

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European countries

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-052816
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Apr-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Swain, Subhashisa; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology Kamps, Anne; Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, Department of General Practice Runhaar, Jos; Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Department of General Practice Dell'Isola, Andrea; Lunds University Faculty of Medicine, Turkiewicz, Aleksandra; Lund University, Dept of Orthopedics Robinson, Danielle ; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Strauss, V; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Mallen, Christian; Keele University, Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre Kuo, Chang-Fu; Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Branch, Coupland, Carol; University of Nottingham, Division of Primary Care Doherty, Michael ; University of Bristol, Prieto-Alhambra, Daniel; University of Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS Englund, Martin; Lund University, Dept of Orthopedics Bierma-Zeinstra, Sita; Erasmus University Medical Centre, Department of General Practice Zhang, Weiya; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Musculoskeletal disorders < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUM/ SURGERY, RHEUMATOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

2	
3	Title- Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European
4 5	countries
6 7	Authors
8	Subhashisa Swain ^{1,10} , Anne Kamps ² , Jos Runhaar ² , Andrea Dell 'Isola ³ , Aleksandra
9 10	Turkiewicz ³ , Danielle Robinson ⁴ , Victoria Y Strauss ⁴ , Christian Mallen ⁵ , Chang Fu Kuo ^{1,6} ,
11	
12 13	Carol Coupland ⁷ , Michael Doherty ^{1,10} , Aliya Sarmanova ⁸ , Daniel Prieto Alhambra ⁴ , Martin
14 15	Englund ³ , Sita Bierma-Zeinstra ⁹ , Weiya Zhang ^{1,10}
15 16	
17 19	1. Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK
18 19	2. Department of General Practice, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center
20 21	Rotterdam, The Netherlands
21	3. Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Orthopaedics, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund,
23 24	Lund University, Sweden
24	
26 27	4. Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS, University of Oxford, UK
28	5. School of Medicine, Keele University, UK
29 30	6. Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Chang Gung Memorial
31	Hospital, Taiwan.
32 33	7. Division of Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK
34	8. Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Bristol Medical School, Translational Health
35 36	Sciences, University of Bristol, UK
37	
38 39	9. Department of General Practice, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Erasmus MC -
40	University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands
41 42	10. Pain Centre Versus Arthritis, University of Nottingham, UK
43	Corresponding Author:
44 45	
46	Professor Weiya Zhang
47 48	Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine
49	University of Nottingham
50 51	Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham city Hospital
52	NG5 1PB, Nottingham, UK
53 54	Email: weiya.zhang@nottingham.ac.uk
55	
56 57	
58	
59 60	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Abstract

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading chronic conditions in the older population. People with OA are more likely to have one or more other chronic conditions than those without. However, the temporal associations, clusters of the comorbidities, role of analgesics and the causality and variation between populations are yet to be investigated. This paper describes the protocol of a multinational study in four European countries (UK, Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain) exploring comorbidities in people with OA .

Methods and analysis

This multinational study will investigate i) the temporal associations of 61 identified comorbidities with OA, ii) the clusters and trajectories of comorbidities in people with OA, iii) the role of analgesics on incidence of comorbidities in people with OA, iv) the potential biomarkers and mechanistic pathways between OA and the comorbidities, and v) variations between countries.

A combined case-control and cohort study will be conducted to find the temporal association of OA with the comorbidities using the national or reginal health databases. Latent class analysis will be performed to identify the clusters at baseline and joint latent class analysis will be used to examine trajectories during the follow-up. A cohort study will be undertaken to evaluate the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, and paracetamol on the incidence of comorbidities. Mendelian randomisation will be performed to investigate the potential biomarkers for causality between OA and the comorbidities using the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam databases. Finally, a meta-analysis will be used to examine the variations and pool the results from different countries.

Ethics and Dissemination

Research ethics was obtained according to each database requirement. Results will be disseminated through the FOREUM website, scientific meetings, publications, and in partnership with patient organisations.

Key words: Osteoarthritis; Comorbidity; Multimorbidity; Primary care; Analgesics, Mendelian Randomisation, PheWAS

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is first ever multicenter study on comorbidities in osteoarthritis in Europe involving nearly 27 million electronic health records
- More than 60 chronic conditions are being studied representing a wider coverage of diseases
- We will examine the causal association using Mendelian Randomization -PheWAS methods with genetic data collected from two countries
- Same protocol with robust statistical methods will be used across all countries to replicate the findings and examine the variations.
- Possible biases may be introduced by the nature of electronic health records and length of data availability.

Background

 Osteoarthritis (OA) affects 27% of people aged over 45 years at peripheral synovial joints such as knees, hips, hands and feet (1) and it is by far the most common arthritis and a leading cause of chronic joint pain and disability in older people (2,3). It is anticipated that the burden of OA will continue to rise in the coming decades because of aging and obesity – two major risk factors for OA (4,5). A recent systematic review has confirmed that people with OA are more likely to have other diseases, especially stroke, peptic ulcer, hypertension, and depression (6). However, whether these comorbidities just co-exist with OA, share common risk factors with OA, or are causes or consequences of OA remains largely unknown.

There was wide heterogeneity in definitions of OA and other chronic conditions, diagnosis and recording of diseases, sample sizes, and number of diseases studied (6). This diversity made the comparison and pooled estimation of comorbidity prevalence difficult. One of the major limitations of previous small studies was, they mostly focused on cardiovascular and musculoskeletal conditions (7-9). Various hypotheses have been used to explain the existence of comorbidities in general, the most accepted of which are the concordant (diseases sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) and discordant (diseases not sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) theories (10). Multiple factors are reported to be associated with comorbidity and multimorbidity, and prescription of drugs is one of these (11). That is the presence of multiple chronic conditions increases the chances of polypharmacy which further escalates the risk of other conditions. Especially in people with OA, the prescription of analgesics is common, and is associated with increased risk of other conditions such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and chronic renal diseases (12,13). The additional presence of disease has a significant impact on an individual. OA is one of the leading conditions reported in multimorbidity research and exploring the association with other diseases would help in further explaining the causality (14). Understanding the temporal association and disease trajectory is crucial for any chronic condition, and this is possible through studies using longitudinal databases (15). Observational studies have limitations of establishing causal association between OA and comorbidities, for which newly developed 'Mendelian Randomisation' method is more powerful.

Another major issue in comorbidity research is the number and types of conditions studied (16). Therefore, it is important to develop a consensus on both the count and typology of conditions to be studied to enable comparisons across populations and to derive pooled estimates as appropriate. Further, using uniform methods and definitions of diseases in

BMJ Open

computing these estimates would reduce heterogeneity and make the comparison more reliable. The burden of diseases in primary care often depends on the population structure, health infrastructure and individual factors such as income and education. Such factors vary between countries, but because of the heterogeneity mentioned above there are no robust data comparing OA and its comorbidities between countries. Therefore, the aims of this study were to explore the burden, pattern, and causal factors of comorbidities in people with OA across four European countries using national registration databases in the UK, the Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain.

Objectives

- 1. To estimate the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA
- 2. To examine the clusters of comorbidities and trajectories of clusters in OA and associations with death
- 3. To investigate the associations between commonly used OA drugs, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs) and opioids, and risk of comorbidities
- 4. To identify the potential biomarkers and mechanistic pathways between OA and the comorbidities
- 5. To examine the variations of OA comorbidities and clusters across countries.

Methods

Ethics

The study has obtained the following ethics approvals: UK- ISAC 19/30R, Netherlands IPCI registration no. 11/2019, Spain - 4R19/011, Sweden – Dnr 2011-432, Dnr 2014-276, and Dnr 2018-233.

Databases

Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweden and Spain) health databases will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic associations of OA with comorbidities will be examined using two cohort studies from the UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18). The four national representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary care consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information about the diagnosis of the diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in secondary care, prescription of drugs, deaths, and other health utilisation indicators. The details of the databases and their properties are given in Table 1 and 2.

Participants

 People registered with the respective databases aged 18 year or above are eligible for the study.

Patient public involvement (PPI): Three PPI representatives (with OA and all with multiple chronic conditions) were involved in this study through group meetings. Difficulties of living with multiple conditions, lack of research in causal relationship and identification of diseases to be studied and the role of drugs in comorbidity were discussed. They are constantly in touch through providing their inputs at each steps of the study.

Definition of Osteoarthritis

OA will be defined as the at least one recorded physician diagnosis of OA for hip, knee, ankle/foot, wrist/hand, or site recorded as 'unspecified' during the study period for the respective database. People with any previous recording of the OA prior to the first diagnosis (index date) will be excluded.

Comorbidities

We defined comorbidity as the recording of diagnosis of predefined chronic conditions in individuals using either ICD-10 or Read or international classification of primary care (ICPC) code. An extensive list of 61 chronic conditions was prepared from the Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) (19), list of the US Department of Health and Human Services Initiative on Multiple Chronic Conditions (20), global burden of diseases (21) and the Charlson comorbidity index (22). The list has been updated with findings from our systematic review (6) and a previous UK community-based knee pain study (6,23) by including common and important morbidities not included in the above (24,25). A code mapping exercise was conducted to finalise the list of conditions available for all the research centres. The comparison of codes was made, and it was reviewed by four researchers including a clinician from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 3.

Covariates

Age, and gender will be used in all centres as covariates to adjust in regression models. Additionally, information on body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic variables such as education level, income, place of birth (to identify those who immigrated to the country), and residential area, marriage (or registered partner) will be included when available. For calculating severity of the comorbidities in an individual, Elixhauser comorbidity index will be used to estimate the impact of comorbidities on death (26,27).

BMJ Open

Missing data on covariates will be substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided that the data is missing at random, if applicable.

Study design and data analysis

Summary of the study design and analysis is provided in Figure 1.

Objective 1 – Prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA

A combined retrospective and prospective study of OA cases and sex, age (+/-2) years), first year of registration, and practice matched controls (1:1-4) without OA (28) will be used to determine the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA. Incident OA cases will be identified, and the first diagnosis date will be used as the starting point (index date). For controls the same index date as their matched case will be used. They will be both retrospectively reviewed for prior diagnoses of comorbidities and prospectively followed-up for posterior new comorbidities. In the retrospective analysis the prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of each specific comorbidity will be calculated separately in OA cases and matched controls using the number of people diagnosed with the comorbidity divided by the total number of OA cases or controls at the index date. The prevalence of each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls will be calculated for given time intervals prior to the index date of 0-1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to assess observational bias (28). Discrete time intervals of 1-5, and 5-10 years before will also be used to estimate the prevalence to minimise consultation bias/misclassification bias of OA (if possible). Conditional logistic regression will be used to calculate the odds ratios (OR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. For the prospective analysis participants with incident OA but without the specific comorbidity of interest at the index date (i.e., people at risk) and matched controls without OA will be followed up until the date of the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. The cumulative incidence will be calculated for each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 years (based on the data available) after the index date to examine the dynamic change of developing comorbidities during follow-up. Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be used to display the cumulative probability in OA and non-OA groups. Proportional hazard assumption will be tested using Schoenfeld residual plots. The Cox regression model will be used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for age, gender, practice, BMI, smoking

and alcohol consumption. This hybrid design has been previously used by us to examine the temporality of associations between other rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) (e.g., gout and lupus) and comorbidities (28,29).

Objective 2 – Clusters and impact of comorbidities in people with OA

For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into the training and testing data will be introduced. The following analysis in objective 2 will be first employed into the training dataset and then tested its generalisability in the testing dataset. At baseline, clusters of people based on 61 comorbidities will be identified using Latent class (i.e., Gaussian mixture models algorithms of cluster) analysis (30). For each model, we will examine the association between clusters and covariates using multinomial logistic regressions. The distinctness of clusters will be examined by comparing covariates among clusters. The optimal model is the one where most clusters found in the training data are also identified independently in the testing data and clusters have most distinct patients' characteristics. We will then use both latent trajectory analysis, such as joint latent class models (31), and unsupervised machine learning approach, such as deep autoencoder or recurrent neural networks (32), to identify distinct clusters of new comorbidity numbers development over time and their association with mortality with adjustment for baseline covariates.

Objective 3 - Association between OA drugs and incident comorbidities

A cohort study will be undertaken for this objective to evaluate the contribution of common analgesics for OA to the development of comorbidity such as NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol. We are interested in the interaction between OA and use of drugs on the incidence of comorbidities, i.e. to evaluate if the drug use in persons with OA poses increased or decreased risk of comorbidities compared to persons without OA and/or analgesics. Individual comorbidity, as well as clusters of comorbidities identified from Objective 2 will be examined as outcomes. The 61 comorbidities in our study will be further categorised into eight groups, specifically: musculoskeletal (MSK), respiratory, neurodegenerative, psychological/psychiatric, cancer, cardiovascular, metabolic, renal problem, liver diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) and others. (Table 4) The prospective cohort established from Objective 1 will form the source population for this objective. Individuals with incident OA will be identified from the database and the first diagnosis date will be used as index date for followup. Individuals without OA during the study period will be selected and matched with cases by age, sex, and practice. The same index date will be given from their matched OA cases. Page 9 of 24

BMJ Open

Individuals with analgesics prescriptions prior to the index date will be excluded (or recorded as a confounding factor to be adjusted as appropriate). Only analgesic prescriptions after the index date will be considered for this analysis. Prescriptions will be quantified as number of prescriptions within year 1 (initial use, primary analysis) (33), 2, 3, 4, 5 etc. It will also be dichotomised as episodic (e.g., at least one gap of \geq 90 days between prescriptions) and continuous (no gap of more less than 90 days) users as appropriate (34,35). Analgesic use will be included in the model as a risk factor together with OA diagnosis (yes/no, primary exposure) to examine the independent risk of each variable (OA and analgesics), as well as the interaction between the two to the development of comorbidity. Dose response relationship will be examined using number of prescriptions during the exposure window examined. The effect of stopping analgesics will also be examined by looking into the patterns of analgesic prescriptions, e.g., stopping analgesics after initial use in year 1 versus continuous use of analgesics afterwards. For the primary analysis (initial prescriptions within year 1), a landmark analysis will be used to minimise the immortal time bias where the follow up will start after 12 months from the index date (36). Participants at risk (i.e., without a specific comorbidity of interest) at the landmark date will be followed up until the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. For secondary analyses, time varying covariate analysis will be used to examine the long-term, episodic/continuous use of analgesics after the index date and interaction between OA and analgesics in the development of the comorbidity. The propensity score matching or the inverse probability weighing methods will be used to adjust for confounding by indication during the follow-up as appropriate. Depending on the country-specific drug use patterns, we may modify this definition to allow for short brakes in between the episodes. Cox-regression model will be used to calculate the HR and 95% CI. We will use flexible parametric models using restricted cubic splines (developed by Lambert, "stpm2" in Stata) to estimate the HRs and differences in time to diagnosis of comorbidities (outcome) with drugs as time-varying to account for non-proportional hazards (37).

Objective 4 – Potential causal pathways between OA and the comorbidities

We will perform a Mendelian Randomisation (MR) phenome-wide association (MR-PheWAS) study(38) to examine the causal relationship between OA, its phenotypes, biomarkers or risk factors and comorbidities using the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam Study database.

 We will use the Rotterdam Study and the UK Biobank jointly for this objective. This is because that the Rotterdam Study is an OA cohort with deep phenotypes and biomarkers of OA, whereas the UK Biobank is a primary cohort for cancer and multiple disease outcomes, and both have detailed genetic variants. We will use two sample MR approach, i.e., to establish an association between OA and genetic variants in the Rotterdam Cohort to identify genetic instrumental variables (IV), e.g., a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with OA (or a deep phenotype, biomarker, or risk factor of OA). We will then undertake the MR-PheWAS analysis to examine the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities in the UK Biobank. The MR method has been widely used in real world data to examine the causal relationship between IV and specific disease, under two assumptions: [1] genetic variants are randomly assigned in the population; and [2] genetic variants can only be the cause not consequence of disease (39). The PheWAS is a series of case control studies to estimate the associations between the IV and multiple disease outcomes (38,40). The combination of the two permits investigation of the causal effects of OA on multiple disease outcomes.

The MR-PheWAS analysis includes three steps. Firstly, we will identify the genetic variants that are associated with OA - IV. Secondly, we will undertake the PheWAS analysis – a series of case control analyses to estimate the associations between the IV and other disease outcomes (38,40), with an adjustment for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) methods (41). Thirdly, we will implement conventional MR analysis to investigate the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities (39). An inverse variance weighted (IVW) method will be used to pool the associations (ORs) as appropriate (42). The MR-Egger regression analysis will be used to count for the pleiotropic effect - the effects of one genetic variant on multiple outcomes (43). The heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) test will be used to exclude the cross-phenotype associations caused by genetic linkage (44).

With the MR-PheWAS study, the OR can be interpreted as causal association. We are primarily interested in the causality from OA to comorbidities. We are also interested in inflammatory (e.g., CRP), metabolic (e.g., gut microbiome) and biomechanics (e.g., BMI) biomarkers and deep phenotypes of OA such as knee, hip, and hand OA with and without symptoms. This will be undertaken if it is feasible within 3 years of this funded project, otherwise will be considered as our future research agenda.

Objective 5 – Variation of OA comorbidity patterns across countries

BMJ Open

We will use meta-analysis (MA) to examine the variation between countries and to pool the data as appropriate. Estimates from first three objectives such as prevalence, incidence, OR, HR and 95% CI for each specific comorbidity across different populations will be distributed in a forest plot. Heterogeneity will be examined using the I² statistic and the Q test (45). Results will be pooled if they are homogenous based on the I² value using the fixed effects model, otherwise the reasons for the heterogeneity will be investigated. Random effects models will be used to pool the results if the reasons for the heterogeneity cannot be identified and if the overall pooling is appropriate. Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis may be used to help identify the reasons for heterogeneity (46). Common clusters and trajectories as well as burdens of comorbidities will also be compared between populations.

Discussion

OA is the most common arthritis and the second most common musculoskeletal (the first being back pain) in older people. However, unlike other RMDs, relatively little is known about comorbidities in OA. OA previously was defined as a "wear and tear" joint-specific degenerative condition, but recent research has found that it is a common complex disorder which may increase the risk of other chronic conditions in other systems. This study will be the largest epidemiological study on comorbidities of OA in primary care.

One of the key advantages of this multinational study is the use of the same protocol to measure the burden of comorbidities in primary care settings in four European countries to ensure reproducibility and comparison. There is scant evidence on the comorbidities in people with OA, and this approach should help to identify the leading and most important associations before and after presenting clinical OA (the index date). Further advantages of this study are the large and representative populations studied and the same/similar extensive list of chronic conditions for identifying comorbidity clusters. Often comorbidities accumulate with age over time and the large primary care databases in this study have the advantage of having long follow-up time which will enable us to detect the incidence of comorbidities. Also, longer follow up would help to identify the picture of the trajectory of the diseases (47). Both the incidence and the trajectories of comorbidity clusters are highlighted as key elements needed in current research in multimorbidity, so findings from this study should help to fill the knowledge gaps on multimorbidity in OA. The relationship between chronic conditions and polypharmacy is a complex area of research. The count of the medications and more importantly the nature of prescribed drugs may be

responsible for developing many new comorbidities in people with OA. We aim to explore the associations of the most commonly prescribed drugs in OA, such as NSAIDs, with the incidence of a wide range of comorbidities, which will be the first time that conditions other than established comorbidities such as psychological conditions and endocrine diseases will be examined. Finally, the causality study will further explore the associations at genetic levels and phenotypes, which will be novel in OA research. Using a two sample MR approach - one for OA deep phenotypes and the other for other chronic conditions maximises the potentials of sample size, disease phenotypes and comorbidity spectrum to better explore the causal pathways between OA and comorbidity.

There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, there are inherent issues in the nature of electronic health records with respect to possible misdiagnosis, ascertainment biases, underor over-recording, and changes in databases due to change in coding structures. Also, the analysis will be restricted to fewer covariates in some databases due to missing information on lifestyle factors such as physical activities and diet. Even though the databases have different durations of data available, if possible we will use a common follow-up time for objective five.

Chronic conditions, especially comorbidities recorded in general practices, depend on multiple factors such as population structure, health care facilities, health policies, and the nature of the national databases. A major strength of this study is that it will include medical records on approximately 27 million people in four European countries. Also, the study will cover the sequence of research questions in comorbidity or multimorbidity starting from the burden through to the causality and variation. Such a research model can be used for other similar multimorbidity studies. The expected results should inform health professionals in primary care settings with respect to management of people with OA and associated comorbidities.

Status of the study

 All the centres have obtained the necessary approvals for using the database in 2020. A consensus has been made on the code mapping exercise. The statistical analysis will be explained in detail in each of the publications. The team is expected to produce results by mid-2021.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Patient Research Participants (PRP) members Jenny Cockshull, Stevie Vanhegan, and Irene Pitsillidou for their involvement since the beginning of the project. We would like to thank the FOREUM for financially supporting the research. The authors would like to acknowledge Keele University's Prognosis and Consultation

BMJ Open

Epidemiology Research Group who have given us permission to utilise the Code Lists (©2014).

Contributor and guarantor information:

WZ, MD, CC, SMA, ME, DA conceived and designed the study. SS, AK, AD, AT, DR and will perform the analysis and CC, WZ, JR and AT will supervise the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Funding: This work was supported by Foundation for Research in Rheumatology (FOREUM) grant (2019-2022), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01103), Governmental funding of clinical research within the national health services (ALF), and The Swedish Rheumatism Association. CM is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) School for Primary Care Research and a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Research Professorship in General Practice (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026)

Role of the funding sources: The sponsors did not participate in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Competing interests: WZ declares serving as an advisory board for Ely Lilly (Ixekizumad, 2020) and Regeneron (Fasinomab, 2020). ME declares serving as an advisory Panel Board Member for Pfizer (Nov 2019, Tanezumab). CM provided advice to BMS on recruiting to a non-pharmacological atrial fibrillation trial. The other authors report no financial competing interests.

Copy right: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJPGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.

Studies involving humans or animals: No direct participant recruitment was done for the study.

> Data sharing statement: We used anonymised data on individual patients on which the analysis, results, and conclusions reported in the paper are based. The used data is not distributable under licence. However, the relevant data can be obtained directly from the respective agencies. The codes developed for the analysis can be available upon a valid request.

