



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Sources of Stress and Distress in General Practitioners: A Qualitative Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017361
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Riley, Ruth; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research Spiers, Johanna; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Buszewicz, Marta; University College London, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health Taylor, Anna ; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Thornton, Gail; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Chew-Graham, Carolyn; University of Keele, Primary Care & Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research
Keywords:	General Practitioners, Depression, Anxiety, Chronic Stress, Burnout

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Sources of Stress and Distress in General Practitioners: A Qualitative Study

Ruth Riley
Senior research associate
Centre for Academic Primary Care
University of Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 928 7296
R.Riley@bristol.ac.uk

And (from June 1st 2017)

Ruth Riley
Lecturer
University of Birmingham,
Institute of Applied Health Research
Birmingham
United Kingdom

Johanna Spiers
Senior research associate
Centre for Academic Primary Care
University of Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 33 14532
Js16447@bristol.ac.uk

Marta Buszewicz
Reader in Primary Care
Research department of Primary Care and Population Health
University College London
London
United Kingdom
020 7794 0500 extn. 31016
m.buszewicz@ucl.ac.uk

Anna Taylor
Medical Student,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
University of Bristol,
Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 331 6825
at0012@my.bristol.ac.uk

Gail Thornton
Patient and Public contributor

Centre for Academic Primary Care
University of Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 9104917
gail@thorntonuk.com

Carolyn Chew-Graham
Professor of General Practice Research,
Research Institute,
Primary Care and Health Sciences,
Keele University,
Keele
United Kingdom
01782 734 717
c.a.chew-graham@keele.ac.uk

Word count: 4,096

Keywords: Qualitative, General Practitioners, Depression, Anxiety, Stress

Abstract

Objectives. This paper reports the sources of stress and distress experienced by General Practitioners (GPs) as part of a wider study exploring the barriers and facilitators to help-seeking for mental illness and burnout amongst this medical population.

Design. Qualitative study using in-depth interviews with 47 GP participants. The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, anonymised and imported into NVivo11 to facilitate data management. Data were analysed using a thematic analysis employing the constant comparative method.

Setting. England

Participants. A purposive sample of GPs participants who self-identified as: 1) currently living with mental distress, 2) returning to work following treatment, 3) off sick or retired early as a result of mental distress or 4) without experience of mental distress. Interviews were conducted face to face or over the telephone.

Results. The key sources of stress/distress related to: 1) Emotion work - the work invested and required in managing and responding to the psychosocial component of GPs' work, and dealing with abusive or confrontational patients; 2) Practice culture - practice dynamics and collegial conflict, bullying, isolation and lack of support; 3) Work role and demands - fear of making mistakes, complaints and inquests, revalidation, appraisal, inspections and financial worries.

Conclusion. In addition to addressing escalating workloads through the provision of increased resources, addressing unhealthy practice cultures is paramount. Collegial support, a willingness to talk about vulnerability and illness, and having open channels of communication, enables GPs to feel less isolated and better able to cope with the emotional and clinical demands of their work. Doctors, including GPs, are not invulnerable to the clinical and emotional demands of their work nor the effects of divisive work cultures - culture change and access to informal and formal support is therefore crucial in enabling GPs to do their job effectively and to stay well.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Few studies, employing qualitative methods, using in-depth interviews have been utilised to examine this topic – this study carried out 47 interviews with GPs from across England and contributes to a growing body of research illuminating and examining the causes and impact of chronic stress and distress amongst GPs
- Participants were self-selecting, which may be perceived as a limitation; however, the sampling of participants ensured that the sample was varied in terms of age, gender, number of years as practising GPs, level of seniority/employment status in the practice, and geographical location
- In recruiting individuals with experience of mental illness and burnout, we also included the perspectives of GPs who had no disclosed history of mental illness, which enables the data to be more widely generalizable to the GP population
- The multi-disciplinary research team independently analysed a sub-set of transcripts in order to contribute to the generation and refinement of codes to maximise rigour; emergent themes were subsequently discussed by the whole team to ensure credibility and confirmability.

INTRODUCTION

Compared with the general population, doctors, including GPs, continue to experience high levels of work place stress and burnout¹⁻⁴, with higher rates of mental illness and suicide rates⁵⁻⁸. The pressures facing GPs have been compounded by escalating bureaucracy, increased patient demand (evidenced by a 16% increase in workload over the past 7 years⁹), as well as workforce shortages and a reduction in resources¹⁰⁻¹². Currently, 90% of patient consultations take place in general practice, yet the allocated budget accounts for only 8% of the NHS total^{13 14}. Consequently, the pressure on primary care is currently at its highest ever, and is predicted to increase in the future¹⁴. It is argued that these pressures have contributed to low job satisfaction and low morale amongst staff, as well as stress, burnout and early retirement^{15 16}, which further compounds workforce shortages¹⁷. In a recent survey¹⁸, 20% of GPs indicated that the likelihood of leaving their job was ‘high’, while reported levels of stress were higher than previous years. In addition to the personal cost to individuals in terms of mental distress, the financial costs of losing doctors to burnout, early retirement and reduced clinical hours already impacts adversely on the sustainability of adequate patient services^{15 16}.

Additionally, a substantive body of evidence has identified a clear link between the experiences and wellbeing of NHS staff, including doctors, and the experiences of patients and the quality of care they receive, as indicated by health outcomes and patient satisfaction and quality of care ratings¹⁹⁻²³. Patient reported experiences are better when staff are satisfied and feel more supported by the organisation and their supervisors²¹. It is important to understand the sources of the increased levels of stress and distress, in

order to address them more effectively. This paper reports the sources of stress and distress experienced by GPs as part of a wider study exploring the barriers and facilitators to help-seeking for mental illness and burnout amongst this medical population.

METHODS

This was a multi-centre qualitative study, employing in-depth interviews with 47 GPs in England. Information about the study was advertised through professional publications such as Pulse, social media and national and local GP networks (such as Local Medical Committees (LMCs) and Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs)) in Bristol, Manchester and London. A sub-sample (n=12) were recruited through a specialist treatment service. Interested GPs were sent a participant information sheet and informed consent was taken from those willing to take part. GPs wishing to take part were asked to self-select into the following groups: 1: Living with anxiety, depression, stress and/or burnout; 2: Returning to work following treatment; 3: Off sick or retired early due to illness; 4: No mental illness. Participating GPs were reimbursed with £80 to recognise the time for their participation. Interested GPs were purposively sampled to represent as even a spread as possible across these four groups, although the largest number of participants were in group 1. Once each group was well-represented, further GPs who expressed an interest in participation were politely thanked, given an explanation about the high rates of interest in the study and told that their participation was not required.

Face-to-face (either at the participant's home, or at work) or telephone interviews lasting between 27 and 126 minutes (mean = 69 minutes) were conducted between April-September 2016. The in-depth interviews were conducted by two authors (JS, RR), both social scientists and qualitative experts, using a flexible topic guide (see Box 1). This was informed by the existing literature, input from GPs on the study team and PPI consultation exercises conducted with GP networks prior to obtaining funding. The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, anonymised and imported into NVivo11 to facilitate data management. Analysis and data collection were conducted iteratively. A thematic analysis was conducted, involving a process of constant comparison between cases²⁴. Analysis commenced with JS generating an initial coding framework, grounded in the data, which was added to and refined, with material regrouped and recoded as new data were gathered. Codes were gradually built into broader categories through comparison across transcripts and higher-level recurring themes were developed. The multi-disciplinary research team independently analysed a sub-set of transcripts in order to contribute to the generation and refinement of codes to maximise rigour. Emergent themes were discussed by the whole team to ensure credibility and confirmability.

RESULTS

Forty-seven interviews were conducted with participants. The demographic and practice characteristics of participants are included in Table 1.

