

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041942
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jun-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Bergrath, Sebastian; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology; Kliniken Maria Hilf GmbH, Emergency Department Brokmann, Jörg; University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department Beckers, Stefan ; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology Felzen, Marc; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anesthesiology Czaplik, Michael; Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen, Anesthesiology Rossaint, Rolf; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology
Keywords:	ACCIDENT & EMERGENCY MEDICINE, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, Organisational development < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Change management < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine**
4 **application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system**
5
6
7
8

9 Sebastian Bergrath^{1,2}, Jörg C. Brokmann³, Stefan K. Beckers^{1,4}, Marc Felzen^{1,4}, Michael Czaplík¹, Rolf
10 Rossaint¹
11
12
13

14
15 (1) University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
16 University, Germany
17

18 (2) Kliniken Maria Hilf, Emergency Department, Mönchengladbach, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
19 University, Germany
20
21

22 (3) University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University,
23 Germany
24
25

26 (4) City of Aachen, Fire Department and Emergency Medical Service, Aachen, Germany
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Ethics committee:** University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany, registration number EK 109/15
34

35 **Trial registration:** clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565)
36
37
38

39 **Word count:** 2700
40

41 **Word count Abstract:** 284
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Correspondence to:

51 PD Dr. med. Sebastian Bergrath

52 Department of Anaesthesiology

53 University Hospital RWTH Aachen

54 Pauwelsstr. 30, D-52074 Aachen

55 Phone: +49 (0) 241 – 80 88179

56 FAX: +49 (0) 241 – 80 82406

57 sbergrath@ukaachen.de
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives To review the implementation strategy from a first research project to routine care of a worldwide unique physician staffed prehospital telemedicine system. Systemic influences of this implementation on emergency medical service (EMS) resource utilisation should be evaluated.

Design Retrospective pre-post implementation study

Setting Two interdisciplinary research projects and the EMS of a German urban region.

Interventions Development and implementation of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system.

Endpoints Evaluation of the implementation strategy. Pre- vs. post-implementation analysis of resource utilisation regarding ground- and helicopter based physician staffed emergency missions.

Results The first research project revealed positive effects on guideline adherence and patient safety in two simulation studies, general feasibility was demonstrated in a clinical study. After technical optimisation, safety and positive effects were demonstrated in a multicentre trial. Routine care implementation in the city of Aachen, Germany was conducted stepwise from April 2014 to March 2015. Systemic parameters of all EMS missions between pre-implementation (April 2013 to March 2014) and post-implementation (April 2015 to March 2016): On-scene EMS physician usage decreased from 7,882/25,187 missions (31.3%) to 6,360/26,462 (24.0%), $p < 0.0001$. The need for neighbouring physician-staffed units dropped from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$, as well as the need for helicopter EMS from 198/25,187 (0.79%) to 100/26,462 (0.38%), $p < 0.0001$. In the post implementation period 2,347 telemedical interventions were conducted out of a total number of 26,462 emergency missions (8.87%).

Conclusion A stepwise implementation strategy allowed the transfer from research to routine care. We detected a reduced need for conventional on-scene physician care by ground and helicopter based EMS. This holds the potential for increased availability of EMS physicians for life-threatening emergencies by shifting of physician interventions from conventional to telemedical care.

Trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov/NCT04127565, retrospectively registered

Keywords: Emergency medicine, emergency medical service physician, telemedicine, telecare, quality of care.

Strengths and limitations of the study

- The study may help other researchers to adopt their implementation strategy from research projects to routine care in telemedicine projects.
- It is the first study that researched the influence on resource utilisation in EMS by implementation of a mobile telemedicine system in a complete urban region.
- A connection to other influencing factors such as diagnoses and patient characteristics could not be evaluated.

Introduction

Emergency medical services (EMS) in developed countries are facing increasing numbers of emergency missions. Besides possible negative effects on the patient outcome due to prolonged response intervals, there are economic consequences due to increased use and provision of resources. Therefore, modern concepts are required to ensure a high quality of care without a steep increase in costs. Telehealthcare interventions are spreading in both acute and chronic medical conditions. Despite rapidly increasing technological capabilities, barriers that restrict implementation remain, including legal, political, and social issues.¹ There are also barriers to be justified by the behavior of the medical staff and the patients.² It is well known that in ST-segment elevation, myocardial infarction telemedical transmission of the 12-lead-ECG and consultation of a cardiologist leads to reduced intervals to myocardial reperfusion.^{3,4} The telemedical procedure even reduces in-hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.⁵ However, widespread use is still lacking. Beside acute coronary syndromes, telemedical interventions in the prehospital phase and scientific data are rare and many projects are not transferred into routine care after cessation of the project financing.^{6,7} In acute stroke, studies have shown the feasibility of video transmission from the ambulance, but this technique has not been rolled out in a grand scale, while inter-hospital teleconsultation in acute stroke is implemented in ever more hospital systems and can be considered routine.⁸⁻¹¹ Overall, only a few EMS agencies use telemedical techniques.⁷

Against this background, we conducted two interdisciplinary research projects to develop and evaluate a comprehensive mobile teleconsultation system that supports on-scene paramedics from a remote site by experienced physicians in all kinds of emergency situations. After successful technical and organizational development, as well as scientific evaluation, this system was implemented stepwise into

1
2
3 routine care, financed by health insurance. The implementation strategy from the initial project idea to
4 routine care of this worldwide, unique, physician-staffed telemedicine system should be assessed. To
5 evaluate the systemic effects of this new concept in emergency care, the influence of this implementation
6 on systemic resources and EMS parameters should be evaluated.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 15 **Methods**

16 17 18 **Implementation strategy**

19
20 The implementation process from the project idea (2006/2007) to routine care (2014-2016) was
21 dissected into all relevant steps and milestones. These steps were analysed descriptively with the
22 respective rationale and resume of the main results.
23
24
25

26 27 28 **Organisational setup**

29
30 In the city of Aachen, Germany (255,967 residents; December 2017), the EMS service is within the
31 responsibilities of the fire department. Up to eleven emergency ambulances are staffed with 2-year
32 trained paramedics of the fire brigade and three EMS agencies. Additionally, two ground-based EMS
33 physician units are run on a 24/7 basis to assist the ambulances if advanced life-support procedures (e.g.,
34 rapid sequence induction) are necessary. All physicians are certified EMS physicians with at least 3 years
35 of training in anaesthesia and critical care and a certificate in advanced life support and pre-hospital
36 trauma life support. In cases of non-availability due to duplicity events, physician-staffed units from
37 adjacent districts or helicopter emergency medical services are used as back up. All paramedics were
38 trained on the telemedicine system and its use was based on trained and published standard operating
39 procedures.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 51 52 **Study design and evaluation of systemic effects**

53
54 After two interdisciplinary research projects, transfer of the telemedical procedures into routine care was
55 considered possible. To evaluate systemic influences of the implementation into routine care, we
56 compared systemic EMS data of the 1-year pre-implementation period (April 2013–March 2014) with a
57 similar interval after full implementation (April 2015–March 2016) in a pre-post intervention study. All
58 EMS missions in the city of Aachen (Germany) were included. To assess EMS resource utilization, the
59
60

1
2
3 number of advanced life support missions carried out by on-scene EMS physicians was compared between
4
5 the two periods as the primary outcome. The cumulative number of on-scene and telemedical
6
7 interventions by physicians was analysed as secondary outcome. The non-availability of EMS physicians
8
9 due to overlapping emergency calls was analysed by evaluating the number of advanced life support
10
11 missions carried out by EMS physician units from adjacent EMS districts and by helicopter EMS.
12
13

14 15 **Characteristics of telemedically-supported emergencies in routine care**

16
17 Data of telemedically-supported emergency missions were analysed descriptively in the post-
18
19 implementation period. Conducted medical procedures of this 1-year phase were evaluated by analysis of
20
21 the electronic documentation system.
22
23

24 25 **Data sources**

26
27 We analysed the database of electronically documented telemedical interventions (Telemedical
28
29 Documentation, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) as well as the database of the regional EMS dispatch
30
31 centre (COBRA4, ISE, Aachen, Germany). Numbers of callouts and telemedical supports, as well as
32
33 conducted procedures, could be evaluated this way.
34
35

36 37 **Ethics and study registration**

38
39 Data screening and analyses were conducted after approval by the local ethics committee (University
40
41 Hospital RWTH Aachen, registration number EK 109/15). All cases were pseudonymized for analysis to
42
43 enable data privacy. Systemic data of the EMS dispatch centre contained no personal data and, therefore,
44
45 there was no need for pseudonymisation. Study registration was performed retrospectively at
46
47 clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565).
48
49

50 51 **Statistical methods**

52
53 Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. Systemic parameters were compared with
54
55 contingency tables using the Chi-squared test with Yates correction. All statistical analyses were
56
57 performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Due to the exploratory
58
59 character of the study, p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.
60

Results

Implementation process

The whole process of final implementation could be divided into three main phases: two research projects, followed by integration into health insurance-financed routine emergency care. Table 1 outlines this process, including summarized research findings.¹²⁻¹⁷ Local and national political stakeholders, health insurance companies, and EMS providers of different levels (paramedics to EMS directors/stakeholders) were integrated from the first interdisciplinary workshop.¹³ Iterative development with integration of end-users allowed design and development and continuous adaption of the technical system, as well as the organizational model. In the first research project, a mobile telemedicine system with multiple applications was developed for the first time. General feasibility and positive effects on guideline-adherence in stroke patients could be shown.^{9,18} Although the second project did not allow a randomized controlled trial due to practical and ethical concerns, the results of this prospective observational multi-centre trial did convince political stakeholders and health insurances to transfer this concept into routine care in a model region.^{16,17,19} Before routine care implementation, milestones with interim analyses and workshops were defined between the fire department, the accompanying university (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), and the health insurance. Periodic quality reporting enabled data and safety monitoring as well as continuous information of decision-makers and financiers.

Technical development and capabilities in routine care

While in the first project, the transmission unit was integrated into a backpack (2009) with a total weight of 18 kg (self-development of research partners), the general technical development enabled miniaturization and integration of a smartphone for system monitoring and still picture transmission during the second project. Within 5 years, a stepwise professionalization and miniaturization led to a total weight of 1.7 kg and made the system practicable for routine emergency medical care. The technical performance improved over time to a sufficient standard.^{18,20} In routine care, the following technical capabilities were enabled by a project related spin-off company (P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany): two-way audio connection, real-time vital data transmission (numerical values and waveforms), 12-lead-ECG and still-picture transmission on-demand, as well as video streaming from inside the ambulance. The connection between the ambulances and the teleconsultation centre was accomplished by mobile

Table 1. Implementation strategy in steps and milestones

Phase	Process steps	Summary	References
Research Project No 1 (Med-on-aix) 2007-2010	1. Stakeholder workshops	Discussion and definition of requirements und expectations as well as misgivings; Integration of data privacy experts	[13]
	2. Technical Design and development	Development of specification booklet by medical users; integration of users into all steps of technical development	[13]
	3. Mock up tests	Technical field tests with a precursor system	
	4. Legal opinion by expert	Legal opinion about the specific legal questions of mobile telemedical care and delegation of medical procedures to paramedics	
	5. Simulation study I	Improved guideline adherence in STEMI and major trauma in full scale simulation	[12]
	6. Simulation study II (RCT)	Comparable quality of care between telemedically supported paramedics and on-scene physician teams.	[14]
	7. Development of economic models	Workshop-based with integration of politics, health insurances, technical partners and medical users.	
	8. Clinical feasibility study, prospective observational study	General feasibility was shown; video transmission in stroke and improvement of data transfer into the hospital were demonstrated.	[9, 18]
	9. User survey	Interviews and questionnaire-survey of users. Future potential is seen but technical performance and usability were criticised.	[21]
Research project No 2 (TemRas) 2010-2013	10. Technical adaption	Iterative development cycles with integration of medical users. Miniaturization of the technical system.	
	11. Technical field testing	Field testing by technicians and by emergency care providers.	[22]
	12. Development and execution of a training concept for providers	Parallel training concept for paramedics and future tele-EMS-physicians.	[15]
	13. Prospective multi-center trial in 5 EMS districts over one year	Safety, feasibility and evaluation of quality of care in 425 telemedical emergency missions	[15-17]
	14. Integration of health insurances and discussion of results and economic potential	Discussion of the scientific results and portability into a routine care setting. Model calculation of costs and savings potential.	
Integration into routine emergency care 2014-2015	15. Agreement with health insurances about seed funding	Seed funding of a first real-life phase, limited depending on interim results.	
	16. Technical adaption	Technical adaption and further miniaturization, integration of state-of-the-art monitor-defibrillator.	
	17. Integration and stepwise implementation into routine care (April 2014 - March 2015)	Start with 3 equipped ambulances and 12.75-hour daytime service; 24-hour coverage after 3 months and stepwise integration of 11 ambulances within one year. Implementation of telemedical contents into the yearly training concept for paramedics. Evaluation of technical performance by end-users and assessment of quality of care. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[20, 24-26]
	18. Discussion of interim results with politics, German health secretary and health insurances	Quarterly performance and quality reports. Discussion of interim results with health insurances, stakeholders and politics after 6 months in a workshop.	
	19. Full implementation since April 2015	Provision of 24/7 coverage, all ambulances technically equipped. Quarterly quality reports and real-time supervision of tele-EMS physicians. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[24]

EMS, emergency medical service; RCT, randomized controlled trial; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

1
2
3 transmission units (peeq-Box, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) connected to the monitor-defibrillator
4 unit (C³, GS Stemple Elektromedizinische Geräte, Kaufering, Germany). Inside the ambulance, the
5 transmission unit was connected with a wireless local network provided by an In-Car computer.
6
7 Parallelized and encrypted audio and data transmission from the emergency site and en-route were
8 enabled this way. In the teleconsultation centre, a context-sensitive documentation software provided
9 checklists and algorithms based on current international guidelines in addition to the technical display of
10 all transmitted data (Telemedical Documentation, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Systemic effects of telemedical support in routine care**

20 Before the implementation of the telemedical real-time support, 25,187 EMS missions with emergency
21 ambulances were conducted (April 2013–March 2014). Of these, 7882 (31.3%) were supported by an
22 conventional on-scene EMS physician. After 1287 telemedical-supported missions during the 1-year
23 training and implementation phase (April 2014 to March 2015), the system was completely implemented,
24 enabling 24/7 availability. The total number of emergency ambulance missions increased to 26,462 after
25 the implementation (April 2015–March 2016). Of these, 2347 (8.87%) were supported telemedically.
26 Their characteristics are summarized in Table 2. The number of missions that were supported by on-
27 scene EMS physicians decreased to 6360 (24%) of these cases ($p < 0.0001$). The rate of ground-based EMS
28 staffed units from neighbouring districts that were utilized due to shortage of own resources dropped
29 from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%) ($p < 0.0001$). A helicopter-based EMS physician was
30 summoned in 198 of the 25,187 (0.79%) cases pre-intervention and this number decreased to 100 of
31 26,462 (0.38%) missions after the implementation ($p < 0.0001$). The total number of physician-guided
32 prehospital interventions increased from 7882/25,187 (31.3%, conventional on-scene care) to
33 8707/26,462 (32.9%, telemedical and conventional on-scene care) in this 1-year phase ($p < 0.0001$).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Characteristics of telemedically supported missions

Characteristics	Number (fraction)
Telemedically supported emergency missions	2,347
- solely telemedically supported, without additional on-scene physician	2,145 (91.4%)
Telemedically supported cases with delegation of medication	1,541 (65.66%)
- cases with opioid delegation	497 (21.18%)
- delegated single medications	4,419
Telemedically supported inter-hospital transfers	315

Discussion

The stepwise implementation with the integration of different end-users, politics, stakeholders, and health insurers allowed a successful transfer from the research project phase to routine care in an urban model region. After implementation, the utilisation of conventional on-scene care by EMS-physicians decreased significantly.

Although comprehensive scientific results were not available at the time point of discussion about further continuation, the continuous involvement of decision-makers and models for economic effects encouraged commitment from financiers. Periodic quality reporting and further scientific evaluation in routine care enabled stable integration and further expansion. By using this obstinately and continuous information strategy and integration of decision-makers barriers to implementation could be overcome, although a randomized controlled trial was not possible during the process. For the end-users, a satisfactory technical performance and usability were identified as the key elements for implementation during user interviews and via questionnaire.²¹ Only in the course of the three phases were we able to meet these user requirements.^{18,20,22} While integrating new telemedical procedures, including the expansion of skills of paramedics, the users' perspectives can differ noticeably between paramedics and physicians. In a Scottish project of mobile tele-ultrasound on-board of ambulances, physicians feared distraction from the key roles and assessed this technique as too difficult for paramedics, while in contrast

1
2
3 the paramedics felt valued and assessed this new task as their role in prehospital care.²³ Although no
4
5 evaluable data were available, we can report similar concerns by physicians while most paramedics felt
6
7 valued with the new tasks for the most part. During routine care, positive effects on quality of care, as well
8
9 as on guideline adherence, were shown for acute coronary syndromes, pain reduction in trauma and non-
10
11 trauma emergencies, and blood pressure management in hypertensive emergencies.²⁴⁻²⁶ However, the
12
13 whole process from the research idea to implementation lasted one decade. This demonstrates that
14
15 political decision-makers cannot be convinced with scientific results alone but also require ideas and
16
17 models that allow future economic potential. General technical development and social development
18
19 regarding mobile technologies accelerated the process in the last years.

20
21 The frequency of telemedical interventions increased from the integration phase to the routine
22
23 phase. In more than half of the telemedically-supported rescue missions, medications (including opioids)
24
25 were delegated by the tele-EMS-physician to the paramedics on-scene. With this pre-post intervention
26
27 study about systemic resource needs, our predicted model was shown to be true. During routine care with
28
29 all its unadjusted influences, the new process of telemedically-supported paramedic care proved its grand
30
31 potential to reduce the number of on-scene interventions by EMS physicians. A reduction of
32
33 approximately 2500 on-scene interventions in one year led to the significantly increased availability of
34
35 ground-based physician intervention units, as shown by the reduced need for neighbouring units and
36
37 helicopter-based EMS. However, a significant increase in overall physician interventions was found when
38
39 adding on-scene and telemedical interventions in the post-implementation period. Although standard
40
41 operating procedures existed for the most common emergencies, a lower threshold for telemedical
42
43 support compared to the summoning of a physician-staffed EMS unit is our interpretation for this
44
45 increase. A low-threshold use of telemedical procedures may improve the quality of care, but carries the
46
47 risk of negating the possible cost savings.

