

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

A Snapshot of Health-Related Behaviours in Adults Living with Disabilities One Year Into the COVID-19 Pandemic

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-060512
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Dec-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Hussain, Syeda; BRAVO VICTOR; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Heinze, Nikki; BRAVO VICTOR; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Castle, Claire L.; BRAVO VICTOR; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Godier-McBard, Lauren R; Anglia Ruskin University, Veterans and Families Institute for Military Social Research Kempapidis, Theofilos; BRAVO VICTOR; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Gomes, Renata S.M.; BRAVO VICTOR; Northumbria University, Department of Nursing, Midwifery and Health
Keywords:	COVID-19, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

A Snapshot of Health-Related Behaviours in Adults Living with Disabilities One Year Into the COVID-19 Pandemic

Syeda F Hussain ^{1,2*}, Nikki Heinze ^{1,2*}, Claire L Castle ^{1,2}, Lauren R Godier-McBard ³, Theofilos Kempapidis ^{1,2}, and Renata SM Gomes ^{1,2,4,**}

1 BRAVO VICTOR, Research, 12-14 Harcourt Street, W1H 4HD, London, United Kingdom;

2 Research & Innovation, Blind Veterans UK, 12-14 Harcourt Street, W1H 4HD, London, United Kingdom;

3 Veterans and Families Institute for Military Social Research, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom;

4 Northern Hub for Veterans and Military Families Research, Department of Nursing, Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, United Kingdom

* Joint first authors

** Correspondence: renata.gomes@bravovictor.org

Word Count: 4,138

Abstract:

Objectives: This survey aimed to assess the status of a range of health-related behaviours one year after the coronavirus outbreak was declared a pandemic in adults living with disabilities comparative to those with no disabilities.

Design: This cross-sectional study reports findings from an online survey conducted in March 2021. Mann Whitney U and chi-square tests were used to compare a range of health behaviours including time spent self-isolating, smoking, alcohol consumption, exercise frequency and diet in adults with and without disabilities.

Setting: A convenience sample of UK adults was recruited through the researchers' personal and professional networks including UK-based sight loss sector charities, social media platforms and professional forums.

Participants: A total of 123 UK participants completed the survey.

Outcome measures: COVID-19 diagnosis, time spent self-isolating, alcohol consumption frequency, exercise frequency, change in smoking habit, eating habits.

Results: No significant differences were found in alcohol consumption, smoking, water intake, breakfast or fruit and vegetable intake. There were statistically significant differences in the time spent self-isolating ($U = 2061, p = .001$), exercise frequency ($U = 1171.5, p = .005$), and the amount of food eaten ($\chi^2 (2) = 9.60, p = 0.008$, Cramer's $V = .281$). Although the majority in both groups reported exercising 3-4 times per week and eating what they should, those with disabilities were more likely to eat less than they should, not exercise at all and to have been self-isolating for over 6 months than participants with no disabilities.

Conclusions: The data in this study presents some key differences between the two groups, with those living with disabilities more likely to report not exercising, not eating as much as they should and having been self-isolating for prolonged periods of time. This raises concerns for the health and well-being of individuals with disabilities.

Keywords: COVID-19, Coronavirus, Disability, Smoking, Alcohol, Exercise, Diet

Article Summary

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- The survey was conducted one year into the pandemic, after the third UK lockdown, allowing for a snapshot assessment of the effects of living through a year under pandemic circumstances.
- This study contributes to a limited pool of research focusing on the experiences of adults with disabilities, a group expected to be disproportionately affected during this time.
- This study assesses outcomes which tend to be overlooked in research involving adults with disabilities.
- Recruitment via convenience sampling and small sample size mean that findings cannot be extrapolated to the general population.
- This study would have benefited from observing the same outcome measures at another timepoint earlier in the pandemic or prior to the pandemic to assess how attitudes and behaviours may have changed.

Introduction

In March 2020 the World Health Organisation declared the coronavirus outbreak a pandemic. In the same month, COVID-19 cases began to surge, and the death toll started to rise in the United Kingdom (UK). In response, the UK government put a range of measures in place to mitigate the spread of coronavirus including a push to work from home where possible; social distancing (keeping at least 2m distance from others) and mask-wearing indoors. People at high-risk of contracting coronavirus due to underlying health conditions were advised to shield, while people with COVID-19 symptoms (a new continuous cough, a high temperature and/or a loss of smell or taste) were required to self-isolate and travellers coming from abroad were required to quarantine. All three measures required people to stay indoors and restrict contact with others. In addition, the UK government has implemented three national lockdowns to date, in March 2020, November 2020 and January 2021. These required all but essential shops to shut, and people to stay at home and restrict their social contact except for essential purposes including food shopping, medical appointments and work where working from home was not possible.

These measures have resulted in disruption to daily activities, such as going to work, socialising, and exercise routines, with many being left without adequate exercise equipment or space to exercise, and no longer commuting by foot or bike. While people in the UK were allowed to exercise outdoors during all three lockdowns, this was restricted to once per day, with those advised to shield unable to exercise outside at all. Regular physical activity has been linked with reduced levels of stress, depression, anxiety and inflammation, ultimately contributing to better physiological and psychological health outcomes.¹ Research found that exercise frequency decreased between the first and second UK lockdowns while sedentary activities, e.g. working, watching TV and gaming, increased.² Levels of stress, anxiety or depression have all increased during the pandemic due to financial-, employment-, social-, and health-related concerns, and caring responsibilities.³⁻⁶ There is a risk that people may have used maladaptive coping mechanisms such as comfort-eating, smoking, alcohol or drugs during this period,^{7 8} although the evidence is mixed. Some research has identified increased smoking,⁹ overeating and subsequent weight gain¹⁰ to cope with greater levels of stress and anxiety at this time. An English study¹¹ found an increase in the prevalence of high-risk drinking, but no change in smoking prevalence, and increased rates of smoking cessation and attempts to quit during the first UK lockdown. In contrast, another UK study² found that smoking, alcohol consumption and eating habits remained largely the same between the first and third UK lockdowns. However, sustained changes in drinking alcohol and eating behaviours were found in a small proportion of participants.² A healthy, balanced diet may play a role in protecting against noncommunicable diseases¹² and poor mental health.¹³ An unhealthier diet adopted during lockdown, and reduced physical activity, were both independently linked to a greater negative mood score.¹⁴ The negative effects of smoking and alcohol on health are well known, with smoking increasing the risk of health conditions such as certain cancers, coronary heart disease, and stroke,^{15 16} and heavy drinking being associated with obesity¹⁷ among other consequences.

Vulnerable populations such as those with disabilities may be at increased risk of the negative impacts of the pandemic.¹⁸ People with disabilities made up 60% of those who died from COVID-19 between January and November 2020, and they have been found to experience worse mental health outcomes than those without disabilities.¹⁹ Maintaining a healthy lifestyle and avoiding harmful health behaviours may, therefore,

1
2
3 be particularly important in this group. However, existing evidence suggests that even
4 before the pandemic, people living with disabilities were twice as likely to be physically
5 inactive as people without disability.²⁰ In addition, disruption to shopping, food
6 preparation and cooking already presented obstacles to a healthy diet for people living
7 with visual impairment,²¹ resulting in fewer nutrients being consumed in this group
8 compared to age-matched controls,²² and a high incidence of malnourishment and
9 obesity. During the pandemic, shielding and reliance on local services or volunteers,
10 long queue times at shops, difficulty securing food delivery slots,²³ and negative
11 impacts on job retention and finances,²⁴ may have further impacted access to food
12 and exercise. Indeed, people with disabilities were found to be more likely to report a
13 negative impact of the pandemic on their ability to exercise due to health concerns
14 and lack of exercise space.²⁰ One Norwegian study reported that 66% of their
15 participants with physical disabilities reported a decrease in exercise during the
16 pandemic compared to pre-pandemic times.²⁵ Difficulties accessing groceries,
17 medication, and healthcare for non-coronavirus-related issues, as well as negative
18 impacts on health, have been more prevalent among people with disabilities than
19 those without disabilities.²⁶ Food insecurity at this time rose, especially in already
20 vulnerable groups in the UK.²⁷ Existing COVID-19 research involving people with
21 disabilities has mainly focused on impacts on access to medical care and exercise.
22 To our knowledge there are no studies assessing alcohol consumption, dietary
23 changes and smoking during the pandemic in this population. Those with chronic
24 health conditions often meet the definition of disability as set out by The Equality Act
25 2010.²⁸ There is evidence of a greater impact on harmful health behaviours in those
26 with chronic health conditions during the pandemic. Increases in alcohol consumption
27 and smoking in light smokers were more prevalent in those with chronic health
28 conditions,²⁹ whilst decreases in alcohol consumption were more prevalent in heavy
29 drinkers with no health conditions.²⁹

30
31 Existing research highlights that those living with disability may be at greater risk of
32 negative impacts of COVID-19 on health and health-related behaviours than
33 individuals with no disabilities.¹⁸ Considering the long-term negative physical and
34 mental health outcomes associated with harmful health behaviours, it is important to
35 determine the extent to which people living with disabilities have engaged in health-
36 promoting and harmful health behaviours. This article provides a snapshot of a range
37 of health behaviours including alcohol consumption, smoking, exercise and diet in a
38 sample of UK adults living with disabilities compared to adults with no disabilities,
39 approximately one year into the COVID-19 pandemic.

40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 **Materials and Methods**

48 This article draws on survey data collected as part of a longitudinal assessment of
49 health and well-being in individuals with and without disabilities conducted between
50 1st April 2020 and 28th March 2021. The current article presents findings from the
51 final survey conducted between 8th and 28th March 2021, approximately one year
52 after the implementation of the first UK lockdown. The results were reported according
53 to the STROBE cross-sectional reporting guidelines.³⁰ Findings relating to loneliness³¹
54 and sleep³² in the same sample population are reported elsewhere.

55 56 57 **2.1. Materials**

1
2
3 An online survey was developed by the Research and Innovation Team at Blind
4 Veterans UK (a UK-based charity providing support to veterans with sight loss), in
5 collaboration with the University of Oxford, to collect information on current life
6 circumstances, health and health-related behaviours, sleep and social well-being
7 across several timepoints. The same questionnaire had been administered in previous
8 rounds, however, changes to the layout and wording of questions had been made
9 between each round to improve data quality and a number of demographics and health
10 questions had been removed to decrease participant burden. Due to these changes a
11 longitudinal comparison of health behaviours was not possible.
12
13

14 To make the survey accessible to participants with visual impairment, Microsoft Forms
15 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) was used to develop the survey. The platform
16 has a range of accessibility features including colour contrast; high contrast settings;
17 and compatibility with screen readers to facilitate participation for those with vision-
18 related disabilities. Reading of grid questions was made easier by splitting questions
19 across individual pages so that participants were seeing only one question per page.
20
21

22 2.2. Measures

23 To assess for disability, participants were first asked if they considered themselves to
24 have a disability, followed by a question listing 16 conditions, including visual
25 impairment or blindness, acquired brain injury, diabetes, epilepsy, disability affecting
26 mobility, mental health issues, and learning difficulties, which required a “Yes”, “No” or
27 “Prefer not to say” response for each condition.
28

29 Single questions assessed COVID-19 diagnosis, current COVID-19 symptoms, self-
30 isolation status, exercise frequency, alcohol consumption, and change in smoking
31 habits. Diet over the last 3 weeks was assessed with a set of questions asking
32 participants to indicate if their diet had improved, worsened or stayed the same; if they
33 had been eating what they should, more or less than they should; if they had been
34 drinking enough water, more or less water than they should; if they had been eating
35 fruit and vegetables at least 2 or more times a week; and if they had been eating
36 breakfast daily or most days.
37
38