<<<<insert table 1 here>>>>

Analysis of the interview transcripts and field notes identified three main themes, with corresponding sub themes relating to sources of stress/distress:

- **Emotion work** – The work invested and required in managing and responding to the psychosocial component of GPs’ work and dealing with abusive or confrontational patients
- **Practice culture** – Practice dynamics and collegial conflict, bullying, isolation and lack of support
- **Work role and demands** – Fear of making mistakes, complaints and inquests, revalidation, appraisal, inspections and financial worries

Emotion work

This theme refers to the emotion work invested and required in managing and responding to the emotional content of consultations with patients. In particular, the work required in managing confrontational patients and in treating and responding to the many psychosocial components of a GP’s work and its subsequent impact on clinicians, as these participants indicate:

I think when you’re dealing with really difficult problems, you’re dealing with lots of sadness, you’re dealing with loads of stuff that you can’t change, and people bring in and they park with you their problems and their sadnesses, and they feel better for that and you feel worse. (P20, Male Partner)

It’s like they’re [patients] hanging tiny weights on you. (P21, Female Salaried and Locum)

However, it is important to remember that while face-to-face consultations with patients can be emotionally demanding, many participants indicated that patient contact was also the most enjoyable and satisfying aspect of their work, as these participants highlight:

My job satisfaction was patients coming in and going out having got their needs attended to and happy. (P49, Female Retired)

I feel very conflicted, because I love general practice. I’ve always wanted to be a GP. I love my patients. And on a day when I’ve got time to spend with them, it’s a brilliant job. (P17, Female Partner)

A related source of stress/distress was the emotion work required in managing physically and verbally abusive or confrontational patients – another common theme reported amongst participants:

You know, it would be very much around, you know, patients who’d been quite aggressive, being quite rude, or having a particularly busy night. (P12, Female Partner)

I’ve had situations where I’ve felt say physically threatened and had to ask someone to leave. (P6, Male Salaried)

Practice Culture

Practice dynamics and collegial conflict

The stress and anxiety associated with the responsibilities related to staffing issues, practice dynamics and collegial conflict was a key source of stress amongst GP participants, as these participants indicate:

Some of the stress, in general practice as distinct from other branches of medicine, comes from the internal politics. And there have been some very stressful times with partners. (P1, Female Partner)

And then I became more unhappy with the practice. Now that's not necessarily the patients and the work of the practice. It's the difficulty I had in relationships with partners. (P51, Male Retired)

Stresses related to power politics within the practice, having decisions undermined or overturned, or as a result of a lack of input in decision making was also described by some:

And increasingly I felt that my view, decisions I think we've made, have been overturned. By the meeting. And so I felt increasingly I had less and less say in the practice and that my views weren't taken into account. (P46, Female Partner)

I just seemed to be getting over-ruled by the senior partner. (P47, Female on sick leave)

Bullying

The experience of being bullied by colleagues and/or partners was a recurring theme and a key source of distress for a significant minority of participants in this study. This bullying contributed to or exacerbated ongoing chronic stress amongst participants, and also contributed to high staff turnover in some practices:

I thought, "This is like an abusive relationship, where I'm unwell and I'm being shouted at at work. I hate coming into work. I'm crying a lot with, you know, finding it so stressful." (P31, Female Locum)

And it was just one (pause) there was one particular individual who made my life very difficult, who really I found very difficult through the whole time I was in the partnership. (P22, Male Salaried)

These participants highlighted that colleagues, including partners, could be complicit in perpetuating abuse amongst staff, through their lack of support, ambivalence or by failing to intervene:

Yeah, and they had a huge turnover of GPs [...] There was one GP who was a bit of a bully and the rest were just unsupportive. And the patients were fed up because they had had so many changes of GPs. (P37, Female off sick)

Isolation and lack of support

Feeling isolated and unsupported in their work was a dominant theme amongst the majority of the 47 GP participants, and contributed to participants' existing stress and distress. The loneliness and isolation was also compounded by the burden of responsibility and increasing workload, which left GPs with little time to connect with their colleagues. Some participants highlighted that a sense of isolation was often heightened in general practice, as most of their work was done on an individual basis:

You don't really leave your room or talk to many other people. (P26, Female Salaried)

And I think that's one of the issues that general practice particularly faces, in that you're actually quite isolated. (P29, Female Partner)

The following participant highlights that this may be a particular occupational hazard for locums, who can feel disconnected from their colleagues:

[Being a locum is] quite isolating in that you could go to a practice for the first time, never meet any of the other doctors, hardly see a nurse. You're just told, "Right, here's your room. Get on with it," and you just see a patient every ten minutes. And, yeah, I find that quite difficult. (P5, Female Salaried and Locum)

GPs' sense of working alone was exacerbated by the increase in workload, resulting in fewer opportunities for a break and time to talk to colleagues:

But as the workload increased, the coffee time became less (pause) important in everyone's day, because they would catch up with their paperwork or phone calls or extras [...] Over those years we, I think we all became more isolated and separate from one another. (P35, Female Retired)

The lack of support and acknowledgement amongst other colleagues or GP partners for those who were experiencing mental illness was also observed and experienced by many participants.

One of my colleagues went off with depression [...] and then died by suicide, which was really devastating to the practice. But it was a bit like, "Well, we've just got to carry on. We've got this job to do," and there was no time to (pause) even really acknowledge it, you know, beyond having the usual things like funerals and stuff. So it was almost swept under the carpet like, "Well, you know, let's just carry on. Let's not address what perhaps might have contributed to it at work." (P31, Female Locum)

The following participant highlights the contrast between supportive practices and those which appeared to engender a 'survival of the fittest' culture:

There may be practices that are really supportive and really want to know these things and want to help people, (laughs) but that's certainly not the case in ours. Every man for himself, I think. (P9, Male Partner)

In contrast, some GP participants clearly benefited from being part of a team and working alongside colleagues who have invested in cultivating a supportive culture over time:

I work in a fantastic team. I love all my partners. I love the team I work with. And that's something that we have created over, over that, all those years. You know, it's not just something I parachuted into; it's been carefully created by me and my partners over that time. (P19, Male Partner)

The following quote highlights the importance of support and collegiality as a protective factor for good mental health, maintaining morale and feeling less isolated in dealing with the challenges of being a GP:

The ones that are left of us at the moment are being very supportive of each other and making sure that we're not getting too bogged down with work each and that we are OK. And we keep checking on each other and, you know, "Are you alright?" And we've had a few little social things to try and get everyone's morale up and support each other and things like that. (P27, Female Partner)

Work role and demands

The roles and demands intrinsic to the work of a GP were a source of significant stress for participants. They frequently reported stress related to workload and long hours; however, we have omitted these findings since they have been widely reported previously (see Discussion). Other work-related sources of stress amongst participants included a fear of making mistakes (compounded by an increased workload), complaints and inquests, as well as the additional work associated with revalidation and appraisal, and managing finances on reduced budgets.

