

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-045348
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Sep-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Galal, Bayan; Yale University Lazieh, Stefany; Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences Al-Ali, Samir; Yale University, Khoshnood, Kaveh; Yale University Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, COVID-19, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Bayan Galal¹ bayan.galal@yale.edu

Stefany M Lazieh² stefany.lazieh@gmail.com

Samir Al-Ali¹ samir.al-ali@yale.edu

Kaveh Khoshnood, PhD³ kaveh.khoshnood@yale.edu

Word Count: 2719

Keywords: public health, epidemiology, COVID-19

¹Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510-2483, USA

² Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

³Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks have increased in past years, and there is great public health interest in monitoring attitudes towards vaccination as well as identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy and refusal. Although the WHO declared vaccine hesitancy as one of the top threats to global health in 2019, studies focused on the determinants and extent of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region are lacking. This scoping review explores the various factors surrounding vaccine hesitancy, including but not limited to geographic, cultural, and religious factors, and examines the extent and nature of the existing evidence on this topic. In light of current development of various COVID-19 vaccines, our work seeks to elucidate the barriers to vaccine uptake in specific populations.

Methods and Analysis:

This review will be conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews. It will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines. Studies published in English, Arabic, and French between January 1998 and September 2020 will be drawn from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Scopus. The search strategy will include terms related to vaccination and vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region. We will also include grey literature on the topic by searching Google and Google Scholar. Studies will be selected according to the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators, and Outcome) model, and all studies' titles and abstracts will be screened by two reviewers. Disagreements will be resolved with a third reviewer's input.

Ethics and Dissemination: This review is exempted from ethical approval and will be published in a peer-reviewed open-access journal to ensure wide dissemination.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strength and limitations of this study

- This review will integrate evidence of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.
- This review will include all types of evidence sources published in English, Arabic, and French
- The literature search will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews checklist.
- One possible limitation of this review will be the exclusion of a meta-analysis for this scoping review.

INTRODUCTION

According to a 2019 report from the World Health Organization, one of the ten threats to global health is vaccine hesitancy, which is defined as the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines[1, 2]. Vaccination is internationally recognized as one of the most successful public health measures, currently preventing 2-3 million deaths each year, according to the WHO. Global vaccination efforts are credited with eradicating smallpox and controlling a number of infectious diseases worldwide, including measles, rubella, tetanus, diphtheria, Haemophilus influenzae type b, and many others[3].

Vaccine success relies heavily on herd immunity, which is a population's resistance to the spread of a contagious disease if a sufficiently high proportion of individuals are immune to the disease[4]. This principle is exemplified most recently by the COVID-19 pandemic where social distancing and quarantine measures have been implemented to limit interactions and prevent spread of the virus. Countries who abide by public health guidelines have seen greater success in containing the virus and are better prepared to implement a COVID-19 vaccine program if one is developed in the future.

A measles outbreak in France in 2010[5] and one of the largest measles epidemics in North America in 2011[6] highlighted the importance of achieving sufficient vaccine coverage as well as fully completing vaccination series for effective resistance. Despite the well-documented benefits of universal vaccinations, a growing portion of the population is perceiving vaccinations as unsafe or unnecessary and are thus avoiding or refusing vaccinations for their children, especially in developed countries[7].

In order to avoid devastating infectious disease outbreaks in developing countries and across the world, it is crucial to work towards complete and comprehensive immunization coverage. In Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, there have been few studies examining determinants associated with vaccine refusal and hesitancy. This scoping review aims to identify and summarize the factors and causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the MENA region by examining the extent of the existing evidence on the subject.

MENA-Specific Issues

The MENA region is not homogenous in culture or religion, and while we are interested in exploring the geography-specific factors that contribute to vaccine hesitancy, we also aim to explore the cultural and religious factors characteristic of Arab countries. Thus, this proposed review will focus on Arab countries in the MENA region, which, according to the World Bank, includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8, 9].

While there are limited studies into the factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy in these countries, there have been some studies investigating childhood vaccine refusal. A cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia found that in a population of 500 parents, 20% were hesitant to get their child vaccinated. Parents with higher educational levels were more vaccine hesitant, and concerns related to vaccine safety were cited by most vaccine-hesitant parents[10]. This

relationship between education level and vaccine hesitancy merits further study to perhaps identify region-specific factors that complicate vaccine acceptance. These studies highlight the importance of tailoring pro-vaccination efforts in the region to address the main concerns and unique trends of the area.

Most of the Arab countries in the MENA region are Muslim-majority countries, so in addition to identifying general sentiments against vaccinations, studying Muslim-specific factors could provide greater insight into the social and religious determinants of vaccine hesitancy in this area[11]. While the opposition to vaccines can be traced back as far as the 18th century[11], recent surges in anti-vaccination sentiments have manifested as social movements in the Western world and on social media. Some social media posts have even spread misinformation among Middle Eastern and Muslim populations by claiming that the vaccine is a plot to weaken Muslims or transmit diseases to non-Western communities[12]. Another pressing issue is the need for halal-certified vaccines. Islamic law mandates that Muslims must not use any medicine or ingredients from haram sources (ingredients containing pig or its derivatives). When the "halal" status of a vaccine is doubted, parents often turn to alternative or homeopathic medicines; this may be supported by findings from Zuzak et al., whose study reported lower vaccination rates among alternative medicine users[13]. Efforts to ensure that vaccines are manufactured to meet halal requirements, if scientifically possible, and that vaccines are clearly labeled as halalcertified could convince Muslim communities and individuals of the safety surrounding vaccines. While health authorities in Pakistan and Malaysia, for example, have imposed punishments on parents refusing vaccines [14], the MENA region could benefit from campaigns that specifically address religious concerns in order to ensure higher acceptance rates.

Hesitancy or refusal towards specific vaccines has also been noted in the MENA region. Several Muslim-majority countries have seen a spike in diseases preventable by vaccination, including measles and influenza. In 2009, Saudi Arabia saw more than 80% of parents refuse to authorize vaccination of their children against influenza, while Egypt recorded nearly 5000 children infected with measles in 2015[15].

Countries in the MENA region have also contended with HPV and varying degrees of vaccination against the virus. Several of these countries have documented a notable incidence of cervical and other HPV-related cancers which are effectively prevented against with the HPV vaccine. A 2013 study by *Vaccarella et al.* indicated that while cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer in the larger MENA region, it exists among women in Algeria and Morocco as the second most common cancer and the third most common cancer amongst those in Tunisia, Oman and the UAE[15]. In September of 2018, the UAE Ministry of Health and Prevention announced that all female students from 8th grade onwards would be required to be vaccinated against HPV or cervical cancer. This policy was met with public backlash and resulted in the ministry altering its policy to require parent approval before vaccination[16]. A 2018 systematic review of literature on HPV vaccine acceptance in the MENA region indicated that factors influencing acceptability of the HPV vaccine often included concerns about its safety, which the study suggests would be mitigated by public sensitization to HPV and awareness campaigns providing assurance of the vaccine's effectiveness[17].

Effects of COVID-19

Despite the importance of vaccinations, immunization schedules can be interrupted or postponed due to global events. Currently faced with the COVID-19 pandemic, more than half of the world's population was forced into lockdown to limit the spread of the virus. While the effects of COVID-19 have undoubtedly permeated all facets of society, there has been an especially notable decrease in vaccinations for other diseases due to suspended healthcare resources, fears of contracting the virus, and mistrust in healthcare systems[18]. Fears of infectious disease outbreaks due to disruptions of children's vaccination schedules must be factored into re-opening procedures across the world. Furthermore, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy may prove to be a formidable barrier to the ongoing COVID-19 epidemic: ten days after France established a nationwide lockdown, a survey of French adults revealed that if a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 became available, 26% of people would refuse it[19]. Socioeconomic status, gender, age, and even political views all factor into individuals' views on receiving vaccines, so re-establishing regular vaccine schedules after COVID-19 will depend on more than availability and accessibility.

In the MENA region, the aforementioned factors which already complicate vaccine acceptance will only be amplified amid the pandemic. Identifying and tailoring resources to decrease vaccine hesitancy in this region before the development of a COVID-19 vaccine will be crucial in order to achieve and maintain higher vaccine acceptance in the region.

Vaccine hesitancy has, of late, become an extremely pressing public health issue, and in anticipation of the development of a COVID-19 vaccine possibly within the next year, addressing vaccine uptake is essential for complete suppression of the coronavirus pandemic. Given the dearth of vaccine hesitancy studies in the MENA population, this proposed scoping review will be useful for identifying gaps in this research area [20]. Though our review focuses on vaccine hesitancy, we recognize that this is not the sole factor for under-immunization in Arab populations. Through this proposed review, we may also highlight other factors contributing to lower immunization levels in these populations, but we do believe that identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy specifically can better prepare us to "identify emerging concerns before they evolve into vaccine confidence crises." [21] Thus, the main objectives for this scoping review are as follows: (1) identify evidence surrounding the causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa, (2) examine the extent and nature of the existing evidence, and (3) determine gaps in existing research surrounding this topic. Given these objectives, our main question is "What are the main factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa?"

