



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Urinary Placental Growth Factor as a Predictor of Complications in Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy: A Protocol for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-046005
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Oct-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Francoeur, Camille; University of Sherbrooke, Medecine Moreau, Julie; Centre de recherche CHUS Lemaire-Paquette, Samuel; Centre de recherche CHUS Battista, Marie-Claude; Université de Sherbrooke, Department of Medicine Roy-Lacroix, Marie-Eve; University of Sherbrooke, Obstetrics and Gynecology Cote, Anne-Marie; University of Sherbrooke, Medecine
Keywords:	Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, Maternal medicine < OBSTETRICS, Physiology < NATURAL SCIENCE DISCIPLINES

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1 Urinary Placental Growth Factor as a Predictor of Complications in Hypertensive Disorders
2 of Pregnancy: A Protocol for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

3

4 Camille Francoeur¹, Julie Moreau², Samuel Lemaire-Paquette², Marie-Claude Battista³,
5 Marie-Ève Roy-Lacroix⁴, Anne-Marie Côté^{1,2}

6

7 1. Division of Nephrology, Department of medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health

8 Sciences, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada

9 2. Centre de recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke,
10 Québec, Canada

11 3. Department of medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Université de
12 Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada

13 4. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
14 Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

15

16 **Contact**

17 Marie-Claude Battista

18 Marie-Claude.Battista@USherbrooke.ca

19

20 Camille Francoeur

21 Camille.Francoeur@USherbrooke.ca

22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

23 Samuel Lemaire-Paquette

24 samuel.lemaire-paquette.ciussse-chus@ssss.gouv.qc.ca

25

26 Julie Moreau

27 julie.moreau.ciussse-chus@ssss.gouv.qc.ca

28

29 Marie-Ève Roy-Lacroix

30 Marie-Eve.Roy-Lacroix@USherbrooke.ca

31

32 **Corresponding author:**

33 Anne-Marie Côté, MD, MHSc, FRCPC

34 Division of nephrology

35 Department of medicine

36 Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences

37 Université de Sherbrooke

38 3001, 12^e Avenue Nord

39 Sherbrooke QC J1H 5N4

40 Anne-Marie.Cote@Usherbrooke.ca

41 Tel: 819-821-8000, Fax: 819-564-5369

42

43 **Word Count: 2047**

44 ABSTRACT

45 **Introduction:** Preeclampsia is an important cause of maternal and foetal morbidity and
46 mortality. Although the diagnostic and prognostic values of circulating PlGF have been
47 extensively studied, urinary PlGF represent an excellent alternative to facilitate sample
48 collection in the follow-up of pregnant women. The aim of this study is to determine
49 whether urinary PlGF levels throughout pregnancy can predict severe maternal,
50 fetal/placental and neonatal complications in women with hypertensive disorders of
51 pregnancy.

52 **Methods and analysis:** Studies that evaluated pregnant women with hypertensive
53 disorders and at least one measurement of urinary PlGF will be included. Studies that
54 measure urinary PlGF after the occurrence of the complications will be excluded. The
55 main outcome will consist of severe maternal complications in women with hypertensive
56 disorders of pregnancy. Secondary outcomes will consist of severe fetal/placental and
57 neonatal complications as defined by the International Collaboration to Harmonize
58 Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia. Prospective and retrospective cohort studies and case-
59 controls studies reporting original data will be included. They will be identified by
60 searching MEDLINE and SCOPUS databases. All eligible studies will be assess for risk of
61 bias with a standardized 10-items study quality assessment tool adapted from the Study
62 Quality Assessment tools developed by the NIH. Summary of odds ratios and 95%
63 confidence intervals will be reported to evaluate the association between urinary PlGF
64 levels and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and its complications. A random-effect
65 meta-analysis will also be performed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethics and dissemination: Review by an Ethics Committee will not be required for this systematic review. This study will follow the PRISMA guidelines and will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal as well as for presentation at conferences targeting different stakeholders, including researchers, physicians and patients.

Trial registration: PROSPERO #CRD42020186313

Strenghts and limitations of this study

- This systematic review and meta-analysis will offer a synthesis and a comprehensive understanding of the work done to this day on urinary testing of PIGF for prediction of outcomes in hypertensive disorder of pregnancy.
- This prospectively registered study will be rigorously conducted with the contributions of all authors sharing expertise in methodology and context.
- A small number of studies and the heterogeneity of the data may be a limitation of this study.
- This study may identify gaps in knowledge that could be addressed by future studies aimed at investigating the use of urinary PIGF in pregnancy.

Key words: Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, Preeclampsia, Urinary Placental Growth Factor, Systematic Review

86 INTRODUCTION

87 Preeclampsia is the most severe hypertensive disorder of pregnancy occurring in 2-5% of
88 all pregnancies around the globe¹. It is a leading cause of fetal and maternal morbidity
89 and mortality and may cause severe complications ¹.To help combine and compare the
90 results of research on preeclampsia, the International Collaboration to Harmonize
91 Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE) established a core outcome set that defines the
92 maternal and fetal complications of preeclampsia².

93
94 Even if the underlying causes of preeclampsia remain unknown, preeclampsia may be
95 caused by a defect of circulating angiogenic factors leading to endothelial cells
96 dysfunction³. As such, it has been shown that women diagnosed with preeclampsia
97 present increased circulating blood levels of the anti-angiogenic factor soluble fms-like
98 tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1)⁴. sFlt-1 normally binds angiogenic factors such as the vascular
99 endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as well as the placental growth factor (PlGF). Increased
100 circulating levels of sFlt-1 lead to a reduction in free PlGF and VEGF and prevents their
101 action on endothelial cells generating endothelial dysfunction⁵.