, data ek . or the analysis

Reference

- 1. Turkiewicz A, Petersson IF, Björk J, Hawker G, Dahlberg LE, Lohmander LS, et al. Current and future impact of osteoarthritis on health care: a population-based study with projections to year 2032. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Nov;22(11):1826–32.
- 2. Badley EM. The effect of osteoarthritis on disability and health care use in Canada. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1995 Feb;43:19–22.
- 3. McDonough CM, Jette AM. The Contribution of Osteoarthritis to Functional Limitations and Disability. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010 Aug;26(3):387–99.
- 4. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet. 2020 Dec;396(10267):2006–17.
- 5. World Health Organization. Musculoskeletal conditions [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions
- Swain S, Sarmanova A, Coupland C, Doherty M, Zhang W. Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. 2019 Jun 17 [cited 2019 Jun 30]; Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/acr.24008
- 7. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Hunter DJ. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities. PM R. 2012 May;4(5 Suppl):S10-19.
- Hall AJ, Stubbs B, Mamas MA, Myint PK, Smith TO. Association between osteoarthritis and cardiovascular disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23(9):938–46.
- 9. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016 Mar 1;45(2):228–35.
- 10. Ricci-Cabello I, Stevens S, Kontopantelis E, Dalton ARH, Griffiths RI, Campbell JL, et al. Impact of the Prevalence of Concordant and Discordant Conditions on the Quality of Diabetes Care in Family Practices in England. Ann Fam Med. 2015 Nov 1;13(6):514–22.
- 11. Calderón-Larrañaga A, Poblador-Plou B, González-Rubio F, Gimeno-Feliu LA, Abad-Díez JM, Prados-Torres A. Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, referrals, and adverse drug events: Are we doing things well? Br J Gen Pract. 2012;62(605):e821–6.
- 12. Jüni P, Nartey L, Reichenbach S, Sterchi R, Dieppe PA, Egger M. Risk of cardiovascular events and rofecoxib: cumulative meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2004 Dec;364(9450):2021–9.
- 13. Castellsague J, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, Varas-Lorenzo C, Fourrier-Reglat A, Nicotra F, et al. Individual NSAIDs and Upper Gastrointestinal Complications: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies (the SOS Project). Drug Saf. 2012 Dec;35(12):1127–46.
- 14. Lowe D.B., Taylor M.J., Hill S.J. Cross-sectional examination of musculoskeletal conditions and multimorbidity: influence of different thresholds and definitions on prevalence and association estimates. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):51.
- 15. Alaeddini A., Jaramillo C.A., Faruqui S.H.A., Pugh M.J. Mining Major Transitions of Chronic Conditions in Patients with Multiple Chronic Conditions. Methods Inf Med. 2017;56(5):391–400.

- John R, Kerby DS, Hagan Hennessy C. Patterns and Impact of Comorbidity and Multimorbidity Among Community-Resident American Indian Elders. The Gerontologist. 2003 Oct 1;43(5):649– 60.
- 17. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLOS Med. 2015 Mar 31;12(3):e1001779.
- Engelhart MJ, Geerlings MI, Meijer J, Kiliaan A, Ruitenberg A, van Swieten JC, et al. Inflammatory Proteins in Plasma and the Risk of Dementia: The Rotterdam Study. Arch Neurol. 2004 May 1;61(5):668.
- Quality and Outcome Framework (QOF) [Internet]. [cited 2018 Mar 21]. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/article/8910/Quality-and-Outcome-Framework-QOF-Indicators-No-Longer-In-QOF-INLIQ-Enhanced-Services-ES-Vaccinations-and-Immunisations-V-I-and-GMS-Core-Contract-CC-extraction-specifications-business-rules-
- 20. Medicare C for, Baltimore MS 7500 SB, Usa M. CC_Main [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Oct 24]. Available from: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/Chronic-Conditions/CC_Main.html
- James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018 Nov;392(10159):1789–858.
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987 Jan 1;40(5):373–83.
- Sarmanova A, Fernandes GS, Richardson H, Valdes AM, Walsh DA, Zhang W, et al. Contribution of central and peripheral risk factors to prevalence, incidence and progression of knee pain: a community-based cohort study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018 Nov;26(11):1461–73.
- 24. Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol. 1994 Nov 1;47(11):1245–51.
- 25. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, Fushimi K, Graham P, Hider P, et al. Updating and Validating the Charlson Comorbidity Index and Score for Risk Adjustment in Hospital Discharge Abstracts Using Data From 6 Countries. Am J Epidemiol. 2011 Mar 15;173(6):676–82.
- 26. van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, Quan H, Forster AJ. A Modification of the Elixhauser Comorbidity Measures Into a Point System for Hospital Death Using Administrative Data: Med Care. 2009 Jun;47(6):626–33.
- 27. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. Med Care. 1998 Jan;36(1):8–27.
- 28. Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Comorbidities in patients with gout prior to and following diagnosis: case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014 Nov 14;annrheumdis-2014-206410.
- 29. Swain S, Coupland C, Mallen C, Kuo CF, Sarmanova A, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al. Temporal relationship between osteoarthritis and comorbidities: a combined case control and cohort study in the UK primary care setting. Rheumatology. 2021 Mar 1;keab067.

3
4
5
•
6
7
8
9
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
50
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
42 43
44
45
46
47
48
49
49 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

- Collins LM, Lanza ST. Latent Class and Latent Transition Analysis [Internet]. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2009 [cited 2020 Apr 5]. (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics). Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9780470567333
- 31. Proust-Lima C, Séne M, Taylor JM, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Joint latent class models for longitudinal and time-to-event data: A review. Stat Methods Med Res. 2014 Feb;23(1):74–90.
- 32. Vincent P, Larochelle H, Lajoie I, Bengio Y, Manzagol P-A. Stacked Denoising Autoencoders: Learning Useful Representations in a Deep Network with a Local Denoising Criterion. J Mach Learn Res. 2010 Dec;11:3371–408.
- 33. Zeng C, Zhang W, Doherty M, Persson MSM, Mallen C, Swain S, et al. Initial analgesic prescriptions for osteoarthritis in the United Kingdom, 2000–2016. Rheumatology. 2021 Jan 5;60(1):147–59.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. BMJ. 2010 May 20;340(may19 4):c2197–c2197.
- 35. Sarmanova A, Doherty M, Kuo C, Wei J, Abhishek A, Mallen C, et al. Statin use and risk of joint replacement due to osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a propensity-score matched longitudinal cohort study. Rheumatology. 2020 Oct 1;59(10):2898–907.
- 36. Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Effect of allopurinol on all-cause mortality in adults with incident gout: propensity score–matched landmark analysis. Rheumatology. 2015 Jul 12;kev246.
- 37. Royston P, Lambert PC. Flexible parametric survival analysis using Stata: beyond the Cox model. College Station, TX: Stata Press; 2011. 347 p.
- 38. Millard LAC, Davies NM, Timpson NJ, Tilling K, Flach PA, Smith GD. MR-PheWAS: hypothesis prioritization among potential causal effects of body mass index on many outcomes, using Mendelian randomization. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2020 Jan 26];5(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep16645
- 39. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. BMJ. 2018 Jul 12;k601.
- 40. Li X, Meng X, Spiliopoulou A, Timofeeva M, Wei W-Q, Gifford A, et al. MR-PheWAS: exploring the causal effect of SUA level on multiple disease outcomes by using genetic instruments in UK Biobank. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018 Jul;77(7):1039–47.
- 41. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The Control of the False Discovery Rate in Multiple Testing under Dependency. Ann Stat. 2001;29(4):1165–88.
- 42. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian Randomization Analysis With Multiple Genetic Variants Using Summarized Data: Mendelian Randomization Using Summarized Data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013 Nov;37(7):658–65.
- 43. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016 May;40(4):304–14.

- 44. Zhu Z, Zhang F, Hu H, Bakshi A, Robinson MR, Powell JE, et al. Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. Nat Genet. 2016 May;48(5):481–7.
- 45. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002 Jun 15;21(11):1539–58.
- 46. Stewart LA, Tierney JF. To IPD or not to IPD? Advantages and disadvantages of systematic reviews using individual patient data. Eval Health Prof. 2002 Mar;25(1):76–97.
- 47. Vetrano DL, Roso-Llorach A, Fernández S, Guisado-Clavero M, Violán C, Onder G, et al. Twelve-year clinical trajectories of multimorbidity in a population of older adults. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2020 Aug 12];11(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-16780-x

or oper terror on the second

 BMJ Open Figure 1. Overview of the study design and statistical analysis plan for the Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO) study.

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4
Study Design	Case-control and Cohort	Clustering and longitudinal	Cohort 202 N.	Genomic association
Exposure	OA	OA	Analgesics (NSAIDS, Opioids, paracetamol)	OA
Outcome	Comorbidities	Clusters of comorbidities	Comorbidities	Comorbidities
Statistical methods	Conditional logistic regression, Cox regression	Latent class analysis, Latent class growth analysis Joint latent class analysis	Cox regression Time varying analysis Flexible parametric method	Mendelian randomisation
Reported Outcome	Odds Ratio and Hazard Ratio	Clusters and groups	Hazard Ratio	Coefficients
Participating centres	ALL	ALL	ALL	UK and Netherlands

Objective 5

Meta Analysis

pril 18, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

OA- osteoarthritis; NSAIDs- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
-	
/	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
	2
1	2
1	
	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	
	4
2	5
	6
	7
2	8
2	9
	0
	1
3	
3	3
3	4
	5
	6
	7
3	
3	9
4	0
4	
4	
4	
	-
4	
4	
4	
4	7
	/
4	8
4 4	8 9
4 4 5	8 9 0
4 4	8 9 0
4 4 5	8 9 0 1
4 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2
4 5 5 5 5	8 9 1 2 3
4 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2 3 4
4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 1 2 3 4 5
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

1 2

Table 1. Characteristics of the included databases
--

	Netherlands	Spain	Sweden	UK
	·	Objectives 1-3	·	·
Name of the database	Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI)	The Spanish Sistema information del Deveolpment de l'Investigació a Atenció Primària (SIDIAP)	Skåne Healthcare Register	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)
Settings	Routinely collected primary care database	Routinely collected primary care data	Swedish healthcare in Skane region, primary, specialist and in-patient care	Routinely collected primary care database with linkage database
Size and Coverage	2.2 million (Randomly distributed over the country)	6.5 million (> 85% of total Catalan region)	1.3 million (all residents of the Skane region)	17 million (country-wide, nearly 740 practices)
Start year	1998- (Better coding after 2000)	2006	1998	1993-
Age group	All	All	All	All
Gender	All	All	All	All
Coding system	ICPC	ICD 10	ICD 10	Read codes and ICD 10
Drug prescribed by	GP	GP	GP	GP
Death record (Either date of death and/or cause)	Both date and cause	Only date	Both date and cause (until year 2015)	Only date
Covariates/ additional variables	NA	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Social class, cholesterol, and other biomarkers	Education, Income, profession, and sick leave, residential area, region of birth	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Deprivation index, Ethnic group

 Image: Image:

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, Q	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28 29 30	
29	
30	
31	
32 33	
32 33	
34	
35	
34 35 36 37 38 39	
20	
37	
38	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55 54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	

59 60

Table 2. Database for the mendelian randomisation

Name of the database	Rotterdam Cohort Study	UK Biobank
Population coverage	15000	500,000
Age group	>=40 years	40-69 years
Start year -till now	1989-onwards	2010-
Types of data	Radiographic data, joint pain, joint stiffness, of hip, knee, and hand, GWAS, biochemical markers	Genetic and phenotypes

GWAS- Genome Wide Association Studies

rion Str

T 11 2	T' (C 1 ·	1.4.	C	1 / 1
Table 3.	List of chronic	conditions	across tour	databases

Sl no	Conditions	ICD 10	ICPC	Read Code
1	Anaemia (All types)	D50-D64	B78, B80, B81, B82	D00, D01
2	Ankylosing spondylitis	M45.9	NA	N10
3	Anxiety disorder	F41.0-F41.9	P74, P74.01, P74.02	E200, Eu41
4	Asthma	J450-J45.9, J46.9	R96	66Y, H33
5	Benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH)	N40.9	Y85	K20, K21, K22
6	Cardiac arrhythmias (Atrial Fibrillation)	147.0-149.9	K78	Gyu , G573
7	Cataract	H25.0-H25.9, H26.1-H26.9	F92	F46,
8	Chronic Back pain	M47-M48, M51-M54, M99, G54.4	L02, L03, L86	N12, N14,
9	Chronic kidney disease (any cause)	N02.0-N8.8, N11.0-N11.9, N12.9, N15.0-N18.9, N19.9	U99.01	1Z1, K01, K02
10	Chronic neck pain	M54.2	L83	Nyu, N11, N12, N14
11	Chronic sinusitis	J32	R75	Н13
12	Chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases	J41.0-J41.8, J42.9, J43.0-J43.9, J44.0-J44.9	R91, R95	Н3
13	Coronary Heart Disease (Including Acute Myocardial infarction, Valvular disease, Angina),	120.0-125.0, 134.0-137.0	K74-K76	G11, G30, G31 G38
14	Dementia	F00.0-F00.9, F01.0-F03.9, G30.0-G30.9, G31.0-G31.9	P70-P70.02	E00, Eu0, F11
15	Depression	F32.0-F33.9	P76	F11 Eu, E11
16	Diabetes mellitus	E10.0-E14.9	T90, F83.01	C10, F32,
17	Dyslipidaemia (Hyper)	E78.1	T93	C32
18	Eating disorders (Both)	· / .		Eu5, R03
19	Eczema/ Skin disease	L20.0-L22.9, L26.9	S74, S87, S88	M11
20	Epilepsy	G40.0-G41.9	N88	F25
21	Fatigue	F48.0	A04.11	F286
22 23	Fibromyalgia Gall bladder stone	M79.7 K80.0-K80.8	L18.01 D98-D98.03	N248, N239 781, J65,
24	GERD (Gastritis, Oesophageal	K21	D840	4G2, J12, J13,
25	bleeding, duodenitis, peptic ulcer)			J15
25	Gastrointestinal bleeding	K25.0-K28.9	D84-D87	J11,
26 27	Gout Hearing impairment (All types)	M10.0-M10.9 H90.0-H91.9	T92 H83-H86	C34, N023 F59, ZE87
27	Heart Failure	150.0-150.9	K77-K77.02	G58,
28	Hepatitis	K73.0-K73.9	D72-D72.05	J61, J63
30	HIV/AIDS	B20-B24	B90	A788, A789 AyuC
31	Hypertension	I10.9, I11.0-I13.9, I15.0-I15.9	K86-K87, F83.02	G20, G24, G25, G26
32	Hyperthyroidism	Е05.0-Е05.9	T85	C02
33	Hypothyroidism	E02.9, E03.0-E03.9	T86	C03, C04
34	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)	K50.0-K52.9	D94-D94.02	J4,
35	Irritable Bowel Symptoms (IBS)	K58.1-K58.8		J52
36	Leukaemia, Lymphoma	C81.0-C86.6, C91.0-C96.9	B72-B73	B60, B61, B64
37	Liver Cirrhosis	K70.0-K71.9, K74.0-K74.6	D97	J615
38	Migraine	G43.0-G43.9	N89	F26,
39	Multiple sclerosis	G35.9	N86	F20,
40	Osteoarthritis	M16.0-M16.9, M17.0-M17.9	L89-L91	N05,
41	Osteoporosis	M80.0-M82.9	L95	N33,

1
2
3
4 5
5 6 7 8 9
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 15
15
10
10
17
15 16 17 18 19
10
20
21
∠ I 22
22
23
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
22
33
34 35
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
50
57
58
59

60

		1		1
42	Other blood vessel disease (Raynaud's disease, Burger's disease)	173.0-173.9	К92	G73,
43	Parkinson's disease	G20.9	N87-N87.01	F12,
44	Peripheral vascular disease (Atherosclerosis)	170.0-170.9	K91	G70, G71 , G72
45	Polymyalgia	M35.3	L99.12	N20.11
46	Psoriasis	L40.0-L41.9	S91	M161
47	Psoriatic arthritis	M07.0-M07.3	L99.13	M160
48	Rheumatoid Arthritis	M05.0-M05.9	L88, K71	N04
49	Renal stones	N20.0	U95	4G4, 7B07, KB12
50	Schizophrenia and/or psychosis	F20.0-F20.9, F25.0- F25.9	P72	E10
51	Severe allergy			H17, SN5
52	Sjögren's syndrome	M35.0	NA	N002
53	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus	M32.0, M32.1, M32.8, M32.9	NA	N000
54	Sleep disorder (Insomnia)	F51.0	P06	Fy0, 1B1B
55	Solid malignancy	C00.0-C80.9, D00.0-D09.9, C97.9	A29, A79, B74 – Y78	B0 B67 , Byu
56	Stroke	G45.0-G46.8, I60.0-I63.9, I65.0-166.9, I69.0-I69.4	K89-K90.02	G60 G68, F22
57	Substance abuse/ Drug addiction	F10.0-F19.9	P18, P19	E24, Eu1
58	Thrombotic diseases	174.0-174.9	K93, K94, W99.03	G80, G81, G74
59	Tuberculosis	A15.0-A16.9, B90.9	A70, R70	A1, A11
60	Vertigo	H81.4	N17-N17.02, H82-H82.03	R004, F561
61	Vision problem (Glaucoma and other)	H27.0-H27.9, H40.0-H40.9, H42.0-H42.8	F93, F94	F45, F49 ,
				A 11 /1 1

ICD- International Classification of Diseases; ICPC- International classification in primary care. All the codes are the primary code initials used in the database.

Table 4. Group of conditions/Outcome

Group	Conditions
Cardiovascular	Cardiac arrhythmias, Coronary Heart Disease (including AMI, valvular disease, angina), Heart failure, Hypertension, Peripheral vascular disease (claudication, Raynaud syndrome, Buerger's disease), Other blood vessel disease (atherosclerosis and aneurysm), Thrombotic diseases
Gastrointestinal	GERD (Esophageal diseases, gastritis, duodenitis), GI bleeding, Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)
Musculoskeletal	Ankylosing spondylitis, Chronic Back pain, Chronic Neck pain, Fibromyalgia, Polymyalgia, Gout, Osteoporosis, Psoriatic arthritis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren's syndrome, Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)
Endocrine	Diabetes mellitus, Dyslipidemia (hyper), Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism
Neurological	Dementia, Epilepsy, Fatigue, Migraine, Multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Stroke
Psychological	Anxiety, Depression, Eating disorders (Anorexia / Bulimia nervosa), Schizophrenia, Sleep disorder (insomnia),
Kidney disease	Chronic kidney disease (any cause), Renal stones
Liver diseases	Gall bladder stone, Hepatitis, Liver cirrhosis
Respiratory	Asthma, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
Cancer	Leukemia, Lymphoma, Solid Malignancy (any type)
Others	Anemia (all types), Benign prostate hypertrophy (BPH), Cataract, Chronic sinusitis, Eczema/Skin disease, Hearing impairment (all types), Psoriasis, Severe allergy (anaphylactic shock), angioneurotic oedema
	0

______, i sond

BMJ Open

Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European countries

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-052816.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Jan-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Swain, Subhashisa; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology Kamps, Anne; Erasmus Medical Center Department of Reumatology, Department of General Practice Runhaar, Jos; Erasmus Medical Center Department of Reumatology, Department of General Practice Dell'Isola, Andrea; Lunds University Faculty of Medicine, Turkiewicz, Aleksandra; Lund University Faculty of Medicine, Dept of Orthopedics Robinson, Danielle ; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Strauss, V; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Strauss, V; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Mallen, Christian; Keele University, Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre Kuo, Chang-Fu; Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Branch, Coupland, Carol; University of Nottingham, Division of Primary Care Doherty, Michael ; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Academic Rheumatology Sarmanova, Aliya ; University of Bristol, Prieto-Alhambra, Daniel; University of Oxford, Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS Englund, Martin; Lund University, Dept of Orthopedics Bierma-Zeinstra, Sita; Erasmus University Medical Centre, Department of General Practice Zhang, Weiya; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Academic Rheumatology
Primary Subject Heading :	Rheumatology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Health services research, Public health, Pharmacology and therapeutics, Rheumatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Musculoskeletal disorders < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, RHEUMATOLOGY

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
2 3 4	1	Title- Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European
5	2	countries
6 7	3	Authors
8 9	4	Subhashisa Swain ^{1,10} , Anne Kamps ² , Jos Runhaar ² , Andrea Dell 'Isola ³ , Aleksandra
10 11	5	Turkiewicz ³ , Danielle Robinson ⁴ , Victoria Y Strauss ⁴ , Christian Mallen ⁵ , Chang Fu Kuo ^{1,6} ,
12	6	Carol Coupland ⁷ , Michael Doherty ^{1,10} , Aliya Sarmanova ⁸ , Daniel Prieto Alhambra ⁴ , Martin
13 14	7	Englund ³ , Sita Bierma-Zeinstra ⁹ , Weiya Zhang ^{1,10}
15 16	8	
17	9	1. Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK
18 19	10	2. Department of General Practice, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam,
20 21	11	The Netherlands
22 23	12	3. Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Orthopaedics, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund,
24	13	Lund University, Sweden
25 26	14	4. Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS, University of Oxford, UK
27 28	15	5. School of Medicine, Keele University, UK
29 30	16	6. Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Chang Gung Memorial
31	17	Hospital, Taiwan.
32 33	18	7. Division of Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK
34 35	19	8. Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Bristol Medical School, Translational Health
36 37	20	Sciences, University of Bristol, UK
38	21	9. Department of General Practice, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery & Sports
39 40	22	Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands
41 42	23	10. Pain Centre Versus Arthritis, University of Nottingham, UK
43 44	24	Corresponding Author:
45	25	Professor Weiya Zhang
46 47	26	Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine
48 49	27	University of Nottingham
50 51	28	Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham city Hospital
52	29	NG5 1PB, Nottingham, UK
53 54	30	Email: weiya.zhang@nottingham.ac.uk
55 56	31	
57 58	32	
59	33	
60	55	