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The methodological framework for the scoping review will be based on the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews[22], and it will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines. The manual outlines the following components: title, background, review question/objective, inclusion criteria, types of participants, concept, context, searching,

extracting and charting the results, discussion, and conclusions and implications for research and practice. Each of these components will be addressed in the methods section.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Articles will be included if they focus on the theme of vaccine hesitancy and its variations (including but not limited to vaccine acceptance, vaccine confidence, vaccine attitudes and behaviors, trust, distrust, concerns, perceptions and beliefs about vaccines and vaccination programs), with a particular focus on an Arab country or countries in the Middle East and North Africa region.

Articles will be included if they have been published from January 1998 to August 2020. This decision stems from the timeline of vaccine uptake and hesitancy. A published review of vaccine hesitancy discussed that, "Since the late 1990s, concern has grown regarding a resurgence of the 'anti-vaccine movement,' a loosely defined group of individuals who sow doubt about the effectiveness and safety of vaccines."[23] The review noted that objections to vaccination have been present since the early 18th century, but "the most current iteration of this scare can be traced to the publication of Andrew Wakefield's (since-retracted) paper linking the measlesmumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine to autism in 1998."[23] Since this time frame has sparked much of the modern conversations surrounding vaccination and vaccine hesitancy, it will be used for the scoping review.

In terms of language, articles will be included if the full text is available in English, Arabic, or French, as these are three of the most common languages in the Arab countries in the MENA region. Articles will be excluded if written in any language other than the abovementioned and for which open-access automated translation programs such as Google Translate are not suitable. Articles that do not focus on the human vaccine and that do not involve populations in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa will be excluded. All types of evidence will be included, including research studies, review articles, grey literature, and policy reports.

TYPES OF PARTICIPANTS

Target participants for this review include any individuals living in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region based on the World Bank country list, which includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8,9].

CONCEPT

The main concept that is addressed by the scoping review is vaccine hesitancy. According to the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy, vaccine hesitancy is defined as the "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services."(2) Vaccine hesitancy itself is a complex issue that varies across time and place, and for that reason, this concept will then be applied to the specific context of the study, as specified below to be Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region.

CONTEXT

The context of this review will include Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region, and thus the cultural and religious heritage of individuals in the countries (including but not limited to religion, language, and health-seeking traditions) as well as the location/place where vaccination services are provided.

SEARCHING

The primary search strategy was developed for PubMed and Embase, and similar searches will be executed in Cochrane, Scopus, and other databases as needed. The databases will be searched from January 1, 1998 to September 30, 2020. In addition, we hope to include grey literature available on the topic and will search Google and Google Scholar in addition to the aforementioned databases. We anticipate that the search for articles will be run across all databases in October and November 2020.

Studies will be selected according to elements of the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators and Outcome) model[24], as outlined in **Table 1**. To capture multiple dimensions of vaccine hesitancy in the Middle East and North Africa region, the search strategy will include the non-exhaustive list of keywords and medical subject headings in **Table 2**. Once retrieved, all articles will first be screened by title and abstract by at least two reviewers to ascertain their relevance. When in doubt, the full article will be scanned to further determine its relevance or decide on its exclusion. Reference lists of relevant articles will also be perused to ensure literature saturation. The third reviewer will be asked to provide input when first and second reviewers are unable to reach a consensus or decision for inclusion is not straightforward.

Table 1, PICO Model

Participant/ Population	Intervention	Comparators	Outcomes
Middle East, North Africa, Arab, Muslim, MENA, Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Malta, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arabic Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, Palestine, and Yemen	Vaccination, vaccine, Immunisation, immunization, inoculation	global population	Vaccine confidence, vaccine uptake, vaccine refusal, vaccine hesitancy, vaccine delay, missed schedule of vaccine, non-medical vaccination exemption

Table 2. Search Protocol

Search Category	PubMed	Embase
Intervention		(1) (vaccin* OR immunis* OR immuz* OR inocul*).mp.

Outcome	"vaccination" [Mesh] OR "immunization" [Mesh] OR "vaccines" [Mesh] OR "immunization programs" [Mesh] (2) "vaccination refusal" [Mesh] OR (treatment refusal [Mesh] AND vaccination [Mesh]) OR "antivaccination Movement" [Mesh] OR accept*[tw] OR anxiet*[tw] OR antivacc*[tw] OR antivacx*[tw] OR antivacc*[tw] OR antivaxx*[tw] OR attitude*[tw] OR autis*[tw] OR avoid*[tw] OR aware*[tw] OR barrier*[tw] OR behavior*[tw] OR behaviour*[tw] OR concern*[tw] OR confiden*[tw] OR compulsory[tw] OR compel*[tw] OR controvers*[tw] OR choice*[tw] OR choos*[tw] OR critic*[tw] OR decid*[tw] OR delay*[tw] OR decision*[tw] OR dilemma*[tw] OR disinform*[tw] OR distrust*[tw] OR doubt*[tw] OR distrust*[tw] OR hesita*[tw] OR mindat*[tw] OR misconcept*[tw] OR minform*[tw] OR misconcept*[tw] OR misinform*[tw] OR mistrust*[tw] OR misinform*[tw] OR perceiv*[tw] OR phobi*[tw] OR refus*[tw] OR reject*[tw] OR refus*[tw] OR resist*[tw] OR resust*[tw] OR rumour*[tw] OR skeptic*[tw] OR	(2) (anti-vaccination movement OR vaccination refusal OR patient compliance OR accept* OR anxiet* OR anti-vacc* OR anti-vaxx* OR antivacc* OR antivaxx* OR attitude* OR autis* OR avoid* OR aware* OR barrier* OR behavior* OR behaviour* OR concern* OR confiden* OR compulsory OR compel* OR controvers* OR choice* OR choos* OR critic* OR decid* OR delay* OR deny* OR deni* OR decision* OR dilemma* OR disinform* OR distrust* OR doubt* OR dropout OR exempt* OR fear* OR hesita* OR intent* OR knowledge* OR mandat* OR misconcept* OR misinform* OR mistrust* OR MMR OR objection* OR objecting* OR oppos* OR perception* OR perceiv* OR phobi* OR refus* or reject* or reluctan* OR resist* OR rumor* OR rumour* OR skeptic* OR trust* OR uptake*).mp.
Region	trust*[tw] OR uptake*[tw] (3) Middle East*[tw] OR Middle East[Mesh] OR North Africa*[tw] OR Arab*[tw] OR Muslim*[tw] OR MENA[tw] OR Algeria*[tw] OR	(3) (Middle East* OR North Africa* OR Arab* OR Muslim* OR MENA OR Algeria* OR Bahrain* OR Djibouti* OR Egypt* OR Iraq* OR Jordan* or
	Bahrain*[tw] OR Algeria*[tw] OR Bahrain*[tw] OR Djibouti*[tw] OR Egypt*[tw] or Iraq*[tw] OR Jordan*[tw] OR Kuwait*[tw] OR Leban*[tw] OR Jordan[tw] OR	Kuwait* OR Leban* OR Jordan* OR Libya* OR Morocc* OR Oman* OR Qatar* OR Saudi Arabia* OR Saudi* OR Syria* OR Tunisia* OR "United

	Libya*[tw] OR Morocc*[tw] OR Oman*[tw] OR Qatar*[tw] OR Saudi Arabia*[tw] OR Saudi*[tw] OR Syria*[tw] OR Tunisia*[tw] OR United Arab Emirates[tw] OR UAE[tw] OR Emirat*[tw] OR West Bank[tw] OR Gaza[tw] OR Palestin*[tw] OR Yemen*[tw]	Arab Emirates" OR Emirati* OR UAE OR West Bank OR Gaza OR Palestin* OR Yemen*).mp.
Date	(4) "1998/01/01"[PDat]: "2020/09/30"[PDat]	(4) rd =19980101-20200930
Full Search	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4
Results	3,870	3,735

EXTRACTING AND CHARTING RESULTS

"Data charting" in scoping reviews refers to the process of data extraction, the process by which the reader is provided with a clear and concise summary of results from articles relevant to the objective(s) of the review[22]. For consistency, we have developed a data charting template for our review (**Table 3**) to be utilized by all reviewers. This data charting template was created in consultation with the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis and *Tankwanchi et al.*[22, 25]. It is possible that this form will change after a sample of studies has been charted by multiple reviewers.

Table 3. Data Extraction

Table 5. Data Extracti		
Data Charting Table for Scoping Review of MENA Vaccine Hesitancy		
Citation details	author/s, date of publication, title, journal, volume, issue, pages	
Type of evidence source	Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods; research, review, policy, perspective, ethnography, commentary, letter, unpublished report, media article, etc.	
Purpose	Objectives and questions posed in this study	
Population	Main characteristics of populations, communities, or individuals in study	
Participants	Age, sex, and number	
Country/-ies of study	Arab nation/s within MENA region of study	
Specific place of residence	Neighborhood, village, city, town, camp, state, or administrative region of participants	
Context	City, village, town, rural, camp, etc.	
Religion	religion/s of participants	

Native language	First language/s spoken by participants
Ethnic/Racial identity	Ethnic or racial identity of participants
Location of Immunization Center	Neighborhood, village, city, town, state, camp or administrative region of immunization center
Comparator	outgroup members with whom participants are compared
Concept	underlying determinants of vaccine hesitancy explored in study
Intervention	types of intervention attempted, evaluated, or measured by study; duration of intervention and measures
Outcome	Effect of intervention on vaccination rate or perceptions of vaccination; measures
Vaccine/s of focus	specific vaccine/s accepted, delayed, rejected

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This review will be based solely on published articles and will not involve any patients or the public.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Kate Nyhan and Ola El Zein for guidance in developing the search strategy.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: All authors listed on this manuscript have contributed sufficiently to this project to be included as authors. All authors have reviewed the submitted manuscript and approve the manuscript for submission. B.G. led the development of the study and worked to prepare the manuscript. S.L. and S.A. contributed to the development of the study and to the preparation of the manuscript. Finally, K.K. worked with the other authors to develop the study methodology and to oversee its implementation.

