BMJ Open

Does T wave inversion in lead aVL predict mid-segment left anterior descending lesion in acute coronary syndrome?

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2015-010268
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Oct-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Nakanishi, Nobuto; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology Goto, Tadahiro; Massachusetts General Hospital, Emergency medicine Ikeda, Tomoya ; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology Kasai, Atsunobu ; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Diagnostics
Keywords:	T wave inversion, electrocardiography, acute coronary syndrome, left anterior descending lesion

Nobuto Nakanishi

Does T wave inversion in lead aVL predict mid-segment left anterior descending lesion in acute coronary syndrome?

ABSTRACT

Objectives : Recent studies have reported that T wave inversion in lead aVL is associated with mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion. However, limited data is available regarding the predictive value of electrocardiographic T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion among patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Setting: Retrospective single-centre study, using a prospectively-collected coronary angiography database.

Participants: We included consecutive adult patients with suspected ACS who underwent emergency percutaneous coronary intervention within 24 h after arriving at the hospital. We excluded patients who met the following criteria: 1) patients who did not undergo an ECG before PCI, 2) patients with complete occlusion of the left main trunk and proximal-segment left anterior descending artery and 3) patients diagnosed with vasospastic angina.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: The primary outcome was MLAD lesion >50%. The other outcome of interest was MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS. We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values for each outcome, with stratification of the presence of isolated T wave inversion.

Results: T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 32.9%, specificity of 48.2%, positive predictive value of 27.6%, and negative predictive value of 54.5% for predicting MLAD lesion. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 9.8%, specificity of 86.9%, positive predictive value of 30.8%, and negative predictive value of 61.7% for predicting MLAD

lesion. These diagnostic values did not change materially with focusing on patients with MLAD lesion as the cause.

Conclusions: In our study, T wave inversion in lead aVL had low sensitivity and specificity for predicting MLAD lesion. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a high specificity. Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL among patients with ACS. (298 Words)

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study to evaluate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion among patients with acute coronary syndrome.
- Although previous studies demonstrated that the usefulness of diagnostic values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion, our observation did not show the usefulness among ACS patients.
- Because this study is a single-centre, retrospective study, the generalizability of our inferences might be limited.
- Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL among patients with ACS.

Nobuto Nakanishi

1. INTRODUCTION

The 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) is a fundamental tool to diagnose acute coronary syndrome (ACS) because ST-T changes in ECG reflect myocardial ischemia. Based on the diagnosis and prediction of ischemic lesions using ECG, cardiologists can provide early therapeutic intervention for patients with ACS.[1] T wave inversion in lead aVL has been reported to be a reciprocal change of inferior wall infarctions, mostly caused by right coronary artery lesions.[2, 3]

However, several recent, small studies have suggested that T wave inversion in lead aVL is associated with mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion.[4-6] For example, a prospective observational study reported that the T wave inversion in lead aVL was significantly associated with MLAD lesion >50% among patients with chronic stable angina.[5] Another retrospective study from the US, using data of 431 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), reported that the sensitivity of isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion >50% was 76.7%, and the specificity was 71.4%.[4] However, these studies were conducted in limited population samples (e.g., single-centre studies, including non-emergency PCI), thereby limiting the generalizability of their inferences for patients with suspected ACS. Despite the clinical significance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for the early detection of ischemic lesions, the association between T wave inversion in lead aVL and MLAD lesion >50% among patients with ACS is yet to be elucidated.

To address this gap in the current literature, we aimed to investigate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion among patients who underwent emergency PCI for ACS.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study Design and Settings

This is a retrospective analysis using data from the coronary angiography (CAG) database at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013. The Ise Red Cross Hospital had 655 beds (medical and surgical), with approximately 243,000 outpatients and 230,000 admissions in 2013. There were 18,000 emergency department visits, and 400 PCI were performed annually (including 120 cases of emergency PCI for ACS). Since 1985, all CAG and PCI data has been prospectively collected for the CAG database. All data including patient's demographics, ECG findings, CAG findings, and treatment data, were registered by cardiologists. This study was approved by the clinical research ethics committee at the Ise Red Cross Hospital.

2.2. Study Population

We included consecutive adult patients who underwent emergency PCI. Emergency PCI was defined as PCI performed for patients with suspected ACS within 24 h after arriving at the hospital.[7, 8] We excluded patients who met the following criteria: 1) patients who did not undergo an ECG before PCI, 2) patients with complete occlusion of the left main trunk and proximal-segment left anterior descending artery (i.e., we could not evaluate the MLAD lesion), and 3) patients diagnosed with vasospastic angina.

2.3. T wave inversion

Based on a joint recommendation of the American Heart Association (AHA), the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCP), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRP),[9] we defined the T wave inversion as T wave ≤ -0.1 mV, compared with the baseline from the end

of the T wave to the beginning of the P wave. The T wave inversion in lead aVL was measured by cardiologists who were blinded to the results. In addition, we defined isolated T wave inversion as the presence of T wave inversion only in lead aVL regardless of ST elevation in other leads, using a previously described classification scheme for isolated T wave inversion.[4, 9]

2.4. Outcome and Measured Variables

The primary outcome was MLAD lesion >50%. MLAD was defined as the first septal branch to the point where left anterior descending artery forms an angle in right anterior oblique view.[10] The other outcome of interest was the MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS. The MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS was defined as 1) the MLAD lesion where PCI was performed or 2) the MLAD lesion diagnosed as the cause by the PCI operator. Data on patient demographics, including age, sex, smoking, family history of coronary artery diseases, hypertension, history of myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidaemia, were collected from the database and medical charts of our hospital.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

Continuous data were presented as the median (interquartile ranges [IQR]), whereas categorical data were expressed as number (%), with differences analysed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion (positive predictive value [PPV] and negative predictive value [NPV]) with stratification by the presence of isolated T wave inversion. For sensitivity analysis, we repeated the analysis after excluding the patients

with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block because these are associated with T wave inversion.[4, 11] Data analyses were conducted using R statistical software version 3.0.3 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria). All statistical tests were two-tailed, and the chosen type 1 error rate was $p < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS

A total of 745 patients underwent PCI at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013. Among these, 263 patients underwent emergency PCI. Of 44 patients who did not meet the inclusion criteria, 2 patients did not undergo ECG before PCI, and 42 patients had complete occlusion of the left main trunk or proximal-segment left anterior descending artery; therefore, 219 patients were eligible for analysis (**Figure 1**).