39 2.3. Sample

40 This article presents findings for a subsample of UK based participants who completed
41 the final survey in this survey series. The full sample consists of a convenience sample
42 of adults aged 18 and over and was recruited through the researchers’ personal and
43 professional networks, social media platforms and professional forums. Participants
44 who had consented to be being recontacted for follow-up research and provided a
45 valid email address were invited via email to take part in subsequent rounds of the
46 survey. Responses to the first survey in this series were received from 22 different
47 countries predominantly the UK (61.9%) and participants from nine different countries
48 took part in the final survey, the majority based in the UK (76.9%). Frequencies for
49 other countries were too small to enable cross-country comparisons. The timings and
50 nature of containment measures varied substantially between countries and so the
51 current article focuses on the UK subsample.
52
53
54

55 2.4. Procedure

56 The Medical Sciences Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee (University of
57 Oxford) advised that ethical approval was not required for this research. Participants
58 were able to access the survey by clicking a link embedded in the invitation.
59 Participants were first provided with information about the study and their rights, before
60

1
2
3 being asked to consent to taking part in the research. At the start of each section,
4 participants were able to choose if they wanted to answer or skip the section. For most
5 questions, participants also had the option to select 'Prefer not to say'. While the
6 preferred mode was online self-completion to reduce social desirability bias and the
7 potentially sensitive nature of some questions, participants who contacted the
8 research team with difficulties accessing the survey were offered the option of
9 completing the survey with a researcher over the telephone. Only one participant
10 selected this option.
11
12

13 *2.5. Statistical Analysis*

14 Duplicates and non-responses were removed from the dataset before analysis.
15 Responses were treated as missing if participants had missed relevant response
16 options, selected "Prefer not to say", or had skipped the section.
17

18 Subgroup analysis was carried out to compare participants who reported having one
19 or more types of disability ('1+ disabilities') to participants who reported that they did
20 not have a disability ('no disabilities').
21

22 Proportions and frequencies for all variables measured are presented in the respective
23 tables to show spread of responses by subgroup. Proportions are presented for the
24 total number of valid responses achieved for each question. The total number of valid
25 responses (n) are reported in the tables. Differences between the groups were
26 analysed using Mann-Whitney U and chi-square tests. The test statistics and p -values
27 are reported in the tables. Fisher's Exact tests were conducted if chi-square test
28 assumptions were violated, and respective p -values are reported in tables instead.
29
30

31 *2.5. Patient and Public Participation*

32 Patients and the public were not involved in the design of this study
33

34 **3. Results**

35 *3.1. Participant characteristics*

36 Table 1 provides a summary of participant characteristics. After removing one
37 duplicate, two cases who did not consent to participating in this follow-up survey, and
38 37 surveys received from outside the UK, a total of 123 UK residents completed the
39 survey. Participants were mostly white, female, aged 46-55, in paid employment, and
40 living with others. Approximately two thirds of participants reported having no
41 disabilities. A third reported having one or more disabilities, with a mean of 2.95 (SD
42 = 1.82) different types of disability and a maximum of eight types of disability being
43 reported by one participant. The most commonly reported types of disability in this
44 sample were visual impairment or blindness, disability affecting mobility, and mental
45 health difficulties.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Sample characteristics of total survey sample. ¹Participants were able to report multiple disabilities. Proportions are calculated for the number of participants who reported each condition out of the entire sample ($n = 123$).

		% (n)
Gender	Female	55.7 (68)
	Male	44.3 (54)
Ethnicity	Asian	1.6 (2)
	Black/African/Caribbean	0.8 (1)
	Hispanic/Latino/Spanish origin	1.6 (2)
	Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	-
	White/Other White	95.9 (117)
Age	18-25	0.8 (1)
	26-35	9.8 (12)
	36-45	14.8 (18)
	46-55	36.1 (44)
	56-65	24.6 (30)
	66-75	11.5 (14)
	76-85	2.5 (3)
Employment status	86+	-
	In paid employment	73.6 (89)
	I am employed but furloughed	1.7 (2)
	Retired	14.9 (18)
	Unemployed and not looking for work	7.4 (9)
Living status	Unemployed but looking for work	2.5 (3)
	I live on my own	23.8 (29)
Disability	I live with others	76.2 (93)
	No disability	68.0 (83)
Type of disability ¹	One or more disabilities	32.0 (39)
	Visual impairment or blindness	21.1 (26)
	Disability affecting mobility	16.3 (20)
	Mental health issues	13.8 (17)
	Medical condition (e.g. asthma, diabetes, or epilepsy)	12.2 (15)
	Hearing impairment or deafness	11.4 (14)
	Emotional/behavioural difficulties	5.7 (7)
	Being immunocompromised	4.1 (5)
	Learning difficulties	2.4 (3)
	Acquired brain injury	1.6 (2)
	Multiple sclerosis	1.6 (2)
	Profound complex disabilities	1.6 (2)
	Limb loss	0.8 (1)
Dyslexia	0.8 (1)	

3.2. COVID-19 and self-isolating

Table 2 shows that 5 people had been diagnosed with COVID-19, and 3 people reported having COVID-19 symptoms at the time of completing the survey.

There was a statistically significant difference in the time spent self-isolating between the '1+ disabilities' group and the 'no disability' group, with not isolating being more

likely amongst participants in the 'no disability' group. Just over 80% of participants with no disability reported that they were not self-isolating in March 2021 compared to around half of participants with 1+ disabilities. In contrast, participants with 1+ disabilities were more than three times more likely to report that they had been self-isolating for over 6 months at the time of the survey than those with no disabilities (46.2% and 14.6% respectively).

Table 2. COVID-19 diagnosis, symptoms and time spent self-isolating by subgroup. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). ¹Between group analysis not conducted due to low prevalence. ²Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses.

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	1+ disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
Since the last COVID-19 Pandemic Survey, have you been diagnosed with COVID-19? ¹	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Yes	4.8 (4)	2.6 (1)
Do you currently have any of the following COVID-19 symptoms: a new, continuous cough, a high temperature, or a loss or change to your sense of smell and taste? ²	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Yes	1.2 (1)	5.1 (2)
Please indicate for how long you have been self-isolating: By self-isolating we mean staying at home, except for urgent medical assistance, and not having any visitors. ²	<i>n</i>	82	39
	Not self-isolating	80.5 (66)	53.8 (21)
	≤ 2 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	2-4 wk.	-	-
	6-8 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	8-12 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	3-4 mo.	-	-
	4-5 mo.	1.2 (1)	-
≥ 6 mo.	14.6 (12)	46.2 (18)	
<i>U</i> = 2061, <i>p</i> = .001*			

3.3. Health behaviours

Prevalence of self-reported smoking was low in this sample (Table 3). Over 90% of respondents in both groups were non-smokers, and there was no significant difference in smoking habits between the two groups.

There were also no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of alcohol consumption. Almost half of the respondents with '1+ disabilities' reported that they did not drink alcohol at all (46.2%) compared to just a third of those with no disabilities (32.5%). The prevalence of more frequent alcohol consumption was relatively similar in the two groups, with 23.1% of participants with 1+ disabilities

drinking alcohol at least 3-5 times a week compared to 26.5% of participants with no disabilities, including three who reported drinking alcohol every day.

In contrast, there was a statistically significant difference in exercise frequency between the two groups. Around a quarter (25.6%) reporting that they had not exercised at all over the last 3 weeks compared to 7.2% of participants with no disabilities. This means that around three quarters of participants with disabilities and over 90% of participants with no disabilities managed to do exercise at least once per week in the three weeks leading up to the survey. Encouragingly, a majority in both groups reported exercising 3-4 times a week but this was more common in participants with no disabilities (67.5% compared to 46.2% in participants with 1+ disabilities). Only exercising once a week was selected by a greater proportion of those with disabilities (15.4%) compared to those without disabilities.

Table 3. Smoking habit, alcohol and exercise frequencies by subgroup. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses.

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	1+ disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
Thinking about the time since you completed the last COVID-19 Pandemic Survey, which of the following statements best describes your smoking habits?	<i>n</i>	81	39
	I don't smoke	93.8 (76)	92.3 (36)
	Smoked less than usual	1.2 (1)	-
	Smoked the same	4.9 (4)	5.1 (2)
	Smoked more than usual	-	2.6 (1)
		<i>U</i> = 1607, <i>p</i> = .721	
Over the last 3 weeks, how often have you been drinking alcohol?	<i>n</i>	83	39
	I don't drink alcohol	32.5 (27)	46.2 (18)
	Once a week	18.1 (15)	12.8 (5)
	Only on weekends	22.9 (19)	17.9 (7)
	3-5 times a week	22.9 (19)	23.1 (9)
Every day	3.6 (3)	-	
		<i>U</i> = 1410.5, <i>p</i> = .235	
In the last 3 weeks how often have you participated in some kind of exercise?	<i>n</i>	83	39
	3-4 times per week	67.5 (56)	46.2 (18)
	1-2 times per week	18.1 (15)	12.8 (5)
	Once per week	7.2 (6)	15.4 (6)
Not at all	7.2 (6)	25.6 (10)	
		<i>U</i> = 1171.5, <i>p</i> = .005*	

Overall, the majority of participants reported a healthy diet which included eating fruit and vegetables at least twice a week and eating breakfast daily or on most days (Table 4). Although not statistically significant, the proportions reporting this were slightly higher among participants with no disabilities. There were also no statistically significant differences between the two groups in relation to changes in their diet and water intake. When asked about changes in their diets, a majority in both groups stated that their diet had remained the same. Participants with disabilities were slightly more likely to report that their diet had stayed the same or worsened than participants with

no disabilities, while the latter group was slightly more likely to report improvements in their diet. Around half of the participants in each group reported drinking enough water and just under half reported not drinking enough. One person in each group reported drinking more water than they should. There was, however, a statistically significant difference between the two groups in the amount of food eaten over the 3 weeks leading up to the survey. While a majority in both groups reported eating what they should (56.6% of participants with no disabilities and 41.0% of those with disabilities), participants with disabilities were almost 5 times more likely to report that they were eating less than they should (23.1% vs 4.8% for those with no disability) and almost 40% in both groups reported eating more than they should.

Table 4. Dietary, eating and drinking habits by subgroup. Participants were asked to select all the statement/s which best describe them over the last 3 weeks. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses. ³Result of Fisher's exact test

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	1+ disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
I eat fruit and veg 2+ times a week	<i>n</i>	83	38
	Yes	95.2 (79)	86.8 (33)
	No	4.8 (4)	13.2 (5)
<i>p</i> = .137 ³			
I eat breakfast daily or most days	<i>n</i>	83	38
	Yes	79.5 (66)	71.1 (27)
	No	20.5 (17)	28.9 (11)
$\chi^2 (2, 121) = 1.05, p = .305, \phi = -.093$			
Diet	<i>n</i>	83	39
	My diet has improved	27.7 (23)	15.4 (6)
	My diet has stayed the same	56.4 (47)	64.1 (25)
	My diet worsened	15.7 (13)	20.5 (8)
$\chi^2 (2, 122) = 2.31, p = .315, \text{Cramer's } V = .138$			
Diet habits	<i>n</i>	83	39
	I eat what I should	56.6 (47)	41.0 (16)
	I eat less than I should	4.8 (4)	23.1 (9)
	More than I should	38.6 (32)	35.9 (14)
$\chi^2 (2, 122) = 9.60, p = .008^*, \text{Cramer's } V = .281$			
Water intake	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Drinking enough	51.8 (43)	51.3 (20)
	Drinking less than I should	47.0 (39)	46.2 (18)
	Drinking more than I should	1.2 (1)	2.6 (1)
<i>p</i> = .860 ³			

4. Discussion

Existing evidence suggests that prior to the pandemic, unhealthy behaviours were more prevalent in people with disabilities^{20-22 33 34}, and as a result there was concern that this group would be disproportionately affected by the pandemic.³⁵ This study provides a snapshot of a range of health-related behaviours in people with disabilities compared to people with no disabilities approximately one year into the COVID-19 pandemic. Notable group differences were found for exercise frequency, time spent self-isolating and the amount of food eaten.