Funding: This research was not supported by any fnder.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019 [Website]. World Health Organization (WHO)2019 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019.

2. MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. Vaccine. 2015;33(34):4161-4.

- 3. Plotkin S. History of vaccination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(34):12283-7.
- 4. Smith DR. Herd Immunity. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2019;35(3):593-604.
- 5. Parent du Châtelet I, Antona D, Freymuth F, Muscat M, Halftermeyer-Zhou F, Maine C, et al. Spotlight on measles 2010: update on the ongoing measles outbreak in France, 2008-2010. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(36).
- 6. De Serres G, Markowski F, Toth E, Landry M, Auger D, Mercier M, et al. Largest measles epidemic in North America in a decade--Quebec, Canada, 2011: contribution of susceptibility, serendipity, and superspreading events. J Infect Dis. 2013;207(6):990-8.
- 7. Falagas ME, Zarkadoulia E. Factors associated with suboptimal compliance to vaccinations in children in developed countries: a systematic review. Curr Med Res Opin. 2008;24(6):1719-41.
- 8. The World Bank. Arab World The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/country/1A.
- 9. The World Bank. Middle East and North Africa: The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/mena.
- 10. Alsubaie SS, Gosadi IM, Alsaadi BM, Albacker NB, Bawazir MA, Bin-Daud N, et al. Vaccine hesitancy among Saudi parents and its determinants. Result from the WHO SAGE working group on vaccine hesitancy survey tool. Saudi Med J. 2019;40(12):1242-50.
- 11. Hussain A, Ali S, Ahmed M, Hussain S. The Anti-vaccination Movement: A Regression in Modern Medicine. Cureus. 2018;10(7):e2919.
- 12. Memri. Rising Attacks On Polio Workers In Pakistan Media Report: Rumors That The Vaccine 'Is A CIA Plot To Sterilize Muslim Children'; Article: 'Jews, Who Dream Of Ruling The World, Have Invented... Vaccines, Drugs, And Injections... To Weaken Muslims' Memri2014 [Available from: https://www.memri.org/reports/rising-attacks-polio-workers-pakistan-media-report-rumors-vaccine-cia-plot-sterilize-muslim.
- 13. Zuzak TJ Z-SI, Rist L, Staubli G, Simoes-Wüst AP. Attitudes towards vaccination: users of complementary and alternative medicine versus non-users. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2008;138(47-48):713-8.
- 14. Ahmed A, Lee KS, Bukhsh A, Al-Worafi YM, Sarker MMR, Ming LC, et al. Outbreak of vaccine-preventable diseases in Muslim majority countries. J Infect Public Health. 2018;11(2):153-5.
- 15. Vaccarella S, Bruni L, Seoud M. Burden of human papillomavirus infections and related diseases in the extended Middle East and North Africa region. Vaccine. 2013;31 Suppl 6:G32-44.
- 16. Abdulmalik AI. Spreading anti-vaccine rumors a threat to public health2019. Available from: https://www.arabnews.com/node/1442796.
- 17. Gamaoun R. Knowledge, awareness and acceptability of anti-HPV vaccine in the Arab states of the Middle East and North Africa Region: a systematic review. East Mediterr Health J. 2018;24(6):538-48.
- 18. Virtual Meeting on Improving Vaccine Uptake Issues of Access and Hesitancy. In: Division NHaM, editor. YouTube2020.
- 19. A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy and politicisation. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(7):769-70.

- 20. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(3):141-6.
- Larson HJ, de Figueiredo A, Xiahong Z, Schulz WS, Verger P, Johnston IG, et al. The 21. State of Vaccine Confidence 2016: Global Insights Through a 67-Country Survey. EBioMedicine. 2016;12:295-301.
- Aromataris E MZ. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020. 22.
- 23. Smith TC. Vaccine Rejection and Hesitancy: A Review and Call to Action. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(3):ofx146.
- Libraries N. Health (Nursing, Medicine, Allied Health): Search Strategies: Framing the 24. question (PICO) [Available from: https://guides.nyu.edu/c.php?g=276561&p=1847897.
- 25. Tankwanchi AS, Jaca A, Larson HJ, Wiysonge CS, Vermund SH. Taking stock of vaccine hesitancy among migrants: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open. 2020;10(5):e035225.

BMJ Open

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-045348.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Mar-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Galal, Bayan; Yale University Lazieh, Stefany; Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences Al-Ali, Samir; Yale University, Khoshnood, Kaveh; Yale University Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases
 Primary Subject Heading :	Global health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, COVID-19, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Bayan Galal^{1*} bayan.galal@yale.edu

Stefany M Lazieh² stefany.lazieh@gmail.com

Samir Al-Ali¹ samir.al-ali@yale.edu

Kaveh Khoshnood, PhD³ kaveh.khoshnood@yale.edu

Word Count: 3,293

Keywords: public health, epidemiology, COVID-19

¹Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510-2483, USA

² Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

³Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

^{*}Corresponding Author (<u>bayan.galal@yale.edu</u>; Tel: +1-203-725-7782)

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks have increased in past years, and there is great public health interest in monitoring attitudes towards vaccination as well as identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy and refusal. Although the WHO declared vaccine hesitancy as one of the top threats to global health in 2019, studies focused on the determinants and extent of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region are lacking. This scoping review explores the various factors surrounding vaccine hesitancy, including but not limited to geographic, cultural, and religious factors, and examines the extent and nature of the existing evidence on this topic. In light of current development of various COVID-19 vaccines, our work seeks to elucidate the barriers to vaccine uptake in specific populations.

Methods and Analysis:

This review will be conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews. It will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines. Studies published in English, Arabic, and French between January 1998 and September 2020 will be drawn from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Scopus. The search strategy will include terms related to vaccination and vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region. We will also include grey literature on the topic by searching Google and Google Scholar. Studies will be selected according to the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators, and Outcome) model, and all studies' titles and abstracts will be screened by two reviewers. Disagreements will be resolved with a third reviewer's input.

Ethics and Dissemination: This review is exempted from ethical approval and will be published in a peer-reviewed open-access journal to ensure wide dissemination.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strength and limitations of this study

- This review will integrate evidence of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.
- This review will include all types of evidence sources published in English, Arabic, and French.
- The literature search will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews checklist.
- One possible limitation of this review will be the exclusion of a meta-analysis for this scoping review.

INTRODUCTION

According to a 2019 report from the World Health Organization, one of the ten threats to global health is vaccine hesitancy, which is defined as the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines[1, 2]. Vaccination is internationally recognized as one of the most successful public health measures, currently preventing 2-3 million deaths each year, according to the WHO. Global vaccination efforts are credited with eradicating smallpox and controlling a number of infectious diseases worldwide, including measles, rubella, tetanus, diphtheria, Haemophilus influenzae type b, and many others[3].

Vaccine success relies heavily on herd immunity, which is a population's resistance to the spread of a contagious disease if a sufficiently high proportion of individuals are immune to the disease[4]. This principle is exemplified most recently by the COVID-19 pandemic where social distancing and quarantine measures have been implemented to limit interactions and prevent spread of the virus. Countries who abide by public health guidelines have seen greater success in containing the virus and are better prepared to implement a COVID-19 vaccine program if one is developed in the future.

A measles outbreak in France in 2010[5] and one of the largest measles epidemics in North America in 2011[6] highlighted the importance of achieving sufficient vaccine coverage as well as fully completing vaccination series for effective resistance. Despite the well-documented benefits of universal vaccinations, a growing portion of the population is perceiving vaccinations as unsafe or unnecessary and are thus avoiding or refusing vaccinations for their children, especially in developed countries[7].

In order to avoid devastating infectious disease outbreaks in developing countries and across the world, it is crucial to work towards complete and comprehensive immunization coverage. In Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, there have been few studies examining determinants associated with vaccine refusal and hesitancy. This scoping review aims to identify and summarize the factors and causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the MENA region by examining the extent of the existing evidence on the subject.

MENA-Specific Issues

The MENA region is not homogenous in culture or religion, and while we are interested in exploring the geography-specific factors that contribute to vaccine hesitancy, we also aim to explore the cultural and religious factors characteristic of Arab countries. Thus, this proposed review will focus on Arab countries in the MENA region, which, according to the World Bank, includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8, 9].

While there are limited studies into the factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy in these countries, there have been some studies investigating childhood vaccine refusal. A cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia found that in a population of 500 parents, 20% were hesitant to

get their child vaccinated. Parents with higher educational levels were more vaccine hesitant, and concerns related to vaccine safety were cited by most vaccine-hesitant parents[10]. This

relationship between education level and vaccine hesitancy merits further study to perhaps identify region-specific factors that complicate vaccine acceptance. These studies highlight the importance of tailoring pro-vaccination efforts in the region to address the main concerns and unique trends of the area.