The median age of the patients was 71 (63–78) years, and 167 patients were male (76%, **Table 1**). The most common coronary risk factors were hypertension (74%), dyslipidaemia (65%), and smoking (50%). Of 219 patients, 137 (63%) patients were diagnosed with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (**Table 2**). The right coronary artery was the site of the most frequent causative lesion, followed by the left anterior descending artery. Single-vessel disease was diagnosed in approximately half of the patients.

Among 219 patients, 82 patients had MLAD lesion >50% (**Table 3**). T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 32.9% (95% confidence interval [CI], 22.9%–44.2%), specificity of 48.2% (95% CI, 39.6%–56.9%), positive predictive value of 27.6% (95% CI, 19.0%–37.5%), and negative predictive value of 54.5% (95% CI, 45.2%–63.6%) for predicting MLAD lesion. By contrast, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 9.8% (95% CI, 4.3%–18.3%), specificity of 86.9% (95% CI, 80.0%–92.0%), positive predictive value of 30.8% (95% CI, 14.3%–51.8%), and negative predictive value of 61.7% (95% CI, 54.4%–68.5%) for predicting MLAD lesion. Focusing on patients with the MLAD lesion as the cause, T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 13.5% (95% CI, 4.5%–28.8%), specificity of 48.9% (95% CI, 41.4%–56.4%), positive predictive value of 5.1% (95% CI, 1.7%–11.5%), and negative predictive value of 73.6% (95% CI, 64.8%–81.2%) for predicting MLAD lesion as the cause. By

contrast, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a higher specificity of 86.3% (95% CI, 80.4%–90.9%) for predicting the MLAD lesion as the cause.

In sensitivity analysis after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block, the performance of the T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion did not change materially (**Table 4**). Focusing on patients with the MLAD lesion as the cause, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a higher specificity of 85.6% (95% CI, 78.2%–91.2%) for predicting the MLAD lesion as the cause.

4. DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study using data from the CAG database at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013, we found that, among patients with ACS, the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion was unsatisfactory. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had high specificity for predicting MLAD lesion, even after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion among patients who underwent emergency PCI for ACS.

T wave inversion in ECG is vital to the early diagnosis and detection of ischemic lesion for patients with suspected ACS. Reciprocal changes in ECG are recognized earlier than the ST elevation as the reflection of the ischemic lesion in ACS,[2, 3] and 6% of ACS patients had only reciprocal changes without ST elevation.[3] To date, several studies have focused on the diagnostic values of T wave inversion for predicting MLAD lesion.[4, 5, 12] Among patients with chronic stable angina, the odds ratio of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion was 2.93.[5] In another study, T wave inversion in leads aVL and I had a sensitivity of 86.5% and specificity of 55.6% for predicting MLAD lesion.[4] However, in our study, T wave inversion in lead aVL had a low sensitivity of 32.9% and a specificity of 48.2% for predicting MLAD lesion.

The reasons for the disparities in the diagnostic values among studies are likely multifactorial. First, although the definition of T wave inversion was unclear in previous studies,[5, 6, 12] we clearly defined T wave inversion according to the AHA definition[9]; therefore, our findings were less likely to be subject to information bias. Second, a previous study used a combination of T wave inversion in lead aVL and lead I to estimate the diagnostic

values.[4] Third, the disparities in the diagnostic values may be attributable to the differences in study population, settings, or any combination of these factors. For example, the study by Farhan *et al*. that reported the effectiveness of T wave inversion in lead aVL in diagnosing coronary artery disease was limited to patients with chronic stable angina.[5] Another study included patients who underwent non-emergency PCI (i.e., elective PCI).[4] Fourth, multivessel lesions cause complicated ECG changes, thereby the differences in the proportions of multivessel lesions compared with previous studies may have been influential.[2] Indeed, the previous two studies had more multivessel lesions than our study, (53.9% in our study vs. 61.2% in Farhan's study, $p = 0.16$; and vs. 70.7% in Hassen's study, $p < 0.01$).[4, 5]

Although we did not show the diagnostic usefulness of T wave inversion in lead aVL accompanying T wave inversion in other leads, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL (i.e., the presence of T wave inversion only in lead aVL) had a high specificity of 86.9% for predicting MLAD lesion. Because treatment strategy and complications depend on the infarction site,[7] isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL might help to predict the site of the ischemic lesion, resulting in improved patient outcome. Moreover, in agreement with the study reported that approximately 75% of physicians missed an isolated T wave inversion,[12] our findings underscore the importance of cautious interpretation of T wave inversion as a clue to predict the ischemic lesion.

4.1. Limitations

Our study has several potential limitations. First, because this study is a single-centre study, the generalizability of our inferences is limited. Nevertheless, we analysed the consecutive data during 2012–2013 with the definition of T wave inversion based on AHA guidelines.[9] Moreover, all T wave inversions were evaluated by cardiologists who were blinded to the results of PCI. Second, as with any other observational studies focused on patients with ACS, we could not differentiate whether the MLAD lesion had newly occurred or not. However, our inferences were not changed materially among patients with ACS caused by the MLAD lesion as the cause. Finally, we included patients who underwent PCI. Therefore, our inferences should be used for predicting the ischemic lesion, and not for diagnosing ACS.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In our study, T wave inversion in lead aVL based on the guidelines, had low sensitivity and specificity for predicting MLAD lesion. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had high specificity. Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL, particularly for the isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL. Our findings facilitate further studies to validate the diagnostic values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting ischemic lesions.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge to Japanese Emergency Medicine Network (JEMNet) and cardiologist in Ise Red Cross Hospital for designing and helping this research.

Competing interests

None.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Contributors

NN was involved in study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript. TG took part in study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript. TK was involved in acquisition of the data. AK took part in analysis and interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Ethics approval

Ise Red Cross Hospital.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1. Zimetbaum PJ, Josephson ME. Use of the electrocardiogram in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:933-40.

