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#### Abstract

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease in urban Indian population. Methods: The study population included subjects from a national level organisation situated in different parts of the country \{Males ( $\mathrm{n}=10642$ ), Females ( $\mathrm{n}=1966$ ) aged 20 to 60 years \} and comprised of various ethnic groups, staying in different environmental conditions. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory. All the following individuals were subjected to detailed questionnaire, medical examinations and anthropometric measurements. Blood samples were collected for blood glucose and serum lipid profile estimation. Resting ECG was also recorded for these subjects. Results were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tools. Results: The study revealed that the family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ and out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were freshly diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication. Hypertension was present in $21 \%$ of subjects. Prevalence of dyslipidemia was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL ratio. $78.6 \%$ Subjects had 2 or more risk factors for CAD. Conclusion: The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian urban population. Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors to manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.


## STRENGTHS \& LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Our study is the first of its type in India where population was covered across various cities in India. The study population included people of various ethnicities, age groups, and living in different parts of the country.
- There are very few studies across the world where such a large population were studied for the conventional risk factors of CAD.
- The history and clinical examination of the subjects were collected by qualified doctors who interviewed $\&$ examined each subject of the study. All the biochemical investigations were performed by the same team using similar kits and evaluation technique.
- The limitation of the study was that out of approximately 40000 working population of this organisation only 14500 gave informed consent to participate in the study. Out of these 14500 subjects data could be completed in 12608 subjects and was statistically analysed.
- Because of the limited resources in Phase I we could study and evaluate only the conventional coronary risk factors.


## INTRODUCTION

Coronary Artery disease (CAD) is one of the most common causes of mortality and morbidity in both developed and developing countries. It is a leading cause of death in India, and its contribution to
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mortality is rising; deaths due to CAD are expected to double from 1985 to $2015^{1}$. According to the reports of National Commission on Macroeconomics \& Health, there would be 62 million patients with CAD in 2015 in India and of these 23 million would be patients younger than 40 years of age $^{2}$.The prevalence of classical cardiovascular (CV) risk factors (CVRFs) such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity and diabetes varies widely between different countries, and shows some important secular trends. The conventional risk factors of CAD can be divided in non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors. The former include age, sex and family history while the latter include diabetes mellitus, smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity. There is increasing incidence to believe that Asian Indians are at an increased risk of CAD, which cannot be attributed to the common risk factors. Recently, a number of newer cardiovascular risk factors have been identified. These factors are of great interest in native Indians where more than $60 \%$ of the CAD remains unexplained by conventional risk factors. Comparative studies on newer risk factors illustrated that Indians have higher C-reactive protein, plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) and homocysteine levels ${ }^{3}$.
The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes ${ }^{4}$ i.e. changes in diet, physical inactivity, drug and alcohol intake etc, and increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus. The prevalence of risk factors in a population determines the future burden on health care services and loss of productive years of a particular person. It is not only a health risk for that individual but overall burden on the economy. There are no large scale studies of adequate sample size to evaluate the prevalence rate, risk factor patterns and electrocardiographic changes in Indian populations. This study was planned to evaluate the future risk of CAD in a national level organization. The organization has offices across the entire country (Fig 1). So the study population included subjects from various ethnic groups, staying in varied environments and consuming different varieties of diet. To the best of our knowledge our study is the first such study carried out across India, where the employees are working all over India and belong to different ethnicity spread across the country.

## Key words
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Figure 1: The various labs in country where study was carried out

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Patient Population and Study Design

All the subjects were employees of one national level organisation. The subjects of both the sexes were recruited after written informed consent. They fall in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory namely, Delhi (Delhi), Karnataka(Bangalore, Mysore), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vishakahapatnam), Maharashtra (Pune, Ambernath, Ahmednagar), Uttar Pradesh (Agra, Kanpur), Rajasthan (Jodhpur), Himachal Pradesh (Manali), Chandigarh, Uttrakhand (Dehradun, Mussourrie) ,Orissa (Chandipur), Assam (Tejpur), Jammu \& Kashmir (Leh), Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior), Tamil Nadu(Chennai), Kerala (Kochi). The patient recruitment was initiated in 2009 and the phase I evaluation was completed in 2012.Of the initial 14,500 subjects sampled, a complete data of 12608 subjects could be collected.

Ethical Clearance
The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Delhi.

## Inclusion criteria

a. Employee of the particular organization
b. Apparently healthy individual
c. Age 20-60 years
d. Both the sexes

## Exclusion criterion

a. Known case of coronary artery disease (CAD)

## Assessment process

Participants were asked to attend the Health Center of their respective institution at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. They were asked to continue their medication if any, as usual. A detailed questionnaire
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was applied by medical personnel prior to clinical measurements and blood collection. The questionnaire recorded information on demographic data, socio-economic and marital status, and several lifestyle factors namely tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption, physical activity, family history, disease history, medication use, and family history of premature CAD in first degree relatives (age $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women).In women, further data regarding reproductive and obstetrical history, oral contraception and hormonal replacement therapy was collected.

Anthropometry and clinical examination including blood pressure measurement was carried out. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light clothes. Bodyweight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a height gauge. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight in $\mathrm{Kg} /$ (height in meters) ${ }^{2}$.

Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate were measured on the left arm, with an appropriately sized cuff, after at least 10 minutes rest in the seated position using an automated BP instrument. The average of the last two measurements was used for analysis. The subjects diagnosed to have high BP for the first time were called again the next day for BP monitoring before labeling them as hypertensive. In addition, waist and hip circumferences were measured as recommended.Resting ECG was also obtained as a part of evaluation.

Blood samples were collected in the fasting state and 2 hours after 75 grams of oral glucose. Biochemical evaluation of the blood samples included complete blood count, Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPPG), lipid profile, and liver\& kidney function tests. The clinical chemistry tests were performed on fresh blood samples using automatic analyser on the same day. Subjects whose FPG was $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were diagnosed as fresh cases of Diabetes Mellitus. Other subjects with past history of DM and/or taking medication for the same were also considered as Diabetics.

In the evaluation of Lipid profile the value of Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol $\geq 4.5$ was considered abnormal. Known cases of dyslipidemia and/or those on medication for the same were also included in dyslipidemia risk factor.

The strength of the study is that each participant had one on one interaction with at least one of the project team doctors. Each questionnaire was scrutinised by the doctor. So this added value to the data. In most of the epidemiological studies usually paramedics collect the data.

Table no. 1. Definitions for different risk factors in the study

| Risk factor | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hypertension | Systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or a <br> diastolic BP (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ during the visit <br> and/or presence of anti-hypertensive drug <br> treatment and was considered as known if the |


|  | subject was aware of this condition. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diabetes Mellitus | $\mathrm{FPG} \geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ at the <br> time of investigations and/or presence of anti- <br> diabetic drug treatment and was considered as <br> known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Obesity | $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Overweight | $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |
| Hypercholesterolemia | Total blood cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Decreased High density lipoprotein(HDL) <br> cholesterol | $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Adverse total cholesterol/High density <br> lipoprotein ratio (Dyslipidemia) | $\geq 4.5$ |
| Age | $>45$ years in men; $>55$ years in women |
| Sex | Male sex |
| Family History of CAD | Premature CAD in first degree relatives $(<55$ <br> years in men $\&<65$ years in women) |
| Risk factors for CAD | age, sex, family history, diabetes mellitus, <br> smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity |

Table no.2: Baseline characteristics of the study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12,608$ )

| Parameters $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEMALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=1966) \end{aligned}$ | P <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 44.34 \pm 10 . \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $42.47 \pm 10.34$ | . 000 |
| Height | $\begin{aligned} & 166.92 \pm 6 . \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $154.74 \pm 6.34$ | . 000 |
| Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 69.36 \pm 10 . \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $62.24 \pm 11.30$ | . 001 |
| BMI | $\begin{aligned} & 24.89 \pm 3.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $26.02 \pm 4.69$ | . 001 |
| Systolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 127.35 \pm 16 \\ & .12 \end{aligned}$ | $120.05 \pm 15.25$ | . 000 |
| Diastolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 81.08 \pm 10 . \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $77.05 \pm 9.60$ | . 000 |
| FPG | $\begin{aligned} & 95.91 \pm 31 . \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $93.48 \pm 32.10$ | 0.01 |
| PPPG | $\begin{aligned} & 135.44 \pm 56 \\ & .31 \end{aligned}$ | $131.86 \pm 54.47$ | . 01 |
| Total Cholesterol | $\begin{aligned} & 186.11 \pm 40 \\ & .56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $181.69 \pm 36.62$ | . 001 |
| HDL | $\begin{aligned} & 42.46 \pm 11 . \\ & 55 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $46.54 \pm 11.36$ | . 001 |

## Statistical Analysis

The final data was recorded on a predesigned Performa and managed in Microsoft access. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. The values of various parameters are presented as mean and SD (standard Deviation), in absolute numbers and as percentage. Comparison between male and female was done by t-test. Correlation statistics between various risk factors was also computed. Minimum Significance level was set at 0.05 .
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## RESULTS

A total of 14,500 subjects were evaluated in the study. After informed consent, exclusion criteria, clinical \& biochemical assessment, complete data of 12,608 cases (Males - 10,642
Females $-1,966$ ) was available for final analysis. Mean age of males was $44.34 \pm 10.63 \&$ median age being 47.00 years. Mean age of females was $42.47 \pm 10.34$ and median age was 44.00 years. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table no. 2 .
Different parameters considered for calculating the risk factors and their results are depicted in Table no. 3.
Family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The history of CAD in first degree relatives in males was $4.4 \%$ and in females was $6 \%$ ( P value $<0.05$ ).
The prevalence of smoking was significantly higher in the men (13.8\%) than females ( $0.1 \%$ ), p value $<0.001$.
Out of 12603 study subjects, 6002 (47.6\%) had BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $4910(46.1 \%)$ males and 1092(55.5\%) of females, P value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. On further analysis it was observed that $39.46 \%$ malesand $38.6 \%$ of females were overweight with BMI $25-30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{P}$ value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. The mean BMI of the overweight males was $26.93 \pm 1.31$ and $27.35 \pm 1.44$ of females. Obesity with BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was present in $6.6 \%$ of males with mean BMI of $32.78 \pm 4$ and $16.7 \%$ of females with mean BMI of 33.41 $\pm 3.74$, p value $<0.05$.
Overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ in study population with no significant difference present in male ( $16.6 \%$ ) and female ( $12.7 \%$ ) subjects. Out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication.
Out of 10642 male subjects, 2383 (22.4\%) were found to have hypertension, whereas out of 1966 female subjects, 264 ( $13.4 \%$ ) had high BP, p value $<0.001$. Overall prevalence of hypertension was $21 \%$ in the study subjects. Of these subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study.
The prevalence of dyslipidemia in study population was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio. $48.27 \%$ of male subjects and $31.4 \%$ females were found to have dyslipidemia ( P value $<0.001$ ).
Total number of subjects having 2or more than 2 risk factors for CAD was 9909 ( $78.6 \%$ ). 9251 ( $86.9 \%$ ) male subjects had 2 or more than 2 risk factors in comparison to658 ( $33.46 \%$ )females. The most prevalent risk factor in men was dyslipidemia present in $48.27 \%$ of males followed by BMI $>25$ present in $46.1 \%$ of males. Whereas in women BMI>25 was most prevalent factor present in $55.5 \%$ of women, followed by dyslipidemia in $31.45 \%$.
HDL correlated negatively with FPG, PPPG, and BMI. BMI had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose and total cholesterol. Total cholesterol had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose, BMI (Table No. 4).

Table no.3: Percentage (\%) of Risk factors in study population (n=12608)

| Parameters | Total <br> $(\mathbf{n}=12608)$ | MALES <br> $(\mathrm{n}=10642)$ | FEMALES <br> $(\mathrm{n}=1966)$ | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family H/O CAD | $\mathbf{5 8 0 ( 4 . 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 0 ( 4 . 4 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 ( 6 \% )}$ | $<.05$ |
| Smoking | $\mathbf{1 4 7 1 ( 1 1 . 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 9 ( 1 3 . 8 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{2 ( 0 . 1 \% )}$ | $<.001$ |
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| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { BMI }>25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\ \text { Mean(SD) } \end{array}$ | 6002(47.6\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4910(46.1 \%) \\ 27.8 \pm 3.59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1092(55.5 \%) \\ & 29.17 \pm(3.66) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | <. 001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMI 25-30 kg/m ${ }^{2}$ | 4959(39.3\%) | 4200(39.46\%) | 759(38.6\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $26.93 \pm 1.31$ | $27.35 \pm 1.44$ | <. 001 |
| BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1029(8.2\%) | 700(6.6\%) | 329(16.7\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $32.78 \pm 4.00$ | $33.41 \pm 3.74$ | <. 05 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 2016 (16\%) | 1766(16.6\%) | 250 (12.7\%) | Ns |
| Hypertension | 2647 (21\%) | 2383(22.4\%) | 264(13.4\%) | <. 001 |
| Dyslipidemia | 5755 (45.6\%) | 5137 (48.27\%) | 618 (31.4\%) | <. 001 |

Table No 4. Correlations by Pearson correlation (2 tailed); ( $\mathrm{n}=12608$ )

| Parameters | BP <br> Systolic | BP <br> Diastolic | FPG | PPPG | Serum Total Cholesterol | $\begin{gathered} \text { Serum } \\ \text { HDL } \end{gathered}$ | BMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP systolic | 1 | .715(**) | .149(**) | .136(**) | .086(**) | -. 011 | .190(**) |
| BP Diastolic | .715(**) | 1 | .119(**) | .107(**) | .114(**) | -. 011 | .216(**) |
| FPG | .149(**) | .119(**) | 1 | .821(**) | .095(**) | -.054(**) | .099(**) |
| PPPG | .136(**) | .107(**) | .821(**) | 1 | .092(**) | -.042(**) | .117(**) |
| Serum Total Cholesterol | .086(**) | .114(**) | .095(**) | .092(**) | 1 | . 000 | .063(**) |
| Serum HDL Cholesterol | -. 011 | -. 011 | -.054(**) | -.042(**) | . 000 | 1 | -.068(**) |
| BMI | .190(**) | .216(**) | .099(**) | .117(**) | .063(**) | -.068(**) | 1 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## Discussion

A rise in the prevalence of CAD in the early half of the twentieth century and a subsequent decline in the later half have been well documented in the western countries. However, the scenario has reversed in the developing countries especially in India with a steady escalation in the prevalence of CAD. The CAD burden of India is expected to double by the year 2020, making it the single largest cause of death and $2^{\text {nd }}$ largest cause of disability.
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The present study deals with finding the prevalence of the risk factors of the CAD , in a national level organization where people from different regions of India work. The employees were of both the sex and age group of 20-60. In the present population of the study, we found that approximately half of the population had dyslipidemia ( $45.6 \%$ ) and BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(47.6 \%)$. About one fifth of the study population was hypertensive ( $21 \%$ ) and one sixth had Diabetes mellitus( $16 \%$ ). $78.6 \%$ of the study population had 2 or more than 2 CAD risk factors which indicates that there is a large population who in the near future will develop CAD.