102
103 Previous observational retrospective study suggested that the maternal blood levels of
104 angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors may be altered as soon as five weeks prior to the
105 clinical manifestation of preeclampsia⁶, or even as soon as the first trimester of
106 pregnancy⁴. Likewise, several investigators conducted clinical studies to evaluate the
107 prognostic and diagnostic values of circulating angiogenic factors in hypertensive

1
2
3 108 pregnant women⁷⁻⁹. Circulating levels of PlGF can predict the occurrence of preeclampsia
4
5 109 and its complications. It has been shown that circulating levels of PlGF are lower in patient
6
7
8 110 with preeclampsia compared to healthy controlled patient (61.3 ± 28.1 vs 122.4 ± 81.0 (p
9
10
11 111 > 0.001)⁸. Current efforts have focused on the assessment of circulating levels of
12
13 112 angiogenic factors. However, as these routine blood test during pregnancy are time
14
15 113 consuming, expensive and certainly uncomfortable to pregnant women, the development
16
17
18 114 of a urinary test may be more convenient and acceptable.
19

20 115
21
22 116 Diagnostic and prognostic values of circulating angiogenic factors have been studied
23
24 117 extensively in the past, but less is known about urinary PlGF diagnostic and prognostic
25
26
27 118 values. PlGF is a low molecular weight protein of ~ 30 kDa¹⁰, which is filtered by the
28
29
30 119 kidneys. Urinary levels of this protein highly correlate with its circulating blood levels
31
32 120 ($r=0.934$)¹¹, thus making urinary PlGF an interesting alternative for routine testing. This
33
34 121 systematic review and meta-analysis will offer a synthesis and understanding of the work
35
36 122 done to this day on urinary testing of PlGF for prediction of outcomes in hypertensive
37
38 123 disorder of pregnancy.
39

40 124
41
42
43
44 125 **OBJECTIVE**

46
47 126 The main objective of this study is to determine whether urinary PlGF levels throughout
48
49 127 pregnancy can predict severe maternal, fetal/placental and neonatal complications in
50
51 128 women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.
52

53 129
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

130 **METHOD AND ANALYSIS**

131 **Protocol and registration**

132 The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols
133 (PRISMA-P)¹² were followed for the elaboration of this protocol [see Additional file 1].

134 This systematic review was registered prospectively (PROSPERO #CRD42020186313).

135

136 **Participants**

137 The review will target studies that include pregnant women with hypertensive disorders
138 of pregnancy and at least one measurement of urinary PIGF during pregnancy. Studies
139 with measurements performed after the occurrence of the complications and postpartum
140 will not be included. No exclusion criteria based on underlying maternal medical
141 conditions will be applied.

142

143 **Outcome measurement**

144 **Patient and public involvement**

145 A panel of four experts in maternal and fetal medicine as well as a patient with lived
146 experience of preeclampsia were consulted to determine and prioritize the outcomes of
147 the study. A medical student was involved in reviewing the protocol for completeness and
148 to ensure its clarity to non-expert readers.

149

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

150 **The main outcome** will consist of severe maternal complications in women with
151 hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (as defined below). **Secondary outcomes** will consist
152 of severe fetal/placental and neonatal complications.

153 The core outcomes set for preeclampsia developed by the International Collaboration to
154 Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE)² will be used as follow:

155 1) Maternal core outcomes as : maternal mortality, severe morbidity (e.g.
156 eclampsia, stroke, cortical blindness, retinal detachment, pulmonary edema,
157 acute kidney injury, liver capsule haematoma or rupture, placental abruption,
158 postpartum haemorrhage, raised liver enzyme, low platelets, admission to
159 intensive care unit required, intubation and mechanical ventilation (not for
160 childbirth).

161 2) Offspring outcomes as : stillbirth, gestational age at delivery (defined as delivery
162 < 37 weeks of gestation), birthweight, small-for-gestational-age (defined as a \leq 10
163 growth centile), neonatal mortality, neonatal seizures, admission to neonatal unit
164 required, respiratory support.

165 Considering the heterogeneity of the outcomes used in the different studies, we will also
166 examine the outcomes defined in the Pre-eclampsia Integrated Estimate of RiSk (PIERS)¹³
167 study or their equivalent. Definitions of small-for-gestational-age will include the Gordijn
168 and Beune definition as per the DELPHI procedure¹⁴. This suggestion was put forth by our
169 expert panel for determination and prioritization of outcomes for also applying more
170 stringent criteria for placental insufficiency.

171

172 The following definitions will be used to classify hypertensive disorders of pregnancy¹⁵:

- 173 • Gestational hypertension will be defined as *de novo* persistent hypertension after
174 20 weeks of pregnancy (blood pressure ≥ 140 mm Hg systolic or ≥ 90 mm Hg
175 diastolic).
- 176 • Chronic hypertension will be defined as hypertension (blood pressure ≥ 140 mm
177 Hg systolic or ≥ 90 mm Hg diastolic) predated to pregnancy or discovered before
178 20 weeks of gestation.
- 179 • Preeclampsia will be defined as gestational hypertension accompanied by one or
180 more new-onset conditions among proteinuria as a protein/creatinine ratio
181 ≥ 0.3 g/g, protein ≥ 1 g/l, 24hr collection ≥ 0.3 g/day or one dipstick measurement \geq
182 1+, acute kidney injury, liver involvement, neurological complications,
183 hematological complications or uteroplacental dysfunction.
- 184 • Superimposed preeclampsia will be defined as any of the maternal organ
185 dysfunction of preeclampsia in a woman with chronic hypertension.

187 Type of studies

188 Prospective and retrospective cohort studies and case-controls studies reporting original
189 data will be included in this review. Literature reviews, case studies and case reports,
190 letter to the editors, comments on article and editorials will be excluded. Study focusing
191 only on animal research, on PlGF quantification in serum or plasma or study unrelated to
192 pregnancy will also be excluded. Only studies published in French or in English will be
193 included. No time restriction will be applied.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

194

195 **Search strategy**

196 MEDLINE and SCOPUS will be searched for the systematic review and another search will
197 be planned closer to the publication of the results. The reference lists of the included
198 studies will also be reviewed manually. The complete search strategy was reviewed and
199 validated by a librarian and is provided as Additional File 2.

201 **Study records**

202 **Study selection**

203 Inclusion criteria were fixed by two reviewers (CF, AMC). Studies will be imported in
204 Zotero 5.0.89 and duplicates will be removed. Studies will be independently screened by
205 two reviewers according to title and abstract (CF, JM). Full-text will be assessed if the
206 sorting is still unclear. Disagreement between the two reviewers will be resolved with the
207 opinion of a third reviewer (AMC). The sorting will be compiled in an excel spreadsheet.

209 **Data collection**

210 The data will be extracted independently in duplicate by two reviewers using a prepiloted
211 standardized data extraction form. The following data will be extracted from the
212 publications: Author, publication year, study group, laboratory, aim of the study,
213 population, gestational age, definition of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy,
214 preeclampsia, identified outcomes, laboratory method for determination of urinary PIGF,
215 urinary PIGF results and proposed cutoff, summary test characteristics (sensitivity,

specificity, likelihood ratios, area under the ROC curve), odds ratio. Disagreement between two reviewers will be resolved with the opinion of a third reviewer (AMC). Missing data will be reported as well and the quality of the article will be assessed accordingly. The data will be compiled in an excel spreadsheet.

Risk of bias assessment

A standardized 10-items study quality assessment tool adapted from the Study Quality Assessment tools developed by the NIH (<https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools>) will be used by two independent observers. Each study included will be classified as either good, fair or poor quality. The strength of evidence between studies will be determine using the GRADE system¹⁶.