48
49 Additionally, it must be kept in mind that during low acuity, telemedical interventions a parallel
50
51 incoming call with high acuity can be answered, in contrast to parallel on-scene interventions at different
52
53 sites. Furthermore, the overall duration, as well as the net time consumption of the physician, is
54
55 significantly shorter with telemedicine compared to conventional on-scene care.²⁴⁻²⁶ With 2500
56
57 telemedical cases in one year, the responsible tele-EMS physician is not fully occupied. Therefore, one
58
59 tele-EMS physician would be able to be in charge of at least a second region with the same amount of
60
emergency calls. With this model in mind, an economic business model would be possible. The increased

1
2
3 availability of the limited resources of on-scene physicians holds the potential to reduce the response
4 intervals, which can be lifesaving in life-threatening emergencies, such as major trauma or
5 cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
6
7
8
9

10 **Limitations**

11
12 New technologies and interventions in work processes can lead to different behaviours of end-users. This
13 study cannot determine if the use of the telemedical concepts was widespread throughout all the EMS
14 personnel or limited to some subgroups. No personal data of the EMS personnel could be evaluated while
15 following the ethics committee's statement. In a project about clinical decision support systems for
16 paramedics, inequalities in the attitude towards the technology were found.²⁷ Furthermore, this study was
17 not designed to evaluate any medical outcomes. Detailed economic calculations were not possible because
18 the telemedicine system was financed for the city of Aachen (Germany) in a first step during the study
19 period. Health insurances and project participants arranged a further integration of more EMS districts so
20 that the function as a tele-EMS physician can be utilized more efficiently. Only then will a pre-post analysis
21 of costs between regular and regular plus telemedically-supported EMS produce meaningful findings.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Conclusions**

40
41 Transfer from research projects to health insurance-financed routine care was successful with an
42 implementation strategy that considered political and economic aspects throughout. Telemedical support
43 for paramedics is an effective new element for prehospital emergency care due to the shifting of missions
44 from classic on-scene physician to telemedically-supported missions. Consecutively, the availability of
45 physician-staffed EMS units increased significantly. This will probably lead to shorter response times in
46 life-threatening situations/missions. In the future, remote telemedical support holds a noticeably
47 economic potential due to spatial independence and shorter workload time for the responsible physician.
48
49 With implementation into routine care, we achieved a prerequisite for future randomized controlled trials
50 comparing on-scene versus telemedical care in a whole model region.²⁸
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Contributors** SB, JCB, SKB, MC and RR made substantial contributions to conception and design of the
4 current study. All authors were mainly involved in project, study design, data analysis and publication of
5 the results of the mentioned research projects Med-on-aix and TemRas. SB, MC and SKB performed data
6 acquisition. Literature search was carried out by SB, JCB, SKB and RR. Data analysis and interpretation
7 were conducted by all authors. Statistical tests were carried out by SB and MC. All authors made
8 substantial contributions to the manuscript, while SB and RR drafted the first complete version. All
9 authors read and approved the final manuscript.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Funding** There was no funding for the current study, and the authors received no financial support for the
20 research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The first mentioned research project ("Med-on-
21 aix") was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi), [Project-No.:
22 01MB07022]. The second project ("TemRas") was funded by the European Union and the Ministry of
23 Innovation, Science and Research of Northrhine Westphalia, Germany (MIWF) [Project-No.: Pt]-Az.
24 0909im002b, Funding code 005-1003-0034].
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Competing interests** The authors report no specific funding in relation to this research. JCB, MC, and RR
33 are shareholders of the docs in clouds company, Aachen, Germany (telemedical service and consulting).
34 All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Ethics approval** The study was approved by the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen University,
41 Germany, registration number EK 109/15.
42
43
44
45

46 **Patient consent** Not required by ethics committee.
47
48
49

50 **Data sharing statement** No additional data is available. The datasets used and/or analysed during the
51 current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
52
53
54

55 **ORCID iD** Sebastian Bergrath 0000-0001-5297-7655
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. State of Telehealth. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375: 154–161.
2. Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, et al. Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018; 24: 4–12.
3. Sanchez-Ross M, Oghlakan G, Maher J, et al. The STAT-MI (ST-Segment Analysis Using Wireless Technology in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial improves outcomes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011; 4: 222–227.
4. Rasmussen MB, Frost L, Stengaard C, et al. Diagnostic performance and system delay using telemedicine for prehospital diagnosis in triaging and treatment of STEMI. *Heart Br Card Soc* 2014; 100: 711–715.
5. Papai G, Csato G, Racz I, et al. The transtelephonic electrocardiogram-based triage is an independent predictor of decreased hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018; 1357633X18814335.
6. Yperzeele L, Van Hooff R-J, De Smedt A, et al. Feasibility of AmbulanCe-Based Telemedicine (FACT) study: safety, feasibility and reliability of third generation in-ambulance telemedicine. *PloS One* 2014; 9: e110043.
7. Winburn AS, Brixey JJ, Langabeer J, et al. A systematic review of prehospital telehealth utilization. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018; 24: 473–481.
8. Ebinger M, Winter B, Wendt M, et al. Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014; 311: 1622–1631.
9. Bergrath S, Reich A, Rossaint R, et al. Feasibility of prehospital teleconsultation in acute stroke--a pilot study in clinical routine. *PloS One* 2012; 7: e36796.
10. Bowry R, Parker S, Rajan SS, et al. Benefits of Stroke Treatment Using a Mobile Stroke Unit Compared With Standard Management: The BEST-MSU Study Run-In Phase. *Stroke J Cereb Circ*. Epub ahead of print 27 October 2015. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011093.
11. LaMonte MP, Xiao Y, Hu PF, et al. Shortening time to stroke treatment using ambulance telemedicine: TeleBAT. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc* 2004; 13: 148–154.
12. Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, et al. Teleconsultation in pre-hospital emergency medical services: real-time telemedical support in a prospective controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2012; 83: 626–632.
13. Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, et al. [E-health in emergency medicine - the research project Med-on-@ix]. *Anaesthetist* 2009; 58: 285–292.
14. Rörtgen D, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, et al. Comparison of physician staffed emergency teams with paramedic teams assisted by telemedicine--a randomized, controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2013; 84: 85–92.
15. Bergrath S, Czaplík M, Rossaint R, et al. Implementation phase of a multicentre prehospital telemedicine system to support paramedics: feasibility and possible limitations. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2013; 21: 54.
16. Brokmann JC, Conrad C, Rossaint R, et al. Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome by Telemedically Supported Paramedics Compared With Physician-Based Treatment: A Prospective, Interventional, Multicenter Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2016; 18: e314.
17. Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Bergrath S, et al. [Potential and effectiveness of a telemedical rescue assistance system : Prospective observational study on implementation in emergency medicine]. *Anaesthetist* 2015; 64: 438–445.
18. Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, Rossaint R, et al. Technical and organisational feasibility of a multifunctional telemedicine system in an emergency medical service - an observational study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2011; 17: 371–377.
19. Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Hirsch F, et al. Analgesia by telemedically supported paramedics compared with physician-administered analgesia: A prospective, interventional, multicentre trial. *Eur J Pain Lond Engl* 2016; 20: 1176–1184.
20. Felzen M, Brokmann JC, Beckers SK, et al. Improved technical performance of a multifunctional prehospital telemedicine system between the research phase and the routine use phase - an observational study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2017; 23: 402–409.
21. Schneiders M-T, Schilberg D, Isenhardt I, et al. Telenotarzt auf dem Prüfstand. Evaluation des Projektes Med-on-aix aus Sicht der Rettungsassistenten. *Notfall Rettungsmed* 2012; 15: 410–415.
22. Czaplík M, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, et al. Employment of telemedicine in emergency medicine. Clinical requirement analysis, system development and first test results. *Methods Inf Med* 2014; 53: 99–107.

- 1
2
3 23. Marsh-Feiley G, Eadie L, Wilson P. Paramedic and physician perspectives on the potential use of
4 remotely supported prehospital ultrasound. *Rural Remote Health* 2018; 18: 4574.
5 24. Bergrath S, Müller M, Rossaint R, et al. Guideline adherence in acute coronary syndromes between
6 telemedically supported paramedics and conventional on-scene physician care: A longitudinal pre-post
7 intervention cohort study. *Health Informatics J* 2019; 25: 1528–1537.
8 25. Lensen N, Krockauer A, Beckers SK, et al. Quality of analgesia in physician-operated telemedical
9 prehospital emergency care is comparable to physician-based prehospital care - a retrospective
10 longitudinal study. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 1536.
11 26. Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Müller M, et al. Blood pressure management and guideline adherence in
12 hypertensive emergencies and urgencies: A comparison between telemedically supported and
13 conventional out-of-hospital care. *J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn* 2017; 19: 704–712.
14 27. Porter A, Dale J, Foster T, et al. Implementation and use of computerised clinical decision support
15 (CCDS) in emergency pre-hospital care: a qualitative study of paramedic views and experience using
16 Strong Structuration Theory. *Implement Sci IS* 2018; 13: 91.
17 28. Stevanovic A, Beckers SK, Czaplak M, et al. Telemedical support for prehospital Emergency
18 Medical Service (TEMS trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2017; 18: 43.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	

Continued on next page

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60**Results**

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	6-9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8-9
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	8
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	8
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8-9

Discussion

Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9-11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11

Other information

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	12
---------	----	---	----

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies:

Bergrath et al. Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system

The primary focus of implementation science is the implementation strategy (column 1) and the expectation is that this will always be completed. The evidence about the impact of the intervention on the targeted population should always be considered (column 2) and either health outcomes reported or robust evidence cited to support a known beneficial effect of the intervention on the health of individuals or populations.

The StaRI standards refers to the broad range of study designs employed in implementation science. Authors should refer to other reporting standards for advice on reporting specific methodological features. Conversely, whilst all items are worthy of consideration, not all items will be applicable to, or feasible within every study.

Checklist item	Reported on page #	Implementation Strategy	Reported on page #	Intervention
		“Implementation strategy” refers to how the intervention was implemented		“Intervention” refers to the healthcare or public health intervention that is being implemented.
Title and abstract				
Title	1	Identification as an implementation study, and description of the methodology in the title and/or keywords		
Abstract	2	Identification as an implementation study, including a description of the implementation strategy to be tested, the evidence-based intervention being implemented, and defining the key implementation and health outcomes.		
Introduction				
Introduction	3	Description of the problem, challenge or deficiency in healthcare or public health that the intervention being implemented aims to address.		
Rationale	4	The scientific background and rationale for the implementation strategy (including any underpinning theory/framework/model, how it is expected to achieve its effects and any pilot work).	4	The scientific background and rationale for the intervention being implemented (including evidence about its effectiveness and how it is expected to achieve its effects).

Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Aims and objectives	5	4	The aims of the study, differentiating between implementation objectives and any intervention objectives.		
Methods: description					
Design	6	4-5	The design and key features of the evaluation, (cross referencing to any appropriate methodology reporting standards) and any changes to study protocol, with reasons		
Context	7	4	The context in which the intervention was implemented. (Consider social, economic, policy, healthcare, organisational barriers and facilitators that might influence implementation elsewhere).		
Targeted 'sites'	8	4	The characteristics of the targeted 'site(s)' (e.g. locations/personnel/resources etc.) for implementation and any eligibility criteria.	4-5	The population targeted by the intervention and any eligibility criteria.
Description	9	4-5	A description of the implementation strategy	4-5	A description of the intervention
Sub-groups	10	N/A	Any sub-groups recruited for additional research tasks, and/or nested studies are described		
Methods: evaluation					
Outcomes	11	4-5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy, and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets	5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the intervention (if assessed), and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets
Process evaluation	12	4	Process evaluation objectives and outcomes related to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	13	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sample size	14	5	Rationale for sample sizes (including sample size calculations, budgetary constraints, practical considerations, data saturation, as appropriate)		
Analysis	15	6	Methods of analysis (with reasons for that choice)		
Sub-group analyses	16	N/A	Any a priori sub-group analyses (e.g. between different sites in a multicentre study, different clinical or demographic populations), and sub-groups recruited to specific nested research tasks		

Results					
Characteristics	17	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics of the recipient population for the implementation strategy	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics (if appropriate) of the recipient population for the intervention
Outcomes	18	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the Intervention (if assessed)
Process outcomes	19	6-7	Process data related to the implementation strategy mapped to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	20	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sub-group analyses	21	N/A	Representativeness and outcomes of subgroups including those recruited to specific research tasks		
Fidelity/adaptation	22	6-8	Fidelity to implementation strategy as planned and adaptation to suit context and preferences	6-8	Fidelity to delivering the core components of intervention (where measured)
Contextual changes	23	N/A	Contextual changes (if any) which may have affected outcomes		
Harms	24	N/A	All important harms or unintended effects in each group		
Discussion					
Structured discussion	25	9-11	Summary of findings, strengths and limitations, comparisons with other studies, conclusions and implications		
Implications	26	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the implementation strategy (specifically including scalability)	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the intervention (specifically including sustainability)
General					
Statements	27	12	Include statement(s) on regulatory approvals (including, as appropriate, ethical approval, confidential use of routine data, governance approval), trial/study registration (availability of protocol), funding and conflicts of interest		

BMJ Open

Implementation of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system: evaluation of process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041942.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Dec-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Bergrath, Sebastian; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology; Kliniken Maria Hilf GmbH, Emergency Department Brokmann, Jörg; University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department Beckers, Stefan ; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology Felzen, Marc; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anesthesiology Czaplik, Michael; Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen, Anesthesiology Rossaint, Rolf; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health informatics, Health services research
Keywords:	ACCIDENT & EMERGENCY MEDICINE, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, Organisational development < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Change management < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Implementation of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system: evaluation of**
4 **process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study**
5
6

7 Sebastian Bergrath^{1,2}, Jörg C. Brokmann³, Stefan K. Beckers^{1,4}, Marc Felzen^{1,4}, Michael Czaplik¹, Rolf
8
9 Rossaint¹
10

11
12
13 (1) University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
14
15 University, Germany
16

17 (2) Kliniken Maria Hilf, Emergency Department, Mönchengladbach, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
18
19 University, Germany
20

21 (3) University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University,
22
23 Germany
24

25 (4) City of Aachen, Fire Department and Emergency Medical Service, Aachen, Germany
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Ethics committee:** University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany, registration number EK 109/15
32

33 **Trial registration:** clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565)
34
35
36

37 **Word count:** 2792
38

39 **Word count Abstract:** 283
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Correspondence to:

49 PD Dr. med. Sebastian Bergrath

50 Department of Anaesthesiology

51 University Hospital RWTH Aachen

52 Pauwelsstr. 30, D-52074 Aachen

53 Phone: +49 (0) 241 – 80 88179

54 FAX: +49 (0) 241 – 80 82406

55 sebastian.bergrath@mariahilf.de
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives To review the implementation strategy from a first research project to routine care of a worldwide unique physician staffed prehospital telemedicine system. The objective was to evaluate systemic influences on emergency medical service (EMS) resource utilisation.

Design Retrospective pre-post implementation study

Setting Two interdisciplinary research projects and the EMS of a German urban region.

Interventions Development and implementation of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system.

Endpoints Descriptive evaluation of the implementation strategy. Primary endpoint: number of ground- and helicopter-based physician staffed emergency missions before and after implementation.

Results The first research project revealed positive effects on guideline adherence and patient safety in two simulation studies, general feasibility was demonstrated in a clinical study. After technical optimisation, safety and positive effects were demonstrated in a multicentre trial. Routine care implementation in the city of Aachen, Germany was conducted stepwise from April 2014 to March 2015. Systemic parameters of all EMS missions between pre-implementation (April 2013 to March 2014) and post-implementation (April 2015 to March 2016): On-scene EMS physician usage decreased from 7,882/25,187 missions (31.3%) to 6,360/26,462 (24.0%), $p < 0.0001$. The need for neighbouring physician-staffed units dropped from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$, as well as the need for helicopter EMS from 198/25,187 (0.79%) to 100/26,462 (0.38%), $p < 0.0001$. In the post implementation period 2,347 telemedical interventions were conducted out of a total number of 26,462 emergency missions (8.87%).

Conclusion A stepwise implementation strategy allowed the transfer from project phase to routine care. We detected a reduced need for conventional on-scene physician care by ground and helicopter-based EMS. This holds the potential for increased availability of EMS physicians for life-threatening emergencies by shifting of physician interventions from conventional to telemedical care.

Trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov/NCT04127565, retrospectively registered

Keywords: Emergency medicine, emergency medical service physician, telemedicine, telecare, quality of care.

Strengths and limitations of the study

- The strength of the study is the description of the different methods of implementation of a prehospital telemedicine system with the transfer from project phase to routine care.
- We drew real life data from an EMS dispatch centre to evaluate the effect on emergency medical service resource utilisation by implementation of a telemedical support system.
- This is the first study that researched the effects aforementioned implementation in a whole urban region.
- The limitation is that other influencing factors like adapted dispatch criteria may have also influenced the results and this could not be calculated out.
- Influences on patient outcome could not be evaluated which is another relevant limitation of our findings.

Introduction

Emergency medical services (EMS) are facing increasing numbers of emergency missions. Besides possible negative effects on the patient outcome due to prolonged response intervals, there are economic consequences due to increased use and provision of resources.[1,2] Therefore, modern concepts are required to ensure a high quality of care without a steep increase in costs. Telehealthcare interventions are spreading in both acute and chronic medical conditions.[3-5] Despite rapidly increasing technological capabilities, barriers that restrict implementation remain, including legal, political, and social issues.[6] There are also barriers to be justified by the behaviour of the medical staff and the patients.[7] It is well known that in ST-segment elevation, myocardial infarction telemedical transmission of the 12-lead-ECG and consultation of a cardiologist leads to reduced intervals to myocardial reperfusion.[8,9] The telemedical procedure even reduces in-hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.[10] However, widespread use is still lacking. Beside acute coronary syndromes, telemedical interventions in the prehospital phase and scientific data are rare and many projects are not transferred into routine care after cessation of the project financing.[11,12] In acute stroke, studies have shown the feasibility of video transmission from the ambulance, but this technique has not been rolled out in a grand scale, while inter-hospital teleconsultation in acute stroke is implemented in ever more hospital

1
2
3 systems and can be considered routine.[13–16] Overall, only a few EMS agencies use telemedical
4
5 techniques.[12]

6
7 Against this background, we conducted two interdisciplinary research projects to develop and
8
9 evaluate a comprehensive mobile teleconsultation system that supports on-scene paramedics from a
10
11 remote site by experienced physicians in all in all kinds of emergency medical clinical situations. After
12
13 successful technical and organizational development, as well as scientific evaluation, this system was
14
15 implemented stepwise into routine care, financed by health insurance. In Germany the EMS is generally
16
17 financed by statutory health insurances and private health insurances after negotiation of needs and budget.
18
19 The aim of the study was to evaluate the implementation strategy from the initial project idea to routine
20
21 care of this worldwide, unique, physician-staffed telemedicine system. To evaluate the systemic effects of
22
23 this new concept in emergency care, the influence of this implementation on EMS resources should be
24
25 evaluated.

30 31 **Methods**

32 33 **Implementation strategy**

34
35 The implementation process from the project idea (2006/2007) to routine care (2014-2016) was dissected
36
37 into all relevant steps and milestones. These steps were analysed descriptively with the respective rationale
38
39 and resume of the main results.