Most of the Arab countries in the MENA region are Muslim-majority countries, so in addition to identifying general sentiments against vaccinations, studying Muslim-specific factors could provide greater insight into the social and religious determinants of vaccine hesitancy in this area[11]. While the opposition to vaccines can be traced back as far as the 18th century[11], recent surges in anti-vaccination sentiments have manifested as social movements in the Western world and on social media. Some social media posts have even spread misinformation among Middle Eastern and Muslim populations by claiming that the vaccine is a plot to weaken Muslims or transmit diseases to non-Western communities[12]. Another pressing issue is the need for halal-certified vaccines. Islamic law mandates that Muslims must not use any medicine or ingredients from haram sources (ingredients containing pig or its derivatives). When the "halal" status of a vaccine is doubted, parents often turn to alternative or homeopathic medicines; this may be supported by findings from Zuzak et al., whose study reported lower vaccination rates among alternative medicine users[13]. Efforts to ensure that vaccines are manufactured to meet halal requirements, if scientifically possible, and that vaccines are clearly labeled as halalcertified could convince Muslim communities and individuals of the safety surrounding vaccines. While health authorities in Pakistan and Malaysia, for example, have imposed punishments on parents refusing vaccines[14], the MENA region could benefit from campaigns that specifically address religious concerns in order to ensure higher acceptance rates.

Hesitancy or refusal towards specific vaccines has also been noted in the MENA region. Several Muslim-majority countries have seen a spike in diseases preventable by vaccination, including measles and influenza. In 2009, Saudi Arabia saw more than 80% of parents refuse to authorize vaccination of their children against influenza, while Egypt recorded nearly 5000 children infected with measles in 2015[15].

Countries in the MENA region have also contended with HPV and varying degrees of vaccination against the virus. Several of these countries have documented a notable incidence of cervical and other HPV-related cancers which are effectively prevented against with the HPV vaccine. A 2013 study by *Vaccarella et al.* indicated that while cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer in the larger MENA region, it exists among women in Algeria and Morocco as the second most common cancer and the third most common cancer amongst those in Tunisia, Oman and the UAE[15]. In September of 2018, the UAE Ministry of Health and Prevention announced that all female students from 8th grade onwards would be required to be vaccinated against HPV or cervical cancer. This policy was met with public backlash and resulted in the ministry altering its policy to require parent approval before vaccination[16]. A 2018 systematic review of literature on HPV vaccine acceptance in the MENA region indicated that factors influencing acceptability of the HPV vaccine often included concerns about its safety, which the

study suggests would be mitigated by public sensitization to HPV and awareness campaigns providing assurance of the vaccine's effectiveness[17].

Effects of COVID-19

Despite the importance of vaccinations, immunization schedules can be interrupted or postponed due to global events. Currently faced with the COVID-19 pandemic, more than half of the world's population was forced into lockdown to limit the spread of the virus. While the effects of COVID-19 have undoubtedly permeated all facets of society, there has been an especially notable decrease in vaccinations for other diseases due to suspended healthcare resources, fears of contracting the virus, and mistrust in healthcare systems[18]. Fears of infectious disease outbreaks due to disruptions of children's vaccination schedules must be factored into re-opening procedures across the world. Furthermore, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy may prove to be a formidable barrier to the ongoing COVID-19 epidemic: ten days after France established a nationwide lockdown, a survey of French adults revealed that if a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 became available, 26% of people would refuse it[19]. Socioeconomic status, gender, age, and even political views all factor into individuals' views on receiving vaccines, so re-establishing regular vaccine schedules after COVID-19 will depend on more than availability and accessibility.

In the MENA region, the aforementioned factors which already complicate vaccine acceptance will only be amplified amid the pandemic. Identifying and tailoring resources to decrease vaccine hesitancy in this region before the development of a COVID-19 vaccine will be crucial in order to achieve and maintain higher vaccine acceptance in the region.

Vaccine hesitancy has, of late, become an extremely pressing public health issue, and in anticipation of the development of a COVID-19 vaccine possibly within the next year, addressing vaccine uptake is essential for complete suppression of the coronavirus pandemic. Given the dearth of vaccine hesitancy studies in the MENA population, this proposed scoping review will be useful for identifying gaps in this research area [20]. Though our review focuses on vaccine hesitancy, we recognize that this is not the sole factor for under-immunization in Arab populations. Through this proposed review, we may also highlight other factors contributing to lower immunization levels in these populations, but we do believe that identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy specifically can better prepare us to "identify emerging concerns before they evolve into vaccine confidence crises." [21] Thus, the main objectives for this scoping review are as follows: (1) identify evidence surrounding the causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa, (2) examine the extent and nature of the existing evidence, and (3) determine gaps in existing research surrounding this topic. Given these objectives, our main question is "What are the main factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa?"

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The methodological framework for the scoping review will be based on the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews[22], and it will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-

ScR) guidelines. The manual outlines the following components: title, background, review question/objective, inclusion criteria, types of participants, concept, context, searching, extracting and charting the results, discussion, and conclusions and implications for research and practice. Each of these components will be addressed in the methods section.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Articles will be included if they focus on the theme of vaccine hesitancy and its variations (including but not limited to vaccine acceptance, vaccine confidence, vaccine attitudes and behaviors, trust, distrust, concerns, perceptions and beliefs about vaccines and vaccination programs), with a particular focus on an Arab country or countries in the Middle East and North Africa region. Given the already limited scope of literature present on the topics, the articles will not need to have a specific comparator, but instead, simply need to assess vaccine hesitancy and its variation in the listed countries.

Articles will be included if they have been published from January 1998 to December 2020. This decision stems from the timeline of vaccine uptake and hesitancy. A published review of vaccine hesitancy discussed that, "Since the late 1990s, concern has grown regarding a resurgence of the 'anti-vaccine movement,' a loosely defined group of individuals who sow doubt about the effectiveness and safety of vaccines."[23] The review noted that objections to vaccination have been present since the early 18th century, but "the most current iteration of this scare can be traced to the publication of Andrew Wakefield's (since-retracted) paper linking the measlesmumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine to autism in 1998."[23] Since this time frame has sparked much of the modern conversations surrounding vaccination and vaccine hesitancy, it will be used for the scoping review.

In terms of language, articles will be included if the full text is available in English, Arabic, or French, as these are three of the most common languages in the Arab countries in the MENA region. Articles will be excluded if written in any language other than the abovementioned and for which open-access automated translation programs such as Google Translate are not suitable. Articles that do not focus on the human vaccine and that do not involve populations in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa will be excluded. All types of evidence will be included, including research studies, review articles, grey literature, and policy reports.

TYPES OF PARTICIPANTS

Target participants for this review include any individuals living in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region based on the World Bank country list, which includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8,9].

CONCEPT

The main concept that is addressed by the scoping review is vaccine hesitancy. According to the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy, vaccine hesitancy is defined as the "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services."(2) Vaccine

hesitancy itself is a complex issue that varies across time and place, and for that reason, this concept will then be applied to the specific context of the study, as specified below to be Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region.

CONTEXT

The context of this review will include Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region, and thus the cultural and religious heritage of individuals in the countries (including but not limited to religion, language, and health-seeking traditions) as well as the location/place where vaccination services are provided.

SEARCHING

The primary search strategy was developed for PubMed and Embase, and similar searches will be executed in Cochrane, Scopus, and any other databases as needed. The databases will be searched from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2020. In addition, we hope to include grey literature available on the topic and will search Google and Google Scholar in addition to the aforementioned databases. We anticipate that the search for articles will be run across all databases in October and November 2020.

Studies will be selected according to elements of the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators and Outcome) model[24], as outlined in **Table 1**. While the model includes clear participants, interventions, and outcomes, there will be no designated comparator. Although a comparison is typically beneficial for evaluating the impact of the intervention, given the limited scope of literature on the topic, we have avoided restricting the literature to specific comparators and will instead widely capture literature that address vaccine hesitancy in the region. Additionally, to capture multiple dimensions of vaccine hesitancy in the Middle East and North Africa region, the search strategy will include the non-exhaustive list of keywords and medical subject headings in **Table 2**.

Once retrieved, all articles will first be screened by title and abstract by at least two reviewers to ascertain their relevance. When in doubt, the full article will be scanned to further determine its relevance or decide on its exclusion. Reference lists of relevant articles will also be perused to ensure literature saturation. Additionally, in order to determine interrater reliability, kappa will be reported for each pair of screeners in the review. This will be essential as a measure of how frequently the screeners were in agreement with one another. A kappa value of 0.80 or higher will be considered to indicate substantial reliability. Only when the first and second reviewers are unable to reach a consensus or decision for inclusion is not straightforward will a third reviewer be asked to provide input.