2		
3 4	34	Abstract
5 6 7 8	35	Introduction
	36	Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading chronic conditions in the older population. People
9	37	with OA are more likely to have one or more other chronic conditions than those without.
10 11	38	However, the temporal associations, clusters of the comorbidities, role of analgesics and the
12 13	39	causality and variation between populations are yet to be investigated. This paper describes
14	40	the protocol of a multinational study in four European countries (UK, Netherlands, Sweden,
15 16	41	and Spain) exploring comorbidities in people with OA.
17 18	42	Methods and analysis
19 20	43	This multinational study will investigate i) the temporal associations of 61 identified
21	44	comorbidities with OA, ii) the clusters and trajectories of comorbidities in people with OA,
22 23	45	iii) the role of analgesics on incidence of comorbidities in people with OA, iv) the potential
24 25	46	biomarkers and causality between OA and the comorbidities, and v) variations between
26	47	countries.
27 28	48	A combined case-control and cohort study will be conducted to find the temporal association
29 30	49	of OA with the comorbidities using the national or regional health databases. Latent class
31 32	50	analysis will be performed to identify the clusters at baseline and joint latent class analysis
33	51	will be used to examine trajectories during the follow-up. A cohort study will be undertaken
34 35	52	to evaluate the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, and
36 37	53	paracetamol on the incidence of comorbidities. Mendelian randomisation will be performed
38	54	to investigate the potential biomarkers for causality between OA and the comorbidities using
39 40	55	the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam Study databases. Finally, a meta-analyses will be used to
41 42	56	examine the variations and pool the results from different countries.
43 44	57	Ethics and Dissemination
45	58	Research ethics was obtained according to each database requirement. Results will be
46 47	59	disseminated through the FOREUM website, scientific meetings, publications, and in
48 49	60	partnership with patient organisations.
50 51	61	
52	62	Key words: Osteoarthritis; Comorbidity; Multimorbidity; Primary care; Analgesics,
53 54	63	Mendelian Randomisation, PheWAS
55 56	64	
57	65	
58 59	66	
60		

3 4	67	Strengths and limitations of this study
5 6	68	
7 8	69	• This is first ever multicenter study on comorbidities in osteoarthritis in Europe
9 10	70	involving nearly 27 million electronic health records
11	71	• More than 60 chronic conditions are being studied – representing a wider coverage of
12 13	72	diseases
14 15	73	• We will examine the causal association using Mendelian Randomization -PheWAS
16 17	74	methods with genetic data collected from two countries
18	75	• Same protocol with robust statistical methods will be used across all countries to
19 20	76	replicate the findings and examine the variations.
21 22	77	• Possible biases may be introduced by the nature of electronic health records and
23 24	78	length of data availability.
25	79	length of data availability.
26 27		
28 29	80	
30 31		
32		
33 34		
35 36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57 58		
59 60		
00		

BMJ Open

2 3	81	Background
4 5	82	Osteoarthritis (OA) affects 27% of people aged over 45 years at peripheral synovial joints
6 7	83	such as knees, hips, hands and feet (1). It is by far the most common form of arthritis, and a
8	84	leading cause of chronic joint pain and disability in older people (2,3). It is anticipated that
9 10	85	the burden of OA will continue to rise in the coming decades because of population ageing
11 12	86	and the increasing obesity prevalence – two major risk factors for OA (4,5). Co-occurrence of
13	87	multiple chronic conditions in an individual with ageing is becoming a norm and OA is not
14 15	88	an exception to this.
16 17	89	A recent systematic review has confirmed that people with OA are more likely to have other
18 19	90	diseases, especially stroke, peptic ulcer, hypertension, and depression (6). Vast majority of
20	90 91	these studies focused on additional presence (comorbidity) of cardiovascular and
21 22	92	musculoskeletal conditions only (7–9). Whether these comorbidities just co-exist with OA,
23 24	92 93	share common risk factors with OA, or are causes or consequences of OA remains largely
25		unknown. There was also reporting of wide heterogeneity in definitions of OA and other
26 27	94 05	
28 29	95	chronic conditions, diagnosis and recording of diseases, sample sizes, and number of diseases
30 31	96	studied in previously published studies included in the review (6). This diversity made the
32	97	comparison and pooled estimation of comorbidity prevalence difficult.
33 34	98	Comorbidity in OA can occur due to multiple factors. Various hypotheses have been used to
35 36	99	explain the existence of comorbidities in general, the most accepted of which are the
37	100	concordant (diseases sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) and discordant
38 39	101	(diseases not sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) theories (10). Additionally,
40 41	102	prescription of drugs is also reported to be associated with comorbidity and multimorbidity
42	103	(11). Especially in people with OA, the prescription of analgesics is common, and is
43 44	104	associated with increased risk of other conditions such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and
45 46	105	chronic renal diseases (12,13). Also, having multiple chronic conditions increases the chances
47	106	of polypharmacy which further escalates the risk of other conditions.
48 49	107	OA is one of the leading conditions reported in multimorbidity research. Exploring the
50 51	108	association of OA with other diseases would help in further explaining the burden and pattern
52	109	of the comorbidity (14). However, the major issue in OA comorbidity research is the low
53 54	110	number and specific types of conditions studied (15). Therefore, it is important to develop a
55 56	111	consensus on both the count and typology of conditions to be studied to enable comparisons
57 58	112	across populations and to derive pooled estimates as appropriate. Further, using uniform
59		
60		

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	113	methods and definitions of diseases in computing these estimates would reduce heterogeneity
	114	and make the comparison more reliable.
	115	Understanding the temporal association with comorbidity and disease trajectory is crucial for
	116	any chronic condition, and this is possible through studies using longitudinal databases (16).
10 11	117	However, one of the limitations of using observational data is that causal associations are
12 13 14	118	difficult to establish, due to the interference of known and unknown confounders. In this
	119	study we have used the more recently developed method 'Mendelian Randomization', that
15 16	120	can determine causal estimates through combining the use of genetic data and instrumental
17 18 19	121	variable methods."
	122	The burden of diseases in primary care often depends on the population structure, health
20 21	123	infrastructure and individual factors such as income and education. Such factors vary
22 23	124	between countries, because of the heterogeneity mentioned above there are no robust data
24	125	comparing OA and its comorbidities between countries. Therefore, the aims of this study
25 26	126	were to explore the burden, pattern, and causal factors of comorbidities in people with OA
27 28	127	across four European countries using national registration databases in the UK, the
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 54 55 56 57 58 50	128	Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain.
	129	
	130	Objectives
	131	1. To estimate the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA
	132	2. To examine the clusters of comorbidities and trajectories of clusters in OA and
	133	associations with death
	134	3. To investigate the associations between commonly used OA drugs, such as non-
	135	steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs) and opioids, and risk of comorbidities
	136	4. To identify the potential biomarkers and causal pathways between OA and the
	137	comorbidities
	138	5. To examine the variations of OA comorbidities and clusters across countries.
	139	
	140	Methods
	141	Databases
	142	Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweden and
	143	Spain) health databases will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic
	144	associations of OA with comorbidities will be examined using two cohort studies from the
	145	UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18). The four national
	146	representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary

Page 7 of 38

1

BMJ Open

2		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	147	care consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information
	148	about the diagnosis of the diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in
	149	secondary care, prescription of drugs, deaths, and other health utilisation indicators. The
	150	details of the databases and their properties are given in Table 1 and 2.
	151 152 153 154	<i>Participants</i> People registered with the respective databases aged 18 year or above are eligible for the study.
14 15	155	Patient public involvement (PPI): Three PPI representatives (with OA and all with multiple
16 17 18	156	chronic conditions) were involved in this study through group meetings. Difficulties of living
	157	with multiple conditions, lack of research in causal relationship and identification of diseases
19 20	158	to be studied and the role of drugs in comorbidity were discussed. They are constantly in
21 22	159	touch through providing their inputs at each step of the study.
23 24	160	Definition of Osteoarthritis
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	161	OA will be defined as having at least one recorded physician diagnosis of OA for hip, knee,
	162	ankle/foot, wrist/hand, or site recorded as 'unspecified' during the study period for the
	163	respective database. People with any previous recording of the OA prior to the start date of
	164	the study will be excluded.
	101	
	165 166	<i>Comorbidities</i> We defined comorbidity as the recording of diagnosis of predefined chronic conditions in
	167	individuals using either ICD-10 or Read or international classification of primary care (ICPC)
37 38	168	code. An extensive list of 61 chronic conditions was prepared from the Quality Outcome
39 40	169	Framework (QOF) (19), list of the US Department of Health and Human Services Initiative
41	170	on Multiple Chronic Conditions (20), global burden of diseases (21) and the Charlson
42 43	171	comorbidity index (22). The list has been updated with findings from our systematic review
44 45	172	(6) and a previous UK community-based knee pain study (6,23) by including common and
46	173	important morbidities not included in the above (24,25). A code mapping exercise was
47 48 49 50	174	conducted to finalise the list of conditions available for all the research centres. The
	175	comparison of codes was made, and it was reviewed by four researchers including a clinician
51 52	176	from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 3.
53	170	
54 55 56	177 178	<i>Covariates</i> Age and sex will be used in all centres as covariates to adjust in regression models.
57	179	Additionally, information on body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic
58 59 60	180	variables such as education level, income, place of birth (to identify those who immigrated to

BMJ Open

Page 8 of 38

the country), and residential area, marriage (or registered partner) will be included when
available. For calculating severity of the comorbidities in an individual, Elixhauser
comorbidity index will be used to estimate the impact of comorbidities on death (26,27).
Missing data on covariates will be substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided

10 185 that the data is missing at random, if applicable.

¹² 13 186 *Data Harmonisation:*

Firstly, we carried out a code mapping exercise for identification of people with osteoarthritis (OA) and other comorbidities. We developed a list of chronic conditions and each centres shared the list of codes to be used for the conditions, such as Read code in CPRD (UK), ICPC2 (Rotterdam) and ICD-10 for Lund and Spanish as per their database. The code lists were compared and edited to maintain the uniformity. The list was screened by verified by two researchers and two GPs. We also used unform definition for inclusion of condition e.g. at least one recording of the chronic conditions. Because all the centres did not have all the listed comorbidities, a minimum number of chronic conditions and covariates common in all the database were identified to be studied. Similarly, we decided to have a minimum follow-up study of 5 years and centres with more registration period can use the entire length of data available.

34 35 198 Study design and data analysis

Summary of the study design and analysis is provided in Figure 1. All the centres plan to use
 same statistical analysis plan to investigate each objective.

41 201 Ethics and Dissemination:

The study has obtained the following ethics approvals: UK- Independent Scientific Advisory Council (ISAC) 19/30R, The Netherlands- The Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI) registration no. 11/2019, Spain - the Information System for the Development of Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP), 4R19/011, Sweden – Ethical Review Authority, Skåne Healthcare Register, 'Dnr 2011-432, Dnr 2014-276, and Dnr 2018-233. The registry databases are made available anonymised for the research purposes.

53 208 54 200

Objective 1 – Prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA

210
 211 A combined retrospective and prospective study of OA cases and sex, age (+/-2 years), first
 212 year of registration, and practice matched controls (1:1-4) without OA (28) will be used to

60 213 determine the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA. Incident OA

Page 9 of 38

BMJ Open

cases will be identified, and the first diagnosis date will be used as the starting point (index date). For controls the same index date as their matched case will be used. They will be both retrospectively reviewed for prior diagnoses of comorbidities and prospectively followed-up for posterior new comorbidities. In the retrospective analysis the prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of each specific comorbidity will be calculated separately in OA cases and matched controls using the number of people diagnosed with the comorbidity divided by the total number of OA cases or controls at the index date. The prevalence of each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls will be calculated for given time intervals prior to the index date of 0-1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to assess observational bias (28). Discrete time intervals of 1-5, and 5-10 years before will also be used to estimate the prevalence to minimise consultation bias/misclassification bias of OA (if possible). Logistic regression will be used to calculate the odds ratios (OR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. For the prospective analysis participants with incident OA but without the specific comorbidity of interest at the index date (i.e., people at risk) and matched controls without OA will be followed up until the date of the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. The cumulative incidence will be calculated for each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 years (based on the data available) after the index date to examine the dynamic change of developing comorbidities during follow-up. Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be used to display the cumulative probability in OA and non-OA groups. Proportional hazard assumption will be tested using Schoenfeld residual plots. The Cox regression model will be used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for age, sex, practice, BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. This hybrid design has been previously used by us to examine the temporality of associations between other rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) (e.g., gout and lupus) and comorbidities (28,29).

Objective 2 – Clusters and impact of comorbidities in people with OA

For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into the training and testing data will be introduced. The following analysis in objective 2 will be first employed into the training dataset and then tested its generalisability in the testing dataset. At baseline, clusters of people based on 61 comorbidities will be identified using Latent class (i.e., Gaussian mixture models algorithms of cluster) analysis (30). For each model, we will examine the association between clusters and covariates using multinomial logistic regressions. The distinctness of clusters will be

examined by comparing covariates among clusters. The optimal model is the one where most clusters found in the training data are also identified independently in the testing data and clusters have most distinct patients' characteristics. We will then use both latent trajectory analysis, such as joint latent class models (31), and unsupervised machine learning approach, such as deep autoencoder or recurrent neural networks (32), to identify distinct clusters of new comorbidity numbers development over time and their association with mortality with adjustment for baseline covariates.

Objective 3 - Association between analgesics and incident comorbidities

A cohort study will be undertaken for this objective to evaluate the contribution of common analgesics for OA to the development of comorbidity such as NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol. We are interested in the interaction between OA and use of drugs on the incidence of comorbidities, i.e. to evaluate if the drug use in persons with OA poses increased or decreased risk of comorbidities compared to persons without OA and/or analgesics. Individual comorbidity, as well as clusters of comorbidities identified from Objective 2 will be examined as outcomes. The 61 comorbidities in our study will be further categorised into eight groups, specifically: musculoskeletal (MSK), respiratory, neurodegenerative, psychological/psychiatric, cancer, cardiovascular, metabolic, renal problem, liver diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) and others. (Table 4) The prospective cohort established from Objective 1 will form the source population for this objective. Individuals with incident OA will be identified from the database and the first diagnosis date will be used as index date for follow-up. Individuals without OA during the study period will be selected and matched with cases by age, sex, and practice. The same index date will be given from their matched OA cases. Individuals with analgesics prescriptions prior to the index date will be excluded (or recorded as a confounding factor to be adjusted as appropriate). Only analgesic prescriptions after the index date will be considered for this analysis. Prescriptions will be quantified as number of prescriptions within year 1 (initial use, primary analysis) (33), 2, 3, 4, 5 etc. It will also be dichotomised as episodic (e.g., at least one gap of ≥ 90 days between prescriptions) and continuous (no gap of more less than 90 days) users as appropriate (34,35). Analgesic use will be included in the model as a risk factor together with OA diagnosis (yes/no, primary exposure) to examine the independent risk of each variable (OA and analgesics), as well as the interaction between the two to the development of comorbidity. Dose response relationship will be examined using number of prescriptions during the exposure window examined. The effect of stopping analgesics will also be examined by looking into the

Page 11 of 38

BMJ Open

patterns of analgesic prescriptions, e.g., stopping analgesics after initial use in year 1 versus continuous use of analgesics afterwards. For the primary analysis (initial prescriptions within year 1), a landmark analysis will be used to minimise the immortal time bias where the follow up will start after 12 months from the index date (36). Participants at risk (i.e., without a specific comorbidity of interest) at the landmark date will be followed up until the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. For secondary analyses, time varying covariate analysis will be used to examine the long-term, episodic/continuous use of analgesics after the index date and interaction between OA and analgesics in the development of the comorbidity. The propensity score matching or the inverse probability weighing methods will be used to adjust for confounding by indication during the follow-up as appropriate. Depending on the country-specific drug use patterns, we may modify this definition to allow for short brakes in between the episodes. Cox-regression model will be used to calculate the HR and 95% CI. We will use flexible parametric models using restricted cubic splines (developed by Lambert, "stpm2" in Stata) to estimate the HRs and differences in time to diagnosis of comorbidities (outcome) with drugs as time-varying to account for non-proportional hazards (37).

Objective 4 – Potential causal pathways between OA and the comorbidities

We will perform a Mendelian Randomisation (MR) phenome-wide association (MRPheWAS) study(38) to examine the causal relationship between OA, its phenotypes,
biomarkers or risk factors and comorbidities using the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam Study
database.

We will use the Rotterdam Study and the UK Biobank jointly for this objective. This is because that the Rotterdam Study is an OA cohort with deep phenotypes and biomarkers of OA, whereas the UK Biobank is a primary cohort for cancer and multiple disease outcomes, and both have detailed genetic variants. We will use two sample MR approach, i.e., to establish an association between OA and genetic variants in the Rotterdam Cohort to identify genetic instrumental variables (IV), e.g., a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with OA (or a deep phenotype, biomarker, or risk factor of OA). We will then undertake the MR-PheWAS analysis to examine the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities in the UK Biobank. The MR method has been widely used in real world data to examine the causal relationship between IV and specific disease, under two assumptions: [1] genetic variants are randomly assigned in the population; and [2] genetic variants can only be the cause not consequence of disease (39). The PheWAS is a series of case control studies to

estimate the associations between the IV and multiple disease outcomes (38,40). The
combination of the two permits investigation of the causal effects of OA on multiple disease
outcomes.

The MR-PheWAS analysis includes three steps. Firstly, we will identify the genetic variants that are associated with OA - IV. Secondly, we will undertake the PheWAS analysis - a series of case control analyses to estimate the associations between the IV and other disease outcomes (38,40), with an adjustment for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) methods (41). Thirdly, we will implement conventional MR analysis to investigate the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities (39). An inverse variance weighted (IVW) method will be used to pool the associations (ORs) as appropriate (42). The MR-Egger regression analysis will be used to count for the pleiotropic effect - the effects of one genetic variant on multiple outcomes (43). The heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) test will be used to exclude the cross-phenotype associations caused by genetic linkage (44). With the MR-PheWAS study, the OR can be interpreted as causal association. We are primarily interested in the causality from OA to comorbidities. We are also interested in inflammatory (e.g., CRP), metabolic (e.g., gut microbiome) and biomechanics (e.g., BMI) biomarkers and deep phenotypes of OA such as knee, hip, and hand OA with and without symptoms. This will be undertaken if it is feasible within 3 years of this funded project, otherwise will be considered as our future research agenda.

 $\frac{37}{38}$ 334 *Objective 5 – Variation of OA comorbidity patterns across countries*

We will use meta-analyses (MA) to examine the variation between countries and to pool the data as appropriate. Estimates from first three objectives such as prevalence, incidence, OR, HR and 95% CI for each specific comorbidity across different populations will be distributed in a forest plot. Heterogeneity will be examined using the I^2 statistic and the Q test (45). Results will be pooled if they are homogenous based on the I² value using the fixed effects model, otherwise the reasons for the heterogeneity will be investigated. Random effects models will be used to pool the results if the reasons for the heterogeneity cannot be identified and if the overall pooling is appropriate. Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis may be used to help identify the reasons for heterogeneity (46). Common clusters and trajectories as well as burdens of comorbidities will also be compared between populations. Feasibility and sample size

60 347

Page 13 of 38

BMJ Open

To detect minimum incidence of 1% comorbidity (required for cluster analysis) with a minimum clinical important difference of hazard ratio (HR)1.2, and 90% power of the study, the estimated sample size was 197561 for 1581 events. It was calculated using STATA, with a correlation= 0.2, standard deviation of 0.5, proportion of withdrawal= 0.20, alpha=0.05. The initial check with the registry database revealed to have minimum required sample size for the study.

Discussion

This study will be the largest epidemiological study on comorbidities of OA in primary care. One of the key advantages of this multinational study is the use of the same protocol to measure the burden of comorbidities in primary care settings in four European countries to ensure reproducibility and comparison. There is scant evidence on the comorbidities in people with OA, and this approach should help to identify the leading and most important associations before and after presenting clinical OA (the index date). Further advantages of this study are the large and representative populations studied and the same/similar extensive list of chronic conditions for identifying comorbidity clusters. Often comorbidities accumulate with age over time and the large primary care databases in this study have the advantage of having long follow-up time which will enable us to detect the incidence of comorbidities. Also, longer follow up would help to identify the picture of the trajectory of the diseases (47). Both the incidence and the trajectories of comorbidity clusters are highlighted as key elements needed in current research in multimorbidity, so findings from this study should help to fill the knowledge gaps on multimorbidity in OA. The relationship between chronic conditions and polypharmacy is a complex area of research. The count of the medications and more importantly the nature of prescribed drugs may be responsible for developing many new comorbidities in people with OA. We aim to explore the associations of the most commonly prescribed drugs in OA, such as NSAIDs, with the incidence of a wide range of comorbidities, which will be the first time that conditions other than established comorbidities such as psychological conditions and endocrine diseases will be examined. Finally, the causality study will further explore the associations at genetic levels and phenotypes, which will be novel in OA research. Using a two sample MR approach - one for OA deep phenotypes and the other for other chronic conditions maximises the potentials of sample size, disease phenotypes and comorbidity spectrum to better explore the causal pathways between OA and comorbidity.

There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, there are inherent issues in the nature of electronic health records with respect to possible misdiagnosis, ascertainment biases, under-or over-recording, and changes in databases due to change in coding structures. Also, the analysis will be restricted to fewer covariates in some databases due to missing information on lifestyle factors such as physical activities and diet. Even though the databases have different durations of data available, if possible we will use a common follow-up time for objective five. Another important limitation is that we do not have information on quality of life and other outcomes to measure functional limitations recorded in the database. Chronic conditions, especially comorbidities recorded in general practices, depend on multiple factors such as population structure, health care facilities, health policies, and the nature of the national databases. A major strength of this study is that it will include medical records on approximately 27 million people in four European countries. Also, the study will cover the sequence of research questions in comorbidity or multimorbidity starting from the burden through to the causality and variation. Such a research model can be used for other similar multimorbidity studies. The expected results should inform health professionals in primary care settings with respect to management of people with OA and associated comorbidities.

33 399 Status of the study

All the centres have obtained the necessary approvals for using the database in 2020. A
 consensus has been made on the code mapping exercise. The statistical analysis will be
 explained in detail in each of the publications. The team is expected to produce results by
 mid-2021.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Patient Research Participants (PRP) members Jenny Cockshull, Stevie Vanhegan, and Irene Pitsillidou for their involvement since the beginning of the project. We would like to thank the FOREUM for financially supporting the research. The authors would like to acknowledge Keele University's Prognosis and Consultation Epidemiology Research Group who have given us permission to utilise the Code Lists (©2014).