Summarising data

Summary of odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) will be reported to evaluate the association between urinary PIGF levels and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and its complications. If there is a sufficient number of studies, we will pool the results with a random-effects meta-analysis due to *a priori* concerns that not all included studies will assess comparable populations. Pooled effect sizes will be obtained using Mantel-Haenszel method, a more reliable approach than inverse-variance to determine study weights. Furthermore, if the number of valid studies allows it, hierarchical summary receiver-operating characteristic (HSROC) models will be presented as pooled accuracy measures and 95% CIs. Summary of sensitivity and specificity with 95%

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

238 CIs will also be reported. For both pooled effects presented, heterogeneity will be
239 assessed by Higgins' and Thomson's I^2 index. Analyses will be performed using the meta
240 package from R Software v.4.0.0 (R Core Team (2020). R Foundation for Statistical
241 Computing, Vienna, Austria).

242

243 **Prespecified subgroup analyses**

244 We will consider clinical heterogeneity and if there is sufficient power, we will conduct
245 subgroup analyses according to type of hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (preeclampsia
246 *de novo* vs superimposed preeclampsia, preterm preeclampsia <37 and <34 weeks),
247 trimester of pregnancy at urinary collection and method of PlGF measurement, as well as
248 maternal medical conditions. Variables of interest were chosen for subgroup analyses
249 considering their clinical relevance in leading to a potential gain of information.
250 Heterogeneity will be quantify using I^2 index and a χ^2 test will be performed to assess for
251 homogeneity between subgroups with statistical significance at 5%.

252

253 **Sensitivity analyses**

254 Sensitivity analyses will be conducted by study epoch and study quality if number are
255 sufficient.

256

257 **Assessment of reporting bias**

258 Considering that studies with inconclusive or non-significant results often remain
259 unpublished, we will assess reporting bias using a funnel plot if at least 10 studies are

included in the meta-analysis¹⁷. In the absence of publication bias, all studies will lie symmetrically around the calculated pooled odd ratios. Since the interpretation of the funnel plot is subjective, arcsine test for dichotomous outcomes measured as odds ratios will be presented to quantifies asymmetry considering a statistical significance of 5%¹⁸.

Interpretation of results

All authors will contribute to the interpretation of the results with their respective input of expertise for methodology and statistical analyses (MCB, SLP) and for content and context (CF, JM, AMC, MERL) and a virtual meeting will be planned to discuss and debate the results and reach consensus for interpretation and presentation of results. We will assess the quality of evidence using the GRADE framework¹⁶.

Protocol amendments

Any amendments to the protocol will be reported, justified and dated.

Ethics and dissemination

Review by an Ethics Committee will not be required since no data from participants will be collected. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will follow the PRISMA guidelines¹⁹ and will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal. The results will also be submitted for presentation at conferences targeting different stakeholders, including researchers, physicians and patients.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

282 **DISCUSSION**

283 Preeclampsia remains an important cause of maternal, fetal and neonatal mortality and
284 morbidity. Testing for angiogenic factors can improve identification and management of
285 women with hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Urinary testing is easier to obtain than
286 blood samples and as a non invasive test, it is more acceptable to most women during
287 antenatal care. Thus, urinary PlGF represents a great alternative in the evaluation of
288 pregnant women and to facilitate longitudinal follow-up. Moreover, serial urinary sample
289 may allow for better interpretation of biomarker and clinical trajectory of women at risk
290 or developing an hypertensive disorder of pregnancy. Finally, in low-resource settings and
291 remote areas, as well as for telemonitoring, urinary testing for PlGF may eventually be
292 more accessible to guide management and medical decisions at lower costs.

293 **Strenghts and limitations**

294 This prospectively registered study provide a search strategy, well defined outcomes and
295 analysis plan. The systematic review and meta-analysis will be rigorously conducted with
296 the contributions of all authors sharing expertise in methodology and context. The GRADE
297 framework will be used to report our results. Considering the interest for urinary PlGF is
298 recent, the small numbers of studies and the heterogeneity of the data may be a
299 limitation of this study. However, this systematic review may identify future areas of
300 study on the use of urinary PlGF in pregnancy.

301

302

303

304 **Abbreviations:**

305 PlGF : Placental Growth Factor

306 sFlt-1 : soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1

307 VEGF : vascular endothelial growth factor

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

308 **DECLARATIONS**

309 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

310 Not applicable

311

312 **Consent for publication**

313 Not applicable

314

315 **Availability of data and materials**

316 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed

317 during the current study.

318

319 **Competing interests**

320 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

321

322 **Funding**

323 C Francoeur is a recipient of a Postgraduate Scholarship from the Faculty of Medicine and

324 Health Sciences of Université de Sherbrooke. AM Côté’s research program is supported

325 by funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

326

327 **Authors’ contributions**

328 All authors contributed to the preparation of the protocol, reviewed and approved the

329 final version of the protocol. AMC and CF conceived the research question. AMC, CF, MCB

330 and SLP contributed to the methodological aspects of the protocol. AMC is the guarantor
331 of this review.

332

333 **Acknowledgement**

334 We thank Dr Annabelle Cumyn, Dr Annie Ouellet, Dr Evelyne Rey and Dr Evelyne Raïche,
335 expert panel in maternal and fetal medicine, Marie-Noëlle Richard, patient with lived
336 experience of preeclampsia, Josée Toulouse, librarian and Anne-Marie Lafaille-Hebert,
337 medical student, for their contribution to the protocol. Dr Cumuy also kindly revise the
338 manuscript for linguistic review.

339

340 **Additional files**

341 Additional file 1

342 Microsoft word (DOCX)

343 PRISMA-P Checklist

344 Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols checklist

345

346 Additional file 2

347 Microsoft word (DOCX)

348 Search Strategy

349 Description of search strategies for MEDLINE and SCOPUS.

REFERENCES

(1) Phipps, E. A.; Thadhani, R.; Benzing, T.; Karumanchi, S. A. Pre-Eclampsia: Pathogenesis, Novel Diagnostics and Therapies. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* 2019; 15, 275–289.

(2) Duffy, J. M. N.; Cairns, A. E.; Richards-Doran, D.; van ’t Hooft, J.; Gale, C.; Brown, M.; Chappell, L. C.; Grobman, W. A.; Fitzpatrick, R.; Karumanchi, S. A.; Khalil, A.; Lucas, D. N.; Magee, L. A.; Mol, B. W.; Stark, M.; Thangaratinam, S.; Wilson, M. J.; von Dadelszen, P.; Williamson, P. R.; Ziebland, S.; McManus, R. J.; the International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE). A Core Outcome Set for Pre-Eclampsia Research: An International Consensus Development Study. *BJOG Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2020; 127: 1516– 1526.