40 41 42 43 **Organisational setup**

44
45 In the city of Aachen, Germany (255,967 residents; December 2017), the EMS service is within the
46
47 responsibilities of the fire department. Up to eleven emergency ambulances are staffed with 2-year trained
48
49 paramedics of the fire brigade and three EMS agencies. Additionally, two ground-based EMS physician units
50
51 are run on a 24/7 basis to assist the ambulances if advanced life-support procedures (e.g., rapid sequence
52
53 induction) are necessary. All physicians are certified EMS physicians with at least 3 years of training in
54
55 anaesthesia and critical care and a certificate in advanced life support and pre-hospital trauma life support.
56
57 In cases of non-availability due to duplicity events, physician-staffed units from adjacent districts or
58
59 helicopter emergency medical services are used as back up. All paramedics were trained on the
60
telemedicine system and its use was based on trained and published standard operating procedures.

Study design and evaluation of systemic effects

After two interdisciplinary research projects, transfer of the telemedical procedures into routine care was considered possible. To evaluate systemic influences of the implementation into routine care, we compared EMS data of the 1-year pre-implementation period (April 2013–March 2014) with a similar interval after full implementation (April 2015–March 2016) in a pre-post intervention study: To assess EMS resource utilization, the number of emergency missions carried out by on-scene EMS physicians was compared between the two periods as the primary outcome. The cumulative number of on-scene and telemedical interventions by physicians was analysed as secondary outcome. The non-availability of EMS physicians due to overlapping emergency calls was analysed by evaluating the number of emergency missions carried out by EMS physician units from adjacent EMS districts and by helicopter EMS. All EMS missions in the city of Aachen (Germany) were included.

With full implementation the dispatch criteria were changed and supported with an electronic list of symptoms and possible diagnoses (n=213 scenarios). In the pre-implementation period, it was at the discretion of the dispatcher to send an EMS physician unit whenever he judged a situation as potentially life threatening. Due to availability of 24/7 telemedical support the following emergency scenarios were not dispatched with an on-scene EMS physician anymore: acute stroke with patient awake, painful conditions with patient awake, mild dyspnea, hypertensive urgency, terminated seizure. In the pre-implementation period these conditions were routinely dispatched with an on-scene EMS physician, although no electronic support was available.

Characteristics of telemedically-supported emergencies in routine care

Data of telemedically-supported emergency missions were analysed descriptively in the post-implementation period: type of emergency mission (emergency missions vs. inter-hospital transfer), delegated medications and medical severity.

Data sources

We analysed the database of electronically documented telemedical interventions (Telemedical Documentation, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) as well as the database of the regional EMS dispatch centre (COBRA4, ISE, Aachen, Germany). Numbers of callouts and telemedical supports, as well as

1
2
3 conducted procedures, could be evaluated this way. Patient data could not be connected between these two
4
5 systems.
6
7

8 9 **Patient and Public Involvement**

10 No patient was involved. The public was regularly informed by local media (newspaper, radio and local
11
12 television) but had no influence on the project and study design.
13
14

15 16 17 **Ethics and study registration**

18 Data screening and analyses were conducted after approval by the local ethics committee (University
19
20 Hospital RWTH Aachen, registration number EK 109/15). All cases were pseudonymized for analysis to
21
22 enable data privacy. Systemic data of the EMS dispatch centre contained no personal data and, therefore,
23
24 there was no need for pseudonymisation. Study registration was performed retrospectively at
25
26 clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565).
27
28

29 30 31 **Statistical methods**

32 Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. Systemic parameters were compared with
33
34 contingency tables using the Chi-squared test with Yates correction. All statistical analyses were performed
35
36 using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Due to the exploratory character of the
37
38 study, p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 46 **Results**

47 48 **Implementation process**

49 The whole process of final implementation could be divided into three main phases: two research projects,
50
51 followed by integration into health insurance-financed routine emergency care, which is already standard
52
53 for all conventional EMS resources in Germany. We have now been able to ensure that telemedical care was
54
55 also be financed in this way. Table 1 outlines this process, including summarized research findings.[17–22]
56
57 Local and national political stakeholders, health insurance companies, and EMS providers of different levels
58
59 (paramedics to EMS directors/stakeholders) were integrated from the first interdisciplinary workshop.[18]
60

1
2
3 Iterative development with integration of end-users allowed design and development and continuous
4 adaption of the technical system, as well as the organizational model. In the first research project, a mobile
5 telemedicine system with multiple applications was developed for the first time. General feasibility and
6
7 positive effects on guideline-adherence in stroke patients could be shown.[14,23] Although the second
8
9 project did not allow a randomized controlled trial due to practical and ethical concerns, the results of this
10
11 prospective observational multi-centre trial did convince political stakeholders and health insurances to
12
13 transfer this concept into routine care in a model region.[21,22,24] Before routine care implementation,
14
15 milestones with interim analyses and workshops were defined between the fire department, the
16
17 accompanying university (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), and the health insurance. Periodic quality
18
19 reporting enabled data and safety monitoring as well as continuous information of decision-makers and
20
21 financiers.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Technical development and capabilities in routine care**

29
30 While in the first project, the transmission unit was integrated into a backpack (2009) with a total weight
31
32 of 18 kg (self-development of research partners), the general technical development enabled
33
34 miniaturization and integration of a smartphone for system monitoring and still picture transmission
35
36 during the second project. Within 5 years, a stepwise professionalization and miniaturization led to a total
37
38 weight of 1.7 kg and made the system practicable for routine emergency medical care. The technical
39
40 performance improved over time to a sufficient standard.[23,25] In routine care, the following technical
41
42 capabilities were enabled by a project related spin-off company (P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany): two-
43
44 way audio connection, real-time vital data transmission (numerical values and waveforms), 12-lead-ECG
45
46 and still-picture transmission on-demand, as well as video streaming from inside the ambulance. The
47
48 connection between the ambulances and the teleconsultation centre was accomplished by mobile
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Implementation strategy in steps and milestones

Phase	Process steps	Summary	References
Research Project (Med-on-aix) 2007-2010	1. Stakeholder workshops	Discussion and definition of requirements und expectations as well as misgivings; Integration of data privacy experts	[18]
	2. Technical Design and development	Development of specification booklet by medical users; integration of users into all steps of technical development	[18]
	3. Mockup tests	Technical field tests with a precursor system	
	4. Legal opinion by expert	Legal opinion about the specific legal questions of mobile telemedical care and delegation of medical procedures to paramedics	
	5. Simulation study I	Improved guideline adherence in STEMI and major trauma in full scale simulation	[17]
	6. Simulation study II (RCT)	Comparable quality of care between telemedically supported paramedics and on-scene physician teams.	[19]
	7. Development of economic models	Workshop-based with integration of politics, health insurances, technical partners and medical users.	
	8. Clinical feasibility study, prospective observational study	General feasibility was shown; video transmission in stroke and improvement of data transfer into the hospital were demonstrated.	[14,23]
	9. User survey	Interviews and questionnaire-survey of users. Future potential is seen but technical performance and usability were criticised.	[26]
Research project (TemRas) 2010-2013	10. Technical adaption	Iterative development cycles with integration of medical users. Miniaturization of the technical system.	
	11. Technical field testing	Field testing by technicians and by emergency care providers.	[27]
	12. Development and execution of a training concept for providers	Parallel training concept for paramedics and future tele-EMS-physicians.	[20]
	13. Prospective multi-centre trial in 5 EMS districts over one year	Safety, feasibility and evaluation of quality of care in 425 telemedical emergency missions	[21,22,24]
	14. Integration of health insurances and discussion of results and economic potential	Discussion of the scientific results and portability into a routine care setting. Model calculation of costs and savings potential.	
Integration into routine emergency care 2014-2015	15. Agreement with health insurances about seed funding	Seed funding of a first real-life phase, limited depending on interim results.	
	16. Technical adaption	Technical adaption and further miniaturization, integration of state-of-the-art monitor-defibrillator.	
	17. Integration and stepwise implementation into routine care (April 2014 - March 2015)	Start with 3 equipped ambulances and 12.75-hour daytime service; 24-hour coverage after 3 months and stepwise integration of 11 ambulances within one year. Implementation of telemedical contents into the yearly training concept for paramedics. Evaluation of technical performance by end-users and assessment of quality of care. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[25,28-30]
	18. Discussion of interim results with politics, German health secretary and health insurances	Quarterly performance and quality reports. Discussion of interim results with health insurances, stakeholders and politics after 6 months in a workshop.	
	19. Full implementation since April 2015	Provision of 24/7 coverage, all ambulances technically equipped. Quarterly quality reports and real-time supervision of tele-EMS physicians. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[28]

EMS, emergency medical service; RCT, randomized controlled trial; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

transmission units (peeq-Box, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) connected to the monitor-defibrillator unit (C³, GS Stemple Elektromedizinische Geräte, Kaufering, Germany). Inside the ambulance, the

1
2
3 transmission unit was connected with a wireless local network provided by a conventional In-Car computer.
4
5 Parallelized and encrypted audio and data transmission from the emergency site and en-route were enabled
6
7 this way. In the teleconsultation centre, a physician responsible for the telemedical consultations was
8
9 located. In the centre, a context-sensitive documentation software provided checklists and algorithms
10
11 based on current international guidelines in addition to the technical display of all transmitted data
12
13 (Telemedical Documentation, P3 telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany).
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Systemic effects of telemedical support in routine care**

20 Before the implementation of the telemedical real-time support, 25,187 EMS missions with emergency
21
22 ambulances were conducted (April 2013–March 2014). Of these, 7882 (31.3%) were supported by an
23
24 conventional on-scene EMS physician. After 1287 telemedical-supported missions during the 1-year
25
26 training and implementation phase (April 2014 to March 2015), the system was completely implemented,
27
28 enabling 24/7 availability. The total number of emergency ambulance missions increased to 26,462 after
29
30 the implementation (April 2015–March 2016). Of these, 2347 (8.87%) were supported telemedically. Their
31
32 characteristics are summarized in Table 2. The number of missions that were supported by on-scene EMS
33
34 physicians decreased from 7882 (31.3%, pre-intervention) to 6360 (24%, post-intervention) of all cases, p
35
36 < 0.0001 . The rate of ground-based EMS staffed units from neighbouring districts that were utilized due to
37
38 shortage of own resources dropped from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$. A
39
40 helicopter-based EMS physician was summoned in 198 of the 25,187 (0.79%) cases pre-intervention and
41
42 this number decreased to 100 of 26,462 (0.38%) missions after the implementation ($p < 0.0001$). The total
43
44 number of physician-guided prehospital interventions increased from 7882/25,187 (31.3%, only
45
46 conventional on-scene care) to 8707/26,462 (32.9%, telemedical and conventional on-scene care) in this
47
48 1-year phase ($p < 0.0001$).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Characteristics of telemedically supported missions after full implementation

Characteristics	Number (fraction)
Telemedically supported emergency missions	2,347
- solely telemedically supported, without additional on-scene physician	2,145/2,347 (91.4%)
Telemedically supported cases with delegation of medication	1,541/2,347 (65.66%)
- cases with opioid delegation	497/2,347 (21.18%)
- delegated single medications	4,419 drug administrations in 1,541 missions
M-NACA score of telemedically supported missions: n=2,262/2,347 missions scored (96.4%)	
M-NACA II – no hospital admission necessary	165
M-NACA III – transport to hospital required	1,298
M-NACA IV – possible vital danger	613
M-NACA V – acute vital danger	180
M-NACA VI – successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation	1
M-NACA VII – death at scene	5
Telemedically supported inter-hospital transfers	315

M-NACA, modified National Advisory Committee or Aeronautics severity score [31]

Discussion

The stepwise implementation with the integration of different end-users, politics, stakeholders, and health insurers allowed a successful transfer from the research project phase to routine care in an urban model region. After implementation, the utilisation of conventional on-scene care by EMS-physicians decreased significantly, but beside the implementation of a telemedicine system the dispatch criteria were modified und structured.

1
2
3 Although comprehensive scientific results were not available at the time point of discussion about
4 further continuation, the continuous involvement of decision-makers and models for economic effects
5 encouraged commitment from financiers. Periodic quality reporting and further scientific evaluation in
6 routine care enabled stable integration and further expansion. By using this obstinately and continuous
7 information strategy and integration of decision-makers barriers to implementation could be overcome,
8 although a randomized controlled trial (RCT) was judged to be not possible by the researchers during the
9 process. There was the unanimous opinion that an RCT would have created too many barriers within the
10 framework of the projects that would have endangered the concept idea. Furthermore, in the discussion
11 with the ethics committee a RCT was viewed critically due to the novelty of the system. For the end-users,
12 a satisfactory technical performance and usability were identified as the key elements for implementation
13 during user interviews and via questionnaire.[26] Only in the course of the three phases were we able
14 to meet these user requirements.[23,25,27] While integrating new telemedical procedures, including the
15 expansion of skills of paramedics, the users' perspectives can differ noticeably between paramedics and
16 physicians. In a Scottish project of mobile tele-ultrasound on-board of ambulances, physicians feared
17 distraction from the key roles and assessed this technique as too difficult for paramedics, while in contrast
18 the paramedics felt valued and assessed this new task as their role in prehospital care.[32] Although no
19 evaluable data were available, we can report similar concerns by physicians while most paramedics felt
20 valued with the new tasks for the most part. During routine care, positive effects on quality of care, as well
21 as on guideline adherence, were shown for acute coronary syndromes, pain reduction in trauma and non-
22 trauma emergencies, and blood pressure management in hypertensive emergencies.[28–30] However, the
23 whole process from the research idea to implementation lasted one decade. This demonstrates that political
24 decision-makers cannot be convinced with scientific results alone but also require ideas and models that
25 allow future economic potential. General technical development and social development regarding mobile
26 technologies accelerated the process in the last years.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 The frequency of telemedical interventions increased from the integration phase to the routine
51 phase. In more than half of the telemedically-supported rescue missions, medications (including opioids)
52 were delegated by the tele-EMS-physician to the paramedics on-scene. During routine care with all its
53 unadjusted influences, the new process of telemedically-supported paramedic care proved its grand
54 potential to reduce the number of on-scene interventions by EMS physicians. However, the reduced
55 numbers of on-scene EMS physician interventions cannot be explained with the implementation of the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 telemedical system alone. The EMS dispatch criteria were structured and modified with the aim to reduce
4 primary alarms of EMS physician units. However, such dispatch criteria probably would not have been
5 acceptable by personnel and patients without the availability of the telemedical system. Administration of
6 opioids by paramedics is not allowed in Germany without the (telemedical) delegation by a physician. A
7 reduced primary alarm ratio in painful conditions would therefore have been unethical without the
8 telemedical concept. Another factor that might led to reduced EMS physician alarms are training effects of
9 the ambulance personnel. With improved performance in i.v.-lines, analgesia and sedation over time, the
10 paramedics were able to perform advanced care with telemedical support alone. The reduction of
11 approximately 2500 on-scene interventions in one year led to the significantly increased availability of
12 ground-based physician intervention units, as shown by the reduced need for neighbouring units and
13 helicopter-based EMS. However, a significant increase in overall physician interventions was found when
14 adding on-scene and telemedical interventions in the post-implementation period. Although standard
15 operating procedures existed for the most common emergencies, a lower threshold for telemedical support
16 compared to the summoning of a physician-staffed EMS unit is our interpretation for this increase. A low-
17 threshold use of telemedical procedures may improve the quality of care, but carries the risk of negating
18 the possible cost savings.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Additionally, it must be kept in mind that during low acuity, telemedical interventions a parallel
35 incoming call with high acuity can be answered, in contrast to parallel on-scene interventions at different
36 sites. Furthermore, the overall duration, as well as the net time consumption of the physician, is significantly
37 shorter with the physician in the telemedicine centre compared to conventional on-scene care by EMS
38 physicians.[28–30] With 2500 telemedical cases in one year, the responsible tele-EMS physician is not fully
39 occupied. Therefore, one tele-EMS physician would be able to be in charge of at least a second region with
40 the same amount of emergency calls. With this model in mind, an economic business model would be
41 possible. The increased availability of the limited resources of on-scene physicians holds the potential to
42 reduce the response intervals, which can be lifesaving in life-threatening emergencies, such as major
43 trauma or cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Limitations

New technologies and interventions in work processes can lead to different behaviours of end-users. This study cannot determine if the use of the telemedical concepts was widespread throughout all the EMS personnel or limited to some subgroups. No personal data of the EMS personnel could be evaluated while following the ethics committee's statement. In a project about clinical decision support systems for paramedics, inequalities in the attitude towards the technology were found.[33] Furthermore, this study was not designed to evaluate any medical outcomes, which is the major limitation of this study. Therefore, it cannot be answered if outcomes changed by implementing a telemedicine system with modified primary dispatch criteria. Detailed economic calculations were not possible because the telemedicine system was financed for the city of Aachen (Germany) in a first step during the study period. Health insurances and project participants arranged a further integration of more EMS districts so that the function as a tele-EMS physician can be utilized more efficiently. Only then will a pre-post analysis of costs between regular and regular plus telemedically-supported EMS produce meaningful findings.

Conclusions

Transfer from research projects to health insurance-financed routine care was successful with an implementation strategy that considered political and economic aspects throughout. Telemedical support for paramedics is an effective new element for prehospital emergency care due to the shifting of missions from classic on-scene physician to telemedically-supported missions. Consecutively, the availability of physician-staffed EMS units increased significantly. This will probably lead to shorter response times in life-threatening situations/missions. In the future, remote telemedical support holds a noticeably economic potential due to spatial independence and shorter workload time for the responsible physician. With implementation into routine care, we achieved a prerequisite for future randomized controlled trials comparing on-scene versus telemedical care in a whole model region.[34]

Contributors SB, JCB, SKB, MC, MF and RR made substantial contributions to conception and design of the current study. All authors were mainly involved in project, study design, data analysis and publication of the results of the mentioned research projects Med-on-aix and TemRas. SB, MC and SKB performed data

1
2
3 acquisition. Literature search was carried out by SB, JCB, SKB, MF and RR. Data analysis and interpretation
4
5 were conducted by all authors. Statistical tests were carried out by SB, MF and MC. All authors made
6
7 substantial contributions to the manuscript, while SB and RR drafted the first complete version. All authors
8
9 read and approved the final manuscript.

10
11
12 **Funding** There was no funding for the current study, and the authors received no financial support for the
13
14 research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The first mentioned research project ("Med-on-aix")
15
16 was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi), [Project-No.:
17
18 01MB07022]. The second project ("TemRas") was funded by the Ministry of Innovation, Science and
19
20 Research of Northrhine Westphalia, Germany (MIWF) [Project-No.: Ptj-Az. 0909im002b, Funding code 005-
21
22 1003-0034].

23
24
25
26 **Competing interests** The authors report no specific funding in relation to this research. JCB, MC, and RR
27
28 are shareholders of the docs in clouds company, Aachen, Germany (telemedical service and consulting).
29
30 All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

31
32
33
34 **Ethics approval** The study was approved by the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen University,
35
36 Germany, registration number EK 109/15.

37
38
39
40 **Patient consent** Not required by ethics committee.