The results of our study can be compared with the results of Jaipur Heart Watch-5 done by Rajeev Gupta et al on 739 subjects with 451 men and 288 women. In the study, overweight/obesity was present in $46.2 \%$ in males and $50.7 \%$ of females. Prevalence of Hypertension was $39.5 \%$ in males and $24.6 \%$ of females. Diabetes was present in $15.5 \%$ of males and 10.85 of females. $33 \%$ of the males and $32.7 \%$ of the females had high cholesterol levels.

Similar results are shown by a study done by Prabhakaran D et al among men working in an industry of Northern India. It showed high serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio in $62 \%$, overweight in $47 \%$, hypertension in $30 \%$ and diabetes in $15 \%$ of the population. Though in our study $78.6 \%$ had 2 or more than 2 risk factors, study by Prabhakaran D has shown $47 \%$ of the respondents to have at least 2 of these risk factors.

Another study by Mohan et al in 2008, has shown prevalence of major risk factors for cardiovascular disease as: diabetes $11.9 \%$; hypertension $25.4 \%$; dyslipidemia $40.2 \%$; hypertriglyceridemia $28.3 \%$; overweight (body mass index $>$ or $=23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) $60.2 \%$; and metabolic syndrome $34.1 \%$.

Various other studies have also shown similar trends in the Indian population. An increasing prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in urban residents of Chennai has been reported by Ramchandran et al. Smoking and low physical activity have been shown to be prevalent in 20-39 year old urban adults by Gupta et al in 2002. Another important independent risk factor for CAD is family H/O of CAD as reported by Goel et al in 2003.

Our study has clearly shown that prevalence of obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes are increasing among the middle class of the Indian population and these modifiable risk factors are responsible for the high prevalence of CAD risk factors. Study has shown direct correlation of increased BMI with dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension.CAD has a multi factorial etiology, with many of the risk factors being influenced by lifestyle. Rapid change in dietary habits coupled with decreased physical activity in India as consequence of urbanization may partly explain the escalation of CAD. India is experiencing an epidemiological transition with high rates of urbanization. This has led to economic improvement, the consequence of which is increased fast food consumption and tobacco usage and decreased physical activity. With the introduction of an era of refined foods, sugar and hydrogenated oils, the traditional high complex carbohydrate, high fibre and low fat diet has been replaced by a diet rich in fats and simple sugars low in dietary fibres. One of the effects of this transition is a shift in the disease spectrum from the communicable to non communicable diseases particularly CAD and Diabetes. More importantly the disease is affecting the young Indians i.e. the productive workforce. The incidence of CAD in young Indians is $12-16 \%$ which is higher than any other ethnic group. Lack of awareness of the preventable risk factors and ignorance of the disease is also an important factor responsible for the increasing rate of CAD among Indians. In the present study out of $21 \%$ hypertensive study subject only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on
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medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study. Similarly, out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed. This shows that awareness and control of hypertension and diabetes was poor in the study population, indicating low detection and poor management of major CAD risk factors.

Prevention and control of the risk factors of CAD can reduce the rate of CAD. It needs changes in the individual as well as changes at the community level. Taking care of modifiable factors such as smoking, increases levels of body fat, too much use of fat and salt in food, sedentary lifestyle together with the use of accessible and affordable preventive medicines can definitely make a difference as far as CAD is concerned. Help of the television and media can be utilized to create awareness among the masses. Local Resident Welfare Associations and religious groups can also be empowered to promote healthy lifestyle and exercise among the community.

## CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian population as study population was representative of the national population and it does represent the rising trend of CAD in urban India. The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors, promotion of right diet and physical activity and at the same time development of the guidelines for screening and preventive therapeutic programmes to identify and manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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# STUDY OF THE HEALTH PROFILE OF DRDO EMPLOYEES WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON CORONARY RISK FACTORS 

Brig. SK Mazumdar Road,
Delhi-110054

## Objectives: -

1. To carry out cross sectional survey of DRDO population and study the prevalence of conventional coronary risk factors in DRDO employees.
2. To identify the high risk population for CAD
3. To prepare psycho-social profile of the subset of study population (Delhi population)
4. To determine a vulnerability index based on the profile
5. To suggest the measures for dietary interventions and primary prevention
6. To study the newer emerging coronary risk factors in the subset of study population
7. To study the gene expression profiles in CAD patients and controls ( 30 subjects in each group).
8. To study the association of SNPs in CAD using custom designed arrays in 500 samples.
9. To determine the antibody titres of various hsps such as hsp60, hsp70,hsp90 etc in subset of 100 subjects in each group

## Scope:-

Coronary artery disease is a common cause for morbidity and mortality. Earlier mainly confined to developed countries and the incidence were significantly less in the poor and developing countries. But now the disease is widespread and the Indians are more prone to this disease as compared to the other developing countries and with almost same economic status (1).

In the coronary artery disease in Asian Indian (CADI) study, the prevalence of CAD was $10.2 \%$ (2) compared with $2.5 \%$ (3) in white men of the same age group in the Framingham offspring study. The high rates of CAD among Asian Indians are in sharp contrast to the low rates of CAD among other Asian. Despite very high rates of smoking and hypertension, the CAD rates in Japan are 4 fold lower than in the US (Japanese paradox) (4). The same is true in China (Chinese paradox) (5). The CAD rates in rural India are one half that of urban India, though smoking is more common in Indian villages. However, these rural rates are double that of the overall US rates and 4 fold higher than in rural China and Japan.

The Framingham heart study, since 1948, in Framingham town of USA, has been the landmark epidemiological study that examined longitudinally the development of CAD in a general adult population. It pioneered the concept that certain attributes or exposures known eventually as the risk factors were associated with the development of heart disease. The

## Original Research Protocol

concept of risk factors spawned a new generation of studies aimed at interventions to reduce the impact of risk factors on health.

Risk factor concept is now firmly established by Kannel \& Mcgee (1987). CAD is best conceptualized as a multifactorial disease process, with no individual risk factor strictly essential or sufficient for causation.

There are many known risk factors causing the CAD which are accepted worldwide. These risk factors are divided into conventional risk factors like hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking, obesity, sedentary life style, mental stress, family history of CAD. Recently there have been focus on the other factors which are considered to be the important in genesis of the coronary artery disease; these are called newer coronary risk factors. The studies have shown they are as important as the conventional risk factors (6).

Risk factors have been categorized by several properties as follows (7):

Category I: Risk factors for which interventions have been proved to reduce the incidence of CAD events.

1. Cigarette smoking
2. Low density lipoproteins cholesterol
3. Hypertension
4. Left ventricular hypotrophy
5. Thrombogenic factors viz antiphospholipid antibody in SLE, homocysteine in homozygous homocystinuria, plasminogen activator inhibitor-I antigen etc.

Category II: Risk factors for which interventions are likely to lower CAD events.

1. Diabetes mellitus
2. Physical inactivity
3. HDL cholesterol
4. Postmenopausal status - case-control and cohort studies suggest that estrogen replacement results in $50 \%$ reduction in the risk of developing CAD (8,9)

Category III: Risk factors clearly associated with an increase in CAD risk, which, if modified, might lower the incidence of CAD events.
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| 1. | Psychosocial factors |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Triglycerides |
| 3. | Lipoprotein(a) |
| 4. | Homocysteine |
| 5. | Oxidative stress |
| 6. | Alcoholic beverage consumption |

Category IV: Risk factors associated with increased risk but which cannot be modified or whose modification would be unlikely to change the incidence of CAD events.

| 1. | Age |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Gender |
| 3. | Family history |
| 4. | Genetic factors |

Autopsy studies (10-13) show that coronary atherosclerosis begins as early as 20 years of age, and a recent study found severely stenotic coronary arteries (Narrowing $\geq$ $40 \%$ ) in $19 \%$ of men in their early thirties (14). On the basis of these observations, the National Cholesterol Education Program recommended cholesterol screening in all adults 20 years of age or older (15). Similarly, the sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure recommends screening for hypertension in all persons 18 year of age or older (16). However, guidelines on prevention of coronary heart disease in young adults have not been uniformly accepted, in part because data on risk prediction and coronary disease prevention in adults younger than 40 years of age are limited (17-20).

In Indian studies Reddy et al (21) documented the high prevalence of smoking, elevated serum cholesterol levels, low HDL levels, hypertension and diabetes in both the urban and rural population sampled. Similarly in the urban population studied in Chennai(22), serum levels of total cholesterol, LDL, TG were linked to the presence of CAD. This study also documented the predisposition of people with diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance(IGT) to develop CAD. The prevalence of CAD was $21.4 \%$ in diabetics and $14.9 \%$ in IGT and $9.1 \%$ in non diabetics. Numerous studies in middle-aged ( 40 to 65 years of age) and, to a lesser extent, older persons have shown that the major risk factors for coronary heart disease (which include cholesterol level, blood pressure, and cigarette smoking) are predictive of long-term outcomes in these age groups (23-26).

In addition, primary and secondary prevention trails have convincingly shown benefits of reduction of certain risk factors, such as dyslipidemia and hypertension, in middle-aged and older adults (15, 16, 27-29). However, equally compelling data from observational studies or clinical trials are almost nonexistent in young adults (12, 13, 23, 30). Manchanda et al demonstrated regression of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with severe coronary artery disease by yoga lifestyle intervention(31).
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Very recent study compared primary prevention and secondary prevention and concluded that primary prevention achieved a fourfold larger reduction in deaths as compared to secondary prevention (32)

Psychosocial factors in CAD

The link between the body and mind is a very powerful one. Most of the disease have been linked not only with the condition of the body but with the state of mind as well. Coronary artery disease (CAD) is no exception. CAD is prevalent in near epidemic proportions in the Indian subcontinent (Banerjee, 2001)(33) and Myocardial Infarction (MI) is a major cause of death in the4 world. Research has linked several risk factors to cardiovascular disease. A risk factor is any characteristic or condition that occurs with greater frequency in people with a disease than in people who are free from that disease. It neither helps in identification of a cause nor a prediction of who will not be affected. It yields information concerning which conditions are associated directly or indirectly with a particular disease or disorder. The Framingham heart study $(1948,1971)$ uncovered a number of risk factors.; These include inherent risk, physiological risk, behavioural and life-style risks, and psychosocial risks, Inherent risk factors cannot be changed, such as family history, age, gender and ethnicity. Physiological risk factors include hypertension and high cholesterol. Behavioural risk factors include smoking and a diet high in saturated fat and low in fiber and antioxidant vitamins. Psychosocial factors include persistently high levels of anxiety, stress, low educational level, low income, lack of social support and both expressed as well as unexpressed anger. Despite a number of researches being conducted in this field, we know only about half the risk factors for heart disease (Voelker, 1998) (34).

Chronic anger, anxiety, loneliness and depression have been reported as major emotions by Garnett, 1996(35). Similar studies have found that suffering from anxiety and having feelings of anger and hostility also increase one's risk of dying from CAD. Harvard researchers found that patients who reported two or more anxiety symptoms at the beginning of the study had four times the risk of dying from a heart attack. A prospective study (Kavachi et al., 1994)(36) showed that men who experienced phobic anxiety were three times more likely to suffer sudden death from heart disease than their counterparts.

Garnett (1996)(35) found that the risk of heart attack was 2.3 times greater than expected if the person had been angry two hours before the event. Constant, unrelieved stress overtaxes the heart and raises the blood pressure, cholesterol and fat levels in the blood (Ornish, 1990)(37), increasing the likelihood of CAD. Psychosocial factors such as low socio-economic status and low educational level are two additional factors for heart disease (Eaker, Pinsky and Castelli, 1992, Gillum et al., 1998, Fried, 1998)(38-40).
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Being single and lacking social support are also coronary risk factors (Williams, 1992, Case et al., 1992)(41,42).

A large body of research supports the view that hostility is a better predictor of CAD than Type A behaviour (Williams et al, 1980) (43). The expression of anger hostility is positively related to CAD (Siegman, Dembroski \& Ringel, 1987). Dembroski et al., $(1985)(44,45)$ found a significant association between anger in scores and CAD. A study be Appels et. al., postulated that prolonged and uncontrollable psychological stress (either at work or family situations) may result in a state called "vital exhaustion" (lack of energy, increased irritability and demoralization) that need to be addressed (Kop, 1997)(46). Individuals with high job demand but low job latitude are under job strain, performing excessive routine work with lack of creative outlets (Karasek et al., 1981)(47)or with effort reward imbalance (Peter et al., 2002(48), are susceptible to CAD.

Thus, the impact of psychosocial factors in CAD cannot be ignored. It therefore becomes imperative that a thorough screening of these psychosocial factors (as mentioned above) is carried out in addition to the various routine tests of CAD. This is essential for determining the susceptibility of an individual to be affected by heart disease and for the development of effective therapeutic intervention and follow-up.

## Genetic susceptibility in CAD

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a chronic inflammatory disease, progression of which may be accelerated by immunological mechanisms. Genes involved in regulation of inflammation and protection against infectious agents may affect severity of the disease. Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) region carries genes involved in innate and adaptive immunity and inflammation. These genes contain components of the complement (C2, factor B, C4A and C4B), cytokine genes (tumor necrosis factor and lymphotoxin-alpha (LTA), stress response genes (heat shock proteins) and the HLA class I (HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C) and class II (HLA-DRB, HLA-DQB, HLA-DPB) genes for the initiation of specific immune responses.

