BMJ Open Reasons for dropout in the transition from hospital to municipality during exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in a Danish cross-sectorial setting: a qualitative study

Anders Ravnholt Schüsler Damlund (1), 1 Lars Bo Jørgensen, 1,2 Birgitte Blume, 1 Søren T Skou, 3,4 Lars H Tang, 5,6 Tom Møller 7

To cite: Damlund ARS. Jørgensen LB, Blume B, et al. Reasons for dropout in the transition from hospital to municipality during exercisebased cardiac rehabilitation in a Danish cross-sectorial setting: a qualitative study. BMJ Open 2022;12:e064660. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-064660

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-064660).

Received 17 May 2022 Accepted 19 October 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Mr Anders Ravnholt Schüsler Damlund: arad@regionsjaelland.dk

ABSTRACT

Objectives Despite documented benefits of cardiac rehabilitation (CR), attrition rates remain relatively high. Insights on patient perspectives concerning dropout during transition phases are deficient. This deeper understanding may help to inform on the perceived benefits and barriers in CR. This qualitative study explores the reasons why patients' dropout during the transition from a hospitalbased CR programme to local healthcare facilities. Setting A Danish hospital and seven local healthcare

Participants Twelve patients, who had dropped out of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation (exCR) during the transition from hospital-based rehabilitation to local healthcare centres, were recruited to semistructured interviews based on a purposeful sampling.

Results Important patient needs during rehabilitation was the ability to identify and reflect oneself in a group of peers in a safe, specialised hospital-based environment. At the transition point, the meaningfulness of continuation of CR was revaluated. Findings showed that reasons for discontinuation varied within individuals. It encompassed on a balanced choice of reassessing benefits against competing agendas as work demands versus expectations of benefits in a changed exercise environment and own exercise capabilities.

Conclusion The study indicated that patient needs as timely relevance, a specialised safe environment and peer support are significant for participation in exCR. These needs may change during the transition stage due to competing agendas as work obligations and assessment of own ability to take control themselves. Perceived meaningfulness may be a major motivational driver for both initiating and making a judiciously choice of leaving an exCR programme.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease represents the leading cause of death in Europe. Patients newly diagnosed with a heart condition are recommended to attend cardiac rehabilitation (CR)² to reach the best possible physical

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The study involved a specialised cardiac rehabilitation unit and seven collaborative municipalities.
- ⇒ The study included eight male and four female patients with coronary heart disease or heart failure.
- ⇒ The informants exclusively dropped out during the transition from hospital-based to municipal-based exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation.
- ⇒ The study included Caucasian patients with no other ethnic variation.

and mental state following their cardiovascular diagnosis and to return to activities of daily living. Based on international guidelines and national recommendations, ²⁻⁶ the content of CR includes exercise-based modalities combined with multidisciplinary patient education and smoking cessation as central elements.² Systematic reviews on exercisebased CR (exCR) have shown that a higher volume of exCR has a significant effect on major health-related parameters such as mortality rate, recurrence of cardiovascular events and quality of life. 7-11 Despite this, CR attendance rates in Europe remain a challenge and unfavourable social determinants (eg, lower educational level and income, living alone, rural residence, being a smoker) present a risk of compromising attendance rate.¹⁷¹² Likewise, adherence to exCR may be problematic, as indicated by a 2017 Danish study reporting that just 62% of patients adhered to more than 50% of the planned exCR sessions. 13

Qualitative studies have shown logistics as a barrier to participation in single setting specialised exCR programmes.^{3 9} 14-17 To reduce potential barriers, exCR programmes have generally moved to local healthcare



centre settings, or as in the present study, a shared intervention with a transition between the hospital and the municipality setting. ¹⁸ The aim of this joint setup is to facilitate and create easier access to greater locally based social support for patients. ⁸

Accordingly, patients newly diagnosed with a chronic heart disease are offered a 12-week exCR programme split between the patient's hospital (hospital-based rehabilitation) and local healthcare centres (municipal-based rehabilitation), referred to as phase II-a and II-b, respectively. This approach sought to provide patients with a specialised exCR transition from hospital to municipalities, conveniently bringing exCR closer to the patient. How transition phases influence patient perceived pathways in split CR programmes are deficiently explored. Recent qualitative studies on discontinuation have examined dropout from specialised cardiac phase II rehabilitation without involving transitional phases. Place of the patient of the pati

As a result the *Dropout during a 12-week exCR programme:* A cohort study, called AoRTA, was established.²⁴ Of the 279 individuals who participated in the 12-week exCR programme mentioned above, the AoRTA study identified 103 dropouts, 72 (70%) of whom stopped at the transition point.²⁴ The fundamental question for this qualitative inquiry was to ask: Why are patients' attending a hospital-based exCR programme and why are they leaving at the exCR municipality transition point?

