S., as well as in the Philippines, is obesity and concomitant chronic health conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease [11-16]. HoPES principally examines changes in dietary patterns and body weight in the context of migration. A popular hypothesis is that immigrants become acculturated to U.S. lifestyles and behaviors, including eating larger food portion sizes, consuming more convenient processed, unhealthy fast foods, and being more sedentary [17-21]. Immigrants are also exposed to stressors associated with living in a new country (e.g., struggling with employment and having low income, discrimination, and separation from family and friends in the country of origin) which may prompt feelings of stress and lead to unhealthy dietary practices [22-24]. However, whether risk of unhealthy eating and weight gain can be attributed solely to the immigrant experience has not been conceptualized through the lens of globalization. Indeed, rates of obesity have been rising worldwide, including the Philippines. [25-27]. Accordingly, the overarching empirical question, which HoPES seeks to answer, is whether migrating to the U.S. results in increased risk for obesity (losing the healthy immigrant advantage) or if this risk would have been the same had an immigrant remained in the Philippines.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

COHORT DESCRIPTION

The HoPES study includes a migrant cohort and a non-migrant cohort. The migrant cohort consists of emigrants with legal permission to exit the Philippines for permanent residency in the U.S. Migrant cohort participants were enrolled starting at a pre-migration baseline before departing the Philippines and are followed prospectively after arriving in the U.S. up to three years. These migrant participants were recruited onsite at either the Manila or Cebu office of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas (CFO), the national Philippine government agency that oversees legal migration and where legal emigrants must register and process their exit from the Philippines. HoPES research staff approached and screened individuals at the CFO locations for study eligibility: aged 20-59 years, departing the Philippines for the U.S. within three months, ability to speak English, Tagalog, or Cebuano, and not known to be pregnant (as

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

this study prospectively assesses weight gain). From a total of 3,412 individuals approached, 2,279 met eligibility criteria, and 832 (36.5% of those eligible) consented and enrolled in the study. While there were no target numbers for the migrant cohort by gender, age, and education; distributions along these categories ended up reflecting similar demographic profiles of the recent immigrant Filipino population in the U.S. For example, according to the 2011-2013 American Community Survey (https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs), among immigrant Filipinos who have been in the U.S. for less than two years, 11% are males aged 20-34 with a college education, which is the same percentage of this same group in the HoPES migrant cohort. Additional details are reported elsewhere [28].

The second cohort consists of non-migrants who remain in the Philippines. Recruitment of this non-migrant cohort was based on stratified random sampling of households using three strata: Metro Manila (urban), Cebu (urban), and Cebu (rural). For each of these stratum, *barangay* (smallest administrative government division, somewhat like a census tract) were sampled with probability proportional to population size. Within each selected *barangay*, cluster sampling of households was conducted, then individuals were sampled within each household. Non-migrants were screened with the same age, language, and pregnancy inclusion criteria as migrants, with additional criteria of having resided in the *barangay* for the past two years and having no plans to move out of the *barangay* over the next three years. As non-migrants were sampled, numbers were tracked to achieve comparability to the gender, age, education, and urbanicity frequencies of the migrant cohort. Of the 2,215 non-migrant individuals approached, 1,173 met eligibility criteria, and 805 (68.6% of those eligible) consented and enrolled in the study.

Table 1 provides demographic characteristics at time of recruitment and enrollment of the migrant and non-migrant participants, as well as those who were eligible but did not participate. Attrition rate for each of the cohorts has not yet been determined because collection of follow-up data is still ongoing; thus we report only on the baseline stage of the study.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of HoPES participants and non-participants at time	
of recruitment and enrollment.	

		Migrants		Non-migrants		
	Participants (n=832)	Eligible non- participants (n=1447)	<i>p</i> -value	Participants (n=805)	Eligible non- participants (n=355)	<i>p</i> -value
Age in years (mean; SD)	35.46 (11.57)	38.53 (11.64)	.000	36.53 (11.47)	35.77 (10.81)	.304
Female (number; %)	553 (66.47%)	865 (60.15%)	.003	548 (68.07%)	185 (50.41%)	.000
Education (number; %)		6	.049			.000
Some high school or less	50 (6.08%)	63 (4.41%)	Ś,	101 (12.85%)	15 (4.18%)	
High school degree	170 (20.68%)	247 (17.30%)	1.C	143 (18.19%)	59 (16.43%)	
Some college or vocational training	190 (23.11%)	354 (24.79%)	4	320 (40.71%)	127 (35.38%)	
College degree or higher	412 (50.12%)	764 (53.50%)		222 (28.24%)	158 • (44.01%)	

NOTE: Pearson's chi-squared tests were conducted to calculate *p*-values indicating if participant and non-participant groups were statistically different from each another.

For the migrant cohort, pre-migration baseline data (both survey-based and objective, biological measures; see below) was collected between February to September 2017. Subsequent collection of survey data is scheduled after arrival in the U.S. at 3, 12, and 24 months after baseline and will be conducted by telephone (because of logistical and budget

constraints, since migrants can be located all across the U.S.). At 36 months after baseline, an in-person home visit will be made to collect both survey data and objective, biological measures. For the non-migrant cohort in the Philippines, baseline data (both survey-based and objective, biological measures) was collected between May to September 2017. Follow-up data collection for non-migrants will follow the same pattern as for migrants, except without the 3-month follow-up, and will be done via in-person home visits unless participant residence at the 12- and 24-month mark necessitates conducting by phone.

Baseline data collection for both the migrant and non-migrant cohorts was conducted in the Philippines. For migrants, this was done in private office spaces in the CFO buildings in Manila and Cebu. For non-migrants, this was done with in-home visits in community settings. Table 2 lists the types and topics of data collected at baseline. Self-report measures were collected via interviewer-administered survey. Migrants and non-migrants were asked the same survey questions, with migrants being asked an additional set of questions related to migration. Objective measures (e.g., height, weight, hip and waist circumferences, blood pressure) were obtained by trained nurses. A point-of-care device was used to measure a non-fasting lipid panel. Dried blood spots (DBS) were collected for laboratory analysis of c-reactive protein (CRP) and Apolipoprotein B (ApoB) levels. DBS specimens are being kept in frozen storage (-80° Celsius) and are available for other additional laboratory assay analyses in the future. The survey questions will be repeated for each follow-up data collection wave (as above); with phrasing modifications made to fit the post-migration context for the migrant cohort. As mentioned, objective, biological measures are only collected at baseline and 36 months later.