Reflecting existing evidence of a negative impact on physical activity during the pandemic in this group,^{36 37} participants with disabilities were around 3.5 times more likely to not exercise at all than participants with no disabilities. This may reflect challenges imposed by lockdown restrictions including disruption to public transport and reduced access to professional and social support for attending gyms,³⁸ and existing barriers to physical activity.^{20 39} The most common types of disability in this sample were visual impairment/blindness, disability affecting mobility and mental health conditions. Severe visual impairment, fear of falling, inaccessible facilities and lack of inclusive environments are just a few factors known to minimise time spent exercising in those with visual impairment.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Participants with mobility-related disabilities may be less likely to participate in frequent regular exercise compared to other impairments, such as hearing impairment.⁴³ Encouragingly, at least three quarters in both groups reported getting some form of exercise and a majority of participants in each group reported exercising 3-4 times a week. One year into the pandemic, this may suggest an adaptation to the restrictions on exercise imposed by the pandemic. It may also reflect the presence of participants recruited through contacts in the sight loss and military sectors. Members of the charity Blind Veterans UK, for example, were actively supported to participate in sports and recreational activities during the pandemic through remotely delivered exercise sessions.

Statistically significant group differences were also observed in the length of time participants had spent self-isolating. Participants with disabilities were around three times more likely to have been self-isolating for more than 6 months than those without disabilities. This is perhaps unsurprising given the increased risk of COVID-19-related complications for those living with a disability,¹⁸ and advice for vulnerable adults to shield during the pandemic. This is of concern due to the impact of self-isolating on mental health and experiences of loneliness.^{44 45} White and Van Der Boor⁴⁶ reported higher levels of anxiety and depression and lower well-being in UK adults who had been self-isolating before a lockdown; these adults reported feeling more isolated than usual during lockdown. However, findings reported in our previous article³¹ indicated that isolation did not contribute to feelings of loneliness in this sample population. Over three quarters of participants without disabilities indicated that they were not self-isolating, compared to around half of participants with disabilities. This is despite stay-at-home orders having been implemented across the UK during December 2020/January 2021. There is evidence of differing attitudes towards dealing with the pandemic, with those with disabilities more likely to report having concerns about leaving home compared to those without disabilities.⁴⁷

There was a statistically significant group difference in the amount of food eaten but not any of the other dietary indicators. Eating habits in this sample indicate that undereating was almost five times more likely in those with disabilities. Prior to the pandemic, associations had been drawn between disability and undernutrition.⁴⁸

Existing barriers to food preparation^{49 50} and additional challenges accessing food shopping during the pandemic⁵¹, may have contributed to the under-eating within this group. However, in general, participants in both groups were adhering to healthy dietary habits with most eating breakfast and fruit and vegetables regularly and drinking enough water. Whilst there was no statistically significant group difference in relation to changes in diet, those with disabilities were slightly more likely to state that their diet had worsened.

There were no statistically significant differences in alcohol consumption and changes in smoking between participants with and without disabilities. Over 90% of participants in both groups were non-smokers. This is higher than the prevalence of non-smokers reported by Fancourt, et al.⁵² The prevalence of smokers in both groups is also around half of that reported for the general population (13.8%) in Great Britain in the first quarter of 2020.⁵³ It is unclear if this reflects a mode effect, social desirability bias or a lower prevalence in this sample. To our knowledge, there is limited research exploring alcohol consumption and smoking among people with disabilities. Smoking was found to be more prevalent in UK adults with disabilities than those without disabilities prior to the pandemic³⁴ and research from the UK found that people without chronic physical conditions were less likely to have increased from light to moderate smoking and more likely to have stopped smoking than those with chronic physical conditions during the pandemic.⁵² Due to the small number of smokers in the current sample, comparisons cannot be drawn.

While the prevalence of more frequent drinking was similar in both groups, participants with disabilities were around 1.4 times more likely to be non-drinkers than participants with no disabilities. This reflects existing evidence from the US which found a lower prevalence of alcohol abuse among people with disabilities prior to the pandemic.⁵⁴ But it contradicts evidence from another US study conducted in February/March 2021 which found higher levels of alcohol consumption before and during the pandemic in people with disabilities.⁵⁵ Results from a UK panel study carried out during the pandemic showed that 30% of participants reported not drinking alcohol.² This compares to the proportion of non-drinkers among participants with no disabilities in this study but is lower than the proportion observed for participants with disabilities. The same panel also found that alcohol consumption remained stable during the pandemic, but an increase was found to be more likely for people with chronic health conditions than those without.²⁹ Changes in alcohol consumption were not explored in the current article. Considering early evidence of an increase in alcohol consumption in people with disabilities during the pandemic, future research may be required to monitor drinking behaviours amongst these individuals as the pandemic continues.

This study addresses the lack of data surrounding alcohol consumption, smoking and diet in UK adults with disabilities during the pandemic. There are some limitations of this study. Firstly, participants were a convenience sample meaning that findings cannot be extrapolated to the general population. Similarly, the use of a web-based survey could exclude members of certain subgroups. Additionally, findings may be more representative of our specific sample of participants who had been receiving support throughout the pandemic and not of people with disabilities in general. Secondly, the sample consisted of considerably smaller numbers of respondents reporting disabilities than those without disabilities. While it is encouraging that there were few differences between our groups in terms of health behaviours, it must be noted that pre-pandemic results are not available. For example, participants who said that their diet had stayed the same may have been referring to the maintenance of an

1
2
3 unhealthy diet. Existing studies have provided some longitudinal analysis, by either
4 comparing responses at two timepoints during the pandemic,^{2 29} or making
5 comparisons to pre-pandemic times. Whilst data was collected at an earlier point in
6 the pandemic, longitudinal analysis could not be carried out due to changes made to
7 survey questions between surveys. Current results, therefore, cannot confirm whether
8 the findings reflect the impact of the pandemic or not. This study instead attempts to
9 quantify the behaviours being exhibited by those with and without disabilities following
10 a full year of living with pandemic restrictions. Existing literature has so far only
11 explored the impact on exercise and access to care. This report provides novel data
12 on behaviours such as smoking, alcohol consumption and eating habits in people with
13 disabilities.

14
15
16 Future studies should attempt to determine effects on health habits during the
17 pandemic, and any long-term impacts, of having different types and numbers of
18 disabilities. Research may also seek to identify if barriers to participation in physical
19 activity for people with disabilities were pre-existing or if lockdown posed additional
20 challenges. Further exploration of the level and cause of underexercising in those with
21 disabilities may also be valuable.

22 23 24 25 **5. Conclusions**

26
27 Despite concerns about the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on
28 people with disabilities, this study offers a mixed picture. While those with disabilities
29 fared significantly worse in terms of exercise frequency, time spent in self-isolation
30 and food consumption, this study found no statistically significant differences in
31 relation to smoking, changes in diet, water intake, fruit and vegetable and breakfast
32 consumption, and alcohol consumption. Furthermore, participants with disabilities
33 were more likely to be non-drinkers. Implications for clinical practice are that people
34 living with a disability may benefit from additional support and guidance relating to diet
35 and exercise as we transition through different phases of the ongoing pandemic.

36 37 38 39 **Author Contributions**

40
41 Conceptualization, R.G., S.H. and N.H.; methodology, R.G., T.K., C.C., N.H., S.H.;
42 formal analysis, N.H. and L.G.; investigation, R.G. and N.H.; resources, R.G., T.K.,
43 C.C., N.H., S.H. and L.G.; data curation, N.H.; writing—original draft preparation, S.H.
44 and N.H.; writing—review and editing, S.H., N.H., C.C. and L.G.; visualization, S.H.
45 and N.H.; project administration, R.G.; funding acquisition, R.G. All authors have read
46 and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

47 48 49 50 **Funding**

51 This work was supported by Blind Veterans UK.

52 53 54 55 **Data availability statement**

56
57 Data are available upon reasonable request by contacting the corresponding author.
58 The data are not publicly available because participants were not asked if they
59
60

1
2
3 consented for their data to be shared outside of the research teams involved in this
4 study.
5
6

7 **Ethics statements**

8 **Ethics approval**

9
10
11 In accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements (The Medical
12 Sciences Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee at the University of Oxford),
13 ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human
14 participants. Written and informed consent was provided by members of the public
15 that agreed to participate in this study.
16
17

18 **Competing Interests**

19
20 All authors declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work, no
21 commercial or financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest
22 in the submitted work in the previous 36 months; no other relationships or activities
23 that could be construed as having influences the submitted work.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Mikkelsen KS, L. Polenakovic, M. Bosevski, M. Apostolopoulos, V. Exercise and mental health. *Maturitas* 2017;106:48-56.
2. Fancourt D, Bu F, Mak HW, et al. Covid-19 Social Study Results Release 29. 2021 [Available from: https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_59d1b940054440bbb52a72b6bd0b0a06.pdf accessed 03 March 2021.
3. Khademian F, Delavari S, Koochjani Z, et al. An investigation of depression, anxiety, and stress and its relating factors during COVID-19 pandemic in Iran. *BMC Public Health* 2021;21:275.
4. Nkire N, Mrklas K, Hrabok M, et al. COVID-19 Pandemic: Demographic Predictors of Self-Isolation or Self-Quarantine and Impact of Isolation and Quarantine on Perceived Stress, Anxiety, and Depression. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12
5. Posel D, Oyenubi A, Kollamparambil U. Job loss and mental health during the COVID-19 lockdown: Evidence from South Africa. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0249352.
6. Rainero I, Bruni AC, Marra C, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 Quarantine on Patients With Dementia and Family Caregivers: A Nation-Wide Survey. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2020;12:625781.
7. Coulthard H, Sharps M, Cunliffe L, et al. Eating in the lockdown during the Covid 19 pandemic; self-reported changes in eating behaviour, and associations with BMI, eating style, coping and health anxiety. *Appetite* 2021;161:105082.
8. Herle M, Smith AD, Bu F, et al. Trajectories of eating behavior during COVID-19 lockdown: Longitudinal analyses of 22,374 adults. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2021;42:158-65.
9. Grogan S, Walker L, McChesney G, et al. How has COVID-19 lockdown impacted smoking? A thematic analysis of written accounts from UK smokers. *Psychol Health* 2020:1-17.
10. Chew HSJ, Lopez V. Global Impact of COVID-19 on Weight and Weight-Related Behaviors in the Adult Population: A Scoping Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:1876.
11. Jackson SE, Garnett C, Shahab L, et al. Association of the COVID-19 lockdown with smoking, drinking and attempts to quit in England: an analysis of 2019-20 data. *Addiction* 2021;116:1233-44.
12. Branca F, Lartey A, Oenema S, et al. Transforming the food system to fight non-communicable diseases *BMJ* 2019;364 24-29.
13. Firth J, Gangwisch JE, Borsini A, et al. Food and mood: how do diet and nutrition affect mental wellbeing? *BMJ* 2020;369:1-4.
14. Ingram J, Maciejewski G, Hand CJ. Changes in Diet, Sleep, and Physical Activity Are Associated With Differences in Negative Mood During COVID-19 Lockdown. *Front Psychol* 2020;11:588604.
15. Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, et al. Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2008;122:155-64.
16. Hackshaw A, Morris JK, Boniface S, et al. Low cigarette consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: meta-analysis of 141 cohort studies in 55 study reports. *BMJ* 2018;360
17. Traversy G, Chaput JP. Alcohol Consumption and Obesity: An Update. *Curr Obes Rep* 2015;4
18. Kuper H, Banks LM, Bright T, et al. Disability-inclusive COVID-19 response: What it is, why it is important and what we can learn from the United