50 410 **Contributor and guarantor information:**

WZ, MD, CC, SMA, ME, DA conceived and designed the study. SS, AK, AD, AT, DR, and VS developed the methods and will perform the analysis, and interpretation of the results. CC, WZ, JR, AS, CFK, VS, and AT will supervise the statistical analysis. CM and MD will guide with clinical interpretations of the results. SS drafted this manuscript and all authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

1		
2 3		
4	418	Funding: This work was supported by Foundation for Research in Rheumatology
5 6	419 420	(FOREUM) grant (2019-2022), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01103), Governmental funding of clinical research within the national health services (ALF), and The Swedish
0 7	420 421	Rheumatism Association. CM is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
8	421	Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, the National Institute for Health Research
9 10	423	(NIHR) School for Primary Care Research and a National Institute for Health Research
11	424	(NIHR) Research Professorship in General Practice (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026)
12	425	Role of the funding sources: The sponsors did not participate in the design and conduct of
13 14	426	the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation,
15	427	review, or approval of the manuscript and the decision to submit the manuscript for
16 17	428	publication.
17	429	Competing interests: WZ declares serving as an advisory board for Ely Lilly (Ixekizumad,
19	430	2020) and Regeneron (Fasinomab, 2020). ME declares serving as an advisory Panel Board
20 21	431	Member for Pfizer (Nov 2019, Tanezumab). CM provided advice to BMS on recruiting to a
22	432	non-pharmacological atrial fibrillation trial. The other authors report no financial competing
23	433	interests.
24 25	434	Copy right: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and
26	434 435	does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government
27	435 436	employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if
28 29	430	accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJPGL products and sublicences
30	438	such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.
31 32		
33	439	Studies involving humans or animals: No direct participant recruitment was done for the
34 35	440	study.
36	441	Data sharing statement: We used anonymised data on individual patients on which the
37 38	442	analysis, results, and conclusions reported in the paper are based. The used data is not
39	443	distributable under licence. However, the relevant data can be obtained directly from the
40	444	respective agencies. The codes developed for the analysis can be available upon a valid
41 42	445	request.
43		
44 45	446	Figure legend
46	447	Figure 1. Overview of the study design and statistical analysis plan for the Comorbidities in
47	448	Osteoarthritis (CoMO) study.
48 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55		
56 57		
57 58		
59		
60		

Reference

- 1. Turkiewicz A, Petersson IF, Björk J, Hawker G, Dahlberg LE, Lohmander LS, et al. Current and future impact of osteoarthritis on health care: a population-based study with projections to year 2032. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Nov;22(11):1826–32.
- 2. Badley EM. The effect of osteoarthritis on disability and health care use in Canada. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1995 Feb;43:19–22.
- 3. McDonough CM, Jette AM. The Contribution of Osteoarthritis to Functional Limitations and Disability. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010 Aug;26(3):387–99.
- 4. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet. 2020 Dec;396(10267):2006–17.
- 5. World Health Organization. Musculoskeletal conditions [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions
- Swain S, Sarmanova A, Coupland C, Doherty M, Zhang W. Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. 2019 Jun 17 [cited 2019 Jun 30]; Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/acr.24008
- 7. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Hunter DJ. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities. PM R. 2012 May;4(5 Suppl):S10-19.
- Hall AJ, Stubbs B, Mamas MA, Myint PK, Smith TO. Association between osteoarthritis and cardiovascular disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23(9):938–46.
- 9. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016 Mar 1;45(2):228–35.
- 10. Ricci-Cabello I, Stevens S, Kontopantelis E, Dalton ARH, Griffiths RI, Campbell JL, et al. Impact of the Prevalence of Concordant and Discordant Conditions on the Quality of Diabetes Care in Family Practices in England. Ann Fam Med. 2015 Nov 1;13(6):514–22.
- 11. Calderón-Larrañaga A, Poblador-Plou B, González-Rubio F, Gimeno-Feliu LA, Abad-Díez JM, Prados-Torres A. Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, referrals, and adverse drug events: Are we doing things well? Br J Gen Pract. 2012;62(605):e821–6.
- 12. Jüni P, Nartey L, Reichenbach S, Sterchi R, Dieppe PA, Egger M. Risk of cardiovascular events and rofecoxib: cumulative meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2004 Dec;364(9450):2021–9.
- 13. Castellsague J, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, Varas-Lorenzo C, Fourrier-Reglat A, Nicotra F, et al. Individual NSAIDs and Upper Gastrointestinal Complications: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies (the SOS Project). Drug Saf. 2012 Dec;35(12):1127–46.
- 14. Lowe D.B., Taylor M.J., Hill S.J. Cross-sectional examination of musculoskeletal conditions and multimorbidity: influence of different thresholds and definitions on prevalence and association estimates. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):51.
- John R, Kerby DS, Hagan Hennessy C. Patterns and Impact of Comorbidity and Multimorbidity Among Community-Resident American Indian Elders. The Gerontologist. 2003 Oct 1;43(5):649– 60.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
13 14
15
16
16 17 18
17
19
20
21
22
23
23 24
24 25
25
26 27
27
28
29
30
31 32 33
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
J7

- 16. Alaeddini A., Jaramillo C.A., Faruqui S.H.A., Pugh M.J. Mining Major Transitions of Chronic Conditions in Patients with Multiple Chronic Conditions. Methods Inf Med. 2017;56(5):391–400.
- 17. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLOS Med. 2015 Mar 31;12(3):e1001779.
- Engelhart MJ, Geerlings MI, Meijer J, Kiliaan A, Ruitenberg A, van Swieten JC, et al. Inflammatory Proteins in Plasma and the Risk of Dementia: The Rotterdam Study. Arch Neurol. 2004 May 1;61(5):668.
- Quality and Outcome Framework (QOF) [Internet]. [cited 2018 Mar 21]. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/article/8910/Quality-and-Outcome-Framework-QOF-Indicators-No-Longer-In-QOF-INLIQ-Enhanced-Services-ES-Vaccinations-and-Immunisations-V-I-and-GMS-Core-Contract-CC-extraction-specifications-business-rules-
- 20. Medicare C for, Baltimore MS 7500 SB, Usa M. CC_Main [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Oct 24]. Available from: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/Chronic-Conditions/CC_Main.html
- James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018 Nov;392(10159):1789–858.
- 22. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987 Jan 1;40(5):373–83.
- 23. Sarmanova A, Fernandes GS, Richardson H, Valdes AM, Walsh DA, Zhang W, et al. Contribution of central and peripheral risk factors to prevalence, incidence and progression of knee pain: a community-based cohort study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018 Nov;26(11):1461–73.
- 24. Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol. 1994 Nov 1;47(11):1245–51.
- 25. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, Fushimi K, Graham P, Hider P, et al. Updating and Validating the Charlson Comorbidity Index and Score for Risk Adjustment in Hospital Discharge Abstracts Using Data From 6 Countries. Am J Epidemiol. 2011 Mar 15;173(6):676–82.
- 26. van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, Quan H, Forster AJ. A Modification of the Elixhauser Comorbidity Measures Into a Point System for Hospital Death Using Administrative Data: Med Care. 2009 Jun;47(6):626–33.
- 27. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. Med Care. 1998 Jan;36(1):8–27.
- 28. Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Comorbidities in patients with gout prior to and following diagnosis: case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014 Nov 14;annrheumdis-2014-206410.
- 29. Swain S, Coupland C, Mallen C, Kuo CF, Sarmanova A, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al. Temporal relationship between osteoarthritis and comorbidities: a combined case control and cohort study in the UK primary care setting. Rheumatology. 2021 Mar 1;keab067.

- Collins LM, Lanza ST. Latent Class and Latent Transition Analysis [Internet]. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2009 [cited 2020 Apr 5]. (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics). Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9780470567333
- 31. Proust-Lima C, Séne M, Taylor JM, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Joint latent class models for longitudinal and time-to-event data: A review. Stat Methods Med Res. 2014 Feb;23(1):74–90.
- 32. Vincent P, Larochelle H, Lajoie I, Bengio Y, Manzagol P-A. Stacked Denoising Autoencoders: Learning Useful Representations in a Deep Network with a Local Denoising Criterion. J Mach Learn Res. 2010 Dec;11:3371–408.
- 33. Zeng C, Zhang W, Doherty M, Persson MSM, Mallen C, Swain S, et al. Initial analgesic prescriptions for osteoarthritis in the United Kingdom, 2000–2016. Rheumatology. 2021 Jan 5;60(1):147–59.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. BMJ. 2010 May 20;340(may19 4):c2197–c2197.
- 35. Sarmanova A, Doherty M, Kuo C, Wei J, Abhishek A, Mallen C, et al. Statin use and risk of joint replacement due to osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a propensity-score matched longitudinal cohort study. Rheumatology. 2020 Oct 1;59(10):2898–907.
- Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Effect of allopurinol on all-cause mortality in adults with incident gout: propensity score-matched landmark analysis. Rheumatology. 2015 Jul 12;kev246.
- 37. Royston P, Lambert PC. Flexible parametric survival analysis using Stata: beyond the Cox model. College Station, TX: Stata Press; 2011. 347 p.
- 38. Millard LAC, Davies NM, Timpson NJ, Tilling K, Flach PA, Smith GD. MR-PheWAS: hypothesis prioritization among potential causal effects of body mass index on many outcomes, using Mendelian randomization. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2020 Jan 26];5(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep16645
- 39. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. BMJ. 2018 Jul 12;k601.
- 40. Li X, Meng X, Spiliopoulou A, Timofeeva M, Wei W-Q, Gifford A, et al. MR-PheWAS: exploring the causal effect of SUA level on multiple disease outcomes by using genetic instruments in UK Biobank. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018 Jul;77(7):1039–47.
- 41. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The Control of the False Discovery Rate in Multiple Testing under Dependency. Ann Stat. 2001;29(4):1165–88.
- 42. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian Randomization Analysis With Multiple Genetic Variants Using Summarized Data: Mendelian Randomization Using Summarized Data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013 Nov;37(7):658–65.
- 43. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016 May;40(4):304–14.

- 44. Zhu Z, Zhang F, Hu H, Bakshi A, Robinson MR, Powell JE, et al. Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. Nat Genet. 2016 May;48(5):481–7.
 - 45. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002 Jun 15;21(11):1539–58.
 - 46. Stewart LA, Tierney JF. To IPD or not to IPD? Advantages and disadvantages of systematic reviews using individual patient data. Eval Health Prof. 2002 Mar;25(1):76–97.
 - 47. Vetrano DL, Roso-Llorach A, Fernández S, Guisado-Clavero M, Violán C, Onder G, et al. Twelve-year clinical trajectories of multimorbidity in a population of older adults. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2020 Aug 12];11(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-16780-x

or open terror on the second

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
8
9
-
10
11
12
13
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
24 25 26 27 28 29
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
36 37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
57
58
59
60

1 2

Table 1. Characteristics of the included databases

	Netherlands	Spain	Sweden	UK
		Objectives 1-3		
Name of the database	Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI)	The Spanish Sistema information del Deveolpment de l'Investigació a Atenció Primària (SIDIAP)	Skåne Healthcare Register	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)
Settings	Routinely collected primary care database	Routinely collected primary care data	Swedish healthcare in Skane region, primary, specialist and in-patient care	Routinely collected primary care database with linkage database
Size and Coverage	2.2 million (Randomly distributed over the country)	6.5 million (> 85% of total Catalan region)	1.3 million (all residents of the Skane region)	17 million (country-wide, nearly 740 practices)
Start year	1998- (Better coding after 2000)	2006	1998	1993-
Age group	All	All	All	All
Gender	All	All	All	All
Coding system	ICPC	ICD 10	ICD 10	Read codes and ICD 10
Drug prescribed by	GP	GP	GP	GP
Death record (Either date of death and/or cause)	Both date and cause	Only date	Both date and cause (until year 2015)	Only date
Covariates/ additional variables	NA	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Social class, cholesterol, and other biomarkers	Education, Income, profession, and sick leave, residential area, region of birth	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Deprivation index, Ethnic group

 Image: Image:

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	

59 60

Table 2. Database for the mendelian randomisation

Name of the database	Rotterdam Cohort Study	UK Biobank
Population coverage	15000	500,000
Age group	>=40 years	40-69 years
Start year -till now	1989-onwards	2010-
Types of data	Radiographic data, joint pain, joint stiffness, of hip, knee, and hand, GWAS, biochemical markers	Genetic and phenotypes

GWAS- Genome Wide Association Studies

rion Str

Sl no	Conditions	ICD 10	ICPC	Read Code
1	Anaemia (All types)	D50-D64	B78, B80, B81, B82	D00, D01
2	Ankylosing spondylitis	M45.9	NA	N10
3	Anxiety disorder	F41.0-F41.9	P74, P74.01, P74.02	E200, Eu41
4	Asthma	J450-J45.9, J46.9	R96	66Y, H33
5	Benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH)	N40.9	Y85	K20, K21, K22
6	Cardiac arrhythmias (Atrial Fibrillation)	I47.0-I49.9	K78	Gyu , G573
7	Cataract	H25.0-H25.9, H26.1-H26.9	F92	F46,
8	Chronic Back pain	M47-M48, M51-M54, M99, G54.4	L02, L03, L86	N12, N14,
9	Chronic kidney disease (any cause)	N02.0-N8.8, N11.0-N11.9, N12.9, N15.0-N18.9, N19.9	U99.01	1Z1, K01, K02
10	Chronic neck pain	M54.2	L83	Nyu, N11, N12, N14
11	Chronic sinusitis	J32	R75	H13
12	Chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases	J41.0-J41.8, J42.9, J43.0-J43.9, J44.0-J44.9	R91, R95	Н3
13	Coronary Heart Disease (Including Acute Myocardial infarction, Valvular disease, Angina),	120.0-125.0, 134.0-137.0	K74-K76	G11, G30, G31 G38
14	Dementia	F00.0-F00.9, F01.0-F03.9, G30.0-G30.9, G31.0-G31.9	P70-P70.02	E00, Eu0, F11
15	Depression	F32.0-F33.9	P76	Eu, E11
16	Diabetes mellitus	E10.0-E14.9	T90, F83.01	C10, F32,
17	Dyslipidaemia (Hyper)	E78.1	T93	C32
18	Eating disorders (Both)			Eu5, R03
19	Eczema/ Skin disease	L20.0-L22.9, L26.9	S74, S87, S88	M11
20	Epilepsy	G40.0-G41.9	N88	F25
21	Fatigue	F48.0	A04.11	F286
22	Fibromyalgia	M79.7	L18.01	N248, N239
23	Gall bladder stone	K80.0-K80.8	D98-D98.03	781, J65 , 4G2,
24	GERD (Gastritis, Oesophageal bleeding, duodenitis, peptic ulcer)	К21	D840	J12, J13, J15
25	Gastrointestinal bleeding	K25.0-K28.9	D84-D87	J11,
26	Gout	M10.0-M10.9	T92	C34, N023
27	Hearing impairment (All types)	H90.0-H91.9	H83-H86	F59, ZE87
28	Heart Failure	150.0-150.9	K77-K77.02	G58,
29 30	Hepatitis HIV/AIDS	K73.0-K73.9 B20-B24	D72-D72.05 B90	J61, J63 A788, A789,
31	Hypertension	110.9, 111.0-113.9, 115.0-115.9	K86-K87,	AyuC G20, G24,
32	Hyperthyroidism	E05.0-E05.9	F83.02 T85	G25, G26 C02
33	Hypothyroidism	E03.0-E03.9 E02.9, E03.0-E03.9	T85	C02, C04
34	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)	K50.0-K52.9	D94-D94.02	J4,
35	Irritable Bowel Symptoms (IBS)	K58.1-K58.8	D)+-D)+.02	J52
36	Leukaemia, Lymphoma	C81.0-C86.6, C91.0-C96.9	B72-B73	B60, B61, B64
37	Liver Cirrhosis	K70.0-K71.9, K74.0-K74.6	D97	J615
38	Migraine	G43.0-G43.9	N89	F26,
39	Multiple sclerosis	G35.9	N86	F20,
40	Osteoarthritis	M16.0-M16.9, M17.0-M17.9	L89-L91	N05,
41	Osteoporosis	M80.0-M82.9	L95	N33,

Table 3. List of chronic conditions across four databases

2
3
4
5
ر د
6
7
8 9
Q
10
10
11
12
13
11
14 15
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
25
20
24
25
26
27
20
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 35
35
36
36 37
38
39
40
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

60

42	Other blood vessel disease (Raynaud's disease, Burger's disease)	173.0-173.9	К92	G73,
43	Parkinson's disease	G20.9	N87-N87.01	F12,
44	Peripheral vascular disease (Atherosclerosis)	170.0-170.9	K91	G70, G71 , G72
45	Polymyalgia	M35.3	L99.12	N20.11
46	Psoriasis	L40.0-L41.9	S91	M161
47	Psoriatic arthritis	M07.0-M07.3	L99.13	M160
48	Rheumatoid Arthritis	M05.0-M05.9	L88, K71	N04
49	Renal stones	N20.0	U95	4G4, 7B07, KB12
50	Schizophrenia and/or psychosis	F20.0-F20.9, F25.0- F25.9	P72	E10
51	Severe allergy			H17, SN5
52	Sjögren's syndrome	M35.0	NA	N002
53	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus	M32.0, M32.1, M32.8, M32.9	NA	N000
54	Sleep disorder (Insomnia)	F51.0	P06	Fy0, 1B1B
55	Solid malignancy	C00.0-C80.9, D00.0-D09.9, C97.9	A29, A79, B74 – Y78	B0 B67 , Byu
56	Stroke	G45.0-G46.8, I60.0-I63.9, I65.0-166.9, I69.0-I69.4	K89-K90.02	G60 G68, F22
57	Substance abuse/ Drug addiction	F10.0-F19.9	P18, P19	E24, Eu1
58	Thrombotic diseases	174.0-174.9	K93, K94, W99.03	G80, G81, G74
59	Tuberculosis	A15.0-A16.9, B90.9	A70, R70	A1, A11
60	Vertigo	H81.4	N17-N17.02, H82-H82.03	R004, F561
61	Vision problem (Glaucoma and other)	H27.0-H27.9, H40.0-H40.9, H42.0-H42.8	F93, F94	F45, F49,

ICD- International Classification of Diseases; ICPC- International classification in primary care. All the codes are the primary code initials used in the database.

Table 4. Group of conditions/Outcome

9	
Group	Conditions
Cardiovascular	Cardiac arrhythmias, Coronary Heart Disease (including AMI, valvular disease, angina), Heart failure, Hypertension, Peripheral vascular disease (claudication, Raynaud syndrome, Buerger's disease), Other blood vessel disease (atherosclerosis and aneurysm), Thrombotic diseases
Gastrointestinal	GERD (Esophageal diseases, gastritis, duodenitis), GI bleeding, Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)
Musculoskeletal	Ankylosing spondylitis, Chronic Back pain, Chronic Neck pain, Fibromyalgia, Polymyalgia, Gout, Osteoporosis, Psoriatic arthritis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren's syndrome, Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)
Endocrine	Diabetes mellitus, Dyslipidemia (hyper), Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism
Neurological	Dementia, Epilepsy, Fatigue, Migraine, Multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Stroke
Psychological	Anxiety, Depression, Eating disorders (Anorexia / Bulimia nervosa), Schizophrenia, Sleep disorder (insomnia),
Kidney disease	Chronic kidney disease (any cause), Renal stones
Liver diseases	Gall bladder stone, Hepatitis, Liver cirrhosis
Respiratory	Asthma, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
Cancer	Leukemia, Lymphoma, Solid Malignancy (any type)
Others	Anemia (all types), Benign prostate hypertrophy (BPH), Cataract, Chronic sinusitis, Eczema/Skin disease, Hearing impairment (all types), Psoriasis, Severe allergy (anaphylactic shock), angioneurotic oedema

BMJ Open Figure 1. Overview of the study design and statistical analysis plan for the Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO) study.

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4
Study Design	Case-control and Cohort	Clustering and longitudinal	Cohort 022	Genomic association
Exposure	OA	OA	Analgesics (NSAIDS, Opioids, paracetamol)	OA
Outcome	Comorbidities	Clusters of comorbidities	Comorbidities	Comorbidities
Statistical methods	Conditional logistic regression, Cox regression	Latent class analysis, Latent class growth analysis Joint latent class analysis	Cox regression Time varying analysis Flexible parametric method	Mendelian randomisation
Reported Outcome	Odds Ratio and Hazard Ratio	Clusters and groups	Hazard Ratio	Coefficients
Participating centres	ALL	ALL	ALL 🦉	UK and Netherlands

Objective 5

Meta Analysis

April 18, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

OA- osteoarthritis; NSAIDs- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

3 4

		BMJ Open	Page 26 of
		pen-20	
	S	TROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*	
		Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)	
Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European coontries	1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found <i>Introduction</i>	1
		Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading chronic conditions in the older population People with OA are more	
		likely to have one or more other chronic conditions than those without. However, the temporal associations,	
		clusters of the comorbidities, role of analgesics and the causality and variation between populations are yet to be	
		investigated. This paper describes the protocol of a multinational study in four European countries (UK,	
		Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain) exploring comorbidities in people with OA.	
		Methods and analysis	
		This multinational study will investigate i) the temporal associations of 61 identified comorbidities with OA, ii) the	
		clusters and trajectories of comorbidities in people with OA, iii) the role of analgesics on incidence of	
		comorbidities in people with OA, iv) the potential biomarkers and causality between OA and the comorbidities,	
		and v) variations between countries. \vec{x}	
		A combined case-control and cohort study will be conducted to find the temporal association of OA with the	
		comorbidities using the national or regional health databases. Latent class analysis will be performed to identify the	
		clusters at baseline and joint latent class analysis will be used to examine trajectories during the follow-up. A	
		cohort study will be undertaken to evaluate the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids,	
		and paracetamol on the incidence of comorbidities. Mendelian randomisation will be performed to investigate the	
		potential biomarkers for causality between OA and the comorbidities using the UK8Biobank and the Rotterdam	
		right.	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

38		BMJ Open <u>m</u>	
			1
		Study databases. Finally, a meta-analyses will be used to examine the variations and pool the results from different	
		countries.	
		Ethics and Dissemination	
		Research ethics was obtained according to each database requirement. Results will be disseminated through the	
		FOREUM website, scientific meetings, publications, and in partnership with patients organisations.	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported <u>S</u>	4-5
		hands and feet (1). It is by far the most common form of arthritis, and a leading cause of chronic joint pain and	
		disability in older people (2,3). It is anticipated that the burden of OA will continue to rise in the coming decades	
		because of population ageing and the increasing obesity prevalence – two major rist factors for OA (4,5). Co-	
		occurrence of multiple chronic conditions in an individual with ageing is becoming a norm and OA is not an	
		exception to this.	
		A recent systematic review has confirmed that people with OA are more likely to have other diseases, especially	
		stroke, peptic ulcer, hypertension, and depression (6). Vast majority of these studies focused on additional presence	
		(comorbidity) of cardiovascular and musculoskeletal conditions only (7–9). Whether these comorbidities just co-	
		exist with OA, share common risk factors with OA, or are causes or consequences \vec{R} OA remains largely	
		unknown. There was also reporting of wide heterogeneity in definitions of OA and ther chronic conditions,	
		diagnosis and recording of diseases, sample sizes, and number of diseases studied B previously published studies	
		included in the review (6). This diversity made the comparison and pooled estimation of comorbidity prevalence	
		difficult.	
		Comorbidity in OA can occur due to multiple factors. Various hypotheses have been used to explain the existence	
		of comorbidities in general, the most accepted of which are the concordant (diseas sharing similar	

pathophysiological risk factors) and discordant (diseases not sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) theories (10). Additionally, prescription of drugs is also reported to be associated with comorbidity and multimorbidity (11). Especially in people with OA, the prescription of analgesics is common, and is associated with increased risk of other conditions such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and phronic renal diseases (12,13). Also, having multiple chronic conditions increases the chances of polypharmacy which further escalates the risk of other conditions.