Self-report measures	
Health status	Self-rated health, chronic health conditions (MOS), medication use, depressive symptoms (Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System); cognitive impairment; homesickness
Health behaviors	Physical activity (International Physical Activity Questionnaire), smoking (CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System), alcohol consumption (BRFSS), sleep quality and duration; help seeking
Diet	Food frequency questionnaire; food procurement and insecurity, dietary acculturation
Stress	Perceived stress, acculturative stress, unfair treatment
Culture	Filipino attitudes and beliefs, social identity, language use and proficiency (including English)
Socioeconomic position	Currently employed, current occupation and job duties, personal income, financial strain, remittances
Social networks	Family and friends in the U.S. and Philippines, social isolation, social capital
Geography	Barangay and province for migrants; postal address for non- migrants; postal address in U.S. for migrants
Migration (migrant cohort only)	Visa type, family accompaniment, preparation, reasons for migrating, views about U.S., job waiting in U.S.
Objective measures:	
Anthropometrics	Height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference
Biological measurements	Blood pressure, lipids (non-fasting total cholesterol, high-density lipoproteins, low-density lipoproteins, triglycerides), C-reactive protein, and Apolipoprotein B

Table 2. HoPES measures obtained at baseline for migrant and non-migrant cohorts.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Study participants for this project were not from a clinical patient population, but rather recruited and enrolled from among the general population, and were not involved in the recruitment to and conduct of the study. The study purpose, aims, and design were principally

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

conceived of and developed by the principal investigators and collaborators. However, prior to execution of the project, input and consultation on these aspects were sought and received from officials at the CFO, the primary government agency responsible for promoting and upholding the interests, rights and welfare of migrant Filipinos. As they are available, data analysis and results are presented to CFO officials, and, captured in lay audience fact sheets made available to study participants as well as to community and local government stakeholders.

FINDINGS TO DATE

Table 3 displays initial univariate estimates (unweighted and weighted) across a variety of self-report and objective measures indicative of baseline health status for both the migrant and non-migrant cohorts. At baseline, migrants report significantly better self-rated health and less depressive symptoms, and have significantly lower body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio. Migrants also had lower mean level of CRP, however higher mean level of ApoB. Collectively, these results suggest that migrants have less morbidity than non-migrants, which aligns with the *healthy immigrant effect* perspective.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

HoPES is one of the very few longitudinal studies of migration and health that has enrolled participants starting from a pre-migration baseline phase in one country (Philippines) and followed them into a post-migration period in another (U.S.). Other known studies have followed migrants from Mexico to the U.S. and migrants from Russia and Ukraine to Israel [29-32]. Our study has the added feature of following a migrant cohort across continents from Asia to North America. As mentioned above, the demographic profile of our migrant cohort is very similar to that of the recent immigrant Filipino population in the U.S., per the 2011-2013 American Community Survey. A group of non-migrants (similar in age, gender, and education) in the country of origin is enrolled, which other studies of migration and health have not

Table 3. Mean or proportion (and standard error) for health status measures comparing

migrants and non-migrants (weighted vs. unweighted; both adjusted for age and sex).

	Migrants	s (n=832)	Non-migra	nts (n=805)	p-va	alue
	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Comparing unweighted values	Comparing weighted values
Self-rated health (Excellent/Very good/Good; proportion)	.74 (.02)	.73 (.02)	.34 (.02)	.35 (.02)	.000	.000
Depressive symptoms; range: 5 (low) to 25 (high)	6.55 (.09)	6.52 (.09)	8.53 (.13)	8.51 (.14)	.000	.000
Height (cm); mean	158.09 (.20)	158.00 (.20)	155.88 (.20)	156.20 (.21)	.000	.000
Weight (kg)	60.93 (.38)	61.14 (.39)	60.33 (.44)	60.50 (.46)	.338	.275
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.27 (.14)	24.38 (.14)	24.77 (.16)	24.72 (.17)	.057	.132
Waist circumference (cm)	86.00 (.34)	86.32 (.34)	86.41 (.39)	86.37 (.40)	.806	.969
Hip circumference (cm)	95.94 (.26)	96.10 (.26)	94.47 (.30)	94.50 (.31)	.000	.000
Waist-to-hip ratio	.89 (.00)	.89 (.00)	.91 (.00)	.91 (.00)	.000	.000
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	120.52 (.53)	121.18 (.55)	119.10 (.61)	119.02 (.63)	.007	.007
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	80.40 (.35)	80.67 (.36)	79.96 (.41)	80.09 (.43)	.144	.274
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	1.68 (.10)	1.69 (.10)	1.90 (.12)	1.91 (.12)	.19	.06
Apolipoprotein B (mg/dL)	33.24 (.53)	33.34 (.53)	24.40 (.46)	23.94 (.46)	.000	.000

NOTE: T-tests for continuous variables and z-tests for categorical variables were conducted using multilinear regression models to calculate *p*-values indicating if migrant and non-migrant groups were statistically different from each another for each predictor and for both weighted and unweighted analyses, controlling for age and sex.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

included, providing opportunities for comparative data analyses. For migrants, having started from a pre-migration baseline, data represent health status and life experiences prior to migration. Data, for both migrants and non-migrants, include objective, biological measures of health status, in addition to self-report responses that, to our knowledge, has not been collected in other longitudinal studies of migration. The collection and frozen banking of DBS creates future opportunities for laboratory analyses of emerging and yet to be developed assays. Also, meticulous efforts were undertaken to assure linguistic and cultural appropriateness of survey instruments, including data obtained through a food frequency questionnaire tailored to Filipino foods and diet. Additionally, for each participant, residential address is collected at each follow-up wave, allowing for geocoding and analyses integrating geographical information system data.

Due to the nature of the study, the non-migrants are purposefully not representative of the general population of the Philippines. This was due to our aim to evaluate the effect of migration as a "treatment." Similar to a randomized clinical trial, it is desirable to have the two cohorts similar on key characteristics at baseline. Thus, our objective for the non-migrant cohort was to enroll a group similar in characteristics (age, gender, education) to the migrant cohort, which would then render the non-migrant sample not representative of the general population. While the overall sample sizes for each cohort are sufficiently large for our study purposes, it may be limiting for analyses focused on specific sub-groups (e.g., only women with less than a high school education). Another potential weakness relates to participation rates and potential for sampling bias. Comparing participants to eligible non-participants, per Table 1, a few demographic differences were statistically significant. For example, migrant participants were older than migrants who were eligible but did not participate; non-migrant participants tended to be more educated than non-migrants who were eligible but did not participate; and for both migrant and non-migrant cohorts, there were more females among participants than among eligible non-participants. With any cohort study, attrition is a concern, particularly when participants are in a state of geographic movement across countries (migrants) and, likely,

within country (migrants after arriving in the U.S. and non-migrants in the Philippines). It is possible, over the course of the study, that migrant participants may return back to the Philippines or re-locate to other countries for periods of time and that non-migrant participants may become migrants themselves (whether to the U.S. or elsewhere). While this poses additional challenges, if such cases emerge and are retained, their data can yield potentially interesting, unanticipated findings about continued migration transitions. Because we currently report only on the baseline stage of this study, retention rates and mobility patterns over the course of the study have yet to unfold. Strategies to promote retention have been implemented, such as phone calls, a newsletter, social media, and birthday greetings. Also, we intentionally over sampled to account for attrition. Lastly, our study does not include a third cohort group of U.S. born Filipinos, which would have provided another comparison group to more fully examine the immigrant health paradox.