Table 1. PICO Model

Participant/ Population	Intervention	Comparators	Outcomes
Middle East, North Africa, Arab, Muslim, MENA, Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti,	Vaccination, vaccine, Immunisation,	There will be no designated	Vaccine confidence, vaccine uptake, vaccine refusal, vaccine

Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Malta, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arabic Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, Palestine, and Yemen	immunization, inoculation	comparators for the model.	hesitancy, vaccine delay, missed schedule of vaccine, non-medical vaccination exemption
--	---------------------------	----------------------------	--

Table 2. Search Protocol			
Search Category	PubMed	Embase	
Intervention	(1) vaccin*[tw] OR immunis*[tw] OR immuniz*[tw] OR inocul* OR "vaccination"[Mesh] OR "immunization"[Mesh] OR "vaccines"[Mesh] OR "immunization programs"[Mesh]	(1) (vaccin* OR immunis* OR immuz* OR inocul*).mp.	
Outcome	(2) "vaccination refusal" [Mesh] OR (treatment refusal [Mesh] AND vaccination [Mesh]) OR "antivaccination Movement" [Mesh] OR accept* [tw] OR anxiet* [tw] OR antivace* [tw] OR autis* [tw] OR avoid* [tw] OR aware* [tw] OR behaviour* [tw] OR concern* [tw] OR confiden* [tw] OR concern* [tw] OR confiden* [tw] OR controvers* [tw] OR choice* [tw] OR choos* [tw] OR critic* [tw] OR decid* [tw] OR delay* [tw] OR decision* [tw] OR dilemma* [tw] OR disinform* [tw] OR distrust* [tw] OR doubt* [tw] OR fear* [tw] OR hesita* [tw] OR mandat* [tw] OR misconcept* [tw] OR misinform* [tw] OR misconcept* [tw] OR MMR [tw] OR objection* [tw] OR objecting* [tw] OR oppos* [tw] OR	(2) (anti-vaccination movement OR vaccination refusal OR patient compliance OR accept* OR anxiet* OR anti-vacc* OR anti-vaxx* OR antivacc* OR antivaxx* OR attitude* OR autis* OR avoid* OR aware* OR barrier* OR behavior* OR behaviour* OR concern* OR confiden* OR compulsory OR compel* OR controvers* OR choice* OR choos* OR critic* OR decid* OR delay* OR deny* OR deni* OR decision* OR dilemma* OR disinform* OR distrust* OR doubt* OR dropout OR exempt* OR fear* OR hesita* OR intent* OR knowledge* OR mandat* OR misconcept* OR misinform* OR objection* OR objecting* OR oppos* OR perception* OR perceiv* OR phobi* OR refus* or reject* or reluctan* OR resist* OR rumor* OR rumour* OR skeptic* OR trust* OR uptake*).mp.	

	perception*[tw] OR perceiv*[tw] OR phobi*[tw] OR refus*[tw] OR reject*[tw] OR reluctan*[tw] OR resist*[tw] OR rumor*[tw] OR rumour*[tw] OR skeptic*[tw] OR trust*[tw] OR uptake*[tw]	
Region	(3) Middle East*[tw] OR Middle East[Mesh] OR North Africa*[tw] OR Arab*[tw] OR Muslim*[tw] OR MENA[tw] OR Algeria*[tw] OR Bahrain*[tw] OR Djibouti*[tw] OR Egypt*[tw] or Iraq*[tw] OR Jordan*[tw] OR Kuwait*[tw] OR Leban*[tw] OR Jordan[tw] OR Libya*[tw] OR Morocc*[tw] OR Oman*[tw] OR Qatar*[tw] OR Saudi Arabia*[tw] OR Saudi*[tw] OR Syria*[tw] OR Tunisia*[tw] OR United Arab Emirates[tw] OR UAE[tw] OR Emirat*[tw] OR West Bank[tw] OR Gaza[tw] OR Palestin*[tw] OR Yemen*[tw]	(3) (Middle East* OR North Africa* OR Arab* OR Muslim* OR MENA OR Algeria* OR Bahrain* OR Djibouti* OR Egypt* OR Iraq* OR Jordan* or Kuwait* OR Leban* OR Jordan OR Libya* OR Morocc* OR Oman* OR Qatar* OR Saudi Arabia* OR Saudi* OR Syria* OR Tunisia* OR "United Arab Emirates" OR Emirati* OR UAE OR West Bank OR Gaza OR Palestin* OR Yemen*).mp.
Date	(4) "1998/01/01"[PDat]: "2020/09/30"[PDat]	(4) rd =19980101-20200930
Full Search	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4
Results	3,870	3,735

EXTRACTING AND CHARTING RESULTS

"Data charting" in scoping reviews refers to the process of data extraction, the process by which the reader is provided with a clear and concise summary of results from articles relevant to the objective(s) of the review[22]. For consistency, we have developed a data charting template for our review (**Table 3**) to be utilized by all reviewers. This data charting template was created in consultation with the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis and *Tankwanchi et al.*[22, 25]. It is possible that this form will change after a sample of studies has been charted by multiple reviewers.

Table 3. Data Extraction

Data Charting Table for Scoping Review of MENA Vaccine Hesitancy		
Citation details	author/s, date of publication, title, journal, volume, issue, pages	

Type of evidence source	Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods; research, review, policy, perspective, ethnography, commentary, letter, unpublished report, media article, etc.	
Purpose	Objectives and questions posed in this study	
Population	Main characteristics of populations, communities, or individuals in study	
Participants	Age, sex, and number	
Country/-ies of study	Arab nation/s within MENA region of study	
Specific place of residence	Neighborhood, village, city, town, camp, state, or administrative region of participants	
Context	City, village, town, rural, camp, etc.	
Religion	religion/s of participants	
Native language	First language/s spoken by participants	
Ethnic/Racial identity	Ethnic or racial identity of participants	
Location of Immunization Center	Neighborhood, village, city, town, state, camp or administrative region of immunization center	
Comparator	outgroup members with whom participants are compared	
Concept	underlying determinants of vaccine hesitancy explored in study	
Intervention	types of intervention attempted, evaluated, or measured by study; duration of intervention and measures	
Outcome	Effect of intervention on vaccination rate or perceptions of vaccination; measures	
Vaccine/s of focus	specific vaccine/s accepted, delayed, rejected	

SUMMARIZING AND REPORTING RESULTS

The information provided by the data extraction from included studies will be collated and from there, quantitative results will be presented using descriptive statistics and qualitative results will be reported thematically. This will be followed by an informed discussion based on careful consideration of the results in keeping with the purpose and objective of the review. Through this, the goal is to provide a descriptive overview of currently available information on vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region. Additionally, however, if the data extraction from included studies indicates that many papers showed the circumstances surrounding vaccine hesitancy in these countries to vary from certain comparators, we can discuss comparators that appear in the selected papers.

DISSEMINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

We intend to use the findings in two main ways: first, to inform future research decisions and studies and second, to incorporate the information obtained into actionable projects. We hope to conduct a systematic review in the future once we understand which countries and outcomes have been the subject of sufficient research that a systematic review is going to be warranted. Depending on the findings, we may also call for funding agencies, governments, and researchers to working more in these areas where we have identified gaps. We also hope to identify if there are key papers that should be offered in Arabic so that policy makers who do not speak English can access them. It is not until the problem has been readily identified and been made available to the necessary parties that the unique causes of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region can be worked towards.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This review will be based solely on published articles and will not involve any patients or the public.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Kate Nyhan and Ola El Zein for guidance in developing the search strategy.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: All authors listed on this manuscript have contributed sufficiently to this project to be included as authors. All authors have reviewed the submitted manuscript and approve the manuscript for submission. B.G. led the development of the study and worked to prepare the manuscript. S.L. and S.A. contributed to the development of the study and to the preparation of the manuscript. Finally, K.K. worked with the other authors to develop the study methodology and to oversee its implementation.

Funding: This research was not supported by any funder.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019 [Website]. World Health Organization (WHO)2019 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019.
- 2. MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. Vaccine. 2015;33(34):4161-4.
- 3. Plotkin S. History of vaccination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(34):12283-7.
- 4. Smith DR. Herd Immunity. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2019;35(3):593-604.
- 5. Parent du Châtelet I, Antona D, Freymuth F, Muscat M, Halftermeyer-Zhou F, Maine C, et al. Spotlight on measles 2010: update on the ongoing measles outbreak in France, 2008-2010. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(36).