(3) Maynard, S. E.; Min, J.-Y.; Merchan, J.; Lim, K.-H.; Li, J.; Mondal, S.; Libermann, T. A.; Morgan, J. P.; Sellke, F. W.; Stillman, I. E.; Epstein, F. H.; Sukhatme, V. P.; Karumanchi, S. A. Excess Placental Soluble Fms-like Tyrosine Kinase 1 (sFlt1) May Contribute to Endothelial Dysfunction Hypertension, and Proteinuria in Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2003; 111(5):649-658.

(4) Thadhani, R.; Mutter, W. P.; Wolf, M.; Levine, R. J.; Taylor, R. N.; Sukhatme, V. P.; Ecker, J.; Karumanchi, S. A. First Trimester Placental Growth Factor and Soluble Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase 1 and Risk for Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2004; 89(2):770– 775.

(5) Koga, K.; Osuga, Y.; Yoshino, O.; Hirota, Y.; Ruimeng, X.; Hirata, T.; Takeda, S.; Yano, T.; Tsutsumi, O.; Taketani, Y. Elevated Serum Soluble Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor 1 (sVEGFR-1) Levels in Women with Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*

- 372 2003; 88:2348–2351
- 373 (6) Levine, R. J.; Maynard, S. E.; Qian, C.; Lim, K.-H.; England, L. J.; Yu, K. F.;
374 Schisterman, E. F.; Thadhani, R.; Sachs, B. P.; Epstein, F. H.; Sibai, B. M.; Sukhatme, V. P.;
375 Karumanchi, S. A. Circulating Angiogenic Factors and the Risk of Preeclampsia. *N. Engl. J.*
376 *Med.* 2004; 350:672-683.
- 377 (7) Taylor, R. N.; Grimwood, J.; Taylor, R. S.; McMaster, M. T.; Fisher, S. J.; North, R. A.
378 Longitudinal Serum Concentrations of Placental Growth Factor: Evidence for Abnormal
379 Placental Angiogenesis in Pathologic Pregnancies. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003; 188:177-
380 182
- 381 (8) Polliotti, B. M.; Fry, A. G.; Saller Jr, D. N.; Mooney, R. A.; Cox, C.; Miller, R. K.
382 Second-Trimester Maternal Serum Placental Growth Factor and Vascular Endothelial
383 Growth Factor for Predicting Severe, Early-Onset Preeclampsia. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003;
384 101:1266-1274.
- 385 (9) Duhig, K. E.; Myers, J.; Seed, P. T.; Sparkes, J.; Lowe, J.; Hunter, R. M.; Shennan, A.
386 H.; Chappell, L. C.; PARROT trial group. Placental Growth Factor Testing to Assess Women
387 with Suspected Pre-Eclampsia: A Multicentre, Pragmatic, Stepped-Wedge Cluster-
388 Randomised Controlled Trial. *Lancet* 2019; 393:1807-1818.
- 389 (10) Tammela, T.; Enholm, B.; Alitalo, K.; Paavonen, K. The Biology of Vascular
390 Endothelial Growth Factors. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2005; 65: 550–563.
- 391 (11) Tang, P.; Xu, J.; Xie, B.-J.; Wang, Q.-M. Use of Serum and Urinary Soluble sFlt-1 and
392 PLGF in the Diagnosis of Preeclampsia. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 2017; 37:3:137-143.
- 393 (12) Moher, D.; Shamseer, L.; Clarke, M.; Ghersi, D.; Liberati, A.; Petticrew, M.;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

394 Shekelle, P.; Stewart; L. A. PRISMA-P Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
395 Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 Statement. *Syst. Rev.* 2015;4.
396 (13) Ukah, U. V.; Payne, B.; Hutcheon, J. A.; Ansermino, J. M.; Ganzevoort, W.;
397 Thangaratinam, S.; Magee, L. A.; von Dadelszen, P. Assessment of the FullPIERS Risk
398 Prediction Model in Women With Early-Onset Preeclampsia. *Hypertension* 2018; 71:659–
399 665.
400 (14) Gordijn, S. J.; Beune, I. M.; Thilaganathan, B.; Papageorgiou, A.; Baschat, A. A.;
401 Baker, P. N.; Silver, R. M.; Wynia, K.; Ganzevoort, W. Consensus Definition of Fetal Growth
402 Restriction: A Delphi Procedure. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 2016; 48: 333-339
403 (15) Brown, M. A.; Magee, L. A.; Kenny, L. C.; Karumanchi, S. A.; McCarthy, F. P.; Saito,
404 S.; Hall, D. R.; Warren, C. E.; Adoyi, G.; Ishaku, S. The Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy:
405 ISSHP Classification, Diagnosis & Management Recommendations for International
406 Practice. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 2018; 13: 291-310.
407 (16) Guyatt, G. H.; Oxman, A. D.; Kunz, R.; Woodcock, J.; Brozek, J.; Helfand, M.; Alonso-
408 Coello, P.; Falck-Ytter, Y.; Jaeschke, R.; Vist, G.; Akl, E. A.; Post, P. N.; Norris, S.; Meerpohl,
409 J.; Shukla, V. K.; Nasser, M.; Schünemann, H. J.; GRADE Working Group. GRADE Guidelines:
410 8. Rating the Quality of Evidence--Indirectness. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2011; 64: 1303-1310.
411 (17) Begg, C. B.; Mazumdar, M. Operating Characteristics of a Rank Correlation Test for
412 Publication Bias. *Biometrics* 1994; 50(4):1088-1101
413 (18) Rücker, G.; Schwarzer, G.; Carpenter, J. Arcsine Test for Publication Bias in Meta-
414 Analyses with Binary Outcomes. *Stat. Med.* 2008; 27: 746-763.
415 (19) Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D. G.; PRISMA Group. Preferred

- 1
2
3 416 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *J.*
4
5
6 417 *Clin. Epidemiol.* 2009; 62 : 1006-1012.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic Item No	Checklist item	Line and page number in protocol
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Title:		
Identification	1a Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1-2
Update	1b If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A
Registration	2 If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	86
Authors:		
Contact	3a Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	4-41
Contributions	3b Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	343-347
Amendments	4 If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	289-290
Support:		
Sources	5a Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	338-341
Sponsor	5b Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	N/A
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	103-140
Objectives	7 Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	142-145
METHODS		
Eligibility criteria	8 Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	153-158
Information sources	9 Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	212-216
Search strategy	10 Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	Additional file
Study records:		
Data management	11a Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	226-236
Selection process	11b State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	219-224
Data collection process	11c Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	226-236
Data items	12 List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	228-233
Outcomes and prioritization	13 List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	160-202

Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	238-243
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	245-258
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	245-258
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	260-268
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	N/A
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	274-280
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	286-287

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

ADDITIONAL FILE 2 : SEARCH STRATEGY

MEDLINE EBSCO

No.	Search Terms
1.	TI (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*) OR AB (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*)
2.	TI ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf) OR AB ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf)
3.	(MH "Pregnancy+")
4.	(MH "Placenta Growth Factor")
5.	(MH "Urine")
6.	TI urin* OR AB urin*
7.	1 or 3
8.	2 or 4
9.	5 or 6
10.	7 and 8 and 9

SCOPUS

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (urin*))

BMJ Open

Urinary Placental Growth Factor as a Predictor of Complications in Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy: A Protocol for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-046005.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Mar-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Francoeur, Camille; University of Sherbrooke, Medecine Moreau, Julie; Centre de recherche CHUS Lemaire-Paquette, Samuel; Centre de recherche CHUS Battista, Marie-Claude; University of Sherbrooke, Medicine Roy-Lacroix, Marie-Eve; University of Sherbrooke, Obstetrics and Gynecology Cote, Anne-Marie; University of Sherbrooke, Medecine
Primary Subject Heading:	Obstetrics and gynaecology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Research methods
Keywords:	Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, Maternal medicine < OBSTETRICS, Physiology < NATURAL SCIENCE DISCIPLINES

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1 Urinary Placental Growth Factor as a Predictor of Complications in Hypertensive Disorders
2 in Pregnancy: A Protocol for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

3
4 Camille Francoeur¹, Julie Moreau², Samuel Lemaire-Paquette², Marie-Claude Battista³,
5 Marie-Ève Roy-Lacroix⁴, Anne-Marie Côté^{1,2}

6
7 1. Division of Nephrology, Department of medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health
8 Sciences, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada

9 2. Centre de recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke,
10 Québec, Canada

11 3. Department of medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Université de
12 Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada

13 4. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
14 Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

15
16 **Contact**

17 Marie-Claude Battista

18 Marie-Claude.Battista@USherbrooke.ca

19
20 Camille Francoeur

21 Camille.Francoeur@USherbrooke.ca

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

23 Samuel Lemaire-Paquette
24 samuel.lemaire-paquette.ciussse-chus@ssss.gouv.qc.ca

25
26 Julie Moreau
27 julie.moreau.ciussse-chus@ssss.gouv.qc.ca

28
29 Marie-Ève Roy-Lacroix
30 Marie-Eve.Roy-Lacroix@USherbrooke.ca

31
32 **Corresponding author:**
33 Anne-Marie Côté, MD, MHSc, FRCPC
34 Division of nephrology
35 Department of medicine
36 Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences
37 Université de Sherbrooke
38 3001, 12^e Avenue Nord
39 Sherbrooke QC J1H 5N4
40 Anne-Marie.Cote@Usherbrooke.ca
41 Tel: 819-821-8000, Fax: 819-564-5369

42
43 **Word Count: 2047**

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Preeclampsia is an important cause of maternal and foetal morbidity and mortality. Although the diagnostic and prognostic values of circulating PlGF have been extensively studied, urinary PlGF represent an excellent alternative to facilitate sample collection in the follow-up of pregnant women. The aim of this study is to determine whether urinary PlGF levels throughout pregnancy can predict severe maternal, fetal/placental and neonatal complications in women with hypertensive disorders in pregnancy.

Methods and analysis: Studies that evaluated pregnant women with hypertensive disorders and at least one measurement of urinary PlGF will be included. Studies that measure urinary PlGF after the occurrence of the complications will be excluded. The main outcome will consist of severe maternal complications in women with hypertensive disorders in pregnancy. Secondary outcomes will consist of severe fetal/placental and neonatal complications as defined by the International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia. Prospective cohort studies and case-controls studies reporting original data will be included. Studies will be identified by searching MEDLINE and SCOPUS databases. The first literature search was conducted on March 2nd 2020, and another search will be performed before analyses. All eligible studies will be assess for risk of bias with a standardized 10-items study quality assessment tool adapted from the Study Quality Assessment tools developed by the NIH. Summary of odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals will be reported to evaluate the association between urinary PlGF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

65 levels and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and its complications. A random-effect
66 meta-analysis will also be performed.

67 **Ethics and dissemination:** Review by an Ethics Committee will not be required for this
68 systematic review. This study will follow the PRISMA guidelines and will be submitted for
69 publication in a peer-reviewed journal as well as for presentation at conferences targeting
70 different stakeholders, including researchers, physicians and patients.

71 **Trial registration:** PROSPERO #CRD42020186313

72
73 **Strenghts and limitations of this study**

- 74 • This systematic review and meta-analysis will offer a synthesis and a comprehensive
75 understanding of the work done to this day on urinary testing of PlGF for prediction
76 of outcomes in hypertensive disorder in pregnancy.
- 77 • This prospectively registered study will be rigorously conducted with the
78 contributions of all authors sharing expertise in methodology and context.
- 79 • A small number of studies and the heterogeneity of the data may be a limitation of
80 this study.
- 81 • This study may identify gaps in knowledge that could be addressed by future studies
82 aimed at investigating the use of urinary PlGF in pregnancy.

83
84
85 **Key words:** Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, Preeclampsia, Urinary Placental
86 Growth Factor, Systematic Review

INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia is the most severe hypertensive disorder in pregnancy occurring in 2-5% of all pregnancies around the globe¹. It is a leading cause of fetal and maternal morbidity and mortality and may cause severe complications¹. To help combine and compare the results of research on preeclampsia, the International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE) established a core outcome set that defines the maternal and fetal complications of preeclampsia².

Even if the underlying causes of preeclampsia remain unknown, preeclampsia may be caused by a defect of circulating angiogenic factors leading to endothelial cells dysfunction^{3,4}. As such, it has been shown that women diagnosed with preeclampsia present increased circulating blood levels of the anti-angiogenic factor soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1)⁵. sFlt-1 normally binds angiogenic factors such as the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as well as the placental growth factor (PlGF). Increased circulating levels of sFlt-1 lead to a reduction in free PlGF and VEGF and prevents their action on endothelial cells generating endothelial dysfunction⁶.