It was reported that the relative risk for coronary artery disease is increased if a person had human leukocyte antigen BW 38 (Stone et al., 1981)(49). This study showed a statistically significant trend between the presence of HLA BW 38 and premature CAD. In another study it has been reported whether donor or recipient HLA type influenced the development of CAD in cardiac allograft recipients. They found that the particular MHC class Il types in the donor heart predispose to accelerated CAD, perhaps by inducing a more vigorous immune response in the recipient. However, it is becoming apparent that MHC
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genes alone can not account for the genetic susceptibility to the diseases with which they are associated. Most of these disorders appear to be complex genetic traits where the MHC is only one of several (or many) interacting genes, which along with environmental stimuli, ultimately lead to a pathological condition. Thus if there is genetic susceptibility to CAD, HLA is likely to be only part of the genetic equation. If subsequent investigations confirm a link between susceptibility to CAD and MHC alleles, a new dimension will be opened in the exploration for mechanisms underlying this seemingly nonimmunologic disease as well as potentially other forms of the disease.

Large-scale quantitative analysis of gene expression, including cDNA microarrays and proteomic analysis, is now applied to heart failure and atherosclerosis. The technology is still at the beginning and is limited by variations in the array platforms and gene products as well as sensitivity or specificity of the selected probes. But, this method has the advantage of: (1) simultaneous screening of hundreds of genes and identification of the up/down-regulated genes in a particular condition or tissue, (2) identification of pathways and monitoring of expression of underlying genes responsible for a disease, and (3) identification of possible target molecules/ genes for future therapeutic studies. With these advantages the microarray analysis for gene expression is a preferred high-throughput tool for candidate gene(s) identification.

The precise molecular mechanisms that lead to coronary artery disease (CAD) are not understood, despite a wealth of knowledge on predisposing risk factors and pathomechanisms. The biological complexity of CAD results from unknown or unpredictable interactions of many genetic and environmental factors which, by themselves, have only been partially identified. According to current knowledge, genetic variations in causative or susceptibility genes form the basis of molecular mechanisms that, together with environmental impact, lead to CAD and determine its clinical course. Studies at molecular level have identified gene clusters/ families like apoE-CI-CII (Wang et al. 2006)(50), interleukins specially IL-18 and sICAM-1 (MironczuK et al. 2005)(51), MEF2A (Kojimoto et al. 2005)(52), monocyte adhesion and diapedesis, lipid metabolism and fibrinolysis regulation responsible genes like ICAM1, APOE, PPARA and PAI-1 (Zak et al. 2005)(53), gene for collagen receptor alpha2beta1 (Ajzenberg et al. 2005)(54), TNFa (Bernard et al. 2003, Csiszar et al. 2006, Giacconi et al. 2006)(55-57), nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) gene polymorphisms (Yoshimura et al. 2000, Rossi et al. 2006, Morawietz et al. 2006)(58-60), Calcium dependant enzymes like paraoxonase (PON1; Laplaud et al. 1998)(61), hsCRP (Rasouli and Kiasali 2006)(62), metalloproteinases (MMPs, Fitzsimmons et al. 2006)(63), urotensin II (U-II, Watanabe et al. 2006)(64), Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1, Kim et al. 2006)(65), GATA2 (Connelly et al. 2006)(66), Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase (Fan et al. 2006)(67), insulin-like growth factor-I receptors (IGF-IR) and insulin receptors (IR) (Chisalita et al. 2006)(68) and heterotrimeric G-proteins (Renner et al. 2006)(69) and related genetic polymorphisms lead to CAD. But lack of precise clinical phenotyping, lack of functional characterization of gene variants, and the vast number of yet undetected genes may provide some explanation of CAD progression. Except for certain polymorphisms in lipid genes (i.e. apolipoprotein E [apo E]) or rare genetic variations (i.e. LDL receptor), which have a causal effect on both the intermediate (LDL-
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cholesterol level in plasma) and the clinical phenotypes (CAD), the role of most gene polymorphisms is controversial or unknown. Despite the enormous progress in sequencing the human genome and in molecular genetic and bioinformatic techniques during the past decade, the progress in identifying genes responsible for complex traits such as CAD has been modest and presents a formidable challenge to medical research constraining drug development programmes. No such information is available for Indian population and no SNP polymorphism has been associated with CAD for Indian populations.

The 70 kilodalton heat shock proteins (Hsp70s) are a family of ubiquitously expressed proteins. These proteins are an important part of the cell's machinery for protein folding, and help to protect cells from stress. Apart from chaperoning tumor-specific peptides, HSPs per se provide activatory signals for the innate immune system. Hsps are also reported to be pro-atherogenic by stimulating proinflammatory cytokine production in atherosclerotic lesions contributing to plaque rupture (Giacconi et al. 2006)(57). In fact, the 1267 HSP70-2 polymorphism has been reported to be independently associated with coronary artery disease (CAD). More over this 1267 HSP70-2 polymorphism has also been established as a risk factor for carotid plaque rupture and cerebral ischaemia in old type 2 diabetes-atherosclerotic patients (Giacconi et al. 2005)(70). But patients with coronary atherosclerosis reported to possess lower levels of anti-HSP70 antibody levels (Herz et al. 2006)(71). Wu etal., (1999) found increased anti-hsp60 titres were associated with hypertension and atherosclerosis.

This project will study the prevalence of conventional and newer emerging coronary risk factors in DRDO staff. We will also find the prevalence of CAD in DRDO staff and emphasize preventive measures.

# INSTITUTIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEE FOR HUMAN TRIALS (IEC) INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE \& ALLIED SCIENCES (INMAS) DRDO, Ministry of Defence, Brig S K Mazumdar Marg, Delhi - 110054 <br> Telephone No. - 23970233 Fax No. - 23919509 <br> E-mail: tarunsekhri@inmas.org 

INM/TS/IEC /002/07
Date: 27/09/2007

| Chairman | Member Secretary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Prof. Y K Gupta | Dr. Tarun Sekhri |

Members
Prof. Anju Seth, Mr. A S Aggarwal, Dr. A K Mishra, Dr. A Salhan, Dr. N K Chaudhary, Dr. G Sripathy, Dr. S C Jain

To
Dr Tarun Sekhri, Sci 'F'
INMAS,
Brig S K Mazumdar Marg
Delhi - 110054
Subject: Ethical clearance of your submitted project by the IEC.
The IEC considered the following project submitted by you:
"Study of the health profile of DRDO employees with special emphasis on coronary risk factors"

The IEC gives approval for the study.
(Dr. Tarun Sekhri)
Member Secretary
Copy to:

1. Director, INMAS - for information
2. Head, Tech coord

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Item } \\ \text { No } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 1 |
|  |  | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 1 |
| Introduction |  |  |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported[YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 2 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 2 |
| Methods |  |  |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 3 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 3 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <br> Case-control study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <br> Cross-sectional study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants [YES] Addressed in page no 3 \& 4 |
|  |  | (b) Cohort study-For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <br> Case-control study-For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 3 \& 4 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 3\&4 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias [NOT APPLICABLE] |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at [YES] The employees working in National level organisation were requested to participate in the study. Those people who agreed voluntarily were made a part of study. Out of a total 40000 employees, 14500 agreed to take part in the study. Manuscript Page no 6 |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why [YES] The risk factors of CAD were independently studied. Each variable was studied as per the normal range for common clinical parameters. |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding <br> [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 6 |

(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions [YES]

Addressed in manuscript page no 6
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed [YES] The subjects whose data could not be completed for some reason or other were excluded from the study.
(d) Cohort study-If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed [NOT APPLICABLE]
Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 6
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

| Results |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 6 |
|  |  | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage |
|  |  | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 6 |
|  |  | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest |
|  |  | (c) Cohort study-Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time |
|  |  | Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure |
|  |  | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures [YES] <br> Addressed in manuscript page no 6 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, $95 \%$ confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included [NOT APPLICABLE] |
|  |  | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
|  |  | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses [NOT APPLICABLE] |
| Discussion |  |  |
| Key results |  | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 8 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. <br> Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias [NIL] |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 8 \& 9 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 8 \& 9 |
| Other information |  |  |
| Funding |  | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based [YES] Addressed in manuscript page no 9 |
| *Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies. |  |  |
| Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org. |  |  |

## BMJ Open

## Prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease in urban Indian population

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
| ---: | :--- |
| Manuscript ID: | bmjopen-2014-005346.R1 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 11-Jul-2014 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Sekhri, Tarun; Institute Of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid <br> Kanwar, Ratnesh; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid <br> Chugh, Pradeep; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid, <br> Chhillar, Mitrabasu; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid, <br> Aggarwal, Rashmi; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid, <br> Sharma, Yogender; Defence Institute of physiology and allied sciences, <br> Biostatistics <br> Sethi, Jyoti; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, <br> Endocrinology \& Thyroid, |
| Sundriyal, Jiwanti; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid, |  |
| Bhadra, Kuntal; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |
| Singh, Satveer; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |
| Rautela, Neeta; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |
| Chand, Tek; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |
| Kain, Manju; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |
| Singh, Shrawan; Institute of Nuclear Medicine \& Allied Sciences, Health, |  |
| Endocrinology \& Thyroid |  |,

## MANUSCRIPT

# Prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease in urban Indian population 

Sekhri $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{1}^{*}}$, Kanwar RS ${ }^{1}$, Wilfred $\mathbf{R}^{1}$, Chugh $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, Chhillar $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{1}}$, Aggarwal $\mathbf{R}^{1}$, Sharma $\mathbf{Y K}^{\mathbf{2}}$, Sethi $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{1}}$, Sundriyal $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{1}}$, Bhadra $K^{1}$, Singh $\mathbf{S}^{1}$, Rautela $\mathbf{N}^{1}$, Tekchand ${ }^{1}$, Singh $\mathbf{M}^{1}$, Kain $\mathbf{M}^{1}$, Singh SK ${ }^{1}$<br>1. Division of Health, Endocrinology and Thyroid Research<br>Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), Delhi 110054<br>2. Department of Biostatistics<br>Defence Institute of Physiology and Allied Sciences (DIPAS), Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), Delhi 110054<br>*Corresponding Author<br>Dr. Tarun Sekhri<br>Scientist "G" Addl Director and Head<br>Division of Health, Endocrinology and Thyroid Research<br>Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), Brig S K Mazumder Marg, Timarpur, Delhi 110054, India. E mail: tarunsekhri@yahoo.com


#### Abstract

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease in government employees workers posted across the country.

Methods: The study population included subjects from ministry of government employees posted in different parts of the country \{Males ( $\mathrm{n}=10642$ ), Females ( $\mathrm{n}=1966$ ) aged 20 to 60 years \} and comprised of various ethnic groups, staying in different environmental conditions. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory. All the following individuals were subjected to detailed questionnaire, medical examinations and anthropometric measurements. Blood samples were collected for blood glucose and serum lipid profile estimation. Resting ECG was also recorded for these subjects. Results were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tools. Results: The study revealed that the family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ and out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were freshly diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication. Hypertension was present in $21 \%$ of subjects. Prevalence of dyslipidemia was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL ratio. $78.6 \%$ Subjects had 2 or more risk factors for CAD. Conclusion: The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian urban population. Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors to manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.


## STRENGTHS \& LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Our study is the first of its type where population was covered across various cities in India. The study population included people of various ethnicities, age groups, and living in different parts of the country.
- There are very few studies across the world where such a large population were studied for the conventional risk factors of CAD.
- The history and clinical examination of the subjects were collected by qualified doctors who interviewed \& examined each subject of the study. All the biochemical investigations were performed by the same team using similar kits and evaluation technique.
- The limitation of the study was that out of approximately 26000 working population of this organisation only 14500 gave informed consent to participate in the study. Out of these subjects data could be completed in 12608 subjects and was statistically analysed.
- Because of the limited resources in Phase I we could study and evaluate only the conventional coronary risk factors.
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## INTRODUCTION

Coronary Artery disease (CAD) is one of the most common causes of mortality and morbidity in both developed and developing countries. It is a leading cause of death in India, and its contribution to mortality is rising; deaths due to CAD are expected to double from 1985 to 2015.[1] According to the reports of National Commission on Macroeconomics \& Health, there would be 62 million patients with CAD in 2015 in India and of these 23 million would be patients younger than 40 years of age.[2]The prevalence of classical cardiovascular (CV) risk factors (CVRFs) such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity and diabetes varies widely between different countries, and shows some important secular trends. The conventional risk factors of CAD can be divided in non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors. The former include age, sex and family history while the latter include diabetes mellitus, smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity. There is increasing incidence to believe that Asian Indians are at an increased risk of CAD, which cannot be attributed to the common risk factors. Recently, a number of newer cardiovascular risk factors have been identified. These factors are of great interest in native Indians where more than $60 \%$ of the CAD remains unexplained by conventional risk factors. Comparative studies on newer risk factors illustrated that Indians have higher C-reactive protein, plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) and homocysteine levels.[3]
The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes i.e. changes in diet, physical inactivity, drug and alcohol intake etc, and increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus.[4,5] The prevalence of risk factors in a population determines the future burden on health care services and loss of productive years of a particular person. It is not only a health risk for that individual but overall burden on the economy. There are no large scale studies of adequate sample size to evaluate the prevalence rate, risk factor patterns and electrocardiographic changes in Indian populations. This study was planned to evaluate the future risk of CAD in a national level organization. The organization has offices across the entire country (Fig 1). So the study population included subjects from various ethnic groups, staying in varied environments and consuming different varieties of diet. To the best of our knowledge our study is the first such study carried out across India, where the employees are working all over India and belong to different ethnicity spread across the country.

## Key words

CAD: coronary artery disease; BP: blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; PPPG: post-prandial plasma glucose; BMI: body mass index; LDL-cholesterol: low-density cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol: high density cholesterol; CVRFs: cardiovascular risk factors
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## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Patient Population and Study Design

All the subjects were civilian government employees posted in various parts of the country. The subjects of both the sexes were recruited after written informed consent. They fall in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory namely, Delhi (Delhi), Karnataka(Bangalore, Mysore), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vishakahapatnam), Maharashtra (Pune, Ambernath, Ahmednagar), Uttar Pradesh (Agra, Kanpur), Rajasthan (Jodhpur), Himachal Pradesh (Manali), Chandigarh, Uttrakhand (Dehradun, Mussourrie) ,Orissa (Chandipur), Assam (Tejpur), Jammu \& Kashmir (Leh), Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior), Tamil Nadu(Chennai), Kerala (Kochi). This data collection involved subjects from different states of India like Delhi, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, UP, Uttrakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan, Orissa etc. This is an ongoing study and now is in its phase 2. The patient recruitment was initiated in 2009 and the phase 1 evaluation was completed in 2012 . Of the initial 14,000 subjects sampled, 1500 subjects were considered as non-eligible and a sample of 12,500 was subjected to detailed statistical analysis and evaluation. The sample size calculation was not performed as it was an open study where voluntary participation of all employees was encouraged.

## Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Delhi.

## Inclusion criteria

a. Civilian government employees posted in various parts of the country
b. Apparently healthy individual
c. Age 20-60 years
d. Both the sexes

## Exclusion criterion

a. Known case of coronary artery disease (CAD)

## Assessment process

Participants were asked to attend the Health Center of their respective institution at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. They were asked to continue their medication if any, as usual. A detailed questionnaire was applied by medical personnel prior to clinical measurements and blood collection. The questionnaire recorded information on demographic data, socio-economic and marital status, and several lifestyle factors namely tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption, physical activity, family history, disease history, medication use, and family history of premature CAD in first degree relatives (age $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women).In women, further data regarding reproductive and obstetrical history, oral contraception and hormonal replacement therapy was collected.

Anthropometry and clinical examination including blood pressure measurement was carried out. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light clothes. Bodyweight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a height gauge. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight in $\mathrm{Kg} /$ (height in meters) ${ }^{2}$.
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Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate were measured on the left arm, with an appropriately sized cuff, after at least 10 minutes rest in the seated position using an automated BP instrument. The average of the last two measurements was used for analysis. The subjects diagnosed to have high BP for the first time were called again the next day for BP monitoring before labeling them as hypertensive. In addition, waist and hip circumferences were measured as recommended.Resting ECG was also obtained as a part of evaluation.

Blood samples were collected in the fasting state and 2 hours after 75 grams of oral glucose. Biochemical evaluation of the blood samples included complete blood count, Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPPG), lipid profile, and liver\& kidney function tests. The clinical chemistry tests were performed on fresh blood samples using automatic analyser on the same day. Subjects whose FPG was $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were diagnosed as fresh cases of Diabetes Mellitus. Other subjects with past history of DM and/or taking medication for the same were also considered as Diabetics.

In the evaluation of Lipid profile the value of Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol $\geq 4.5$ was considered abnormal. Known cases of dyslipidemia and/or those on medication for the same were also included in dyslipidemia risk factor.

Table no. 1. Definitions for different risk factors in the study

| Risk factor | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hypertension | Systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or a diastolic BP (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ during the visit and/or presence of anti-hypertensive drug treatment and was considered as known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Diabetes Mellitus | FPG $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and $/$ or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ at the time of investigations and/or presence of antidiabetic drug treatment and was considered as known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Obesity | BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Overweight | BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |
| Hypercholesterolemia | Total blood cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Decreased cholesterol High density lipoprotein(HDL) | $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Adverse total cholesterol/High density lipoprotein ratio (Dyslipidemia) | $\geq 4.5$ |
| Age | $>45$ years in men; >55 years in women |
| Sex | Male sex |
| Family History of CAD | Premature CAD in first degree relatives ( $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women) |
| Risk factors for CAD | age, sex, family history, diabetes mellitus, smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity |

The strength of the study is that each participant had one on one interaction with at least one of the project team doctors. Each questionnaire was scrutinised by the doctor. So this added value to the data. In most of the epidemiological studies usually paramedics collect the data.

## Statistical Analysis

The final data was recorded on a predesigned Performa and managed in Microsoft access. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. The values of various parameters are presented as mean and SD (standard Deviation), in absolute numbers and as percentage. Comparison between male and female was done by t-test. Correlation statistics between various risk factors was also computed. Minimum Significance level was set at 0.05 .

## RESULTS

A total of 14,500 subjects were evaluated in the study. After informed consent, exclusion criteria, clinical \& biochemical assessment, complete data of 12,608 cases (Males - 10,642
Females $-1,966$ ) was available for final analysis. Mean age of males was $44.34 \pm 10.63$ \& median age being 47.00 years. Mean age of females was $42.47 \pm 10.34$ and median age was 44.00 years. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table no. 2 .

Table no.2: Baseline characteristics of the study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12,608$ )

| Parameters $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALES } \\ & (n=10642) \end{aligned}$ | FEMALES $(\mathrm{n}=1966)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 44.34 \pm 10 . \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $42.47 \pm 10.34$ | . 000 |
| Height | $\begin{aligned} & 166.92 \pm 6 . \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $154.74 \pm 6.34$ | . 000 |
| Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 69.36 \pm 10 . \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $62.24 \pm 11.30$ | . 001 |
| BMI | $\begin{aligned} & 24.89 \pm 3.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $26.02 \pm 4.69$ | . 001 |
| Systolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 127.35 \pm 16 \\ & .12 \end{aligned}$ | $120.05 \pm 15.25$ | . 000 |
| Diastolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 81.08 \pm 10 . \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $77.05 \pm 9.60$ | . 000 |
| FPG | $\begin{aligned} & 95.91 \pm 31 . \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $93.48 \pm 32.10$ | 0.01 |
| PPPG | $\begin{aligned} & 135.44 \pm 56 \\ & .31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $131.86 \pm 54.47$ | . 01 |
| Total Cholesterol | $\begin{aligned} & 186.11 \pm 40 \\ & .56 \end{aligned}$ | $181.69 \pm 36.62$ | . 001 |
| HDL | $\begin{aligned} & 42.46 \pm 11 . \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $46.54 \pm 11.36$ | . 001 |

Different parameters considered for calculating the risk factors and their results are depicted in Table no. 3.

Table no.3: Percentage (\%) of Risk factors in study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12608$ )

| Parameters | Total <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 2 6 0 8})$ | MALES <br> $(\mathbf{n}=10642)$ | FEMALES <br> $(\mathrm{n}=1966)$ | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family H/O CAD | $\mathbf{5 8 0 ( 4 . 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 0 ( 4 . 4 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 ( 6 \% )}$ | $<.05$ |
| Smoking | $\mathbf{1 4 7 1 ( 1 1 . 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 9 ( 1 3 . 8 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{2 ( 0 . 1 \% )}$ | $<.001$ |
| BMI >25 kg $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0 2 ( 4 7 . 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1 0 ( 4 6 . 1 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 2 ( 5 5 . 5 \% )}$ |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8} \pm 3.59$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 7 \pm ( 3 . 6 6 )}$ | $<.001$ |


| BMI 25-30 kg/m | 4959(39.3\%) | $4200(39.46 \%)$ | $759(38.6 \%)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean(SD) |  | $26.93 \pm 1.31$ | $27.35 \pm 1.44$ | $<.001$ |
| BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $1029(8.2 \%)$ | $700(6.6 \%)$ | $329(16.7 \%)$ |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $32.78 \pm 4.00$ | $33.41 \pm 3.74$ | $<.05$ |
| Diabetes Mellitus | $2016(16 \%)$ | $1766(16.6 \%)$ | $250(12.7 \%)$ | Ns |
| Hypertension | $2647(21 \%)$ | $2383(22.4 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 ( 1 3 . 4 \% )}$ | $<.001$ |
| Dyslipidemia | $5755(45.6 \%)$ | $5137(48.27 \%)$ | $\mathbf{6 1 8 ( 3 1 . 4 \% )}$ | $<.001$ |

Family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The history of CAD in first degree relatives in males was $4.4 \%$ and in females was $6 \%$ ( P value $<0.05$ ).
The prevalence of smoking was significantly higher in the men (13.8\%) than females ( $0.1 \%$ ), p value $<0.001$.
Out of 12603 study subjects, 6002 ( $47.6 \%$ ) had BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $4910(46.1 \%)$ males and $1092(55.5 \%)$ of females, P value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. On further analysis it was observed that $39.46 \%$ malesand $38.6 \%$ of females were overweight with BMI $25-30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{P}$ value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. The mean BMI of the overweight males was $26.93 \pm 1.31$ and $27.35 \pm 1.44$ of females. Obesity with BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was present in $6.6 \%$ of males with mean BMI of $32.78 \pm 4$ and $16.7 \%$ of females with mean BMI of 33.41 $\pm 3.74$, p value $<0.05$.
Overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ in study population with no significant difference present in male ( $16.6 \%$ ) and female ( $12.7 \%$ ) subjects. Out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication.
Out of 10642 male subjects, $2383(22.4 \%)$ were found to have hypertension, whereas out of 1966 female subjects, 264 ( $13.4 \%$ ) had high BP , p value $<0.001$. Overall prevalence of hypertension was $21 \%$ in the study subjects. Of these subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study.
The prevalence of dyslipidemia in study population was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio. $48.27 \%$ of male subjects and $31.4 \%$ females were found to have dyslipidemia ( P value $<0.001$ ). The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in study population was $31.3 \%$ with no significant difference in men ( $32 \%$ ) and women ( $27.6 \%$ ). When the cut off value of low HDL was used as $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for men its prevalence was found to be $37.7 \%$ and similarly at a cut off value of low HDL less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for women its prevalence was $76 \%$.
Total number of subjects having 2or more than 2 risk factors for CAD was 9909 (78.6\%). 9251 $(86.9 \%)$ male subjects had 2 or more than 2 risk factors in comparison to658 ( $33.46 \%$ )females. The most prevalent risk factor in men was dyslipidemia present in $48.27 \%$ of males followed by BMI $>25$ present in $46.1 \%$ of males. Whereas in women BMI>25 was most prevalent factor present in $55.5 \%$ of women, followed by dyslipidemia in $31.45 \%$.
HDL correlated negatively with FPG, PPPG, and BMI. BMI had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose and total cholesterol. Total cholesterol had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose, BMI (Table No. 4).

Table No 4. Correlations by Pearson correlation (2 tailed); (n=12608)

| Parameters | BP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BP <br> Systolic | Diastolic | FPG | PPPG | Serum <br> Total <br> Cholesterol | Serum <br> HDL | BMI |
| BP systolic | 1 | $.715\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $.149\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $.136\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $.086\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | -.011 | $.190\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ |


| MANUSCRIPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP Diastolic | .715(**) | 1 | .119(**) | .107(**) | .114(**) | -. 011 | .216(**) |
| FPG | .149(**) | .119(**) | 1 | .821(**) | .095(**) | -.054(**) | .099(**) |
| PPPG | .136(**) | .107(**) | .821(**) | 1 | .092(**) | -.042(**) | .117(**) |
| Serum Total Cholesterol | .086(**) | .114(**) | .095(**) | .092(**) | 1 | . 000 | .063(**) |
| Serum HDL Cholesterol | -. 011 | -. 011 | -.054(**) | -.042(**) | . 000 | 1 | -.068(**) |
| BMI | .190(**) | .216(**) | . 099 (**) | .117(**) | .063(**) | -.068(**) | 1 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## Discussion

The present study deals with finding the prevalence of the risk factors of the CAD, in a national level organization where people from different regions of India work. The employees were of both the sex and age group of 20-60. In the present population of the study, we found that approximately half of the population had dyslipidemia ( $45.6 \%$ ) and BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(47.6 \%)$. About one fifth of the study population was hypertensive ( $21 \%$ ) and one sixth had Diabetes mellitus( $16 \%$ ). $78.6 \%$ of the study population had 2 or more than 2 CAD risk factors which indicates that there is a large population who in the near future will develop CAD.

The results of our study can be compared with the results of Jaipur Heart Watch-5 done by Rajeev Gupta et al on 739 subjects with 451 men and 288 women. In the study, overweight/obesity was present in $46.2 \%$ in males and $50.7 \%$ of females. [6]Prevalence of Hypertension was $39.5 \%$ in males and $24.6 \%$ of females. Diabetes was present in $15.5 \%$ of males and 10.85 of females. $33 \%$ of the males and $32.7 \%$ of the females had high cholesterol levels.

Similar results are shown by a study done by Prabhakaran D et al among men working in an industry of Northern India.[7] It showed high serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio in $62 \%$, overweight in $47 \%$, hypertension in $30 \%$ and diabetes in $15 \%$ of the population. Though in our study $78.6 \%$ had 2 or more than 2 risk factors, study by Prabhakaran D has shown $47 \%$ of the respondents to have at least 2 of these risk factors.

Another study by Mohan et al in 2008, has shown prevalence of major risk factors for cardiovascular disease as: diabetes $11.9 \%$; hypertension $25.4 \%$; dyslipidemia $40.2 \%$; hypertriglyceridemia $28.3 \%$; overweight (body mass index $>$ or $=23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) $60.2 \%$; and metabolic syndrome $34.1 \%$.[8]
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Various other studies have also shown similar trends in the Indian population.[9-15] An increasing prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in urban residents of Chennai has been reported by Ramchandran et al.[16]Smoking and low physical activity have been shown to be prevalent in 2039 year old urban adults by Gupta et al in 2002.[17] Another important independent risk factor for CAD is family $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{O}$ of CAD as reported by Goel et al in 2003.[18]

Our study has clearly shown that prevalence of obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes are increasing among the middle class of the Indian population and these modifiable risk factors are responsible for the high prevalence of CAD risk factors. Study has shown direct correlation of increased BMI with dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension.CAD has a multi factorial etiology, with many of the risk factors being influenced by lifestyle. Rapid change in dietary habits coupled with decreased physical activity in India as consequence of urbanization may partly explain the escalation of CAD. India is experiencing an epidemiological transition with high rates of urbanization.[19-22] This has led to economic improvement, the consequence of which is increased fast food consumption and tobacco usage and decreased physical activity. With the introduction of an era of refined foods, sugar and hydrogenated oils, the traditional high complex carbohydrate, high fibre and low fat diet has been replaced by a diet rich in fats and simple sugars low in dietary fibres.[23]One of the effects of this transition is a shift in the disease spectrum from the communicable to non communicable diseases particularly CAD and Diabetes.[24-26] More importantly the disease is affecting the young Indians i.e. the productive workforce. The incidence of CAD in young Indians is $12-16 \%$ which is higher than any other ethnic group. Lack of awareness of the preventable risk factors and ignorance of the disease is also an important factor responsible for the increasing rate of CAD among Indians.[27-32] In the present study out of $21 \%$ hypertensive study subject only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study. Similarly, out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed. This shows that awareness and control of hypertension and diabetes was poor in the study population, indicating low detection and poor management of major CAD risk factors.