41
42
43
44 **Data sharing statement** No additional data is available. The datasets used and/or analysed during the
45
46 current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

47
48
49
50 **ORCID iD** Sebastian Bergrath 0000-0001-5297-7655

References

- 1 Luiz T, van Lengen RH, Wickenkamp A, *et al.* Operational availability of ground-based emergency medical services in Rheinland-Palatinate: state-wide web-based system for collation, display and analysis. *Anaesthetist* 2011;60:421–6. doi:10.1007/s00101-010-1826-3
- 2 Bader K, Bernhard M, Gries A, *et al.* Development of ground-based physician-staffed emergency missions in the city of Leipzig from 2003 to 2013. *Anaesthetist* 2018;67:177–87. doi:10.1007/s00101-017-0393-2
- 3 Kraef C, van der Meirschen M, Free C. Digital telemedicine interventions for patients with multimorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e036904. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036904
- 4 Antonenko K, Paciaroni M, Sokolova L, *et al.* Digital health in stroke medicine: what are the opportunities for stroke patients? *Curr Opin Neurol* Published Online First: 4 December 2020. doi:10.1097/WCO.0000000000000891
- 5 Müller-Barna P, Hubert ND, Bergner C, *et al.* TeleVertigo: Diagnosing Stroke in Acute Dizziness: A Telemedicine-Supported Approach. *Stroke* 2019;50:3293–8. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.026505
- 6 Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. State of Telehealth. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:154–61. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1601705
- 7 Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, *et al.* Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;24:4–12. doi:10.1177/1357633X16674087
- 8 Sanchez-Ross M, Oghlakian G, Maher J, *et al.* The STAT-MI (ST-Segment Analysis Using Wireless Technology in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial improves outcomes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;4:222–7. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2010.11.007
- 9 Rasmussen MB, Frost L, Stengaard C, *et al.* Diagnostic performance and system delay using telemedicine for prehospital diagnosis in triaging and treatment of STEMI. *Heart Br Card Soc* 2014;100:711–5. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304576
- 10 Papai G, Csato G, Racz I, *et al.* The transtelephonic electrocardiogram-based triage is an independent predictor of decreased hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;:1357633X18814335. doi:10.1177/1357633X18814335
- 11 Yperzeele L, Van Hooff R-J, De Smedt A, *et al.* Feasibility of AmbulanCe-Based Telemedicine (FACT) study: safety, feasibility and reliability of third generation in-ambulance telemedicine. *PloS One* 2014;9:e110043. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110043
- 12 Winburn AS, Brixey JJ, Langabeer J, *et al.* A systematic review of prehospital telehealth utilization. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;24:473–81. doi:10.1177/1357633X17713140
- 13 Ebinger M, Winter B, Wendt M, *et al.* Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;311:1622–31. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.2850
- 14 Bergrath S, Reich A, Rossaint R, *et al.* Feasibility of prehospital teleconsultation in acute stroke--a pilot study in clinical routine. *PloS One* 2012;7:e36796. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036796
- 15 Bowry R, Parker S, Rajan SS, *et al.* Benefits of Stroke Treatment Using a Mobile Stroke Unit Compared With Standard Management: The BEST-MSU Study Run-In Phase. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* Published Online First: 27 October 2015. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011093
- 16 LaMonte MP, Xiao Y, Hu PF, *et al.* Shortening time to stroke treatment using ambulance telemedicine: TeleBAT. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc* 2004;13:148–54.

- doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2004.03.004
- 17 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* Teleconsultation in pre-hospital emergency medical services: real-time telemedical support in a prospective controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:626–32. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.10.029
 - 18 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* E-health in emergency medicine - the research project Med-on-@ix. *Anaesthetist* 2009;58:285–92. doi:10.1007/s00101-008-1502-z
 - 19 Rörtgen D, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al.* Comparison of physician staffed emergency teams with paramedic teams assisted by telemedicine--a randomized, controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation* 2013;84:85–92. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.06.012
 - 20 Bergrath S, Czaplik M, Rossaint R, *et al.* Implementation phase of a multicentre prehospital telemedicine system to support paramedics: feasibility and possible limitations. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2013;21:54. doi:10.1186/1757-7241-21-54
 - 21 Brokmann JC, Conrad C, Rossaint R, *et al.* Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome by Telemedically Supported Paramedics Compared With Physician-Based Treatment: A Prospective, Interventional, Multicenter Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2016;18:e314. doi:10.2196/jmir.6358
 - 22 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Bergrath S, *et al.* Potential and effectiveness of a telemedical rescue assistance system: Prospective observational study on implementation in emergency medicine. *Anaesthetist* 2015;64:438–45. doi:10.1007/s00101-015-0039-1
 - 23 Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, Rossaint R, *et al.* Technical and organisational feasibility of a multifunctional telemedicine system in an emergency medical service - an observational study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2011;17:371–7. doi:10.1258/jtt.2011.110203
 - 24 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Hirsch F, *et al.* Analgesia by telemedically supported paramedics compared with physician-administered analgesia: A prospective, interventional, multicentre trial. *Eur J Pain Lond Engl* 2016;20:1176–84. doi:10.1002/ejp.843
 - 25 Felzen M, Brokmann JC, Beckers SK, *et al.* Improved technical performance of a multifunctional prehospital telemedicine system between the research phase and the routine use phase - an observational study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2017;23:402–9. doi:10.1177/1357633X16644115
 - 26 Schneiders M-T, Schilberg D, Isenhardt I, *et al.* Tele-EMS physician under scrutiny. Paramedics evaluation of the project Med-on-aix. *Notfall Rettungsmed* 2012;15:410–5. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10049-011-1535-8
 - 27 Czaplik M, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al.* Employment of telemedicine in emergency medicine. Clinical requirement analysis, system development and first test results. *Methods Inf Med* 2014;53:99–107. doi:10.3414/ME13-01-0022
 - 28 Bergrath S, Müller M, Rossaint R, *et al.* Guideline adherence in acute coronary syndromes between telemedically supported paramedics and conventional on-scene physician care: A longitudinal pre-post intervention cohort study. *Health Informatics J* 2019;25:1528–37. doi:10.1177/1460458218775157
 - 29 Lenssen N, Krockauer A, Beckers SK, *et al.* Quality of analgesia in physician-operated telemedical prehospital emergency care is comparable to physician-based prehospital care - a retrospective longitudinal study. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:1536. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01437-5
 - 30 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Müller M, *et al.* Blood pressure management and guideline adherence in hypertensive emergencies and urgencies: A comparison between telemedically supported and conventional out-of-hospital care. *J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn* 2017;19:704–12. doi:10.1111/jch.13026
 - 31 Dami F, Darioli V, Pasquier M. Association between the NACA score and clinical outcomes. *Am J Emerg Med* 2020;38:1692–3. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.01.021

- 1
2
3 32 Marsh-Feiley G, Eadie L, Wilson P. Paramedic and physician perspectives on the potential use of
4 remotely supported prehospital ultrasound. *Rural Remote Health* 2018;18:4574.
5 doi:10.22605/RRH4574
6
7 33 Porter A, Dale J, Foster T, *et al.* Implementation and use of computerised clinical decision support
8 (CCDS) in emergency pre-hospital care: a qualitative study of paramedic views and experience using
9 Strong Structuration Theory. *Implement Sci IS* 2018;13:91. doi:10.1186/s13012-018-0786-x
10
11 34 Stevanovic A, Beckers SK, Czaplik M, *et al.* Telemedical support for prehospital Emergency Medical
12 Service (TEMS trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2017;18:43.
13 doi:10.1186/s13063-017-1781-2
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	

Continued on next page

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	6-9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8-9
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	8
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	8
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8-9
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9-11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	12

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies:

Bergrath et al. Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system

The primary focus of implementation science is the implementation strategy (column 1) and the expectation is that this will always be completed. The evidence about the impact of the intervention on the targeted population should always be considered (column 2) and either health outcomes reported or robust evidence cited to support a known beneficial effect of the intervention on the health of individuals or populations.

The StaRI standards refers to the broad range of study designs employed in implementation science. Authors should refer to other reporting standards for advice on reporting specific methodological features. Conversely, whilst all items are worthy of consideration, not all items will be applicable to, or feasible within every study.

Checklist item	Reported on page #	Implementation Strategy	Reported on page #	Intervention
		“Implementation strategy” refers to how the intervention was implemented		“Intervention” refers to the healthcare or public health intervention that is being implemented.
Title and abstract				
Title	1	Identification as an implementation study, and description of the methodology in the title and/or keywords		
Abstract	2	Identification as an implementation study, including a description of the implementation strategy to be tested, the evidence-based intervention being implemented, and defining the key implementation and health outcomes.		
Introduction				
Introduction	3	Description of the problem, challenge or deficiency in healthcare or public health that the intervention being implemented aims to address.		
Rationale	4	The scientific background and rationale for the implementation strategy (including any underpinning theory/framework/model, how it is expected to achieve its effects and any pilot work).	4	The scientific background and rationale for the intervention being implemented (including evidence about its effectiveness and how it is expected to achieve its effects).

Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Aims and objectives	5	4	The aims of the study, differentiating between implementation objectives and any intervention objectives.		
Methods: description					
Design	6	4-5	The design and key features of the evaluation, (cross referencing to any appropriate methodology reporting standards) and any changes to study protocol, with reasons		
Context	7	4	The context in which the intervention was implemented. (Consider social, economic, policy, healthcare, organisational barriers and facilitators that might influence implementation elsewhere).		
Targeted 'sites'	8	4	The characteristics of the targeted 'site(s)' (e.g. locations/personnel/resources etc.) for implementation and any eligibility criteria.	4-5	The population targeted by the intervention and any eligibility criteria.
Description	9	4-5	A description of the implementation strategy	4-5	A description of the intervention
Sub-groups	10	N/A	Any sub-groups recruited for additional research tasks, and/or nested studies are described		
Methods: evaluation					
Outcomes	11	4-5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy, and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets	5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the intervention (if assessed), and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets
Process evaluation	12	4	Process evaluation objectives and outcomes related to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	13	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sample size	14	5	Rationale for sample sizes (including sample size calculations, budgetary constraints, practical considerations, data saturation, as appropriate)		
Analysis	15	6	Methods of analysis (with reasons for that choice)		
Sub-group analyses	16	N/A	Any a priori sub-group analyses (e.g. between different sites in a multicentre study, different clinical or demographic populations), and sub-groups recruited to specific nested research tasks		

Results					
Characteristics	17	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics of the recipient population for the implementation strategy	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics (if appropriate) of the recipient population for the intervention
Outcomes	18	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the Intervention (if assessed)
Process outcomes	19	6-7	Process data related to the implementation strategy mapped to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	20	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sub-group analyses	21	N/A	Representativeness and outcomes of subgroups including those recruited to specific research tasks		
Fidelity/adaptation	22	6-8	Fidelity to implementation strategy as planned and adaptation to suit context and preferences	6-8	Fidelity to delivering the core components of intervention (where measured)
Contextual changes	23	N/A	Contextual changes (if any) which may have affected outcomes		
Harms	24	N/A	All important harms or unintended effects in each group		
Discussion					
Structured discussion	25	9-11	Summary of findings, strengths and limitations, comparisons with other studies, conclusions and implications		
Implications	26	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the implementation strategy (specifically including scalability)	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the intervention (specifically including sustainability)
General					
Statements	27	12	Include statement(s) on regulatory approvals (including, as appropriate, ethical approval, confidential use of routine data, governance approval), trial/study registration (availability of protocol), funding and conflicts of interest		

BMJ Open

Implementation of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system: evaluation of process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041942.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Feb-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Bergrath, Sebastian; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology; Kliniken Maria Hilf GmbH, Emergency Department Brokmann, Jörg; University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department Beckers, Stefan ; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology Felzen, Marc; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anesthesiology Czaplik, Michael; Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen, Anesthesiology Rossaint, Rolf; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health informatics, Health services research
Keywords:	ACCIDENT & EMERGENCY MEDICINE, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, Organisational development < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Change management < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Implementation of a full-scale pre-hospital telemedicine system: Evaluation of the**
4 **process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study**
5
6
7

8 Sebastian Bergrath^{1,2}, Jörg C. Brokmann³, Stefan K. Beckers^{1,4}, Marc Felzen^{1,4}, Michael Czaplik¹, Rolf
9 Rossaint¹
10
11
12
13

14 (1) University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
15 University, Germany
16

17 (2) Kliniken Maria Hilf, Emergency Department, Mönchengladbach, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
18 University, Germany
19

20 (3) University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University,
21 Germany
22

23 (4) City of Aachen, Fire Department and Emergency Medical Service, Aachen, Germany
24
25

26
27
28
29
30
31 **Ethics committee:** University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany, registration number EK 109/15

32 **Trial registration:** clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565)
33
34

35
36
37 **Word count:** 3538 (without Tables, References, or the Abstract)

38
39 **Word count Abstract:** 292
40
41
42
43
44

45 Correspondence to:

46 PD Dr. Med. Sebastian Bergrath

47 Department of Anaesthesiology

48 University Hospital RWTH Aachen

49 Pauwelsstr. 30, D-52074 Aachen

50 Phone: +49 (0) 241 – 80 88179

51 FAX: +49 (0) 241 – 80 82406

52 sebastian.bergrath@mariahilf.de
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives To review the implementation strategy from a research project towards routine care of a comprehensive mobile physician-staffed pre-hospital telemedicine system. The objective is to evaluate the implementation process and systemic influences on emergency medical service (EMS) resource utilisation.

Design Retrospective pre-post implementation study

Setting Two interdisciplinary projects and the EMS of a German urban region.

Interventions Implementation of a full-scale pre-hospital telemedicine system.

Endpoints Descriptive evaluation of the implementation strategy. Primary endpoint: ground- and helicopter-based physician staffed emergency missions before and after implementation.

Results The first research project revealed positive effects on guideline adherence and patient safety in two simulation studies, with feasibility demonstrated in a clinical study. After technical optimisation, safety and positive effects were demonstrated in a multicentre trial. Routine care in the city of Aachen, Germany was conducted stepwise from April 2014 to March 2015, including modified dispatch criteria. Systemic parameters of all EMS assignments between pre-implementation (April 2013 to March 2014) and post-implementation (April 2015 to March 2016): On-scene EMS physician operations decreased from 7,882/25,187 missions (31.3%) to 6,360/26,462 (24.0%), $p < 0.0001$. The need for neighbouring physician-staffed units dropped from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$, and the need for helicopter EMS from 198/25,187 (0.79%) to 100/26,462 (0.38%), $p < 0.0001$. In the post-implementation period 2,347 telemedical interventions were conducted, with 26,462 emergency missions (8.87%).

Conclusion A stepwise implementation strategy allowed transfer from the project phase to routine care. We detected a reduced need for conventional on-scene physician care by ground and helicopter-based EMS, but cannot exclude unrecognized confounders, including modified dispatch criteria and possible learning effects. This creates the potential for increased availability of EMS physicians for life-threatening emergencies by shifting physician interventions from conventional to telemedical care.

Trial registration: Clinicaltrials.gov/NCT04127565, retrospectively registered.

Keywords: Emergency medicine, emergency medical service physician, telemedicine, telecare, quality of care.

Strengths and limitations of the study

- The strength of the study is the description of different methods of implementation in a pre-hospital telemedicine system, with the transfer from project phase to routine care.
- We used real-life data from an EMS dispatch centre to evaluate the effect on emergency medical service resource utilisation by implementation of a telemedical support system.
- This is the first study to examine effects the aforementioned implementation in an urban region.
- The limitation is that other influencing factors, such as adapted dispatch criteria, may have also influenced the results, which could not be calculated.
- Influences on patient outcomes could not be evaluated, another limitation of our findings.

Introduction

Emergency medical services (EMS) face increasing emergency missions. Besides possible negative effects on patient outcome due to prolonged response intervals, there are economic consequences due to increased use and provision of resources.^{1,2} As such, modern concepts must ensure a high quality of care without a steep increase in costs. Telehealthcare interventions have been spreading for acute and chronic medical conditions.³⁻⁵ Despite rapidly increasing technological capabilities, barriers that restrict implementation still remain, which include legal, political, and social issues.⁶ There are barriers that must be justified by the behaviour of both medical staff and patients.⁷ It is also well-known that in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) telemedical transmission of the 12-lead-ECG and consultation of a cardiologist lead to reduced intervals of myocardial reperfusion.^{8,9} The telemedical procedure reduces in-hospital mortality in patients with STEMI.¹⁰ However, widespread use is lacking. Besides acute coronary syndromes, telemedical interventions in the pre-hospital phase and scientific data are rare, so that many projects are not transferred into routine care after cessation of project financing.^{11,12} In acute stroke, studies have shown the feasibility of video transmission from the ambulance, but this technique has not been rolled out on a grand scale, while inter-hospital teleconsultation in acute stroke is implemented in more hospital systems and could be considered routine.¹³⁻¹⁶ Overall, only a few EMS agencies use telemedical techniques.¹²

Against this background, we conducted two interdisciplinary research projects to develop and evaluate a comprehensive mobile teleconsultation system that supports on-scene paramedics from a remote site: this is with experienced physicians in all kinds of emergency medical situations. After successful technical and

1
2
3 organizational development, and scientific evaluation, this system was implemented stepwise into routine
4 care, financed by health insurance. In Germany, the EMS is generally financed by statutory health insurances
5 and private health insurances after negotiation of needs and budget. The aim of the study was to evaluate
6 the implementation strategy from the initial project idea to routine care, within a unique, physician-staffed
7 telemedicine system. To evaluate systemic effects of this new concept in emergency care, the influence of
8 implementation on EMS resources should be evaluated.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Methods**

18 **Implementation strategy**

19
20 The implementation process from the project idea (2006/2007) to routine care (2014-2016) was carefully
21 dissected into all relevant steps and milestones. These steps were analysed descriptively with the respective
22 rationale to be able to utilise the main results.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Organisational setup**

31 In the city of Aachen, Germany (255,967 residents; December 2017), the EMS service is an integral part of
32 the responsibilities of the fire department. Up to eleven emergency ambulances are run by the fire brigade
33 and three EMS agencies. All emergency ambulances are staffed with 2-year trained paramedics. Two
34 ground-based EMS physician units are run on a 24/7 basis to assist the ambulances if advanced life-support
35 procedures (e.g., rapid sequence induction) are necessary. All physicians are certified EMS physicians with
36 at least 3 years of training in anaesthesia and critical care, as well as a certificate in advanced life support
37 and pre-hospital trauma life support. If non-availability is due to duplicated events, physician-staffed units
38 from adjacent districts or helicopter emergency medical services will be used as backup. All paramedics are
39 trained on the telemedicine system, based on trained and published standard operating procedures.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Study design and evaluation of systemic effects**

52 After two interdisciplinary research projects, transfer of telemedical procedures to routine care was
53 considered possible.^{17,18} To evaluate systemic influences of implementation into routine care, we compared
54 EMS data of the 1-year pre-implementation period (April 2013–March 2014) with a similar interval after
55 full implementation (April 2015–March 2016) in a pre-post intervention study: To assess EMS resource
56 utilisation, the number of emergency missions carried out by on-scene EMS physicians was compared to the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 two periods as the primary outcome. The cumulative number of on-scene and telemedical interventions by
4 physicians was analysed as a secondary outcome. Non-availability of EMS physicians due to overlapping
5 emergency calls was analysed by the number of emergency missions by EMS physician units from adjacent
6
7 EMS districts, including helicopter EMS. All EMS missions in the city of Aachen were included.
8
9

10 With full implementation, dispatch criteria were supported with an electronic list of symptoms and possible
11 diagnoses (n=213 scenarios). In the pre-implementation period, it was at the discretion of the dispatcher to
12 send an EMS physician unit whenever a situation was judged to be potentially life-threatening. Following
13 implementation, 24/7 telemedical support was available, allowing structured adjustments of dispatch
14 criteria by the EMS medical director. These emergency scenarios were not dispatched with an on-scene EMS
15 physician as a general rule: acute stroke with the patient awake, painful conditions with the patient awake,
16 mild dyspnea, hypertensive urgency, and terminated seizure. In the pre-implementation period, these
17 conditions were dispatched with an on-scene EMS physician, although no electronic support was available.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Characteristics of telemedically-supported emergencies in routine care**

29
30 Data of telemedically-supported emergency missions were analysed descriptively in the post-
31 implementation period: type of emergency mission (emergency mission vs. inter-hospital transfer), given
32 delegated medications and medical severity. In a documented outcome, we reviewed the case to determine
33 if a fatal outcome was a function of a telemedical intervention.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Data sources**

41 We analysed the database of electronically documented telemedical interventions (Telemedical
42 Documentation, P3 Telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) and the database of the regional EMS dispatch centre
43 (COBRA4, ISE, Aachen, Germany). Number of calls and telemedical supports, as well as conducted
44 procedures, could be best evaluated this way. Patient data could not be connected between these systems.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Patient and Public Involvement**

52 Patients were not involved in the design or implementation of this project. The public was informed by local
53 media (newspaper, radio, and local television), but had no influence on the project and study design.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethics and study registration

Data screening and analyses were conducted after approval by the local ethics committee (University Hospital RWTH Aachen, registration number EK 109/15). All cases were pseudonymised to ensure data privacy. Systemic data of the EMS dispatch centre contained no personal data, so there was no need for pseudonymisation. Study registration was done retrospectively at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565).

