

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	SPECIALISED TEAMS OR PERSONAL CONTINUITY ACROSS INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH CARE? STUDY PROTOCOL FOR A NATURAL EXPERIMENT
AUTHORS	Giacco, Domenico; Bird, Victoria; McCrone, Paul; Lorant, Vincent; Nicaise, Pablo; Pfennig, Andrea; Bauer, Michael; Ruggeri, Mirella; Lasalvia, Antonio; Moskalewicz, Jacek; Welbel, Marta; Priebe, Stefan

This article was not externally reviewed at BMJ Open. Protocols that have been independently assessed prior to submission to BMJ Open are usually fast-tracked to publication on the grounds that further substantial changes will not be possible. This independent assessment will usually be external, independent review for both a substantial grant award from a non-commercial or government funder and ethics approval.

Correction

Giacco D, Bird VJ, McCrone P, *et al*. Specialised teams or personal continuity across inpatient and outpatient mental healthcare? Study protocol for a natural experiment. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008996. Numbers in figure 3 of this article do not match with the text ("Sample size determination", page 6). The corrected figure is below.




Figure 3 COFI recruitment and data collection plan. COFI, Comparing policy, framework, structure, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of functional and integrated systems of mental healthcare.

BMJ Open 2015;4:e008996corr1. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008996corr1