Fear of making mistakes

A significant source of palpable anxiety and distress for many GP participants was the fear of making a clinical mistake and the resulting repercussions. Many participants were concerned that the escalating workload directly increased the risk of making a mistake:

The fear of making mistakes is - huge. When you see really good colleagues who, because you're dealing with such an overwhelming volume of work, making hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of clinical decisions a day - they're not all gonna be right. (P24, Female Partner)

Managing Complaints

The stress of managing complaints from patients was also a common theme:

A serious complaint is a failure, isn't it? You've done something badly wrong probably, or someone thinks you've done something badly wrong. And they can be very difficult times emotionally for doctors. And um often they arrive at the times when you're most vulnerable, so quite a few people are very badly affected by complaints. (P36, Male Partner)

Revalidation, appraisal and inspections

The additional workload and stress associated with undertaking revalidation, appraisal and CQC inspections was a dominant theme amongst the participants, some of whom found the process to be punitive, unhelpful and at times overwhelming:

...a lot of younger doctors do get very stressed about appraisals actually. I mean older doctors do as well, because of all the information collecting and it just feels like, "Oh bloody hell, I'm doing the job. Can't you see I'm doing it alright? Why do I have to prove I'm doing it alright?" (P2, Female Partner)

A lot of the additional work and tick-boxing and bureaucracy we're being asked to do could be done away with. The CQC thing has kind of exploded from nowhere. We're being charged thousands of pounds (pause) to provide evidence of X, Y and Z, and there is no basis that this proves that one GP surgery is better than another, it's just an enforced grading system. (P13, Male Partner)

Financial risks for partners

Being a GP partner usually brings with it a financial burden. There was concern amongst participants that general practices are being expected to do more on fewer resources, which also has financial implications for individuals:

And it's also very worrying because, financially, you know, as a partner in the practice, if it goes under and we're at the stage where, we are very, very close to it going under (pause) I will be personally responsible for hundreds and hundreds of thousands of pounds of debt. Which means that we will lose our house. (P24, Female Partner)

DISCUSSION

These findings indicate that the sources of stress and distress for GPs are varied and relate to the occupational pressures of working as a GP, including the emotional pressures involved in managing the psychosocial elements of everyday consultations, as well as having to manage some abusive, confrontational patients and dealing with complaints. Stress and distress were also associated with the practice environment, including dysfunctional relationships at work, collegial conflict, bullying and the lack of support from colleagues, which further compounded the sense of isolation often experienced in their work. Further key sources of stress were long hours, time pressures, the fear of making mistakes, and inquests, as well as the appraisal and revalidation processes. Participants who were partners also reported tensions relating to their financial responsibilities and being expected to achieve more on reduced budgets while managing personal debt accrued by buying into the practice.

Many of these stressors were interlinked and cumulatively contributed to and/or exacerbated existing distress. Participants frequently worked with little support or supervision, often feeling isolated within their practice. Crucially, those GP participants who felt more supported in their practices, as a result of greater collegial support,

colleagues' willingness to talk about vulnerability and illness and having open channels of communication within the practice, reported feeling less isolated, more resilient and better able to cope with the emotional and clinical demands of their work.

The existing literature has identified increasing workloads, time pressures, long hours, and bureaucratic demands as key causes of work-related stress/distress amongst GPs^{10 14 25-28}. Suggestions for addressing stresses intrinsic to the work of a GP (i.e. workload, bureaucracy) have also been identified²⁶⁻²⁸. Participants in this study also highlighted sources of stress associated with the fear of making mistakes, inspections, complaints and inquests, as well as the pressures associated with revalidation and the appraisal process – the latter regarded as unhelpful, time consuming and of little value²⁹. The emotional component of work in general practice and its impact on GP wellbeing is supported by previous evidence highlighting the impact of the emotional demands of working with patients and exposure to suffering²⁵.

This study and recent findings²⁶ suggest that unhealthy practice cultures (which may be characterised by bullying or the absence of collegial support and the opportunity to discuss the emotional and clinical demands of GPs' work) are a key source of stress and distress for many GPs. As highlighted by the participants, working in an unsupportive cultural climate can cause distress and add to a burgeoning sense of isolation for many individuals, while the attitudes of some senior colleagues appeared to create or perpetuate a culture of distancing and disengagement with one's feelings and those of colleagues. Such a culture sets an unhealthy and unhelpful precedent for how feelings are expressed and managed within the work setting, which can exacerbate existing feelings of isolation and difficulties in seeking effective support, particularly for GPs in distress and those living with mental illness.

These findings have important implications for policy and practice, namely that providing a safe space for GPs to process the emotional and clinical content of their work and the potential stressors related to the organisational culture (e.g. bullying in the workplace) and relationships at work (e.g. collegial conflict) is imperative. This echoes findings from a recent systematic review on interventions to reduce burnout amongst doctors, including GPs, which found that improving communication between team members, cultivating team work, increasing job control and giving doctors permission to acknowledge and manage stress has proven effective in mitigating against burnout³⁰. GPs are expected to provide their patients with the space, opportunity and permission for them to communicate their concerns and feelings within the consultation, thus enabling individuals to voice and share their experiences and be heard³¹. However, the same is not always afforded to GPs.

In terms of prevention and provision, NHS England have committed to increasing the retention, return and recruitment of GPs and invest more resources in general practice aiming to reduce the workload, as well as providing a specialist England-wide occupational health service for GPs³². The ability to respond effectively to the emotional demands and anxiety often expressed by patients in the consultation³³ without getting overwhelmed needs to be addressed in GP training as well as ongoing support and supervision. Crucially, collegial support is a protective factor for good mental health - support from mentors, supervisors and colleagues is associated with resilience and reduced sickness³⁴. Balint groups or similarly structured group work or supervision continue to be employed in general

practice and are valued by GPs³⁵, yet are optional. Individual or group supervision aims to provide a safe and supportive space where staff can openly discuss the pressures and emotional challenges of their work and may, as previous evidence suggests, provide GPs with the support they need whilst offering protection against compassion fatigue and burnout³⁶. Their wider use may need to be re-considered. Tackling the culture of invulnerability early on in medical training is also key. Schwartz Centre Rounds®, for instance, are currently being piloted in medical schools with early evidence supporting their value³⁷. First5®, buddy systems, and access to regular supervision or mentorship can also offer support and a reflective space - talking, sharing and having one's feelings normalised, understood and validated are critical in maintaining good mental health.

Conclusion

This study highlights that the sources of stress and distress cannot solely be attributed to increases in workload and occupational stress linked to the work role demands of being a GP. Sources of stress and distress are also linked to unhealthy practice cultures, which may be characterised by bullying or the absence of collegial support and the opportunity to discuss the emotional and clinical demands of GPs' work. Such a workplace climate can cause and add to stress and distress, and leave GPs feeling isolated. Promoting compassionate and supportive work cultures is therefore imperative in addressing the dynamic interplay between the personal, professional and organisational sources of stress and distress for GPs.

Author Contribution:

RR, JS, MB, CCG— substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and final approval of the version to be published.
AT & GT - analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and final approval of the version to be published.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all volunteer participants who kindly gave their time to share their experiences of working in English general practice; and collaborators Dr. Clare Gerada and Dr. Chris Manning for endorsing this study and who provided valuable comments on the funding application and who assisted with recruitment.

Competing interests: None

Funding: The study was funded by NIHR School for Primary Care Research

Department of Health Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NIHR School for Primary Care Research, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

Ethical approval: Ethical approval was granted by the South West - Frenchay Research Ethics Committee (reference number: 15/SW/0350)

Data sharing statement: This study has not received ethical approval to share confidential data with any third party other than the study research team.