MATERIAL AND METHODS Design

Anchored in qualitative health research, ²⁵ this was an exploratory, interpretative qualitative study that drew on aspects of intuitivism and hermeneutic interpretation. ²⁶ In-depth, semistructured interviews (n=12 informants) were conducted and analysed using systematic text condensation based on Malterud. ²⁷ The consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research checklist was used to guide manuscript development. ²⁸

Informants and procedure

Recruited from the AoRTA study,²⁴ informants had been diagnosed with a chronic heart disease, assigned to exCR by their cardiologist and received a preliminary consultation with a physiotherapist prior to starting exCR. The AoRTA exCR study included all types of heart diagnoses, for example, aorta stenosis, heart failure, stable and unstable angina pectoris and ST-elevated and non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction. The only exclusion criterion was that informants were required to be able to read and speak Danish fluently.

The AoRTA study recruited 279 patients, 103 (39%) of whom dropped out of the exCR programme. Out of the 103, there were 72 (70%) who dropped out during the transition from hospital-based to municipal-based exCR. The current study aimed to recruit a purposeful sampling of patients who dropped out during the transition phase, with the aim of achieving variation among the informants

according to age, gender, marital status and diagnosis. Two additional informants were recruited following analysis of the initial 10 interviews, to enhance data saturation. The primary investigator (ARSD) contacted and recruited potential informants via telephone. After informed verbal consent was obtained, informants chose whether they wanted to do a face-to-face interview in their own home or at the hospital. In one case, the informant was permitted to do a telephone interview. The primary investigator reflected on his experience and possible preconceptions prior to doing the interviews in an effort to prevent them from affecting the interview situation as little as possible. Interviews, which where audiorecorded and subsequently transcribed verbatim, lasted 20–59 min (37 min on average). The primary investigator conducted all 12 interviews, reviewed the transcriptions and compared them to the audio file for accuracy.

Interview guide and qualitative analysis

An interview guide was developed based on a systematic review of qualitative research literature within exCR that included five studies focusing on physical, mental, work-related or personal factors, as well as system-related factors linked to rehabilitation dropout and adherence. ^{14–17 30} To broaden the primary investigator's preconceptions of CR, the two physiotherapists responsible for exCR were interviewed to incorporate their perspectives based on clinical experience with hospital-based exCR and patient safety aspects in the interview guide. The initial guide underwent minor changes after being tested in a pilot interview that was subsequently included in the final analysis (online supplemental file 1: Interview guide).

The interviews were analysed using systematic text condensation driven by an inductive and interpretative approach that involved compiling information from various informants.²⁷ During the condensation phase, a variety of themes emerged, with the analyses aiming to identify similarities, differences and variations in the experiences and attitudes of informants. The primary investigator, a senior researcher (TM) and the authors discussed and developed the themes to obtain a wider analytic space and, finally, consensus based on interpretive recontextualisation. The research team comprised ARSD, LBJ (project manager for original AoRTA study), BB (all three specialised in cardiology), LHT (associate professor and senior researcher with extensive CR expertise), STS (professor in exercise and health) and TM (associate professor and senior researcher with extensive qualitative research experience). ARSD had no previous contact with any of the informants.

For the sake of transparency in terms of the condensation phase, table 1 provides an audit trail sample.

Patient and public involvement

No patient involved. Results will be disseminated to patients through an oral presentation and hand-outs of the final article.



Table 1 Audit trail example				
Informant quotes	Categories	Emergent themes	Overarching theme	Interpretation
'You're stronger after the six weeks and have gained insight into what you need to do. I think that was fine. Of course, there's something out in the municipality, but I don't think it is as specialized.' (Informant 189, male, ages 50–60 years old)	Opinions of the municipality	Self-administered vs municipal-based exercising	Transition and discontinuation—a self-management option	
'I was ready and raring to go but I had a lot on my plate. That was the reason why I chose not to attend the local programme.' (Informant 291, male, ages 50–60 years old) 'I was supposed to transition to the local healthcare centre but that's when things got sticky. I had a full-time job and had slowly started working while also doing the hospital exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation. At the end I was working full time, but the class being at 1 pm actually fit my schedule great.' (Informant 172, male, ages 70–80 years old)	Obligations Meaning with training			Perceived meaningfulness

RESULTS

During the analysis meaningful segments were condensed and synthesised into 33 non-hierarchical categories and then condensed into eight emergent themes. Finally, these themes were incorporated into two overarching themes: (1) initiating exCR and (2) transition and discontinuation—a self-management option, which elaborate on and encompass the informants' views and variations in terms of specific aspects of exCR. The findings indicate that the transition from hospital to municipal-based exCR may cause discontinuity in the perceived meaningfulness of the rehabilitation (online supplemental file 2: Coding tree). Table 2 provides the characteristics of informants.