- 6. De Serres G, Markowski F, Toth E, Landry M, Auger D, Mercier M, et al. Largest measles epidemic in North America in a decade--Quebec, Canada, 2011: contribution of susceptibility, serendipity, and superspreading events. J Infect Dis. 2013;207(6):990-8.
- 7. Falagas ME, Zarkadoulia E. Factors associated with suboptimal compliance to vaccinations in children in developed countries: a systematic review. Curr Med Res Opin. 2008;24(6):1719-41.
- 8. The World Bank. Arab World The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/country/1A.
- 9. The World Bank. Middle East and North Africa: The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/mena.
- 10. Alsubaie SS, Gosadi IM, Alsaadi BM, Albacker NB, Bawazir MA, Bin-Daud N, et al. Vaccine hesitancy among Saudi parents and its determinants. Result from the WHO SAGE working group on vaccine hesitancy survey tool. Saudi Med J. 2019;40(12):1242-50.
- 11. Hussain A, Ali S, Ahmed M, Hussain S. The Anti-vaccination Movement: A Regression in Modern Medicine. Cureus. 2018;10(7):e2919.
- 12. Memri. Rising Attacks On Polio Workers In Pakistan Media Report: Rumors That The Vaccine 'Is A CIA Plot To Sterilize Muslim Children'; Article: 'Jews, Who Dream Of Ruling The World, Have Invented... Vaccines, Drugs, And Injections... To Weaken Muslims' Memri2014 [Available from: https://www.memri.org/reports/rising-attacks-polio-workers-pakistan-media-report-rumors-vaccine-cia-plot-sterilize-muslim.
- 13. Zuzak TJ Z-SI, Rist L, Staubli G, Simoes-Wüst AP. Attitudes towards vaccination: users of complementary and alternative medicine versus non-users. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2008;138(47-48):713-8.
- 14. Ahmed A, Lee KS, Bukhsh A, Al-Worafi YM, Sarker MMR, Ming LC, et al. Outbreak of vaccine-preventable diseases in Muslim majority countries. J Infect Public Health. 2018;11(2):153-5.
- 15. Vaccarella S, Bruni L, Seoud M. Burden of human papillomavirus infections and related diseases in the extended Middle East and North Africa region. Vaccine. 2013;31 Suppl 6:G32-44.
- 16. Abdulmalik AI. Spreading anti-vaccine rumors a threat to public health2019. Available from: https://www.arabnews.com/node/1442796.
- 17. Gamaoun R. Knowledge, awareness and acceptability of anti-HPV vaccine in the Arab states of the Middle East and North Africa Region: a systematic review. East Mediterr Health J. 2018;24(6):538-48.
- 18. Virtual Meeting on Improving Vaccine Uptake Issues of Access and Hesitancy. In: Division NHaM, editor. YouTube2020.
- 19. A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy and politicisation. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(7):769-70.
- 20. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(3):141-6.
- 21. Larson HJ, de Figueiredo A, Xiahong Z, Schulz WS, Verger P, Johnston IG, et al. The State of Vaccine Confidence 2016: Global Insights Through a 67-Country Survey. EBioMedicine. 2016;12:295-301.
- 22. Aromataris E MZ. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020.
- 23. Smith TC. Vaccine Rejection and Hesitancy: A Review and Call to Action. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(3):ofx146.

Libraries N. Health (Nursing, Medicine, Allied Health): Search Strategies: Framing the 24. question (PICO) [Available from: https://guides.nyu.edu/c.php?g=276561&p=1847897.

25. vaccine hesitancy among migrants: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open. 2020;10(5):e035225.



BMJ Open

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-045348.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Mar-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Galal, Bayan; Yale University Lazieh, Stefany; Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences Al-Ali, Samir; Yale University, Khoshnood, Kaveh; Yale University Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases
Primary Subject Heading :	Global health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, COVID-19, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Assessing Vaccine Hesitancy in Arab Countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region: A Scoping Review Protocol

Bayan Galal^{1*} bayan.galal@yale.edu

Stefany M Lazieh² stefany.lazieh@gmail.com

Samir Al-Ali¹ samir.al-ali@yale.edu

Kaveh Khoshnood, PhD³ kaveh.khoshnood@yale.edu

Word Count: 3,316

Keywords: public health, epidemiology, COVID-19

¹Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510-2483, USA

² Rutgers University School of Arts and Sciences, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

³Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

^{*}Corresponding Author (<u>bayan.galal@yale.edu</u>; Tel: +1-203-725-7782)

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks have increased in past years, and there is great public health interest in monitoring attitudes towards vaccination as well as identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy and refusal. Although the WHO declared vaccine hesitancy as one of the top threats to global health in 2019, studies focused on the determinants and extent of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region are lacking. This scoping review explores the various factors surrounding vaccine hesitancy, including but not limited to geographic, cultural, and religious factors, and examines the extent and nature of the existing evidence on this topic. In light of current development of various COVID-19 vaccines, our work seeks to elucidate the barriers to vaccine uptake in specific populations.

Methods and Analysis:

This review will be conducted using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews. It will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines. Studies published in English, Arabic, and French between January 1998 and December 2020 will be drawn from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, and Scopus. The search strategy will include terms related to vaccination and vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region. We will also include grey literature on the topic by searching Google and Google Scholar. Studies will be selected according to the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators, and Outcome) model, and all studies' titles and abstracts will be screened by two reviewers. Disagreements will be resolved with a third reviewer's input.

Ethics and Dissemination: This review is exempted from ethical approval and will be published in a peer-reviewed open-access journal to ensure wide dissemination.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strength and limitations of this study

- This review will integrate evidence of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.
- This review will include all types of evidence sources published in English, Arabic, and French.
- The literature search will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews checklist.
- One possible limitation of this review will be the exclusion of a meta-analysis for this scoping review.

INTRODUCTION

According to a 2019 report from the World Health Organization, one of the ten threats to global health is vaccine hesitancy, which is defined as the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines[1, 2]. Vaccination is internationally recognized as one of the most successful public health measures, currently preventing 2-3 million deaths each year, according to the WHO. Global vaccination efforts are credited with eradicating smallpox and controlling a number of infectious diseases worldwide, including measles, rubella, tetanus, diphtheria, Haemophilus influenzae type b, and many others[3].

Vaccine success relies heavily on herd immunity, which is a population's resistance to the spread of a contagious disease if a sufficiently high proportion of individuals are immune to the disease[4]. This principle is exemplified most recently by the COVID-19 pandemic where social distancing and quarantine measures have been implemented to limit interactions and prevent spread of the virus. Countries who abide by public health guidelines have seen greater success in containing the virus and are better prepared to implement a COVID-19 vaccine program if one is developed in the future.

A measles outbreak in France in 2010[5] and one of the largest measles epidemics in North America in 2011[6] highlighted the importance of achieving sufficient vaccine coverage as well as fully completing vaccination series for effective resistance. Despite the well-documented benefits of universal vaccinations, a growing portion of the population is perceiving vaccinations as unsafe or unnecessary and are thus avoiding or refusing vaccinations for their children, especially in developed countries[7].

In order to avoid devastating infectious disease outbreaks in developing countries and across the world, it is crucial to work towards complete and comprehensive immunization coverage. In Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, there have been few studies examining determinants associated with vaccine refusal and hesitancy. This scoping review aims to identify and summarize the factors and causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the MENA region by examining the extent of the existing evidence on the subject.

MENA-Specific Issues

The MENA region is not homogenous in culture or religion, and while we are interested in exploring the geography-specific factors that contribute to vaccine hesitancy, we also aim to explore the cultural and religious factors characteristic of Arab countries. Thus, this proposed review will focus on Arab countries in the MENA region, which, according to the World Bank, includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8, 9].

While there are limited studies into the factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy in these countries, there have been some studies investigating childhood vaccine refusal. A cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia found that in a population of 500 parents, 20% were hesitant to

get their child vaccinated. Parents with higher educational levels were more vaccine hesitant, and concerns related to vaccine safety were cited by most vaccine-hesitant parents[10]. This

relationship between education level and vaccine hesitancy merits further study to perhaps identify region-specific factors that complicate vaccine acceptance. These studies highlight the importance of tailoring pro-vaccination efforts in the region to address the main concerns and unique trends of the area.

Most of the Arab countries in the MENA region are Muslim-majority countries, so in addition to identifying general sentiments against vaccinations, studying Muslim-specific factors could provide greater insight into the social and religious determinants of vaccine hesitancy in this area[11]. While the opposition to vaccines can be traced back as far as the 18th century[11], recent surges in anti-vaccination sentiments have manifested as social movements in the Western world and on social media. Some social media posts have even spread misinformation among Middle Eastern and Muslim populations by claiming that the vaccine is a plot to weaken Muslims or transmit diseases to non-Western communities[12]. Another pressing issue is the need for halal-certified vaccines. Islamic law mandates that Muslims must not use any medicine or ingredients from haram sources (ingredients containing pig or its derivatives). When the "halal" status of a vaccine is doubted, parents often turn to alternative or homeopathic medicines; this may be supported by findings from Zuzak et al., whose study reported lower vaccination rates among alternative medicine users[13]. Efforts to ensure that vaccines are manufactured to meet halal requirements, if scientifically possible, and that vaccines are clearly labeled as halalcertified could convince Muslim communities and individuals of the safety surrounding vaccines. While health authorities in Pakistan and Malaysia, for example, have imposed punishments on parents refusing vaccines[14], the MENA region could benefit from campaigns that specifically address religious concerns in order to ensure higher acceptance rates.

Hesitancy or refusal towards specific vaccines has also been noted in the MENA region. Several Muslim-majority countries have seen a spike in diseases preventable by vaccination, including measles and influenza. In 2009, Saudi Arabia saw more than 80% of parents refuse to authorize vaccination of their children against influenza, while Egypt recorded nearly 5000 children infected with measles in 2015[15].

Countries in the MENA region have also contended with HPV and varying degrees of vaccination against the virus. Several of these countries have documented a notable incidence of cervical and other HPV-related cancers which are effectively prevented against with the HPV vaccine. A 2013 study by *Vaccarella et al.* indicated that while cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer in the larger MENA region, it exists among women in Algeria and Morocco as the second most common cancer and the third most common cancer amongst those in Tunisia, Oman and the UAE[15]. In September of 2018, the UAE Ministry of Health and Prevention announced that all female students from 8th grade onwards would be required to be vaccinated against HPV or cervical cancer. This policy was met with public backlash and resulted in the ministry altering its policy to require parent approval before vaccination[16]. A 2018 systematic review of literature on HPV vaccine acceptance in the MENA region indicated that factors influencing acceptability of the HPV vaccine often included concerns about its safety, which the

study suggests would be mitigated by public sensitization to HPV and awareness campaigns providing assurance of the vaccine's effectiveness[17].