REFERENCES

- Orton P, Orton C, Pereira Gray D. Depersonalised doctors: a cross-sectional study of 564 doctors, 760 consultations and 1876 patient reports in UK general practice. *BMJ Open* 2012;2(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000274
- Chopra SS, Sotile WM, Sotile MO. Physician burnout. *JAMA* 2004;291(5):633-33. doi: 10.1001/jama.291.5.633
- Beyondblue. The Mental Health of Doctors: A Systematic Literature Review: Executive Summary, 2010.
- Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: a literature review. *Occupational Medicine* 2015:kqv088.
- Brooks SK, Gerada C, Chalder M. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: Are specialist services needed? *Journal of Mental Health* 2011:1-11.
- Richings JC, Khara, G. S. & Mcdowell, M. Suicide in young doctors. . *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1986;149:475-78.
- Hawton K, Clements A, Sakarovitch C, et al. Suicide in doctors: a study of risk according to gender, seniority and specialty in medical practitioners in England and Wales, 1979–1995. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2001;55(5):296-300. doi: 10.1136/jech.55.5.296
- Carr GD. "Physician suicide – a problem of our time". *Journal of the Mississippi State Medical Association* 2008;49(10):299-303.
- Hobbs FR, Bankhead C, Mukhtar T, et al. Clinical workload in UK primary care: a retrospective analysis of 100 million consultations in England, 2007–14. *The Lancet* 2016
- Baird B, Charles A, Honeyman M, et al. Understanding pressures in general practice. 2016
- Doran N, Fox F, Rodham K, et al. Lost to the NHS: a mixed methods study of why GPs leave practice early in England. *British journal of general practice* 2016;bjpgfeb-2016
- Department of Health. Mental health and ill health in doctors London: Department of Health, 2008.
- Hippisley-Cox J VY. Trends in Consultation Rates in General Practice 1995 to 2008: Analysis of the QResearch database. . London: NHS Information Centre.; 2009.
- Fund Ks. Improving the quality in General Practice. . London: King's Fund, 2011.
- Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, et al. How does burnout affect physician productivity? A systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:325. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-325 [published Online First: 2014/07/30]
- Dewa CS JP, Thanh NX, Loong D. An estimate of the cost of burnout on early retirement and reduction in clinical hours of practicing physicians in Canada. *MC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:254.
- NHS. Securing the Future GP Workforce Delivering the Mandate on GP Expansion. GP TASKFORCE FINAL REPORT. 2014
- DoH. National Survey of General Practitioner Job Satisfaction. 2012
- Boorman S. NHS Health and Well Being. Final Report. London: Department of Health 2009.
- Dawson J. Staff Experience And Patient Outcomes: What Do We Know? Report for NHS Employers: NHS England, 2014.
- Maben Jea. Patients' Experiences of care and the influence of staff motivation, affect and wellbeing. *Final report NIHR Service Deliver and Organisation* 2012
- Fahrenkopf A, Sectish T, Barger L, et al. Rates of medication errors among depressed and burnt out residents: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2008;336:488 - 91.
- Klein J, Frie K, Blum K, et al. Psychosocial stress at work and perceived quality of care among clinicians in surgery. *BMC Health Services Research* 2011;11(1):109.

24. Fram SM. The constant comparative analysis method outside of grounded theory. . *The Qualitative Report* 2013;18(1):1.

25. Brooks SK, Gerada, C., Chalder, M. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: Are specialist services needed? *Journal of Mental Health* 2011:1-11.

26. Doran N, Fox F, Rodham K, et al. Lost to the NHS: a mixed methods study of why GPs leave practice early in England. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016;bjgpfeb

27. Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, Hobbs RFD. GPs' perceptions of workload in England: a qualitative interview study. *British Journal of General Practice* 2017 doi: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X68884>

28. Fisher RFR, Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, et al. GP views on strategies to cope with increasing workload: a qualitative interview study. *British Journal of General Practice* 2017 doi: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X688861>

29. Curtis P, Taylor G, Riley R, et al. Written reflection in assessment and appraisal: GP and GP trainee views. *Education for Primary Care* 2017:1-9. doi: 10.1080/14739879.2016.1277168

30. Panagioti M, Lewith G, al e. Controlled intervention to reduce burnout in physicians: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2016

31. Smith P. The Emotional Labour of Nursing Revisited. . 2nd Ed ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2012.

32. England N. General Practice Forward View: NHS England, 2016.

33. Fischer MaE, G When doctors and patients talk: making sense of the consultation. : The Health Foundation., 2012.

34. Firth-Cozens JH, J. A Little too Much, in *How to Survive in Medicine: Personally and professionally*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell 2010.

35. Van Roy K VS, Inslegers R Research on Balint groups: a literature review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2015;98(6):685–94.

36. Benson J, Magraith K. Compassion fatigue and burnout: the role of Balint groups. *Australian family physician* 2005;34(6):497-8. [published Online First: 2005/06/03]

37. Gishen F, Gill, D. Introducing Schwartz rounds at UCL Medical School: UCL; 2014 [Available from: <https://uclmsnews.wordpress.com/2014/09/29/introducing-schwartz-rounds-at-ucl-medical-school/20/03/2017>].

Table 1: Participant demographics

GP Characteristics	N=47
	N
Sex (female)	33
Age	
20-29	1
30-39	12
40-49	20
50-59	14
Group	

1	19
2	9
3	11
4	8
Years since qualified <10	19
No of sessions contracted per week <5 sessions (mean actual hours worked) >5 sessions (mean actual hours worked) Fully retired	12 (15) 32 (38) 3
Mean size of practice Range	12624 3,600 – 37,000
Employment status Partner Salaried Locum Registrar Retired Sick leave More than one role	17 11 5 4 3 5 2

Box 1. Interview topic guide

Introduction and background

- Describe average working day in practice (hours, surgery, home-visits) and any additional responsibilities

Current well-being

- Describe average working day in practice (hours, surgery, home-visits) and any additional responsibilities
- Explore current well-being, feelings about work, levels of stress, work-life balance
- Explore causes of stress/distress (work load, hours, admin, clinical caseloads, organisational issues, lack of support, personal issues, pre-existing mental health symptoms)
- Explore reasons for early retirement/sickness (if relevant)

Managing stress

- Explore how GPs manage their workload/stress in their day-to-day work life, what they do to relax, to look after themselves (self-care strategies: supportive relationships, sport, exercise relaxation techniques)
- Explore relationship with colleagues and whether/how/if concerns are raised, how they are responded
- Explore if receive informal/formal supervision or mentor (1:1 or group) and experience/value of group
- Explore thoughts/feelings about seeking help, barriers to seeking help (stigma/shame, fears about confidentiality, uncertainty of where to go)

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ):
32-item checklist

Developed from:
Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
<i>Personal Characteristics</i>		
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	Page 3
2. Credentials	What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	Page 3
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Page 3
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	Page 1
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Page 3
<i>Relationship with participants</i>		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	Page 2/3
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Page 2/3
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	None

Domain 2: study design		
<i>Theoretical framework</i>		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Page 3
<i>Participant selection</i>		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Page 2/3
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Page 2/3
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Page 2
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	NA
<i>Setting</i>		
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	Page 3
15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Page 3 & 12
<i>Data collection</i>		
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Page 13
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Page 3

20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	No
21. Duration	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	Page 3
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	The researchers collected and analysed data until the point that emerging codes added nothing new to the overall story and theory
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
Domain 3: analysis and findings		
<i>Data analysis</i>		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Page 3
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	No
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Page
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	Page 3
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	No
<i>Reporting</i>		
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	3-8
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Yes
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Yes

BMJ Open

What are the Sources of Stress and Distress for General Practitioners Working in England? A Qualitative Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017361.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Jun-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Riley, Ruth; University of Birmingham, Institute of Applied Health Research; University of Bristol School of Social and Community Medicine Spiers, Johanna; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Buszewicz, Marta; University College London, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health Taylor, Anna ; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Thornton, Gail; University of Bristol, Social and Community Medicine Chew-Graham, Carolyn; University of Keele, Primary Care & Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research
Keywords:	General Practitioners, Depression, Anxiety, Chronic Stress, Burnout

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

What are the Sources of Stress and Distress for General Practitioners Working in England? A Qualitative Study

Ruth Riley
Lecturer
Institute of Applied Health Research
University of Birmingham
Birmingham
United Kingdom
r.riley@bham.ac.uk

Johanna Spiers
Senior research associate
Centre for Academic Primary Care
University of Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 33 14532
Js16447@bristol.ac.uk

Marta Buszewicz
Reader in Primary Care
Research department of Primary Care and Population Health
University College London
London
United Kingdom
020 7794 0500 extn. 31016
m.buszewicz@ucl.ac.uk

Anna Kathryn Taylor
Medical Student,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
University of Bristol,
Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 331 6825
at0012@my.bristol.ac.uk

Gail Thornton
Patient and Public contributor
Centre for Academic Primary Care
University of Bristol
United Kingdom
0117 9104917
gail@thorntonuk.com

Carolyn Chew-Graham

Professor of General Practice Research,
Research Institute,
Primary Care and Health Sciences,
Keele University,
Keele
United Kingdom
01782 734 717
c.a.chew-graham@keele.ac.uk

Word count: 4,406

Keywords: Qualitative, General Practitioners, Depression, Anxiety, Stress

Abstract

Objectives. This paper reports the sources of stress and distress experienced by General Practitioners (GPs) as part of a wider study exploring the barriers and facilitators to help-seeking for mental illness and burnout amongst this medical population.