Statistical methods

Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. Systemic parameters were compared with contingency tables, using the Chi-squared test with Yate's correction. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Due to the exploratory nature of the study, p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Implementation process

The process of final implementation can be divided into three main phases: two research projects, followed by integration into health insurance-financed routine emergency care, standard for all conventional EMS services in Germany. We ensured that telemedical care was also financed this way. Table 1 outlines this process, including summarised research findings.¹⁷⁻²² Local and national political stakeholders, health insurance companies, and EMS providers at different levels (paramedics to EMS directors/stakeholders) were integrated from the first interdisciplinary workshop.¹⁷ Iterative development with integration of end-users allowed for design and development, and continuous adaption of the technical system, including the organizational model. In the first research project, a mobile telemedicine system with multiple applications was first developed. General feasibility and positive effects on guideline-adherence in stroke patients are shown.^{14,23} Although the second project did not allow for a randomised controlled trial due to practical, political, and ethical concerns, the results of this prospective observational multicentre trial convinced political stakeholders and health insurances to transfer this concept to routine care in a model region (Aachen, Germany).^{18,22,24} With routine care implementation, milestones of interim analyses and workshops were defined between the fire department, the related university (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), and

1
2
3 the health insurances . Periodic reporting enabled data and safety monitoring, and continuous information
4 by decision-makers and financiers.
5
6
7

8 **Technical development and capabilities in routine care**

9
10 During the first project, the transmission unit was integrated into a backpack (2009), with a weight of 18 kg
11 (self-development of research partners), while general technical development enabled miniaturisation and
12 integration of a smartphone for system monitoring and photo transmission in the second project. Within 5
13 years, a stepwise professionalisation and miniaturisation saw a total weight of 1.7 kg and made the system
14 viable for routine emergency medical care. Technical performance improved over time to a sufficient
15 standard.^{23,25} In routine care, the following technical capabilities evolved with a project related spin-off
16 company (P3 Telehealthcare): two-way audio connection, real-time vital data transmission (numerical
17 values and waveforms), 12-lead-ECG and still-picture transmission on-demand, as well as video streaming
18 from in the ambulance. The connection between ambulances and the teleconsultation centre was
19 accomplished by mobile transmission units (peeq-Box, P3 Telehealthcare) hooked to the monitor-
20 defibrillator unit (C³, GS Stemple Elektromedizinische Geräte, Kaufering, Germany). In the ambulance, the
21 transmission unit was connected to a wireless local network by a conventional in-car computer. Parallel,
22 encrypted audio and data transmission from the emergency site, including en-route, were facilitated. In the
23 teleconsultation centre, a physician responsible for telemedical consults was available. Context-sensitive
24 documentation software provided checklists and algorithms of current international guidelines, and a
25 technical display of all transmitted data (Telemedical Documentation, P3 Telehealthcare).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Systemic effects of telemedical support in routine care**

45 Before the implementation of telemedical real-time support, 25,187 EMS assignments with emergency
46 ambulances were conducted (April 2013–March 2014). Of these, 7,882 (31.3%) were supported by an
47 conventional on-scene EMS physician. After 1,287 telemedical-supported missions during the first-year
48 training and implementation phase (April 2014 to March 2015), the system was fully implemented, enabling
49 24/7 availability. The total number of emergency ambulance missions increased to 26,462 after this (April
50 2015–March 2016). Of these, 2,347 (8.87%) were supported telemedically, while their characteristics are
51 summarised in Table 2. The only NACA VI assignment was a consultation between the
52 on-scene EMS physician and the physician at the telemedical centre for support, during a successful
53 resuscitation of a 13-year-old child with known cardiac disease. In two of the NACA VII missions, the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 paramedics contacted the tele-EMS physician for termination of resuscitation due to latency and patient
4 age, while the EMS-physician unit was en-route. In three other NACA VII cases, the EMS physician on-scene
5 contacted the telemedical centre for organisational issues after the patient was pronounced dead. There
6
7 were no other telemedically-supported missions in which the patient suffered cardiac arrest. Those
8
9 supported by on-scene EMS physicians decreased from 7,882 (31.3%, pre-intervention) to 6,360 (24%,
10
11 post-intervention) for all cases, $p < 0.0001$. The rate of ground-based EMS staffed units from neighbouring
12
13 districts were utilised due to a shortage of resources, dropping from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462
14
15 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$. A helicopter-based EMS physician was summoned in 198 of the 25,187 (0.79%) cases
16
17 pre-intervention, which decreased to 100 of 26,462 (0.38%) after implementation ($p < 0.0001$). The total
18
19 number of physician-guided pre-hospital interventions increased from 7,882/25,187 (31.3% were only
20
21 conventional on-scene care) to 8,707/26,462 (32.9%, telemedical and conventional on-scene care) in the 1-
22
23 year post-implementation phase ($p < 0.0001$).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Implementation strategy in steps and milestones

Phase	Process steps	Summary	References
Research Project (Med-on-aix) 2007-2010	1. Stakeholder workshops	Discussion and definition of requirements und expectations as well as misgivings; Integration of data privacy experts	[17]
	2. Technical Design and development	Development of specification booklet by medical users; integration of users into all steps of technical development	[17]
	3. Mockup tests	Technical field tests with a precursor system	
	4. Legal opinion by expert	Legal opinion about the specific legal questions of mobile telemedical care and delegation of medical procedures to paramedics	
	5. Simulation study I	Improved guideline adherence in STEMI and major trauma in full scale simulation	[19]
	6. Simulation study II (RCT)	Comparable quality of care between telemedically supported paramedics and on-scene physician teams.	[20]
	7. Development of economic models	Workshop-based with integration of politics, health insurances, technical partners and medical users.	
	8. Clinical feasibility study, prospective observational study	General feasibility was shown; video transmission in stroke and improvement of data transfer into the hospital were demonstrated.	[14,23]
	9. User survey	Interviews and questionnaire-survey of users. Future potential is seen but technical performance and usability were criticised.	[26]
Research project (TemRas) 2010-2013	10. Technical adaption	Iterative development cycles with integration of medical users. Miniaturization of the technical system.	
	11. Technical field testing	Field testing by technicians and by emergency care providers.	[27]
	12. Development and execution of a training concept for providers	Parallel training concept for paramedics and future tele-EMS-physicians.	[21]
	13. Prospective multi-centre trial in 5 EMS districts over one year	Safety, feasibility and evaluation of quality of care in 425 telemedical emergency missions	[18,22,24]
	14. Integration of health insurances and discussion of results and economic potential	Discussion of the scientific results and portability into a routine care setting. Model calculation of costs and savings potential.	
Integration into routine emergency care 2014-2015	15. Agreement with health insurances about seed funding	Seed funding of a first real-life phase, limited depending on interim results.	
	16. Technical adaption	Technical adaption and further miniaturization, integration of state-of-the-art monitor-defibrillator.	
	17. Integration and stepwise implementation into routine care (April 2014 - March 2015)	Start with 3 equipped ambulances and 12.75-hour daytime service; 24-hour coverage after 3 months and stepwise integration of 11 ambulances within one year. Implementation of telemedical contents into the yearly training concept for paramedics. Evaluation of technical performance by end-users and assessment of quality of care. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[25,28-30]
	18. Discussion of interim results with politics, German health secretary and health insurances	Quarterly performance and quality reports. Discussion of interim results with health insurances, stakeholders and politics after 6 months in a workshop.	
	19. Full implementation since April 2015	Provision of 24/7 coverage, all ambulances technically equipped. Quarterly quality reports and real-time supervision of tele-EMS physicians. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[28]

EMS, emergency medical service; RCT, randomised controlled trial; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Table 2. Characteristics of telemedically-supported missions after full implementation

Characteristics	Number (fraction)
Telemedically supported emergency missions	2,347
- solely telemedically supported, without additional on-scene physician	2,145/2,347 (91.4%)
Telemedically supported cases with delegation of medication	1,541/2,347 (65.66%)
- cases with opioid delegation	497/2,347 (21.18%)
- delegated single medications	4,419 drug administrations in 1,541 missions
M-NACA score of telemedically supported missions: n=2,262/2,347 missions scored (96.4%)	
M-NACA II – no hospital admission necessary	165
M-NACA III – transport to hospital required	1,298
M-NACA IV – possible vital danger	613
M-NACA V – acute vital danger	180
M-NACA VI – successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation	1
M-NACA VII – death at scene	5
Telemedically supported inter-hospital transfers	315

M-NACA, modified National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics severity score.³¹

Discussion

Stepwise implementation with the integration of different end-users, politics, stakeholders, and health insurers allowed for successful transfer from the research project phase to routine care in an urban model region. After implementation, utilisation of conventional on-scene care by EMS-physicians decreased significantly, but with the implementation of a telemedicine system, the dispatch criteria were modified and restructured, with the intention of reducing primary EMS physician unit alarms.

Although comprehensive scientific results were not available for discussion about continuation, continuous involvement of decision-makers and models for economic effects fostered commitment from financiers. Periodic quality reporting and further observational scientific evaluation in routine care enabled stable integration and expansion. While this continuous information strategy and the integration of decision-making barriers to implementation were overcome, a randomised controlled trial (RCT) was judged not to be possible by researchers during the described process. There was the unanimous opinion that an RCT would have created too many barriers within the framework of projects, which could have endangered the concept. Further, in the discussion with the ethics committee, a RCT was viewed critically due to the novelty

1
2
3 of the system. However, these were more political than scientific reasons, but continuation of the project
4 should not be endangered. For end-users, a satisfactory technical performance and usability were identified
5 as key elements for implementation during user interviews and questionnaires.²⁶ Only in the course of the
6 three phases were we were able to meet user requirements.^{23,25,27} While integrating new telemedical
7 procedures, including expanded skills of paramedics, the users' perspectives differed noticeably between
8 paramedics and physicians. In a Scottish project of mobile tele-ultrasound on-board ambulances, physicians
9 feared distraction in key roles and assessed this as too difficult for paramedics; in contrast, paramedics felt
10 valued, and assessed this new task as their role in pre-hospital care.³² Although no relevant data were
11 available, we reported similar concerns by physicians, although most paramedics felt valued with the new
12 tasks. During routine care, positive effects on quality of care, as well as guideline adherence, were shown
13 for acute coronary syndromes, pain reduction in trauma and non-trauma emergencies, and blood pressure
14 management in hypertensive emergencies.²⁸⁻³⁰ However, the process from research to implementation
15 lasted one decade (Table 1). This demonstrates that political decision-makers are not convinced with
16 scientific results alone, but require ideas and models that allow future economic potential. General technical
17 and social development for mobile technologies accelerated the process in the last few years. The system's
18 operation over the one-year post-implementation period would not be called economical, as the physician
19 at the telemedical centre was not fully occupied. System operation was possible due to health insurance
20 financing for a pilot region. With further expansion and integration of more EMS districts, the operation
21 could be run economically. During all discussions with decision-makers, our aim was to expand the system
22 after implementation in one model region. In other countries like Denmark, a "telephone-only" consultation
23 with an EMS-physician in charge is typical, but in Germany this would not have been permitted; this was
24 due to delegation of measures and medications to the paramedics, as based on legal concerns.³³ The
25 transmission of vital-data, ECG, still pictures, and video from the ambulance allowed for a more detailed
26 remote assessment compared to telephone consultation alone.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The frequency of telemedical interventions increased from the integration phase to the routine phase. In
more than half of the telemedically-supported rescue missions, medications (including opioids) were
delegated by the tele-EMS-physician to the paramedics on-scene. During routine care with all of its
unadjusted influences and potential confounders, the process of telemedically-supported paramedic care
proved its potential to reduce the number of on-scene interventions by EMS physicians. However, this
cannot be explained by the implementation of the telemedical system alone. The EMS dispatch criteria were
restructured and modified with the aim of reducing unnecessary primary alarms of EMS physician units.

1
2
3 However, such dispatch criteria would likely not have been acceptable by personnel and patients, without
4 availability of the telemedical system. Administration of opioids by paramedics is not allowed in Germany
5 without its (telemedical) delegation by a physician. A reduced primary alarm ratio in painful conditions
6 would have been unethical without the telemedical concept. Another factor that might have led to reduced
7 EMS physician alarms was training effects of ambulance personnel. With improved performance in I.V.-
8 lines, analgesia, and sedation over time, the paramedics could perform advanced care with telemedical
9 support alone. However, these confounders probably influenced the number of EMS physician interventions
10 and was not the result of the telemedical implementation system alone. Implementation with restructured
11 dispatch criteria should ideally be called "multi-interventions." In similar situations, the effect cannot be
12 attributed to a single intervention.³⁴ However, we also cannot exclude other confounders, given that no
13 other structural changes were conducted in the EMS system (e.g., number of ambulances or EMS physician
14 units) besides modified dispatch criteria. The reduction of approximately 2,500 on-scene maneuvers in one
15 year led to the significantly increased availability of ground-based physician intervention units, shown by
16 the reduced need for neighbouring units and helicopter-based EMS. However, a significant increase in
17 overall physician interventions was found by adding on-scene and telemedical interventions in the post-
18 implementation period. Although standard operating procedures existed for most common emergencies, a
19 lower threshold for telemedical support, in contrast to summoning a physician-staffed EMS unit, has been
20 our interpretation for this increase. A lower threshold of telemedical procedures may improve the quality
21 of care but carries a risk of undermining the possible cost savings.

22
23
24 It must be acknowledged that during low acuity telemedical interventions, a parallel incoming call with high
25 acuity can be answered, in contrast to similar on-scene interventions at different sites. Overall duration, and
26 net time consumption of the physician, is significantly shorter with the physician in the telemedicine centre,
27 compared to conventional on-scene care by EMS physicians.²⁸⁻³⁰ Increased availability of limited resources
28 of on-scene physicians is key to reducing response intervals, which can be lifesaving in life-threatening
29 emergencies, such as major trauma or cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

30 31 32 **Limitations**

33
34 New technologies in certain work processes can lead to different behaviours of end-users. This study cannot
35 determine if the use of the telemedical concepts was widespread for all EMS personnel or limited to some
36 subgroups. No personal data about EMS personnel could be evaluated while following the ethics

1
2
3 committee's statement. In a project about clinical decision support systems for paramedics, inequalities
4 towards the technology were found.³⁵ Furthermore, our study was not designed to evaluate any medical
5 outcomes or general safety of telemedical support, both of which are major limitations of this study. Thus,
6
7 it is not clear if outcomes are changed by implementing a telemedicine system with modified primary
8
9 dispatch criteria. No patient who received telemedical support suffered cardiac arrest during support or
10
11 transport to the hospital. In addition, other confounders influencing the number of missions cannot be
12
13 excluded with certainty. Detailed economic calculations were not possible, as the telemedicine system was
14
15 financed for the city of Aachen during the study period, as a pilot region. Health insurances and project
16
17 participants arranged future integration of more EMS districts, so that the function of a tele-EMS physician
18
19 could be utilized more efficiently and economically. Only then, can a pre-post analysis of costs between
20
21 regular and 'regular-plus' telemedically-supported EMS produce meaningful findings.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Conclusions**

28
29 Transfer from research projects to health insurance-financed routine care was successful, using an
30
31 implementation strategy accounting for political and economic aspects. Telemedical support for paramedics
32
33 is an effective new element for pre-hospital emergency care, due to shifting missions from classic on-scene
34
35 physician to telemedically-supported missions. Subsequently, the availability of physician-staffed EMS units
36
37 increased significantly. This could lead to shorter response times in life-threatening situations/missions. In
38
39 the future, remote telemedical support holds strong economic potential due to spatial independence and
40
41 shorter workload time for the responsible physician. Yet, unrecognized confounders, with modified
42
43 dispatch criteria and possible learning curves, could influence the reduced number of on-scene EMS
44
45 physician missions. With more implementation to routine care, we achieved a prerequisite for future
46
47 randomised controlled trials, comparing on-scene vs. telemedical care in a model region.³⁶ Along with a
48
49 randomised controlled trial, could this question be addressed - regarding how telemedical support affects
50
51 patient outcomes and whether telemedical support is generally safe.
52
53
54

55 **Contributors** SB, JCB, SKB, MC, MF, and RR made substantial contributions to the conception and design of
56
57 the current study. All authors were mainly involved in project, study design, data analysis, and publication
58
59 of results of the mentioned research projects Med-on-aix and TemRas. SB, MC, and SKB performed data
60
61 acquisition. The literature search was carried out by SB, JCB, SKB, MF, and RR. Data analysis and

1
2
3 interpretation were conducted by all authors. Statistical tests were carried out by SB, MF, and MC. All
4 authors made substantial contributions to the manuscript, while SB and RR drafted the first complete
5 version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
6
7
8
9