Effects of COVID-19

Despite the importance of vaccinations, immunization schedules can be interrupted or postponed due to global events. Currently faced with the COVID-19 pandemic, more than half of the world's population was forced into lockdown to limit the spread of the virus. While the effects of COVID-19 have undoubtedly permeated all facets of society, there has been an especially notable decrease in vaccinations for other diseases due to suspended healthcare resources, fears of contracting the virus, and mistrust in healthcare systems[18]. Fears of infectious disease outbreaks due to disruptions of children's vaccination schedules must be factored into re-opening procedures across the world. Furthermore, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy may prove to be a formidable barrier to the ongoing COVID-19 epidemic: ten days after France established a nationwide lockdown, a survey of French adults revealed that if a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 became available, 26% of people would refuse it[19]. Socioeconomic status, gender, age, and even political views all factor into individuals' views on receiving vaccines, so re-establishing regular vaccine schedules after COVID-19 will depend on more than availability and accessibility.

In the MENA region, the aforementioned factors which already complicate vaccine acceptance will only be amplified amid the pandemic. Identifying and tailoring resources to decrease vaccine hesitancy in this region before the development of a COVID-19 vaccine will be crucial in order to achieve and maintain higher vaccine acceptance in the region.

Vaccine hesitancy has, of late, become an extremely pressing public health issue, and in anticipation of the development of a COVID-19 vaccine possibly within the next year, addressing vaccine uptake is essential for complete suppression of the coronavirus pandemic. Given the dearth of vaccine hesitancy studies in the MENA population, this proposed scoping review will be useful for identifying gaps in this research area [20]. Though our review focuses on vaccine hesitancy, we recognize that this is not the sole factor for under-immunization in Arab populations. Through this proposed review, we may also highlight other factors contributing to lower immunization levels in these populations, but we do believe that identifying factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy specifically can better prepare us to "identify emerging concerns before they evolve into vaccine confidence crises." [21] Thus, the main objectives for this scoping review are as follows: (1) identify evidence surrounding the causes of vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa, (2) examine the extent and nature of the existing evidence, and (3) determine gaps in existing research surrounding this topic. Given these objectives, our main question is "What are the main factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy among Arab populations in the Middle East and North Africa?"

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The methodological framework for the scoping review will be based on the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for scoping reviews[22], and it will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews' (PRISMA-

ScR) guidelines. The manual outlines the following components: title, background, review question/objective, inclusion criteria, types of participants, concept, context, searching, extracting and charting the results, discussion, and conclusions and implications for research and practice. Each of these components will be addressed in the methods section.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Articles will be included if they focus on the theme of vaccine hesitancy and its variations (including but not limited to vaccine acceptance, vaccine confidence, vaccine attitudes and behaviors, trust, distrust, concerns, perceptions and beliefs about vaccines and vaccination programs), with a particular focus on an Arab country or countries in the Middle East and North Africa region. Given the already limited scope of literature present on the topics, the articles will not need to have a specific comparator, but instead, simply need to assess vaccine hesitancy and its variation in the listed countries.

Articles will be included if they have been published from January 1998 to December 2020. This decision stems from the timeline of vaccine uptake and hesitancy. A published review of vaccine hesitancy discussed that, "Since the late 1990s, concern has grown regarding a resurgence of the 'anti-vaccine movement,' a loosely defined group of individuals who sow doubt about the effectiveness and safety of vaccines."[23] The review noted that objections to vaccination have been present since the early 18th century, but "the most current iteration of this scare can be traced to the publication of Andrew Wakefield's (since-retracted) paper linking the measlesmumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine to autism in 1998."[23] Since this time frame has sparked much of the modern conversations surrounding vaccination and vaccine hesitancy, it will be used for the scoping review.

In terms of language, articles will be included if the full text is available in English, Arabic, or French, as these are three of the most common languages in the Arab countries in the MENA region. Articles will be excluded if written in any language other than the abovementioned and for which open-access automated translation programs such as Google Translate are not suitable. Articles that do not focus on the human vaccine and that do not involve populations in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa will be excluded. All types of evidence will be included, including research studies, review articles, grey literature, and policy reports.

TYPES OF PARTICIPANTS

Target participants for this review include any individuals living in Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region based on the World Bank country list, which includes Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, the United Arabic Emirates, West Bank & Gaza, and Yemen[8,9].

CONCEPT

The main concept that is addressed by the scoping review is vaccine hesitancy. According to the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy, vaccine hesitancy is defined as the "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services."(2) Vaccine

hesitancy itself is a complex issue that varies across time and place, and for that reason, this concept will then be applied to the specific context of the study, as specified below to be Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region.

CONTEXT

The context of this review will include Arab countries in the Middle East and North Africa region, and thus the cultural and religious heritage of individuals in the countries (including but not limited to religion, language, and health-seeking traditions) as well as the location/place where vaccination services are provided.

SEARCHING

The primary search strategy was developed for PubMed and Embase, and similar searches will be executed in Cochrane, Scopus, and any other databases as needed. The databases will be searched from January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2020. In addition, we hope to include grey literature available on the topic and will search Google and Google Scholar in addition to the aforementioned databases. We anticipate that the search for articles will be run across all databases in October and November 2020.

Studies will be selected according to elements of the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparators and Outcome) model[24], as outlined in **Table 1**. While the model includes clear participants, interventions, and outcomes, there will be no designated comparator. Although a comparison is typically beneficial for evaluating the impact of the intervention, given the limited scope of literature on the topic, we have avoided restricting the literature to specific comparators and will instead widely capture literature that address vaccine hesitancy in the region. Additionally, to capture multiple dimensions of vaccine hesitancy in the Middle East and North Africa region, the search strategy will include the non-exhaustive list of keywords and medical subject headings in **Table 2**.

Once retrieved, all articles will first be screened by title and abstract by at least two reviewers to ascertain their relevance. When in doubt, the full article will be scanned to further determine its relevance or decide on its exclusion. Reference lists of relevant articles will also be perused to ensure literature saturation. Additionally, in order to determine interrater reliability, kappa will be reported for each pair of screeners in the review. This will be essential as a measure of how frequently the screeners were in agreement with one another. A kappa value of 0.80 or higher will be considered to indicate substantial reliability. Only when the first and second reviewers are unable to reach a consensus or decision for inclusion is not straightforward will a third reviewer be asked to provide input.

Table 1. PICO Model

Participant/ Population	Intervention	Comparators	Outcomes
Middle East, North Africa, Arab, Muslim, MENA, Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti,	Vaccination, vaccine, Immunisation,	There will be no designated	Vaccine confidence, vaccine uptake, vaccine refusal, vaccine

Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Malta, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arabic Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, Palestine, and Yemen	immunization, inoculation	comparators for the model.	hesitancy, vaccine delay, missed schedule of vaccine, non-medical vaccination exemption
--	---------------------------	----------------------------	--

Table 2. Searc	Table 2. Search Protocol			
Search Category	PubMed	Embase		
Intervention	(1) vaccin*[tw] OR immunis*[tw] OR immuniz*[tw] OR inocul* OR "vaccination"[Mesh] OR "immunization"[Mesh] OR "vaccines"[Mesh] OR "immunization programs"[Mesh]	(1) (vaccin* OR immunis* OR immuz* OR inocul*).mp.		
Outcome	(2) "vaccination refusal" [Mesh] OR (treatment refusal [Mesh] AND vaccination [Mesh]) OR "antivaccination Movement" [Mesh] OR accept* [tw] OR anxiet* [tw] OR antivace* [tw] OR autis* [tw] OR avoid* [tw] OR aware* [tw] OR behaviour* [tw] OR concern* [tw] OR confiden* [tw] OR concern* [tw] OR confiden* [tw] OR controvers* [tw] OR choice* [tw] OR choos* [tw] OR critic* [tw] OR decid* [tw] OR delay* [tw] OR decision* [tw] OR dilemma* [tw] OR disinform* [tw] OR distrust* [tw] OR doubt* [tw] OR fear* [tw] OR hesita* [tw] OR mandat* [tw] OR misconcept* [tw] OR misinform* [tw] OR misconcept* [tw] OR MMR [tw] OR objection* [tw] OR objecting* [tw] OR oppos* [tw] OR	(2) (anti-vaccination movement OR vaccination refusal OR patient compliance OR accept* OR anxiet* OR anti-vacc* OR anti-vaxx* OR antivacc* OR antivaxx* OR attitude* OR autis* OR avoid* OR aware* OR barrier* OR behavior* OR behaviour* OR concern* OR confiden* OR compulsory OR compel* OR controvers* OR choice* OR choos* OR critic* OR decid* OR delay* OR deny* OR deni* OR decision* OR dilemma* OR disinform* OR distrust* OR doubt* OR dropout OR exempt* OR fear* OR hesita* OR intent* OR knowledge* OR mandat* OR misconcept* OR misinform* OR objection* OR objecting* OR oppos* OR perception* OR perceiv* OR phobi* OR refus* or reject* or reluctan* OR resist* OR rumor* OR rumour* OR skeptic* OR trust* OR uptake*).mp.		