Theme 1: initiating exCR

A safe, relevant and supportive peer group environment

The informants stated that exCR was highly relevant after a cardiac event and agreed that the rehabilitation programme helped them resume usual physical activities or allowed them to test their physical abilities in a safe environment (table 3, Q1 and Q2).

Q1: Yes, I was nervous [about starting physical activity]; that's why I felt great about starting at the hospital ... That made me happy. (Informant 275, female, ages 60–70 years old)

The feeling of safety in doing strenuous physical activity was most important in order to push their boundaries without fearing a new cardiac event. This sense was related to the close monitoring by their physiotherapists and having doctors nearby. Several expressed confidences in the attending physiotherapists skills at the hospital (table 3, Q3).

Q3: There wasn't a single moment where you were alone. There was a lot of emphasis on this. I could feel it, and I kind of liked that. It gave a feeling of safety. ... For me, it's all a question of my physical limitations and abilities. I felt that it was 100% the same

at the hospital in the cardiac out-patient clinic and for the physiotherapists. (Informant 150, male, ages 40–50 years old)

They experienced that the physiotherapist could answer most questions that the patients had and received guidance about dietary supplements while feeling that the physiotherapist knew the individuals' diagnosis and situation (table 3, Q4).

Variable Outcome Sex (male, n / female, n) 8/4 Age (median; range) 71 (45–92) Diagnosis (n) Angina pectoris 3 ST-elevated myocardial infarction 4 Non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction 3 Heart failure 3 Living alone (n) 4 Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <2 00 000 3 350–4 50 000 3 450–6 00 000 2 600–7 00 000 1 >7 00 000 2 Missing data 1	Table 2 Characteristics of informants				
Age (median; range) 71 (45–92) Diagnosis (n) Angina pectoris 3 ST-elevated myocardial infarction 4 Non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction 3 Heart failure 3 Living alone (n) 4 Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000	Variable	Outcome			
Diagnosis (n) Angina pectoris 3 ST-elevated myocardial infarction 4 Non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction 3 Heart failure 3 Living alone (n) 4 Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3-4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000	Sex (male, n / female, n)		8/4		
ST-elevated myocardial infarction 4 Non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction Heart failure 3 Living alone (n) Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000	Age (median; range)		71 (45–92)		
Non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction	Diagnosis (n)	Angina pectoris	3		
infarction Heart failure 3 Living alone (n) 4 Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000		ST-elevated myocardial infarction	4		
Living alone (n) 4 Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000		•	3		
Education Primary or secondary school 4 Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000		Heart failure	3		
Short-term (≤3 years) 4 Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <2 00 000	Living alone (n)		4		
Medium-term (3–4 years) 3 Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000	Education	Primary or secondary school	4		
Long-term (≥5 years) 1 Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <2 00 000		Short-term (≤3 years)	4		
Work status (n) Working 5 Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000		Medium-term (3-4 years)	3		
Retired 7 Income in DKK (n) <200000 3 350–450000 3 450–600000 2 600–700000 1 >700000 2 Missing data 1		Long-term (≥5 years)	1		
Income in DKK (n) <2 00 000 3 350–4 50 000 2 600–7 00 000 1 >7 00 000 2 Missing data 1	Work status (n)	Working	5		
350–450000 3 450–600000 2 600–700000 1 >700000 2 Missing data 1		Retired	7		
450–600000 2 600–700000 1 >700000 2 Missing data 1	Income in DKK (n)	<200000	3		
600–7 00 000 1 >7 00 000 2 Missing data 1		350-450000	3		
>7 00 000 2 Missing data 1		450-600000	2		
Missing data 1		600-700000	1		
		>700000	2		
Smoker (yes, n) 1		Missing data	1		
*	Smoker (yes, n)		1		
Other chronic diseases (n) 2	Other chronic diseases (n)		2		