Prevention and control of the risk factors of CAD can reduce the rate of CAD. It needs changes in the individual as well as changes at the community level. Taking care of modifiable factors such as smoking, increases levels of body fat, too much use of fat and salt in food, sedentary lifestyle together with the use of accessible and affordable preventive medicines can definitely make a difference as far as CAD is concerned. Help of the television and media can be utilized to create awareness among the masses. Local Resident Welfare Associations and religious groups can also be empowered to promote healthy lifestyle and exercise among the community.

## CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian population as study population was representative of the national population and it does represent the rising trend of CAD in urban India. The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors, promotion of right diet and physical activity and at the same time development of the guidelines for screening and preventive therapeutic programmes to identify and manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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#### Abstract

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease in wrban Indian population. Methods: The study population included subjects from a national level organisation situated in different parts of the country \{Males ( $n=10642$ ), Females ( $n=1966$ ) aged $2 \overline{0}$ to 60 years $\}$ and comprised of various ethnic groups, staying in different environmental conditions. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory. All the following individuals were subjected to detailed questionnaire, medical examinations and anthropometric measurements. Blood samples were collected for blood glucose and serum lipid profile estimation. Resting ECG was also recorded for these subjects. Results were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tools. Results: The study revealed that the family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ and out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were freshly diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication. Hypertension was present in $21 \%$ of subjects. Prevalence of dyslipidemia was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL ratio. $78.6 \%$ Subjects had 2 or more risk factors for CAD. Conclusion: The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian urban population.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors to manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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Figure 1: The various labs in country where study was carried out

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Patient Population and Study Design

All the subjects were employee of one national level organisation. The subjects of both the sexes were recruited after written informed consent. They fall in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory namely, Delhi (Delhi), Karnataka(Bangalore, Mysore), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vishakahapatnam), Maharashtra (Pune, Ambernath, Ahmednagar), Uttar Pradesh (Agra, Kanpur), Rajasthan (Jodhpur), Himachal Pradesh (Manali), Chandigarh, Uttrakhand (Dehradun, Mussourrie) ,Orissa (Chandipur), Assam (Tejpur), Jammu \& Kashmir (Leh), Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior), Tamil Nadu(Chennai), Kerala (Kochi). This data collection involved subjects from different states of India like Delhi, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, UP, Uttrakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan, Orissa etc. This is an ongoing study and now is in its phase 2. The patient recruitment was initiated in 2009 and the phase 1 evaluation was completed in 2012.Of the initial 14,000 subjects sampled, 1500 subjects were considered as non-eligible and a sample of 12,500 was subjected to detailed statistical analysis and evaluation. The sample size calculation was not performed as it was an open study where voluntary participation of all employees was encouraged.

## Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Delhi.

## Inclusion criteria

a. Employee of the particular organization
b-a. Apparently healthy individual
e.b. Age $20-60$ years
d.c. Both the sexes

## Exclusion criterion

a. Known case of coronary artery disease (CAD)
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## Assessment process

Participants were asked to attend the Health Center of their respective institution at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. They were asked to continue their medication if any, as usual. A detailed questionnaire was applied by medical personnel prior to clinical measurements and blood collection. The questionnaire recorded information on demographic data, socio-economic and marital status, and several lifestyle factors namely tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption, physical activity, family history, disease history, medication use, and family history of premature CAD in first degree relatives (age $<55$ years in men \& $<65$ years in women).In women, further data regarding reproductive and obstetrical history, oral contraception and hormonal replacement therapy was collected.

Anthropometry and clinical examination including blood pressure measurement was carried out. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light clothes. Bodyweight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a height gauge. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight in $\mathrm{Kg} /$ (height in meters) ${ }^{2}$.

Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate were measured on the left arm, with an appropriately sized cuff, after at least 10 minutes rest in the seated position using an automated BP instrument. The average of the last two measurements was used for analysis. The subjects diagnosed to have high BP for the first time were called again the next day for BP monitoring before labeling them as hypertensive. In addition, waist and hip circumferences were measured as recommended.Resting ECG was also obtained as a part of evaluation.

Blood samples were collected in the fasting state and 2 hours after 75 grams of oral glucose. Biochemical evaluation of the blood samples included complete blood count, Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPPG), lipid profile, and liver\& kidney function tests. The clinical chemistry tests were performed on fresh blood samples using automatic analyser on the same day. Subjects whose FPG was $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were diagnosed as fresh cases of Diabetes Mellitus. Other subjects with past history of DM and/or taking medication for the same were also considered as Diabetics.

In the evaluation of Lipid profile the value of Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol $\geq 4.5$ was considered abnormal. Known cases of dyslipidemia and/or those on medication for the same were also included in dyslipidemia risk factor.
Table no. 1. Definitions for different risk factors in the study

| Risk factor | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hypertension | Systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or a <br> diastolic BP (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ during the visit <br> and/or presence of anti-hypertensive drug <br> treatment and was considered as known if the <br> subject was aware of this condition. |
| Diabetes Mellitus | FPG $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ at the <br> time of investigations and/or presence of anti- <br> diabetic drug treatment and was considered as <br> known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Obesity | BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Overweight | $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |

The strength of the study is that each participant had one on one interaction with at least one of the project team doctors. Each questionnaire was scrutinised by the doctor. So this added value to the data. In most of the epidemiological studies usually paramedics collect the data.

## Statistical Analysis

The final data was recorded on a predesigned Performa and managed in Microsoft access. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. The values of various parameters are presented as mean and SD (standard Deviation), in absolute numbers and as percentage. Comparison between male and female was done by t -test. Correlation statistics between various risk factors was also computed. Minimum Significance level was set at 0.05 .

## RESULTS

A total of 14,500 subjects were evaluated in the study. After informed consent, exclusion criteria, clinical \& biochemical assessment, complete data of 12,608 cases (Males - 10,642
Females $-1,966$ ) was available for final analysis. Mean age of males was $44.34 \pm 10.63$ \& median age being 47.00 years. Mean age of females was $42.47 \pm 10.34$ and median age was 44.00 years. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table no. 2 .

Table no.2: Baseline characteristics of the study population ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 2 , 6 0 8 \text { ) }}$

| Parameters $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{aligned}$ | FEMALES $(\mathrm{n}=1966)$ | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 44.34 \pm 10 . \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $42.47 \pm 10.34$ | . 000 |
| Height | $\begin{aligned} & 166.92 \pm 6 . \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $154.74 \pm 6.34$ | . 000 |
| Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 69.36 \pm 10 . \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $62.24 \pm 11.30$ | . 001 |
| BMI | $\begin{aligned} & 24.89 \pm 3.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $26.02 \pm 4.69$ | . 001 |
| Systolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 127.35 \pm 16 \\ & .12 \end{aligned}$ | $120.05 \pm 15.25$ | . 000 |
| Diastolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 81.08 \pm 10 . \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $77.05 \pm 9.60$ | . 000 |
| FPG | $\begin{aligned} & 95.91 \pm 31 . \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 4 8} \pm \mathbf{3 2 . 1 0}$ | 0.01 |
| PPPG | $\begin{aligned} & 135.44 \pm 56 \\ & .31 \end{aligned}$ | $131.86 \pm 54.47$ | . 01 |
| Total Cholesterol | $\begin{aligned} & 186.11 \pm 40 \\ & .56 \end{aligned}$ | $181.69 \pm 36.62$ | . 001 |
| HDL | $42.46 \pm 11$. | $46.54 \pm 11.36$ | . 001 |

Table no.3: Percentage (\%) of Risk factors in study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12608$ )

| Parameters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{n}=12608) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MALES } \\ (\mathrm{n}=10642) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEMALES } \\ (\mathrm{n}=1966) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family H/O CAD | 580(4.6\%) | 460(4.4\%) | 120(6\%) | <. 05 |
| Smoking | 1471(11.6\%) | 1469(13.8\%) | 2(0.1\%) | <. 001 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { BMI }>25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\ \text { Mean(SD) } \end{array}$ | 6002(47.6\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4910(46.1 \%) \\ 27.8 \pm 3.59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1092(55.5 \%) \\ & 29.17 \pm(3.66) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | <. 001 |
| BMI 25-30 kg/m ${ }^{2}$ <br> Mean(SD) | 4959(39.3\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4200(39.46 \%) \\ 26.93 \pm 1.31 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 759(38.6 \%) \\ & 27.35 \pm 1.44 \end{aligned}$ | <. 001 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BMI } \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\ \text { Mean(SD) } \end{gathered}$ | 1029(8.2\%) | $\begin{gathered} 700(6.6 \%) \\ 32.78 \pm 4.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 329(16.7 \%) \\ & 33.41 \pm 3.74 \end{aligned}$ | <. 05 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 2016 (16\%) | 1766(16.6\%) | 250 (12.7\%) | Ns |
| Hypertension | 2647 (21\%) | 2383(22.4\%) | 264(13.4\%) | <. 001 |
| Dyslipidemia | 5755 (45.6\%) | 5137 (48.27\%) | 618 (31.4\%) | <. 001 |

Family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The history of CAD in first degree relatives in males was $4.4 \%$ and in females was $6 \%$ ( P value $<0.05$ ).
The prevalence of smoking was significantly higher in the men ( $13.8 \%$ ) than females $(0.1 \%), \mathrm{p}$ value $<0.001$.
Out of 12603 study subjects, 6002 ( $47.6 \%$ ) had BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $4910(46.1 \%)$ males and 1092(55.5\%) of females, P value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. On further analysis it was observed that $39.46 \%$ malesand $38.6 \%$ of females were overweight with BMI $25-30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{P}$ value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. The mean BMI of the overweight males was $26.93 \pm 1.31$ and $27.35 \pm 1.44$ of females. Obesity with $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was present in $6.6 \%$ of males with mean BMI of $32.78 \pm 4$ and $16.7 \%$ of females with mean BMI of 33.41 $\pm 3.74$, p value $<0.05$.
Overall prevalence of Diabetes was $16 \%$ in study population with no significant difference present in male ( $16.6 \%$ ) and female ( $12.7 \%$ ) subjects. Out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus already on medication.
Out of 10642 male subjects, $2383(22.4 \%)$ were found to have hypertension, whereas out of 1966 female subjects, 264 ( $13.4 \%$ ) had high BP, p value $<0.001$. Overall prevalence of hypertension was $21 \%$ in the study subjects. Of these subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study.
The prevalence of dyslipidemia in study population was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio. $48.27 \%$ of male subjects and $31.4 \%$ females were found to have dyslipidemia ( P value $<0.001$ ).

Total number of subjects having 2or more than 2 risk factors for CAD was 9909 ( $78.6 \%$ ). 9251 ( $86.9 \%$ ) male subjects had 2 or more than 2 risk factors in comparison to658 ( $33.46 \%$ )females.The most prevalent risk factor in men was dyslipidemia present in $48.27 \%$ of males followed by BMI>25

Comment [h8]: The prevalance of hypercholesterolemia in study population was $31.3 \%$ with no significant difference in men (32\%) and women ( $27.6 \%$ ). When the cut off value of low HDL was used as $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for men its prevalence was found to be $37.7 \%$ and similarly at a cut off value of low HDL less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for women its prevalence was $76 \%$.
present in $46.1 \%$ of males. Whereas in women BMI>25 was most prevalent factor present in $55.5 \%$ of women, followed by dyslipidemia in $31.45 \%$.
HDL correlated negatively with FPG, PPPG, and BMI. BMI had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose and total cholesterol. Total cholesterol had a positive correlation with Systolic \& diastolic BP, Fasting \& PP plasma glucose, BMI (Table No. 4).

Table No 4. Correlations by Pearson correlation (2 tailed); ( $\mathrm{n}=12608$ )

| Parameters | BP <br> Systolic | BP <br> Diastolic | FPG | PPPG | Serum <br> Total Cholesterol | Serum HDL | BMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP systolic | 1 | .715(**) | .149(**) | .136(**) | .086(**) | -. 011 | .190(**) |
| BP Diastolic | .715(**) | 1 | .119(**) | . 107 (**) | .114(**) | -. 011 | .216(**) |
| FPG | .149(**) | .119(**) | 1 | .821(**) | .095(**) | -.054(**) | .099(**) |
| PPPG | .136(**) | .107(**) | .821(**) | 1 | .092(**) | -.042(**) | .117(**) |
| Serum Total Cholesterol | .086(**) | .114(**) | .095(**) | .092(**) | 1 | . 000 | .063(**) |
| Serum HDL Cholesterol | -. 011 | -. 011 | -.054(**) | -.042(**) | . 000 | 1 | -.068(**) |
| BMI | .190(**) | .216(**) | .099(**) | .117(**) | .063(**) | $-.068(* *)$ | 1 |

${ }^{* *}$ Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Discussion

A rise in the prevalence of CAD in the early half of the twentieth century and a subsequent dee line in the later half have been well documented in the western countries. However, the scenario has reversed in the developing countries especially in India with a steady escalation in the prevalence of GAD.[3]The CAD burden of India is expected to double by the year 2020, making it the single largest eause of death and $2^{\text {nd }}$ targest cause of disability. [4]

The present study deals with finding the prevalence of the risk factors of the CAD, in a national level organization where people from different regions of India work. The employees were of both the sex and age group of 20-60. In the present population of the study, we found that approximately half of the population had dyslipidemia ( $45.6 \%$ ) and BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $47.6 \%$ ). About one fifth of the study population was hypertensive ( $21 \%$ ) and one sixth had Diabetes mellitus $(16 \%) .78 .6 \%$ of the study population had 2 or more than 2 CAD risk factors which indicates that there is a large population who in the near future will develop CAD.

## MANUSCRIPT

The results of our study can be compared with the results of Jaipur Heart Watch-5 done by Rajeev Gupta et al on 739 subjects with 451 men and 288 women. In the study, overweight/obesity was present in $46.2 \%$ in males and $50.7 \%$ of females. [6]Prevalence of Hypertension was $39.5 \%$ in males and $24.6 \%$ of females. Diabetes was present in $15.5 \%$ of males and 10.85 of females. $33 \%$ of the males and $32.7 \%$ of the females had high cholesterol levels.