- 1
2
3 Kingdom's response [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open*
4 *Res* 2020;5:79.
- 5
6 19. Suleman M, Sonthalia S, Webb C, et al. Unequal pandemic, fairer recovery. The
7 COVID-19 impact inquiry report. 2021 [Available from:
8 [https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/upload/publications/2021/HEAJ89](https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/upload/publications/2021/HEAJ8932-COVID-Impact-210705.pdf)
9 [32-COVID-Impact-210705.pdf](https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/upload/publications/2021/HEAJ8932-COVID-Impact-210705.pdf) accessed 16 Dec 2021.
- 10
11 20. Activity Alliance. The impact of COVID-19 on disabled people.
12 2020 [Available from: [https://www.activenotts.org.uk/uploads/activity-alliance-](https://www.activenotts.org.uk/uploads/activity-alliance-impact-of-covid-19-on-disabled-people-oct-2020.pdf?v=1605783441)
13 [impact-of-covid-19-on-disabled-people-oct-2020.pdf?v=1605783441](https://www.activenotts.org.uk/uploads/activity-alliance-impact-of-covid-19-on-disabled-people-oct-2020.pdf?v=1605783441)
14 accessed 19 April 2021.
- 15
16 21. Jones N, Bartlett H. The impact of visual impairment on nutritional status: A
17 systematic review. *Br J of Vis Impair* 2018;36:17-30.
- 18
19 22. Jones N, Bartlett HE. Comparison of the eating behaviour and dietary
20 consumption in older adults with and without visual impairment. *Br J Nutr*
21 2020;123
- 22
23 23. UK Parliament. Unequal impact? Coronavirus, disability and access to services:
24 full Report. 2. Access to food. 2020 [Available from:
25 [https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/10500](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm)
26 [5.htm](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm) accessed 19 April 2021.
- 27
28 24. Baraniuk C. Fears grow of nutritional crisis in lockdown UK. *BMJ*
29 2020;370:m3193.
- 30
31 25. Bentzen M, Brurok B, Roeleveld K, et al. Changes in physical activity and basic
32 psychological needs related to mental health among people with physical
33 disability during the Covid-19 pandemic in Norway. *Disabil Health J*
34 2021;14:101126.
- 35
36 26. ONS. Coronavirus and the social impacts on disabled people in Great Britain
37 September 2020. 2020 [Available from:
38 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic)
39 [disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbrit](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic)
40 [ain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic)
41 accessed 30 July 2021.
- 42
43 27. Connors C, Malan L, Canavan S, et al. The lived experience of food insecurity
44 under Covid-19. A Bright Harbour Collective Report for the Food Standards
45 Agency 2020 [Available from:
46 [https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/fsa-food-](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/fsa-food-insecurity-2020-report-v5.pdf)
47 [insecurity-2020-report-v5.pdf](https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/fsa-food-insecurity-2020-report-v5.pdf) accessed 15 Dec 2021.
- 48
49 28. UK Government. Equality Act 2010. 2010 [Available from:
50 <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/section/6> accessed 08 Dec
51 2021.
- 52
53 29. Fancourt D, Bu F, Mak HW, et al. Covid-19 Social Study. Results Release 33.
54 2021 [Available from:
55 [https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/ files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e](https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e72cd141ecfb.pdf)
56 [72cd141ecfb.pdf](https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e72cd141ecfb.pdf) accessed 12 July 2021.
- 57
58 30. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of
59 Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for
60 reporting observational studies.
31. Heinze N, Hussain SF, Castle CL, et al. The long-term impact of the COVID-19
pandemic on loneliness in people living with disability and visual impairment.
Front Public Health 2021:1234.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
32. Heinze N, Hussain SF, Castle CL, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on sleep quality in people living with disabilities. *Manuscript submitted for publication* 2021
 33. SAMHSA. Substance Use Disorders in People With Physical and Sensory Disabilities. 2011 [Available from: <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/sma11-4648.pdf> accessed 16 Dec 2021.
 34. Emerson E. Smoking among adults with and without disabilities in the UK. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2018;40:e502-09.
 35. Shakespeare T, Ndagire F, Seketi QE. Triple jeopardy: disabled people and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet* 2021;397:1331-33.
 36. de Boer DR, Hoekstra F, Huetink KIM, et al. Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior and Well-Being of Adults with Physical Disabilities and/or Chronic Diseases during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Rapid Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18(12) doi: 10.3390/ijerph18126342 [published Online First: 2021/07/03]
 37. Activity Alliance. The impact of COVID-19 on disabled people. <https://www.activenotts.org.uk/uploads/activity-alliance-impact-of-covid-19-on-disabled-people-oct-2020.pdf?v=1605783441>
2020 [
 38. de Boer DR, Hoekstra F, Huetink KIM, et al. Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior and Well-Being of Adults with Physical Disabilities and/or Chronic Diseases during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Rapid Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18
 39. Rimmer JH, Riley B, Wang E, et al. Physical activity participation among persons with disabilities: barriers and facilitators. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;26:419-25.
 40. Phoenix C, Griffin M, Smith B. Physical activity among older people with sight loss: a qualitative research study to inform policy and practice. *Public Health* 2015;129:124-30.
 41. Starkoff BE, Lenz EK, Lieberman LJ, et al. Physical activity patterns of adults with visual impairments. *Br J Vis Impair* 2017;35:130-42.
 42. Sweeting J, Merom D, Astuti PAS, et al. Physical activity interventions for adults who are visually impaired: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e034036.
 43. CDC. Adults with Disabilities. 2014 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/disabilities/> accessed 01 September 2021.
 44. Banerjee D, Rai M. Social isolation in Covid-19: The impact of loneliness. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020;66:525-27.
 45. Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, et al. Mental Health During the First Weeks of the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12
 46. White RG, Van Der Boor C. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and initial period of lockdown on the mental health and well-being of adults in the UK. *BJPsych Open* 2020;6:e90.
 47. ONS. Coronavirus and the social impacts on disabled people in Great Britain: July 2020. 2020 [Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbrit>

- 1
2
3 [ain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic](#)
4 accessed 1 September 2021.
- 5
6 48. UK Aid. Disability Inclusion Helpdesk Report No. 6. 2019 [Available from:
7 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/818123/query-6-disability-and-nutrition.pdf)
8 [attachment_data/file/818123/query-6-disability-and-nutrition.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/818123/query-6-disability-and-nutrition.pdf) accessed 01
9 September 2021.
- 10
11 49. Jones N, Bartlett H. The impact of visual impairment on nutritional status: A
12 systematic review. *British Journal of Visual Impairment* 2018;36(1):17-30. doi:
13 10.1177/0264619617730860
- 14
15 50. Jones N, Bartlett HE. Comparison of the eating behaviour and dietary
16 consumption in older adults with and without visual impairment. *Br J Nutr*
17 2020;123(6):712-20. doi: 10.1017/S000711451900312X [published Online
18 First: 2019/12/04]
- 19
20 51. Parliament. U. Unequal impact? Coronavirus, disability and access to services:
21 full Report. 2. Access to food.
22 [https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/10500](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm)
23 [5.htm](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm) 2020 [Available from:
24 [https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/10500](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm)
25 [5.htm](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm) accessed 19 April 2021.
- 26
27 52. Fancourt D, Bu F, Mak HW, et al. Covid-19 Social Study. Results Release 33.
28 [https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e](https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e72cd141ecfb.pdf)
29 [72cd141ecfb.pdf](https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e72cd141ecfb.pdf). 2021;2021(12 July)
- 30
31 53. ONS. Smoking prevalence in the UK and the impact of data collection changes:
32 2020: ONS, 2021.
- 33
34 54. Czeisler ME, Board A, Thierry JM, et al. Mental Health and Substance Use
35 Among Adults with Disabilities During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United
36 States, February–March 2021. *MMWR* 2021;70:1142-49.
- 37
38 55. Glazier RE, Kling RN. Recent trends in substance abuse among persons with
39 disabilities compared to that of persons without disabilities. *Disabil Health J*
40 2013;6:107-15.
- 41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Reporting checklist for cross sectional study.

Based on the STROBE cross sectional guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cross sectional reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

	Reporting Item	Page Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
Abstract	#1b Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2

1	Introduction			
2				
3				
4	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3,4
5				
6	rationale		investigation being reported	
7				
8				
9	Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	4
10			hypotheses	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Methods			
16				
17				
18	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the	5,6
19			paper	
20				
21				
22				
23	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates,	5
24			including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up,	
25			and data collection	
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	6
32			methods of selection of participants.	
33				
34				
35				
36		#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors,	5,6
37			potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	
38			diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and	5,6
45			details of methods of assessment (measurement).	
46	measurement		Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
47			there is more than one group. Give information	
48			separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if	
49			applicable.	
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of	5,6
2			bias	
3				
4				
5				
6	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6,7
7				
8				
9	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	6
10	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
11			chosen, and why	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used	6
17	methods		to control for confounding	
18				
19				
20	Statistical	#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups	6
21	methods		and interactions	
22				
23				
24				
25	Statistical	#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	6
26	methods			
27				
28				
29	Statistical	#12d	If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	6
30	methods		account of sampling strategy	
31				
32				
33	Statistical	#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
34	methods			
35				
36				
37				
38				
39	Results			
40				
41				
42				
43				
44	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of	5,6
45			study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for	
46			eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
47			completing follow-up, and analysed. Give information	
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1		separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if	
2			
3		applicable.	
4			
5			
6	Participants	#13b Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a due to
7			
8			online nature of
9			
10			study
11			
12			
13	Participants	#13c Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
14			
15			
16	Descriptive data	#14a Give characteristics of study participants (eg	7,8
17		demographic, clinical, social) and information on	
18		exposures and potential confounders. Give information	
19		separately for exposed and unexposed groups if	
20		applicable.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Descriptive data	#14b Indicate number of participants with missing data for	8, can be
29		each variable of interest	calculated
30			
31			using the n for
32			
33			each variable
34			
35			
36			
37			
38	Outcome data	#15 Report numbers of outcome events or summary	9,10,12
39		measures. Give information separately for exposed	
40		and unexposed groups if applicable.	
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46	Main results	#16a Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable,	n/a not relevant
47		confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,	
48		95% confidence interval). Make clear which	
49		confounders were adjusted for and why they were	
50		included	
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1	Main results	#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a not relevant	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	Main results	#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a not relevant	
7					
8					
9	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8-12	
10					
11					
12	Discussion				
13	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12-14	
14					
15					
16	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	14,15	
17					
18					
19	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	12-15	
20					
21					
22	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	14	
23					
24					
25	Other				
26	Information				
27	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	15	
28					
29					

1 None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution
2 License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool
3 made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

BMJ Open

A Snapshot of Health-Related Behaviours in Adults Living with Disabilities One Year Into the COVID-19 Pandemic: a Cross-Sectional Survey Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-060512.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-May-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Hussain, Syeda; BRAVO VICTOR, Research; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Heinze, Nikki; BRAVO VICTOR, Research; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Castle, Claire L.; BRAVO VICTOR, Research; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Godier-McBard, Lauren R; Anglia Ruskin University, Veterans and Families Institute for Military Social Research Kempapidis, Theofilos; BRAVO VICTOR, Research; Blind Veterans UK, Research & Innovation Gomes, Renata S.M.; BRAVO VICTOR, Research; Northumbria University, Northern Hub for Veterans and Military Families Research, Department of Nursing, Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Infectious diseases, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	COVID-19, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

A Snapshot of Health-Related Behaviours in Adults Living with Disabilities One Year Into the COVID-19 Pandemic: a Cross-Sectional Survey Study

Syeda F Hussain ^{1,2*}, Nikki Heinze ^{1,2*}, Claire L Castle ^{1,2}, Lauren R Godier-McBard ³, Theofilos Kempapidis ^{1,2}, and Renata SM Gomes ^{1,2,4,**}

1 BRAVO VICTOR, Research, 12-14 Harcourt Street, W1H 4HD, London, United Kingdom;

2 Research & Innovation, Blind Veterans UK, 12-14 Harcourt Street, W1H 4HD, London, United Kingdom;

3 Veterans and Families Institute for Military Social Research, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom;

4 Northern Hub for Veterans and Military Families Research, Department of Nursing, Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, United Kingdom

* Joint first authors

** Correspondence: renata.gomes@bravovictor.org

Word Count: 4,487

Abstract:

Objectives: This survey aimed to assess the status of a range of health-related behaviours one year after the coronavirus outbreak was declared a pandemic in adults living with disabilities comparative to those with no disabilities.