Table 3	Quotes (Q) by informants illustrating the overarching theme: initiating exercised-based cardiac rehabilitation
Q1	'Yes, I was nervous [about starting physical activity]; that's why I felt great about starting at the hospital That made me happy.' (Informant 275, female, ages 60–70 years old)
	'I felt the (hospital. Red) physical rehabilitation was ideal. In a good context with people to help push you and ask to how you felt. I think that was the most important aspect.' (Informant 146, male, ages 70–80 years old)
	'There wasn't a single moment where you were alone. There was a lot of emphasis on this. I could feel it, and I kind of liked that. It gave a feeling of safety For me, it's all a question of my physical limitations and abilities. I felt that it was 100% the same at the hospital in the cardiac out-patient clinic and for the physiotherapists.' (Informant 150, male, ages 40–50 years old)
	'For me it made a lot of sense [exCR], because I thought 'what about my heart?' You get a rude awakening. All these questions suddenly rolling around in your head. What am I allowed to do? What am I not allowed to do? What can I do and what can't I do? The option of being able to talk with a physiotherapist was very welcome.' (Informant 172, male, ages 50–60 years old)
	'They introduce an array of different workouts, a little resistance training, cardio training and fitness, with rapid walking and an increased pulse. They do a variety of exercises; no one likes everything, but we know it's necessary. The way they introduce us to the moves, by people I find skilled Those people can get us working and push our limits.' (Informant 150, male, ages 40–50 years old)
	'I feel like it really has helped me dare to start and given me the will to start. Because I'm my own greatest obstacle. I gained some tools and could ask questions about my heart and pulse. I think it would have taken me much longer to get started if I had not done that class.' (Informant 275, female, ages 60–70 years old)
	'Yes, of course I would be able [to do without hospital exCR] It wouldn't have been as good or as safe. In reality, I would have done worse without the hospital-based programme. It would have taken longer, and I would have struggled more with my motivation.' (Informant 150, male, ages 40–50 years old)
Q8	'I thought it was great, a you-aren't-the-only-one experience.' (Informant 211, female, ages 90–100 years old)
Q9	'It was nice to be able to talk about it with peers, because our different experiences have similar aspects I believe that's important.' (Informant 268, male, ages 50–60 years old)

The informants emphasised that the flexible, individualised workouts allowed everyone to participate at their own level and that being paced during sessions was a positive aspect. Some thought that the variation was positive and provided the space to try new exercises, helping to provide inspiration when engaging in physical activity on their own. One informant felt that the hospital-based exCR was structured and that the staff were experienced in their field (table 3, Q5).

One informant specifically stated that he needed the class and would not have survived mentally without it (table 3, Q6). Another person reported that he might have been able to do the workouts on his own, but that it would have taken longer and not felt as safe, hence the setting provided the impetus to overcome any mental barriers in terms of taking the first step (table 3, Q7).

Q7: Yes, of course I would be able [to do without hospital exCR] ... It wouldn't have been as good or as safe. In reality, I would have done worse without the hospital-based programme. It would have taken longer, and I would have struggled more with my motivation. (Informant 150, male, ages 40–50 years old)

Most informants did not experience disease progression or serious adverse events during hospitalisation. One informant suffered a cardiac arrest several times before initiating rehabilitation. Another informant was relieved about the exCR programme since he had unanswered questions after being released from the hospital

that were answered during exCR. Notably, nearly all informants mentioned how satisfactory and comforting it was to be able to talk about one's illness and symptoms, ask questions and receive peer support during sessions. Within the group and across age groups, patients were able to find support and nurture hope in each other by sharing and reflecting on their experiences with others in a similar situation. Several informants noted that the humour and sense of camaraderie that emerged were appealing, helping to motivate attendance. Several informants referred to their class and fellow patients as a positive experience (table 3, Q8–Q9).

Q9: It was nice to be able to talk about it with peers, because our different experiences have similar aspects. ... I believe that's important. (Informant 268, male, ages 50–60 years old)

A few informants felt that they did not fit in as well due to their young age, while another felt it was because of continued heart disease symptoms. Just one felt that she would have managed fine without the hospital-based exCR since she had attended a local fitness class for a long time and would have continued to do so.

Theme 2: transition and discontinuation—a self-management option

All of the informants included in this study dropped out of the exCR programme during the transition from hospital-based to municipal-based exCR. At the transition

Table 4 option	Quotes (Q) by informants illustrating the overarching theme: transition and discontinuation—a self-management
Q10	'You're stronger after the six weeks and have gained insight into what you need to do. I think that was fine. Of course, there's something available locally, but I don't think it's as specialised.' (Informant 189, male, ages 50–60 years old)
Q11	'I was ready and raring to go but I had a lot on my plate. That was the reason why I chose not to attend the local programme.' (Informant 291, male, ages 50–60 years old)
Q12	'I was supposed to transition to the local healthcare centre but that's when things got sticky. I had a full-time job and had slowly started working while also doing the hospital exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation. At the end I was working full time, but the class being at one pm actually fit my schedule great.' (Informant 172, male, ages 50–60 years old)
Q13	'I thought it was fine with six weeks, because you got strong and had deep insight into how much you had to do. I feel like I'm good at listening to my own body and how much I can do. I believe that's a great advantage.' (Informant 189, male, ages 50–60 years old)
Q14	'Now I've seen how much people can do and how much you can actually push yourself. I feel competent enough to administer my own training I feel that this has really helped me dare to start training, and I want to start. I'm my own worst obstacle. I gained some tools and could ask questions about my heart and pulse. I think it would have taken me much longer to get started if I had not done that class.' (Informant 275, female, ages 60–70 years old)
Q15	'I think that it [going to the gym] is fine. I do it with my daughter, but she uses the treadmill It's more fun when 2–3 people go I think they're keeping half an eye on me, but they don't say so and that's fine.' (Informant 189, male, ages 50–60 years old)
Q16	'My wife bought an ergometer many years ago; we found it and set it up again. We have started using it, without any difficulties I think that we're really good at supporting each other.' (Informant 268, male, ages 50–60 years old)

point, the analysis was unable to identify the rehabilitation needs that the informants initially described as being able to perform exCR in a safe, specialised environment among their peers.