COLLABORATION

Researchers wishing to work with HoPES data can contact the principal investigators (GGGGG@XXXX.UUU and DDDDD@XX.UUU) to discuss analysis ideas (from cross-sectional to longitudinal) and possible collaborative efforts. Requests are invited for studies that investigate the variety of cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between sociodemographic characteristics, geographical locations (e.g. migration patterns, neighborhood/community factors), stressors, cultural shifts and both subjective and objective measures of health and health behaviors (including and beyond obesity risk related outcomes) that characterize how differential burdens of illness/disease play out in the context of migration and globalization. Also, because DBS are frozen and stored, collaborations involving studies with laboratory analysis of analytes other than CRP and Apolipoprotein B are of interest.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

A data user agreement is available for interested researchers to specify a working title of their proposed project; a 1-2 paragraph abstract that articulates a research question, hypotheses, and supporting rationale; a description of the analysis plan, including the specific

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

statistical techniques to be used; the list the specific variables needed for the analysis, including identifying dependent vs. independent variables, and control variables; and a statement of dissemination plans, including prospective journals and conference meetings where the analysis will be reported. The principal investigators will consider the request and determine its approval. The data user agreement also delineates a list of conditions that users must agree to; such as not sharing or distributing the data without prior authorization from the principal investigators, using the requested data only according to the proposed use, sharing with the principal investigators documentation of new variables of major study constructs, and prompt notification of submissions and acceptances for presentations and publications.

FURTHER DETAILS

Drs. DDDDD and GGGGG serve as the co-principal investigators for HoPES and led the overall conceptualization of the research design, directed the analysis, and oversaw logistics to carry out project activities. Dr. DDDDD led the writing of this paper, with the assistance of Dr. GGGGG and Ms. HHHHH who also conducted the statistical analysis. Drs. LLLLL, MMMMM, WWWWW, and CCCCC were primary collaborators for all project activities including study participant recruitment, data collection and analysis, and assisted with paper writing and review. Ms. LLLLL served as the HoPES project manager and assisted with reviewing this paper. None of the authors have any competing interests with respect to the HoPES research project or the publication of this paper. Primary funding for HoPES was provided by the XXXXX (grant #XXXXX), and, prior pilot funding was provided by the XXXXX (grant #XXXXX) and the XXXXX (grant #XXXXX). Data can be shared upon request and approval as described above.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

HoPES would not have been possible without the imaginative ingenuity, collaborative spirit, and tireless efforts from multiple sources. The interdisciplinary team consists of researchers and staff from the U.S. and the Philippines with a shared interest and vision to advance the health of immigrant populations. Others making important contributions through

their knowledge, skills, and time were (INDIVIDUALS #1-8), and all members of the data collection staff. The cooperation, approval, support, and assistance from staff of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas, namely (INDIVIDUALS #9-13), who made it possible to recruit and enroll migrant participants. We also greatly appreciate permissions granted and facilitated by government officials in local municipal jurisdictions to enter communities to recruit and enroll non-migrant participants from community households. And, we express our deep appreciation to all of the HoPES participants who generously provided their time and willingness for us to collect data measures. Lastly, we dedicate this paper to (INDIVIDUAL #14) in memory rendship c. of his truly incomparable friendship and many selfless acts to help make this research project a reality.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

REFERENCES

- 1. Antecol H, Bedard K. Unhealthy assimilation: Why do immigrants converge to American health status levels? *Demography* 2006;43(2):337-60.
- Argeseanu Cunningham S, Ruben JD, Narayan KM. Health of foreign-born people in the United States: A review. *Health Place* 2008;14(4):623-35.
- 3. Frisbie WP, Cho Y, Hummer RA. Immigration and the health of Asian and Pacific Islander adults in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001;153(4):372-80.
- Goldman N, Pebley AR, Creighton MJ, et al. The consequences of migration to the United States for short-term changes in the health of Mexican immigrants. *Demography* 2014;51(4):1159-73.
- 5. Ro A. The longer you stay, the worse your health? A critical review of the negative acculturation theory among Asian immigrants. *Int J of Environ Res and Public Health* 2014;11(8):8038-57.
- Fuller-Thomson E, Noack AM, George U. Health decline among recent immigrants to Canada: findings from a nationally-representative longitudinal survey. *Can J Public Health* 2011;102(4):273-80.
- Alarcón RD, Parekh A, Wainberg ML, et al. Hispanic immigrants in the USA: Social and mental health perspectives. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016;3(9):860-70.
- Franzini L, Ribble JC, Keddie AM. Understanding the Hispanic paradox. Ethn Dis 2001;11(3):496-518.
- 9. Markides K, Coreil J. The health of Hispanics in the south-western United States: An epidemiological paradox. *Public Health Rep* 1986;10:253-65.
- Zong J, Batalova J. Filipino immigrants in the United States. Migration Information Source; March 14, 2018. Available from: https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/filipino-immigrantsunited-states. Accessed July 14, 2019.

1	
2 3 4	11. Abesamis CJ, Fruh S, Hall H, et al. Cardiovascular health of Filipinos in the United States: A
5 6	review of the literature. J Transcult Nurs 2016;27(5):518–28.
7 8	12. Du Y, Shih M, Lightstone AS, et al. Hypertension among Asians in Los Angeles County:
9 10	Findings from a multiyear survey. Prev Med Rep 2017;6:302-6.
11 12	13. Lee JW, Brancati FL, Yeh HC. Trends in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Asians versus
13 14	whites: results from the United States National Health Interview Survey, 1997-2008.
15 16	Diabetes Care 2011;34(2):353-7.
17 18	14. Singh GK, Lin SC. Dramatic increases in obesity and overweight prevalence among Asian
19 20 21	subgroups in the United States, 1992-2011. ISRN Prev Med 2013;898691.
22 23	15. Staimez LR, Weber MB, Narayan KM, et al. A systematic review of overweight, obesity, and
24 25	type 2 diabetes among Asian American subgroups. Curr Diabetes Rev 2013;9(4):312-31.
26 27	16. Ye J, Rust G, Baltrus P, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors among Asian Americans: Results
28 29	from a national health survey. Ann Epidemiol 2009;19(10):718-23.
30 31	17. Ayala GX, Baquero B, Klinger S. A systematic review of the relationship between
32 33	acculturation and diet among Latinos in the United States: implications for future research. J
34 35	Am Diet Assoc 2008;108(8):1330-44.
36 37	18. Kandula NR, Lauderdale DS. Leisure time, non-leisure time, and occupational physical
38 39 40	activity in Asian Americans. Ann Epidemiol 2005;15(4):257-65.
40 41 42	19. Serafica RC. Dietary acculturation in Asian Americans. J Cult Divers 2014;21(4):145-51.
43 44	20. Tovar A, Boulos R, Sliwa S, et al. Baseline socio-demographic characteristics and self-
45 46	reported diet and physical activity shifts among recent immigrants participating in the
47 48	randomized controlled lifestyle intervention: "Live Well." J Immigr Minor Health
49 50	2014;16(3):457-65.
51 52	21. Zan H, Fan JX. Reporting more but moving less? The complex relationship between
53 54	acculturation and physical activity among US adults. Am J Health Promot 2018;32(2):446-
55 56	52.
57 58	17
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

BMJ Open

22. Finch BK, Catalano RC, Novaco RW, et al. Employment frustration and alcohol abuse/dependence among labor migrants in California. *J Immigr Health* 2003;5(4):181-6.
23. Gee GC, Ro A, Gavin A, et al. Disentangling the effects of racial and weight discrimination

- on body mass index and obesity among Asian Americans. *Am J Public Health* 2008;98(3):493-500.
- 24. Tovar A, Must A, Metayer N, et al. Immigrating to the US: What Brazilian, Latin American and Haitian women have to say about changes to their lifestyle that may be associated with obesity. *J Immigr Minor Health* 2013;15(2):357-64.
- 25. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: A pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128-9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* 2017;390(10113):2627-42.
- 26. Ng M. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014;384(9945):766-81.
- 27. Obesity Update 2017. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); 2017. Available from: https://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/Obesity-Update-2017.pdf. Accessed July 14, 2019.
- 28. Gee GC, de Castro AB, Crespi CM, et al. Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES): Study design and rationale. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:771.
- 29. Breslau J, Borges G, Tancredi DJ, et al. Health selection among migrants from Mexico to the U.S.: Childhood predictors of adult physical and mental health. *Public Health Rep* 2011;126(3):361-70.
- 30. Goldman N, Pebley AR, Creighton MJ, et al. The consequences of migration to the United States for short-term changes in the health of Mexican immigrants. *Demography* 2014;51(4):1159-73.