Design. Qualitative study using in-depth interviews with 47 GP participants. The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, anonymised and imported into NVivo11 to facilitate data management. Data were analysed using a thematic analysis employing the constant comparative method.

Setting. England

Participants. A purposive sample of GP participants who self-identified as: 1) currently living with mental distress, 2) returning to work following treatment, 3) off sick or retired early as a result of mental distress or 4) without experience of mental distress. Interviews were conducted face to face or over the telephone.

Results. The key sources of stress/distress related to: 1) Emotion work - the work invested and required in managing and responding to the psychosocial component of GPs' work, and dealing with abusive or confrontational patients; 2) Practice culture - practice dynamics and collegial conflict, bullying, isolation and lack of support; 3) Work role and demands - fear of making mistakes, complaints and inquests, revalidation, appraisal, inspections and financial worries.

Conclusion. In addition to addressing escalating workloads through the provision of increased resources, addressing unhealthy practice cultures is paramount. Collegial support, a willingness to talk about vulnerability and illness, and having open channels of communication, enables GPs to feel less isolated and better able to cope with the emotional and clinical demands of their work. Doctors, including GPs, are not invulnerable to the clinical and emotional demands of their work nor the effects of divisive work cultures - culture change and access to informal and formal support is therefore crucial in enabling GPs to do their job effectively and to stay well.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Few studies employing qualitative methods, using in-depth interviews, have been utilised to examine this topic – this study carried out 47 interviews with GPs from

across England and contributes to a growing body of research illuminating and examining the causes and impact of chronic stress and distress amongst GPs.

- Participants were self-selecting, which may be perceived as a limitation; however, the sampling of participants ensured that the sample was varied in terms of age, gender, number of years as practising GPs, level of seniority/employment status in the practice, and geographical location.
- Due to time constraints, the researchers were unable to employ respondent validation; however, the second coders included academic GPs and team members with lived experience of mental illness which afforded some checks and balances to the validity of the analytic process, interpretation of data and generalizability of the research findings.
- Whilst recruiting individuals with experience of mental illness and burnout, we also included the perspectives of GPs who had no disclosed history of mental illness, which enables the data to be more widely generalizable to the GP population
- The multi-disciplinary research team independently analysed a sub-set of transcripts in order to contribute to the generation and refinement of codes to maximise rigour; emergent themes were subsequently discussed by the whole team to ensure credibility and confirmability.

INTRODUCTION

Compared with the general population, doctors, including GPs, continue to experience high levels of work place stress and burnout¹⁻⁴, with some evidence suggesting higher rates of mental illness⁵⁻⁹. The pressures facing GPs have been compounded by escalating bureaucracy, increased patient demand (evidenced by a 16% increase in workload over the past 7 years¹⁰), as well as workforce shortages and a reduction in resources^{11 12}. Currently, 90% of patient consultations take place in general practice, yet the allocated budget accounts for only 8% of the NHS total^{13 14}. Consequently, the pressure on primary care is currently at its highest ever, and is predicted to increase in the future¹³. It is argued that these pressures have contributed to low job satisfaction and low morale amongst staff, as well as stress, burnout and early retirement¹⁵⁻¹⁷, which further compounds workforce shortages¹⁸. In a recent survey¹⁹, 20% of GPs indicated that the likelihood of leaving their job was 'high', while reported levels of stress were higher than previous years. In addition to the personal cost to individuals in terms of mental distress, the financial cost of losing doctors to burnout, early retirement and reduced clinical hours already impacts adversely on the sustainability of adequate patient services^{15 16}.

Additionally, a substantive body of evidence has identified a clear link between the experiences and wellbeing of NHS staff, including doctors, and the experiences of patients and the quality of care they receive, as indicated by health outcomes and patient satisfaction and quality of care ratings²⁰⁻²³. Patient reported experiences are better when staff are satisfied and feel more supported by the organisation and their supervisors²³. It

is important to understand the sources of the increased levels of stress and distress, in order to address them more effectively. This paper reports the sources of stress and distress experienced by GPs as part of a wider study exploring the barriers and facilitators to help-seeking for mental illness and burnout amongst this medical population.

METHODS

This was a multi-centre qualitative study, employing in-depth interviews with 47 GPs in England. Information about the study was advertised through professional publications such as Pulse, social media and national and local GP networks (such as Local Medical Committees (LMCs) and Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs)) in Bristol, Manchester and London. A sub-sample (n=12) were recruited through a specialist treatment service. Interested GPs were sent a participant information sheet and informed consent was taken from those willing to take part. GPs wishing to take part were asked to self-select into the following groups: 1: Living with anxiety, depression, stress and/or burnout; 2: Returning to work following treatment; 3: Off sick or retired early due to illness; 4: No mental illness. Participating GPs were reimbursed with £80 to recognise the time for their participation. Interested GPs were purposively sampled to represent as even a spread as possible across these four groups, although the largest number of participants were in group 1. We intended to purposively sample approximately 10 participants per group. However, the majority of GPs who contacted us self-selected into group one, and due to the emergent rich data continued recruitment to this group and further exploration of emerging themes was justified in meeting the study's aims and objectives. We endeavored to recruit more participants into groups two and four using targeted publicity information, but because of time constraints those groups remained marginally under-recruited. In the event, many GPs who identified as living with no stress reported as having had experiences of stress and distress at some juncture in their career.

More female GPs contacted the study team expressing an interest in participating, and therefore the disparity in numbers reflects this. The iterative process of recruitment, sampling and analysis ensured that emerging concepts and themes could be tested out amongst participants with different characteristics (e.g. partners vs locums GPs). Further GPs who expressed an interest in participation were politely thanked, given an explanation about the high rates of interest in the study and told that their participation was not required.

Face-to-face (either at the participant's home, or at work) or telephone interviews lasting between 27 and 126 minutes (mean = 69 minutes) were conducted between April-September 2016. The recorded in-depth interviews were conducted by two authors (JS, RR), both social scientists and qualitative experts, using a flexible topic guide (see Box 1). This was informed by the existing literature, input from GPs on the study team and PPI consultation exercises conducted with GP networks prior to obtaining funding. The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, anonymised and imported into NVivo11 to facilitate data management. Analysis and data collection were conducted iteratively until data saturation was reached and no new themes were arising from the data²⁴. A thematic analysis was conducted, involving a process of constant comparison between cases²⁵. Analysis commenced with JS generating an initial coding framework, grounded in the data,

which was added to and refined, with material regrouped and recoded as new data were gathered. Codes were gradually built into broader categories through comparison across transcripts and higher-level recurring themes were developed. Reflexivity was employed throughout the research. Both interviewers were experienced qualitative researchers who both reflected on and discussed the impact of the data on their cognitive and emotional sensing throughout the study²⁶. Both researchers also discussed and made explicit how their epistemological (JS with a background in psychology and RR in medical sociology) and experiential backgrounds may have oriented the data collection and analytic process.