Eleven out of the 12 informants chose self-administered workouts instead of municipal-based exCR, the majority of whom had prior experience with self-administered workouts and felt ready to continue working out alone. Some of these informants noted that, if their hospitalbased exCR had lasted the full 12 weeks, they might have completed the entire programme. Accessibility and transportation issues related to rehabilitation services generally did not influence whether the informants had dropped out (table 4, Q10).

Q10: You're stronger after the six weeks and have gained insight into what you need to do. I think that was fine. Of course, there's something available locally, but I don't think it's as specialised. (Informant 189, male, ages 50–60 years old)

Consequently, what was otherwise intended as a convenient transition for the patients became an option of whether the exCR should be externally based or done independently. The informants' re-evaluation of their own life agendas potentially influenced this decision (table 4, Q11–Q12).

Q12: I was supposed to transition to the local healthcare centre but that's when things got sticky. I had a full-time job and had slowly started working while also doing the hospital exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation. At the end I was working full time, but the class being at one pm actually fit my schedule great. (Informant 172, male, ages 50–60 years old)

Although some informants still had concerns about their illness during the transition, none of them reported being limited by this in their daily living. Five informants mentioned an increased ability to manage and continue their

new level of physical activity. Several informants mentioned an increased body consciousness and symptom management as the primary result of participating in hospital-based exCR (table 4, Q13–Q14).

Q14: Now I've seen how much people can do and how much you can actually push yourself. I feel competent enough to administer my own training ... I feel that this has really helped me dare to start training, and I want to start. I'm my own worst obstacle. I gained some tools and could ask questions about my heart and pulse. I think it would have taken me much longer to get started if I had not done that class. (Informant 275, female, ages 60–70 years old)

Five out of 12 informants were actively working up until their cardiac event, and in no cases did their heart condition affect their ability to resume their usual jobs. A few had concerns about the risk of a new cardiac event due to a stressful job or as a result of doing high-resistance training. Almost all informants reported receiving support from their partner, family or friends in making changes to their daily living, like helping them make dietary changes, with smoking cessation or maintaining usual workouts. Some informants found that their partners or family continued to have concerns and were afraid that they might have another cardiac event while no one was around. Half of the informants specifically mentioned their partner's support as an important part of their continued increased physical activity (table 4, Q15–Q16).

DISCUSSION

The present study contributes with a unique perspective on patient experiences in terms of dropping out of an exCR that switches from initially being hospital-based to subsequently taking place in a local healthcare centre (municipal-based). Overall, our findings indicate that the level of meaningfulness perceived among exCR patients may be crucial to

both initiating rehabilitation and the patient's re-evaluation of needs and abilities at the transition point, making the continuation of exCR a matter of being a personally weighed choice on the part of the patient. This is somewhat controversial as it goes against the expected benefits of maintaining a high level of exCR adherence. ⁸⁻¹⁰ Based on the present study one could argue that attendance and making a judicious choice to leave an exCR programme are distinct but also equally meaningful positions.

In terms of initiating rehabilitation, the study is in accordance with previous findings showing that patients are more likely to attend if exCR programmes are group based, if sessions are planned and if the content is structured. 14 15 Moreover, the informants said that the supervision received from exCR professionals provided valuable support, as did being part of a group in a structured training programme during the hospital-based sessions. The interaction with trainers in a safe environment, along with meaningful peer support, made it possible for patients to seek advice and compare experiences with patients in a similar position, as other research also indicates. ^{16 17} Based on informant experience, a loss of peer support resulting from being referred to classes comprising patients with a variety of other diagnoses and within a changed setting at transition may affect the meaningful cohesion of the class, triggering them to consider discontinuation. The risk of not adhering to exCR programmes is found to be higher if communication is lacking and the programme aim becomes unclear. 15-17 Moreover, Desveaux et al showed that less specialisation and a lack of individualisation gave patients participating in exCR more concerns in terms of continuing the programme.¹⁷