Previous observational retrospective study suggested that the maternal blood levels of angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors may be altered as soon as five weeks prior to the clinical manifestation of preeclampsia⁷, or even as soon as the first trimester in pregnancy⁵. Likewise, several investigators conducted clinical studies to evaluate the prognostic and diagnostic values of circulating angiogenic factors in hypertensive

131 **METHOD AND ANALYSIS**

132 **Protocol and registration**

133 The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols
134 (PRISMA-P)¹³ were followed for the elaboration of this protocol [see Additional file 1].

135 This systematic review was registered prospectively (PROSPERO #CRD42020186313).

137 **Participants**

138 The review will target studies that include pregnant women with hypertensive disorders
139 in pregnancy and at least one measurement of urinary PIGF during pregnancy. Studies
140 with measurements performed after the occurrence of the complications and postpartum
141 will not be included. No exclusion criteria based on underlying maternal medical
142 conditions will be applied.

144 **Outcome measurement**

145 **Patient and public involvement**

146 A panel of four experts in maternal and fetal medicine as well as a patient with lived
147 experience of preeclampsia were consulted to determine and prioritize the outcomes of
148 the study. A medical student was involved in reviewing the protocol for completeness and
149 to ensure its clarity to non-expert readers.

150

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The main outcome will consist of severe maternal complications in women with hypertensive disorders in pregnancy (as defined below). **Secondary outcomes** will consist of severe fetal/placental, neonatal complications.

The core outcomes set for preeclampsia developed by the International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE)² will be used as follow:

1) Maternal core outcomes as : maternal mortality, severe morbidity (e.g. eclampsia, stroke, cortical blindness, retinal detachment, pulmonary edema, acute kidney injury, liver capsule haematoma or rupture, placental abruption, postpartum haemorrhage, raised liver enzyme, low platelets, admission to intensive care unit required, intubation and mechanical ventilation (not for childbirth).

2) Offspring outcomes as : stillbirth, gestational age at delivery (defined as delivery < 37 weeks of gestation), birthweight, small-for-gestational-age (defined as a ≤ 10 growth centile), neonatal mortality, neonatal seizures, admission to neonatal unit required, respiratory support.

Considering the heterogeneity of the outcomes used in the different studies, we will also examine the outcomes defined in the Pre-eclampsia Integrated Estimate of RiSk (PIERS)¹⁴ study or their equivalent, including HELLP syndrome (Hemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes, and a Low Platelet count), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), abnormal fetal Doppler, and oligohydramnios. Definitions of small-for-gestational-age will include the Gordijn and Beune definition as per the DELPHI procedure.¹⁵ This suggestion was put forth by our expert panel for determination and

prioritization of outcomes for also applying more stringent criteria for placental insufficiency.

The following definitions will be used to classify hypertensive disorders in pregnancy¹⁶:

- Gestational hypertension will be defined as *de novo* persistent hypertension after 20 weeks of pregnancy (blood pressure ≥ 140 mm Hg systolic or ≥ 90 mm Hg diastolic).
- Chronic hypertension will be defined as hypertension (blood pressure ≥ 140 mm Hg systolic or ≥ 90 mm Hg diastolic) predated to pregnancy or discovered before 20 weeks of gestation.
- Preeclampsia will be defined as gestational hypertension accompanied by one or more new-onset conditions among proteinuria as a protein/creatinine ratio ≥ 0.3 g/g, protein ≥ 1 g/l, 24hr collection ≥ 0.3 g/day or one dipstick measurement $\geq 1+$, acute kidney injury, liver involvement, neurological complications, hematological complications or uteroplacental dysfunction.
- Superimposed preeclampsia will be defined as any of the maternal organ dysfunction of preeclampsia in a woman with chronic hypertension.

Type of studies

Prospective cohort studies and case-controls studies reporting original data will be included in this review. As the main objective is to search for a predictor, prospective studies are best designed for that purpose and though some cross-sectional studies may

fulfill the requirement, it will be very difficult for retrospective studies to serve data for a predictive analysis. Literature reviews, case studies and case reports, letter to the editors, comments on article and editorials will be excluded. Study focusing only on animal research, on PIGF quantification in serum or plasma or study unrelated to pregnancy will also be excluded. Only studies published in French or in English will be included. No time restriction will be applied.

Search strategy

MEDLINE and SCOPUS will be searched for the systematic review and another search will be planned closer to the publication of the results. The first search was conducted on March 2nd 2020, and another search will be performed before the analyses. The reference lists of the included studies will also be reviewed manually. The complete search strategy was reviewed and validated by a librarian and is provided as Additional File 2.

Study records

Study selection

Inclusion criteria were fixed by two reviewers (CF, AMC). Studies will be imported in Zotero **5.0.89** and duplicates will be removed. Studies will be independently screened by two reviewers according to title and abstract (CF, JM). Full-text will be assessed if the sorting is still unclear. Disagreement between the two reviewers will be resolved with the opinion of a third reviewer (AMC). The sorting will be compiled in an excel spreadsheet.

217 Data collection

218 The data will be extracted independently in duplicate by two reviewers using a prepiloted
219 standardized data extraction form. The following data will be extracted from the
220 publications: Author, publication year, study group, laboratory, aim of the study,
221 population, gestational age, definition of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy,
222 preeclampsia, identified outcomes, laboratory method for determination of urinary PlGF,
223 the brand of the PlGF assay kit, urinary PlGF results and proposed cutoff, if the urinary
224 PlGF results were standardized according to the urinary creatinine, summary test
225 characteristics (sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios, area under the ROC curve), odds
226 ratio. Disagreement between two reviewers will be resolved with the opinion of a third
227 reviewer (AMC). Missing data will be reported as well and the quality of the article will be
228 assessed accordingly. The data will be compiled in an excel spreadsheet.

230 Risk of bias assessment

231 A standardized 10-items study quality assessment tool adapted from the Study Quality
232 Assessment tools developed by the NIH ([https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-](https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools)
233 [quality-assessment-tools](https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools)) will be used by two independent observers. Each study
234 included will be classified as either good, fair or poor quality. The strength of evidence
235 between studies will be determine using the GRADE system¹⁷.