The multi-disciplinary research team independently analysed a sub-set of transcripts in order to contribute to the generation and refinement of codes to maximise rigour. Emergent themes were discussed by the whole team to ensure credibility and confirmability.

RESULTS

Forty-seven interviews were conducted with participants. The demographic and practice characteristics of participants are included in Table 1.

<<<<insert table 1 here>>>>

Analysis of the interview transcripts and field notes identified three main themes, with corresponding sub themes relating to sources of stress/distress:

- **Emotion work** – The work invested and required in managing and responding to the psychosocial component of GPs’ work and dealing with abusive or confrontational patients
- **Practice culture** – Practice dynamics and collegial conflict, bullying, isolation and lack of support
- **Work role and demands** – Fear of making mistakes, complaints and inquests, revalidation, appraisal, inspections and financial worries

Emotion work

This theme refers to the emotion work invested and required in managing and responding to the emotional content of consultations with patients. In particular, the work required in managing confrontational patients and in treating and responding to the many psychosocial components of a GP’s work and its subsequent impact on clinicians, as these participants indicate:

I think when you’re dealing with really difficult problems, you’re dealing with lots of sadness, you’re dealing with loads of stuff that you can’t change, and people bring in and they park with you their problems and their sadnesses, and they feel better for that and you feel worse. (P20, Male Partner)

It’s like they’re [patients] hanging tiny weights on you. (P21, Female Salaried and Locum)

However, it is important to remember that while face-to-face consultations with patients can be emotionally demanding, many participants indicated that patient contact was also the most enjoyable and satisfying aspect of their work, as these participants highlight:

My job satisfaction was patients coming in and going out having got their needs attended to and happy. (P49, Female Retired)

I feel very conflicted, because I love general practice. I've always wanted to be a GP. I love my patients. And on a day when I've got time to spend with them, it's a brilliant job. (P17, Female Partner)

A related source of stress/distress was the emotion work required in managing physically and verbally abusive or confrontational patients – another common theme reported amongst participants:

You know, it would be very much around, you know, patients who'd been quite aggressive, being quite rude, or having a particularly busy night. (P12, Female Partner)

I've had situations where I've felt say physically threatened and had to ask someone to leave. (P6, Male Salaried)

Practice Culture

Practice dynamics and collegial conflict

The stress and anxiety associated with the responsibilities related to staffing issues, practice dynamics and collegial conflict was a key source of stress amongst GP participants, as these participants indicate:

Some of the stress, in general practice as distinct from other branches of medicine, comes from the internal politics. And there have been some very stressful times with partners. (P1, Female Partner)

And then I became more unhappy with the practice. Now that's not necessarily the patients and the work of the practice. It's the difficulty I had in relationships with partners. (P51, Male Retired)

Stresses related to power politics within the practice, having decisions undermined or overturned, or as a result of a lack of input in decision making were also described by some:

And increasingly I felt that my view, decisions I think we've made, have been overturned. By the meeting. And so I felt increasingly I had less and less say in the practice and that my views weren't taken into account. (P46, Female Partner)

I just seemed to be getting over-ruled by the senior partner. (P47, Female on sick leave)

Bullying

The experience of being bullied by colleagues and/or partners was a recurring theme and a key source of distress for a significant minority of participants in this study. This bullying contributed to or exacerbated ongoing chronic stress amongst participants, and also contributed to high staff turnover in some practices:

I thought, "This is like an abusive relationship, where I'm unwell and I'm being shouted at at work. I hate coming into work. I'm crying a lot with, you know, finding it so stressful." (P31, Female Locum)

And it was just one (pause) there was one particular individual who made my life very difficult, who really I found very difficult through the whole time I was in the partnership. (P22, Male Salaried)

These participants highlighted that colleagues, including partners, could be complicit in perpetuating abuse amongst staff, through their lack of support, ambivalence or by failing to intervene:

Yeah, and they had a huge turnover of GPs [...] There was one GP who was a bit of a bully and the rest were just unsupportive. And the patients were fed up because they had had so many changes of GPs. (P37, Female off sick)

Isolation and lack of support

Feeling isolated and unsupported in their work was a dominant theme amongst the majority of the 47 GP participants, and contributed to participants' existing stress and distress. The loneliness and isolation was also compounded by the burden of responsibility and increasing workload, which left GPs with little time to connect with their colleagues. Some participants highlighted that a sense of isolation was often heightened in general practice, as most of their work was done on an individual basis:

You don't really leave your room or talk to many other people. (P26, Female Salaried)

And I think that's one of the issues that general practice particularly faces, in that you're actually quite isolated. (P29, Female Partner)

The following participant highlights that this may be a particular occupational hazard for locums, who can feel disconnected from their colleagues:

[Being a locum is] quite isolating in that you could go to a practice for the first time, never meet any of the other doctors, hardly see a nurse. You're just told, "Right, here's your room. Get on with it," and you just see a patient every ten minutes. And, yeah, I find that quite difficult. (P5, Female Salaried and Locum)

GPs' sense of working alone was exacerbated by the increase in workload, resulting in fewer opportunities for a break and time to talk to colleagues:

But as the workload increased, the coffee time became less (pause) important in everyone's day, because they would catch up with their paperwork or phone calls or extras [...] Over those years we, I think we all became more isolated and separate from one another. (P35, Female Retired)

The lack of support and acknowledgement amongst other colleagues or GP partners for those who were experiencing mental illness was also observed and experienced by many participants.

One of my colleagues went off with depression [...] and then died by suicide, which was really devastating to the practice. But it was a bit like, "Well, we've just got to carry on. We've got this job to do," and there was no time to (pause) even really acknowledge it, you know, beyond having the usual things like funerals and stuff. So it was almost swept under the carpet like, "Well, you know, let's just carry on. Let's not address what perhaps might have contributed to it at work." (P31, Female Locum)

The following participant highlights the contrast between supportive practices and those which appeared to engender a 'survival of the fittest' culture:

There may be practices that are really supportive and really want to know these things and want to help people, (laughs) but that's certainly not the case in ours. Every man for himself, I think. (P9, Male Partner)

In contrast, some GP participants clearly benefited from being part of a team and working alongside colleagues who have invested in cultivating a supportive culture over time:

I work in a fantastic team. I love all my partners. I love the team I work with. And that's something that we have created over, over that, all those years. You know, it's not just something I parachuted into; it's been carefully created by me and my partners over that time. (P19, Male Partner)

The following quote highlights the importance of support and collegiality as a protective factor for good mental health, maintaining morale and feeling less isolated in dealing with the challenges of being a GP:

The ones that are left of us at the moment are being very supportive of each other and making sure that we're not getting too bogged down with work each and that we are OK. And we keep checking on each other and, you know, "Are you alright?" And we've had a few little social things to try and get everyone's morale up and support each other and things like that. (P27, Female Partner)

Work role and demands

The roles and demands intrinsic to the work of a GP were a source of significant stress for participants. They frequently reported stress related to workload and long hours; however, we have omitted these findings since they have been widely reported previously (see Discussion). Other work-related sources of stress amongst participants included a fear of

making mistakes (compounded by an increased workload), complaints and inquests, as well as the additional work associated with revalidation and appraisal, and managing finances on reduced budgets.