2. Kracoff OH, Adelman AG, Marquis JF, et al. Twelve-lead electrocardiogram recording during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Analysis of reciprocal changes. *J Electrocardiol* 1990;23:191-8.

3. Kracoff OH, Adelman AG, Oettinger M, et al. Reciprocal changes as the presenting electrocardiographic manifestation of acute myocardial ischemia. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;71:1359-62.

4. Hassen GW, Costea A, Smith T, et al. The neglected lead on electrocardiogram: T wave inversion in lead aVL, nonspecific finding or a sign for left anterior descending artery lesion? *J Emerg Med* 2014;46:165-70.

5. Farhan HL, Hassan KS, Al-Belushi A, et al. Diagnostic Value of Electrocardiographic T Wave Inversion in Lead aVL in Diagnosing Coronary Artery Disease in Patients with Chronic Stable Angina. *Oman Med J* 2010;25:124-7.

6. Hassen GW, Talebi S, Fernaine G, et al. Lead aVL on electrocardiogram: emerging as important lead in early diagnosis of myocardial infarction? *Am J Emerg Med* 2014;32:785-8.

7. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2013;127:e362-425.

8. Mehta SR, Granger CB, Boden WE, et al. Early versus delayed invasive intervention in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:2165-75.

9. Rautaharju PM, Surawicz B, Gettes LS, et al. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part IV: the ST segment, T and U waves, and the QT interval: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society: endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. *Circulation* 2009;119:e241-50.

10. Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, et al. A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee for Grading of Coronary Artery Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1975;51:5-40.

11. Hayden GE, Brady WJ, Perron AD, et al. Electrocardiographic T-wave inversion: differential diagnosis in the chest pain patient. *Am J Emerg Med* 2002;20:252-62.

12. Hassen GW, Costea A, Carrazco C, et al. Isolated T Wave Inversion in Lead aVL: An ECG Survey and a Case Report. *Emerg Med Int* 2015;2015:250614.

Nobuto Nakanishi

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Flowchart of patients included in this study.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients who underwent emergency percutaneous coronary intervention

Variables	Overall (n=219)
Age, median (IQR)	71 (63–78)
Male sex	167 (76)
Type of coronary risk factors	
Smoking	110 (50)
Family history of coronary artery diseases	37 (18)
Hypertension	161 (74)
History of myocardial infarction	27 (12)
Diabetes mellitus	76 (35)
Dyslipidaemia	143 (65)
Hyper triglyceride (TG)	51 (23)
Hyper low-density lipoprotein (LDL)	89 (41)
Low high-density lipoprotein (HDL)	32 (15)

Abbreviation; IQR, interquartile range

Data were expressed as n (%) unless otherwise indicated

Table 2. Angiographic data of patients who underwent emergency percutaneous coronary intervention

Variables	Overall (n=219)
ST-elevation myocardial infarction	137 (63)
Causative lesion	
Right coronary artery	101 (46)
Left main trunk	5 (2)
Left anterior descending artery	78 (36)
Left circumflex artery	32 (15)
High lateral branch	3 (1)
Coronary lesions	
Single-vessel disease	101 (46)
Double-vessel disease	65 (30)
Triple-vessel disease	53 (24)
No of lesions in left anterior descending artery*	
0	86 (39)
1	108 (49)
2	23 (11)
3	2 (1)

Data were expressed as n (%)

*No of lesions in left anterior descending artery doesn't include diagonal branch

Table 3. Performance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting mid-segment left anterior descending lesion

MLAD lesion	Overall (n=219)	MLAD >50% n = 82	MLAD ≤50% n = 137	p value
T wave inversion in lead aVL*	98 (45)	27 (33)	71 (52)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL†	26 (12)	8 (10)	18 (13)	0.59
MLAD lesion as the cause	**Overall (n=219)**	**Cause (+) n = 37**	**Cause (-) n = 182**	**p value**
T wave inversion in lead aVL‡	98 (45)	5 (14)	93 (51)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL§	26 (12)	1 (3)	25 (14)	0.09

Data were expressed as n (%)

Abbreviation; ECG, electrocardiogram; MLAD, mid-segment left anterior descending artery; CI, confidence interval

* Sensitivity 32.9% (95% CI, 22.9%–44.2%), specificity 48.2% (95% CI, 39.6%–56.9%), positive predictive value 27.6% (95% CI, 19.0%–37.5%), and negative predictive value 54.5% (95% CI, 45.2%–63.6%).

† Sensitivity 9.8% (95% CI, 4.3%–18.3%), specificity 86.9% (95% CI, 80.0%–92.0%), positive predictive value 30.8% (95% CI, 14.3%–51.8%), and negative predictive value 61.7% (95% CI, 54.4%–68.5%).

‡ Sensitivity 13.5% (95% CI, 4.5%–28.8%), specificity 48.9% (95% CI, 41.4%–56.4%), positive predictive value 5.1% (95% CI, 1.7%–11.5%), and negative predictive value 73.6% (95% CI, 64.8%–81.2%).

§ Sensitivity 2.7% (95% CI, 0.1%–14.2%), specificity 86.3% (95% CI, 80.4%–90.9%), positive predictive value 3.8% (95% CI, 0.1%–19.6%), and negative predictive value 81.3% (95% CI, 75.1%–86.6%).

Table 4. Performance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting mid-segment left anterior descending lesion, after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block

MLAD lesion	Overall (n=154)	MLAD >50% n = 58	MLAD ≤50% n = 96	p value
T wave inversion in lead aVL*	65 (42)	18 (31)	47 (49)	0.04
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL†	19 (12)	5 (9)	14 (15)	0.40
MLAD lesion as the cause	**Overall (n=154)**	**Cause (+) n = 29**	**Cause (-) n = 125**	**p value**
T wave inversion in lead aVL‡	65 (42)	4 (14)	61 (49)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL§	19 (12)	1 (3)	18 (14)	0.13

Data were expressed as n (%)

Abbreviation; ECG, electrocardiogram; MLAD, mid-segment left anterior descending artery; CI, confidence interval

* Sensitivity 31.0% (95% CI, 19.5%–44.5%), specificity 51.0% (95% CI, 40.6%–61.4%), positive predictive value 27.7% (95% CI, 17.3%–40.2%), and negative predictive value 55.1% (95% CI, 44.1%–65.6%).