Design: This cross-sectional study reports findings from an online survey conducted in March 2021. Mann Whitney U and chi-square tests were used to compare a range of health behaviours including time spent self-isolating, smoking, alcohol consumption, exercise frequency and diet in adults with and without disabilities.

Setting: A convenience sample of UK adults was recruited through the researchers' personal and professional networks including UK-based sight loss sector charities, social media platforms and professional forums.

Participants: A total of 123 UK participants completed the survey.

Outcome measures: COVID-19 diagnosis, time spent self-isolating, alcohol consumption frequency, exercise frequency, change in smoking habit, eating habits.

Results: No significant differences were found in alcohol consumption, smoking, water intake, breakfast or fruit and vegetable intake. There were statistically significant differences in the time spent self-isolating ($U = 2061, p = .001$), exercise frequency ($U = 1171.5, p = .005$), and the amount of food eaten ($\chi^2(2) = 9.60, p = 0.008$, Cramer's $V = .281$). Although the majority in both groups reported exercising 3-4 times per week and eating what they should, those with disabilities were more likely to eat less than they should, not exercise at all and to have been self-isolating for over 6 months than participants with no disabilities.

Conclusions: The data in this study presents some key differences between the two groups, with those living with disabilities more likely to report not exercising, not eating as much as they should and having been self-isolating for prolonged periods of time. This raises concerns for the health and well-being of individuals with disabilities.

Keywords: COVID-19, Coronavirus, Disability, Smoking, Alcohol, Exercise, Diet

Article Summary

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- The survey was conducted one year into the pandemic, after the third UK lockdown, allowing for a snapshot assessment of the effects of living through a year under pandemic circumstances.
- This study contributes to a limited pool of research focusing on the experiences of adults with disabilities, a group expected to be disproportionately affected during this time.
- This study assesses outcomes which tend to be overlooked in research involving adults with disabilities.
- Recruitment via convenience sampling and small sample size mean that findings cannot be extrapolated to the general population.
- This study would have benefited from observing the same outcome measures at another timepoint earlier in the pandemic or prior to the pandemic to assess how attitudes and behaviours may have changed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Introduction

In March 2020 the World Health Organisation declared the coronavirus outbreak a pandemic. In the same month, COVID-19 cases began to surge, and the death toll started to rise in the United Kingdom (UK). In response, the UK government put a range of measures in place to mitigate the spread of coronavirus including a push to work from home where possible; social distancing (keeping at least 2m distance from others) and mask-wearing indoors. People at high-risk of contracting coronavirus due to underlying health conditions were advised to shield, while people with COVID-19 symptoms (a new continuous cough, a high temperature and/or a loss of smell or taste) were required to self-isolate and travellers coming from abroad were required to quarantine. All three measures required people to stay indoors and restrict contact with others. In addition, the UK government has implemented three national lockdowns to date, in March 2020, November 2020 and January 2021. These required all but essential shops to shut, and people to stay at home and restrict their social contact except for essential purposes including food shopping, medical appointments and work where working from home was not possible.

These measures have resulted in disruption to daily activities, such as going to work, socialising, and exercise routines, with many being left without adequate exercise equipment or space to exercise, and no longer commuting by foot or bike. While people in the UK were allowed to exercise outdoors during all three lockdowns, this was restricted to once per day, with those advised to shield unable to exercise outside at all. Regular physical activity has been linked with reduced levels of stress, depression, anxiety and inflammation, ultimately contributing to better physiological and psychological health outcomes.¹ Research found that exercise frequency decreased between the first and second UK lockdowns while sedentary activities, e.g. working, watching TV and gaming, increased.² Levels of stress, anxiety or depression have all increased during the pandemic due to financial-, employment-, social-, and health-related concerns, and caring responsibilities.³⁻⁶ There is a risk that people may have used maladaptive coping mechanisms such as comfort-eating, smoking, alcohol or drugs during this period,^{7 8} although the evidence is mixed. Some research has identified increased smoking,⁹ overeating and subsequent weight gain to cope with greater levels of stress and anxiety at this time. An English study¹⁰ found an increase in the prevalence of high-risk drinking, but no change in smoking prevalence, and increased rates of smoking cessation and attempts to quit during the first UK lockdown. In contrast, another UK study² found that smoking, alcohol consumption and eating habits remained largely the same between the first and third UK lockdowns. However, sustained changes in drinking alcohol and eating behaviours were found in a small proportion of participants.² A healthy, balanced diet may play a role in protecting against noncommunicable diseases¹¹ and poor mental health.¹² An unhealthier diet adopted during lockdown, and reduced physical activity, were both independently linked to a greater negative mood score.¹³ The negative effects of smoking and alcohol on health are well known, with smoking increasing the risk of health conditions such as certain cancers, coronary heart disease, and stroke,^{14 15} and heavy drinking being associated with obesity¹⁶ among other consequences.

Vulnerable populations such as those with disabilities may be at increased risk of the negative impacts of the pandemic.¹⁷ People with disabilities made up 60% of those who died from COVID-19 between January and November 2020, and they have been found to experience worse mental health outcomes than those without disabilities.¹⁸ Maintaining a healthy lifestyle and avoiding harmful health behaviours may, therefore,

1
2
3 be particularly important in this group. However, existing evidence suggests that even
4 before the pandemic, people living with disabilities were twice as likely to be physically
5 inactive as people without disability.¹⁹ In addition, disruption to shopping, food
6 preparation and cooking already presented obstacles to a healthy diet for people living
7 with visual impairment,²⁰ resulting in fewer nutrients being consumed in this group
8 compared to age-matched controls,²¹ and a high incidence of malnourishment and
9 obesity. During the pandemic, shielding and reliance on local services or volunteers,
10 long queue times at shops, difficulty securing food delivery slots,²² and negative
11 impacts on job retention and finances,²³ may have further impacted access to food
12 and exercise. Difficulties accessing groceries, medication, and healthcare for non-
13 coronavirus-related issues, as well as negative impacts on health, have been more
14 prevalent among people with disabilities than those without disabilities.²⁴ Food
15 insecurity at this time rose, especially in already vulnerable groups in the UK.²⁵
16 Existing COVID-19 research involving people with disabilities has mainly focused on
17 impacts on access to medical care and exercise. To our knowledge there are no
18 studies assessing alcohol consumption, dietary changes and smoking during the
19 pandemic in this population. Those with chronic health conditions often meet the
20 definition of disability as set out by The Equality Act 2010.²⁶ There is evidence of a
21 greater impact on harmful health behaviours in those with chronic health conditions
22 during the pandemic. Increases in alcohol consumption and smoking in light smokers
23 were more prevalent in those with chronic health conditions,²⁷ whilst decreases in
24 alcohol consumption were more prevalent in heavy drinkers with no health
25 conditions.²⁷

26
27
28
29
30 Existing research highlights that those living with disability may be at greater risk of
31 negative impacts of COVID-19 on health and health-related behaviours than
32 individuals with no disabilities.¹⁷ Considering the long-term negative physical and
33 mental health outcomes associated with harmful health behaviours, it is important to
34 determine the extent to which people living with disabilities have engaged in health-
35 promoting and harmful health behaviours. This article provides a snapshot of a range
36 of health behaviours including alcohol consumption, smoking, exercise and diet in a
37 sample of UK adults living with disabilities compared to adults with no disabilities,
38 approximately one year into the COVID-19 pandemic.

41 42 43 **Materials and Methods**

44 This article draws on survey data collected as part of a longitudinal assessment of
45 health and well-being in individuals with and without disabilities conducted between
46 1st April 2020 and 28th March 2021. The current article presents findings from the
47 final survey conducted between 8th and 28th March 2021, approximately one year
48 after the implementation of the first UK lockdown. The results were reported according
49 to the STROBE cross-sectional reporting guidelines.²⁸ Findings relating to
50 loneliness,²⁹ sleep³⁰ and anxiety³¹ in the same sample population are reported
51 elsewhere.

52 53 54 **2.1. Materials**

55 An online survey was developed by the Research and Innovation Team at Blind
56 Veterans UK (a UK-based charity providing support to veterans with sight loss), in
57 collaboration with the University of Oxford, to collect information on current life
58 circumstances, health and health-related behaviours, sleep and social well-being
59
60

1
2
3 across several timepoints. The same questionnaire had been administered in previous
4 rounds, however, changes to the layout and wording of questions had been made
5 between each round to improve data quality, and a number of demographics and
6 health questions had been removed to decrease participant burden. Due to these
7 changes a longitudinal comparison of health behaviours was not possible.
8

9
10 To make the survey accessible to participants with visual impairment, Microsoft Forms
11 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) was used to develop the survey. The platform
12 has a range of accessibility features including colour contrast, high contrast settings,
13 and compatibility with screen readers to facilitate participation for those with vision-
14 related disabilities. Reading of grid questions was made easier by splitting questions
15 across individual pages so that participants were seeing only one question per page.
16

17 2.2. Measures

18
19 To assess for disability, participants were first asked if they considered themselves to
20 have a disability, followed by a question listing 16 conditions, including visual
21 impairment or blindness, acquired brain injury, diabetes, epilepsy, disability affecting
22 mobility, mental health issues, and learning difficulties, which required a “Yes”, “No” or
23 “Prefer not to say” response for each condition.
24

25 Single questions assessed COVID-19 diagnosis, current COVID-19 symptoms, self-
26 isolation status, exercise frequency, alcohol consumption, and change in smoking
27 habits. Diet over the last 3 weeks was assessed with a set of questions asking
28 participants to indicate if their diet had improved, worsened or stayed the same; if they
29 had been eating what they should, more or less than they should; if they had been
30 drinking enough water, more or less water than they should; if they had been eating
31 fruit and vegetables at least 2 or more times a week; and if they had been eating
32 breakfast daily or most days.
33

34 2.3. Sample

35
36 This article presents findings for a subsample of UK based participants who completed
37 the final survey in this survey series. The full sample consists of a convenience sample
38 of adults aged 18 and over and was recruited through the researchers’ personal and
39 professional networks, social media platforms and professional forums. Participants
40 who had consented to be being recontacted for follow-up research and provided a
41 valid email address were invited via email to take part in subsequent rounds of the
42 survey. Responses to the first survey in this series were received from 22 different
43 countries predominantly the UK (61.9%) and participants from nine different countries
44 took part in the final survey, the majority based in the UK (76.9%). Frequencies for
45 other countries were too small to enable cross-country comparisons. The timings and
46 nature of containment measures varied substantially between countries and so the
47 current article focuses on the UK subsample.
48

49 2.4. Procedure

50
51
52 The Medical Sciences Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee (University of
53 Oxford) advised that ethical approval was not required for this research. Participants
54 were able to access the survey by clicking a link embedded in the invitation.
55 Participants were first provided with information about the study and their rights, before
56 being asked to consent to taking part in the research. At the start of each section,
57 participants were able to choose if they wanted to answer or skip the section. For most
58 questions, participants also had the option to select ‘Prefer not to say’. While the
59 preferred mode was online self-completion to reduce social desirability bias and the
60