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
E	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
6	
7	
,	
8	
9	
10	
10 11	
11	
12	
12	
12 13 14 15	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
10	
18	
19	
20	
20	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	
22	
23	
25	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
22	
32 33 34	
33	
34	
25	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	

59

60

- 31. Tartakovsky E. A longitudinal study of acculturative stress and homesickness: High-school adolescents immigrating from Russia and Ukraine to Israel without parents. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2007;42(6):485-94.
- 32. Tartakovsky E. Cultural identities of adolescent immigrants: A three-year longitudinal study ition p. including the pre-migration period. J Youth Adolesc 2009;38(5):654-71.

19 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Cohort Profile: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) to examine the health impacts of international migration from the Philippines to the United States

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-032966.R1
Article Type:	Cohort profile
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Sep-2019
Complete List of Authors:	de Castro, A.; University of Washington, School of Nursing Hing, Anna; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Lee, Nanette; University of San Carlos, Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc. Kabamalan, Maria; University of the Philippines Diliman, College of Social Sciences and Philosophy Llave, Karen; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Crespi, Catherine M. ; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Wang, May; University of California Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health Gee, Gilbert; University of California Los Angeles Jonathan and Karin Fielding School of Public Health, Department of Community Health Sciences.
Primary Subject Heading :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Global health, Nutrition and metabolism
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Longitudinal, Migration, Obesity, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Cohort Profile: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) to examine the health impacts of international migration from the Philippines to the United States

*A.B. de Castro, PhD, MSN/MPH, RN, FAAN, University of Washington School of Nursing, Box 357260, Seattle, WA 98195, e-mail: butchdec@uw.edu

Anna K. Hing, MPH, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health. 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. E-mail: ahing@ucla.edu

Nanette R. Lee, PhD, USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc. and Department of Anthropology, Sociology and History, University of San Carlos, Talamban, Cebu City, Philippines. E-mail: nanette_rlee@yahoo.com

Maria Midea M. Kabamalan, PhD, Population Institute, College of Social Sciences and Philosophy, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City. E-mail: mmkabamalan@up.edu.ph

Karen Llave, MPH, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health. 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. E-mail: kllave@ph.ucla.edu

Catherine M. Crespi, PhD, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health. 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. E-mail: ccrespi@ucla.edu

May C. Wang, DrPH, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health. 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. E-mail: maywang@ucla.edu

Gilbert C. Gee, PhD, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health. 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772. E-mail: gilgee@ucla.edu

*Corresponding author

Word count (abstract): 278 Word count (manuscript): 3242

https://bmjopen.bmj.com/pages/authors/ https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/bmjopen

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) longitudinally investigates over three years whether migrating from the Philippines to the United States (U.S.) results in increased risk for obesity relative to non-migrants in the Philippines. The study is designed to test the healthy immigrant hypothesis by collecting health measures from migrants starting from a pre-migration baseline and enrolling a non-migrant cohort matched on age, gender, and education for comparison.

Participants: A migrant cohort (n=832; 36.5% of eligible individuals) was recruited from clients of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas prior to exiting the Philippines. A non-migrant cohort (n=805; 68.6% eligible individuals) was recruited from among community households in municipalities throughout the cities of Manila and Cebu. By intention, these two cohorts are comparable demographically, including urban/rural status of residency in the Philippines at baseline.

Findings to date: At baseline, compared to non-migrants, migrants report significantly better self-rated health and less depression, and have significantly larger hip circumference and lower waist-to-hip ratio, as well as significantly higher mean systolic blood pressure and higher mean level of Apolipoprotein B. Basline results can offer insight into the health status of both migrant and non-migrant populations and may be useful for obesity prevention efforts.

Future plans: Longitudinal data collection is scheduled to be completed in December 2020 when the final data collection wave (36 months after baseline) will conclude. Both migrant and non-migrant cohorts will be maintained beyond the current prospective study, so long as research funding allows and emerges for new study questions. Findings from future longitudinal analyses can inform the need and design of health-related/relevant interventions, whether clinical, behavioral, educational, policy, that can be implemented at the individual or population level.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- A cohort of migrants is enrolled into the study starting with data collection at a pre-migration baseline in the country of origin and will be followed prospectively post-migration into the destination country.
- A cohort of non-migrants matched on gender, age, education, and urbanicity in the country of origin was enrolled providing opportunities for comparative data analyses.
- Data collection involves subjective and objective biological measures of health status, including dried blood spots that are frozen creating future opportunities for laboratory assays.
- The non-migrant cohort is not representative of the general population as the study objective is for them to be demographically similar to the migrant cohort.
- As prospective follow-up continues, there is a possibility for participant attrition, including because of continued migration domestically and internationally among both the migrant and non-migrant cohorts.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

The prevailing view of the immigrant health literature asserts that immigrants arrive in the destination country with better health status relative to their same race/ethnicity counterparts born in that country. However, this health advantage has been observed to decline over time with duration in the new country. Research has documented this phenomenon across a variety of physical and mental health outcomes, as well as population groups.[1-6] In the U.S. context, immigrants originating from developing countries often exhibit the immigrant health paradox,[7-9] referring to the contradiction that immigrants generally have better health than U.S. born individuals who presumably have access to better healthcare services, reside in better environmental conditions, and have better opportunities for employment and education. As well, the phrase *healthy immigrant hypothesis* has been coined to suggest that immigrants generally have better health as a function of higher social class (e.g., having education, employability, and fiscal resources) compared to others in their country of origin, which positions them with the prospect and opportunity to emigrate. While a body of research has informed these perspectives, the preponderance of studies have not been designed to thoroughly investigate them. More specifically, conventional understanding of immigrant health has been premised on cross-sectional studies utilizing self-report survey data collected after arrival in the U.S. and without a comparison group in the country of origin. The Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES) was created to address these major limitations in the field of immigrant health by collecting both survey and objective health measures starting from a premigration baseline and enrolling a non-migrant cohort in the country of origin matched on age. gender, and education.