237 Summarising data

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

238 Primary analysis will be conducted using only urinary PIGF standardized for urinary
239 creatinine. Summary of odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) will be
240 reported to evaluate the association between urinary PIGF levels and hypertensive
241 disorders in pregnancy and its complications. If there is a sufficient number of studies, we
242 will pool the results with a random-effects meta-analysis due to *a priori* concerns that not
243 all included studies will assess comparable populations. Pooled effect sizes will be
244 obtained using Mantel-Haenszel method, a more reliable approach than inverse-variance
245 to determine study weights. Since a small number of studies presenting OR is expected,
246 we decided to focus our analyses on effect size. However, studies presenting RR and LR
247 could be considered for potential comparisons. Furthermore, if the number of valid
248 studies allows it, hierarchical summary receiver-operating characteristic (HSROC) models
249 will be presented as pooled accuracy measures and 95% CIs. Summary of sensitivity and
250 specificity with 95% CIs will also be reported. For both pooled effects presented,
251 heterogeneity will be assessed by Higgins' and Thomson's I^2 index. Analyses will be
252 performed using the meta package from R Software v.4.0.0 (R Core Team (2020). R
253 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

254

255 **Prespecified subgroup analyses**

256 We will consider clinical heterogeneity and if there is sufficient power, we will conduct
257 subgroup analyses according to type of hypertensive disorder in pregnancy (preeclampsia
258 *de novo* vs superimposed preeclampsia, preterm preeclampsia <37 and <34 weeks),
259 trimester in pregnancy at urinary collection and method of PIGF measurement, as well as

maternal medical conditions. Variables of interest were chosen for subgroup analyses considering their clinical relevance in leading to a potential gain of information. Heterogeneity will be quantify using I^2 index and a χ^2 test will be performed to assess for homogeneity between subgroups with statistical significance at 5%.

Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses will be conducted by study epoch and study quality if number are sufficient.

Assessment of reporting bias

Considering that studies with inconclusive or non-significant results often remain unpublished, we will assess reporting bias using a funnel plot if at least 10 studies are included in the meta-analysis¹⁸. In the absence of publication bias, all studies will lie symmetrically around the calculated pooled odd ratios. Since the interpretation of the funnel plot is subjective, arcsine test for dichotomous outcomes measured as odds ratios will be presented to quantifies asymmetry considering a statistical significance of 5%¹⁹.

Interpretation of results

All authors will contribute to the interpretation of the results with their respective input of expertise for methodology and statistical analyses (MCB, SLP) and for content and context (CF, JM, AMC, MERL) and a virtual meeting will be planned to discuss and debate

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the results and reach consensus for interpretation and presentation of results. We will assess the quality of evidence using the GRADE framework¹⁷.

Protocol amendments

Any amendments to the protocol will be reported, justified and dated.

Ethics and dissemination

Review by an Ethics Committee will not be required since no data from participants will be collected. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will follow the PRISMA guidelines²⁰ and will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal. The results will also be submitted for presentation at conferences targeting different stakeholders, including researchers, physicians and patients.

DISCUSSION

Preeclampsia remains an important cause of maternal, fetal and neonatal mortality and morbidity. Testing for angiogenic factors can improve identification and management of women with hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Urinary testing is easier to obtain than blood samples and as a non invasive test, it is more acceptable to most women during antenatal care. Thus, urinary PlGF represents a great alternative in the evaluation of pregnant women and to facilitate longitudinal follow-up. Moreover, serial urinary sample may allow for better interpretation of biomarker and clinical trajectory of women at risk or developing an hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Finally, in low-resource settings and

remote areas, as well as for telemonitoring, urinary testing for PlGF may eventually be more accessible to guide management and medical decisions at lower costs.

305

306 **Strenghts and limitations**

307 This prospectively registered study provide a search strategy, well defined outcomes and
308 analysis plan. The systematic review and meta-analysis will be rigorously conducted with
309 the contributions of all authors sharing expertise in methodology and context. The GRADE
310 framework will be used to report our results. Considering the interest for urinary PlGF is
311 recent, the small numbers of studies and the heterogeneity of the data may be a
312 limitation of this study. However, this systematic review may identify future areas of
313 study on the use of urinary PlGF in pregnancy.

314

315

316 **Abbreviations:**

317 PlGF : Placental Growth Factor

318 sFlt-1 : soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1

319 VEGF : vascular endothelial growth factor

AM Côté, C Francoeur, MC Battista, J Moreau and S Lemaire-Paquette contributed to the methodological aspects and analysis sections of the protocol. ME Roy-Lacroix contributed to expert content and outcomes definitions. AM Côté is the guarantor of this review.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr Annabelle Cumyn, Dr Annie Ouellet, Dr Evelyne Rey and Dr Evelyne Raïche, expert panel in maternal and fetal medicine, Marie-Noëlle Richard, patient with lived experience of preeclampsia, Josée Toulouse, librarian and Anne-Marie Lafaille-Hebert, medical student, for their contribution to the protocol. Dr Cumuy also kindly revise the manuscript for linguistic review.

Additional files

Additional file 1

Microsoft word (DOCX)

PRISMA-P Checklist

Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols checklist

Additional file 2

Microsoft word (DOCX)

Search Strategy

Description of search strategies for MEDLINE and SCOPUS.

REFERENCES

(1) Phipps, E. A.; Thadhani, R.; Benzing, T.; Karumanchi, S. A. Pre-Eclampsia: Pathogenesis, Novel Diagnostics and Therapies. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* 2019; 15, 275–289.

(2) Duffy, J. M. N.; Cairns, A. E.; Richards-Doran, D.; van ’t Hooft, J.; Gale, C.; Brown, M.; Chappell, L. C.; Grobman, W. A.; Fitzpatrick, R.; Karumanchi, S. A.; Khalil, A.; Lucas, D. N.; Magee, L. A.; Mol, B. W.; Stark, M.; Thangaratinam, S.; Wilson, M. J.; von Dadelszen, P.; Williamson, P. R.; Ziebland, S.; McManus, R. J.; the International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE). A Core Outcome Set for Pre-Eclampsia Research: An International Consensus Development Study. *BJOG Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2020; 127: 1516– 1526.

(3) Maynard, S. E.; Min, J.-Y.; Merchan, J.; Lim, K.-H.; Li, J.; Mondal, S.; Libermann, T. A.; Morgan, J. P.; Sellke, F. W.; Stillman, I. E.; Epstein, F. H.; Sukhatme, V. P.; Karumanchi, S. A. Excess Placental Soluble Fms-like Tyrosine Kinase 1 (sFlt1) May Contribute to Endothelial Dysfunction Hypertension, and Proteinuria in Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2003; 111(5):649-658.

(4) Tomimatsu, T.; Mimura, K.; Matsuzaki, S.; Endo, M.; Kumasawa, K.; Kimura, T. Preeclampsia: Maternal Systemic Vascular Disorder Caused by Generalized Endothelial Dysfunction Due to Placental Antiangiogenic Factors. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019, 20 (17).

(5) Thadhani, R.; Mutter, W. P.; Wolf, M.; Levine, R. J.; Taylor, R. N.; Sukhatme, V. P.; Ecker, J.; Karumanchi, S. A. First Trimester Placental Growth Factor and Soluble Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase 1 and Risk for Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2004; 89(2):770– 775.