1
2
3 potentially sensitive nature of some questions, participants who contacted the
4 research team with difficulties accessing the survey were offered the option of
5 completing the survey with a researcher over the telephone. Only one participant
6 selected this option.
7

8 *2.5. Statistical Analysis*

9

10 Duplicates and non-responses were removed from the dataset before analysis.
11 Responses were treated as missing if participants had missed relevant response
12 options, selected "Prefer not to say", or had skipped the section.
13

14 Subgroup analysis was carried out to compare participants who reported having one
15 or more types of disability ('≥1 disabilities') to participants who reported that they did
16 not have a disability ('no disabilities').
17

18 Proportions and frequencies for all variables measured are presented in the respective
19 tables to show spread of responses by subgroup. Proportions are presented for the
20 total number of valid responses achieved for each question. The total number of valid
21 responses (*n*) are reported in the tables. Differences between the groups were
22 analysed using Mann-Whitney U and chi-square tests. The test statistics and *p*-values
23 are reported in the tables. Fisher's Exact tests were conducted if chi-square test
24 assumptions were violated, and respective *p*-values are reported in tables instead.
25

26 *2.5. Patient and Public Participation*

27

28 Patients and the public were not involved in the design of this study
29

30 **3. Results**

31 *3.1. Participant characteristics*

32

33 Table 1 provides a summary of participant characteristics. After removing one
34 duplicate, two cases who did not consent to participating in this follow-up survey, and
35 37 surveys received from outside the UK, a total of 123 UK residents completed the
36 survey. Participants were mostly white, female, aged 46-55, in paid employment, and
37 living with others. Approximately two thirds of participants reported having no
38 disabilities. A third reported having one or more disabilities, with a mean of 2.95 (*SD*
39 = 1.82) different types of disability and a maximum of eight types of disability being
40 reported by one participant. The most commonly reported types of disability in this
41 sample were visual impairment or blindness, disability affecting mobility, and mental
42 health difficulties.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Sample characteristics of total survey sample. ¹Participants were able to report multiple disabilities. Proportions are calculated for the number of participants who reported each condition out of the entire sample ($n = 123$).

		% (n)
Gender	Female	55.7 (68)
	Male	44.3 (54)
Ethnicity	Asian	1.6 (2)
	Black/African/Caribbean	0.8 (1)
	Hispanic/Latino/Spanish origin	1.6 (2)
	Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	-
	White/Other White	95.9 (117)
Age	18-25	0.8 (1)
	26-35	9.8 (12)
	36-45	14.8 (18)
	46-55	36.1 (44)
	56-65	24.6 (30)
	66-75	11.5 (14)
	76-85	2.5 (3)
Employment status	86+	-
	In paid employment	73.6 (89)
	I am employed but furloughed	1.7 (2)
	Retired	14.9 (18)
	Unemployed and not looking for work	7.4 (9)
Living status	Unemployed but looking for work	2.5 (3)
	I live on my own	23.8 (29)
Disability	I live with others	76.2 (93)
	No disability	68.0 (83)
Type of disability ¹	One or more disabilities	32.0 (39)
	Visual impairment or blindness	21.1 (26)
	Disability affecting mobility	16.3 (20)
	Mental health issues	13.8 (17)
	Medical condition (e.g. asthma, diabetes, or epilepsy)	12.2 (15)
	Hearing impairment or deafness	11.4 (14)
	Emotional/behavioural difficulties	5.7 (7)
	Being immunocompromised	4.1 (5)
	Learning difficulties	2.4 (3)
	Acquired brain injury	1.6 (2)
	Multiple sclerosis	1.6 (2)
	Profound complex disabilities	1.6 (2)
	Limb loss	0.8 (1)
Dyslexia	0.8 (1)	

3.2. COVID-19 and self-isolating

Table 2 shows that 5 people had been diagnosed with COVID-19, and 3 people reported having COVID-19 symptoms at the time of completing the survey.

There was a statistically significant difference in the time spent self-isolating between the '≥1 disabilities' group and the 'no disability' group, with not isolating being more likely amongst participants in the 'no disability' group. Just over 80% of participants with no disability reported that they were not self-isolating in March 2021 compared to around half of participants with ≥1 disabilities. In contrast, participants with ≥1 disabilities were more than three times more likely to report that they had been self-isolating for over 6 months at the time of the survey than those with no disabilities (46.2% and 14.6% respectively).

Table 2. COVID-19 diagnosis, symptoms and time spent self-isolating by subgroup. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). ¹Between group analysis not conducted due to low prevalence. ²Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses.

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	≥1 disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
Since the last COVID-19 Pandemic Survey, have you been diagnosed with COVID-19? ¹	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Yes	4.8 (4)	2.6 (1)
Do you currently have any of the following COVID-19 symptoms: a new, continuous cough, a high temperature, or a loss or change to your sense of smell and taste? ²	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Yes	1.2 (1)	5.1 (2)
Please indicate for how long you have been self-isolating: By self-isolating we mean staying at home, except for urgent medical assistance, and not having any visitors. ²	<i>n</i>	82	39
	Not self-isolating	80.5 (66)	53.8 (21)
	≤ 2 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	2-4 wk.	-	-
	6-8 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	8-12 wk.	1.2 (1)	-
	3-4 mo.	-	-
	4-5 mo.	1.2 (1)	-
≥ 6 mo.	14.6 (12)	46.2 (18)	
<i>U</i> = 2061, <i>p</i> = .001*			

3.3. Health behaviours

Prevalence of self-reported smoking was low in this sample (Table 3). Over 90% of respondents in both groups were non-smokers, and there was no significant difference in smoking habits between the two groups.

There were also no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of alcohol consumption. Almost half of the respondents with '≥1 disabilities' reported that they did not drink alcohol at all (46.2%) compared to just a third of those with no disabilities (32.5%). The prevalence of more frequent alcohol consumption was relatively similar in the two groups, with 23.1% of participants with ≥1 disabilities

drinking alcohol at least 3-5 times a week compared to 26.5% of participants with no disabilities, including three who reported drinking alcohol every day.

In contrast, there was a statistically significant difference in exercise frequency between the two groups. Around a quarter (25.6%) of participants with disabilities reported that they had not exercised at all over the last 3 weeks compared to 7.2% of participants with no disabilities. This means that around three quarters of participants with disabilities and over 90% of participants with no disabilities managed to do exercise at least once per week in the three weeks leading up to the survey. Encouragingly, a majority in both groups reported exercising 3-4 times a week but this was more common in participants with no disabilities (67.5% compared to 46.2% in participants with ≥ 1 disabilities). Only exercising once a week was selected by a greater proportion of those with disabilities (15.4%) compared to those without disabilities (7.2%).

Table 3. Smoking habit, alcohol and exercise frequencies by subgroup. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses.

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	≥ 1 disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
Thinking about the time since you completed the last COVID-19 Pandemic Survey, which of the following statements best describes your smoking habits?	<i>n</i>	81	39
	I don't smoke	93.8 (76)	92.3 (36)
	Smoked less than usual	1.2 (1)	-
	Smoked the same	4.9 (4)	5.1 (2)
	Smoked more than usual	-	2.6 (1)
		$U = 1607, p = .721$	
Over the last 3 weeks, how often have you been drinking alcohol?	<i>n</i>	83	39
	I don't drink alcohol	32.5 (27)	46.2 (18)
	Once a week	18.1 (15)	12.8 (5)
	Only on weekends	22.9 (19)	17.9 (7)
	3-5 times a week	22.9 (19)	23.1 (9)
	Every day	3.6 (3)	-
	$U = 1410.5, p = .235$		
In the last 3 weeks how often have you participated in some kind of exercise?	<i>n</i>	83	39
	3-4 times per week	67.5 (56)	46.2 (18)
	1-2 times per week	18.1 (15)	12.8 (5)
	Once per week	7.2 (6)	15.4 (6)
	Not at all	7.2 (6)	25.6 (10)
	$U = 1171.5, p = .005^*$		

Overall, the majority of participants reported a healthy diet which included eating fruit and vegetables at least twice a week and eating breakfast daily or on most days (Table 4). Although not statistically significant, the proportions reporting this were slightly higher among participants with no disabilities. There were also no statistically significant differences between the two groups in relation to changes in their diet and water intake. When asked about changes in their diets, a majority in both groups stated that their diet had remained the same. Participants with disabilities were slightly more

likely to report that their diet had stayed the same or worsened than participants with no disabilities, while the latter group was slightly more likely to report improvements in their diet. Around half of the participants in each group reported drinking enough water and just under half reported not drinking enough. One person in each group reported drinking more water than they should. There was, however, a statistically significant difference between the two groups in the amount of food eaten over the 3 weeks leading up to the survey. While a majority in both groups reported eating what they should (56.6% of participants with no disabilities and 41.0% of those with disabilities), participants with disabilities were almost 5 times more likely to report that they were eating less than they should (23.1% vs 4.8% for those with no disability) and almost 40% in both groups reported eating more than they should.

Table 4. Dietary, eating and drinking habits by subgroup. Participants were asked to select all the statement/s which best describe them over the last 3 weeks. Significant group differences are marked by an asterisk (*). Percentages are based on the total number of valid responses given (*n*) and exclude 'Prefer not to say' responses. ³Result of Fisher's exact test

		No disability % (<i>n</i>)	≥1 disabilities % (<i>n</i>)
I eat fruit and veg 2+ times a week	<i>n</i>	83	38
	Yes	95.2 (79)	86.8 (33)
	No	4.8 (4)	13.2 (5)
<i>p</i> = .137 ³			
I eat breakfast daily or most days	<i>n</i>	83	38
	Yes	79.5 (66)	71.1 (27)
	No	20.5 (17)	28.9 (11)
$\chi^2 (2, 121) = 1.05, p = .305, \phi = -.093$			
Diet	<i>n</i>	83	39
	My diet has improved	27.7 (23)	15.4 (6)
	My diet has stayed the same	56.4 (47)	64.1 (25)
	My diet worsened	15.7 (13)	20.5 (8)
$\chi^2 (2, 122) = 2.31, p = .315, \text{Cramer's } V = .138$			
Diet habits	<i>n</i>	83	39
	I eat what I should	56.6 (47)	41.0 (16)
	I eat less than I should	4.8 (4)	23.1 (9)
	More than I should	38.6 (32)	35.9 (14)
$\chi^2 (2, 122) = 9.60, p = .008^*, \text{Cramer's } V = .281$			
Water intake	<i>n</i>	83	39
	Drinking enough	51.8 (43)	51.3 (20)
	Drinking less than I should	47.0 (39)	46.2 (18)
	Drinking more than I should	1.2 (1)	2.6 (1)
<i>p</i> = .860 ³			

4. Discussion

Existing evidence suggests that prior to the pandemic, unhealthy behaviours were more prevalent in people with disabilities,^{19-21 32 33} and as a result there was concern that this group would be disproportionately affected by the pandemic.³⁴ This study provides a snapshot of a range of health-related behaviours in people with disabilities compared to people with no disabilities approximately one year into the COVID-19 pandemic. Notable group differences were found for exercise frequency, time spent self-isolating and the amount of food eaten.