10 **Funding** There was no funding for this study, so the authors received no financial support for their research,
11 authorship, or publication of this article. The first mentioned research project ("Med-on-aix") was funded
12 by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi), [Project-No.: 01MB07022]. The
13 second project ("TemRas") was funded by the Ministry of Innovation, Science and Research of North Rhine
14 Westphalia, Germany (MIWF) [Project-No.: Ptj-Az. 0909im002b, Funding code 005-1003-0034].
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Competing interests** The authors report no specific funding in relation to this research. JCB, MC, and RR
23 are shareholders in Docs in Clouds GmbH (Aachen, Germany), a telemedical and consulting service. All
24 other authors declare no conflicts of interest.
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Ethics approval** The study was approved by the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen University,
31 Germany, registration number EK 109/15.
32
33
34
35

36 **Patient consent** Not required by the ethics committee.
37
38
39

40 **Data sharing statement** No additional data is available. The datasets used and/or analysed during the
41 current study are available from the corresponding author after a reasonable request.
42
43
44
45

46 **ORCID ID** Sebastian Bergrath 0000-0001-5297-7655.
47
48
49

50 References

- 51 1 Luiz T, van Lengen RH, Wickenkamp A, *et al.* [Operational availability of ground-based emergency
52 medical services in Rheinland-Palatinate: state-wide web-based system for collation, display and analysis].
53 *Anaesthetist* 2011;60:421–6. doi:10.1007/s00101-010-1826-3
54
55 2 Bader K, Bernhard M, Gries A, *et al.* [Development of ground-based physician-staffed emergency
56 missions in the city of Leipzig from 2003 to 2013]. *Anaesthetist* 2018;67:177–87. doi:10.1007/s00101-
57 017-0393-2
58
59 3 Kraef C, van der Meirschen M, Free C. Digital telemedicine interventions for patients with
60

- 1
2
3 multimorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e036904.
4 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036904
- 5
6 4 Antonenko K, Paciaroni M, Sokolova L, *et al.* Digital health in stroke medicine: what are the
7 opportunities for stroke patients? *Curr Opin Neurol* Published Online First: 4 December 2020.
8 doi:10.1097/WCO.0000000000000891
- 9
10 5 Müller-Barna P, Hubert ND, Bergner C, *et al.* TeleVertigo: Diagnosing Stroke in Acute Dizziness: A
11 Telemedicine-Supported Approach. *Stroke* 2019;50:3293–8. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.026505
- 12
13 6 Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. State of Telehealth. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:154–61.
14 doi:10.1056/NEJMra1601705
- 15
16 7 Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, *et al.* Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide:
17 A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;24:4–12. doi:10.1177/1357633X16674087
- 18
19 8 Sanchez-Ross M, Oghlakan G, Maher J, *et al.* The STAT-MI (ST-Segment Analysis Using Wireless
20 Technology in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial improves outcomes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;4:222–
21 7. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2010.11.007
- 22
23 9 Rasmussen MB, Frost L, Stengaard C, *et al.* Diagnostic performance and system delay using
24 telemedicine for prehospital diagnosis in triaging and treatment of STEMI. *Heart Br Card Soc*
25 2014;100:711–5. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304576
- 26
27 10 Papai G, Csato G, Racz I, *et al.* The transtelephonic electrocardiogram-based triage is an
28 independent predictor of decreased hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial
29 infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Telemed Telecare*
30 2018;:1357633X18814335. doi:10.1177/1357633X18814335
- 31
32 11 Yperzeele L, Van Hooff R-J, De Smedt A, *et al.* Feasibility of AmbulanCe-Based Telemedicine
33 (FACT) study: safety, feasibility and reliability of third generation in-ambulance telemedicine. *PloS One*
34 2014;9:e110043. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110043
- 35
36 12 Winburn AS, Brixey JJ, Langabeer J, *et al.* A systematic review of prehospital telehealth utilization.
37 *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;24:473–81. doi:10.1177/1357633X17713140
- 38
39 13 Ebinger M, Winter B, Wendt M, *et al.* Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to
40 thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;311:1622–31.
41 doi:10.1001/jama.2014.2850
- 42
43 14 Bergrath S, Reich A, Rossaint R, *et al.* Feasibility of prehospital teleconsultation in acute stroke--a
44 pilot study in clinical routine. *PloS One* 2012;7:e36796. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036796
- 45
46 15 Bowry R, Parker S, Rajan SS, *et al.* Benefits of Stroke Treatment Using a Mobile Stroke Unit
47 Compared With Standard Management: The BEST-MSU Study Run-In Phase. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* Published
48 Online First: 27 October 2015. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011093
- 49
50 16 LaMonte MP, Xiao Y, Hu PF, *et al.* Shortening time to stroke treatment using ambulance
51 telemedicine: TeleBAT. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc* 2004;13:148–54.
52 doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2004.03.004
- 53
54 17 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* [E-health in emergency medicine - the research project
55 Med-on-@ix]. *Anaesthetist* 2009;58:285–92. doi:10.1007/s00101-008-1502-z
- 56
57 18 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Bergrath S, *et al.* [Potential and effectiveness of a telemedical rescue
58 assistance system : Prospective observational study on implementation in emergency medicine].

1
2
3 *Anaesthetist* 2015;64:438–45. doi:10.1007/s00101-015-0039-1

4 19 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* Teleconsultation in pre-hospital emergency medical
5 services: real-time telemedical support in a prospective controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation*
6 2012;83:626–32. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.10.029

7
8 20 Rörtgen D, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al.* Comparison of physician staffed emergency teams with
9 paramedic teams assisted by telemedicine--a randomized, controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation*
10 2013;84:85–92. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.06.012

11
12 21 Bergrath S, Czaplik M, Rossaint R, *et al.* Implementation phase of a multicentre prehospital
13 telemedicine system to support paramedics: feasibility and possible limitations. *Scand J Trauma Resusc*
14 *Emerg Med* 2013;21:54. doi:10.1186/1757-7241-21-54

15
16 22 Brokmann JC, Conrad C, Rossaint R, *et al.* Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome by
17 Telemedically Supported Paramedics Compared With Physician-Based Treatment: A Prospective,
18 Interventional, Multicenter Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2016;18:e314. doi:10.2196/jmir.6358

19
20 23 Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, Rossaint R, *et al.* Technical and organisational feasibility of a
21 multifunctional telemedicine system in an emergency medical service - an observational study. *J Telemed*
22 *Telecare* 2011;17:371–7. doi:10.1258/jtt.2011.110203

23
24 24 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Hirsch F, *et al.* Analgesia by telemedically supported paramedics
25 compared with physician-administered analgesia: A prospective, interventional, multicentre trial. *Eur J*
26 *Pain Lond Engl* 2016;20:1176–84. doi:10.1002/ejp.843

27
28 25 Felzen M, Brokmann JC, Beckers SK, *et al.* Improved technical performance of a multifunctional
29 prehospital telemedicine system between the research phase and the routine use phase - an observational
30 study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2017;23:402–9. doi:10.1177/1357633X16644115

31
32 26 Schneiders M-T, Schilberg D, Isenhardt I, *et al.* Telenotarzt auf dem Prüfstand. Evaluation des
33 Projektes Med-on-aix aus Sicht der Rettungsassistenten. *Notfall Rettungsmed* 2012;15:410–5.
34 doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10049-011-1535-8

35
36 27 Czaplik M, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al.* Employment of telemedicine in emergency medicine.
37 Clinical requirement analysis, system development and first test results. *Methods Inf Med* 2014;53:99–107.
38 doi:10.3414/ME13-01-0022

39
40 28 Bergrath S, Müller M, Rossaint R, *et al.* Guideline adherence in acute coronary syndromes between
41 telemedically supported paramedics and conventional on-scene physician care: A longitudinal pre-post
42 intervention cohort study. *Health Informatics J* 2019;25:1528–37. doi:10.1177/1460458218775157

43
44 29 Lenssen N, Krockauer A, Beckers SK, *et al.* Quality of analgesia in physician-operated telemedical
45 prehospital emergency care is comparable to physician-based prehospital care - a retrospective
46 longitudinal study. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:1536. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01437-5

47
48 30 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Müller M, *et al.* Blood pressure management and guideline adherence in
49 hypertensive emergencies and urgencies: A comparison between telemedically supported and
50 conventional out-of-hospital care. *J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn* 2017;19:704–12.
51 doi:10.1111/jch.13026

52
53 31 Dami F, Darioli V, Pasquier M. Association between the NACA score and clinical outcomes. *Am J*
54 *Emerg Med* 2020;38:1692–3. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.01.021

55
56 32 Marsh-Feiley G, Eadie L, Wilson P. Paramedic and physician perspectives on the potential use of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 remotely supported prehospital ultrasound. *Rural Remote Health* 2018;18:4574. doi:10.22605/RRH4574

4 33 Lindskou TA, Mikkelsen S, Christensen EF, *et al.* The Danish prehospital emergency healthcare
5 system and research possibilities. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2019;27:100. doi:10.1186/s13049-
6 019-0676-5
7

8 34 Floyd T, Mårtensson S, Bailey J, *et al.* The MOWER (middle of the week everyone gets a re-chart)
9 pilot study: reducing in-hospital charting error with a multi-intervention. *BMC Health Serv Res*
10 2019;19:397. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4230-y
11
12

13 35 Porter A, Dale J, Foster T, *et al.* Implementation and use of computerised clinical decision support
14 (CCDS) in emergency pre-hospital care: a qualitative study of paramedic views and experience using
15 Strong Structuration Theory. *Implement Sci IS* 2018;13:91. doi:10.1186/s13012-018-0786-x
16

17 36 Stevanovic A, Beckers SK, Czaplik M, *et al.* Telemedical support for prehospital Emergency Medical
18 Service (TEMS trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2017;18:43.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
doi:10.1186/s13063-017-1781-2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	

Continued on next page

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	6-9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8-9
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	8
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	8
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8-9
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9-11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	12

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies:

Bergrath et al. Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system

The primary focus of implementation science is the implementation strategy (column 1) and the expectation is that this will always be completed. The evidence about the impact of the intervention on the targeted population should always be considered (column 2) and either health outcomes reported or robust evidence cited to support a known beneficial effect of the intervention on the health of individuals or populations.

The StaRI standards refers to the broad range of study designs employed in implementation science. Authors should refer to other reporting standards for advice on reporting specific methodological features. Conversely, whilst all items are worthy of consideration, not all items will be applicable to, or feasible within every study.

Checklist item	Reported on page #	Implementation Strategy	Reported on page #	Intervention
		“Implementation strategy” refers to how the intervention was implemented		“Intervention” refers to the healthcare or public health intervention that is being implemented.
Title and abstract				
Title	1	Identification as an implementation study, and description of the methodology in the title and/or keywords		
Abstract	2	Identification as an implementation study, including a description of the implementation strategy to be tested, the evidence-based intervention being implemented, and defining the key implementation and health outcomes.		
Introduction				
Introduction	3	Description of the problem, challenge or deficiency in healthcare or public health that the intervention being implemented aims to address.		
Rationale	4	The scientific background and rationale for the implementation strategy (including any underpinning theory/framework/model, how it is expected to achieve its effects and any pilot work).	4	The scientific background and rationale for the intervention being implemented (including evidence about its effectiveness and how it is expected to achieve its effects).

Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Aims and objectives	5	4	The aims of the study, differentiating between implementation objectives and any intervention objectives.		
Methods: description					
Design	6	4-5	The design and key features of the evaluation, (cross referencing to any appropriate methodology reporting standards) and any changes to study protocol, with reasons		
Context	7	4	The context in which the intervention was implemented. (Consider social, economic, policy, healthcare, organisational barriers and facilitators that might influence implementation elsewhere).		
Targeted 'sites'	8	4	The characteristics of the targeted 'site(s)' (e.g. locations/personnel/resources etc.) for implementation and any eligibility criteria.	4-5	The population targeted by the intervention and any eligibility criteria.
Description	9	4-5	A description of the implementation strategy	4-5	A description of the intervention
Sub-groups	10	N/A	Any sub-groups recruited for additional research tasks, and/or nested studies are described		
Methods: evaluation					
Outcomes	11	4-5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy, and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets	5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the intervention (if assessed), and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets
Process evaluation	12	4	Process evaluation objectives and outcomes related to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	13	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sample size	14	5	Rationale for sample sizes (including sample size calculations, budgetary constraints, practical considerations, data saturation, as appropriate)		
Analysis	15	6	Methods of analysis (with reasons for that choice)		
Sub-group analyses	16	N/A	Any a priori sub-group analyses (e.g. between different sites in a multicentre study, different clinical or demographic populations), and sub-groups recruited to specific nested research tasks		

Results					
Characteristics	17	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics of the recipient population for the implementation strategy	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics (if appropriate) of the recipient population for the intervention
Outcomes	18	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the Intervention (if assessed)
Process outcomes	19	6-7	Process data related to the implementation strategy mapped to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	20	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sub-group analyses	21	N/A	Representativeness and outcomes of subgroups including those recruited to specific research tasks		
Fidelity/adaptation	22	6-8	Fidelity to implementation strategy as planned and adaptation to suit context and preferences	6-8	Fidelity to delivering the core components of intervention (where measured)
Contextual changes	23	N/A	Contextual changes (if any) which may have affected outcomes		
Harms	24	N/A	All important harms or unintended effects in each group		
Discussion					
Structured discussion	25	9-11	Summary of findings, strengths and limitations, comparisons with other studies, conclusions and implications		
Implications	26	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the implementation strategy (specifically including scalability)	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the intervention (specifically including sustainability)
General					
Statements	27	12	Include statement(s) on regulatory approvals (including, as appropriate, ethical approval, confidential use of routine data, governance approval), trial/study registration (availability of protocol), funding and conflicts of interest		

BMJ Open

Implementation of a full-scale pre-hospital telemedicine system: Evaluation of the process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041942.R3
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Mar-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Bergrath, Sebastian; RWTH Aachen University Medical Faculty, Department of Anaesthesiology; Kliniken Maria Hilf GmbH, Emergency Department Brokmann, Jörg; University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department Beckers, Stefan ; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology Felzen, Marc; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anesthesiology Czaplik, Michael; University Hospital Aachen, Anesthesiology Rossaint, Rolf; University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Emergency medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health informatics, Health services research
Keywords:	ACCIDENT & EMERGENCY MEDICINE, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, Organisational development < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Change management < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Implementation of a full-scale pre-hospital telemedicine system: Evaluation of the**
4 **process and systemic effects in a pre-post intervention study**
5
6
7

8 Sebastian Bergrath^{1,2}, Jörg C. Brokmann³, Stefan K. Beckers^{1,4}, Marc Felzen^{1,4}, Michael Czaplik¹, Rolf
9 Rossaint¹
10
11
12

13
14 (1) University Hospital Aachen, Department of Anaesthesiology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
15 University, Germany
16

17
18 (2) Kliniken Maria Hilf, Emergency Department, Mönchengladbach, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen
19 University, Germany
20

21
22 (3) University Hospital Aachen, Emergency Department, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University,
23 Germany
24

25
26 (4) City of Aachen, Fire Department and Emergency Medical Service, Aachen, Germany
27
28
29
30

31
32 **Ethics committee:** University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany, registration number EK 109/15
33

34 **Trial registration:** clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565)
35
36

37 **Word count:** 3906 (without Tables, References, or the Abstract)
38

39 **Word count Abstract:** 292
40
41
42
43
44

45 Correspondence to:
46

47 PD Dr. med. Sebastian Bergrath
48

49 Department of Anaesthesiology
50

51 University Hospital RWTH Aachen
52

53 Pauwelsstr. 30, D-52074 Aachen
54

55 Phone: +49 (0) 241 – 80 88179
56

57 FAX: +49 (0) 241 – 80 82406
58

59 sebastian.bergrath@mariahilf.de
60

Abstract

Objectives To review the implementation strategy from a research project towards routine care of a comprehensive mobile physician-staffed pre-hospital telemedicine system. The objective is to evaluate the implementation process and systemic influences on emergency medical service (EMS) resource utilisation.

Design Retrospective pre-post implementation study

Setting Two interdisciplinary projects and the EMS of a German urban region.

Interventions Implementation of a full-scale pre-hospital telemedicine system.

Endpoints Descriptive evaluation of the implementation strategy. Primary endpoint: ground- and helicopter-based physician staffed emergency missions before and after implementation.

Results The first research project revealed positive effects on guideline adherence and patient safety in two simulation studies, with feasibility demonstrated in a clinical study. After technical optimisation, safety and positive effects were demonstrated in a multicentre trial. Routine care in the city of Aachen, Germany was conducted stepwise from April 2014 to March 2015, including modified dispatch criteria. Systemic parameters of all EMS assignments between pre-implementation (April 2013 to March 2014) and post-implementation (April 2015 to March 2016): On-scene EMS physician operations decreased from 7,882/25,187 missions (31.3%) to 6,360/26,462 (24.0%), $p < 0.0001$. The need for neighbouring physician-staffed units dropped from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$, and the need for helicopter EMS from 198/25,187 (0.79%) to 100/26,462 (0.38%), $p < 0.0001$. In the post-implementation period 2,347 telemedical interventions were conducted, with 26,462 emergency missions (8.87%).

Conclusion A stepwise implementation strategy allowed transfer from the project phase to routine care. We detected a reduced need for conventional on-scene physician care by ground and helicopter-based EMS, but cannot exclude unrecognized confounders, including modified dispatch criteria and possible learning effects. This creates the potential for increased availability of EMS physicians for life-threatening emergencies by shifting physician interventions from conventional to telemedical care.

Trial registration: Clinicaltrials.gov/NCT04127565, retrospectively registered.

Keywords: Emergency medicine, emergency medical service physician, telemedicine, telecare, quality of care.

Strengths and limitations of the study

- The strength of the study is the description of different methods of implementation in a pre-hospital telemedicine system, with the transfer from project phase to routine care.
- We used real-life data from an EMS dispatch centre to evaluate the effect on emergency medical service resource utilisation by implementation of a telemedical support system.
- This is the first study to examine effects the aforementioned implementation in an urban region.
- The limitation is that other influencing factors, such as adapted dispatch criteria, may have also influenced the results, which could not be calculated.
- Influences on patient outcomes could not be evaluated, another limitation of our findings.

Introduction

Emergency medical services (EMS) face increasing emergency missions. Besides possible negative effects on patient outcome due to prolonged response intervals, there are economic consequences due to increased use and provision of resources.[1,2] As such, modern concepts must ensure a high quality of care without a steep increase in costs. Telehealthcare interventions have been spreading for acute and chronic medical conditions.[3–5] Despite rapidly increasing technological capabilities, barriers that restrict implementation still remain, which include legal, political, and social issues.[6] There are barriers that must be justified by the behaviour of both medical staff and patients.[7] It is also well-known that in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) telemedical transmission of the 12-lead-ECG and consultation of a cardiologist lead to reduced intervals of myocardial reperfusion.[8,9] By using the telemedical procedure in-hospital mortality in patients with STEMI can be reduced.[10] However, widespread use is lacking. Besides acute coronary syndromes, telemedical interventions in the pre-hospital phase and scientific data are rare, so that many projects are not transferred into routine care after cessation of project financing.[11,12] In acute stroke, studies have shown the feasibility of video transmission from the ambulance, but this technique has not been rolled out on a grand scale, while inter-hospital teleconsultation in acute stroke is implemented in more hospital systems and could be considered routine.[13–16] Overall, only a few EMS agencies use telemedical techniques.[12] In Germany, delegation of medications (e.g. opioids) from physicians to ambulance personnel is regulated very strictly compared to other countries like Denmark.[17,18] To enable delegation of medications without physical presence of a physician on-scene,

1
2
3 the responsible physician has to have a complete overview about the patient by German law, which can
4 probably only achieved be telemedical virtual presence.