† Sensitivity 8.6% (95% CI, 2.9%–19.0%), specificity 85.4% (95% CI, 76.7%–91.8%), positive predictive value 26.3% (95% CI, 9.1%–51.2%), and negative predictive value 60.7% (95% CI, 52.0%–69.0%).

‡ Sensitivity 13.8% (95% CI, 3.9%–31.7%), specificity 51.2% (95% CI, 42.1%–60.2%), positive predictive value 6.2% (95% CI, 1.7%–15.0%), and negative predictive value 71.9% (95% CI, 61.4%–80.9%).

§ Sensitivity 3.4% (95% CI, 0.1%–17.8%), specificity 85.6% (95% CI, 78.2%–91.2%), positive predictive value 5.3% (95% CI, 0.1%–26.0%), and negative predictive value 79.3% (95% CI, 71.4%–85.8%).



Figure 1. Flowchart of patients included in this study.
254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

STARD checklist for reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy

(version January 2003)

Section and Topic	Item #		On page #
TITLE/ABSTRACT/ KEYWORDS	1	Identify the article as a study of diagnostic accuracy (recommend MeSH heading 'sensitivity and specificity').	1
INTRODUCTION	2	State the research questions or study aims, such as estimating diagnostic accuracy or comparing accuracy between tests or across participant groups.	2
METHODS			
Participants	3	The study population: The inclusion and exclusion criteria, setting and locations where data were collected.	3
	4	Participant recruitment: Was recruitment based on presenting symptoms, results from previous tests, or the fact that the participants had received the index tests or the reference standard?	3
	5	Participant sampling: Was the study population a consecutive series of participants defined by the selection criteria in item 3 and 4? If not, specify how participants were further selected.	3
	6	Data collection: Was data collection planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)?	4
Test methods	7	The reference standard and its rationale.	3
	8	Technical specifications of material and methods involved including how and when measurements were taken, and/or cite references for index tests and reference standard.	3
	9	Definition of and rationale for the units, cut-offs and/or categories of the results of the index tests and the reference standard.	3-4
	10	The number, training and expertise of the persons executing and reading the index tests and the reference standard.	3
	11	Whether or not the readers of the index tests and reference standard were blind (masked) to the results of the other test and describe any other clinical information available to the readers.	3
Statistical methods	12	Methods for calculating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy, and the statistical methods used to quantify uncertainty (e.g. 95% confidence intervals).	4-5
	13	Methods for calculating test reproducibility, if done.	n.a.
RESULTS			
Participants	14	When study was performed, including beginning and end dates of recruitment.	3
	15	Clinical and demographic characteristics of the study population (at least information on age, gender, spectrum of presenting symptoms).	6
	16	The number of participants satisfying the criteria for inclusion who did or did not undergo the index tests and/or the reference standard; describe why participants failed to undergo either test (a flow diagram is strongly recommended).	6
Test results	17	Time-interval between the index tests and the reference standard, and any treatment administered in between.	n.a.
	18	Distribution of severity of disease (define criteria) in those with the target condition; other diagnoses in participants without the target condition.	6
	19	A cross tabulation of the results of the index tests (including indeterminate and missing results) by the results of the reference standard; for continuous results, the distribution of the test results by the results of the reference standard.	6
	20	Any adverse events from performing the index tests or the reference standard.	n.a.
Estimates	21	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and measures of statistical uncertainty (e.g. 95% confidence intervals).	6-7
	22	How indeterminate results, missing data and outliers of the index tests were handled.	n.a.
	23	Estimates of variability of diagnostic accuracy between subgroups of participants, readers or centers, if done.	7
	24	Estimates of test reproducibility, if done.	n.a.
DISCUSSION	25	Discuss the clinical applicability of the study findings.	8-9

BMJ Open

Does T wave inversion in lead aVL predict mid-segment left anterior descending lesion in acute coronary syndrome? : a retrospective study

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2015-010268.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Dec-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Nakanishi, Nobuto; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology Goto, Tadahiro; Massachusetts General Hospital, Emergency medicine Ikeda, Tomoya ; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology Kasai, Atsunobu ; Ise Red Cross Hospital, Department of Cardiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Diagnostics
Keywords:	T wave inversion, electrocardiography, acute coronary syndrome, left anterior descending lesion

Does T wave inversion in lead aVL predict mid-segment left anterior descending lesion in acute coronary syndrome? : a retrospective study

AUTHORS: Nobuto Nakanishi, MD[1]; Tadahiro Goto, MD[2]; Tomoya Ikeda, MD[1]; Atsunobu Kasai, MD, PhD[1]

AFFILIATIONS:

1. Department of Cardiology, Ise Red Cross Hospital, Mie, Japan
2. Department of Emergency Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Nobuto Nakanishi.

Address: Department of Cardiology, Ise Red Cross Hospital, 1-471-2 Funae, Ise, Mie, 516-8512, Japan.

Email: nobuto_nakanishi@ise.jrc.or.jp. Tel: +81-596-28-2171. Fax: +81-596-28-2965

RUNNING HEAD:

Diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL

KEY WORDS:

T wave inversion, electrocardiography, acute coronary syndrome, left anterior descending lesion

Word count: 2512 word

Nobuto Nakanishi

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Limited data is available regarding the predictive value of electrocardiographic T wave inversion in lead aVL for mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion among patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Setting: Retrospective single-centre study, using a prospectively-collected coronary angiography database from January 2012 to December 2013.

Participants: We included consecutive adult patients with ACS who underwent urgent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) within 24 h after arriving at the hospital. We excluded patients who did not undergo an ECG before PCI, patients with proximal MLAD occlusion, and patients diagnosed with vasospastic angina.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: The primary outcome was MLAD lesion >50%. The other outcome of interest was MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS. First, we evaluated the diagnostic values of T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes for each outcome. Second, we evaluated the diagnostic values of isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL.