Similar results are shown by a study done by Prabhakaran D et al among men working in an industry of Northern India.[7] It showed high serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio in $62 \%$, overweight in $47 \%$, hypertension in $30 \%$ and diabetes in $15 \%$ of the population. Though in our study $78.6 \%$ had 2 or more than 2 risk factors, study by Prabhakaran D has shown $47 \%$ of the respondents to have at least 2 of these risk factors.

Another study by Mohan et al in 2008,has shown prevalence of major risk factors for cardiovascular disease as: diabetes $11.9 \%$; hypertension $25.4 \%$; dyslipidemia $40.2 \%$; hypertriglyceridemia $28.3 \%$; overweight (body mass index $>$ or $=23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) 60.2\%; and metabolic syndrome $34.1 \%$.[8]

Various other studies have also shown similar trends in the Indian population.[9-15] An increasing prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in urban residents of Chennai has been reported by Ramchandran et al.[16]Smoking and low physical activity have been shown to be prevalent in 2039 year old urban adults by Gupta et al in 2002.[17] Another important independent risk factor for CAD is family H/O of CAD as reported by Goel et al in 2003.[18]

Our study has clearly shown that prevalence of obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes are increasing among the middle class of the Indian population and these modifiable risk factors are responsible for the high prevalence of CAD risk factors. Study has shown direct correlation of increased BMI with dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension.CAD has a multi factorial etiology, with many of the risk factors being influenced by lifestyle. Rapid change in dietary habits coupled with decreased physical activity in India as consequence of urbanization may partly explain the escalation of CAD. India is experiencing an epidemiological transition with high rates of urbanization.[19-22] This has led to economic improvement, the consequence of which is increased fast food consumption and tobacco usage and decreased physical activity. With the introduction of an era of refined foods, sugar and hydrogenated oils, the traditional high complex carbohydrate, high fibre and low fat diet has been replaced by a diet rich in fats and simple sugars low in dietary fibres.[23]One of the effects of this transition is a shift in the disease spectrum from the communicable to non communicable diseases particularly CAD and Diabetes.[24-26] More importantly the disease is affecting the young Indians i.e. the productive workforce. The incidence of CAD in young Indians is $12-16 \%$ which is higher than any other ethnic group. Lack of awareness of the preventable risk factors and ignorance of the disease is also an important factor responsible for the increasing rate of CAD among Indians.[27-32] In the present study out of $21 \%$ hypertensive study subject only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study. Similarly, out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed. This shows that awareness and control of hypertension and diabetes was poor in the study population, indicating low detection and poor management of major CAD risk factors.

Prevention and control of the risk factors of CAD can reduce the rate of CAD. It needs changes in the individual as well as changes at the community level. Taking care of modifiable factors such as smoking, increases levels of body fat, too much use of fat and salt in food, sedentary lifestyle together
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with the use of accessible and affordable preventive medicines can definitely make a difference as far as CAD is concerned. Help of the television and media can be utilized to create awareness among the masses. Local Resident Welfare Associations and religious groups can also be empowered to promote healthy lifestyle and exercise among the community.

## STRENGTHS \& LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Our study is the first of its type where population was covered across various cities in India. The study population included people of various ethnicities, age groups, and living in different parts of the country.
- There are very few studies across the world where such a large population were studied for the conventional risk factors of CAD.
- The history and clinical examination of the subjects were collected by qualified doctors who interviewed \& examined each subject of the study. All the biochemical investigations were performed by the same team using similar kits and evaluation technique.
- The limitation of the study was that out of approximately 26000 working population of this organisation only 14500 gave informed consent to participate in the study. Out of these subjects data could be completed in 12608 subjects and was statistically analysed.
- Because of the limited resources in Phase I we could study and evaluate only the conventional ${ }^{4}$ coronary risk factors.


## CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian population as study population was representative of the national population and it does represent the rising trend of CAD in urban India. The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors, promotion of right diet and physical activity and at the same time development of the guidelines for screening and preventive therapeutic programmes to identify and manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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#### Abstract

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD) in government employees posted across India.

Methods: The study population included subjects from government employees posted in different parts of the country \{Males ( $\mathrm{n}=10642$ ), Females ( $\mathrm{n}=1966$ ) aged 20 to 60 years \} and comprised various ethnic groups, staying in different environmental conditions. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory. All selected individuals were subjected to detailed questionnaire, medical examinations and anthropometric measurements. Blood samples were collected for blood glucose and serum lipid profile estimation. Resting ECG was also recorded for these subjects. Results were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tools. Results: The study revealed that the family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The overall prevalence of diabetes was $16 \%$ and out of these, $5.6 \%$ were freshly diagnosed while remaining $10.4 \%$ were known cases already on medication. Hypertension was present in $21 \%$ of subjects. Prevalence of dyslipidemia was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL ratio. $78.6 \%$ subjects had 2 or more risk factors for CAD. Conclusion: The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian urban population. Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors to manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.


## INTRODUCTION

## MANUSCRIPT

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is one of the most common causes of mortality and morbidity in both developed and developing countries. It is a leading cause of death in India, and its contribution to mortality is rising; deaths due to CAD are expected to double from 1985 to 2015.[1] According to the reports of National Commission on Macroeconomics \& Health, there would be 62 million patients with CAD in 2015 in India and of these 23 million would be patients younger than 40 years of age.[2]The prevalence of classical cardiovascular (CV) risk factors (CVRFs) such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity and diabetes varies widely between different countries, and shows some important secular trends. The conventional risk factors of CAD can be divided into non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors. The former include age, sex and family history while the latter include diabetes mellitus, smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity. There is increasing incidence to believe that Asian Indians are at an increased risk of CAD, which cannot be attributed to the common risk factors. Recently, a number of newer cardiovascular risk factors have been identified. These factors are of great interest in native Indians where more than $60 \%$ of CAD remains unexplained by conventional risk factors. Comparative studies on newer risk factors illustrate that Indians have higher C-reactive protein, plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) and homocysteine levels.[3]
The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes i.e. changes in diet, physical inactivity, drug and alcohol intake, as well as increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus.[4,5] The prevalence of risk factors in a population determines the future burden on health care services and loss of productive years of a particular person. It is not only a health risk for that individual but an overall burden on the economy. There are no large scale studies of adequate sample size to evaluate the prevalence rate, risk factor patterns and electrocardiographic changes in Indian populations. The present study was thus planned to evaluate the future risk of CAD in a national level organization. The organization has offices across the entire country (Fig 1). So the study population included subjects from various ethnic groups, staying in varied environments and consuming different varieties of diet. To the best of our knowledge the present study is the first of its kind carried out across India.
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## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Patient Population and Study Design

All subjects were civilian government employees posted in various parts of the country. The subjects of both the sexes were recruited after written informed consent. They fall in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory namely, Delhi (Delhi), Karnataka(Bangalore, Mysore), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vishakahapatnam), Maharashtra (Pune, Ambernath, Ahmednagar), Uttar Pradesh (Agra, Kanpur), Rajasthan (Jodhpur), Himachal Pradesh (Manali), Chandigarh, Uttrakhand (Dehradun, Mussourrie) ,Orissa (Chandipur), Assam (Tejpur), Jammu \& Kashmir (Leh), Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior), Tamil Nadu(Chennai), Kerala (Kochi). This data collection involved subjects from different states of India like Delhi, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, UP, Uttrakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Orissa. The patient recruitment was initiated in 2009 and the phase 1 evaluation was completed in 2012. The sample size calculation was not performed as it was an open study where voluntary participation of all employees was encouraged.

## Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Delhi.

## Inclusion criteria

a. Civilian government employees posted in various parts of the country
b. Apparently healthy individual
c. Age $20-60$ years
d. Both sexes

## Exclusion criterion

a. Known case of coronary artery disease (CAD)

## Assessment process

Participants were asked to visit the health center of their respective institution at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. They were asked to continue their medication if any, as usual. A detailed questionnaire was applied by medical personnel prior to clinical measurements and blood collection. The questionnaire recorded information on demographic data, socio-economic and marital status. Several lifestyle factors were also recorded including tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption, physical activity, family history, disease history, medication use, and family history of premature CAD in first degree relatives (age $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women). In women, further data regarding reproductive and obstetrical history, oral contraception and hormonal replacement therapy was collected.
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For each of the subjects, anthropometric and clinical examination including blood pressure measurement was carried out. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light clothes. Bodyweight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a height gauge. Body mass index (BMI), defined as weight in $\mathrm{Kg} /$ (height in meters) ${ }^{2}$ was also calculated.

Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate were measured on the left arm, with an appropriately sized cuff, after at least 10 minutes rest in the seated position using an automated BP instrument. The average of the last two measurements was used for analysis. The subjects diagnosed to have high BP for the first time were called again the next day for BP monitoring before labeling them as hypertensive. Resting ECG was also obtained as a part of evaluation.

Blood samples were collected in the fasting state and 2 hours after 75 grams of oral glucose. Biochemical evaluation of the blood samples included complete blood count, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPPG), lipid profile, and liver\& kidney function tests. The clinical chemistry tests were performed on fresh blood samples using automatic analyser on the same day. Subjects whose FPG was $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were diagnosed as fresh cases of diabetes mellitus. Other subjects with past history of DM and/or taking medication for the same were also considered as Diabetics.

In the evaluation of lipid profile the value of total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol $\geq 4.5$ was considered abnormal. Known cases of dyslipidemia and/or those on medication for the same were also included in dyslipidemia risk factor. Table no. 1 summarises the definitions for different risk factors in the study.

Table no. 1. Definitions for different risk factors in the study

| Risk factor | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hypertension | Systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or a diastolic BP (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ during the visit and/or presence of anti-hypertensive drug treatment and was considered as known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Diabetes Mellitus | FPG $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ at the time of investigations and/or presence of antidiabetic drug treatment and was considered as known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Obesity | BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Overweight | BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |
| Hypercholesterolemia | Total blood cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Decreased High density lipoprotein(HDL) cholesterol | $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Adverse total cholesterol/High density lipoprotein ratio (Dyslipidemia) | $\geq 4.5$ |
| Age | $>45$ years in men; $>55$ years in women |
| Sex | Male sex |
| Family History of CAD | Premature CAD in first degree relatives ( $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women) |
| Risk factors for CAD | age, sex, family history, diabetes mellitus, |

The strength of the study was that each participant had one on one interaction with at least one of the project team doctors. Each questionnaire was scrutinised by the doctor. Therefore this added value to the data. In most of the epidemiological studies usually paramedics collect the data.

## Statistical Analysis

The final data was recorded on a predesigned performa and managed in Microsoft access. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. The values of various parameters are presented as mean and SD (standard deviation), in absolute numbers and as percentage. Comparison between male and female was done by t-test. Correlation statistics between various risk factors was also computed. Minimum significance level was set at 0.05 .

## RESULTS

A total of 14,500 subjects were evaluated in the study. After informed consent, exclusion criteria, clinical \& biochemical assessment, complete data of 12,608 cases (Males - 10,642
Females $-1,966$ ) was available for final analysis. Mean age of males was $44.34 \pm 10.63 \&$ median age being 47.00 years. Mean age of females was $42.47 \pm 10.34$ and median age was 44.00 years. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table no. 2 .

Table no.2: Baseline characteristics of the study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12,608$ )

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parameters } \\ & \pm \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEMALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=1966) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 44.34 \pm 10 \text {. } \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $42.47 \pm 10.34$ | . 000 |
| Height | $\begin{aligned} & 166.92 \pm 6 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | 154.74 $\pm 6.34$ | . 000 |
| Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 69.36 \pm 10 . \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $62.24 \pm 11.30$ | . 001 |
| BMI | $\begin{aligned} & 24.89 \pm 3.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $26.02 \pm 4.69$ | . 001 |
| Systolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 127.35 \pm 16 \\ & .12 \end{aligned}$ | $120.05 \pm 15.25$ | . 000 |
| Diastolic BP | $\begin{aligned} & 81.08 \pm 10 . \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $77.05 \pm 9.60$ | . 000 |
| FPG | $\begin{aligned} & 95.91 \pm 31 . \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | 93.48 $\pm 32.10$ | 0.01 |
| PPPG | $\begin{aligned} & 135.44 \pm 56 \\ & .31 \end{aligned}$ | 131.86 $\pm 54.47$ | . 01 |
| Total Cholesterol | $\begin{aligned} & 186.11 \pm 40 \\ & .56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $181.69 \pm 36.62$ | . 001 |
| HDL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42.46 } \pm 11 \text {. } \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $46.54 \pm 11.36$ | . 001 |

Different parameters considered for calculating the risk factors and their results are depicted in Table no. 3.