Filipinos have a long history of migration to the U.S., dating back to the late 1500's and continuing over subsequent centuries, with migration at various times tied to labor, political, and military service reasons. In 2016, there were 4.1 million Filipinos in the U.S., approximately half of whom were immigrants.[10] As such, Filipino immigrants are a significant population group

Page 5 of 20

BMJ Open

within which to explore the health effects of migration. A well-documented health issue among Filipinos in the U.S., as well as in the Philippines, is obesity and concomitant chronic health conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease.[11-16] HoPES principally examines changes in dietary patterns and body weight in the context of migration. A popular hypothesis is that immigrants become acculturated to U.S. lifestyles and behaviors, including eating larger food portion sizes, consuming more convenient processed, unhealthy fast foods, and being more sedentary.[17-21] Immigrants are also exposed to stressors associated with living in a new country (e.g., struggling with employment and having low income and socioeconomic status, discrimination, and separation from family and friends in the country of origin) which may prompt feelings of stress and lead to unhealthy dietary practices as well as adversely impact metabolism.[22-25] Other factors may also play into weight gain among immigrants, such as epigenetics (i.e., individuals born in lower-income countries to undernourished mothers may be metabolically prepared to store calories as fat for food scarce environments, but then are susceptible to weight gain after arrival in a country environment high in calories, fat, and sugars) and physical activity (i.e., immigrants may participate in less leisure physical activity but more work physical activity than those born in the destination country) [25-26]. However, whether risk of unhealthy eating and weight gain can be attributed solely to the immigrant experience has not been conceptualized through the lens of globalization. Indeed, rates of obesity have been rising worldwide, including the Philippines.[27-29] Accordingly, the overarching empirical question that HoPES seeks to answer is whether migrating to the U.S. results in increased risk for obesity (losing the healthy immigrant advantage) or if this risk would have been the same had an immigrant remained in the Philippines.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

COHORT DESCRIPTION

HoPES includes a migrant cohort and a non-migrant cohort. The migrant cohort consists of emigrants with legal permission to exit the Philippines for permanent residency in the U.S. Migrant cohort participants were enrolled starting at a pre-migration baseline before

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

departing the Philippines and will be followed prospectively after arriving in the U.S. up to three years. These migrant participants were recruited onsite at either the Manila or Cebu office of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas (CFO), the national Philippine government agency that oversees legal migration and where legal emigrants must register and process their exit from the Philippines. Trained research staff approached and screened individuals at the CFO locations for study eligibility: aged 20-59 years; departing the Philippines for the U.S. within three months; ability to speak English, Tagalog, or Cebuano; and not known to be pregnant (as this study prospectively assesses weight gain). From a total of 3,412 individuals approached, 2,279 met eligibility criteria, and 832 (36.5% of those eligible) consented and enrolled in the study. While there were no target numbers for the migrant cohort by gender, age, and education; distributions along these categories ended up reflecting similar demographic profiles of the recent immigrant Filipino population in the U.S. For example, according to the 2011-2013 American Community Survey (https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs), among immigrant Filipinos who have been in the U.S. for less than two years, 11% are males aged 20-34 with a college education, which is the same percentage of this same group in the HoPES migrant cohort. Additional details are reported elsewhere.[30]

The second cohort consists of non-migrants who remain in the Philippines. Recruitment of this non-migrant cohort was based on stratified random sampling of households using three strata: Metro Manila (urban), Cebu (urban), and Cebu (rural). For each of these stratum, *barangay* (smallest administrative government division, somewhat like a census tract) were sampled with probability proportional to population size. Within each selected *barangay*, cluster sampling of households was conducted, then individuals were sampled within each household. Non-migrants were screened with the same age, language, and pregnancy inclusion criteria as migrants, with additional criteria of having resided in the *barangay* for the past two years and having no plans to move out of the *barangay* over the next three years. As non-migrants were sampled, numbers were tracked to achieve comparability to the gender, age, education, and

BMJ Open

urbanicity frequencies of the migrant cohort. Of the 2,215 non-migrant individuals approached, 1,173 met eligibility criteria, and 805 (68.6% of those eligible) consented and enrolled in the study.

Upon recruitment, the purpose and procedures of HoPES was explained to eligible individuals through the informed consent process. Participants were given opportunity to ask questions and contact information of the research team. Signed consent was obtained from participants for baseline and all subsequent data collection waves. Participants were assured that their participation would be kept confidential; and that data would be de-identified and reported only in the aggregate. Participants were also granted a certificate of confidentiality via the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

Table 1 provides demographic characteristics at time of recruitment and enrollment of the migrant and non-migrant participants, as well as those who were eligible but did not participate. Attrition rate for each of the cohorts has not yet been determined because collection of follow-up data is still ongoing; thus we report only on the baseline stage of the study. BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of HoPES participants and non-participants at time of recruitment and enrollment.

	Migrants Non-migrants					
	Participants (n=832)	Eligible non- participants (n=1447)	<i>p</i> -value	Participants (n=805)	Eligible non- participants (n=355)	<i>p</i> -value
Age in years (mean; SD)	35.46 (11.57)	38.53 (11.64)	.000	36.53 (11.47)	35.77 (10.81)	.304
Female (number; %)	553 (66.47%)	865 (60.15%)	.003	548 (68.07%)	185 (50.41%)	.000
Education (number; %)			.049			.000

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Some high school or less	50 (6.08%)	63 (4.41%)	101 (12.85%)	15 (4.18%)	
High school degree	170 (20.68%)	247 (17.30%)	143 (18.19%)	59 (16.43%)	
Some college or vocational training	190 (23.11%)	354 (24.79%)	320 (40.71%)	127 (35.38%)	
College degree or higher	412 (50.12%)	764 (53.50%)	222 (28.24%)	158 (44.01%)	

NOTE: Pearson's chi-squared tests were condu Iculate *p*-values indicating if participant and non-participant groups were stat ferent from each another.

For the migrant cohort, pre-migration bas (both survey-based and objective, esearch interviewers and nurses biological measures; see below) was collected t between February to September 2017. Subseq tion of survey data is scheduled after arrival in the U.S. at 3, 12, and 24 months after nd will be conducted by telephone call from a trained research interviewer (because of ind budget constraints, since migrants can be located all across the U.S.). At 36 month seline, an in-person home visit will be made by a trained research nurse to collect both ata and objective, biological measures. For the non-migrant cohort in the Ph paseline data (both survey-based and objective, biological measures) was collected by search interviewers and nurses between May to September 2017. Follow-up da on for non-migrants will follow the same pattern as for migrants, except without the follow-up, and will be done via inperson home visits by trained research interview urses unless participant residence at the 12- and 24-month mark necessitates conduc one.

ucted to ca tistically dif
seline data by trained r quent collec baseline ar
logistical a
h survey da hilippines, t
y trained re
ata collectic e 3-month f
wers and nu cting by ph

BMJ Open

Baseline data collection for both the migrant and non-migrant cohorts was conducted in the Philippines. For migrants, this was done in private office spaces in the CFO buildings in Manila and Cebu. For non-migrants, this was done with in-home visits in community settings. Table 2 lists the types and topics of data collected at baseline. Self-report measures were collected via interviewer-administered survey. Migrants and non-migrants were asked the same survey questions, with migrants being asked an additional set of questions related to migration. Objective measures (e.g., height, weight, hip and waist circumferences, blood pressure) were obtained by trained nurses. A point-of-care device was used to measure a non-fasting lipid panel. Dried blood spots (DBS) were collected for laboratory analysis of c-reactive protein (CRP) and Apolipoprotein B (ApoB) levels. DBS specimens are being kept in frozen storage (-80° Celsius) and are available for other additional laboratory assay analyses in the future. The survey questions will be repeated for each follow-up data collection wave (as above); with phrasing modifications made to fit the post-migration context for the migrant cohort. As mentioned, objective, biological measures are only collected at baseline and 36 months later.