Even before the pandemic people with disabilities were more likely to be physically inactive than people with no disabilities (39.8% vs 20.5%).¹⁹ In contrast, 25.6% of participants in the current study reported not exercising at all in the 3 weeks before the study. This is perhaps unsurprising considering the impact of the pandemic on exercise. One Norwegian study reported that 66% of their participants with physical disabilities reported a decrease in exercise during the pandemic compared to pre-pandemic times.³⁵ While people with disabilities have been found to be more likely to report a negative impact of the pandemic on their ability to exercise due to health concerns and lack of exercise space,¹⁹ a decrease in physical activity (doing at least 30 min of physical activity 5 times a week) was found in both groups, from 26% to 23% between April and September 2020 among people with disabilities and from 36% to 31% among people with no disabilities. In contrast, 46.2% of participants with disabilities reported that they had participated in some kind of exercise at least 3-4 times a week in the current study. This is considerably higher and may relate to the lower exercise frequency given in the response and to the fact that exercise was not defined in the current study, which may have resulted in different definitions of exercise for different participants. Reflecting existing evidence of a negative impact on physical activity during the pandemic in this group,^{19 36} participants with disabilities were around 3.5 times more likely to not exercise at all than participants with no disabilities. This may reflect challenges imposed by lockdown restrictions including disruption to public transport and reduced access to professional and social support for attending gyms,³⁶ and existing barriers to physical activity.^{19 37} The most common types of disability in this sample were visual impairment/blindness, disability affecting mobility and mental health conditions. Participants with impaired mobility were more likely to be physically inactive (no exercise at all) than those with VI (n=9, 45.0% compared to n=3, 11.5%) and less likely to have participated in regular exercise (3-4 times a week) (n=8, 40.0% compared to n=16, 61.5%). However, it must be noted that it was not possible to control for comorbidity in this study and some participants may have both types of disability. Severe visual impairment, fear of falling, inaccessible facilities and lack of inclusive environments are just a few factors known to minimise time spent exercising in those with visual impairment.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Participants with mobility-related disabilities may be less likely to participate in frequent regular exercise compared to other impairments, such as hearing impairment.⁴¹ Encouragingly, at least three quarters in both groups reported getting some form of exercise and a majority of participants in each group reported exercising 3-4 times a week. One year into the pandemic, this may suggest an adaptation to the restrictions on exercise imposed by the pandemic. It may also reflect the presence of participants recruited through contacts in the sight loss and military sectors. Members of the charity Blind Veterans UK, for example, were actively supported to participate in sports and recreational activities during the pandemic through remotely delivered exercise sessions.

1
2
3 Statistically significant group differences were also observed in the length of time
4 participants had spent self-isolating. Participants with disabilities were around three
5 times more likely to have been self-isolating for more than 6 months than those without
6 disabilities. This is perhaps unsurprising given the increased risk of COVID-19-related
7 complications for those living with a disability,¹⁷ and advice for vulnerable adults to
8 shield during the pandemic. This is of concern due to the impact of self-isolating on
9 mental health and experiences of loneliness.^{42 43} White and Van Der Boor⁴⁴ reported
10 higher levels of anxiety and depression and lower well-being in UK adults who had
11 been self-isolating before a lockdown; these adults reported feeling more isolated than
12 usual during lockdown. However, findings reported in our previous article²⁹ indicated
13 that isolation did not contribute to feelings of loneliness in this sample population. Over
14 three quarters of participants without disabilities indicated that they were not self-
15 isolating, compared to around half of participants with disabilities. This is despite stay-
16 at-home orders having been implemented across the UK during December
17 2020/January 2021. There is evidence of differing attitudes towards dealing with the
18 pandemic, with those with disabilities more likely to report having concerns about
19 leaving home compared to those without disabilities.⁴⁵
22

23 There was a statistically significant group difference in the amount of food eaten but
24 not any of the other dietary indicators. Eating habits in this sample indicate that
25 undereating was almost five times more likely in those with disabilities. Prior to the
26 pandemic, associations had been drawn between disability and undernutrition.⁴⁶
27 Existing barriers to food preparation^{20 21} and additional challenges accessing food
28 shopping during the pandemic,²² may have contributed to the undereating within this
29 group. However, in general, participants in both groups were adhering to healthy
30 dietary habits with most eating breakfast and fruit and vegetables regularly and
31 drinking enough water. Whilst there was no statistically significant group difference in
32 relation to changes in diet, those with disabilities were slightly more likely to state that
33 their diet had worsened.
35

36 There were no statistically significant differences in alcohol consumption and changes
37 in smoking between participants with and without disabilities. Over 90% of participants
38 in both groups were non-smokers. This is higher than the prevalence of non-smokers
39 reported by Fancourt, et al.²⁷ The prevalence of smokers in both groups is also around
40 half of that reported for the general population (13.8%) in Great Britain in the first
41 quarter of 2020.⁴⁷ It is unclear if this reflects a mode effect, social desirability bias or a
42 lower prevalence in this sample. To our knowledge, there is limited research exploring
43 alcohol consumption and smoking among people with disabilities. Smoking was found
44 to be more prevalent in UK adults with disabilities than those without disabilities prior
45 to the pandemic³³ and research from the UK found that people without chronic physical
46 conditions were less likely have increased from light to moderate smoking and more
47 likely to have stopped smoking than those with chronic physical conditions during the
48 pandemic.²⁷ Due to the small number of smokers in the current sample, comparisons
49 cannot be drawn.
52

53 While the prevalence of more frequent drinking was similar in both groups, participants
54 with disabilities were around 1.4 times more likely to be non-drinkers than participants
55 with no disabilities. This reflects existing evidence from the US which found a lower
56 prevalence of alcohol abuse among people with disabilities prior to the pandemic.⁴⁸
57 But it contradicts evidence from another US study conducted in February/March 2021
58 which found higher levels of alcohol consumption before and during the pandemic in
59 people with disabilities.⁴⁹ Results from a UK panel study carried out during the
60

1
2
3 pandemic showed that 30% of participants reported not drinking alcohol.² This
4 compares to the proportion of non-drinkers among participants with no disabilities in
5 this study but is lower than the proportion observed for participants with disabilities.
6 The same panel also found that alcohol consumption remained stable during the
7 pandemic, but an increase was found to be more likely for people with chronic health
8 conditions than those without.²⁷ Changes in alcohol consumption were not explored in
9 the current article. Considering early evidence of an increase in alcohol consumption
10 in people with disabilities during the pandemic, future research may be required to
11 monitor drinking behaviours amongst these individuals as the pandemic continues.
12
13

14 This study addresses the lack of data surrounding alcohol consumption, smoking and
15 diet in UK adults with disabilities during the pandemic. There are some limitations of
16 this study. Firstly, participants were a convenience sample meaning that findings
17 cannot be extrapolated to the general population. Similarly, the use of a web-based
18 survey could exclude members of certain subgroups. Additionally, findings may be
19 more representative of our specific sample of participants who had been receiving
20 support throughout the pandemic and not of people with disabilities in general.
21 Secondly, there was no definition for exercise provided in the survey, meaning that the
22 question was open to interpretation. Therefore, the responses are subject to individual
23 definitions of exercise. To limit this, future studies should define exercise according to
24 a certain length of time and/or intensity. Thirdly, the sample consisted of considerably
25 smaller numbers of respondents reporting disabilities than those without disabilities.
26 While it is encouraging that there were few differences between our groups in terms
27 of health behaviours, it must be noted that pre-pandemic results are not available. For
28 example, participants who said that their diet had stayed the same may have been
29 referring to the maintenance of an unhealthy diet. Existing studies have provided some
30 longitudinal analysis, by either comparing responses at two timepoints during the
31 pandemic,^{2 27} or making comparisons to pre-pandemic times. Whilst data was
32 collected at an earlier point in the pandemic, longitudinal analysis could not be carried
33 out due to changes made to survey questions between surveys. Current results,
34 therefore, cannot confirm whether the findings reflect the impact of the pandemic or
35 not. This study instead attempts to quantify the behaviours being exhibited by those
36 with and without disabilities following a full year of living with pandemic restrictions.
37 Existing literature has so far only explored the impact on exercise and access to care.
38 This report provides novel data on behaviours such as smoking, alcohol consumption
39 and eating habits in people with disabilities.
40
41
42
43

44 Future studies should attempt to determine effects on health habits during the
45 pandemic, and any long-term impacts, of having different types and numbers of
46 disabilities. Similarly important is the inclusion of ethnic diversity of study participants.
47 While the survey did include a question asking about participants' ethnicity, the
48 majority of respondents in the already small-sized sample were white, so ethnicity-
49 based comparisons could not be carried out. Given that there are known differences
50 in how the pandemic has affected different ethnic groups, further research would
51 indeed be beneficial. Research may also seek to identify if barriers to participation in
52 physical activity for people with disabilities were pre-existing or if lockdown posed
53 additional challenges. Further exploration of the level and cause of underreporting in
54 those with disabilities may also be valuable.
55
56
57
58
59
60

5. Conclusions

Despite concerns about the disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with disabilities, this study offers a mixed picture. While those with disabilities fared significantly worse in terms of exercise frequency, time spent in self-isolation and food consumption, this study found no statistically significant differences in relation to smoking, changes in diet, water intake, fruit and vegetable and breakfast consumption, and alcohol consumption. Furthermore, participants with disabilities were more likely to be non-drinkers. Implications for clinical practice are that people living with a disability may benefit from additional support and guidance relating to diet and exercise as we transition through different phases of the ongoing pandemic.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, R.G., S.H. and N.H.; methodology, R.G., T.K., C.C., N.H., S.H.; formal analysis, N.H. and L.G.; investigation, R.G. and N.H.; resources, R.G., T.K., C.C., N.H., S.H. and L.G.; data curation, N.H.; writing—original draft preparation, S.H. and N.H.; writing—review and editing, S.H., N.H., C.C. and L.G.; visualization, S.H. and N.H.; project administration, R.G.; funding acquisition, R.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding

This work was supported by Blind Veterans UK.

Data availability statement

Data are available upon reasonable request by contacting the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available because participants were not asked if they consented for their data to be shared outside of the research teams involved in this study.

Ethics statements

Ethics approval

In accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements (The Medical Sciences Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee at the University of Oxford), ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants. Written and informed consent was provided by members of the public that agreed to participate in this study.

Competing Interests

All authors declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work, no commercial or financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 36 months; no other relationships or activities that could be construed as having influences the submitted work.

References

1. Mikkelsen K, Stojanovska L, Polenakovic M, et al. Exercise and mental health. *Maturitas* 2017;106:48-56. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2017.09.003 [published Online First: 2017/11/19]
2. Fancourt D, Bu F, Mak HW, Steptoe A. Covid-19 Social Study Results Release 29. 2021 [Available from: https://www.covidsocialstudy.org/files/ugd/3d9db5_59d1b940054440bbb52a72b6bd0b0a06.pdf accessed 03 March 2021.
3. Khademian F, Delavari S, Koohjani Z, et al. An investigation of depression, anxiety, and stress and its relating factors during COVID-19 pandemic in Iran. *BMC Public Health* 2021;21(1):275. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-10329-3 [published Online First: 2021/02/05]
4. Nkire N, Mrklas K, Hrabok M, et al. COVID-19 Pandemic: Demographic Predictors of Self-Isolation or Self-Quarantine and Impact of Isolation and Quarantine on Perceived Stress, Anxiety, and Depression. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12:553468. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.553468 [published Online First: 2021/02/19]
5. Posel D, Oyenubi A, Kollamparambil U. Job loss and mental health during the COVID-19 lockdown: Evidence from South Africa. *PLoS One* 2021;16(3):e0249352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249352 [published Online First: 2021/03/31]
6. Rainero I, Bruni AC, Marra C, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 Quarantine on Patients With Dementia and Family Caregivers: A Nation-Wide Survey. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2020;12:625781. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.625781 [published Online First: 2021/02/05]
7. Coulthard H, Sharps M, Cunliffe L, et al. Eating in the lockdown during the Covid 19 pandemic; self-reported changes in eating behaviour, and associations with BMI, eating style, coping and health anxiety. *Appetite* 2021;161:105082. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2020.105082 [published Online First: 2021/01/22]
8. Herle M, Smith AD, Bu F, et al. Trajectories of eating behavior during COVID-19 lockdown: Longitudinal analyses of 22,374 adults. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2021;42:158-65. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.01.046 [published Online First: 2021/03/23]
9. Grogan S, Walker L, McChesney G, et al. How has COVID-19 lockdown impacted smoking? A thematic analysis of written accounts from UK smokers. *Psychol Health* 2022;37(1):17-33. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2020.1862110 [published Online First: 2020/12/19]
10. Jackson SE, Garnett C, Shahab L, et al. Association of the COVID-19 lockdown with smoking, drinking and attempts to quit in England: an analysis of 2019-20 data. *Addiction* 2021;116(5):1233-44. doi: 10.1111/add.15295 [published Online First: 2020/10/23]
11. Branca F, Lartey A, Oenema S et al. Transforming the food system to fight non-communicable diseases *BMJ* 2019;364 24-29.
12. Firth J, Gangwisch JE, Borsini A, Wootton RE, Emeran A Mayer EA. Food and mood: how do diet and nutrition affect mental wellbeing? *BMJ* 2020;369:m2440. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2440 [published Online First: 2020/06/28]
13. Ingram J, Maciejewski G, Hand CJ. Changes in Diet, Sleep, and Physical Activity Are Associated With Differences in Negative Mood During COVID-19 Lockdown. *Front Psychol* 2020;11:588604. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.588604 [published Online First: 2020/09/29]

14. Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, et al. Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2008;122(1):155-64. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23033 [published Online First: 2007/09/26]
15. Hackshaw A, Morris JK, Boniface S, et al. Low cigarette consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: meta-analysis of 141 cohort studies in 55 study reports. *BMJ* 2018;360:j5855. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j5855 [published Online First: 2018/01/26]
16. Traversy G, Chaput JP. Alcohol Consumption and Obesity: An Update. *Curr Obes Rep* 2015;4(1):122-30. doi: 10.1007/s13679-014-0129-4 [published Online First: 2015/03/06]
17. Kuper H, Banks LM, Bright T, et al. Disability-inclusive COVID-19 response: What it is, why it is important and what we can learn from the United Kingdom's response. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;5:79. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15833.1 [published Online First: 2020/06/06]
18. Suleman M, Sonthalia S, Webb C, et al. Unequal pandemic, fairer recovery. The COVID-19 impact inquiry report. 2021 [Available from: <https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/upload/publications/2021/HEAJ8932-COVID-Impact-210705.pdf> accessed 16 December 2021.
19. Activity Alliance. The impact of COVID-19 on disabled people. 2020 [Available from: <https://www.activenotts.org.uk/uploads/activity-alliance-impact-of-covid-19-on-disabled-people-oct-2020.pdf?v=1605783441> accessed 19 April 2021.
20. Jones N, Bartlett H. The impact of visual impairment on nutritional status: A systematic review. *British Journal of Visual Impairment* 2018;36(1):17-30. doi: 10.1177/0264619617730860
21. Jones N, Bartlett HE. Comparison of the eating behaviour and dietary consumption in older adults with and without visual impairment. *Br J Nutr* 2020;123(6):712-20. doi: 10.1017/S000711451900312X [published Online First: 2019/12/04]
22. UK Parliament. Unequal impact? Coronavirus, disability and access to services: full Report. 2. Access to food. 2020 [Available from: <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmwomeq/1050/105005.htm> accessed 19 April 2021.
23. Baraniuk C. Fears grow of nutritional crisis in lockdown UK. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3193. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3193 [published Online First: 2020/08/21]
24. ONS. Coronavirus and the social impacts on disabled people in Great Britain September 2020. 2020 [Available from: [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/september2020#:~:text=Disabled%20people%20reported%20more%20frequently,too%20much%20time%20alone%20\(40%25](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/september2020#:~:text=Disabled%20people%20reported%20more%20frequently,too%20much%20time%20alone%20(40%25) accessed 30 July 2021.
25. Connors C ML, Canavan S, et al. The lived experience of food insecurity under Covid-19. A Bright Harbour Collective Report for the Food Standards Agency. 2020 [Available from: https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/fsa-food-insecurity-2020_report-v5.pdf accessed 12 July 2021.
26. UK Government. Equality Act 2010. 2010 [Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/section/6> accessed 08 December 2021.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
27. Fancourt D, Bu F, Mak HW, Steptoe A. Covid-19 Social Study. Results Release 33 2021 [Available from: https://b6bdcb03-332c-4ff9-8b9d-28f9c957493a.filesusr.com/ugd/3d9db5_9d55b4ff686744cdae69e72cd141ecfb.pdf accessed 12 July 2021.
28. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. . The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. .
29. Heinze N, Hussain SF, Castle CL, Godier-Mcbard LR, Kempapidis T, Gomes RS. The long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on loneliness in people living with disability and visual impairment. (In press). *Front Public Health* 2021 doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.738304
30. Heinze N, Hussain SF, Castle CL, et al. The Impact of COVID-19 on Sleep Quality in People Living With Disabilities. *Front Psychol* 2021;12:786904. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.786904 [published Online First: 2022/01/11]
31. Heinze N, Castle CL, Hussain SF, et al. State Anxiety in People Living with Disability and Visual Impairment during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Disabilities* 2022;2(2):235-46. doi: 10.3390/disabilities2020017
32. SAMHSA. Substance Use Disorders in People With Physical and Sensory Disabilities. 2011 [Available from: <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/sma11-4648.pdf> accessed 16 December 2021.
33. Emerson E. Smoking among adults with and without disabilities in the UK. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2018;40(4):e502-e09. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdy062 [published Online First: 2018/04/05]
34. Shakespeare T, Ndagire F, Seketi QE. Triple jeopardy: disabled people and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet* 2021;397(10282):1331-33. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00625-5
35. Bentzen M, Brurok B, Roeleveld K, et al. Changes in physical activity and basic psychological needs related to mental health among people with physical disability during the Covid-19 pandemic in Norway. *Disabil Health J* 2021:101126. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2021.101126 [published Online First: 2021/06/09]
36. de Boer DR, Hoekstra F, Huetink KIM, et al. Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior and Well-Being of Adults with Physical Disabilities and/or Chronic Diseases during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Rapid Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18(12) doi: 10.3390/ijerph18126342 [published Online First: 2021/07/03]
37. Rimmer JH, Riley B, Wang E, et al. Physical activity participation among persons with disabilities: barriers and facilitators. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;26(5):419-25. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2004.02.002 [published Online First: 2004/05/29]
38. Phoenix C, Griffin M, Smith B. Physical activity among older people with sight loss: a qualitative research study to inform policy and practice. *Public Health* 2015;129(2):124-30. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2014.10.001 [published Online First: 2015/02/18]
39. Starkoff BE, Lenz EK, Lieberman LJ, et al. Physical activity patterns of adults with visual impairments. *British Journal of Visual Impairment* 2017;35(2):130-42. doi: 10.1177/0264619617691080
40. Sweeting J, Merom D, Astuti PAS, et al. Physical activity interventions for adults who are visually impaired: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*

- 2020;10(2):e034036. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034036 [published Online First: 2020/02/14]
41. CDC. Adults with Disabilities. 2014 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/disabilities/> accessed 2021 01 September.
 42. Banerjee D, Rai M. Social isolation in Covid-19: The impact of loneliness. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020;66(6):525-27. doi: 10.1177/0020764020922269 [published Online First: 2020/05/01]
 43. Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, et al. Mental Health During the First Weeks of the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12:561898. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2021.561898 [published Online First: 2021/05/11]
 44. White RG, Van Der Boor C. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and initial period of lockdown on the mental health and well-being of adults in the UK. *BJPsych Open* 2020;6(5):e90. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2020.79 [published Online First: 2020/08/18]
 45. ONS. Coronavirus and the social impacts on disabled people in Great Britain: July 2020. 2020 [Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/disability/articles/coronavirusandthesocialimpactsondisabledpeopleingreatbritain/july2020#disabled-peoples-concerns-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic> accessed 01 September 2021.
 46. UK Aid. Disability Inclusion Helpdesk Report No. 6. 2019 [Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/818123/query-6-disability-and-nutrition.pdf accessed 01 September 2021.
 47. ONS. Smoking prevalence in the UK and the impact of data collection changes: 2020. 2021 [Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/drugusealcoholandsmoking/bulletins/smokingprevalenceintheukandtheimpactofdatacollectionchanges/2020> accessed 17 May 2022.
 48. Czeisler ME, Board A, Thierry JM, et al. . Mental Health and Substance Use Among Adults with Disabilities During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, February–March 2021. . *MMWR* 2021;70:1142-49.
 49. Glazier RE, Kling RN. Recent trends in substance abuse among persons with disabilities compared to that of persons without disabilities. *Disabil Health J* 2013;6(2):107-15. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2013.01.007 [published Online First: 2013/03/20]

Reporting checklist for cross sectional study.

Based on the STROBE cross sectional guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE cross sectional reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotsche PC, Vandembroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

	Reporting Item	Page Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
Abstract	#1b Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2

1	Introduction			
2				
3				
4	Background /	#2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	3,4
5				
6	rationale		investigation being reported	
7				
8				
9	Objectives	#3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	4
10			hypotheses	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Methods			
16				
17				
18	Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the	5,6
19			paper	
20				
21				
22				
23	Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates,	5
24			including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up,	
25			and data collection	
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31	Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	6
32			methods of selection of participants.	
33				
34				
35				
36				
37		#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors,	5,6
38			potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	
39			diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
40				
41				
42				
43				
44	Data sources /	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and	5,6
45			details of methods of assessment (measurement).	
46	measurement		Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
47			there is more than one group. Give information	
48			separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if	
49			applicable.	
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1	Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of	5,6
2			bias	
3				
4				
5				
6	Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6,7
7				
8				
9	Quantitative	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	6
10	variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
11			chosen, and why	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Statistical	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used	6
17	methods		to control for confounding	
18				
19				
20	Statistical	#12b	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups	6
21	methods		and interactions	
22				
23				
24	Statistical	#12c	Explain how missing data were addressed	6
25	methods			
26				
27				
28	Statistical	#12d	If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	6
29	methods		account of sampling strategy	
30				
31				
32	Statistical	#12e	Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
33	methods			
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39	Results			
40				
41				
42				
43				
44	Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of	5,6
45			study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for	
46			eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
47			completing follow-up, and analysed. Give information	
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1 separately for for exposed and unexposed groups if
 2 applicable.
 3
 4

5
 6 Participants [#13b](#) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage n/a due to
 7
 8 online nature of
 9 study
 10

11
 12
 13 Participants [#13c](#) Consider use of a flow diagram n/a
 14

15
 16 Descriptive data [#14a](#) Give characteristics of study participants (eg 7,8
 17 demographic, clinical, social) and information on
 18 exposures and potential confounders. Give information
 19 separately for exposed and unexposed groups if
 20 applicable.
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27

28
 29 Descriptive data [#14b](#) Indicate number of participants with missing data for 8, can be
 30 each variable of interest calculated
 31 using the n for
 32 each variable
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37

38
 39 Outcome data [#15](#) Report numbers of outcome events or summary 9,10,12
 40 measures. Give information separately for exposed
 41 and unexposed groups if applicable.
 42
 43
 44
 45

46
 47 Main results [#16a](#) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, n/a not relevant
 48 confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,
 49 95% confidence interval). Make clear which
 50 confounders were adjusted for and why they were
 51 included
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

1	Main results	#16b	Report category boundaries when continuous	n/a not relevant
2			variables were categorized	
3				
4				
5				
6	Main results	#16c	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative	n/a not relevant
7			risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
8				
9	Other analyses	#17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of	8-12
10			subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
11				
12	Discussion			
13	Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study	12-14
14			objectives	
15	Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account	14,15
16			sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both	
17			direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	
18	Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering	12-15
19			objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results	
20			from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	
21	Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the	14
22			study results	
23	Other			
24	Information			
25	Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders	15
26			for the present study and, if applicable, for the original	
27			study on which the present article is based	
28				

1 None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution
2 License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool
3 made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only