	perception*[tw] OR perceiv*[tw] OR phobi*[tw] OR refus*[tw] OR reject*[tw] OR reluctan*[tw] OR resist*[tw] OR rumor*[tw] OR rumour*[tw] OR skeptic*[tw] OR trust*[tw] OR uptake*[tw]	
Region	(3) Middle East*[tw] OR Middle East[Mesh] OR North Africa*[tw] OR Arab*[tw] OR Muslim*[tw] OR MENA[tw] OR Algeria*[tw] OR Bahrain*[tw] OR Djibouti*[tw] OR Egypt*[tw] or Iraq*[tw] OR Jordan*[tw] OR Kuwait*[tw] OR Leban*[tw] OR Jordan[tw] OR Libya*[tw] OR Morocc*[tw] OR Oman*[tw] OR Qatar*[tw] OR Saudi Arabia*[tw] OR Saudi*[tw] OR Syria*[tw] OR Tunisia*[tw] OR United Arab Emirates[tw] OR UAE[tw] OR Emirat*[tw] OR West Bank[tw] OR Gaza[tw] OR Palestin*[tw] OR Yemen*[tw]	(3) (Middle East* OR North Africa* OR Arab* OR Muslim* OR MENA OR Algeria* OR Bahrain* OR Djibouti* OR Egypt* OR Iraq* OR Jordan* or Kuwait* OR Leban* OR Jordan OR Libya* OR Morocc* OR Oman* OR Qatar* OR Saudi Arabia* OR Saudi* OR Syria* OR Tunisia* OR "United Arab Emirates" OR Emirati* OR UAE OR West Bank OR Gaza OR Palestin* OR Yemen*).mp.
Date	(4) "1998/01/01"[PDat]: "2020/09/30"[PDat]	(4) rd =19980101-20200930
Full Search	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4	1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4
Results	3,870	3,735

EXTRACTING AND CHARTING RESULTS

"Data charting" in scoping reviews refers to the process of data extraction, the process by which the reader is provided with a clear and concise summary of results from articles relevant to the objective(s) of the review[22]. For consistency, we have developed a data charting template for our review (**Table 3**) to be utilized by all reviewers. This data charting template was created in consultation with the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis and *Tankwanchi et al.*[22, 25]. It is possible that this form will change after a sample of studies has been charted by multiple reviewers.

Table 3. Data Extraction

Data Charting Table for Scoping Review of MENA Vaccine Hesitancy		
Citation details	author/s, date of publication, title, journal, volume, issue, pages	

Type of evidence source	Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods; research, review, policy, perspective, ethnography, commentary, letter, unpublished report, media article, etc.	
Purpose	Objectives and questions posed in this study	
Population	Main characteristics of populations, communities, or individuals in study	
Participants	Age, sex, and number	
Country/-ies of study	Arab nation/s within MENA region of study	
Specific place of residence	Neighborhood, village, city, town, camp, state, or administrative region of participants	
Context	City, village, town, rural, camp, etc.	
Religion	religion/s of participants	
Native language	First language/s spoken by participants	
Ethnic/Racial identity	Ethnic or racial identity of participants	
Location of Immunization Center	Neighborhood, village, city, town, state, camp or administrative region of immunization center	
Comparator	outgroup members with whom participants are compared	
Concept	underlying determinants of vaccine hesitancy explored in study	
Intervention	types of intervention attempted, evaluated, or measured by study; duration of intervention and measures	
Outcome	Effect of intervention on vaccination rate or perceptions of vaccination; measures	
Vaccine/s of focus	specific vaccine/s accepted, delayed, rejected	

SUMMARIZING AND REPORTING RESULTS

The information provided by the data extraction from included studies will be collated and from there, quantitative results will be presented using descriptive statistics and qualitative results will be reported thematically. This will be followed by an informed discussion based on careful consideration of the results in keeping with the purpose and objective of the review. Through this, the goal is to provide a descriptive overview of currently available information on vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region. Additionally, however, if the data extraction from included studies indicates that many papers showed the circumstances surrounding vaccine hesitancy in these countries to vary from certain comparators, we can discuss comparators that appear in the selected papers.

DISSEMINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

We intend to use the findings in two main ways: first, to inform future research decisions and studies and second, to incorporate the information obtained into actionable projects. We hope to conduct a systematic review in the future once we understand which countries and outcomes have been the subject of sufficient research that a systematic review is going to be warranted. Depending on the findings, we may also call for funding agencies, governments, and researchers to working more in these areas where we have identified gaps. We also hope to identify if there are key papers that should be offered in Arabic so that policy makers who do not speak English can access them. It is not until the problem has been readily identified and been made available to the necessary parties that the unique causes of vaccine hesitancy in Arab countries in the MENA region can be worked towards.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This review will be based solely on published articles and will not involve any patients or the public.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Kate Nyhan and Ola El Zein for guidance in developing the search strategy.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This review is exempted from ethical approval and will be published in a peer-reviewed open-access journal to ensure wide dissemination.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: All authors listed on this manuscript have contributed sufficiently to this project to be included as authors. All authors have reviewed the submitted manuscript and approve the manuscript for submission. B.G. led the development of the study and worked to prepare the manuscript. S.L. and S.A. contributed to the development of the study and to the preparation of the manuscript. Finally, K.K. worked with the other authors to develop the study methodology and to oversee its implementation.

Funding: This research was not supported by any funder.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019 [Website]. World Health Organization (WHO)2019 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019.

- 2. MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. Vaccine. 2015;33(34):4161-4.
- 3. Plotkin S. History of vaccination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(34):12283-7.
- 4. Smith DR. Herd Immunity. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2019;35(3):593-604.
- 5. Parent du Châtelet I, Antona D, Freymuth F, Muscat M, Halftermeyer-Zhou F, Maine C, et al. Spotlight on measles 2010: update on the ongoing measles outbreak in France, 2008-2010. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(36).
- 6. De Serres G, Markowski F, Toth E, Landry M, Auger D, Mercier M, et al. Largest measles epidemic in North America in a decade--Quebec, Canada, 2011: contribution of susceptibility, serendipity, and superspreading events. J Infect Dis. 2013;207(6):990-8.
- 7. Falagas ME, Zarkadoulia E. Factors associated with suboptimal compliance to vaccinations in children in developed countries: a systematic review. Curr Med Res Opin. 2008;24(6):1719-41.
- 8. The World Bank. Arab World The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/country/1A.
- 9. The World Bank. Middle East and North Africa: The World Bank Group; [Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/mena.
- 10. Alsubaie SS, Gosadi IM, Alsaadi BM, Albacker NB, Bawazir MA, Bin-Daud N, et al. Vaccine hesitancy among Saudi parents and its determinants. Result from the WHO SAGE working group on vaccine hesitancy survey tool. Saudi Med J. 2019;40(12):1242-50.
- 11. Hussain A, Ali S, Ahmed M, Hussain S. The Anti-vaccination Movement: A Regression in Modern Medicine. Cureus. 2018;10(7):e2919.
- 12. Memri. Rising Attacks On Polio Workers In Pakistan Media Report: Rumors That The Vaccine 'Is A CIA Plot To Sterilize Muslim Children'; Article: 'Jews, Who Dream Of Ruling The World, Have Invented... Vaccines, Drugs, And Injections... To Weaken Muslims' Memri2014 [Available from: https://www.memri.org/reports/rising-attacks-polio-workers-pakistan-media-report-rumors-vaccine-cia-plot-sterilize-muslim.
- 13. Zuzak TJ Z-SI, Rist L, Staubli G, Simoes-Wüst AP. Attitudes towards vaccination: users of complementary and alternative medicine versus non-users. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2008;138(47-48):713-8.
- 14. Ahmed A, Lee KS, Bukhsh A, Al-Worafi YM, Sarker MMR, Ming LC, et al. Outbreak of vaccine-preventable diseases in Muslim majority countries. J Infect Public Health. 2018;11(2):153-5.
- 15. Vaccarella S, Bruni L, Seoud M. Burden of human papillomavirus infections and related diseases in the extended Middle East and North Africa region. Vaccine. 2013;31 Suppl 6:G32-44.
- 16. Abdulmalik AI. Spreading anti-vaccine rumors a threat to public health2019. Available from: https://www.arabnews.com/node/1442796.
- 17. Gamaoun R. Knowledge, awareness and acceptability of anti-HPV vaccine in the Arab states of the Middle East and North Africa Region: a systematic review. East Mediterr Health J. 2018;24(6):538-48.
- 18. Virtual Meeting on Improving Vaccine Uptake Issues of Access and Hesitancy. In: Division NHaM, editor. YouTube2020.
- 19. A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy and politicisation. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(7):769-70.

- 20. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(3):141-6.
- Larson HJ, de Figueiredo A, Xiahong Z, Schulz WS, Verger P, Johnston IG, et al. The 21. State of Vaccine Confidence 2016: Global Insights Through a 67-Country Survey. EBioMedicine. 2016;12:295-301.
- Aromataris E MZ. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020. 22.

- 23. Smith TC. Vaccine Rejection and Hesitancy: A Review and Call to Action. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(3):ofx146.
- Libraries N. Health (Nursing, Medicine, Allied Health): Search Strategies: Framing the 24. question (PICO) [Available from: https://guides.nyu.edu/c.php?g=276561&p=1847897.
- 25. Tankwanchi AS, Jaca A, Larson HJ, Wiysonge CS, Vermund SH. Taking stock of vaccine hesitancy among migrants: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open. 2020;10(5):e035225.