Results: Overall, 219 patients were eligible for the analysis. T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had a sensitivity of 32.9%, specificity of 48.2%, positive predictive value of 27.6%, and negative predictive value of 54.5% for predicting MLAD lesion. Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 9.8%, specificity of 86.9%, positive predictive value of 30.8%, and negative predictive value of 61.7% for predicting MLAD lesion. These diagnostic values did not change materially with focusing on patients with MLAD lesion as the cause.

Conclusions: While T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had low diagnostic values for predicting MLAD lesion, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a high

specificity. Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL among patients with ACS.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study to evaluate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion among patients with acute coronary syndrome.
- Although previous studies demonstrated that the usefulness of diagnostic values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion, our observation did not show the usefulness among ACS patients.
- Because this study is a single-centre, retrospective study, the generalizability of our inferences might be limited.
- Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL among patients with ACS.

1. INTRODUCTION

The 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) is a fundamental tool to diagnose acute coronary syndrome (ACS) because ST-T changes in ECG reflect myocardial ischemia and myocardial necrosis after myocardial ischemia. Based on the diagnosis and prediction of ischemic lesions using ECG, cardiologists can provide early therapeutic intervention for patients with ACS.[1] T wave inversion in lead aVL has been reported to be a reciprocal change of inferior wall infarctions, mostly caused by right coronary artery lesions.[2, 3]

However, several recent, small studies have suggested that T wave inversion in lead aVL is associated with mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion.[4-6] For example, a prospective observational study reported that the T wave inversion in lead aVL was significantly associated with MLAD lesion >50% among patients with chronic stable angina.[5] Another retrospective study from the US, using data of 431 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), reported that the sensitivity of isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion >50% was 76.7%, and the specificity was 71.4%.[4] However, these studies were conducted in limited population samples (e.g., single-centre studies, including non-urgent PCI), thereby limiting the generalizability of their inferences for patients with suspected ACS. Despite the clinical significance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for the early detection of ischemic lesions, the association between T wave inversion in lead aVL and MLAD lesion >50% among patients with ACS is yet to be elucidated.

To address this gap in the current literature, we aimed to investigate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion among patients who underwent urgent PCI for ACS.

Nobuto Nakanishi

2. METHODS

2.1. Study Design and Settings

This is a retrospective analysis using data from the coronary angiography (CAG) database at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013. The Ise Red Cross Hospital had 655 beds (medical and surgical), with approximately 243,000 outpatients and 230,000 admissions in 2013. There were 18,000 emergency department visits, and 400 PCI were performed annually (including 120 cases of urgent PCI for ACS). Since 1985, all CAG and PCI data has been prospectively collected for the CAG database. All data including patient's demographics, ECG findings, CAG findings, and treatment data, were registered by cardiologists. This study was approved by the clinical research ethics committee at the Ise Red Cross Hospital.

2.2. Study Population

We included consecutive adult patients who underwent urgent PCI. Urgent PCI was defined as PCI performed for patients with suspected ACS within 24 h after arriving at the hospital.[7, 8] In patients with suspected non-ST elevation myocardial infarction, board-certificated cardiologists assessed the need for coronary angiography based on the information on patient's symptoms, laboratory findings, ECG findings, and ultrasonographic findings. We excluded patients who met the following criteria: 1) patients who did not undergo an ECG before PCI, 2) patients with complete occlusion of the left main trunk and proximal-segment left anterior descending artery (i.e., we could not evaluate the MLAD lesion), and 3) patients diagnosed with vasospastic angina.

2.3. T wave inversion

Based on a joint recommendation of the American Heart Association (AHA), the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCP), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRP),[9] we defined the T wave inversion as T wave ≤−0.1 mV, compared with the baseline from the end of the T wave to the beginning of the P wave (**Supplemental Figure 1a and 1b**). The T wave inversion in lead aVL was measured by cardiologists who were blinded to the results. In addition, we defined isolated T wave inversion as the presence of T wave inversion only in lead aVL regardless of ST elevation in other leads, using a previously described classification scheme for isolated T wave inversion (**Supplemental Figure 2a and 2b**).[4, 9]

2.4. Outcome and Measured Variables

The primary outcome was MLAD lesion >50%. MLAD was defined as the first septal branch to the point where left anterior descending artery forms an angle in right anterior oblique view.[10] The other outcome of interest was the MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS. The MLAD lesion as the cause for ACS was defined as 1) the MLAD lesion where PCI was performed or 2) the MLAD lesion diagnosed as the cause by the PCI operator in the case with multi-vessel disease. Data on patient demographics, including age, sex, smoking, family history of coronary artery diseases, hypertension, history of myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidaemia, were collected from the database and medical charts of our hospital.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

Continuous data were presented as the median (interquartile ranges [IQR]), whereas categorical data were expressed as number (%), with differences analysed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, and predictive

values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion (positive predictive value [PPV] and negative predictive value [NPV]). First, we examined the association between T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes and MLAD lesion. Second, we repeated the analysis focusing on isolated T wave inversion.[4]

For sensitivity analysis, we repeated the analysis after excluding the patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block because these are associated with T wave inversion.[4, 11] Data analyses were conducted using R statistical software version 3.0.3 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria). All statistical tests were two-tailed, and the chosen type 1 error rate was $p < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS

A total of 745 patients underwent PCI at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013. Among these, 263 patients underwent urgent PCI. Of 44 patients who did not meet the inclusion criteria, 2 patients did not undergo ECG before PCI, and 42 patients had complete occlusion of the left main trunk or proximal-segment left anterior descending artery; therefore, 219 patients were eligible for analysis (**Figure 1**).

The median age of the patients was 71 (63–78) years, and 167 patients were male (76%, **Table 1**). The most common coronary risk factors were hypertension (74%), dyslipidaemia (65%), and smoking (50%). Of 219 patients, 137 (63%) patients were diagnosed with ST-elevation myocardial infarction and leaving 82 (37%) patients were non ST-elevation myocardial infarction (**Table 2**). The right coronary artery was the site of the most frequent causative lesion, followed by the left anterior descending artery. Single-vessel disease was diagnosed in approximately half of the patients.