Fear of making mistakes

A significant source of palpable anxiety and distress for many GP participants was the fear of making a clinical mistake and the resulting repercussions. Many participants were concerned that the escalating workload directly increased the risk of making a mistake:

The fear of making mistakes is - huge. When you see really good colleagues who, because you're dealing with such an overwhelming volume of work, making hundreds and hundreds of clinical decisions a day - they're not all gonna be right.
(P24, Female Partner)

Managing Complaints

The stress of managing complaints from patients was also a common theme:

A serious complaint is a failure, isn't it? You've done something badly wrong probably, or someone thinks you've done something badly wrong. And they can be very difficult times emotionally for doctors. And um often they arrive at the times when you're most vulnerable, so quite a few people are very badly affected by complaints. (P36, Male Partner)

Revalidation, appraisal and inspections

The additional workload and stress associated with undertaking revalidation, appraisal and CQC inspections was a dominant theme amongst the participants, some of whom found the process to be punitive, unhelpful and at times overwhelming:

...a lot of younger doctors do get very stressed about appraisals actually. I mean older doctors do as well, because of all the information collecting and it just feels like, "Oh bloody hell, I'm doing the job. Can't you see I'm doing it alright? Why do I have to prove I'm doing it alright?" (P2, Female Partner)

A lot of the additional work and tick-boxing and bureaucracy we're being asked to do could be done away with. The CQC thing has kind of exploded from nowhere. We're being charged thousands of pounds (pause) to provide evidence of X, Y and Z, and there is no basis that this proves that one GP surgery is better than another, it's just an enforced grading system. (P13, Male Partner)

Financial risks for partners

Being a GP partner usually brings with it a financial burden. There was concern amongst participants that general practices are being expected to do more on fewer resources, which also has financial implications for individuals:

And it's also very worrying because, financially, you know, as a partner in the practice, if it goes under and we're at the stage where, we are very, very close to it going under (pause) I will be personally responsible for hundreds and hundreds of

1
2
3 *thousands of pounds of debt. Which means that we will lose our house. (P24, Female*
4 *Partner)*
5
6
7

8 DISCUSSION

9
10 These findings indicate that the sources of stress and distress for GPs are varied and relate
11 to the occupational pressures of working as a GP, including the emotional pressures
12 involved in managing the psychosocial elements of everyday consultations, as well as having
13 to manage some abusive, confrontational patients and dealing with complaints. Stress and
14 distress were also associated with the practice environment, including dysfunctional
15 relationships at work, collegial conflict, bullying and the lack of support from colleagues,
16 which further compounded the sense of isolation often experienced in their work. Further
17 key sources of stress were long hours, time pressures, the fear of making mistakes, and
18 inquests, as well as the appraisal and revalidation processes. Participants who were
19 partners also reported tensions relating to their financial responsibilities and being expected
20 to achieve more on reduced budgets while managing personal debt accrued by buying into
21 the practice.
22
23

24
25 Many of these stressors were interlinked and cumulatively contributed to and/or
26 exacerbated existing distress. Participants frequently worked with little support or
27 supervision, often feeling isolated within their practice. Crucially, those GP participants
28 who felt more supported in their practices, as a result of greater collegial support,
29 colleagues' willingness to talk about vulnerability and illness and having open channels of
30 communication within the practice, reported feeling less isolated, more resilient and better
31 able to cope with the emotional and clinical demands of their work.
32
33

34
35 The existing literature has identified increasing workloads, time pressures, long hours, and
36 bureaucratic demands as key causes of work-related stress/distress amongst GPs^{5 11-13 27 28}.
37 Suggestions for addressing stresses intrinsic to the work of a GP (i.e. workload, bureaucracy)
38 have also been identified^{12 27 28}. Participants in this study also highlighted sources of stress
39 associated with the fear of making mistakes, inspections, complaints and inquests, as well as
40 the pressures associated with the revalidation and the appraisal process – the latter
41 regarded as unhelpful, time consuming and of little value²⁹. The emotional component of
42 work in general practice and its impact on GP wellbeing is supported by previous evidence
43 highlighting the impact of the emotional demands of working with patients and exposure to
44 suffering⁵.
45
46

47
48 This study and recent findings¹² suggest that unhealthy practice cultures (which may be
49 characterised by bullying or the absence of collegial support and the opportunity to discuss
50 the emotional and clinical demands of GPs' work) are a key source of stress and distress for
51 many GPs. As highlighted by the participants, working in an unsupportive cultural climate
52 can cause distress and add to a burgeoning sense of isolation for many individuals, while the
53 attitudes of some senior colleagues appeared to create or perpetuate a culture of distancing
54 and disengagement with one's feelings and those of colleagues. Such a culture sets an
55 unhealthy and unhelpful precedent for how feelings are expressed and managed within the
56
57
58
59
60

work setting, which can exacerbate existing feelings of isolation and difficulties in seeking effective support, particularly for GPs in distress and those living with mental illness.

These findings have important implications for policy and practice, namely that providing a safe space for GPs to process the emotional and clinical content of their work and the potential stressors related to the organisational culture (e.g. bullying in the workplace) and relationships at work (e.g. collegial conflict) is imperative. This echoes findings from a recent systematic review on interventions to reduce burnout amongst doctors, including GPs, which found that improving communication between team members, cultivating team work, increasing job control and giving doctors permission to acknowledge and manage stress has proven effective in militating against burnout³⁰. GPs are expected to provide their patients with the space, opportunity and permission for them to communicate their concerns and feelings within the consultation, thus enabling individuals to voice and share their experiences and be heard³¹. However, the same is not always afforded to GPs.

In terms of prevention and provision, NHS England have committed to increasing the retention, return and recruitment of GPs and investing more resources in general practice aiming to reduce the workload, as well as providing a specialist England-wide occupational health service for GPs³². This new GP occupational health service is also available to support individuals who are affected by toxic work cultures such as the bullying, collegial conflict and practice dynamics highlighted in this research. The ability to respond effectively to the emotional demands and anxiety often expressed by patients in the consultation³³ without feeling overwhelmed needs to be addressed in GP training as well as in ongoing support and supervision. Crucially, collegial support is a protective factor for good mental health - support from mentors, supervisors and colleagues is associated with resilience and reduced sickness³⁴. Balint groups or similarly structured group work or supervision continue to be employed in general practice and are valued by GPs³⁵, yet are optional. Individual or group supervision aims to provide a safe and supportive space where staff can openly discuss the pressures and emotional challenges of their work and may, as previous evidence suggests, provide GPs with the support they need whilst offering protection against compassion fatigue and burnout³⁶. Their wider use may need to be re-considered. Tackling the culture of invulnerability early on in medical training is also key. Schwartz Centre Rounds®, for instance, are currently being piloted in medical schools with early evidence supporting their value³⁷. First5®, buddy systems and access to regular supervision or mentorship can also offer support and a reflective space - talking, sharing and having one's feelings normalised, understood and validated are critical in maintaining good mental health.

Conclusion

This study highlights that the sources of stress and distress cannot solely be attributed to increases in workload and occupational stress linked to the work role demands of being a GP. Sources of stress and distress are also linked to unhealthy practice cultures, which may be characterised by bullying or the absence of collegial support and the opportunity to discuss the emotional and clinical demands of GPs' work. Such a workplace climate can cause and add to stress and distress, and leave GPs feeling isolated. Promoting compassionate and supportive work cultures is therefore imperative in addressing the

dynamic interplay between the personal, professional and organisational sources of stress and distress for GPs.

Author Contribution:

RR, JS, MB, CCG— substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and final approval of the version to be published.

AT & GT - analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and final approval of the version to be published.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all volunteer participants who kindly gave their time to share their experiences of working in English general practice; and collaborators Dr. Clare Gerada and Dr. Chris Manning for endorsing this study and who provided valuable comments on the funding application and who assisted with recruitment.