Table no.3: Percentage (\%) of Risk factors in study population (n=12608)

| Parameters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{n}=12608) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MALES } \\ (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{gathered}$ | FEMALES $(\mathrm{n}=1966)$ | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family H/O CAD | 580(4.6\%) | 460(4.4\%) | 120(6\%) | <. 05 |
| Smoking | 1471(11.6\%) | 1469(13.8\%) | 2(0.1\%) | <. 001 |
| BMI $>\mathbf{2 5 ~ k g} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 6002(47.6\%) | 4910(46.1\%) | 1092(55.5\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $27.8 \pm 3.59$ | 29.17 $\pm$ (3.66) | <. 001 |
| BMI 25-30 kg/m² | 4959(39.3\%) | 4200(39.46\%) | 759(38.6\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $26.93 \pm 1.31$ | $27.35 \pm 1.44$ | <. 001 |
| BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1029(8.2\%) | 700(6.6\%) | 329(16.7\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $32.78 \pm 4.00$ | $33.41 \pm 3.74$ | <. 05 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 2016 (16\%) | 1766(16.6\%) | 250 (12.7\%) | Ns |
| Hypertension | 2647 (21\%) | 2383(22.4\%) | 264(13.4\%) | <. 001 |
| Dyslipidemia | 5755 (45.6\%) | 5137 (48.27\%) | 618 (31.4\%) | <. 001 |

Family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The history of CAD in first degree relatives in males was $4.4 \%$ and in females was $6 \%$ ( P value $<0.05$ ).
The prevalence of smoking was significantly higher in the men (13.8\%) than females ( $0.1 \%$ ), p value $<0.001$.
Out of 12603 study subjects, 6002 ( $47.6 \%$ ) had BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $4910(46.1 \%)$ males and 1092(55.5\%) of females, P value $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$. On further analysis it was observed that $39.46 \%$ males and $38.6 \%$ of females were overweight with BMI $25-30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{P}$ value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. The mean BMI of the overweight males was $26.93 \pm 1.31$ and $27.35 \pm 1.44$ for females. Obesity with BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was present in $6.6 \%$ of males with mean BMI of $32.78 \pm 4$ and $16.7 \%$ of females with mean BMI of 33.41 $\pm 3.74$, p value $<0.05$.
Overall prevalence of diabetes was $16 \%$ in study population with no significant difference present in male ( $16.6 \%$ ) and female ( $12.7 \%$ ) subjects. Out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of diabetes mellitus already on medication.
Out of 10642 male subjects, $2383(22.4 \%)$ were found to have hypertension, whereas out of 1966 female subjects, 264 ( $13.4 \%$ ) had high BP, p value $<0.001$. Overall prevalence of hypertension was
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$21 \%$ in the study subjects. Of these subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study.
The prevalence of dyslipidemia in study population was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio. $48.27 \%$ of male subjects and $31.4 \%$ females were found to have dyslipidemia ( P value $<0.001$ ). The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in study population was $31.3 \%$ with no significant difference in men ( $32 \%$ ) and women ( $27.6 \%$ ). When the cut off value of low HDL was used as $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for men its prevalence was found to be $37.7 \%$ and similarly at a cut off value of low HDL less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for women its prevalence was $76 \%$.
The study population was divided into four groups according to their age. Subjects of age 20 to 30 years ( $\mathrm{N}=1885$ ), 30 to 40 years ( $\mathrm{N}=2724$ ), 40 to 50 years $(\mathrm{N}=3604$ ) and 50 to 60 years $(\mathrm{N}=4395)$ were categorized as group I, group II, group III, and group IV respectively.
The mean level of total cholesterol in these age groups was group I $-174.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, II $-182.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, III $-188.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ IV $-189.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. These levels were significantly higher in group II as compared to group I (p value $<0.05$ ). Significant difference was found when we compared group III and group II (p value $<0.05$ ), however there was no significant difference in these values when groups III and IV were compared. Mean HDL Cholesterol levels in these age groups were group I $-43.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, II -42.53 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, III $-42.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, IV $-43.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. No significant difference was seen in the levels of HDL cholesterol among these age groups.
Total number of subjects having 2or more than 2 risk factors for CAD was 9909 ( $78.6 \%$ ). 9251 $(86.9 \%)$ male subjects had 2 or more than 2 risk factors in comparison to658 ( $33.46 \%$ )females. The most prevalent risk factor in men was dyslipidemia present in $48.27 \%$ of males followed by BMI>25 present in $46.1 \%$ of males. Whereas in women BMI $>25$ was most prevalent factor present in $55.5 \%$ of women, followed by dyslipidemia in $31.45 \%$.
HDL correlated negatively with FPG, PPPG, and BMI. BMI had a positive correlation with systolic \& diastolic BP, fasting \& PP plasma glucose and total cholesterol. Total cholesterol had a positive correlation with systolic \& diastolic BP, fasting \& PP plasma glucose, BMI (Table No. 4).

Table No 4. Correlations among risk factors by Pearson Correlation (2 tailed); (n=12608)

| Parameters | $\begin{gathered} \text { BP } \\ \text { Systolic } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BP} \\ \text { Diastolic } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FPG | PPPG | Serum Total Cholesterol | $\begin{gathered} \text { Serum } \\ \text { HDL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP systolic | 1 | .715(**) | .149(**) | .136(**) | .086(**) | -. 011 | .190(**) |
| BP Diastolic | .715(**) | 1 | .119(**) | .107(**) | .114(**) | -. 011 | .216(**) |
| FPG | . 149 (**) | . $119\left({ }^{* *}\right.$ ) | 1 | .821(**) | .095(**) | -.054(**) | .099(**) |
| PPPG | . 136 (**) | .107(**) | .821(**) | 1 | .092(**) | -.042(**) | .117(**) |
| Serum Total Cholesterol | .086(**) | .114(**) | .095(**) | .092(**) | 1 | . 000 | .063(**) |
| Serum HDL <br> Cholesterol | -. 011 | -. 011 | -.054(**) | -.042(**) | . 000 | 1 | -.068(**) |
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| BMI | .190(**) | .216(**) | .099(**) | .117(**) | .063(**) | -.068(**) | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Discussion

The present study dealt with finding prevalence of risk factors of CAD, in a national level organization where people from different regions of India work. The employees were of both the sex and age group of $20-60$. In the present population of the study, it was found that approximately half of the population had dyslipidemia ( $45.6 \%$ ) and BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(47.6 \%)$. About one fifth of the study population was hypertensive ( $21 \%$ ) and one sixth had diabetes mellitus( $16 \%$ ). $78.6 \%$ of the study population had 2 or more than 2 CAD risk factors which indicates that there is a large population who in the near future will develop CAD.

The results of the present study can be compared with the results of Jaipur Heart Watch- 5 done by Rajeev Gupta et al on 739 subjects with 451 men and 288 women. In the study, overweight/obesity was present in $46.2 \%$ in males and $50.7 \%$ of females. [6]Prevalence of Hypertension was $39.5 \%$ in males and $24.6 \%$ of females. Diabetes was present in $15.5 \%$ of males and 10.85 of females. $33 \%$ of the males and $32.7 \%$ of the females had high cholesterol levels.

Similar results are shown by a study done by Prabhakaran D et al among men working in an industry of Northern India.[7] It showed high serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio in $62 \%$, overweight in $47 \%$, hypertension in $30 \%$ and diabetes in $15 \%$ of the population. Though in the present study $78.6 \%$ had 2 or more than 2 risk factors, study by Prabhakaran D has shown $47 \%$ of the respondents to have at least 2 of these risk factors.

Another study by Mohan et al in 2008, has shown prevalence of major risk factors for cardiovascular disease as: diabetes $11.9 \%$; hypertension $25.4 \%$; dyslipidemia $40.2 \%$; hypertriglyceridemia $28.3 \%$; overweight (body mass index $>$ or $=23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) $60.2 \%$; and metabolic syndrome $34.1 \%$.[8]

Various other studies have also shown similar trends in the Indian population.[9-15] An increasing prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in urban residents of Chennai has been reported by Ramchandran et al.[16]Smoking and low physical activity have been shown to be prevalent in 2039 year old urban adults by Gupta et al in 2002.[17] Another important independent risk factor for CAD is family $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{O}$ of CAD as reported by Goel et al in 2003.[18]

Our study has clearly shown that prevalence of obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes are increasing among the middle class of the Indian population and these modifiable risk factors are responsible for the high prevalence of CAD risk factors. Study has shown direct correlation of increased BMI with dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension.CAD has a multi factorial etiology, with many of the risk factors being influenced by lifestyle. Rapid change in dietary habits coupled with decreased physical activity in India as consequence of urbanization may partly explain the escalation of CAD. India is experiencing an epidemiological transition with high rates of urbanization.[19-22] This has led to economic improvement, the consequence of which is increased fast food consumption and tobacco usage and decreased physical activity. With the introduction of an era of refined foods, sugar and hydrogenated oils, the traditional high complex carbohydrate, high fibre and low fat diet has been replaced by a diet rich in fats and simple sugars low in dietary fibres.[23] One of the effects of this transition is a shift in the disease spectrum from the communicable to non communicable diseases particularly CAD and diabetes.[24-26] More importantly the disease is affecting the young Indians i.e. the productive workforce. The incidence of CAD in young Indians is $12-16 \%$ which is higher than any other ethnic group. Lack of awareness of the preventable risk factors and ignorance of the disease
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is also an important factor responsible for the increasing rate of CAD among Indians.[27-32] In the present study out of $21 \%$ hypertensive study subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication while the remaining and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study. Similarly, out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed. This shows that awareness and control of hypertension and diabetes was poor in the study population, indicating low detection and poor management of major CAD risk factors.

Prevention and control of the risk factors of CAD can reduce the rate of CAD. It needs changes in the individual as well as changes at the community level. Taking care of modifiable factors such as smoking, increased levels of body fat, too much use of fat and salt in food, sedentary lifestyle together with the use of accessible and affordable preventive medicines can definitely make a difference as far as CAD is concerned. Help of television and other media can be utilized to create awareness among the masses. Local resident welfare associations and religious groups can also be empowered to promote healthy lifestyle and exercise among the community.

## STRENGTHS \& LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Our study is the first of its type where population was covered across various cities in India. The study population included people of various ethnicities, age groups, and living in different parts of the country.
- The history and clinical examination of the subjects were collected by qualified doctors who interviewed \& examined each subject of the study. All the biochemical investigations were performed by the same team using similar kits and evaluation technique.
- The limitation of the study was that out of approximately 26000 working population of this organisation only 14500 gave informed consent to participate in the study. Out of these subjects data could be completed in 12608 subjects and was statistically analysed.
- Because of the limited resources in Phase I we could study and evaluate only the conventional coronary risk factors.


## CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian population as study population was representative of the national population and it does represent the rising trend of CAD in urban India. The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes. Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors, promotion of right diet and physical activity and at the same time development of guidelines for screening and preventive therapeutic programmes to identify and manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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## ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD) in defense services workers posted across the country India.

Methods: The study population included subjects from ministry of defence employees posted in different parts of the country \{Males ( $\mathrm{n}=10642$ ), Females ( $\mathrm{n}=1966$ ) aged 20 to 60 years\} and comprised of various ethnic groups, staying in different environmental conditions. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory. All the following selected individuals were subjected to detailed questionnaire, medical examinations and anthropometric measurements. Blood samples were collected for blood glucose and serum lipid profile estimation. Resting ECG was also recorded for these subjects. Results were analyzed by using appropriate statistical tools.
Results: The study revealed that the family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The overall prevalence of Ddiabetes was $16 \%$ and out of $16 \%$ diabeticsthese, $5.6 \%$ were freshly diagnosed and-while remaining $10.4 \%$ were known cases of Diabetes Mellitus-already on medication. Hypertension was present in $21 \%$ of subjects. Prevalence of dyslipidemia was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL ratio. $78.6 \%$ Ssubjects had 2 or more risk factors for CAD.

Conclusion: The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian urban population.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors to manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.


Figure 1: The various labs in country where study was carried out
MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Patient Population and Study Design

All the-subjects were civilian employees posted in various parts of the country under ministry of defence. The subjects of both the sexes were recruited after written informed consent. They fall in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years. The recruitment was done across India in 14 states, 20 cities, and, one union territory namely, Delhi (Delhi), Karnataka(Bangalore, Mysore), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vishakahapatnam), Maharashtra (Pune, Ambernath, Ahmednagar), Uttar Pradesh (Agra, Kanpur), Rajasthan (Jodhpur), Himachal Pradesh (Manali), Chandigarh, Uttrakhand (Dehradun, Mussourrie) ,Orissa (Chandipur), Assam (Tejpur), Jammu \& Kashmir (Leh), Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior), Tamil Nadu(Chennai), Kerala (Kochi). This data collection involved subjects from different states of India like Delhi, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, UP, Uttrakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Orissa ete. This is an ongoing study and now is in its phase 2. The patient recruitment was initiated in 2009 and the phase 1 evaluation was completed in 2012.Of the initial 14,000 subjects sampled, 1500 subjects were considered as non eligible and a sample of 12,500 was subjected to detailed statistical analysis and evaluation. The sample size calculation was not performed as it was an open study where voluntary participation of all employees was encouraged.

## Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences (INMAS), Delhi.
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## Inclusion criteria

a. Civilian employees posted in various parts of the country under ministry of defence
b. Apparently healthy individual
c. Age 20-60 years
d. Both the sexes

## Exclusion criterion

a. Known case of coronary artery disease (CAD)

## Assessment process

Participants were asked to visit attend the Hhealth Ecenter of their respective institution at 8:00 am after an overnight fast. They were asked to continue their medication if any, as usual. A detailed questionnaire was applied by medical personnel prior to clinical measurements and blood collection. The questionnaire recorded information on demographic data, socio-economic and marital status $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{\Sigma}}$ and sSeveral lifestyle factors were also recorded including namely tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption, physical activity, family history, disease history, medication use, and family history of | premature CAD in first degree relatives (age $<55$ years in men $\&<65$ years in women). In women, further data regarding reproductive and obstetrical history, oral contraception and hormonal replacement therapy was collected.

For each of the subjects, aAnthropometryic and clinical examination including blood pressure measurement was carried out. Body weight and height were measured with participants standing without shoes in light clothes. Bodyweight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, which was calibrated regularly. Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a height gauge. Body mass index (BMI), was defined as weight in Kg / (height in meters) ${ }^{2}$ was also calculated.-

Blood pressure ( BP ) and heart rate were measured on the left arm, with an appropriately sized cuff, after at least 10 minutes rest in the seated position using an automated BP instrument. The average of the last two measurements was used for analysis. The subjects diagnosed to have high BP for the first time were called again the next day for BP monitoring before labeling them as hypertensive. Im addition, waist and hip-cireumferences were meastred as recommended.Resting ECG was also obtained as a part of evaluation.

Blood samples were collected in the fasting state and 2 hours after 75 grams of oral glucose.
Biochemical evaluation of the blood samples included complete blood count,_Ffasting plasma glucose (FPG), post-prandial plasma glucose (PPPG), lipid profile, and liver\& kidney function tests. The clinical chemistry tests were performed on fresh blood samples using automatic analyser on the same day. Subjects whose FPG was $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and $/$ or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were diagnosed as fresh cases of Ddiabetes Mmellitus. Other subjects with past history of DM and/or taking medication for the same were also considered as Diabetics.

In the evaluation of 1 Eipid profile the value of $\mp$ total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol $\geq 4.5$ was considered abnormal. Known cases of dyslipidemia and/or those on medication for the same were also included in dyslipidemia risk factor.