Self-report measures:	
Health status	Self-rated health, chronic health conditions (MOS), medication use, depressive symptoms (Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System); cognitive impairment; homesickness
Health behaviors	Physical activity (International Physical Activity Questionnaire), smoking (CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System), alcohol consumption (BRFSS), sleep quality and duration; help seeking
Diet	Food frequency questionnaire; food procurement and insecurity, dietary acculturation
Stress	Perceived stress, acculturative stress, unfair treatment
Culture	Filipino attitudes and beliefs, social identity, language use and proficiency (including English)

п	
≥	
2	
\overline{a}	
ъ	
en: first p	
÷	
ິດ	
÷	
p	
published as 10.1136/bmjc	
≚	
्र	
ษ	
ŏ	
a	
as	
<u> </u>	
.0	
<u> </u>	
승	
ത്	
6	
Ř	
- Historia	
jopen-	
Ð	
_	
36/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Dow	
2019-	
10	
Ĩ	
် မ	
Ñ	
ဖွ	
ŝ	
5	
2	
9-032966 on 14 h	
~	
2	
Φ	
3	
g	
<u><u></u></u>	
N	
ŏ	
<u></u>	
e	
ŏ	
2	
2	
S	
vnlo	
vnloa	
vnloade	
vnloaded	
vnloaded fi	
nloaded fro	
nloaded fror	
nloaded fro	
nloaded fror	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April	
nloaded fror	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, ;	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, ;	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 20	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	
nloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest.	

Socioeconomic position	Currently employed, current occupation and job duties, personal income, financial strain, remittances		
Social networks	Family and friends in the U.S. and Philippines, social isolation, social capital		
Geography	Barangay and province for migrants; postal address for non- migrants; postal address in U.S. for migrants		
Migration (migrant cohort only)	Visa type, family accompaniment, preparation, reasons for migrating, views about U.S., job waiting in U.S.		
Objective measures:			
Anthropometrics	Height (Charder brand stadiometer model HM200P), weight (Tanita Corporation digital scale model BC-541 N), waist circumference and hip circumference (average of three readings; Weight and Measure brand tape measure model CAN150)		
Biological measurements	Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (average of three readings; Omron Healthcare electronic monitor model BP785N), lipids (non- fasting total cholesterol, high-density lipoproteins, low-density lipoproteins, triglycerides; PST diagnostics CardioCheck point-of- care device PA CHECK-1708), C-reactive protein and Apolipoprotein B (via dried blood spots; Whatman 903 Protein Saver card)		

Patient and Public Involvement

No patient or public involvement.

FINDINGS TO DATE

Table 3 displays initial univariate estimates (unweighted and weighted) across a variety of self-report and objective measures indicative of baseline health status for both the migrant and non-migrant cohorts. At baseline, migrants report significantly better self-rated health and fewer depressive symptoms, and have significantly larger hip circumference and lower waist-to-hip ratio, as well as significantly higher mean systolic blood pressure and higher mean level of ApoB. For migrants, the principal reasons for emigrating were to join family members already in the U.S. (n=770) and for employment (n=62). Migrants settled across all regions of the U.S., with the top states being California, Texas, Washington, Hawaii, Florida, and Nevada, thus far.

Table 3. Mean or proportion (and standard error) for health status measures comparing
migrants and non-migrants (weighted vs. unweighted; both adjusted for age and sex).

	Migrants (n=832)		Non-migrants (n=805)		<i>p</i> -value	
	Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted	Comparing unweighted values	Comparing weighted values
Self-rated health (Excellent/Very good/Good; proportion)	.74 (.02)	.73 (.02)	.34 (.02)	.35 (.02)	.000	.000
Depressive symptoms; range: 5 (low) to 25 (high)	6.55 (.09)	6.52 (.09)	8.53 (.13)	8.51 (.14)	.000	.000
Height (cm); mean	158.09 (.20)	158.00 (.20)	155.88 (.20)	156.20 (.21)	.000	.000
Weight (kg)	60.93 (.38)	61.14 (.39)	60.33 (.44)	60.50 (.46)	.338	.275
Body mass index (kg/m²)	24.27 (.14)	24.38 (.14)	24.77 (.16)	24.72 (.17)	.057	.132
Waist circumference (cm)	86.00 (.34)	86.32 (.34)	86.41 (.39)	86.37 (.40)	.806	.969
Hip circumference (cm)	95.94 (.26)	96.10 (.26)	94.47 (.30)	94.50 (.31)	.000	.000
Waist-to-hip ratio	.89 (.00)	.89 (.00)	.91 (.00)	.91 (.00)	.000	.000
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	120.52 (.53)	121.18 (.55)	119.10 (.61)	119.02 (.63)	.007	.007
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	80.40 (.35)	80.67 (.36)	79.96 (.41)	80.09 (.43)	.144	.274
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	1.68 (.10)	1.69 (.10)	1.90 (.12)	1.91 (.12)	.19	.06
Apolipoprotein B (mg/dL)	33.24 (.53)	33.34 (.53)	24.40 (.46)	23.94 (.46)	.000	.000

NOTE: T-tests for continuous variables and z-tests for categorical variables were conducted using multilinear regression models to calculate *p*-values indicating if migrant and non-migrant groups were statistically different from each another for each predictor and for both weighted and unweighted analyses, controlling for age and sex.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

HoPES is one of the few longitudinal studies of migration and health that has enrolled participants starting from a pre-migration baseline phase in one country (Philippines) and followed them into a post-migration period in another (U.S.). Other known studies have followed migrants from Mexico to the U.S. and migrants from Russia and Ukraine to Israel.[31-34] Our study has the added feature of following a migrant cohort across continents from Asia to North America. Conventional understandings of immigrant health have relied principally on data collected after migration, for example the National Latino and Asian American Study (U.S.)[35] and the Research on Obesity and Diabetes among African Migrants project (Germany, Netherlands, United Kingdom; and Ghana allowing for comparison to non-migrants in the country of origin).[26] The New Immigrant Study does follow prospectively a cohort of adult and child immigrants in the U.S., but also captures only post-migration data.[36] Importantly, these and other studies like them offer valuable insights about the a range of health status outcomes and experiences of immigrants, including disparities in health relative to populations native to the destination country. While findings from these studies provide the basis for attending to the health needs of immigrant communities that bear disproportionate burdens of illness, this established body of literature warrants a more expansive view of immigrant health. Notably, prospective examination of health inclusive of the pre-migration period can provide a more complete picture of transitions in health throughout the dynamic nature of migration.