Existing quantitative research has identified multifactorial reasons for dropout from exCR. In general, the present qualitative study can neither confirm nor reject that factors, such as increased body mass index, comorbidities, sex and being >70 years of age, single, low income, less educated and an active smoker, increase the risk of dropping out.⁸ 18 31 Accordingly, the present findings are more aligned with similar findings from a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies concluding that system-level barriers, for example, lack of individualised exCR and conflicting patient-level barriers (eg, commitments to work), are predictors for non-adherence or dropout.³ Our findings indicate that several informants who declined to attend municipal-based exCR had prior experience with self-administered workouts, just as various reasons for resuming self-administered workouts earlier than expected were given. They mentioned, for example obligations to one's workplace or a wish to simply resume usual workout routines. Previous studies have found that patient obligations to their workplace were a large barrier to participating in exCR, 14 15 30 which underscores the presence of competing agendas during rehabilitation stages and the heightened relevance of the transition phase. To accommodate patients' daily living it is worth considering homebased CR for some, as a recent study has found it to be a safe alternative to regular exCR.³² Our findings do not

contradict this perspective as a possibility for some patients based on their abilities and preferences.

Moreover, some informants expressed a negative attitude towards municipal-based exCR, which were affected by poor facilities at the rehabilitation centre or a prejudice towards municipal-based rehabilitation services. Based on informants' experiences or attitudes, it may be relevant to investigate if current municipal training facilities are sufficient to handle exCR. On the other hand, most informants expressed an increased ability to manage and continue their newfound level of physical activity. Consequently, at termination of hospital-based exCR the referral to continued municipal-based exCR may have been perceived as a crossroad and as an option for reassessment of needs rather than a direct continuation of the programme. By declining continued exCR, the informants chose to change their continued rehabilitation, going from a shared intervention with support from peers and health professionals to a selfmanaged intervention, implying a shift in the supportive structure and context. The impact a shift in a transition context imposes has recently been suggested as an overarching feature concerning how patients define their roles and self-imagining following, for example, specialised rehabilitation from spinal cord injury.³³ This involves reassessment of needs and regaining meaningfulness in accordance with previous or altered life roles.33 Transferred to the present study, this implies that in order to ease access to care, a split exCR programme may unintentionally decrease the perceived relevance as the contextually embedded agendas may change when transitioning from being a *patient* at hospital to be a *citizen* in the community.

The vast majority of informants were able to resume self-administered workouts or increased physical activity in their daily living despite exCR discontinuation. Notably, we have in the present study no exact insights on the sufficiency on self-performed exercise compared with the level and intensity offered in the full exCR programme. Among the various immediate positive outcomes of exCR are improved self-esteem and social functioning, just as exCR has proved to support long-term healthy behaviours and the return to work, in addition to reducing the risk of readmission and aiding in the normalisation of daily living. ²¹⁰

Based on a salutogenic approach to our findings, self-efficacy represents an important personal ability to heighten one's sense of control and contact with one's own body following the initial exCR. Bäck et al, who found that a sense of self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular disease affects their attitude towards exCR, also asserted that patients with previous workout experience had a higher level of self-efficacy and found resuming unsupervised workouts easier. 16 Similar to the present study, informants in Bäck et al's study expressed a strong desire to return to daily living as fast as possible. Two studies showing a correlation between a low level of self-efficacy and an increased risk of dropping out of exCR,31 34 however, seem to contradict the findings in the present study but in reality underline the importance and nonlinearity of self-efficacy in patients undergoing exCR.



Patients with low self-efficacy could potentially have more difficulties maintaining regular workouts after hospitalisation with a critical disease, while patients with high self-efficacy could potentially successfully transition to self-administered workouts before the scheduled end of exCR. Dropout among patients in the latter group should not be seen as a problem if the patients feel confident and safe in their self-administered workouts. Instead, to decrease the risk of dropping out of exCR early, extra care should be given to patients exhibiting low self-efficacy.

In terms of methodological considerations, this highly novel study comprises informants who exclusively dropped out during the transition from hospital-based to municipalbased exCR in a local healthcare centre, which sheds light on patient experiences in this crucial shift in rehabilitation context. The study is highly relevant with split rehabilitation programmes, but has potential relevance for patients' assessments and choices in all transitional phases during rehabilitation, for example, during transition from rehabilitation to patients own home and continued daily living. Recruited using purposeful sampling, the 12 informants represented both sexes, multiple age groups and diverse social demographics. Even though the sex distribution of the informants reflects the underlying participation in exCR, ^{7 12} the potential under-representation of woman is a possible reason for dropout not being fully saturated. The study reached a thematic content saturation with 12 informants, but based on established literature focusing on women's experiences with exCR, this study may have overlooked themes of the role as a primary caregiver and nuances on social support. 21 35 Previous studies have shown that women are at higher risk of dropping out of exCR. 8 18 31 Moreover, there was only a slight amount of ethnic variation among patients in the main AoRTA study, which is why we were unable to explore the possible effect of ethnicity on dropout in an exCR programme conducted consecutively in two different contexts. Finally, the study did not include patients with heart valve surgery, which is why findings may not be transferrable to this specific subgroup.