5
6 Against this background, we conducted two interdisciplinary research projects to develop and evaluate a
7
8 comprehensive mobile teleconsultation system that supports on-scene paramedics from a remote site: this
9
10 is with experienced physicians in all kinds of emergency medical situations. After technical and
11
12 organizational development, and scientific evaluation, this system was implemented stepwise into routine
13
14 care, financed by health insurance. In Germany, the EMS is generally financed by statutory health insurances
15
16 and private health insurances after negotiation of needs and budget. The aim of the study was to evaluate
17
18 the implementation strategy from the initial project idea to routine care, within a unique, physician-staffed
19
20 telemedicine system. To evaluate systemic effects of this new concept in emergency care, the influence of
21
22 implementation on EMS resources should be evaluated.
23
24
25

26 27 **Methods**

28 29 **Implementation strategy**

30
31 The implementation process from the project idea (2006/2007) to routine care (2014-2016) was carefully
32
33 dissected into all relevant steps and milestones. These steps were analysed descriptively with the respective
34
35 rationale to be able to utilise the main results.
36
37
38

39 40 **Organisational setup**

41
42 In the city of Aachen, Germany (255,967 residents; December 2017), the EMS service is an integral part of
43
44 the responsibilities of the fire department. Up to eleven emergency ambulances are run by the fire brigade
45
46 and three EMS agencies. All emergency ambulances are staffed with 2-year trained paramedics. Two
47
48 ground-based EMS physician units are run on a 24/7 basis to assist the ambulances if advanced life-support
49
50 procedures (e.g., rapid sequence induction) are necessary. All physicians are certified EMS physicians with
51
52 at least 3 years of training in anaesthesia and critical care, as well as a certificate in advanced life support
53
54 and pre-hospital trauma life support. If non-availability is due to duplicated events, physician-staffed units
55
56 from adjacent districts or helicopter emergency medical services will be used as backup. All paramedics are
57
58 trained on the telemedicine system, based on trained and published standard operating procedures.
59
60

Study design and evaluation of systemic effects

After two interdisciplinary research projects, transfer of telemedical procedures to routine care was considered possible.[19,20] To evaluate systemic influences of implementation into routine care, we compared EMS data of the 1-year pre-implementation period (April 2013–March 2014) with a similar interval after full implementation (April 2015–March 2016) in a pre-post intervention study: To assess EMS resource utilisation, the number of emergency missions carried out by on-scene EMS physicians was compared to the two periods as the primary outcome. The cumulative number of on-scene and telemedical interventions by physicians was analysed as a secondary outcome. Non-availability of EMS physicians due to overlapping emergency calls was analysed by the number of emergency missions by EMS physician units from adjacent EMS districts, including helicopter EMS. All EMS missions in the city of Aachen were included. With full implementation, dispatch criteria were supported with an electronic list of symptoms and possible diagnoses (n=213 scenarios). In the pre-implementation period, it was at the discretion of the dispatcher to send an EMS physician unit whenever a situation was judged to be potentially life-threatening. Following implementation, 24/7 telemedical support was available, allowing structured adjustments of dispatch criteria by the EMS medical director. These emergency scenarios were not dispatched with an on-scene EMS physician as a general rule: acute stroke with the patient awake, painful conditions with the patient awake, mild dyspnea, hypertensive urgency, and terminated seizure. In the pre-implementation period, these conditions were dispatched with an on-scene EMS physician, although no electronic support was available.

Interaction of tele-EMS-physician and ambulance personnel

The paramedics on-scene - or in special situations the EMS-physician on-scene - decided if telemedical support was necessary based on standard operating procedures and based on personal assessment. After initiation of the call by the personnel on-scene they described the situation and they addressed questions to the tele-EMS physician in the telemedical centre. Automatically, all real-time vital parameters (numerical values and curves), all 12-lead ECGs and all still pictures taken with a smartphone were transmitted to the telemedicine centre from the start of the teleconsultation. After verbal consent of the ambulance personnel and the patient, the tele-EMS physician was able to start a real-time video transmission from a camera embedded into the ceiling of the ambulance. Short and direct communication rules should be used to allow structured and clear messages. Delegated medications had to be communicated clearly with substance name and dosage from the tele-EMS physician to the paramedics and they had to repeat substance name

1
2
3 and dosage. After administration of the medication, they had to confirm it. Termination of the
4 teleconsultation was decided jointly.
5
6
7

8 **Legal framework**

9
10 Delegation of medical procedures and medications to paramedics is regulated strictly in Germany. The
11 responsible physician has to have a complete overview about the medical status of the patient. Therefore,
12 trans-telephonic communication alone – which is routine in other countries like Denmark – is not sufficient
13 if a broad spectrum of delegated medications should be achieved.[17,18] By using a multifunctional
14 telemedical system which allows nearly a virtual presence via a functionalities like real-time video
15 transmission this legal barriers can be overcome.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Characteristics of telemedically-supported emergencies in routine care**

25
26 Data of telemedically-supported emergency missions were analysed descriptively in the post-
27 implementation period: type of emergency mission (emergency mission vs. inter-hospital transfer), given
28 delegated medications and medical severity. In a documented outcome, we reviewed the case to determine
29 if a fatal outcome was a function of a telemedical intervention.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Data sources**

37
38 We analysed the database of electronically documented telemedical interventions (Telemedical
39 Documentation, P3 Telehealthcare, Aachen, Germany) and the database of the regional EMS dispatch centre
40 (COBRA4, ISE, Aachen, Germany). Number of calls and telemedical supports, as well as conducted
41 procedures, could be best evaluated this way. Patient data could not be connected between these systems.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Patient and Public Involvement**

49
50 Patients were not involved in the design or implementation of this project. The public was informed by local
51 media (newspaper, radio, and local television), but had no influence on the project and study design.
52
53
54

55 **Ethics and study registration**

56
57 Data screening and analyses were conducted after approval by the local ethics committee (University
58 Hospital RWTH Aachen, registration number EK 109/15). All cases were pseudonymised to ensure data
59
60

1
2
3 privacy. Systemic data of the EMS dispatch centre contained no personal data, so there was no need for
4 pseudonymisation. Study registration was done retrospectively at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04127565).
5
6
7

8 **Statistical methods**

9
10 Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. Systemic parameters were compared with
11 contingency tables, using the Chi-squared test with Yate's correction. All statistical analyses were performed
12 using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Due to the exploratory nature of the study,
13
14
15
16 p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Results**

23 **Implementation process**

24
25 The process of final implementation can be divided into three main phases: two research projects, followed
26
27 by integration into health insurance-financed routine emergency care, standard for all conventional EMS
28 services in Germany. We ensured that telemedical care was also financed this way. Table 1 outlines this
29 process, including summarised research findings.[19–24] Local and national political stakeholders, health
30 insurance companies, and EMS providers at different levels (paramedics to EMS directors/stakeholders)
31 were integrated from the first interdisciplinary workshop.[19] Iterative development with integration of
32 end-users allowed for design and development, and continuous adaption of the technical system, including
33 the organizational model. In the first research project, a mobile telemedicine system with multiple
34 applications was first developed. General clinical and technical feasibility of prehospital teleconsultation
35 and positive effects on stroke specific information transfer were shown.[14,25] Although the second project
36 did not allow for a randomised controlled trial due to practical, political, and ethical concerns, the results of
37 this prospective observational multicentre trial convinced political stakeholders and health insurances to
38 transfer this concept to routine care in a model region (Aachen, Germany).[20,24,26] With routine care
39 implementation, milestones of interim analyses and workshops were defined between the fire department,
40 the related university (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), and the health insurances. Periodic quality
41 reporting including review of data and screening for major events enabled data and patient safety
42 monitoring, and continuous information by decision-makers and financiers. Clinical data for case review
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 were extracted out of the electronic documentation system, technical performance was monitored using
4 questionnaires about the technical performance based on the user's perspective.[27]
5
6
7

8 **Technical development and capabilities in routine care**

9
10 During the first project, the transmission unit was integrated into a backpack (2009), with a weight of 18 kg
11 (self-development of research partners), while general technical development enabled miniaturisation and
12 integration of a smartphone for system monitoring and photo transmission in the second project. Within 5
13 years, a stepwise professionalisation and miniaturisation saw a total weight of 1.7 kg and made the system
14 viable for routine emergency medical care. Technical performance improved over time to a sufficient
15 standard.[25,27] In routine care, the following technical capabilities evolved with a project related spin-off
16 company (P3 Telehealthcare): two-way audio connection, real-time vital data transmission (numerical
17 values and waveforms), 12-lead-ECG and still-picture transmission on-demand, as well as video streaming
18 from in the ambulance. The connection between ambulances and the teleconsultation centre was
19 accomplished by mobile transmission units (peeq-Box, P3 Telehealthcare) hooked to the monitor-
20 defibrillator unit (C³, GS Stemple Elektromedizinische Geräte, Kaufering, Germany). In the ambulance, the
21 transmission unit was connected to a wireless local network by a conventional in-car computer. Parallel,
22 encrypted audio and data transmission from the emergency site, including en-route, were facilitated. In the
23 teleconsultation centre, a physician responsible for telemedical consults was available. Context-sensitive
24 documentation software provided checklists and algorithms of current international guidelines, and a
25 technical display of all transmitted data (Telemedical Documentation, P3 Telehealthcare).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Systemic effects of telemedical support in routine care**

45 Before the implementation of telemedical real-time support, 25,187 EMS assignments with emergency
46 ambulances were conducted (April 2013–March 2014). Of these, 7,882 (31.3%) were supported by an
47 conventional on-scene EMS physician. After 1,287 telemedical-supported missions during the first-year
48 training and implementation phase (April 2014 to March 2015), the system was fully implemented, enabling
49 24/7 availability. The total number of emergency ambulance missions increased to 26,462 after this (April
50 2015–March 2016). Of these, 2,347 (8.87%) were supported telemedically, while their characteristics are
51 summarised in Table 2. The only NACA VI assignment was a consultation between the
52 on-scene EMS physician and the physician at the telemedical centre for support, during a successful
53 resuscitation of a 13-year-old child with known cardiac disease. In two of the NACA VII missions, the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 paramedics contacted the tele-EMS physician for termination of resuscitation due to latency and patient
4 age, while the EMS-physician unit was en-route. In three other NACA VII cases, the EMS physician on-scene
5 contacted the telemedical centre for organisational issues after the patient was pronounced dead. There
6
7 were no other telemedically-supported missions in which the patient suffered cardiac arrest. Those
8
9 supported by on-scene EMS physicians decreased from 7,882 (31.3%, pre-intervention) to 6,360 (24%,
10
11 post-intervention) for all cases, $p < 0.0001$. The rate of ground-based EMS staffed units from neighbouring
12
13 districts were utilised due to a shortage of resources, dropping from 234/25,187 (0.93%) to 119/26,462
14
15 (0.45%), $p < 0.0001$. A helicopter-based EMS physician was summoned in 198 of the 25,187 (0.79%) cases
16
17 pre-intervention, which decreased to 100 of 26,462 (0.38%) after implementation ($p < 0.0001$). The total
18
19 number of physician-guided pre-hospital interventions increased from 7,882/25,187 (31.3% were only
20
21 conventional on-scene care) to 8,707/26,462 (32.9%, telemedical and conventional on-scene care) in the 1-
22
23 year post-implementation phase ($p < 0.0001$).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Implementation strategy in steps and milestones

Phase	Process steps	Summary	References
Research Project (Med-on-aix) 2007-2010	1. Stakeholder workshops	Discussion and definition of requirements and expectations as well as misgivings; Integration of data privacy experts	[19]
	2. Technical Design and development	Development of specification booklet by medical users; integration of users into all steps of technical development	[19]
	3. Mockup tests	Technical field tests with a precursor system	
	4. Legal opinion by expert	Legal opinion about the specific legal questions of mobile telemedical care and delegation of medical procedures to paramedics	
	5. Simulation study I	Improved guideline adherence in STEMI and major trauma in full scale simulation	[21]
	6. Simulation study II (RCT)	Comparable quality of care between telemedically supported paramedics and on-scene physician teams.	[22]
	7. Development of economic models	Workshop-based with integration of politics, health insurances, technical partners and medical users.	
	8. Clinical feasibility study, prospective observational study	General feasibility was shown; video transmission in stroke and improvement of data transfer into the hospital were demonstrated.	[14,25]
	9. User survey	Interviews and questionnaire-survey of users. Future potential is seen but technical performance and usability were criticised.	[28]
Research project (TemRas) 2010-2013	10. Technical adaption	Iterative development cycles with integration of medical users. Miniaturization of the technical system.	
	11. Technical field testing	Field testing by technicians and by emergency care providers.	[29]
	12. Development and execution of a training concept for providers	Parallel training concept for paramedics and future tele-EMS-physicians.	[23]
	13. Prospective multi-centre trial in 5 EMS districts over one year	Safety, feasibility and evaluation of quality of care in 425 telemedical emergency missions	[20,24,26]
	14. Integration of health insurances and discussion of results and economic potential	Discussion of the scientific results and portability into a routine care setting. Model calculation of costs and savings potential.	
Integration into routine emergency care 2014-2015	15. Agreement with health insurances about seed funding	Seed funding of a first real-life phase, limited depending on interim results.	
	16. Technical adaption	Technical adaption and further miniaturization, integration of state-of-the-art monitor-defibrillator.	
	17. Integration and stepwise implementation into routine care (April 2014 - March 2015)	Start with 3 equipped ambulances and 12.75-hour daytime service; 24-hour coverage after 3 months and stepwise integration of 11 ambulances within one year. Implementation of telemedical contents into the yearly training concept for paramedics. Evaluation of technical performance by end-users and assessment of quality of care. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[27,30-32]
	18. Discussion of interim results with politics, German health secretary and health insurances	Quarterly performance and quality reports. Discussion of interim results with health insurances, stakeholders and politics after 6 months in a workshop.	
	19. Full implementation since April 2015	Provision of 24/7 coverage, all ambulances technically equipped. Quarterly quality reports and real-time supervision of tele-EMS physicians. Scientific evaluation of guideline adherence.	[30]

EMS, emergency medical service; RCT, randomised controlled trial; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Table 2. Characteristics of telemedically-supported missions after full implementation

Characteristics	Number (fraction)
Telemedically supported emergency missions	2,347
- solely telemedically supported, without additional on-scene physician	2,145/2,347 (91.4%)
Telemedically supported cases with delegation of medication	1,541/2,347 (65.66%)
- cases with opioid delegation	497/2,347 (21.18%)
- delegated single medications	4,419 drug administrations in 1,541 missions
M-NACA score of telemedically supported missions: n=2,262/2,347 missions scored (96.4%)	
M-NACA II – no hospital admission necessary	165
M-NACA III – transport to hospital required	1,298
M-NACA IV – possible vital danger	613
M-NACA V – acute vital danger	180
M-NACA VI – successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation	1
M-NACA VII – death at scene	5
Telemedically supported inter-hospital transfers	315

M-NACA, modified National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics severity score. [33]

Discussion

Stepwise implementation with the integration of different end-users, politics, stakeholders, and health insurers allowed for successful transfer from the research project phase to routine care in an urban model region. After implementation, utilisation of conventional on-scene care by EMS-physicians decreased significantly, but with the implementation of a telemedicine system, the dispatch criteria were modified and restructured, with the intention of reducing primary EMS physician unit alarms.

Although comprehensive scientific results were not available for discussion about continuation, continuous involvement of decision-makers and models for economic effects fostered commitment from financiers. Periodic quality reporting and further observational scientific evaluation in routine care enabled stable integration and expansion. While this continuous information strategy and the integration of decision-making barriers to implementation were overcome, a randomised controlled trial (RCT) was judged not to be possible by researchers during the described process. There was the unanimous opinion that an RCT would have created too many barriers within the framework of projects, which could have endangered the concept. Further, in the discussion with the ethics committee, a RCT was viewed critically due to the novelty

1
2
3 of the system. However, these were more political than scientific reasons, but continuation of the project
4 should not be endangered. For end-users, a satisfactory technical performance and usability were identified
5 as key elements for implementation during user interviews and questionnaires.[28] Only in the course of
6
7 the three phases were we were able to meet user requirements.[25,27,29] While integrating new
8
9 telemedical procedures, including expanded skills of paramedics, the users' perspectives differed noticeably
10
11 between paramedics and physicians. In a Scottish project of mobile tele-ultrasound on-board ambulances,
12
13 physicians feared distraction in key roles and assessed this as too difficult for paramedics; in contrast,
14
15 paramedics felt valued, and assessed this new task as their role in pre-hospital care.[34] Although no
16
17 relevant data were available, we reported similar concerns by physicians, although most paramedics felt
18
19 valued with the new tasks. During routine care, positive effects on quality of care, as well as guideline
20
21 adherence, were shown for acute coronary syndromes, pain reduction in trauma and non-trauma
22
23 emergencies, and blood pressure management in hypertensive emergencies.[30–32] However, the process
24
25 from research to implementation lasted one decade (Table 1). This demonstrates that political decision-
26
27 makers are not convinced with scientific results alone but require ideas and models that allow future
28
29 economic potential. General technical and social development for mobile technologies accelerated the
30
31 process in the last few years. The system's operation over the one-year post-implementation period would
32
33 not be called economical, as the physician at the telemedical centre was not fully occupied. System operation
34
35 was possible due to health insurance financing for a pilot region. With further expansion and integration of
36
37 more EMS districts, the operation could be run economically. During all discussions with decision-makers,
38
39 our aim was to expand the system after implementation in one model region. In other countries like
40
41 Denmark, a "telephone-only" consultation with an EMS-physician in charge is typical, but in Germany this
42
43 would not have been permitted; this was due to delegation of measures and medications to the paramedics,
44
45 as based on legal concerns.[17] The transmission of vital-data, ECG, still pictures, and video from the
46
47 ambulance allowed for a more detailed remote assessment compared to telephone consultation alone.
48
49 The frequency of telemedical interventions increased from the integration phase to the routine phase. In
50
51 more than half of the telemedically-supported rescue missions, medications (including opioids) were
52
53 delegated by the tele-EMS-physician to the paramedics on-scene. During routine care with all of its
54
55 unadjusted influences and potential confounders, the process of telemedically-supported paramedic care
56
57 proved its potential to reduce the number of on-scene interventions by EMS physicians. However, this
58
59 cannot be explained by the implementation of the telemedical system alone. The EMS dispatch criteria were
60
restructured and modified with the aim of reducing unnecessary primary alarms of EMS physician units.