Competing interests: None

Funding: The study was funded by NIHR School for Primary Care Research

Department of Health Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NIHR School for Primary Care Research, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

Ethical approval: Ethical approval was granted by the South West - Frenchay Research Ethics Committee (reference number: 15/SW/0350)

Data sharing statement: This study has not received ethical approval to share confidential data with any third party other than the study research team.

REFERENCES

1. Beyondblue. The Mental Health of Doctors: A Systematic Literature Review: Executive Summary, 2010.
2. Chopra SS, Sotile WM, Sotile MO. Physician burnout. JAMA 2004;**291**(5):633-33.
3. Orton P, Orton C, Pereira Gray D. Depersonalised doctors: a cross-sectional study of 564 doctors, 760 consultations and 1876 patient reports in UK general practice. BMJ Open 2012;**2**(1).
4. Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: a literature review. Occupational Medicine 2015:kqv088.
5. Brooks SK, Gerada C, Chalder M. Review of literature on the mental health of doctors: Are specialist services needed? Journal of Mental Health 2011:1-11.
6. Carr GD. "Physician suicide – a problem of our time". Journal of the Mississippi State Medical Association 2008;**49**(10):299-303.
7. Hawton K, Clements A, Sakarovich C, et al. Suicide in doctors: a study of risk according to gender, seniority and specialty in medical practitioners in England and Wales, 1979–1995. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health 2001;**55**(5):296-300.

8. Richings JC, Khara, GS, Mcdowell M. Suicide in young doctors. . British Journal of Psychiatry 1986;**149**:475-78.

9. Department of Health. Mental health and ill health in doctors London: Department of Health, 2008.

10. Hobbs FR, Bankhead C, Mukhtar T, et al. Clinical workload in UK primary care: a retrospective analysis of 100 million consultations in England, 2007–14. The Lancet 2016.

11. Baird B, Charles A, Honeyman M, et al. Understanding pressures in general practice. 2016.

12. Doran N, Fox F, Rodham K, et al. Lost to the NHS: a mixed methods study of why GPs leave practice early in England. Br J Gen Pract 2016;**bjgpfeb**.

13. King's Fund. Improving the quality in General Practice. . London: King's Fund, 2011.

14. Hippisley-Cox J, Vinogradova Y. Trends in Consultation Rates in General Practice 1995 to 2008: Analysis of the QResearchs database. . London: NHS Information Centre:, 2009.

15. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, et al. How does burnout affect physician productivity? A systematic literature review. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;**14**:325.

16. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato, S et al. An estimate of the cost of burnout on early retirement and reduction in clinical hours of practicing physicians in Canada. MC Health Serv Res 2014;**14**:254.

17. Firth-Cozens J. A perspective on stress and depression. . In: J. Cox JK, A. Hutchinson & P. McAvoy, ed. Understanding doctors' performance. Oxford: Radcliffe Publishing., 2006:22–25.

18. NHS. Securing the Future GP Workforce Delivering the Mandate on GP Expansion. GP TASKFORCE FINAL REPORT. 2014.

19. DoH. National Survey of General Practitioner Job Satisfaction. 2012.

20. Boorman S. NHS Health and Well Being. Final Report. London: Department of Health 2009.

21. Dawson J. Staff Experience And Patient Outcomes: What Do We Know? Report for NHS Employers: NHS England, 2014.

22. Fahrenkopf A, Sectish T, Barger L, et al. Rates of medication errors among depressed and burnt out residents: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2008;**336**:488 - 91.

23. Maben J. Patients' Experiences of care and the influence of staff motivation, affect and wellbeing. Final report NIHR Service Deliver and Organisation 2012.

24. Sandelowski MS. Sample size in qualitative research. Res Nurs Health 1995;**18**:179-83.

25. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology 2006;**3**(2):77-101.

26. Hubbard GB, Kemmer D. Working with emotion: issues for the researcher in fieldwork and teamwork. Int J Social Research Methodology 2001;**4**(4):119-37.

27. Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, Hobbs RFD. GPs' perceptions of workload in England: a qualitative interview study. British Journal of General Practice 2017.

28. Fisher RFR, Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, et al. GP views on strategies to cope with increasing workload: a qualitative interview study. British Journal of General Practice 2017.

29. Curtis P, Taylor G, Riley R, et al. Written reflection in assessment and appraisal: GP and GP trainee views. Education for Primary Care 2017:1-9.

30. Panagioti M, Lewith G, et al. Controlled intervention to reduce burnout in physicians: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2016.
31. Smith P. The Emotional Labour of Nursing Revisited. 2nd Ed ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.
32. NHS. General practice forward view. Secondary General practice forward view 2016. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/gpfv/>.
33. Fischer M & Ereaut G When doctors and patients talk: making sense of the consultation. : The Health Foundation., 2012.
34. Firth-Cozens JH. *A Little too Much, in How to Survive in Medicine: Personally and professionally*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.
35. Van Roy K, Vanheule S, Inslegers R. Research on Balint groups: a literature review. Patient Educ Couns 2015;**98**(6):685–94.
36. Benson J, Magraith K. Compassion fatigue and burnout: the role of Balint groups. Australian family physician 2005;**34**(6):497-8.
37. Gishen F, Gill D. Introducing Schwartz rounds at UCL Medical School. Secondary Introducing Schwartz rounds at UCL Medical School 2014. <https://uclmsnews.wordpress.com/2014/09/29/introducing-schwartz-rounds-at-ucl-medical-school/>.

Table 1: Participant demographics

GP Characteristics	N=47
	N
Sex (female)	33
Age	
20-29	1
30-39	12
40-49	20
50-59	14
Group	
1	19
2	9
3	11
4	8
Years since qualified	
<10	19
No of sessions contracted per week	
<5 sessions (mean actual hours worked)	12 (15)
>5 sessions (mean actual hours worked)	32 (38)
Fully retired	3
Mean size of practice	12624
Range	3,600 – 37,000
Employment status	
Partner	17
Salaried	11
Locum	5

Registrar	4
Retired	3
Sick leave	5
More than one role	2

Box 1. Interview topic guide

Introduction and background

- Describe average working day in practice (hours, surgery, home-visits) and any additional responsibilities

Current well-being

- Describe average working day in practice (hours, surgery, home-visits) and any additional responsibilities
- Explore current well-being, feelings about work, levels of stress, work-life balance
- Explore causes of stress/distress (work load, hours, admin, clinical caseloads, organisational issues, lack of support, personal issues, pre-existing mental health symptoms)
- Explore reasons for early retirement/sickness (if relevant)

Managing stress

- Explore how GPs manage their workload/stress in their day-to-day work life, what they do to relax, to look after themselves (self-care strategies: supportive relationships, sport, exercise relaxation techniques)
- Explore relationship with colleagues and whether/how/if concerns are raised, how they are responded
- Explore if receive informal/formal supervision or mentor (1:1 or group) and experience/value of group
- Explore thoughts/feelings about seeking help, barriers to seeking help (stigma/shame, fears about confidentiality, uncertainty of where to go)

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
<i>Personal Characteristics</i>		
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	Page 3
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	Page 3
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Page 3
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	Page 1
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Page 3
<i>Relationship with participants</i>		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	Page 2/3
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Page 2/3
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	None

Domain 2: study design		
<i>Theoretical framework</i>		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Page 3
<i>Participant selection</i>		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Page 2/3
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Page 2/3
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Page 2
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	NA
<i>Setting</i>		
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	Page 3
15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Page 3 &12
<i>Data collection</i>		
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Page 13
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	No
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Page 3

20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	No
21. Duration	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	Page 3
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	The researchers collected and analysed data until the point that emerging codes added nothing new to the overall story and theory
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
Domain 3: analysis and findings		
<i>Data analysis</i>		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Page 3
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	No
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Page
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	Page 3
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	No
<i>Reporting</i>		
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	3-8
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Yes
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Yes