The study aims to ensure credibility by thoroughly identifying any relevant issues based on a systematic review of the literature and key informant interviews with exCR physiotherapists to develop the semistructured interview guide. To increase transparency and provide an overview of the steps involved in the interpretation, see the online supplemental file 2: Coding tree, which contains the inductively generated coding tree. The coding, thematisation and interpretation were continuously revised until the primary investigator and senior researcher reached a consensus on the themes and hierarchical structure. In terms of confirmability, the analytical steps were discussed with the entire research team, which supports the triangulation of findings.

In conclusion, this qualitative study indicates that informants carry out a reconsideration of their needs when transitioning from hospital-based to municipal-based exCR at a local healthcare centre. Our findings show that the interplay of patient needs, timely relevance, a

specialised safe environment and peer support are significant factors involved in exCR adherence. However, these factors may decline during the transition stage, potentially triggered by competing agendas such as work obligations and the individual's reassessment of their ability to continue physical activity independently. We assume that perceived meaningfulness is a major motivational driver for initiating and potentially making a judicious choice to leave an exCR programme. In terms of the predominant cause of discontinuation, we are unable to fully determine whether it is the loss of meaningfulness in relation to termination of the hospital-based exCR, the informants' preconceptions concerning their ability to resume usual workout routines, or a combination of the two.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Occupational Therapy and Physiotherapy, Zealand University Hospital Roskilde, Roskilde, Sjaelland, Denmark

²Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, Næstved, Slagelse, Ringsted Hospital, Slagelse Hospital, Slagelse, Sjaelland, Denmark

³Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, Research Unit PROgrez, Næstved-Slagelse-Ringsted Hospitals, Slagelse, Denmark

⁴Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, Research Unit for Musculoskeletal Function and Physiotherapy, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

⁵Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy, Slagelse Hospital, Slagelse, Sjaelland, Denmark

⁶The Department of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense. Syddanmark, Denmark

⁷The University Hospitals Centre for Health Research (UCSF), Department 9701, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

Acknowledgements The staff at the municipal health care centres in Roskilde, Køge, Solrød, Lejre, Faxe, Greve and Stevns and the CR staff at Zealand University Hospital in Denmark have our deepest gratitude for their assistance in conducting this study. Thank you to all of the patients and staff affiliated with the AoRTA study.

Contributors ARSD, LBJ, BB and TM designed the project. ARSD conducted and transcribed the interviews. ARSD and TM analysed and interpreted findings, with substantial contributions from LBJ, STS and LHT. ARSD and TM drafted the manuscript, with revisions by LBJ, BB, STS and LHT. Final approval of manuscript to be published, along with responses to reviewers, was made by ARSD, LBJ, BB, STS, LHT and TM. ARSD submitted the study and is acting as guarantor of the study.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests Dr STS is an associate editor of the Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy, has received grants from The Lundbeck Foundation, personal fees from Munksgaard, all of which are outside the submitted work. He is co-founder of Good Life with Osteoarthritis in Denmark (GLA:D®), a not-for-profit initiative hosted at University of Southern Denmark aimed at implementing clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis in clinical practice. Dr STS is currently funded by a grant from Region Zealand (Exercise First) and a grant from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 801790).

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Potential informants received written information about the study describing their rights and anonymity. Informants provided verbal and written consent to both participating in the interview and to collection of data from their medical records. The data were handled according to existing guidelines and approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (REG-003-2018). This investigation conforms to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Transcribed anonymised interviews are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID ID

Anders Ravnholt Schüsler Damlund http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6685-2244

REFERENCES

- Bjarnason-Wehrens B, McGee H, Zwisler A-D, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation in Europe: results from the European cardiac rehabilitation inventory survey. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2010:17:410–8
- 2 European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation Committee for Science Guidelines, EACPR, Corrà U, et al. Secondary prevention through cardiac rehabilitation: physical activity counselling and exercise training: key components of the position paper from the cardiac rehabilitation section of the European association of cardiovascular prevention and rehabilitation. Eur Heart J 2010;31:1967–74.
- 3 Neubeck L, Freedman SB, Clark AM, et al. Participating in cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative data. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2012:19:494–503.
- 4 Piepoli MF, Corrà U, Benzer W, et al. Secondary prevention through cardiac rehabilitation: from knowledge to implementation. A position paper from the cardiac rehabilitation section of the European association of cardiovascular prevention and rehabilitation. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2010;17:1–17.
- 5 Price KJ, Gordon BA, Bird SR, et al. A review of guidelines for cardiac rehabilitation exercise programmes: is there an international consensus? Eur J Prev Cardiol 2016;23:1715–33.
- 6 Anbefalinger for tværsektorielle forløb for mennesker med hjertesygdom. Sundhedsstyrelsen 2018.
- 7 British Heart Foundation. The National audit of cardiac rehabilitation | quality and outcomes report 2018, 2018.
- 8 Ruano-Ravina A, Pena-Gil C, Abu-Assi E, et al. Participation and adherence to cardiac rehabilitation programs. A systematic review. Int J Cardiol 2016;223:436–43.
- 9 Clark AM, King-Shier KM, Spaling MA, et al. Factors influencing participation in cardiac rehabilitation programmes after referral and initial attendance: qualitative systematic review and meta-synthesis. Clin Rehabil 2013;27:948–59.
- 10 Santiago de Araújo Pio C, Marzolini S, Pakosh M, et al. Effect of cardiac rehabilitation dose on mortality and morbidity: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2017;92:1644–59.
- 11 Long L, Mordi IR, Bridges C, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults with heart failure. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019;1:CD003331.
- 12 Würgler MW. Hjertepatienters brug og oplevelse af rehabilitering: kort version. Dansk Sundhedsinstitut, Hjerteforeningen, 2009.
- 13 Bertelsen JB, Refsgaard J, Kanstrup H, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation after acute coronary syndrome comparing adherence and risk factor