1
2
3 However, such dispatch criteria would likely not have been acceptable by personnel and patients, without
4 availability of the telemedical system. Administration of opioids by paramedics is not allowed in Germany
5 without its (telemedical) delegation by a physician. A reduced primary alarm ratio in painful conditions
6 would have been unethical without the telemedical concept. Another factor that might have led to reduced
7 EMS physician alarms was training effects of ambulance personnel. With improved performance in I.V.-
8 lines, analgesia, and sedation over time, the paramedics could perform advanced care with telemedical
9 support alone. However, these confounders probably influenced the number of EMS physician interventions
10 and was not the result of the telemedical implementation system alone. Implementation with restructured
11 dispatch criteria should ideally be called “multi-interventions.” In similar situations, the effect cannot be
12 attributed to a single intervention.[35] However, we also cannot exclude other confounders, given that no
13 other structural changes were conducted in the EMS system (e.g., number of ambulances or EMS physician
14 units) besides modified dispatch criteria. The reduction of approximately 2,500 on-scene maneuvers in one
15 year led to the significantly increased availability of ground-based physician intervention units, shown by
16 the reduced need for neighbouring units and helicopter-based EMS. However, a significant increase in
17 overall physician interventions was found by adding on-scene and telemedical interventions in the post-
18 implementation period. Although standard operating procedures existed for most common emergencies, a
19 lower threshold for telemedical support, in contrast to summoning a physician-staffed EMS unit, has been
20 our interpretation for this increase. A lower threshold of telemedical procedures may improve the quality
21 of care but carries a risk of undermining the possible cost savings.

22
23
24 It must be acknowledged that during low acuity telemedical interventions, a parallel incoming call with high
25 acuity can be answered, in contrast to similar on-scene interventions at different sites. Overall duration, and
26 net time consumption of the physician, is significantly shorter with the physician in the telemedicine centre,
27 compared to conventional on-scene care by EMS physicians.[30–32] Increased availability of limited
28 resources of on-scene physicians is key to reducing response intervals, which can be lifesaving in life-
29 threatening emergencies, such as major trauma or cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

30 31 32 **Limitations**

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

New technologies in certain work processes can lead to different behaviours of end-users. This study cannot determine if the use of the telemedical concepts was widespread for all EMS personnel or limited to some subgroups. No personal data about EMS personnel could be evaluated while following the ethics

1
2
3 committee's statement. In a project about clinical decision support systems for paramedics, inequalities
4 towards the technology were found.[36] Furthermore, our study was not designed to evaluate any medical
5 outcomes or general safety of telemedical support, both of which are major limitations of this study. Thus,
6
7 it is not clear if outcomes are changed by implementing a telemedicine system with modified primary
8
9 dispatch criteria. No patient who received telemedical support suffered cardiac arrest during support or
10
11 transport to the hospital. In addition, other confounders influencing the number of missions cannot be
12
13 excluded with certainty. Detailed economic calculations were not possible, as the telemedicine system was
14
15 financed for the city of Aachen during the study period, as a pilot region. Health insurances and project
16
17 participants arranged future integration of more EMS districts, so that the function of a tele-EMS physician
18
19 could be utilized more efficiently and economically. Only then, can a pre-post analysis of costs between
20
21 regular and 'regular-plus' telemedically-supported EMS produce meaningful findings.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Conclusions**

28
29 Transfer from research projects to health insurance-financed routine care was successful, using an
30
31 implementation strategy accounting for political and economic aspects. Telemedical support for paramedics
32
33 is an effective new element for pre-hospital emergency care, due to shifting missions from classic on-scene
34
35 physician to telemedically-supported missions. Subsequently, the availability of physician-staffed EMS units
36
37 increased significantly. This could lead to shorter response times in life-threatening situations/missions. In
38
39 the future, remote telemedical support holds strong economic potential due to spatial independence and
40
41 shorter workload time for the responsible physician. Yet, unrecognized confounders, with modified
42
43 dispatch criteria and possible learning curves, could influence the reduced number of on-scene EMS
44
45 physician missions. With more implementation to routine care, we achieved a prerequisite for future
46
47 randomised controlled trials, comparing on-scene vs. telemedical care in a model region.[37] Along with a
48
49 randomised controlled trial, could this question be addressed - regarding how telemedical support affects
50
51 patient outcomes and whether telemedical support is generally safe.
52
53
54

55 **Contributors** SB, JCB, SKB, MC, MF, and RR made substantial contributions to the conception and design of
56
57 the current study. All authors were mainly involved in project, study design, data analysis, and publication
58
59 of results of the mentioned research projects Med-on-aix and TemRas. SB, MC, and SKB performed data
60
61 acquisition. The literature search was carried out by SB, JCB, SKB, MF, and RR. Data analysis and

1
2
3 interpretation were conducted by all authors. Statistical tests were carried out by SB, MF, and MC. All
4 authors made substantial contributions to the manuscript, while SB and RR drafted the first complete
5 version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
6
7
8
9

10 **Funding** There was no funding for this study, so the authors received no financial support for their research,
11 authorship, or publication of this article. The first mentioned research project ("Med-on-aix") was funded
12 by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi), [Project-No.: 01MB07022]. The
13 second project ("TemRas") was funded by the Ministry of Innovation, Science and Research of North Rhine
14 Westphalia, Germany (MIWF) [Project-No.: Ptj-Az. 0909im002b, Funding code 005-1003-0034].
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 **Competing interests** The authors report no specific funding in relation to this research. JCB, MC, and RR
23 are shareholders in Docs in Clouds GmbH (Aachen, Germany), a telemedical and consulting service. All
24 other authors declare no conflicts of interest.
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Ethics approval** The study was approved by the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen University,
31 Germany, registration number EK 109/15.
32
33
34
35

36 **Patient consent** Not required by the ethics committee.
37
38
39

40 **Data sharing statement** No additional data is available. The datasets used and/or analysed during the
41 current study are available from the corresponding author after a reasonable request.
42
43
44
45

46 **ORCID ID** Sebastian Bergrath 0000-0001-5297-7655.
47
48
49

50 References

- 51
52 1 Luiz T, van Lengen RH, Wickenkamp A, *et al.* [Operational availability of ground-based emergency
53 medical services in Rheinland-Palatinate: state-wide web-based system for collation, display and analysis].
54 *Anaesthetist* 2011;**60**:421–6. doi:10.1007/s00101-010-1826-3
55 2 Bader K, Bernhard M, Gries A, *et al.* [Development of ground-based physician-staffed emergency
56 missions in the city of Leipzig from 2003 to 2013]. *Anaesthetist* 2018;**67**:177–87. doi:10.1007/s00101-
57 017-0393-2
58 3 Kraef C, van der Meirschen M, Free C. Digital telemedicine interventions for patients with
59 multimorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;**10**:e036904.
60 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036904
61 4 Antonenko K, Paciaroni M, Sokolova L, *et al.* Digital health in stroke medicine: what are the
62 opportunities for stroke patients? *Curr Opin Neurol* Published Online First: 4 December 2020.

doi:10.1097/WCO.0000000000000891

5 Müller-Barna P, Hubert ND, Bergner C, *et al.* TeleVertigo: Diagnosing Stroke in Acute Dizziness: A
Telemedicine-Supported Approach. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:3293–8. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.026505

6 Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. State of Telehealth. *N Engl J Med* 2016;**375**:154–61.

doi:10.1056/NEJMra1601705

7 Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, *et al.* Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide:
A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2018;**24**:4–12. doi:10.1177/1357633X16674087

8 Sanchez-Ross M, Oghlakan G, Maher J, *et al.* The STAT-MI (ST-Segment Analysis Using Wireless
Technology in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial improves outcomes. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;**4**:222–
7. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2010.11.007

9 Rasmussen MB, Frost L, Stengaard C, *et al.* Diagnostic performance and system delay using
telemedicine for prehospital diagnosis in triaging and treatment of STEMI. *Heart Br Card Soc*
2014;**100**:711–5. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304576

10 Papai G, Csato G, Racz I, *et al.* The transtelephonic electrocardiogram-based triage is an
independent predictor of decreased hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial
infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Telemed Telecare*
2018;:1357633X18814335. doi:10.1177/1357633X18814335

11 Yperzeele L, Van Hooff R-J, De Smedt A, *et al.* Feasibility of AmbulanCe-Based Telemedicine
(FACT) study: safety, feasibility and reliability of third generation in-ambulance telemedicine. *PLoS One*
2014;**9**:e110043. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110043

12 Winburn AS, Brixey JJ, Langabeer J, *et al.* A systematic review of prehospital telehealth utilization.
J Telemed Telecare 2018;**24**:473–81. doi:10.1177/1357633X17713140

13 Ebinger M, Winter B, Wendt M, *et al.* Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to
thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;**311**:1622–31.
doi:10.1001/jama.2014.2850

14 Bergrath S, Reich A, Rossaint R, *et al.* Feasibility of prehospital teleconsultation in acute stroke--a
pilot study in clinical routine. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e36796. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036796

15 Bowry R, Parker S, Rajan SS, *et al.* Benefits of Stroke Treatment Using a Mobile Stroke Unit
Compared With Standard Management: The BEST-MSU Study Run-In Phase. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* Published
Online First: 27 October 2015. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011093

16 LaMonte MP, Xiao Y, Hu PF, *et al.* Shortening time to stroke treatment using ambulance
telemedicine: TeleBAT. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc* 2004;**13**:148–54.
doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2004.03.004

17 Lindskou TA, Mikkelsen S, Christensen EF, *et al.* The Danish prehospital emergency healthcare
system and research possibilities. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2019;**27**:100. doi:10.1186/s13049-
019-0676-5

18 Mikkelsen S, Lassen AT. The Danish prehospital system. *Eur J Emerg Med Off J Eur Soc Emerg Med*
2020;**27**:394–5. doi:10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000774

19 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* [E-health in emergency medicine - the research project
Med-on-@ix]. *Anaesthetist* 2009;**58**:285–92. doi:10.1007/s00101-008-1502-z

20 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Bergrath S, *et al.* [Potential and effectiveness of a telemedical rescue
assistance system : Prospective observational study on implementation in emergency medicine].
Anaesthetist 2015;**64**:438–45. doi:10.1007/s00101-015-0039-1

21 Skorning M, Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, *et al.* Teleconsultation in pre-hospital emergency medical
services: real-time telemedical support in a prospective controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation*
2012;**83**:626–32. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.10.029

22 Rörtgen D, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al.* Comparison of physician staffed emergency teams with
paramedic teams assisted by telemedicine--a randomized, controlled simulation study. *Resuscitation*
2013;**84**:85–92. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.06.012

23 Bergrath S, Czaplík M, Rossaint R, *et al.* Implementation phase of a multicentre prehospital
telemedicine system to support paramedics: feasibility and possible limitations. *Scand J Trauma Resusc
Emerg Med* 2013;**21**:54. doi:10.1186/1757-7241-21-54

24 Brokmann JC, Conrad C, Rossaint R, *et al.* Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome by
Telemedically Supported Paramedics Compared With Physician-Based Treatment: A Prospective,
Interventional, Multicenter Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2016;**18**:e314. doi:10.2196/jmir.6358

25 Bergrath S, Rörtgen D, Rossaint R, *et al.* Technical and organisational feasibility of a
multifunctional telemedicine system in an emergency medical service - an observational study. *J Telemed
Telecare* 2011;**17**:371–7. doi:10.1258/jtt.2011.110203

26 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Hirsch F, *et al.* Analgesia by telemedically supported paramedics
compared with physician-administered analgesia: A prospective, interventional, multicentre trial. *Eur J
Pain Lond Engl* 2016;**20**:1176–84. doi:10.1002/ejp.843

- 1
2
3 27 Felzen M, Brokmann JC, Beckers SK, *et al*. Improved technical performance of a multifunctional
4 prehospital telemedicine system between the research phase and the routine use phase - an observational
5 study. *J Telemed Telecare* 2017;**23**:402–9. doi:10.1177/1357633X16644115
- 6 28 Schneiders M-T, Schilberg D, Isenhardt I, *et al*. Telenotarzt auf dem Prüfstand. Evaluation des
7 Projektes Med-on-aix aus Sicht der Rettungsassistenten. *Notfall Rettungsmed* 2012;**15**:410–5.
8 doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10049-011-1535-8
- 9 29 Czaplik M, Bergrath S, Rossaint R, *et al*. Employment of telemedicine in emergency medicine.
10 Clinical requirement analysis, system development and first test results. *Methods Inf Med* 2014;**53**:99–
11 107. doi:10.3414/ME13-01-0022
- 12 30 Bergrath S, Müller M, Rossaint R, *et al*. Guideline adherence in acute coronary syndromes between
13 telemedically supported paramedics and conventional on-scene physician care: A longitudinal pre-post
14 intervention cohort study. *Health Informatics J* 2019;**25**:1528–37. doi:10.1177/1460458218775157
- 15 31 Lenssen N, Krockauer A, Beckers SK, *et al*. Quality of analgesia in physician-operated telemedical
16 prehospital emergency care is comparable to physician-based prehospital care - a retrospective
17 longitudinal study. *Sci Rep* 2017;**7**:1536. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01437-5
- 18 32 Brokmann JC, Rossaint R, Müller M, *et al*. Blood pressure management and guideline adherence in
19 hypertensive emergencies and urgencies: A comparison between telemedically supported and
20 conventional out-of-hospital care. *J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn* 2017;**19**:704–12.
21 doi:10.1111/jch.13026
- 22 33 Dami F, Darioli V, Pasquier M. Association between the NACA score and clinical outcomes. *Am J*
23 *Emerg Med* 2020;**38**:1692–3. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.01.021
- 24 34 Marsh-Feiley G, Eadie L, Wilson P. Paramedic and physician perspectives on the potential use of
25 remotely supported prehospital ultrasound. *Rural Remote Health* 2018;**18**:4574. doi:10.22605/RRH4574
- 26 35 Floyd T, Mårtensson S, Bailey J, *et al*. The MOWER (middle of the week everyone gets a re-chart)
27 pilot study: reducing in-hospital charting error with a multi-intervention. *BMC Health Serv Res*
28 2019;**19**:397. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4230-y
- 29 36 Porter A, Dale J, Foster T, *et al*. Implementation and use of computerised clinical decision support
30 (CCDS) in emergency pre-hospital care: a qualitative study of paramedic views and experience using
31 Strong Structuration Theory. *Implement Sci IS* 2018;**13**:91. doi:10.1186/s13012-018-0786-x
- 32 37 Stevanovic A, Beckers SK, Czaplik M, *et al*. Telemedical support for prehospital Emergency Medical
33 Service (TEMS trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2017;**18**:43.
34 doi:10.1186/s13063-017-1781-2
- 35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	

Continued on next page

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	6-9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8-9
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	8
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	8
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8-9
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9-11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	12

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.



Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies:

Bergrath et al. Implementation analysis and systemic effects on emergency resources by routine application of a full-scale prehospital telemedicine system

The primary focus of implementation science is the implementation strategy (column 1) and the expectation is that this will always be completed. The evidence about the impact of the intervention on the targeted population should always be considered (column 2) and either health outcomes reported or robust evidence cited to support a known beneficial effect of the intervention on the health of individuals or populations.

The StaRI standards refers to the broad range of study designs employed in implementation science. Authors should refer to other reporting standards for advice on reporting specific methodological features. Conversely, whilst all items are worthy of consideration, not all items will be applicable to, or feasible within every study.

Checklist item	Reported on page #	Implementation Strategy	Reported on page #	Intervention
		“Implementation strategy” refers to how the intervention was implemented		“Intervention” refers to the healthcare or public health intervention that is being implemented.
Title and abstract				
Title	1	Identification as an implementation study, and description of the methodology in the title and/or keywords		
Abstract	2	Identification as an implementation study, including a description of the implementation strategy to be tested, the evidence-based intervention being implemented, and defining the key implementation and health outcomes.		
Introduction				
Introduction	3	Description of the problem, challenge or deficiency in healthcare or public health that the intervention being implemented aims to address.		
Rationale	4	The scientific background and rationale for the implementation strategy (including any underpinning theory/framework/model, how it is expected to achieve its effects and any pilot work).	4	The scientific background and rationale for the intervention being implemented (including evidence about its effectiveness and how it is expected to achieve its effects).

Downloaded from <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/> on April 23, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Aims and objectives	5	4	The aims of the study, differentiating between implementation objectives and any intervention objectives.		
Methods: description					
Design	6	4-5	The design and key features of the evaluation, (cross referencing to any appropriate methodology reporting standards) and any changes to study protocol, with reasons		
Context	7	4	The context in which the intervention was implemented. (Consider social, economic, policy, healthcare, organisational barriers and facilitators that might influence implementation elsewhere).		
Targeted 'sites'	8	4	The characteristics of the targeted 'site(s)' (e.g. locations/personnel/resources etc.) for implementation and any eligibility criteria.	4-5	The population targeted by the intervention and any eligibility criteria.
Description	9	4-5	A description of the implementation strategy	4-5	A description of the intervention
Sub-groups	10	N/A	Any sub-groups recruited for additional research tasks, and/or nested studies are described		
Methods: evaluation					
Outcomes	11	4-5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy, and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets	5	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the intervention (if assessed), and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets
Process evaluation	12	4	Process evaluation objectives and outcomes related to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	13	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sample size	14	5	Rationale for sample sizes (including sample size calculations, budgetary constraints, practical considerations, data saturation, as appropriate)		
Analysis	15	6	Methods of analysis (with reasons for that choice)		
Sub-group analyses	16	N/A	Any a priori sub-group analyses (e.g. between different sites in a multicentre study, different clinical or demographic populations), and sub-groups recruited to specific nested research tasks		

Results					
Characteristics	17	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics of the recipient population for the implementation strategy	6-9	Proportion recruited and characteristics (if appropriate) of the recipient population for the intervention
Outcomes	18	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy	6-8	Primary and other outcome(s) of the Intervention (if assessed)
Process outcomes	19	6-7	Process data related to the implementation strategy mapped to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work		
Economic evaluation	20	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	N/A	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention
Sub-group analyses	21	N/A	Representativeness and outcomes of subgroups including those recruited to specific research tasks		
Fidelity/adaptation	22	6-8	Fidelity to implementation strategy as planned and adaptation to suit context and preferences	6-8	Fidelity to delivering the core components of intervention (where measured)
Contextual changes	23	N/A	Contextual changes (if any) which may have affected outcomes		
Harms	24	N/A	All important harms or unintended effects in each group		
Discussion					
Structured discussion	25	9-11	Summary of findings, strengths and limitations, comparisons with other studies, conclusions and implications		
Implications	26	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the implementation strategy (specifically including scalability)	9-11	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the intervention (specifically including sustainability)
General					
Statements	27	12	Include statement(s) on regulatory approvals (including, as appropriate, ethical approval, confidential use of routine data, governance approval), trial/study registration (availability of protocol), funding and conflicts of interest		