As mentioned above, the demographic profile of our migrant cohort is very similar to that of the recent immigrant Filipino population in the U.S., per the 2011-2013 American Community Survey. A group of non-migrants (similar in age, gender, and education) in the country of origin is enrolled, which other studies of migration and health have not included, providing opportunities for comparative data analyses. For migrants, having started from a pre-migration baseline, data represent health status and life experiences prior to migration. Data, for both migrants and non-migrants, include objective, biological measures of health status, in addition to

self-report responses that, to our knowledge, have not been collected in other longitudinal studies of migration. The collection and frozen banking of DBS creates future opportunities for laboratory analyses of emerging and yet to be developed assays. Also, meticulous efforts were undertaken to assure linguistic and cultural appropriateness of survey instruments, including data obtained through a food frequency questionnaire tailored to Filipino foods and diet. Additionally, for each participant, residential address is collected at each follow-up wave, allowing for geocoding and analyses integrating geographical information system data.

Due to the nature of the study, the non-migrants are purposefully not representative of the general population of the Philippines. This was due to our aim to evaluate the effect of migration as a "treatment." Similar to a randomized clinical trial, it is desirable to have the two cohorts similar on key characteristics at baseline. Thus, our objective for the non-migrant cohort was to enroll a group similar in characteristics (age, gender, education) to the migrant cohort, which would then render the non-migrant sample not representative of the general population. While the overall sample sizes for each cohort are sufficiently large for our study purposes, it may be limiting for analyses focused on specific sub-groups (e.g., only women with less than a high school education).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Another potential weakness relates to participation rates and potential for sampling bias. Comparing participants to eligible non-participants, per Table 1, a few demographic differences were statistically significant. For example, migrant participants were older than migrants who were eligible but did not participate; non-migrant participants tended to be more educated than non-migrants who were eligible but did not participate; and for both migrant and non-migrant cohorts, there were more females among participants than among eligible non-participants.

With any cohort study, attrition is a concern, particularly when participants are in a state of geographic movement across countries (migrants) and, likely, within country (migrants after arriving in the U.S. and non-migrants in the Philippines). It is possible, over the course of the study, that migrant participants may return to the Philippines or re-locate to other countries for

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

periods of time and that non-migrant participants may become migrants themselves (whether to the U.S. or elsewhere). While this poses additional challenges, if such cases emerge and are retained, their data can yield potentially interesting, unanticipated findings about continued migration transitions. Because we currently report only on the baseline stage of this study, retention rates and mobility patterns over the course of the study have yet to unfold. Strategies to promote retention have been implemented, such as phone calls, a newsletter, social media, and birthday greetings. Also, we intentionally over sampled to account for attrition. Lastly, our study does not include a third cohort group of U.S. born Filipinos, which would have provided another comparison group to more fully examine the immigrant health paradox.

COLLABORATION

Researchers wishing to work with HoPES data can contact the co-principal investigators – Gilbert C. Gee, PhD, Professor, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772, e-mail: gilgee@ucla.edu; and, A.B. de Castro, PhD, MSN/MPH, RN, FAAN, University of Washington School of Nursing, Box 357260, Seattle, WA 98195, e-mail: butchdec@uw.edu – to discuss analysis ideas and possible collaborative efforts. Requests are invited for studies that examine the variety of cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between sociodemographic characteristics, geographical locations (e.g. migration patterns, neighborhood/community factors), stressors, cultural shifts and both subjective and objective measures of health and health behaviors (including and beyond obesity risk related outcomes) that characterize how differential burdens of illness/disease play out in the context of migration and globalization. Also, because DBS are frozen and stored, collaborations involving studies with laboratory analysis of analytes other than CRP and Apolipoprotein B are of interest.

A data user agreement is available for interested researchers to specify a working title of their proposed project; a 1-2 paragraph abstract that articulates a research question, hypotheses, and supporting rationale; a description of the analysis plan, including the specific statistical techniques to be used; the list the specific variables needed for the analysis, including

BMJ Open

identifying dependent vs. independent variables, and control variables; and a statement of dissemination plans, including prospective journals and conference meetings where the analysis will be reported. The co-principal investigators will consider the request and determine its approval. The data user agreement also delineates a list of conditions that users must agree to; such as not sharing or distributing the data without prior authorization from the co-principal investigators, using the requested data only according to the proposed use, sharing with the co-principal investigators documentation of new variables of major study constructs, and prompt notification of submissions and acceptances for presentations and publications.

FURTHER DETAILS

Ethics review and approval to conduct HoPES were granted by the institutional review boards at the University of California, Los Angeles (U.S.), and the University of San Carlos (Cebu, Philippines).

HoPES would not have been possible without the imaginative ingenuity, collaborative spirit, and tireless efforts from multiple sources. The interdisciplinary team consists of researchers and staff from the U.S. and the Philippines with a shared interest and vision to advance the health of immigrant populations. The co-principal investigators of HoPES are Gilbert C. Gee, PhD, Professor, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, 650 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772, e-mail: gilgee@ucla.edu; and, A.B. de Castro, PhD, MSN/MPH, RN, FAAN, University of Washington School of Nursing, Box 357260, Seattle, WA 98195, e-mail: butchdec@uw.edu. In addition to the authors, others making important contributions through their knowledge, skills, and time were Anna Vivas, Elma P. Laguna, Christian Joy P. Cruz, Tita Lorna Perez, Delia B. Carba, Nikola Mae Y. Belarmino, Klarriness P. Tanalgo, Vanessa Medina, and all members of the data collection staff. The cooperation, approval, support, and assistance from staff of the Commission on Filipinos Overseas, namely Regina Galias, Ivy Miravalles, Kimberly Dizon, Golda Myra Roma, and Paul Vincent Avecilla, made it possible to recruit and enroll migrant participants. We also greatly appreciate

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 20, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

permissions granted and facilitated by government officials in local municipal jurisdictions to enter communities to recruit and enroll non-migrant participants from community households. And, we express our deep appreciation to all of the HoPES participants who generously provided their time and willingness for us to collect data measures. Lastly, we dedicate this paper to Eularito A. Tagalog in memory of his truly incomparable friendship and many selfless acts to help make this research project a reality.

CONTRIBUTORSHIP STATEMENT

Dr. de Castro led the conceptualization and writing of this paper with writing and statistical analysis assistance from Ms. Hing. Drs. Crespi, Gee, Kabamalan, Lee, and Wang collaborated to conceptualize and execute the overall HoPES project; and Ms. Llave managed HoPES project operations. All authors assisted with the writing content.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None of the authors have a competing interest with regard to the HoPES research project or the publishing of this report.

FUNDING

Primary funding for HoPES was provided by the U.S. National Institutes of Health – Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (1R01HD083574-01A1), and, prior pilot funding was provided by the U.S. National Institutes of Health – National Cancer Institute (1R21CA137297-01A1) and the California Center for Population Research at the University of California, Los Angeles (P2C-HD041022).

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

Inquiries about the questionnaire, data, and accessing data can be directed to the coprincipal investigators, Dr. Gilbert C. Gee (gilgee@ucla.edu) and Dr. A.B. de Castro (butchdec@uw.edu).