36/bmjopen

OA is one of the leading conditions reported in multimorbidity research. Exploring the association of OA with other diseases would help in further explaining the burden and pattern of the comomon bidity (14). However, the major issue in OA comorbidity research is the low number and specific types of conditions studied (16). Therefore, it is important to develop a consensus on both the count and typology of conditions to be studied to enable comparisons across populations and to derive pooled estimates as appropriate. Further, using uniform methods and definitions of diseases in computing these estimates would reduce heterogeneity and make the comparison more reliable. Understanding the temporal association with comorbidity and disease trajectory is erucial for any chronic condition, and this is possible through studies using longitudinal databases (15). However, one of the limitations of using observational data is that causal associations are difficult to establish, due to the interference of known and unknown confounders. In this study we have used the more recently developed methods 'Mendelian Randomization', that can determine causal estimates through combining the use of enetic data and instrumental variable methods.''

individual factors such as income and education. Such factors vary between countries, because of the heterogeneity

mentioned above there are no robust data comparing OA and its comorbidities between countries. Therefore, the

aims of this study were to explore the burden, pattern, and causal factors of comorbidities in people with OA

across four European countries using national registration databases in the UK, the Netherlands, Sweden, and

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

38		BMJ Open	
		pen-20	
		Spain.	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses 0 1. To estimate the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities of OA	5
		2. To examine the clusters of comorbidities and trajectories of clusters in OA and associations with death	
		3. To investigate the associations between commonly used OA drugs, such as $\frac{1}{8}$ on-steroidal anti-inflammatory	
		(NSAIDs) and opioids, and risk of comorbidities	
		4. To identify the potential biomarkers and causal pathways between OA and the comorbidities	
		5. To examine the variations of OA comorbidities and clusters across countries \vec{x}	
Methods	L		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper ####COMPARISON Figure 1 ###COMPARISON	Figure
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Databases	5-6
		Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweden and Spain) health databases	
		will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic associations of OA with comorbidities will be	
		examined using two cohort studies from the UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18).	
		The four national representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary care	
		consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information about the diagnosis of the	
		diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in secondary care, prescription of drugs, deaths, and	
		other health utilisation indicators. The details of the databases and their properties are given in Table 1 and 2.	
		Participants People registered with the respective databases aged 18 year or above are eligible for the study.	
			<u> </u>
		by copyright	

		BMJ Open <u>BMJ Open</u>	Page 3
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	n/a
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per Case Objective 1 – Prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA	7-8
		A combined retrospective and prospective study of OA cases and sex, age (+/-2 years), first year of registration, and practice matched controls (1:1-4) without OA (28) will be used to determine the prevalence, incidence, and time assumes of semaphidities in OA. Insident OA assessmill be identified, and the formation of the prevalence is data will be	
		time sequence of comorbidities in OA. Incident OA cases will be identified, and the first diagnosis date will be used as the starting point (index date). For controls the same index date as their matched case will be used. They will be both retrospectively reviewed for prior diagnoses of comorbidities and prospectively followed-up for	
		posterior new comorbidities. For the prospective analysis participants with incident OA but without the specific comorbidity of interest at the index date (i.e., people at risk) and matched controls without OA will be followed up until the date of the first	
		diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. The $c \frac{1}{2}$ mulative incidence will be calculated for each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 years (based on the data	
		available) after the index date to examine the dynamic change of developing comorporation of bidities during follow-up. Objective 3 A cohort study will be undertaken for this objective to evaluate the contribution of bommon analgesics for OA to	
		the development of comorbidity such as NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol. We are interested in the interaction between OA and use of drugs on the incidence of comorbidities, i.e. to evaluate if the drug use in persons with OA	9
		poses increased or decreased risk of comorbidities compared to persons without OA and/or analgesics. Individual	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Page 31 of 38	BMJ Open	
1	oppen-20	
2	comorbidity, as well as clusters of comorbidities identified from Objective 2 will be examined as outcomes. The 61	
3 4	comorbidities in our study will be further categorised into eight groups, specificall se musculoskeletal (MSK),	
5 6	respiratory, neurodegenerative, psychological/psychiatric, cancer, cardiovascular, rgetabolic, renal problem, liver	
7	diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) and others. (Table 4) The prospective cohort established from Objective 1 will form	
8 9	Ξ the source population for this objective. Individuals with incident OA will be ident given from the database and the	
10 11	first diagnosis date will be used as index date for follow-up. Individuals without OA during the study period will be	
12	selected and matched with cases by age, sex, and practice. The same index date will be given from their matched	
13 14	OA cases. Individuals with analgesics prescriptions prior to the index date will be excluded (or recorded as a	
15 16	confounding factor to be adjusted as appropriate). Only analgesic prescriptions after the index date will be	
17 18	considered for this analysis. Prescriptions will be quantified as number of prescriptions within year 1 (initial use,	
19	primary analysis) (33), 2, 3, 4, 5 etc. It will also be dichotomised as episodic (e.g., at least one gap of \geq 90 days	
20 21	between prescriptions) and continuous (no gap of more less than 90 days) users as appropriate (34,35). Analgesic	
22 23	use will be included in the model as a risk factor together with OA diagnosis (yes/m), primary exposure) to	
24	examine the independent risk of each variable (OA and analgesics), as well as the interaction between the two to	
25 26	the development of comorbidity. Dose response relationship will be examined using number of prescriptions	
27 28	during the exposure window examined. The effect of stopping analgesics will also be examined by looking into	
29	the patterns of analgesic prescriptions, e.g., stopping analgesics after initial use in kar 1 versus continuous use of	
30 31		
32 33	analgesics afterwards.	
34	Objective 4	
35 36	We will use the Rotterdam Study and the UK Biobank jointly for this objective. The s is because that the Rotterdam	
37	Study is an OA cohort with deep phenotypes and biomarkers of OA, whereas the UK Biobank is a primary cohort	
38 39	for cancer and multiple disease outcomes, and both have detailed genetic variants. We will use two sample MR	
40	right.	
42		

		BMJ Open	Page 32
		approach, i.e., to establish an association between OA and genetic variants in the Retterdam Cohort to identify	
		G G	
		genetic instrumental variables (IV), e.g., a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with OA (or a deep phenotype, biomarker, or risk factor of OA). We will then undertake the MR-genewAS analysis to examine	
		the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities in the UK Biobank. The MR method has been widely used in real	
		world data to examine the causal relationship between IV and specific disease, under two assumptions: [1] genetic variants are randomly assigned in the population; and [2] genetic variants can only be the cause not consequence of	
		disease (39). The PheWAS is a series of case control studies to estimate the associations between the IV and	
		multiple disease outcomes (38,40). The combination of the two permits investigation of the causal effects of OA on \vec{z}	
		multiple disease outcomes.	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Definition of Osteoarthritis	
		OA will be defined as having at least one recorded physician diagnosis of OA for hip, knee, ankle/foot, wrist/hand,	6-7
		or site recorded as 'unspecified' during the study period for the respective database People with any previous	
		recording of the OA prior to the start date of the study will be excluded.	
		<i>Comorbidities</i> We defined comorbidity as the recording of diagnosis of predefined chronic conditions in individuals using either	
		ICD-10 or Read or international classification of primary care (ICPC) code. An extensive list of 61 chronic	
		conditions was prepared from the Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) (19), list of the US Department of Health	
		and Human Services Initiative on Multiple Chronic Conditions (20), global burden of diseases (21) and the	
		Charlson comorbidity index (22). The list has been updated with findings from our $\frac{3}{5}$ systematic review (6) and a	
		previous UK community-based knee pain study (6,23) by including common and inportant morbidities not	
		included in the above (24,25). A code mapping exercise was conducted to finalise the list of conditions available	
		for all the research centres. The comparison of codes was made, and it was review all by four researchers including	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	BMJ Open	
	a clinician from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 3.	
	<i>Covariates</i> Age and sex will be used in all centres as covariates to adjust in regression models. Additionally, information on	
	body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic variables such as education level, income, place of	
	birth (to identify those who immigrated to the country), and residential area, marriage (or registered partner) will be	
	included when available. For calculating severity of the comorbidities in an individual, Elixhauser comorbidity	
	index will be used to estimate the impact of comorbidities on death (26,27). Missing data on covariates will be	
	substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided that the data is missing at $\frac{1}{2}$ and om, if applicable.	
Data sources/ measurement	8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Databases Image: Comparability of there is more than one group	5
	Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweder and Spain) health databases	
	will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic associations of OA with comorbidities will be	
	examined using two cohort studies from the UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18).	
	The four national representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary care	
	consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information about the diagnosis of the	
	diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in secondary care, \vec{p}_{e} escription of drugs, deaths, and	
	other health utilisation indicators. The details of the databases and their properties $\frac{3}{8}$ given in Table 1 and 2.	
	Data Harmonisation:	
	Firstly, we carried out a code mapping exercise for identification of people with osteoarthritis (OA) and other	7
	comorbidities. We developed a list of chronic conditions and each centres shared the list of codes to be used for the	
	conditions, such as Read code in CPRD (UK), ICPC2 (Rotterdam) and ICD-10 for gund and Spanish as per their	
	database. The code lists were compared and edited to maintain the uniformity. The gist was screened by verified by	
		L

		BMJ Open	Page 3
		two researchers and two GPs. We also used unform definition for inclusion of condition e.g. at least one recording	
		of the chronic conditions. Because all the centres did not have all the listed comorbadities, a minimum number of	
		6	
		chronic conditions and covariates common in all the database were identified to be studied. Similarly, we decided	
		to have a minimum follow-up study of 5 years and centres with more registration $p\underline{\underline{P}}$ riod can use the entire length of	
		data available.	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Dias	9		Explaine under
			each
		from	objective
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at <i>Feasibility and sample size</i>	11-12
		To detect minimum incidence of 1% comorbidity (required for cluster analysis) with a minimum clinical important	
		difference of hazard ratio (HR)1.2, and 90% power of the study, the estimated sample size was 197561 for 1581	
		events. It was calculated using STATA, with a correlation= 0.2, standard deviation of	
		withdrawal= 0.20, alpha=0.05. The initial check with the registry database revealed to have minimum required	
		sample size for the study. $P_{\underline{1}}$	
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which growings were chosen and why	Explaine
variables		t by g	under
		guest states and states	each objectiv
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	
		Objective 1 g In the retrospective analysis the prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of eagh specific comorbidity will be	
		calculated separately in OA cases and matched controls using the number of people diagnosed with the	8
		comorbidity divided by the total number of OA cases or controls at the index date. The prevalence of each	

I	
2	comorbidity in OA cases and matched co
3 4	0-1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to ass
5	
6	before will also be used to estimate the p
7	possible). Logistic regression will be use
8	
9 10	adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol of
10	Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be us
12	Proportional hazard assumption will be t
13	Proportional nazaru assumption will be t
14	used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for a
15 16	smoking and alcohol consumption. This
10	
18	of associations between other rheumatic
19	(28,29).
20	
21	
22 23	Objective 2
23	
25	For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into
26	
27	objective 2 will be first employed into the
28	At baseline, clusters of people based on
29 30	
31	mixture models algorithms of cluster) and
32	clusters and covariates using multinomia
33	comparing covariates among clusters. The
34	comparing covariates among clusters. If
35 36	are also identified independently in the t
37	then use both latent trajectory analysis, s
38	
39	approach, such as deep autoencoder or re
40	
41 42	
42 43	
43	For peer review only - http://br
45	
46	

omorbidity in OA cases and matched controls will be calculated for given time intervals prior to the index date of -1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to assess observational bias (28). Discrete time intervals of 1-5, and 5-10 years efore will also be used to estimate the prevalence to minimise consultation bias/misclassification bias of OA (if ossible). Logistic regression will be used to calculate the odds ratios (OR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and djusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. Caplan-Meier survival curves will be used to display the cumulative probability in OA and non-OA groups. roportional hazard assumption will be tested using Schoenfeld residual plots. The Cox regression model will be sed to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for age, sex, practice, BMI, moking and alcohol consumption. This hybrid design has been previously used by used by used by a comorbidities f associations between other rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) (e.g., goat and lupus) and comorbidities 28 29)

36/bmjopen-2

righ

8

9

For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into the training and testing data will be introduced. The following analysis in objective 2 will be first employed into the training dataset and then tested its general isability in the testing dataset. At baseline, clusters of people based on 61 comorbidities will be identified using Latent class (i.e., Gaussian mixture models algorithms of cluster) analysis (30). For each model, we will examine the association between clusters and covariates using multinomial logistic regressions. The distinctness of dusters will be examined by comparing covariates among clusters. The optimal model is the one where most clusters found in the training data are also identified independently in the testing data and clusters have most distinct distinct regressions. We will then use both latent trajectory analysis, such as joint latent class models (31), and use supervised machine learning approach, such as deep autoencoder or recurrent neural networks (32), to identify destinct clusters of new

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open (6) pp pp -2	Page
comorbidity numbers development over time and their association with mortality with adjustment for baseline	
covariates.	
Objective 3	
For the primary analysis (initial prescriptions within year 1), a landmark analysis will be used to minimise the	10
immortal time bias where the follow up will start after 12 months from the index date (36). Participants at risk (i.e.,	10
without a specific comorbidity of interest) at the landmark date will be followed up until the first diagnosis of the	
comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. For secondary analyses, time varying covariate	
analysis will be used to examine the long-term, episodic/continuous use of analges after the index date and	
interaction between OA and analgesics in the development of the comorbidity. The propensity score matching or	
the inverse probability weighing methods will be used to adjust for confounding by indication during the follow-up	
as appropriate. Depending on the country-specific drug use patterns, we may modify this definition to allow for	
short brakes in between the episodes. Cox-regression model will be used to calculate the HR and 95% CI. We will	
use flexible parametric models using restricted cubic splines (developed by Lamber, "stpm2" in Stata) to estimate	
the HRs and differences in time to diagnosis of comorbidities (outcome) with drugs as time-varying to account for	
non-proportional hazards (37).	
Objective 4	
The MR-PheWAS analysis includes three steps. Firstly, we will identify the genetic variants that are associated	10-11
with OA - IV. Secondly, we will undertake the PheWAS analysis – a series of case control analyses to estimate the	
associations between the IV and other disease outcomes (38,40), with an adjustment for multiple testing using the	
false discovery rate (FDR) methods (41). Thirdly, we will implement conventional MR analysis to investigate the	
causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities (39). An inverse variance weighted (I) will be used to	
pool the associations (ORs) as appropriate (42). The MR-Egger regression analysise will be used to count for the	
pleiotropic effect - the effects of one genetic variant on multiple outcomes (43). The heterogeneity in dependent $\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

7 of 38	BMJ Open	
	pen-2	
	instruments (HEIDI) test will be used to exclude the cross-phenotype associations eaused by genetic linkage (44).	
	With the MR-PheWAS study, the OR can be interpreted as causal association. We are primarily interested in the	
	causality from OA to comorbidities. We are also interested in inflammatory (e.g., GRP), metabolic (e.g., gut	
	microbiome) and biomechanics (e.g., BMI) biomarkers and deep phenotypes of OA such as knee, hip, and hand	
	OA with and without symptoms. This will be undertaken if it is feasible within 3 years of this funded project,	
	otherwise will be considered as our future research agenda.	
	Objective 5	
	We will use meta-analyses (MA) to examine the variation between countries and $t \vec{e}$ pool the data as appropriate.	11
	Estimates from first three objectives such as prevalence, incidence, OR, HR and 95 CI for each specific	
	comorbidity across different populations will be distributed in a forest plot. Heterogeneity will be examined using	
	the I ² statistic and the Q test (45). Results will be pooled if they are homogenous based on the I ² value using the	
	fixed effects model, otherwise the reasons for the heterogeneity will be investigated. Random effects models will	
	be used to pool the results if the reasons for the heterogeneity cannot be identified and if the overall pooling is	
	appropriate. Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis may be used to help identify the reasons for heterogeneity	
	(46). Common clusters and trajectories as well as burdens of comorbidities will als $\frac{3}{2}$ be compared between	
	populations.	
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	n/a
	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed Missing data on covariates will be substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided that the data is missing at random, if applicable.	7
	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	n/a
	Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a

		BMJ Open	Page
		pen-202	
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	n/a
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram ▷	n/a
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on 🗟 posures and potential confounders	n/a
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	n/a
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	n/a
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	n/a
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	n/a
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	n/a
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	n/a
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	n/a
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias g There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, there are inherent issues in the nature of electronic health records	13
		with respect to possible misdiagnosis, ascertainment biases, under- or over-recording, and changes in databases due	
		to change in coding structures. Also, the analysis will be restricted to fewer covariates in some databases due to	
		missing information on lifestyle factors such as physical activities and diet. Even though the databases have	
		different durations of data available, if possible we will use a common follow-up time for objective five. Another	
		important limitation is that we do not have information on quality of life and other automas to measure functional	
		limitations recorded in the database.	
		Chronic conditions, especially comorbidities recorded in general practices, depend on multiple factors such as	
		population structure, health care facilities, health policies, and the nature of the nate balance bala	

38	BMJ Open	
	op en	
	strength of this study is that it will include medical records on approximately 27 million people in four European	
	countries. Also, the study will cover the sequence of research questions in comorbiative or multimorbidity starting	
	from the burden through to the causality and variation. Such a research model can be used for other similar	
	multimorbidity studies. The expected results should inform health professionals in primary care settings with	
	respect to management of people with OA and associated comorbidities.	
Interpretation	20 Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of agalyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	n/a
Generalisability	21 Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	n/a
Other information		
	present article is basedThis work was supported by Foundation for Research in Rheumatology (FOREUM) grant (2019-2022), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01103), Governmental funding of clinical research within the national health services (ALF), and The Swedish Rheumatism Association. CM is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) School for Primary Care Research and a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Research (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026)	
Note: An Explanation checklist is best used	arately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in controls in construction and internate of the sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine@rg/, Annals of Internal Medicine at g/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.spobe-statement.org.	BE

Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (ComOA): a combined crosssectional, case-control and cohort study using large electronic health records in four European countries

Journal:	BMJ Open	
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-052816.R2	
Article Type:	Protocol	
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Feb-2022	
Complete List of Authors:	Swain, Subhashisa; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology; University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences Kamps, Anne; Erasmus Medical Center Department of Reumatology, Department of General Practice Runhaar, Jos; Erasmus Medical Center Department of Reumatology, Department of General Practice Dell'Isola, Andrea; Lunds University Faculty of Medicine, Turkiewicz, Aleksandra; Lund University Faculty of Medicine, Dept of Orthopedics Robinson, Danielle ; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Strauss, V; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Strauss, V; University of Oxford Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Mallen, Christian; Keele University, Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre Kuo, Chang-Fu; Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Branch, Coupland, Carol; University of Nottingham, Division of Primary Care Doherty, Michael ; University of Bristol, Prieto-Alhambra, Daniel; University of Oxford, Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS Englund, Martin; Lund University, Dept of Orthopedics Bierma-Zeinstra, Sita; Erasmus University Medical Centre, Department of General Practice Zhang, Weiya; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Academic Rheumatology	
Primary Subject Heading :	Rheumatology	
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Health services research, Public health, Pharmacology and therapeutics, Rheumatology	
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Musculoskeletal disorders < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, RHEUMATOLOGY	

1 2 3	
4 5 6 7	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts
8 9 10	
11 12 13 14	
15 16 17	
18 19 20 21	
22 23 24 25	
26 27 28	
29 30 31 32	
33 34 35	
36 37 38 39	
40 41 42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48 49 50	
51 52 53	
54 55 56 57	
58 59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 2 of 38

BMJ Open

2 3 4	1	Title- Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (ComOA): a combined cross-sectional, case-control			
4 5	2	and cohort study using large electronic health records in four European countries			
6 7	3	Authors			
8 9	4	Subhashisa Swain ^{1,2} , Anne Kamps ³ , Jos Runhaar ³ , Andrea Dell 'Isola ⁴ , Aleksandra			
10 11 12 13 14	5	Turkiewicz ⁴ , Danielle Robinson ⁵ , Victoria Y Strauss ⁵ , Christian Mallen ⁶ , Chang Fu Kuo ^{1,7} ,			
	6	Carol Coupland ⁸ , Michael Doherty ^{1,11} , Aliya Sarmanova ⁹ , Daniel Prieto Alhambra ⁵ , Martin			
	7	Englund ⁴ , Sita Bierma-Zeinstra ¹⁰ , Weiya Zhang ^{1,11}			
15 16	8				
17	9	1. Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	10	2. Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK			
	11	3. Department of General Practice, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam,			
	12	The Netherlands			
	13	4. Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Orthopaedics, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund,			
	14	Lund University, Sweden			
	15	5. Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS, University of Oxford, UK			
	16	6. School of Medicine, Keele University, UK			
	17	7. Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Chang Gung Memorial			
32 33	18	Hospital, Taiwan.			
34 35	19	8. Division of Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, UK			
36	20	9. Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Bristol Medical School, Translational Health			
37 38	21	Sciences, University of Bristol, UK			
39 40	22	10. Department of General Practice, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery & Sports			
41 42	23	Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands			
43	24	11. Pain Centre Versus Arthritis, University of Nottingham, UK			
44 45	25	Corresponding Author:			
46 47	25	Professor Weiya Zhang			
48 49	27	Academic Rheumatology, School of Medicine			
50	28	University of Nottingham			
51 52	29	Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham city Hospital			
53 54 55 56	30	NG5 1PB, Nottingham, UK			
	31	Email: <u>weiya.zhang@nottingham.ac.uk</u>			
57 58	32				
59	33				
60	55				

1 2		
2 3 4	34	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	35	Abstract
	36	Introduction
	37	Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading chronic conditions in the older population. People
	38	with OA are more likely to have one or more other chronic conditions than those without.
	39	However, the temporal associations, clusters of the comorbidities, role of analgesics and the
14	40	causality and variation between populations are yet to be investigated. This paper describes
15 16	41	the protocol of a multinational study in four European countries (UK, Netherlands, Sweden,
17 18	42	and Spain) exploring comorbidities in people with OA.
19 20	43	Methods and analysis
21 22	44	This multinational study will investigate i) the temporal associations of 61 identified
23	45	comorbidities with OA, ii) the clusters and trajectories of comorbidities in people with OA,
24 25	46	iii) the role of analgesics on incidence of comorbidities in people with OA, iv) the potential
26 27	47	biomarkers and causality between OA and the comorbidities, and v) variations between
28	48	countries.
29 30	49	A combined case-control and cohort study will be conducted to find the temporal association
31 32	50	of OA with the comorbidities using the national or regional health databases. Latent class
33 34	51	analysis will be performed to identify the clusters at baseline and joint latent class analysis
35	52	will be used to examine trajectories during the follow-up. A cohort study will be undertaken
36 37	53	to evaluate the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, and
38 39	54	paracetamol on the incidence of comorbidities. Mendelian randomisation will be performed
40 41 42 43 44 45 46	55	to investigate the potential biomarkers for causality between OA and the comorbidities using
	56	the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam Study databases. Finally, a meta-analyses will be used to
	57	examine the variations and pool the results from different countries.
	58	Ethics and Dissemination
47	59	Research ethics was obtained according to each database requirement. Results will be
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	60	disseminated through the FOREUM website, scientific meetings, publications, and in
	61	partnership with patient organisations.
	62	
	63	Key words: Osteoarthritis; Comorbidity; Multimorbidity; Primary care; Analgesics,
	64	Mendelian Randomisation, PheWAS
	65	
59 60	66	

1 2		
2 3 4	67	
4 5 6	68	Strengths and limitations of this study
7 8	69	
9 10	70	• This is first ever multicenter study on comorbidities in osteoarthritis in Europe
11 12	71	involving nearly 27 million electronic health records
13 14	72	• More than 60 chronic conditions are being studied – representing a wider coverage of
15 16	73	diseases
17	74	• We will examine the causal association using Mendelian Randomization -PheWAS
18 19	75	methods with genetic data collected from two countries
20 21	76	• Same protocol with robust statistical methods will be used across all countries to
22	77	replicate the findings and examine the variations.
23 24	78	• Possible biases may be introduced by the nature of electronic health records and
25 26	79	length of data availability.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	80	
	81	length of data availability.