Among 219 patients, a total of 98 patients had T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes and 26 patients had isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL (**Table 3**). There was no difference in the time from symptom onset to initial ECG between patients with T wave inversion and those without (157 [97-293] min vs. 173 [78-344] min; p=0.85). Overall, 82 patients had MLAD lesion >50%. T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had a sensitivity of 32.9% (95% confidence interval [CI], 22.9%–44.2%), specificity of 48.2% (95% CI, 39.6%–56.9%), positive predictive value of 27.6% (95% CI, 19.0%–37.5%), and negative predictive value of 54.5% (95% CI, 45.2%–63.6%) for predicting MLAD lesion. By contrast, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a sensitivity of 9.8% (95% CI, 4.3%–18.3%), specificity of 86.9% (95% CI, 80.0%–92.0%), positive predictive value of 30.8% (95%

CI, 14.3%–51.8%), and negative predictive value of 61.7% (95% CI, 54.4%–68.5%) for predicting MLAD lesion. Focusing on patients with the MLAD lesion as the cause, T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had a sensitivity of 13.5% (95% CI, 4.5%–28.8%), specificity of 48.9% (95% CI, 41.4%–56.4%), positive predictive value of 5.1% (95% CI, 1.7%–11.5%), and negative predictive value of 73.6% (95% CI, 64.8%–81.2%) for predicting MLAD lesion as the cause. By contrast, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a higher specificity of 86.3% (95% CI, 80.4%–90.9%) for predicting the MLAD lesion as the cause.

In sensitivity analysis after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block, the performance of the T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes for predicting MLAD lesion did not change materially (**Table 4**). Focusing on patients with the MLAD lesion as the cause, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had a higher specificity of 85.6% (95% CI, 78.2%–91.2%) for predicting the MLAD lesion as the cause.

4. DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study using data from the CAG database at the Ise Red Cross Hospital from January 2012 to December 2013, we found that, among patients with ACS, the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes for predicting MLAD lesion was unsatisfactory. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had high specificity for predicting MLAD lesion, even after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the diagnostic value of T wave inversion in lead aVL for MLAD lesion among patients who underwent urgent PCI for ACS.

T wave inversion in ECG is vital to the early diagnosis and detection of ischemic lesion for patients with suspected ACS. Reciprocal changes in ECG are recognized earlier than the ST elevation as the reflection of the ischemic lesion in ACS,[2, 3] and 6% of ACS patients had only reciprocal changes without ST elevation.[3] To date, several studies have focused on the diagnostic values of T wave inversion for predicting MLAD lesion.[4, 5, 12] Among patients with chronic stable angina, the odds ratio of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting MLAD lesion was 2.93.[5] In another study, T wave inversion in leads aVL and I had a sensitivity of 86.5% and specificity of 55.6% for predicting MLAD lesion.[4] However, in our study, T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had a low sensitivity of 32.9% and a specificity of 48.2% for predicting MLAD lesion.

The reasons for the disparities in the diagnostic values among studies are likely multifactorial. First, although the definition of T wave inversion was unclear in previous studies,[5, 6, 12] we clearly defined T wave inversion according to the AHA definition[9]; therefore, our findings were less likely to be subject to information bias. Second, a previous

study used a combination of T wave inversion in lead aVL and lead I to estimate the diagnostic values.[4] Third, the disparities in the diagnostic values may be attributable to the differences in study population, settings, or any combination of these factors. For example, the study by Farhan *et al*. that reported the effectiveness of T wave inversion in lead aVL in diagnosing coronary artery disease was limited to patients with chronic stable angina.[5] Another study included patients who underwent non-urgent PCI (i.e., elective PCI).[4] Fourth, in general multivessel lesions cause complicated ECG changes, thereby the differences in the proportions of multivessel lesions compared with previous studies may have been influential.[2] Indeed, the previous two studies had more multivessel lesions than our study, (53.9% in our study vs. 61.2% in Farhan's study, $p=0.16$; and vs. 70.7% in Hassen's study, $p<0.01$).[4, 5]

Although we did not show the diagnostic usefulness of T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL (i.e., the presence of T wave inversion only in lead aVL) had a high specificity of 86.9% for predicting MLAD lesion. Because treatment strategy and complications depend on the infarction site,[7] isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL might help to predict the site of the ischemic lesion, resulting in improved patient outcome. Moreover, previous studies reported that approximately 75% of physicians missed an isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL and that the best single lead for the emergency detection of ACS was lead aVL.[12, 13] In agreement with these literature, our findings underscore the importance of cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL as a clue to predict the ischemic lesion in ACS.

4.1. Limitations

Our study has several potential limitations. First, because this study is a single-centre study, the generalizability of our inferences is limited. Nevertheless, we analysed the consecutive data during 2012–2013 with the definition of T wave inversion based on AHA guidelines.[9] Moreover, all T wave inversions were evaluated by cardiologists who were blinded to the results of PCI. Second, this sudy is limited by the small sample size. In particular, isolated T wave inversion was observed in only 12% of patients; therefore, our observartions should be validated by larger study. Third, in this analysis, we did not measure the association between T wave inversion and diagonal lesions.[14] However, to maintain the consistency with the previous literature,[4, 5] we focused on the association between T wave inversion in lead aVL and MLAD lesion regardless of the presence of diagonal lesions. Fourth, as with any other observational studies focused on patients with ACS, we could not differentiate whether the MLAD lesion had newly occurred or not. However, our inferences were not changed materially among patients with ACS caused by the MLAD lesion as the cause. Finally, we included patients who underwent PCI. Therefore, our inferences should be used for predicting the ischemic lesion, and not for diagnosing ACS.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In our study, T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes had low sensitivity and specificity for predicting MLAD lesion. However, isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL had high specificity. Our inferences underscore the importance of a cautious interpretation of T wave inversion in lead aVL among patients with suspected ACS. In addition, our findings facilitate further studies to validate the diagnostic values of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting ischemic lesions.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge to Japanese Emergency Medicine Network (JEMNet) and cardiologist in Ise Red Cross Hospital for designing and helping this research.

Competing interests

None.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Contributors

NN was involved in study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript. TG took part in study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript. TK was involved in acquisition of the data. AK took part in analysis and interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Ethics approval

Ise Red Cross Hospital.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

REFERENCES

1. Zimetbaum PJ, Josephson ME. Use of the electrocardiogram in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:933-40.