Table no. 1. Definitions for different risk factors in the study
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| Risk factor | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hypertension | Systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm}$ Hg and/or a <br> diastolic BP (DBP) $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ during the visit <br> and/or presence of anti-hypertensive drug <br> treatment and was considered as known if the <br> subject was aware of this condition. |
| Diabetes Mellitus | FPG $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and/or PPPG $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ at the <br> time of investigations and/or presence of anti- <br> diabetic drug treatment and was considered as <br> known if the subject was aware of this condition. |
| Obesity | BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Overweight | BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |
| Hypercholesterolemia | Total blood cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Decreased High density lipoprotein(HDL) <br> cholesterol | $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Adverse total cholesterol/High <br> lipoprotein ratio (Dyslipidemia) | density |
| Age | $\geq 4.5$ |
| Sex | $>45$ years in men; $>55$ years in women |
| Family History of CAD | Male sex |
| Risk factors for CAD | Premature CAD in first degree relatives $(<55$ <br> years in men $\&<65$ years in women) | | age, sex, family history, diabetes mellitus, |
| :--- |
| smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension and obesity |,

The strength of the study wasis that each participant had one on one interaction with at least one of the project team doctors. Each questionnaire was scrutinised by the doctor. Therefore So thhis added value to the data. In most of the epidemiological studies usually paramedics collect the data.

## Statistical Analysis

| The final data was recorded on a predesigned pPerforma and managed in Microsoft access. The data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. The values of various parameters are presented as mean and
SD (standard dĐeviation), in absolute numbers and as percentage. Comparison between male and female was done by t-test. Correlation statistics between various risk factors was also computed.
Minimum sSignificance level was set at 0.05 .

## RESULTS

A total of 14,500 subjects were evaluated in the study. After informed consent, exclusion criteria, clinical \& biochemical assessment, complete data of 12,608 cases (Males - 10,642
Females $-1,966$ ) was available for final analysis. Mean age of males was $44.34 \pm 10.63$ \& median age being 47.00 years. Mean age of females was $42.47 \pm 10.34$ and median age was 44.00 years. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table no. 2 .

Table no.2: Baseline characteristics of the study population ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 2 , 6 0 8 \text { ) }}$

| Parameters $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEMALES } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=1966) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{P} \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 44.34 \pm 10 . \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $42.47 \pm 10.34$ | . 000 |
| Height | $\begin{aligned} & 166.92 \pm 6 . \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $154.74 \pm 6.34$ | . 000 |
| Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 69.36 \pm 10 . \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $62.24 \pm 11.30$ | . 001 |
| BMI | $\begin{aligned} & 24.89 \pm 3.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $26.02 \pm 4.69$ | . 001 |
| Systolic BP | $127.35 \pm 16$ | $120.05 \pm 15.25$ | . 000 |
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|  | $\mathbf{. 1 2}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diastolic <br> BP | $\mathbf{8 1 . 0 8} \pm 10$. <br> 04 | $77.05 \pm 9.60$ | .000 |
| FPG | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 1} \pm 31$. <br> 08 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 4 8} \pm 32.10$ | 0.01 |
| PPPG | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 4 4} \pm 56$ | $131.86 \pm 54.47$ | .01 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ |  | $181.69 \pm 36.62$ |
| Cholesterol | $\mathbf{. 5 6} \pm 40$ | .001 |  |
| HDL | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4 6} \pm 11$. | $46.54 \pm 11.36$ | .001 |

Different parameters considered for calculating the risk factors and their results are depicted in Table no. 3.

Table no.3: Percentage (\%) of Risk factors in study population ( $\mathrm{n}=12608$ )

| Parameters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{n}=12608) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MALES } \\ (\mathrm{n}=10642) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEMALES } \\ (\mathrm{n}=1966) \end{gathered}$ | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family H/O CAD | 580(4.6\%) | 460(4.4\%) | 120(6\%) | <. 05 |
| Smoking | 1471(11.6\%) | 1469(13.8\%) | 2(0.1\%) | <. 001 |
| BMI $>25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 6002(47.6\%) | 4910(46.1\%) | 1092(55.5\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $27.8 \pm 3.59$ | $29.17 \pm$ (3.66) | <. 001 |
| BMI 25-30 kg/m ${ }^{2}$ | 4959(39.3\%) | 4200(39.46\%) | 759(38.6\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $26.93 \pm 1.31$ | 27.35 $\pm 1.44$ | <. 001 |
| BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1029(8.2\%) | 700(6.6\%) | 329(16.7\%) |  |
| Mean(SD) |  | $32.78 \pm 4.00$ | $33.41 \pm 3.74$ | <. 05 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 2016 (16\%) | 1766(16.6\%) | 250 (12.7\%) | Ns |
| Hypertension | 2647 (21\%) | 2383(22.4\%) | 264(13.4\%) | <. 001 |
| Dyslipidemia | 5755 (45.6\%) | 5137 (48.27\%) | 618 (31.4\%) | <. 001 |

Family history of premature CAD was present in $4.6 \%$ of the study population. The history of CAD in first degree relatives in males was $4.4 \%$ and in females was $6 \%$ ( P value $<0.05$ ).
The prevalence of smoking was significantly higher in the men ( $13.8 \%$ ) than females $(0.1 \%), \mathrm{p}$ value $<0.001$.
Out of 12603 study subjects, 6002 (47.6\%) had BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $4910(46.1 \%)$ males and $1092(55.5 \%)$ of females, P value $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$. On further analysis it was observed that $39.46 \%$ males asand $38.6 \%$ of females were overweight with BMI $25-30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{P}$ value $<\mathbf{0} .001$. The mean BMI of the overweight males was $26.93 \pm 1.31$ and $27.35 \pm 1.44$ foref females. Obesity with BMI $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was present in $6.6 \%$ of males with mean BMI of $32.78 \pm 4$ and $16.7 \%$ of females with mean BMI of 33.41 $\pm 3.74$, p value $<0.05$.
Overall prevalence of dDiabetes was $16 \%$ in study population with no significant difference present in male ( $16.6 \%$ ) and female ( $12.7 \%$ ) subjects. Out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed and $10.4 \%$ were known cases of $Đ$ diabetes M mellitus already on medication.

Out of 10642 male subjects, 2383 (22.4\%) were found to have hypertension, whereas out of 1966 female subjects, 264 ( $13.4 \%$ ) had high BP, p value $<0.001$. Overall prevalence of hypertension was $21 \%$ in the study subjects. Of these subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study.
The prevalence of dyslipidemia in study population was significantly high with $45.6 \%$ of study subjects having high total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio. $48.27 \%$ of male subjects and $31.4 \%$ females were found to have dyslipidemia ( P value $<0.001$ ). The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in study population was $31.3 \%$ with no significant difference in men (32\%) and women (27.6\%). When the cut off value of low HDL was used as $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for men its prevalence was found to be $37.7 \%$ and similarly at a cut off value of low HDL less than $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for women its prevalence was $76 \%$.
The study population was divided into four groups according to their age. Subjects of age 20 to 30 years ( $\mathrm{N}=1885$ ), 30 to 40 years ( $\mathrm{N}=2724$ ), 40 to 50 years ( $\mathrm{N}=3604$ ) and 50 to 60 years ( $\mathrm{N}=4395$ ) were categorized as group I, group II, group III, and group IV respectively.
The mean level of total cholesterol in these age groups was group I $-174.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}, \mathrm{II}-182.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}, \mathrm{III}$ $-188.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ IV $-189.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. These levels were significantly higher in group II as compared to group I ( $p$ value $<0.05$ ). Significant difference was found when we compared group III and group II ( $p$ value $\leq 0.05$ ), however there was no significant difference in these values when groups III and IV were compared. Mean HDL Cholesterol levels in these age groups were group I $-43.79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, II -42.53 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, III $-42.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, IV $-43.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. No significant difference was seen in the levels of HDL cholesterol among these age groups.

Total number of subjects having 2or more than 2 risk factors for CAD was 9909 (78.6\%). 9251 ( $86.9 \%$ ) male subjects had 2 or more than 2 risk factors in comparison to658 ( $33.46 \%$ )females. The most prevalent risk factor in men was dyslipidemia present in $48.27 \%$ of males followed by BMI $>25$ present in $46.1 \%$ of males. Whereas in women BMI>25 was most prevalent factor present in $55.5 \%$ of women, followed by dyslipidemia in $31.45 \%$.
HDL correlated negatively with FPG, PPPG, and BMI. BMI had a positive correlation with şsystolic \& diastolic BP, Ffasting \& PP plasma glucose and total cholesterol. Total cholesterol had a positive correlation with Ssystolic \& diastolic BP, Ffasting \& PP plasma glucose, BMI (Table No. 4).
| Table No 4. Correlations among risk factors by Pearson eCorrelation (2 tailed); (n=12608)

| Parameters | $\begin{gathered} \text { BP } \\ \text { Systolic } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BP} \\ \text { Diastolic } \end{gathered}$ | FPG | PPPG | Serum Total Cholesterol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serum } \\ & \text { HDL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP systolic | 1 | .715(**) | .149(**) | .136(**) | .086(**) | -. 011 | .190(**) |
| BP Diastolic | .715(**) | 1 | .119(**) | .107(**) | .114(**) | -. 011 | .216(**) |
| FPG | .149(**) | .119(**) | 1 | .821(**) | .095(**) | $\left.-.054{ }^{* *}\right)$ | .099(**) |
| PPPG | .136(**) | .107(**) | .821(**) | 1 | .092(**) | -.042 ${ }^{* *}$ ) | .117(**) |
| Serum Total Cholesterol | .086(**) | .114(**) | .095(**) | .092(**) | 1 | . 000 | .063(**) |

## Discussion
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The present study dealst with finding the prevalence of the risk factors of the CAD, in a national level organization where people from different regions of India work. The employees were of both the sex and age group of 20-60. In the present population of the study, it was-we found that approximately half of the population had dyslipidemia ( $45.6 \%$ ) and BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(47.6 \%)$. About one fifth of | the study population was hypertensive (21\%) and one sixth had dDiabetes mellitus(16\%). $78.6 \%$ of the study population had 2 or more than 2 CAD risk factors which indicates that there is a large population who in the near future will develop CAD.
| The results of the present-our study can be compared with the results of Jaipur Heart Watch-5 done by Rajeev Gupta et al on 739 subjects with 451 men and 288 women. In the study, overweight/obesity was present in $46.2 \%$ in males and $50.7 \%$ of females. [6]Prevalence of Hypertension was $39.5 \%$ in males and $24.6 \%$ of females. Diabetes was present in $15.5 \%$ of males and 10.85 of females. $33 \%$ of the males and $32.7 \%$ of the females had high cholesterol levels.

Similar results are shown by a study done by Prabhakaran D et al among men working in an industry of Northern India.[7] It showed high serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio in $62 \%$, overweight in $47 \%$, hypertension in $30 \%$ and diabetes in $15 \%$ of the population. Though in the present-ur study $78.6 \%$ had 2 or more than 2 risk factors, study by Prabhakaran D has shown $47 \%$ of the respondents to have at least 2 of these risk factors.

Another study by Mohan et al in 2008,has shown prevalence of major risk factors for cardiovascular disease as: diabetes $11.9 \%$; hypertension $25.4 \%$; dyslipidemia $40.2 \%$; hypertriglyceridemia $28.3 \%$; overweight (body mass index $>$ or $=23 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) 60.2\%; and metabolic syndrome $34.1 \%$.[8]

Various other studies have also shown similar trends in the Indian population.[9-15] An increasing prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in urban residents of Chennai has been reported by Ramchandran et al.[16]Smoking and low physical activity have been shown to be prevalent in 2039 year old urban adults by Gupta et al in 2002.[17] Another important independent risk factor for CAD is family H/O of CAD as reported by Goel et al in 2003.[18]

Our study has clearly shown that prevalence of obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes are increasing among the middle class of the Indian population and these modifiable risk factors are responsible for the high prevalence of CAD risk factors. Study has shown direct correlation of increased BMI with dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension.CAD has a multi factorial etiology, with many of the risk factors being influenced by lifestyle. Rapid change in dietary habits coupled with decreased physical activity in India as consequence of urbanization may partly explain the escalation of CAD. India is experiencing an epidemiological transition with high rates of urbanization.[19-22] This has led to economic improvement, the consequence of which is increased fast food consumption and tobacco usage and decreased physical activity. With the introduction of an era of refined foods, sugar and hydrogenated oils, the traditional high complex carbohydrate, high fibre and low fat diet has been replaced by a diet rich in fats and simple sugars low in dietary fibres.[23]_One of the effects of this transition is a shift in the disease spectrum from the communicable to non communicable diseases | particularly CAD and $\boxminus$ diabetes.[24-26] More importantly the disease is affecting the young Indians i.e. the productive workforce. The incidence of CAD in young Indians is $12-16 \%$ which is higher than any other ethnic group. Lack of awareness of the preventable risk factors and ignorance of the disease
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is also an important factor responsible for the increasing rate of CAD among Indians.[27-32] In the present study out of $21 \%$ hypertensive study subjects only $4.76 \%$ were aware of the condition and were on medication while the remaining__and $16.22 \%$ were identified during the study. Similarly, out of $16 \%$ diabetics, $5.6 \%$ were fresh diagnosed. This shows that awareness and control of hypertension and diabetes was poor in the study population, indicating low detection and poor management of major CAD risk factors.

Prevention and control of the risk factors of CAD can reduce the rate of CAD. It needs changes in the individual as well as changes at the community level. Taking care of modifiable factors such as smoking, increaseds levels of body fat, too much use of fat and salt in food, sedentary lifestyle together with the use of accessible and affordable preventive medicines can definitely make a difference as far as CAD is concerned. Help of the television and other media can be utilized to create awareness among the masses. Local Rresident Wrelfare Aassociations and religious groups can also be empowered to promote healthy lifestyle and exercise among the community.

## STRENGTHS \& LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Our study is the first of its type where population was covered across various cities in India. The study population included people of various ethnicities, age groups, and living in different parts of the country.
- There are very few studies across the world where such a large population were studied for the conventional risk factors of CAD.
- The history and clinical examination of the subjects were collected by qualified doctors who interviewed \& examined each subject of the study. All the biochemical investigations were performed by the same team using similar kits and evaluation technique.
- The limitation of the study was that out of approximately 26000 working population of this organisation only 14500 gave informed consent to participate in the study. Out of these subjects data could be completed in 12608 subjects and was statistically analysed.
- Because of the limited resources in Phase I we could study and evaluate only the conventional coronary risk factors.


## CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates high prevalence of CAD risk factors in the Indian population as study population was representative of the national population and it does represent the rising trend of CAD in urban India. The incidence of CAD is likely to increase further because of rapid urbanization and its accompanying lifestyle changes.Therefore, there is an immediate need to initiate awareness among the masses about these risk factors, promotion of right diet and physical activity and at the same time development of the guidelines for screening and preventive therapeutic programmes to identify and manage individuals at high risk for future CAD.
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