Background

an exception to this.

1

BMJ Open

Osteoarthritis (OA) affects 27% of people aged over 45 years at peripheral synovial joints

such as knees, hips, hands and feet (1). It is by far the most common form of arthritis, and a

leading cause of chronic joint pain and disability in older people (2,3). It is anticipated that

the burden of OA will continue to rise in the coming decades because of population ageing

multiple chronic conditions in an individual with ageing is becoming a norm and OA is not

A recent systematic review has confirmed that people with OA are more likely to have other

diseases, especially stroke, peptic ulcer, hypertension, and depression (6). Vast majority of

musculoskeletal conditions only (7-9). Whether these comorbidities just co-exist with OA,

share common risk factors with OA, or are causes or consequences of OA remains largely

unknown. There was also reporting of wide heterogeneity in definitions of OA and other

studied in previously published studies included in the review (6). This diversity made the

Comorbidity in OA can occur due to multiple factors. Various hypotheses have been used to

explain the existence of comorbidities in general, the most accepted of which are the

concordant (diseases sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) and discordant

(11). Especially in people with OA, the prescription of analgesics is common, and is

OA is one of the leading conditions reported in multimorbidity research. Exploring the

of the comorbidity (14). However, the major issue in OA comorbidity research is the low

number and specific types of conditions studied (15). Therefore, it is important to develop a

consensus on both the count and typology of conditions to be studied to enable comparisons

across populations and to derive pooled estimates as appropriate. Further, using uniform

(diseases not sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) theories (10). Additionally,

prescription of drugs is also reported to be associated with comorbidity and multimorbidity

associated with increased risk of other conditions such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and

chronic renal diseases (12,13). Also, having multiple chronic conditions increases the chances

association of OA with other diseases would help in further explaining the burden and pattern

chronic conditions, diagnosis and recording of diseases, sample sizes, and number of diseases

these studies focused on additional presence (comorbidity) of cardiovascular and

comparison and pooled estimation of comorbidity prevalence difficult.

of polypharmacy which further escalates the risk of other conditions.

and the increasing obesity prevalence - two major risk factors for OA (4.5). Co-occurrence of

2	
3 4	82
5	83
6 7	84
8 9	85
10	86
11 12	87
13 14	88
15 16	89
17	90
18 19	91
20 21	92
22 23	93
24	94
25 26	95
27 28	96
29	97
30 31	98
32 33	99
34 35	100
36	101
37 38	102
39 40	103
41	104
42 43	105
44 45	106
46 47	107
48	108
49 50	109
51 52	110
53	111
54 55	112
56 57	113
58 59	
60	

2					
3 4	114	methods and definitions of diseases in computing these estimates would reduce heterogeneity			
5	115	and make the comparison more reliable.			
7	116	Understanding the temporal association with comorbidity and disease trajectory is crucial for			
8 9	117	any chronic condition, and this is possible through studies using longitudinal databases (16).			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	118	However, one of the limitations of using observational data is that causal associations are			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	119	difficult to establish, due to the interference of known and unknown confounders. In this			
	120	study we have used the more recently developed method 'Mendelian Randomization', that			
	121	can determine causal estimates through combining the use of genetic data and instrumental			
	122	variable methods."			
	123	The burden of diseases in primary care often depends on the population structure, health			
	124	infrastructure and individual factors such as income and education. Such factors vary			
	125	between countries, because of the heterogeneity mentioned above there are no robust data			
	126	comparing OA and its comorbidities between countries. Therefore, the aims of this study			
	127	were to explore the burden, pattern, and causal factors of comorbidities in people with OA			
	128	across four European countries using national registration databases in the UK, the			
	129	Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain.			
	130				
	131	Objectives			
	132	1. To estimate the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA			
36	133	2. To examine the clusters of comorbidities and trajectories of clusters in OA and			
37 38	134	associations with death			
	135	3. To investigate the associations between commonly used OA drugs, such as non-			
	136	steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs) and opioids, and risk of comorbidities			
43	137	4. To identify the potential biomarkers and causal pathways between OA and the			
44 45	138	comorbidities			
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	139	5. To examine the variations of OA comorbidities and clusters across countries.			
	140				
	141	Methods			
	142	Databases			
	143	Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweden and			
	144	Spain) health databases will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic			
56 57	145	associations of OA with comorbidities will be examined using two cohort studies from the			
58 59	146	UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18). The four national			
60	147	representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary			

Page 7 of 38

1

BMJ Open

2 3		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	148	care consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information
	149	about the diagnosis of the diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in
	150	secondary care, prescription of drugs, deaths, and other health utilisation indicators. The
	151	details of the databases and their properties are given in Table 1 and 2.
	152 153 154 155	<i>Participants</i> People registered with the respective databases aged 18 year or above are eligible for the study.
	156	Patient public involvement (PPI): Three PPI representatives (with OA and all with multiple
16 17	157	chronic conditions) were involved in this study through group meetings. Difficulties of living
18	158	with multiple conditions, lack of research in causal relationship and identification of diseases
19 20	159	to be studied and the role of drugs in comorbidity were discussed. They are constantly in
21 22	160	touch through providing their inputs at each step of the study.
23 24	161	Definition of Osteoarthritis
25 26	162	OA will be defined as having at least one recorded physician diagnosis of OA for hip, knee,
27	163	ankle/foot, wrist/hand, or site recorded as 'unspecified' during the study period for the
28 29	164	respective database. People with any previous recording of the OA prior to the start date of
30 31 32	165	the study will be excluded.
	105	the study will be excluded.
33 34 35	166 167	<i>Comorbidities</i> We defined comorbidity as the recording of diagnosis of predefined chronic conditions in
36	168	individuals using either ICD-10 or Read or international classification of primary care (ICPC)
37 38	169	code. An extensive list of 61 chronic conditions was prepared from the Quality Outcome
39 40	170	Framework (QOF) (19), list of the US Department of Health and Human Services Initiative
41	171	on Multiple Chronic Conditions (20), global burden of diseases (21) and the Charlson
42 43	172	comorbidity index (22). The list has been updated with findings from our systematic review
44 45	173	(6) and a previous UK community-based knee pain study (6,23) by including common and
46	174	important morbidities not included in the above (24,25). A code mapping exercise was
47 48	175	conducted to finalise the list of conditions available for all the research centres. The
49 50	176	comparison of codes was made, and it was reviewed by four researchers including a clinician
51	177	from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 3.
52 53	1//	from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 5.
54 55 56	178 179	<i>Covariates</i> Age and sex will be used in all centres as covariates to adjust in regression models.
57	180	Additionally, information on body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic
58 59 60	181	variables such as education level, income, place of birth (to identify those who immigrated to

Page 8 of 38

the country), and residential area, marriage (or registered partner) will be included when
available. For calculating severity of the comorbidities in an individual, Elixhauser
comorbidity index will be used to estimate the impact of comorbidities on death (26,27).
Missing data on covariates will be substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided

10 186 that the data is missing at random, if applicable.

¹² 13 187 Data Harmonisation:

Firstly, we carried out a code mapping exercise for identification of people with osteoarthritis (OA) and other comorbidities. We developed a list of chronic conditions and each centres shared the list of codes to be used for the conditions, such as Read code in CPRD (UK), ICPC2 (Rotterdam) and ICD-10 for Lund and Spanish as per their database. The code lists were compared and edited to maintain the uniformity. The list was screened by verified by two researchers and two GPs. We also used unform definition for inclusion of condition e.g. at least one recording of the chronic conditions. Because all the centres did not have all the listed comorbidities, a minimum number of chronic conditions and covariates common in all the database were identified to be studied. Similarly, we decided to have a minimum follow-up study of 5 years and centres with more registration period can use the entire length of data available.

34 35 199 Study design and data analysis

Summary of the study design and analysis is provided in Figure 1. All the centres plan to use
 same statistical analysis plan to investigate each objective.

41 202 Ethics and Dissemination:

The study has obtained the following ethics approvals: UK- Independent Scientific Advisory Council (ISAC) 19/30R, The Netherlands- The Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI) registration no. 11/2019, Spain - the Information System for the Development of Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP), 4R19/011, Sweden – Ethical Review Authority, Skåne Healthcare Register, 'Dnr 2011-432, Dnr 2014-276, and Dnr 2018-233. The registry databases are made available anonymised for the research purposes. Each centre will follow the data privacy policy of respective countries.

- 55 210 We plan to publish all the results as manuscripts in peer reviewed journals and present the
- ⁵⁰ 211 findings in relevant conferences. The results would be circulated as 'lay-person' language
- ⁵⁸ 212 and would be available at least four different international languages such as English, Dutch,

BMJ Open

Swedish and Spanish. These will be shared on appropriate patient-public forum and involvedinstitution's websites.

Objective 1 - Prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA

A combined retrospective and prospective study of OA cases and sex, age (+/-2 years), first year of registration, and practice matched controls (1:1-4) without OA (28) will be used to determine the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA. Incident OA cases will be identified, and the first diagnosis date will be used as the starting point (index date). For controls the same index date as their matched case will be used. They will be both retrospectively reviewed for prior diagnoses of comorbidities and prospectively followed-up for posterior new comorbidities. In the retrospective analysis the prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of each specific comorbidity will be calculated separately in OA cases and matched controls using the number of people diagnosed with the comorbidity divided by the total number of OA cases or controls at the index date. The prevalence of each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls will be calculated for given time intervals prior to the index date of 0-1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to assess observational bias (28). Discrete time intervals of 1-5, and 5-10 years before will also be used to estimate the prevalence to minimise consultation bias/misclassification bias of OA (if possible). Logistic regression will be used to calculate the odds ratios (OR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. For the prospective analysis participants with incident OA but without the specific comorbidity of interest at the index date (i.e., people at risk) and matched controls without OA will be followed up until the date of the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. The cumulative incidence will be calculated for each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 years (based on the data available) after the index date to examine the dynamic change of developing comorbidities during follow-up. Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be used to display the cumulative probability in OA and non-OA groups. Proportional hazard assumption will be tested using Schoenfeld residual plots. The Cox regression model will be used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for age, sex, practice, BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. This hybrid design has been previously used by us to examine the temporality of associations between other rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) (e.g., gout and lupus) and comorbidities (28,29).

Objective 2 – Clusters and impact of comorbidities in people with OA

For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into the training and testing data will be introduced. The following analysis in objective 2 will be first employed into the training dataset and then tested its generalisability in the testing dataset. At baseline, clusters of people based on 61 comorbidities will be identified using Latent class (i.e., Gaussian mixture models algorithms of cluster) analysis (30). For each model, we will examine the association between clusters and covariates using multinomial logistic regressions. The distinctness of clusters will be examined by comparing covariates among clusters. The optimal model is the one where most clusters found in the training data are also identified independently in the testing data and clusters have most distinct patients' characteristics. We will then use both latent trajectory analysis, such as joint latent class models (31), and unsupervised machine learning approach, such as deep autoencoder or recurrent neural networks (32), to identify distinct clusters of new comorbidity numbers development over time and their association with mortality with adjustment for baseline covariates.

Objective 3 - Association between analgesics and incident comorbidities

A cohort study will be undertaken for this objective to evaluate the contribution of common analgesics for OA to the development of comorbidity such as NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol. We are interested in the interaction between OA and use of drugs on the incidence of comorbidities, i.e. to evaluate if the drug use in persons with OA poses increased or decreased risk of comorbidities compared to persons without OA and/or analgesics. Individual comorbidity, as well as clusters of comorbidities identified from Objective 2 will be examined as outcomes. The 61 comorbidities in our study will be further categorised into eight groups, specifically: musculoskeletal (MSK), respiratory, neurodegenerative, psychological/psychiatric, cancer, cardiovascular, metabolic, renal problem, liver diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) and others. (Table 4) The prospective cohort established from Objective 1 will form the source population for this objective. Individuals with incident OA will be identified from the database and the first diagnosis date will be used as index date for follow-up. Individuals without OA during the study period will be selected and matched with cases by age, sex, and practice. The same index date will be given from their matched OA cases. Individuals with analgesics prescriptions prior to the index date will be excluded (or recorded as a confounding factor to be adjusted as appropriate). Only analgesic prescriptions after the index date will be considered for this analysis. Prescriptions will be quantified as number of prescriptions within year 1 (initial use, primary analysis) (33), 2, 3, 4, 5 etc. It will also be

Page 11 of 38

BMJ Open

dichotomised as episodic (e.g., at least one gap of ≥90 days between prescriptions) and continuous (no gap of more less than 90 days) users as appropriate (34,35). Analgesic use will be included in the model as a risk factor together with OA diagnosis (yes/no, primary exposure) to examine the independent risk of each variable (OA and analgesics), as well as the interaction between the two to the development of comorbidity. Dose response relationship will be examined using number of prescriptions during the exposure window examined. The effect of stopping analgesics will also be examined by looking into the patterns of analgesic prescriptions, e.g., stopping analgesics after initial use in year 1 versus continuous use of analgesics afterwards. For the primary analysis (initial prescriptions within year 1), a landmark analysis will be used to minimise the immortal time bias where the follow up will start after 12 months from the index date (36). Participants at risk (i.e., without a specific comorbidity of interest) at the landmark date will be followed up until the first diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. For secondary analyses, time varying covariate analysis will be used to examine the long-term, episodic/continuous use of analgesics after the index date and interaction between OA and analgesics in the development of the comorbidity. The propensity score matching or the inverse probability weighing methods will be used to adjust for confounding by indication during the follow-up as appropriate. Depending on the country-specific drug use patterns, we may modify this definition to allow for short brakes in between the episodes. Cox-regression model will be used to calculate the HR and 95% CI. We will use flexible parametric models using restricted cubic splines (developed by Lambert, "stpm2" in Stata) to estimate the HRs and differences in time to diagnosis of comorbidities (outcome) with drugs as time-varying to account for non-proportional hazards (37).

 $\frac{3}{4}$ 305 Objective 4 – Potential causal pathways between OA and the comorbidities

We will perform a Mendelian Randomisation (MR) phenome-wide association (MR We will perform a Mendelian Randomisation (MR) phenome-wide association (MR PheWAS) study(38) to examine the causal relationship between OA, its phenotypes,
 biomarkers or risk factors and comorbidities using the UK Biobank and the Rotterdam Study
 database.

We will use the Rotterdam Study and the UK Biobank jointly for this objective. This is because that the Rotterdam Study is an OA cohort with deep phenotypes and biomarkers of OA, whereas the UK Biobank is a primary cohort for cancer and multiple disease outcomes, and both have detailed genetic variants. We will use two sample MR approach, i.e., to establish an association between OA and genetic variants in the Rotterdam Cohort to identify

genetic instrumental variables (IV), e.g., a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with OA (or a deep phenotype, biomarker, or risk factor of OA). We will then undertake the MR-PheWAS analysis to examine the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities in the UK Biobank. The MR method has been widely used in real world data to examine the causal relationship between IV and specific disease, under two assumptions: [1] genetic variants are randomly assigned in the population; and [2] genetic variants can only be the cause not consequence of disease (39). The PheWAS is a series of case control studies to estimate the associations between the IV and multiple disease outcomes (38,40). The combination of the two permits investigation of the causal effects of OA on multiple disease outcomes.

The MR-PheWAS analysis includes three steps. Firstly, we will identify the genetic variants that are associated with OA - IV. Secondly, we will undertake the PheWAS analysis – a series of case control analyses to estimate the associations between the IV and other disease outcomes (38,40), with an adjustment for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) methods (41). Thirdly, we will implement conventional MR analysis to investigate the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities (39). An inverse variance weighted (IVW) method will be used to pool the associations (ORs) as appropriate (42). The MR-Egger regression analysis will be used to count for the pleiotropic effect - the effects of one genetic variant on multiple outcomes (43). The heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) test will be used to exclude the cross-phenotype associations caused by genetic linkage (44). With the MR-PheWAS study, the OR can be interpreted as causal association. We are

primarily interested in the causality from OA to comorbidities. We are also interested in inflammatory (e.g., CRP), metabolic (e.g., gut microbiome) and biomechanics (e.g., BMI) biomarkers and deep phenotypes of OA such as knee, hip, and hand OA with and without symptoms. This will be undertaken if it is feasible within 3 years of this funded project, otherwise will be considered as our future research agenda.

49
 50 341 Objective 5 – Variation of OA comorbidity patterns across countries

We will use meta-analyses (MA) to examine the variation between countries and to pool the data as appropriate. Estimates from first three objectives such as prevalence, incidence, OR, HR and 95% CI for each specific comorbidity across different populations will be distributed in a forest plot. Heterogeneity will be examined using the I^2 statistic and the Q test (45). Results will be pooled if they are homogenous based on the I² value using the fixed effects

BMJ Open

347 model, otherwise the reasons for the heterogeneity will be investigated. Random effects

348 models will be used to pool the results if the reasons for the heterogeneity cannot be

349 identified and if the overall pooling is appropriate. Individual patient data (IPD) meta-

analysis may be used to help identify the reasons for heterogeneity (46). Common clusters

and trajectories as well as burdens of comorbidities will also be compared between

2 352 populations.

Feasibility and sample size

To detect minimum incidence of 1% comorbidity (required for cluster analysis) with a minimum clinical important difference of hazard ratio (HR)1.2, and 90% power of the study, the estimated sample size was 197561 for 1581 events. It was calculated using STATA, with a correlation= 0.2, standard deviation of 0.5, proportion of withdrawal= 0.20, alpha=0.05. The initial check with the registry database revealed to have minimum required sample size for the study.

Discussion

This study will be the largest epidemiological study on comorbidities of OA in primary care. One of the key advantages of this multinational study is the use of the same protocol to measure the burden of comorbidities in primary care settings in four European countries to ensure reproducibility and comparison. There is scant evidence on the comorbidities in people with OA, and this approach should help to identify the leading and most important associations before and after presenting clinical OA (the index date). Further advantages of this study are the large and representative populations studied and the same/similar extensive list of chronic conditions for identifying comorbidity clusters. Often comorbidities accumulate with age over time and the large primary care databases in this study have the advantage of having long follow-up time which will enable us to detect the incidence of comorbidities. Also, longer follow up would help to identify the picture of the trajectory of the diseases (47). Both the incidence and the trajectories of comorbidity clusters are highlighted as key elements needed in current research in multimorbidity, so findings from this study should help to fill the knowledge gaps on multimorbidity in OA. The relationship between chronic conditions and polypharmacy is a complex area of research. The count of the medications and more importantly the nature of prescribed drugs may be responsible for developing many new comorbidities in people with OA. We aim to explore the associations of the most commonly prescribed drugs in OA, such as NSAIDs, with the

incidence of a wide range of comorbidities, which will be the first time that conditions other than established comorbidities such as psychological conditions and endocrine diseases will be examined. Finally, the causality study will further explore the associations at genetic levels and phenotypes, which will be novel in OA research. Using a two sample MR approach - one for OA deep phenotypes and the other for other chronic conditions maximises the potentials of sample size, disease phenotypes and comorbidity spectrum to better explore the causal pathways between OA and comorbidity. There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, there are inherent issues in the nature of electronic health records with respect to possible misdiagnosis, ascertainment biases, under-or over-recording, and changes in databases due to change in coding structures. Also, the analysis will be restricted to fewer covariates in some databases due to missing information on lifestyle factors such as physical activities and diet. Even though the databases have different durations of data available, if possible we will use a common follow-up time for objective five. Another important limitation is that we do not have information on quality of life and other outcomes to measure functional limitations recorded in the database. Chronic conditions, especially comorbidities recorded in general practices, depend on multiple factors such as population structure, health care facilities, health policies, and the nature of the national databases. A major strength of this study is that it will include medical records on approximately 27 million people in four European countries. Also, the study will cover the sequence of research questions in comorbidity or multimorbidity starting from the burden through to the causality and variation. Such a research model can be used for other similar multimorbidity studies. The expected results should inform health professionals in primary care settings with respect to management of people with OA and associated comorbidities.