2		
3 4	RE	FERENCES
5	1.	Antecol H, Bedard K. Unhealthy assimilation: Why do immigrants converge to American
6 7		health status levels? <i>Demography</i> 2006;43(2):337-60.
8		
9 10	2.	Argeseanu Cunningham S, Ruben JD, Narayan KM. Health of foreign-born people in the
11 12		United States: A review. Health Place 2008;14(4):623-35.
13 14	3.	Frisbie WP, Cho Y, Hummer RA. Immigration and the health of Asian and Pacific Islander
15 16		adults in the United States. Am J Epidemiol 2001;153(4):372-80.
17 18	4.	Goldman N, Pebley AR, Creighton MJ, et al. The consequences of migration to the United
19 20		States for short-term changes in the health of Mexican immigrants. <i>Demography</i>
21 22		2014;51(4):1159-73.
23		
24 25	5.	Ro A. The longer you stay, the worse your health? A critical review of the negative
26 27		acculturation theory among Asian immigrants. Int J of Environ Res and Public Health
28 29		2014;11(8):8038-57.
30 31	6.	Fuller-Thomson E, Noack AM, George U. Health decline among recent immigrants to
32 33		Canada: findings from a nationally-representative longitudinal survey. Can J Public Health
34 35		2011;102(4):273-80.
36 37	7.	Alarcón RD, Parekh A, Wainberg ML, et al. Hispanic immigrants in the USA: Social and
38 39		mental health perspectives. <i>Lancet Psychiatry</i> 2016;3(9):860-70.
40 41	8	Franzini L, Ribble JC, Keddie AM. Understanding the Hispanic paradox. Ethn Dis
42 43	0.	
44		2001;11(3):496-518.
45 46	9.	Markides K, Coreil J. The health of Hispanics in the south-western United States: An
47 48		epidemiological paradox. Public Health Rep 1986;10:253-65.
49 50	10.	Zong J, Batalova J. Filipino immigrants in the United States. Migration Information Source;
51 52		March 14, 2018. Available from: https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/filipino-immigrants-
53 54		united-states. Accessed July 14, 2019.
55 56		
57		
58		17

11. Abesamis CJ, Fruh S, Hall H, et al. Cardiovascular health of Filipinos in the United States: A review of the literature. J Transcult Nurs 2016;27(5):518-28. 12. Du Y, Shih M, Lightstone AS, et al. Hypertension among Asians in Los Angeles County: Findings from a multiyear survey. Prev Med Rep 2017;6:302-6. 13. Lee JW, Brancati FL, Yeh HC. Trends in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Asians versus whites: results from the United States National Health Interview Survey, 1997-2008. Diabetes Care 2011;34(2):353-7. 14. Singh GK, Lin SC. Dramatic increases in obesity and overweight prevalence among Asian subgroups in the United States, 1992-2011. ISRN Prev Med 2013;898691. 15. Staimez LR, Weber MB, Narayan KM, et al. A systematic review of overweight, obesity, and type 2 diabetes among Asian American subgroups. Curr Diabetes Rev 2013;9(4):312-31. 16. Ye J, Rust G, Baltrus P, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors among Asian Americans: Results from a national health survey. Ann Epidemiol 2009;19(10):718-23. 17. Ayala GX, Baquero B, Klinger S. A systematic review of the relationship between acculturation and diet among Latinos in the United States: implications for future research. J Am Diet Assoc 2008;108(8):1330-44. 18. Kandula NR, Lauderdale DS. Leisure time, non-leisure time, and occupational physical activity in Asian Americans. Ann Epidemiol 2005;15(4):257-65. 19. Serafica RC. Dietary acculturation in Asian Americans. J Cult Divers 2014;21(4):145-51. 20. Tovar A, Boulos R, Sliwa S, et al. Baseline socio-demographic characteristics and selfreported diet and physical activity shifts among recent immigrants participating in the randomized controlled lifestyle intervention: "Live Well." J Immigr Minor Health 2014;16(3):457-65. 21. Zan H, Fan JX. Reporting more but moving less? The complex relationship between acculturation and physical activity among US adults. Am J Health Promot 2018;32(2):446-52.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032966 on 14 November 2019. Downlos
5
Dbe
n:
firs
p
ubli
ish
ed
ed as 10.1136/bmjopen-
10.
1
36/
m
jop
en-
20
2019-032966 on
ġ
296
600
S
1 4
Z
e Ve
тb
ĕŗ
20
019.
D
Ň
<u>ار</u>
de
ă
ron
ר <u>ד</u>
paded from http://bmj
//br
) Mi
pe
n.b
Ĕ.
8
Ŋ
on
Ap
Ē
20,
20
24
by
gu
est.
_P
rot∈
ecte
ed i
by
ç
oyri
ighi

22. Finch BK, Catalano RC, Novaco RW, et al. Employment frustration and alcohol
abuse/dependence among labor migrants in California. J Immigr Health 2003;5(4):181-6.
23. Gee GC, Ro A, Gavin A, et al. Disentangling the effects of racial and weight discrimination
on body mass index and obesity among Asian Americans. Am J Public Health
2008;98(3):493-500.
24. Tovar A, Must A, Metayer N, et al. Immigrating to the US: What Brazilian, Latin American
and Haitian women have to say about changes to their lifestyle that may be associated with
obesity. J Immigr Minor Health 2013;15(2):357-64.
25. Murphy M, Robertson W, Oyebode O. Obesity in international migration populations. Curr
Obes Res 2017;6(3):314-323.
26. Agyemang C, Beune E, Meeks K, et al. Rationale and cross-sectional study design of the
Research on Obesity and type 2 Diabetes among African Migrants: The RODAM study. BMJ
Open 2014;4(3):e004877.
27. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index,
underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: A pooled analysis of 2416
population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults.
Lancet 2017;390(10113):2627-42.
28. Ng M. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and
adults during 1980-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
2013. <i>Lancet</i> 2014;384(9945):766-81.
29. Obesity Update 2017. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
(OECD); 2017. Available from: https://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/Obesity-Update-
2017.pdf. Accessed July 14, 2019.
30. Gee GC, de Castro AB, Crespi CM, et al. Health of Philippine Emigrants Study (HoPES):
Study design and rationale. BMC Public Health 2018;18:771.
19

31. Breslau J, Borges G, Tancredi DJ, et al. Health selection among migrants from Mexico to the U.S.: Childhood predictors of adult physical and mental health. *Public Health Rep* 2011;126(3):361-70.

- Goldman N, Pebley AR, Creighton MJ, et al. The consequences of migration to the United States for short-term changes in the health of Mexican immigrants. *Demography* 2014;51(4):1159-73.
- 33. Tartakovsky E. A longitudinal study of acculturative stress and homesickness: High-school adolescents immigrating from Russia and Ukraine to Israel without parents. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2007;42(6):485-94.
- 34. Tartakovsky E. Cultural identities of adolescent immigrants: A three-year longitudinal study including the pre-migration period. *J Youth Adolesc* 2009;38(5):654-71.
- 35. Alegria M, Takeuchi D, Canino G, et al. Considering context, place and culture: the National Latino and Asian American Study. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2004;13(4):208-20.
- 36. Jasso G, Massey DS, Rosenzweig MR et al. The U.S. New Immigrant Survey: overview and preliminary results based on the new-immigrant cohorts of 1996 and 2003. in Morgan B, Nicholson B, eds. Immigration Research and Statistics Service Workshop on Longitudinal Surveys and Cross-Cultural Survey Design: Workshop Proceedings. London, UK: Crown Publishing 2005:29-46.