2. Kracoff OH, Adelman AG, Marquis JF, et al. Twelve-lead electrocardiogram recording during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Analysis of reciprocal changes. *Journal of electrocardiology* 1990;23:191-8.

3. Kracoff OH, Adelman AG, Oettinger M, et al. Reciprocal changes as the presenting electrocardiographic manifestation of acute myocardial ischemia. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;71:1359-62.

4. Hassen GW, Costea A, Smith T, et al. The neglected lead on electrocardiogram: T wave inversion in lead aVL, nonspecific finding or a sign for left anterior descending artery lesion? *J Emerg Med* 2014;46:165-70.

5. Farhan HL, Hassan KS, Al-Belushi A, et al. Diagnostic Value of Electrocardiographic T Wave Inversion in Lead aVL in Diagnosing Coronary Artery Disease in Patients with Chronic Stable Angina. *Oman Med J* 2010;25:124-7.

6. Hassen GW, Talebi S, Fernaine G, et al. Lead aVL on electrocardiogram: emerging as important lead in early diagnosis of myocardial infarction? *Am J Emerg Med* 2014;32:785-8.

7. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2013;127:e362-425.

8. Mehta SR, Granger CB, Boden WE, et al. Early versus delayed invasive intervention in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:2165-75.

9. Rautaharju PM, Surawicz B, Gettes LS, et al. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram: part IV: the ST segment, T and U waves, and the QT interval: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; the American College of Cardiology Foundation; and the Heart Rhythm Society: endorsed by the International Society for Computerized Electrocardiology. *Circulation* 2009;119:e241-50.

10. Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, et al. A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee for Grading of Coronary Artery Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1975;51:5-40.

11. Hayden GE, Brady WJ, Perron AD, et al. Electrocardiographic T-wave inversion:

differential diagnosis in the chest pain patient. *Am J Emerg Med* 2002;20:252-62.

12. Hassen GW, Costea A, Carrazco C, et al. Isolated T Wave Inversion in Lead aVL: An ECG Survey and a Case Report. *Emerg Med Int* 2015;2015:250614.

13. Green M, Ohlsson M, Forberg JL, et al. Best leads in the standard electrocardiogram for the emergency detection of acute coronary syndrome. *Journal of electrocardiology* 2007;40:251-6.

14. Birnbaum Y, Hasdai D, Sclarovsky S, et al. Acute myocardial infarction entailing ST-segment elevation in lead aVL: electrocardiographic differentiation among occlusion of the left anterior descending, first diagonal, and first obtuse marginal coronary arteries. *American heart journal* 1996;131:38-42.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Flowchart of patients included in this study.

Supplemental Figure 1a. 12-lead electrocardiogram: T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes.

T wave inversion in lead I, aVL, V6.

Supplemental Figure 1b. Coronary angiography: T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes.

Mid-segment left anterior descending lesion (MLAD) had a 99% stenosis (arrow) with no other significant coronary artery stenosis. MLAD was the cause for ACS.

Supplemental Figure 2a. 12-lead electrocardiogram: Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL.

T wave inversion only in lead aVL.

Supplemental Figure 2b. Coronary angiography: Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL.

T wave inversion only in lead aVL.

Mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion had a 100% stenosis (arrow) with no other significant coronary artery stenosis. MLAD was the cause for ACS.

Nobuto Nakanishi

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients who underwent urgent percutaneous coronary intervention

Variables	Overall (n=219)
Age, median (IQR)	71 (63–78)
Male sex	167 (76)
Type of coronary risk factors	
Smoking	110 (50)
Family history of coronary artery diseases	37 (18)
Hypertension	161 (74)
History of myocardial infarction	27 (12)
Diabetes mellitus	76 (35)
Dyslipidaemia	143 (65)
Hyper triglyceride (TG)	51 (23)
Hyper low-density lipoprotein (LDL)	89 (41)
Low high-density lipoprotein (HDL)	32 (15)

Abbreviation; IQR, interquartile range

Data were expressed as n (%) unless otherwise indicated

Table 2. Angiographic data of patients who underwent urgent percutaneous coronary intervention

Variables	Overall (n=219)
ST-elevation myocardial infarction	137 (63)
non ST-elevation myocardial infarction	82 (37)
Causative lesion	
Right coronary artery	101 (46)
Left main trunk	5 (2)
Left anterior descending artery	78 (36)
Left circumflex artery	32 (15)
High lateral branch	3 (1)
Coronary lesions	
Single-vessel disease	101 (46)
Double-vessel disease	65 (30)
Triple-vessel disease	53 (24)
No of lesions in left anterior descending artery*	
0	86 (39)
1	108 (49)
2	23 (11)
3	2 (1)

Data were expressed as n (%)

*No of lesions in left anterior descending artery doesn't include diagonal branch

Nobuto Nakanishi

Table 3. Performance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting mid-segment left anterior descending lesion

MLAD lesion	Overall (n=219)	MLAD >50% n = 82	MLAD ≤50% n = 137	p value
T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes*	98 (45)	27 (33)	71 (52)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL†	26 (12)	8 (10)	18 (13)	0.59
MLAD lesion as the cause	**Overall (n=219)**	**Cause (+) n = 37**	**Cause (-) n = 182**	**p value**
T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes‡	98 (45)	5 (14)	93 (51)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL§	26 (12)	1 (3)	25 (14)	0.09

Data were expressed as n (%)

Abbreviation; ECG, electrocardiogram; MLAD, mid-segment left anterior descending artery; CI, confidence interval

* Sensitivity 32.9% (95% CI, 22.9%–44.2%), specificity 48.2% (95% CI, 39.6%–56.9%), positive predictive value 27.6% (95% CI, 19.0%–37.5%), and negative predictive value 54.5% (95% CI, 45.2%–63.6%).

† Sensitivity 9.8% (95% CI, 4.3%–18.3%), specificity 86.9% (95% CI, 80.0%–92.0%), positive predictive value 30.8% (95% CI, 14.3%–51.8%), and negative predictive value 61.7% (95% CI, 54.4%–68.5%).