45 406 *Status of the study*

47 All the centres have obtained the necessary approvals for using the database in 2020. A
48 408 consensus has been made on the code mapping exercise. The statistical analysis will be
409 explained in detail in each of the publications. The team is expected to produce results by
51 52 410 mid-2021.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Patient Research Participants (PRP) members Jenny Cockshull, Stevie Vanhegan, and Irene Pitsillidou for their involvement since the beginning of the project. We would like to thank the FOREUM for financially supporting the research. The authors would like to acknowledge Keele University's Prognosis and Consultation Epidemiology Research Group who have given us permission to utilise the Code Lists (©2014).

2		
3 4	417	Contributor and guarantor information:
5	418	WZ, MD, CC, SMA, ME, DA conceived and designed the study. SS, AK, AD, AT, DR, and
6	419	VS developed the methods and will perform the analysis, and interpretation of the results.
7 8	420	CC, WZ, JR, AS, CFK, VS, and AT will supervise the statistical analysis. CM and MD will
9	421	guide with clinical interpretations of the results. SS drafted this manuscript and all authors
10	422	contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. The
11 12	423	corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others
13	424	meeting the criteria have been omitted.
14	425	Funding: This work was supported by Foundation for Research in Rheumatology
15 16	426	(FOREUM) grant (2019-2022), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01103), Governmental
17	427	funding of clinical research within the national health services (ALF), and The Swedish
18	428	Rheumatism Association. CM is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
19 20	429	Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, the National Institute for Health Research
21	430	(NIHR) School for Primary Care Research and a National Institute for Health Research
22	431	(NIHR) Research Professorship in General Practice (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026)
23 24	432	Role of the funding sources: The sponsors did not participate in the design and conduct of
25	433	the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation,
26	434	review, or approval of the manuscript and the decision to submit the manuscript for
27 28	435	publication.
29	436	Competing interests: WZ declares serving as an advisory board for Ely Lilly (Ixekizumad,
30	437	2020) and Regeneron (Fasinomab, 2020). ME declares serving as an advisory Panel Board
31 32	438	Member for Pfizer (Nov 2019, Tanezumab). CM provided advice to BMS on recruiting to a
33	439	non-pharmacological atrial fibrillation trial. The other authors report no financial competing
34	440	interests.
35 36	441	Copy right: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and
37	441	does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government
38	442 443	employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if
39 40	443	accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJPGL products and sublicences
41	445	such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.
42	445	
43 44	446	Studies involving humans or animals: No direct participant recruitment was done for the
45	447	study.
46 47		
47 48	448	Data sharing statement: We used anonymised data on individual patients on which the
49	449	analysis, results, and conclusions reported in the paper are based. The used data is not
50 51	450	distributable under licence. However, the relevant data can be obtained directly from the
52	451	respective agencies. The codes developed for the analysis can be available upon a valid
53	452	request.
54 55	453	Figure legend
56	454	Figure 1. Overview of the study design and statistical analysis plan for the Comorbidities in
57 58	455	Osteoarthritis (CoMO) study.
59		
60		

Reference

- 1. Turkiewicz A, Petersson IF, Björk J, Hawker G, Dahlberg LE, Lohmander LS, et al. Current and future impact of osteoarthritis on health care: a population-based study with projections to year 2032. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Nov;22(11):1826–32.
- 2. Badley EM. The effect of osteoarthritis on disability and health care use in Canada. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1995 Feb;43:19–22.
- 3. McDonough CM, Jette AM. The Contribution of Osteoarthritis to Functional Limitations and Disability. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010 Aug;26(3):387–99.
- 4. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet. 2020 Dec;396(10267):2006–17.
- 5. World Health Organization. Musculoskeletal conditions [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions
- Swain S, Sarmanova A, Coupland C, Doherty M, Zhang W. Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. 2019 Jun 17 [cited 2019 Jun 30]; Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/acr.24008
- 7. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Hunter DJ. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities. PM R. 2012 May;4(5 Suppl):S10-19.
- Hall AJ, Stubbs B, Mamas MA, Myint PK, Smith TO. Association between osteoarthritis and cardiovascular disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23(9):938–46.
- 9. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016 Mar 1;45(2):228–35.
- 10. Ricci-Cabello I, Stevens S, Kontopantelis E, Dalton ARH, Griffiths RI, Campbell JL, et al. Impact of the Prevalence of Concordant and Discordant Conditions on the Quality of Diabetes Care in Family Practices in England. Ann Fam Med. 2015 Nov 1;13(6):514–22.
- 11. Calderón-Larrañaga A, Poblador-Plou B, González-Rubio F, Gimeno-Feliu LA, Abad-Díez JM, Prados-Torres A. Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, referrals, and adverse drug events: Are we doing things well? Br J Gen Pract. 2012;62(605):e821–6.
- 12. Jüni P, Nartey L, Reichenbach S, Sterchi R, Dieppe PA, Egger M. Risk of cardiovascular events and rofecoxib: cumulative meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2004 Dec;364(9450):2021–9.
- 13. Castellsague J, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, Varas-Lorenzo C, Fourrier-Reglat A, Nicotra F, et al. Individual NSAIDs and Upper Gastrointestinal Complications: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies (the SOS Project). Drug Saf. 2012 Dec;35(12):1127–46.
- 14. Lowe D.B., Taylor M.J., Hill S.J. Cross-sectional examination of musculoskeletal conditions and multimorbidity: influence of different thresholds and definitions on prevalence and association estimates. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10(1):51.
- John R, Kerby DS, Hagan Hennessy C. Patterns and Impact of Comorbidity and Multimorbidity Among Community-Resident American Indian Elders. The Gerontologist. 2003 Oct 1;43(5):649– 60.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
13 14
15
16
16 17 18
17
19
20
21
22
23
23 24
24 25
25
26 27
27
28
29
30
31 32 33
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
J7

- 16. Alaeddini A., Jaramillo C.A., Faruqui S.H.A., Pugh M.J. Mining Major Transitions of Chronic Conditions in Patients with Multiple Chronic Conditions. Methods Inf Med. 2017;56(5):391–400.
- 17. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLOS Med. 2015 Mar 31;12(3):e1001779.
- Engelhart MJ, Geerlings MI, Meijer J, Kiliaan A, Ruitenberg A, van Swieten JC, et al. Inflammatory Proteins in Plasma and the Risk of Dementia: The Rotterdam Study. Arch Neurol. 2004 May 1;61(5):668.
- Quality and Outcome Framework (QOF) [Internet]. [cited 2018 Mar 21]. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/article/8910/Quality-and-Outcome-Framework-QOF-Indicators-No-Longer-In-QOF-INLIQ-Enhanced-Services-ES-Vaccinations-and-Immunisations-V-I-and-GMS-Core-Contract-CC-extraction-specifications-business-rules-
- 20. Medicare C for, Baltimore MS 7500 SB, Usa M. CC_Main [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Oct 24]. Available from: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/Chronic-Conditions/CC_Main.html
- James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2018 Nov;392(10159):1789–858.
- 22. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987 Jan 1;40(5):373–83.
- 23. Sarmanova A, Fernandes GS, Richardson H, Valdes AM, Walsh DA, Zhang W, et al. Contribution of central and peripheral risk factors to prevalence, incidence and progression of knee pain: a community-based cohort study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018 Nov;26(11):1461–73.
- 24. Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol. 1994 Nov 1;47(11):1245–51.
- 25. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, Fushimi K, Graham P, Hider P, et al. Updating and Validating the Charlson Comorbidity Index and Score for Risk Adjustment in Hospital Discharge Abstracts Using Data From 6 Countries. Am J Epidemiol. 2011 Mar 15;173(6):676–82.
- 26. van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, Quan H, Forster AJ. A Modification of the Elixhauser Comorbidity Measures Into a Point System for Hospital Death Using Administrative Data: Med Care. 2009 Jun;47(6):626–33.
- 27. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. Med Care. 1998 Jan;36(1):8–27.
- 28. Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Comorbidities in patients with gout prior to and following diagnosis: case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014 Nov 14;annrheumdis-2014-206410.
- 29. Swain S, Coupland C, Mallen C, Kuo CF, Sarmanova A, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al. Temporal relationship between osteoarthritis and comorbidities: a combined case control and cohort study in the UK primary care setting. Rheumatology. 2021 Mar 1;keab067.

- Collins LM, Lanza ST. Latent Class and Latent Transition Analysis [Internet]. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2009 [cited 2020 Apr 5]. (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics). Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/9780470567333
- 31. Proust-Lima C, Séne M, Taylor JM, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Joint latent class models for longitudinal and time-to-event data: A review. Stat Methods Med Res. 2014 Feb;23(1):74–90.
- 32. Vincent P, Larochelle H, Lajoie I, Bengio Y, Manzagol P-A. Stacked Denoising Autoencoders: Learning Useful Representations in a Deep Network with a Local Denoising Criterion. J Mach Learn Res. 2010 Dec;11:3371–408.
- 33. Zeng C, Zhang W, Doherty M, Persson MSM, Mallen C, Swain S, et al. Initial analgesic prescriptions for osteoarthritis in the United Kingdom, 2000–2016. Rheumatology. 2021 Jan 5;60(1):147–59.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Unintended effects of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population based cohort study using the QResearch database. BMJ. 2010 May 20;340(may19 4):c2197–c2197.
- 35. Sarmanova A, Doherty M, Kuo C, Wei J, Abhishek A, Mallen C, et al. Statin use and risk of joint replacement due to osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a propensity-score matched longitudinal cohort study. Rheumatology. 2020 Oct 1;59(10):2898–907.
- Kuo C-F, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Effect of allopurinol on all-cause mortality in adults with incident gout: propensity score-matched landmark analysis. Rheumatology. 2015 Jul 12;kev246.
- 37. Royston P, Lambert PC. Flexible parametric survival analysis using Stata: beyond the Cox model. College Station, TX: Stata Press; 2011. 347 p.
- 38. Millard LAC, Davies NM, Timpson NJ, Tilling K, Flach PA, Smith GD. MR-PheWAS: hypothesis prioritization among potential causal effects of body mass index on many outcomes, using Mendelian randomization. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2020 Jan 26];5(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep16645
- 39. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. BMJ. 2018 Jul 12;k601.
- 40. Li X, Meng X, Spiliopoulou A, Timofeeva M, Wei W-Q, Gifford A, et al. MR-PheWAS: exploring the causal effect of SUA level on multiple disease outcomes by using genetic instruments in UK Biobank. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018 Jul;77(7):1039–47.
- 41. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The Control of the False Discovery Rate in Multiple Testing under Dependency. Ann Stat. 2001;29(4):1165–88.
- 42. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian Randomization Analysis With Multiple Genetic Variants Using Summarized Data: Mendelian Randomization Using Summarized Data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013 Nov;37(7):658–65.
- 43. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016 May;40(4):304–14.

- 44. Zhu Z, Zhang F, Hu H, Bakshi A, Robinson MR, Powell JE, et al. Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. Nat Genet. 2016 May;48(5):481–7.
 - 45. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002 Jun 15;21(11):1539–58.
 - 46. Stewart LA, Tierney JF. To IPD or not to IPD? Advantages and disadvantages of systematic reviews using individual patient data. Eval Health Prof. 2002 Mar;25(1):76–97.
 - 47. Vetrano DL, Roso-Llorach A, Fernández S, Guisado-Clavero M, Violán C, Onder G, et al. Twelve-year clinical trajectories of multimorbidity in a population of older adults. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2020 Aug 12];11(1). Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-16780-x

or open terror on the second

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
8
9
-
10
11
12
13
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
24 25 26 27 28 29
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
36 37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
57
58
59
60

1 2

Table 1. Characteristics of the included databases

	Netherlands	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Objectives 1-3					
Name of the database	Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI)	The Spanish Sistema information del Deveolpment de l'Investigació a Atenció Primària (SIDIAP)	Skåne Healthcare Register	Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)	
Settings	Routinely collected primary care database	Routinely collected primary care data	Swedish healthcare in Skane region, primary, specialist and in-patient care	Routinely collected primary care database with linkage database	
Size and Coverage	2.2 million (Randomly distributed over the country)	6.5 million (> 85% of total Catalan region)	1.3 million (all residents of the Skane region)	17 million (country-wide, nearly 740 practices)	
Start year	1998- (Better coding after 2000)	2006	1998	1993-	
Age group	All	All	All	All	
Gender	All	All	All	All	
Coding system	ICPC	ICD 10	ICD 10	Read codes and ICD 10	
Drug prescribed by	GP	GP	GP	GP	
Death record (Either date of death and/or cause)	Both date and cause	Only date	Both date and cause (until year 2015)	Only date	
Covariates/ additional variables	NA	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Social class, cholesterol, and other biomarkers	Education, Income, profession, and sick leave, residential area, region of birth	BMI, Smoking, Alcohol, Deprivation index, Ethnic group	

 Image: Image:

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	

59 60

Table 2. Database for the mendelian randomisation

Name of the database	Rotterdam Cohort Study	UK Biobank
Population coverage	15000	500,000
Age group	>=40 years	40-69 years
Start year -till now	1989-onwards	2010-
Types of data	Radiographic data, joint pain, joint stiffness, of hip, knee, and hand, GWAS, biochemical markers	Genetic and phenotypes

GWAS- Genome Wide Association Studies

rion Str

Sl no	Conditions	ICD 10	ICPC	Read Code
1	Anaemia (All types)	D50-D64	B78, B80, B81, B82	D00, D01
2	Ankylosing spondylitis	M45.9	NA	N10
3	Anxiety disorder	F41.0-F41.9	P74, P74.01, P74.02	E200, Eu41
4	Asthma	J450-J45.9, J46.9	R96	66Y, H33
5	Benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH)	N40.9	Y85	K20, K21, K22
6	Cardiac arrhythmias (Atrial Fibrillation)	I47.0-I49.9	K78	Gyu , G573
7	Cataract	H25.0-H25.9, H26.1-H26.9	F92	F46,
8	Chronic Back pain	M47-M48, M51-M54, M99, G54.4	L02, L03, L86	N12, N14,
9	Chronic kidney disease (any cause)	N02.0-N8.8, N11.0-N11.9, N12.9, N15.0-N18.9, N19.9	U99.01	1Z1, K01, K02
10	Chronic neck pain	M54.2	L83	Nyu, N11, N12, N14
11	Chronic sinusitis	J32	R75	H13
12	Chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases	J41.0-J41.8, J42.9, J43.0-J43.9, J44.0-J44.9	R91, R95	Н3
13	Coronary Heart Disease (Including Acute Myocardial infarction, Valvular disease, Angina),	120.0-125.0, 134.0-137.0	K74-K76	G11, G30, G31 G38
14	Dementia	F00.0-F00.9, F01.0-F03.9, G30.0-G30.9, G31.0-G31.9	P70-P70.02	E00, Eu0, F11
15	Depression	F32.0-F33.9	P76	Eu, E11
16	Diabetes mellitus	E10.0-E14.9	T90, F83.01	C10, F32,
17	Dyslipidaemia (Hyper)	E78.1	T93	C32
18	Eating disorders (Both)			Eu5, R03
19	Eczema/ Skin disease	L20.0-L22.9, L26.9	S74, S87, S88	M11
20	Epilepsy	G40.0-G41.9	N88	F25
21	Fatigue	F48.0	A04.11	F286
22	Fibromyalgia	M79.7	L18.01	N248, N239
23	Gall bladder stone	K80.0-K80.8	D98-D98.03	781, J65 , 4G2,
24	GERD (Gastritis, Oesophageal bleeding, duodenitis, peptic ulcer)	К21	D840	J12, J13, J15
25	Gastrointestinal bleeding	K25.0-K28.9	D84-D87	J11,
26	Gout	M10.0-M10.9	T92	C34, N023
27	Hearing impairment (All types)	H90.0-H91.9	H83-H86	F59, ZE87
28	Heart Failure	150.0-150.9	K77-K77.02	G58,
29 30	Hepatitis HIV/AIDS	K73.0-K73.9 B20-B24	D72-D72.05 B90	J61, J63 A788, A789,
31	Hypertension	110.9, 111.0-113.9, 115.0-115.9	K86-K87,	AyuC G20, G24,
32	Hyperthyroidism	E05.0-E05.9	F83.02 T85	G25, G26 C02
33	Hypothyroidism	E03.0-E03.9 E02.9, E03.0-E03.9	T85	C02, C04
34	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD)	K50.0-K52.9	D94-D94.02	J4,
35	Irritable Bowel Symptoms (IBS)	K58.1-K58.8	D)+-D)+.02	J52
36	Leukaemia, Lymphoma	C81.0-C86.6, C91.0-C96.9	B72-B73	B60, B61, B64
37	Liver Cirrhosis	K70.0-K71.9, K74.0-K74.6	D97	J615
38	Migraine	G43.0-G43.9	N89	F26,
39	Multiple sclerosis	G35.9	N86	F20,
40	Osteoarthritis	M16.0-M16.9, M17.0-M17.9	L89-L91	N05,
41	Osteoporosis	M80.0-M82.9	L95	N33,

Table 3. List of chronic conditions across four databases

2
3
4
5
ر د
6
7
8 9
Q
10
10
11
12
13
11
14 15
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
25
20
24
25
26
27
20
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 35
35
36
36 37
38
39
40
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

60

42	Other blood vessel disease (Raynaud's disease, Burger's disease)	173.0-173.9	К92	G73,
43	Parkinson's disease	G20.9	N87-N87.01	F12,
44	Peripheral vascular disease (Atherosclerosis)	170.0-170.9	K91	G70, G71 , G72
45	Polymyalgia	M35.3	L99.12	N20.11
46	Psoriasis	L40.0-L41.9	S91	M161
47	Psoriatic arthritis	M07.0-M07.3	L99.13	M160
48	Rheumatoid Arthritis	M05.0-M05.9	L88, K71	N04
49	Renal stones	N20.0	U95	4G4, 7B07, KB12
50	Schizophrenia and/or psychosis	F20.0-F20.9, F25.0- F25.9	P72	E10
51	Severe allergy			H17, SN5
52	Sjögren's syndrome	M35.0	NA	N002
53	Systemic Lupus Erythematosus	M32.0, M32.1, M32.8, M32.9	NA	N000
54	Sleep disorder (Insomnia)	F51.0	P06	Fy0, 1B1B
55	Solid malignancy	C00.0-C80.9, D00.0-D09.9, C97.9	A29, A79, B74 – Y78	B0 B67 , Byu
56	Stroke	G45.0-G46.8, I60.0-I63.9, I65.0-166.9, I69.0-I69.4	K89-K90.02	G60 G68, F22
57	Substance abuse/ Drug addiction	F10.0-F19.9	P18, P19	E24, Eu1
58	Thrombotic diseases	174.0-174.9	K93, K94, W99.03	G80, G81, G74
59	Tuberculosis	A15.0-A16.9, B90.9	A70, R70	A1, A11
60	Vertigo	H81.4	N17-N17.02, H82-H82.03	R004, F561
61	Vision problem (Glaucoma and other)	H27.0-H27.9, H40.0-H40.9, H42.0-H42.8	F93, F94	F45, F49,

ICD- International Classification of Diseases; ICPC- International classification in primary care. All the codes are the primary code initials used in the database.

Table 4. Group of conditions/Outcome

0	
Group	Conditions
Cardiovascular	Cardiac arrhythmias, Coronary Heart Disease (including AMI, valvular disease, angina), Heart failure, Hypertension, Peripheral vascular disease (claudication, Raynaud syndrome, Buerger's disease), Other blood vessel disease (atherosclerosis and aneurysm), Thrombotic diseases
GastrointestinalGERD (Esophageal diseases, gastritis, duodenitis), GI bleeding, Inflammatory disease (IBD), Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)	
Musculoskeletal	Ankylosing spondylitis, Chronic Back pain, Chronic Neck pain, Fibromyalgia, Polymyalgia, Gout, Osteoporosis, Psoriatic arthritis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren's syndrome, Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)
Endocrine Diabetes mellitus, Dyslipidemia (hyper), Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism	
Neurological	Dementia, Epilepsy, Fatigue, Migraine, Multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Stroke
Psychological	Anxiety, Depression, Eating disorders (Anorexia / Bulimia nervosa), Schizophrenia, Sleep disorder (insomnia),
Kidney disease	Chronic kidney disease (any cause), Renal stones
Liver diseases	Gall bladder stone, Hepatitis, Liver cirrhosis
Respiratory	Asthma, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
Cancer	Leukemia, Lymphoma, Solid Malignancy (any type)
Others	Anemia (all types), Benign prostate hypertrophy (BPH), Cataract, Chronic sinusitis, Eczema/Skin disease, Hearing impairment (all types), Psoriasis, Severe allergy (anaphylactic shock), angioneurotic oedema

BMJ Open Figure 1. Overview of the study design and statistical analysis plan for the Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO) study.