- modification in a community-based shared care model versus hospital-based care in a randomised controlled trial with 12 months of follow-up. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2017;16:334–43.
- 14 Jones M, Jolly K, Raftery J, et al. 'DNA' may not mean 'did not participate': a qualitative study of reasons for non-adherence at home- and centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. Fam Pract 2007;24:343–57.
- 15 Herber OR, Smith K, White M, et al. 'Just not for me' contributing factors to nonattendance/noncompletion at phase III cardiac rehabilitation in acute coronary syndrome patients: a qualitative enquiry. J Clin Nurs 2017;26:3529–42.
- 16 Bäck M, Öberg B, Krevers B. Important aspects in relation to patients' attendance at exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation facilitators, barriers and physiotherapist's role: a qualitative study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2017;17:77.
- 17 Desveaux L, Harrison S, Lee A, et al. "We are all there for the same purpose": Support for an integrated community exercise program for older adults with HF and COPD. Heart Lung 2017;46:308–12.
- 18 Taylor GH, Wilson SL, Sharp J. Medical, psychological, and sociodemographic factors associated with adherence to cardiac rehabilitation programs: a systematic review. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2011;26:202–9.
- 19 Resurrección DM, Moreno-Peral P, Gómez-Herranz M, et al. Factors associated with non-participation in and dropout from cardiac rehabilitation programmes: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2019;18:38–47.
- 20 National klinisk retningslinje for hjerterehabilitering 2015.
- 21 Resurrección DM, Motrico E, Rubio-Valera M, et al. Reasons for dropout from cardiac rehabilitation programs in women: a qualitative study. PLoS One 2018;13:e0200636.
- 22 Lee M, Wood T, Chan S, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation program: an exploration of patient experiences and perspectives on program dropout. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs 2022;19:56–63.
- 23 Bourke A, Niranjan V, O'Connor R, et al. Barriers to and motives for engagement in an exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation programme in Ireland: a qualitative study. BMC Prim Care 2022;23:28.
- 24 Sommer CG, Jørgensen LB, Blume B, et al. Dropout during a 12-week transitional exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation programme: a mixed-methods prospective cohort study. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2022;21:578–86.
- 25 Malterud K. Qualitative research: standards, challenges, and guidelines. *The Lancet* 2001;358:483–8.
- 26 Gadamer H-G, Weinsheimer J, Marshall DG. Truth and method. First paperback ed. London, England: Bloomsbury Academic, 2013.
- 27 Malterud K. Kvalitativ metasyntese som forskningsmetode i medisin og helsefag. Oslo: Universitetsforl, 2017.
- 28 Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2007;19:349–57.
- 29 Patton MQ. Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods: Integrating Theory and Practice. Fourth ed. Los Angeles London New Delhi Singapore Washington DC: SAGE, 2015.
- 30 Kerins M, McKee G, Bennett K. Contributing factors to patient non-attendance at and non-completion of phase III cardiac rehabilitation. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2011;10:31–6.
- 31 Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Med 2004:116:682–92
- 32 Stefanakis M, Batalik L, Antoniou V, et al. Safety of home-based cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review. Heart Lung 2022;55:117–26.
- 33 Weber L, Voldsgaard NH, Holm NJ, et al. Exploring the contextual transition from spinal cord injury rehabilitation to the home environment: a qualitative study. Spinal Cord 2021;59:336–46.
- 34 McEntee ML, Cuomo LR, Dennison CR. Patient-, provider-, and system-level barriers to heart failure care. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2009:24:290–8.
- 35 Resurrección DM, Motrico E, Rigabert A, et al. Barriers for Nonparticipation and dropout of women in cardiac rehabilitation programs: a systematic review. J Womens Health 2017;26:849–59.