‡ Sensitivity 13.5% (95% CI, 4.5%–28.8%), specificity 48.9% (95% CI, 41.4%–56.4%), positive predictive value 5.1% (95% CI, 1.7%–11.5%), and negative predictive value 73.6% (95% CI, 64.8%–81.2%).

§ Sensitivity 2.7% (95% CI, 0.1%–14.2%), specificity 86.3% (95% CI, 80.4%–90.9%), positive predictive value 3.8% (95% CI, 0.1%–19.6%), and negative predictive value 81.3% (95% CI, 75.1%–86.6%).

Nobuto Nakanishi

Table 4. Performance of T wave inversion in lead aVL for predicting mid-segment left anterior descending lesion, after excluding patients with left ventricular hypertrophy and bundle branch block

MLAD lesion	Overall (n=154)	MLAD >50% n = 58	MLAD ≤50% n = 96	p value
T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes*	65 (42)	18 (31)	47 (49)	0.04
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL†	19 (12)	5 (9)	14 (15)	0.40
MLAD lesion as the cause	**Overall (n=154)**	**Cause (+) n = 29**	**Cause (-) n = 125**	**p value**
T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes‡	65 (42)	4 (14)	61 (49)	< 0.01
Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL§	19 (12)	1 (3)	18 (14)	0.13

Data were expressed as n (%)

Abbreviation; ECG, electrocardiogram; MLAD, mid-segment left anterior descending artery; CI, confidence interval

* Sensitivity 31.0% (95% CI, 19.5%–44.5%), specificity 51.0% (95% CI, 40.6%–61.4%), positive predictive value 27.7% (95% CI, 17.3%–40.2%), and negative predictive value 55.1% (95% CI, 44.1%–65.6%).

† Sensitivity 8.6% (95% CI, 2.9%–19.0%), specificity 85.4% (95% CI, 76.7%–91.8%), positive predictive value 26.3% (95% CI, 9.1%–51.2%), and negative predictive value 60.7% (95% CI, 52.0%–69.0%).

‡ Sensitivity 13.8% (95% CI, 3.9%–31.7%), specificity 51.2% (95% CI, 42.1%–60.2%), positive predictive value 6.2% (95% CI, 1.7%–15.0%), and negative predictive value 71.9% (95% CI, 61.4%–80.9%).

§ Sensitivity 3.4% (95% CI, 0.1%–17.8%), specificity 85.6% (95% CI, 78.2%–91.2%), positive predictive value 5.3% (95% CI, 0.1%–26.0%), and negative predictive value 79.3% (95% CI, 71.4%–85.8%).



Figure 1. Flowchart of patients included in this study.
221x107mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Supplemental Figure 1a. 12-lead electrocardiogram: T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes.
T wave inversion in lead I, aVL, V6.
104x58mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Supplemental Figure 1b. Coronary angiography: T wave inversion in lead aVL regardless of other T wave changes.
Mid-segment left anterior descending lesion (MLAD) had a 99% stenosis (arrow) with no other significant coronary artery stenosis. MLAD was the cause for ACS.
73x72mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Supplemental Figure 2a. 12-lead electrocardiogram: Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL.
T wave inversion only in lead aVL.
105x58mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Supplemental Figure 2b. Coronary angiography: Isolated T wave inversion in lead aVL.
T wave inversion only in lead aVL.
Mid-segment left anterior descending (MLAD) lesion had a 100% stenosis (arrow) with no other significant coronary artery stenosis. MLAD was the cause for ACS.
73x73mm (300 x 300 DPI)

STARD checklist for reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy

(version January 2003)

Section and Topic	Item #		On page #
TITLE/ABSTRACT/ KEYWORDS	1	Identify the article as a study of diagnostic accuracy (recommend MeSH heading 'sensitivity and specificity').	1
INTRODUCTION	2	State the research questions or study aims, such as estimating diagnostic accuracy or comparing accuracy between tests or across participant groups.	2
METHODS			
Participants	3	The study population: The inclusion and exclusion criteria, setting and locations where data were collected.	3
	4	Participant recruitment: Was recruitment based on presenting symptoms, results from previous tests, or the fact that the participants had received the index tests or the reference standard?	3
	5	Participant sampling: Was the study population a consecutive series of participants defined by the selection criteria in item 3 and 4? If not, specify how participants were further selected.	3
	6	Data collection: Was data collection planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)?	4
Test methods	7	The reference standard and its rationale.	3
	8	Technical specifications of material and methods involved including how and when measurements were taken, and/or cite references for index tests and reference standard.	3
	9	Definition of and rationale for the units, cut-offs and/or categories of the results of the index tests and the reference standard.	3-4
	10	The number, training and expertise of the persons executing and reading the index tests and the reference standard.	3
	11	Whether or not the readers of the index tests and reference standard were blind (masked) to the results of the other test and describe any other clinical information available to the readers.	3
Statistical methods	12	Methods for calculating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy, and the statistical methods used to quantify uncertainty (e.g. 95% confidence intervals).	4-5
	13	Methods for calculating test reproducibility, if done.	n.a.
RESULTS			
Participants	14	When study was performed, including beginning and end dates of recruitment.	3
	15	Clinical and demographic characteristics of the study population (at least information on age, gender, spectrum of presenting symptoms).	6
	16	The number of participants satisfying the criteria for inclusion who did or did not undergo the index tests and/or the reference standard; describe why participants failed to undergo either test (a flow diagram is strongly recommended).	6
Test results	17	Time-interval between the index tests and the reference standard, and any treatment administered in between.	n.a.
	18	Distribution of severity of disease (define criteria) in those with the target condition; other diagnoses in participants without the target condition.	6
	19	A cross tabulation of the results of the index tests (including indeterminate and missing results) by the results of the reference standard; for continuous results, the distribution of the test results by the results of the reference standard.	6
	20	Any adverse events from performing the index tests or the reference standard.	n.a.
Estimates	21	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and measures of statistical uncertainty (e.g. 95% confidence intervals).	6-7
	22	How indeterminate results, missing data and outliers of the index tests were handled.	n.a.
	23	Estimates of variability of diagnostic accuracy between subgroups of participants, readers or centers, if done.	7
	24	Estimates of test reproducibility, if done.	n.a.
DISCUSSION	25	Discuss the clinical applicability of the study findings.	8-9