	Objective 1	Objective 2	Objective 3	Objective 4
Study Design	Case-control and Cohort	Clustering and longitudinal	Cohort 022	Genomic association
Exposure	OA	OA	Analgesics (NSAIDS, Opioids, paracetamol)	OA
Outcome	Comorbidities	Clusters of comorbidities	Comorbidities	Comorbidities
Statistical methods	Conditional logistic regression, Cox regression	Latent class analysis, Latent class growth analysis Joint latent class analysis	Cox regression Time varying analysis Flexible parametric method	Mendelian randomisation
Reported Outcome	Odds Ratio and Hazard Ratio	Clusters and groups	Hazard Ratio	Coefficients
Participating centres	ALL	ALL	ALL 🦉	UK and Netherlands

Objective 5

Meta Analysis

April 18, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

OA- osteoarthritis; NSAIDs- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

3 4

		BMJ Open	Page 26 of
		pen-20	
	S	TROBE 2007 (v4) checklist of items to be included in reports of observational studies in epidemiology*	
		Checklist for cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies (combined)	
Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Comorbidities in Osteoarthritis (CoMO): a multinational study in four European coontries	1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found <i>Introduction</i>	1
		Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading chronic conditions in the older population People with OA are more	
		likely to have one or more other chronic conditions than those without. However, the temporal associations,	
		clusters of the comorbidities, role of analgesics and the causality and variation between populations are yet to be	
		investigated. This paper describes the protocol of a multinational study in four European countries (UK,	
		Netherlands, Sweden, and Spain) exploring comorbidities in people with OA.	
		Methods and analysis	
		This multinational study will investigate i) the temporal associations of 61 identified comorbidities with OA, ii) the	
		clusters and trajectories of comorbidities in people with OA, iii) the role of analgesics on incidence of	
		comorbidities in people with OA, iv) the potential biomarkers and causality between OA and the comorbidities,	
		and v) variations between countries. \vec{x}	
		A combined case-control and cohort study will be conducted to find the temporal association of OA with the	
		comorbidities using the national or regional health databases. Latent class analysis will be performed to identify the	
		clusters at baseline and joint latent class analysis will be used to examine trajectories during the follow-up. A	
		cohort study will be undertaken to evaluate the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids,	
		and paracetamol on the incidence of comorbidities. Mendelian randomisation will be performed to investigate the	
		potential biomarkers for causality between OA and the comorbidities using the UK8Biobank and the Rotterdam	
		right.	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

38		BMJ Open <u>m</u>	
			1
		Study databases. Finally, a meta-analyses will be used to examine the variations and pool the results from different	
		countries.	
		Ethics and Dissemination	
		Research ethics was obtained according to each database requirement. Results will be disseminated through the	
		FOREUM website, scientific meetings, publications, and in partnership with patients organisations.	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported <u>S</u>	4-5
		hands and feet (1). It is by far the most common form of arthritis, and a leading cause of chronic joint pain and	
		disability in older people (2,3). It is anticipated that the burden of OA will continue to rise in the coming decades	
		because of population ageing and the increasing obesity prevalence – two major rist factors for OA (4,5). Co-	
		occurrence of multiple chronic conditions in an individual with ageing is becoming a norm and OA is not an	
		exception to this.	
		A recent systematic review has confirmed that people with OA are more likely to have other diseases, especially	
		stroke, peptic ulcer, hypertension, and depression (6). Vast majority of these studies focused on additional presence	
		(comorbidity) of cardiovascular and musculoskeletal conditions only (7–9). Whether these comorbidities just co-	
		exist with OA, share common risk factors with OA, or are causes or consequences \vec{R} OA remains largely	
		unknown. There was also reporting of wide heterogeneity in definitions of OA and ther chronic conditions,	
		diagnosis and recording of diseases, sample sizes, and number of diseases studied B previously published studies	
		included in the review (6). This diversity made the comparison and pooled estimation of comorbidity prevalence	
		difficult.	
		Comorbidity in OA can occur due to multiple factors. Various hypotheses have been used to explain the existence	
		of comorbidities in general, the most accepted of which are the concordant (diseas sharing similar	

pathophysiological risk factors) and discordant (diseases not sharing similar pathophysiological risk factors) theories (10). Additionally, prescription of drugs is also reported to be associated with comorbidity and multimorbidity (11). Especially in people with OA, the prescription of analgesics is common, and is associated with increased risk of other conditions such as cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and phronic renal diseases (12,13). Also, having multiple chronic conditions increases the chances of polypharmacy which further escalates the risk of other conditions.

36/bmjopen

OA is one of the leading conditions reported in multimorbidity research. Exploring the association of OA with other diseases would help in further explaining the burden and pattern of the comomon bidity (14). However, the major issue in OA comorbidity research is the low number and specific types of conditions studied (16). Therefore, it is important to develop a consensus on both the count and typology of conditions to be studied to enable comparisons across populations and to derive pooled estimates as appropriate. Further, using uniform methods and definitions of diseases in computing these estimates would reduce heterogeneity and make the comparison more reliable. Understanding the temporal association with comorbidity and disease trajectory is erucial for any chronic condition, and this is possible through studies using longitudinal databases (15). However, one of the limitations of using observational data is that causal associations are difficult to establish, due to the interference of known and unknown confounders. In this study we have used the more recently developed methods 'Mendelian Randomization', that can determine causal estimates through combining the use of enetic data and instrumental variable methods.''

individual factors such as income and education. Such factors vary between countries, because of the heterogeneity

mentioned above there are no robust data comparing OA and its comorbidities between countries. Therefore, the

aims of this study were to explore the burden, pattern, and causal factors of comorbidities in people with OA

across four European countries using national registration databases in the UK, the Netherlands, Sweden, and

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

38		BMJ Open	
		pen-20	
		Spain.	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses 0 1. To estimate the prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities of OA	5
		2. To examine the clusters of comorbidities and trajectories of clusters in OA and associations with death	
		3. To investigate the associations between commonly used OA drugs, such as $\frac{1}{8}$ on-steroidal anti-inflammatory	
		(NSAIDs) and opioids, and risk of comorbidities	
		4. To identify the potential biomarkers and causal pathways between OA and the comorbidities	
		5. To examine the variations of OA comorbidities and clusters across countries \vec{x}	
Methods	L		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper # Figure 1 #	Figure
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Databases	5-6
		Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweden and Spain) health databases	
		will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic associations of OA with comorbidities will be	
		examined using two cohort studies from the UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18).	
		The four national representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary care	
		consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information about the diagnosis of the	
		diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in secondary care, prescription of drugs, deaths, and	
		other health utilisation indicators. The details of the databases and their properties are given in Table 1 and 2.	
		Participants People registered with the respective databases aged 18 year or above are eligible for the study.	
			<u> </u>
		by copyright	

		BMJ Open <u>BMJ Open</u>	Page 3
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	n/a
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per Case Objective 1 – Prevalence, incidence, and time sequence of comorbidities in OA	7-8
		A combined retrospective and prospective study of OA cases and sex, age (+/-2 years), first year of registration, and practice matched controls (1:1-4) without OA (28) will be used to determine the prevalence, incidence, and time assumes of semaphidities in OA. Insident OA assessmill be identified, and the formation of the prevalence is data will be	
		time sequence of comorbidities in OA. Incident OA cases will be identified, and the first diagnosis date will be used as the starting point (index date). For controls the same index date as their matched case will be used. They will be both retrospectively reviewed for prior diagnoses of comorbidities and prospectively followed-up for	
		posterior new comorbidities. For the prospective analysis participants with incident OA but without the specific comorbidity of interest at the index date (i.e., people at risk) and matched controls without OA will be followed up until the date of the first	
		diagnosis of the comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. The $c \frac{1}{2}$ mulative incidence will be calculated for each comorbidity in OA cases and matched controls at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 years (based on the data	
		available) after the index date to examine the dynamic change of developing comorporation of bidities during follow-up. Objective 3 A cohort study will be undertaken for this objective to evaluate the contribution of bommon analgesics for OA to	
		the development of comorbidity such as NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol. We are interested in the interaction between OA and use of drugs on the incidence of comorbidities, i.e. to evaluate if the drug use in persons with OA	9
		poses increased or decreased risk of comorbidities compared to persons without OA and/or analgesics. Individual	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Page 31 of 38	BMJ Open	
1	oppen-20	
2	comorbidity, as well as clusters of comorbidities identified from Objective 2 will be examined as outcomes. The 61	
3 4	comorbidities in our study will be further categorised into eight groups, specificall se musculoskeletal (MSK),	
5 6	respiratory, neurodegenerative, psychological/psychiatric, cancer, cardiovascular, rgetabolic, renal problem, liver	
7	diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) and others. (Table 4) The prospective cohort established from Objective 1 will form	
8 9	Ξ the source population for this objective. Individuals with incident OA will be ident given from the database and the	
10 11	first diagnosis date will be used as index date for follow-up. Individuals without OA during the study period will be	
12	selected and matched with cases by age, sex, and practice. The same index date will be given from their matched	
13 14	OA cases. Individuals with analgesics prescriptions prior to the index date will be excluded (or recorded as a	
15 16	confounding factor to be adjusted as appropriate). Only analgesic prescriptions after the index date will be	
17 18	considered for this analysis. Prescriptions will be quantified as number of prescriptions within year 1 (initial use,	
19	primary analysis) (33), 2, 3, 4, 5 etc. It will also be dichotomised as episodic (e.g., at least one gap of \geq 90 days	
20 21	between prescriptions) and continuous (no gap of more less than 90 days) users as appropriate (34,35). Analgesic	
22 23	use will be included in the model as a risk factor together with OA diagnosis (yes/m), primary exposure) to	
24	examine the independent risk of each variable (OA and analgesics), as well as the interaction between the two to	
25 26	the development of comorbidity. Dose response relationship will be examined using number of prescriptions	
27 28	during the exposure window examined. The effect of stopping analgesics will also be examined by looking into	
29	the patterns of analgesic prescriptions, e.g., stopping analgesics after initial use in kar 1 versus continuous use of	
30 31		
32 33	analgesics afterwards.	
34	Objective 4	
35 36	We will use the Rotterdam Study and the UK Biobank jointly for this objective. The s is because that the Rotterdam	
37	Study is an OA cohort with deep phenotypes and biomarkers of OA, whereas the UK Biobank is a primary cohort	
38 39	for cancer and multiple disease outcomes, and both have detailed genetic variants. We will use two sample MR	
40	right.	
42		

		BMJ Open	Page 32
		approach, i.e., to establish an association between OA and genetic variants in the Retterdam Cohort to identify	
		G G	
		genetic instrumental variables (IV), e.g., a set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with OA (or a deep phenotype, biomarker, or risk factor of OA). We will then undertake the MR-genewAS analysis to examine	
		the causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities in the UK Biobank. The MR method has been widely used in real	
		world data to examine the causal relationship between IV and specific disease, under two assumptions: [1] genetic variants are randomly assigned in the population; and [2] genetic variants can only be the cause not consequence of	
		disease (39). The PheWAS is a series of case control studies to estimate the associations between the IV and	
		multiple disease outcomes (38,40). The combination of the two permits investigation of the causal effects of OA on \vec{z}	
		multiple disease outcomes.	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Definition of Osteoarthritis	
		OA will be defined as having at least one recorded physician diagnosis of OA for hip, knee, ankle/foot, wrist/hand,	6-7
		or site recorded as 'unspecified' during the study period for the respective database People with any previous	
		recording of the OA prior to the start date of the study will be excluded.	
		<i>Comorbidities</i> We defined comorbidity as the recording of diagnosis of predefined chronic conditions in individuals using either	
		ICD-10 or Read or international classification of primary care (ICPC) code. An extensive list of 61 chronic	
		conditions was prepared from the Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) (19), list of the US Department of Health	
		and Human Services Initiative on Multiple Chronic Conditions (20), global burden of diseases (21) and the	
		Charlson comorbidity index (22). The list has been updated with findings from our $\frac{3}{5}$ systematic review (6) and a	
		previous UK community-based knee pain study (6,23) by including common and inportant morbidities not	
		included in the above (24,25). A code mapping exercise was conducted to finalise the list of conditions available	
		for all the research centres. The comparison of codes was made, and it was review all by four researchers including	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	BMJ Open	
	a clinician from the team. The detailed list of the conditions is given in Table 3.	
	<i>Covariates</i> Age and sex will be used in all centres as covariates to adjust in regression models. Additionally, information on	
	body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use, socioeconomic variables such as education level, income, place of	
	birth (to identify those who immigrated to the country), and residential area, marriage (or registered partner) will be	
	included when available. For calculating severity of the comorbidities in an individual, Elixhauser comorbidity	
	index will be used to estimate the impact of comorbidities on death (26,27). Missing data on covariates will be	
	substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided that the data is missing at $\frac{1}{2}$ and om, if applicable.	
Data sources/ measurement	8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Databases Image: Comparability of there is more than one group	5
	Four routinely collected national (the UK and the Netherlands) or regional (Sweder and Spain) health databases	
	will be used for objectives 1-3. In addition, for objective 4, genomic associations of OA with comorbidities will be	
	examined using two cohort studies from the UK (UK Biobank) (17) and the Netherlands (Rotterdam study) (18).	
	The four national representative and regional databases contain information about the population with primary care	
	consultations in four different countries. The longitudinal databases provide information about the diagnosis of the	
	diseases by the general practitioners and some diagnoses made in secondary care, \vec{p}_{e} escription of drugs, deaths, and	
	other health utilisation indicators. The details of the databases and their properties $\frac{3}{8}$ given in Table 1 and 2.	
	Data Harmonisation:	
	Firstly, we carried out a code mapping exercise for identification of people with osteoarthritis (OA) and other	7
	comorbidities. We developed a list of chronic conditions and each centres shared the list of codes to be used for the	
	conditions, such as Read code in CPRD (UK), ICPC2 (Rotterdam) and ICD-10 for gund and Spanish as per their	
	database. The code lists were compared and edited to maintain the uniformity. The gist was screened by verified by	
		L

		BMJ Open	Page 3
		two researchers and two GPs. We also used unform definition for inclusion of condition e.g. at least one recording	
		of the chronic conditions. Because all the centres did not have all the listed comorbadities, a minimum number of	
		6	
		chronic conditions and covariates common in all the database were identified to be studied. Similarly, we decided	
		to have a minimum follow-up study of 5 years and centres with more registration $p\underline{\underline{P}}$ riod can use the entire length of	
		data available.	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Dias	9		Explaine under
			each
		from	objective
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at <i>Feasibility and sample size</i>	11-12
		To detect minimum incidence of 1% comorbidity (required for cluster analysis) with a minimum clinical important	
		difference of hazard ratio (HR)1.2, and 90% power of the study, the estimated sample size was 197561 for 1581	
		events. It was calculated using STATA, with a correlation= 0.2, standard deviation of	
		withdrawal= 0.20, alpha=0.05. The initial check with the registry database revealed to have minimum required	
		sample size for the study. $P_{\underline{1}}$	
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which growings were chosen and why	Explaine
variables		t by g	under
		guest states and states	each objectiv
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	
		Objective 1 g In the retrospective analysis the prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) of eagh specific comorbidity will be	
		calculated separately in OA cases and matched controls using the number of people diagnosed with the	8
		comorbidity divided by the total number of OA cases or controls at the index date. The prevalence of each	

I	
2	comorbidity in OA cases and matched co
3 4	0-1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to asso
5	
6	before will also be used to estimate the p
7	possible). Logistic regression will be use
8	
9 10	adjusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol of
10	Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be use
12	Dran artica al borrand assumention will be t
13	Proportional hazard assumption will be t
14	used to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for e
15	smaking and alashal consumption. This
16 17	smoking and alcohol consumption. This
18	of associations between other rheumatic
19	(28,29).
20	(20,2)).
21	
22	<i>Objective 2</i>
23 24	
25	For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into
26	
27	objective 2 will be first employed into th
28	At baseline, clusters of people based on
29	
30 31	mixture models algorithms of cluster) an
32	clusters and covariates using multinomia
33	
34	comparing covariates among clusters. The
35	are also identified independently in the to
36	
37 38	then use both latent trajectory analysis, s
39	approach, such as deep autoencoder or re
40	Tr , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
41	
42	
43	For peer review only - http://br
44 45	· · ·
45 46	

omorbidity in OA cases and matched controls will be calculated for given time intervals prior to the index date of -1, 0-5 and 0-10 years separately to assess observational bias (28). Discrete time intervals of 1-5, and 5-10 years efore will also be used to estimate the prevalence to minimise consultation bias/misclassification bias of OA (if ossible). Logistic regression will be used to calculate the odds ratios (OR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and djusted for BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption. Caplan-Meier survival curves will be used to display the cumulative probability in OA and non-OA groups. roportional hazard assumption will be tested using Schoenfeld residual plots. The Cox regression model will be sed to calculate hazard ratios (HR) for each comorbidity unadjusted and adjusted for age, sex, practice, BMI, moking and alcohol consumption. This hybrid design has been previously used by used by used by a comorbidities f associations between other rheumatic musculoskeletal diseases (RMDs) (e.g., goat and lupus) and comorbidities 28 29)

36/bmjopen-2

righ

8

9

For each dataset, an 80%: 20% split into the training and testing data will be introduced. The following analysis in objective 2 will be first employed into the training dataset and then tested its general isability in the testing dataset. At baseline, clusters of people based on 61 comorbidities will be identified using Latent class (i.e., Gaussian mixture models algorithms of cluster) analysis (30). For each model, we will examine the association between clusters and covariates using multinomial logistic regressions. The distinctness of dusters will be examined by comparing covariates among clusters. The optimal model is the one where most clusters found in the training data are also identified independently in the testing data and clusters have most distinct distincts? characteristics. We will then use both latent trajectory analysis, such as joint latent class models (31), and use supervised machine learning approach, such as deep autoencoder or recurrent neural networks (32), to identify destinct clusters of new

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open (6) pp pp -2	Page
comorbidity numbers development over time and their association with mortality with adjustment for baseline	
covariates.	
Objective 3	
For the primary analysis (initial prescriptions within year 1), a landmark analysis will be used to minimise the	10
immortal time bias where the follow up will start after 12 months from the index date (36). Participants at risk (i.e.,	10
without a specific comorbidity of interest) at the landmark date will be followed up until the first diagnosis of the	
comorbidity, deregistration, or death whichever comes first. For secondary analyses, time varying covariate	
analysis will be used to examine the long-term, episodic/continuous use of analges after the index date and	
interaction between OA and analgesics in the development of the comorbidity. The propensity score matching or	
the inverse probability weighing methods will be used to adjust for confounding by indication during the follow-up	
as appropriate. Depending on the country-specific drug use patterns, we may modify this definition to allow for	
short brakes in between the episodes. Cox-regression model will be used to calculate the HR and 95% CI. We will	
use flexible parametric models using restricted cubic splines (developed by Lamber, "stpm2" in Stata) to estimate	
the HRs and differences in time to diagnosis of comorbidities (outcome) with drugs as time-varying to account for	
non-proportional hazards (37).	
Objective 4	
The MR-PheWAS analysis includes three steps. Firstly, we will identify the genetic variants that are associated	10-11
with OA - IV. Secondly, we will undertake the PheWAS analysis – a series of case control analyses to estimate the	
associations between the IV and other disease outcomes (38,40), with an adjustment for multiple testing using the	
false discovery rate (FDR) methods (41). Thirdly, we will implement conventional MR analysis to investigate the	
causal effects of the OA IV on comorbidities (39). An inverse variance weighted (I) will be used to	
pool the associations (ORs) as appropriate (42). The MR-Egger regression analysise will be used to count for the	
pleiotropic effect - the effects of one genetic variant on multiple outcomes (43). The heterogeneity in dependent $\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

7 of 38	BMJ Open	
	pen-2	
	instruments (HEIDI) test will be used to exclude the cross-phenotype associations eaused by genetic linkage (44).	
	With the MR-PheWAS study, the OR can be interpreted as causal association. We are primarily interested in the	
	causality from OA to comorbidities. We are also interested in inflammatory (e.g., GRP), metabolic (e.g., gut	
	microbiome) and biomechanics (e.g., BMI) biomarkers and deep phenotypes of OA such as knee, hip, and hand	
	OA with and without symptoms. This will be undertaken if it is feasible within 3 years of this funded project,	
	otherwise will be considered as our future research agenda.	
	Objective 5	
	We will use meta-analyses (MA) to examine the variation between countries and $t \vec{e}$ pool the data as appropriate.	11
	Estimates from first three objectives such as prevalence, incidence, OR, HR and 95 CI for each specific	
	comorbidity across different populations will be distributed in a forest plot. Heterogeneity will be examined using	
	the I ² statistic and the Q test (45). Results will be pooled if they are homogenous based on the I ² value using the	
	fixed effects model, otherwise the reasons for the heterogeneity will be investigated. Random effects models will	
	be used to pool the results if the reasons for the heterogeneity cannot be identified and if the overall pooling is	
	appropriate. Individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis may be used to help identify the reasons for heterogeneity	
	(46). Common clusters and trajectories as well as burdens of comorbidities will als $\frac{3}{2}$ be compared between	
	populations.	
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	n/a
	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed Missing data on covariates will be substituted using multiple imputation methods, provided that the data is missing at random, if applicable.	7
	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	n/a
	Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a

		BMJ Open	
		pen-2022	
Results		22	
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	n/a
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on 🗟 posures and potential confounders	n/a
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	n/a
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	n/a
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	n/a
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	n/a
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	n/a
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	n/a
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	n/a
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias g There are some limitations to this study. Firstly, there are inherent issues in the nature of electronic health records with respect to possible misdiagnosis, ascertainment biases, under- or over-recording, and changes in databases due	13
		to change in coding structures. Also, the analysis will be restricted to fewer covariates in some databases due to	
		missing information on lifestyle factors such as physical activities and diet. Even though the databases have	
		different durations of data available, if possible we will use a common follow-up tighter for objective five. Another	
		important limitation is that we do not have information on quality of life and other euclidean to measure functional	
		limitations recorded in the database.	
		Chronic conditions, especially comorbidities recorded in general practices, depend on multiple factors such as	
		population structure, health care facilities, health policies, and the nature of the national databases. A major	

		strength of this study is that it will include medical records on approximately 27 million people in four European	
		countries. Also, the study will cover the sequence of research questions in comorbidity or multimorbidity starting	
		from the burden through to the causality and variation. Such a research model can be used for other similar	
		multimorbidity studies. The expected results should inform health professionals in primary care settings with	
		respect to management of people with OA and associated comorbidities.	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of agalyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	n/a
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	n/a
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	14
		This work was supported by Foundation for Research in Rheumatology (FOREUM) grant (2019-2022), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01103), Governmental funding of clinical research within the national health	
		services (ALF), and The Swedish Rheumatism Association. CM is funded by the National Institute for Health	
		Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands, the National Institute for Health Research	
		(NIHR) School for Primary Care Research and a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Research Professorship in General Practice (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026)	
		r cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in controls in controls and cross-sectional studies.	
		ration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STR	OBE
		tion with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.%rg/, Annals of Internal Medicine at pidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.stobe-statement.org.	
TILLD.//WWW.dIIIIdIS.O	rg/, anu cp	demology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE initiative is available at www.soube-statement.org.	

Protected by copyright.