- 385 (6) Koga, K.; Osuga, Y.; Yoshino, O.; Hirota, Y.; Ruimeng, X.; Hirata, T.; Takeda, S.; Yano,
386 T.; Tsutsumi, O.; Taketani, Y. Elevated Serum Soluble Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
387 Receptor 1 (SVEGFR-1) Levels in Women with Preeclampsia. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*
388 2003; 88:2348–2351
- 389 (7) Levine, R. J.; Maynard, S. E.; Qian, C.; Lim, K.-H.; England, L. J.; Yu, K. F.;
390 Schisterman, E. F.; Thadhani, R.; Sachs, B. P.; Epstein, F. H.; Sibai, B. M.; Sukhatme, V. P.;
391 Karumanchi, S. A. Circulating Angiogenic Factors and the Risk of Preeclampsia. *N. Engl. J.*
392 *Med.* 2004; 350:672-683.
- 393 (8) Taylor, R. N.; Grimwood, J.; Taylor, R. S.; McMaster, M. T.; Fisher, S. J.; North, R. A.
394 Longitudinal Serum Concentrations of Placental Growth Factor: Evidence for Abnormal
395 Placental Angiogenesis in Pathologic Pregnancies. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003; 188:177-
396 182
- 397 (9) Polliotti, B. M.; Fry, A. G.; Saller Jr, D. N.; Mooney, R. A.; Cox, C.; Miller, R. K.
398 Second-Trimester Maternal Serum Placental Growth Factor and Vascular Endothelial
399 Growth Factor for Predicting Severe, Early-Onset Preeclampsia. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003;
400 101:1266-1274.
- 401 (10) Duhig, K. E.; Myers, J.; Seed, P. T.; Sparkes, J.; Lowe, J.; Hunter, R. M.; Shennan, A.
402 H.; Chappell, L. C.; PARROT trial group. Placental Growth Factor Testing to Assess Women
403 with Suspected Pre-Eclampsia: A Multicentre, Pragmatic, Stepped-Wedge Cluster-
404 Randomised Controlled Trial. *Lancet* 2019; 393:1807-1818.
- 405 (11) Tammela, T.; Enholm, B.; Alitalo, K.; Paavonen, K. The Biology of Vascular
406 Endothelial Growth Factors. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2005; 65: 550–563.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

407 (12) Tang, P.; Xu, J.; Xie, B.-J.; Wang, Q.-M. Use of Serum and Urinary Soluble SFlt-1 and
408 PLGF in the Diagnosis of Preeclampsia. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 2017; 37:3:137-143.

409 (13) Moher, D.; Shamseer, L.; Clarke, M.; Gherzi, D.; Liberati, A.; Petticrew, M.;
410 Shekelle, P.; Stewart; L. A. PRISMA-P Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
411 Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 Statement. *Syst. Rev.* 2015;4.

412 (14) Ukah, U. V.; Payne, B.; Hutcheon, J. A.; Ansermino, J. M.; Ganzevoort, W.;
413 Thangaratinam, S.; Magee, L. A.; von Dadelszen, P. Assessment of the FullPIERS Risk
414 Prediction Model in Women With Early-Onset Preeclampsia. *Hypertension* 2018; 71:659–
415 665.

416 (15) Gordijn, S. J.; Beune, I. M.; Thilaganathan, B.; Papageorghiou, A.; Baschat, A. A.;
417 Baker, P. N.; Silver, R. M.; Wynia, K.; Ganzevoort, W. Consensus Definition of Fetal Growth
418 Restriction: A Delphi Procedure. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 2016; 48: 333-339

419 (16) Brown, M. A.; Magee, L. A.; Kenny, L. C.; Karumanchi, S. A.; McCarthy, F. P.; Saito,
420 S.; Hall, D. R.; Warren, C. E.; Adoyi, G.; Ishaku, S. The Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy:
421 ISSHP Classification, Diagnosis & Management Recommendations for International
422 Practice. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 2018; 13: 291-310.

423 (17) Guyatt, G. H.; Oxman, A. D.; Kunz, R.; Woodcock, J.; Brozek, J.; Helfand, M.; Alonso-
424 Coello, P.; Falck-Ytter, Y.; Jaeschke, R.; Vist, G.; Akl, E. A.; Post, P. N.; Norris, S.; Meerpohl,
425 J.; Shukla, V. K.; Nasser, M.; Schünemann, H. J.; GRADE Working Group. GRADE Guidelines:
426 8. Rating the Quality of Evidence--Indirectness. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2011; 64: 1303-1310.

427 (18) Begg, C. B.; Mazumdar, M. Operating Characteristics of a Rank Correlation Test for
428 Publication Bias. *Biometrics* 1994; 50(4):1088-1101

- 1
2
3 429 (19) Rücker, G.; Schwarzer, G.; Carpenter, J. Arcsine Test for Publication Bias in Meta-
4
5
6 430 Analyses with Binary Outcomes. *Stat. Med.* 2008; 27: 746-763.
7
8 431 (20) Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D. G.; PRISMA Group. Preferred
9
10 432 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *J.*
11
12
13 433 *Clin. Epidemiol.* 2009; 62 : 1006-1012.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic Item No	Checklist item	Line and page number in protocol
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Title:		
Identification	1a Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1-2
Update	1b If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A
Registration	2 If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	76
Authors:		
Contact	3a Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	4-42
Contributions	3b Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	360-364
Amendments	4 If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	304-305
Support:		
Sources	5a Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	355-358
Sponsor	5b Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	N/A
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	102-134
Objectives	7 Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	136-139
METHODS		
Eligibility criteria	8 Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	149-154
Information sources	9 Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	206-217
Search strategy	10 Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	Additional file
Study records:		
Data management	11a Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	227-271
Selection process	11b State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	227-217
Data collection process	11c Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	227-245
Data items	12 List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	234-245
Outcomes and prioritization	13 List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	156-204

Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	247-252
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	256-257
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	257-271
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	273-287
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	N/A
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	289-295
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	301-302

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

ADDITIONAL FILE 2 : SEARCH STRATEGY

MEDLINE EBSCO

No.	Search Terms
1.	TI (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*) OR AB (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*)
2.	TI ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf) OR AB ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf)
3.	(MH "Pregnancy+")
4.	(MH "Placenta Growth Factor")
5.	(MH "Urine")
6.	TI urin* OR AB urin*
7.	1 or 3
8.	2 or 4
9.	5 or 6
10.	7 and 8 and 9

SCOPUS

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (pregnan* OR gestation* OR "expected mother*" OR "expected woman" OR "expected women" OR natal OR antenatal OR birth*) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("placenta* growth factor*" OR plgf) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (urin*))