

# BMJ Open Effect of complete adherence to antenatal care guideline during first visit on maternal and neonatal complications during the intrapartum and postpartum periods: a prospective cohort study in Northwest Ethiopia

Tewodros Seyoum <sup>1</sup>, Mekuriaw Alemayehu,<sup>2</sup> Kyllike Christensson,<sup>3</sup> Helena Lindgren<sup>3</sup>

**To cite:** Seyoum T, Alemayehu M, Christensson K, *et al*. Effect of complete adherence to antenatal care guideline during first visit on maternal and neonatal complications during the intrapartum and postpartum periods: a prospective cohort study in Northwest Ethiopia. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e049271. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049271

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049271>).

Received 20 January 2021  
Accepted 23 November 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to**  
Tewodros Seyoum;  
tedysha@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To examine the relationship between complete of providers' adherence to antenatal care (ANC) guideline during first visit and maternal and neonatal complications during intrapartum and postpartum periods.

**Design** Prospective cohort study.

**Setting** Gondar town public health facilities in Northwest, Ethiopia.

**Participants** A total of 832 pregnant women with gestational age <28 weeks who came for first ANC visit were enrolled and followed up to the first 6 hours of the postpartum periods.

**Exposure** Providers' adherence to ANC guideline during first visit was the exposure variable. An 18-point checklist was used to record the level of providers' adherence. Clients who received care from providers who adhered completely to the guideline constituted the 'exposed group', and those who did not receive such care constituted the 'unexposed group'.

**Main outcomes** Maternal and neonatal complications occurred during the intrapartum and postpartum periods.

**Data analysis** Negative binomial regression model was used to analyse the data. The adjusted incidence risk ratio (AIRR) with 95% CI was reported in the final model.

**Results** A total of 782 pregnant women were followed up and included in the final analysis (254 in the exposed group and 528 non-exposed). Complete adherence to the guidelines during first visit reduced the risk of neonatal complications (AIRR 0.56; 95% CI 0.39 to 0.79). However, complete adherence to the guidelines was not found to have a statistically significant effect on maternal complications (AIRR 0.84; 95% CI 0.67 to 1.05) during the intrapartum and the postpartum periods.

**Conclusions** The group that received care from providers who completely adhered to the ANC guidelines during the first antenatal visit showed significantly improved neonatal outcomes. However, it did not show a significant improvement in maternal outcomes. Hence, focusing on safe motherhood programmes like training that gears provider's conformity to ANC guideline is quite crucial to improve neonatal outcomes.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- The prospective nature of the study is the main strength.
- The proportion of drop-out rate or lost to follow-up is under the acceptable range.
- The outcomes of women who gave birth at home were collected through the home visit.
- Hawthorne effect.
- The majority of the participants had booked their first visit during the second trimester.

## INTRODUCTION

Antenatal care (ANC) is an umbrella term used to describe the care that pregnant women receive from accredited healthcare providers. ANC aims to ensure the safety of pregnant women and fetuses through a range of medical, educational, nutritional and health system interventions.<sup>1</sup> Thus, ANC is a well-proven internationally accepted strategy used in a number of countries to improve maternal and neonate outcomes.<sup>2</sup>

Effective and timely implementation of ANC alone has been projected to minimise maternal and neonatal mortality by 10%–20%, given satisfactory quality of the care provided and given regular attendance.<sup>3–5</sup> One study found that utilisation of ANC at least once during pregnancy reduced the risk of neonatal mortality by 39% in sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries.<sup>6</sup> Although the proportion of women who attend focused ANC for at least four visits generally tend to be satisfactory globally including SSA,<sup>7</sup> maternal and neonatal mortality in the region remains high.<sup>8</sup>



Not all studies of the effectiveness of ANC come to the same conclusions. One might assume that the better the ANC, the lower the risk of pregnancy outcomes. However, there are studies reporting paradoxical findings on perinatal outcomes the effectiveness of ANC in relation to the number of visits. In 2010, one Cochrane review and Zimbabwe's largest trial reported that there were an inverse association between the number of ANC visits and the risk of stillbirth<sup>9</sup> and preterm birth,<sup>10</sup> respectively. Conversely, other Cochrane reviews have reported that reduced ANC contact numbers did not have a substantial effect on the risk reduction of maternal and neonatal complications.<sup>11–12</sup> The heterogeneity of these results suggested that it is not only the number of ANC visits that matters but also the quality and content of care given during each visit and especially in the first visit if made in the first trimester. Moreover, none of these studies recognise the gross effect of ANC interventions on the likelihood of maternal complications arising separately during the antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum periods.

WHO has recently recommended that, to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes, women should have at least eight ANC contacts.<sup>1</sup> However, in many countries worldwide, including Ethiopia, the four visits focused ANC continues to be followed as the standard practice.<sup>13</sup>

All contents in each ANC visit might contribute in reducing maternal and neonatal complications. However, unlike other visits, the first ANC visit is unique in its intent and requires special attention because of the following reasons:

Unlike other visits, the first ANC visit is unique in its intent. A timely first ANC visit creates an opportunity for a woman to connect with formal health services and provides the possibility that early screening of pregnant women can identify those who will require specialised care.<sup>14</sup> In addition, The first visit also helps the provider identify those pregnant women who are very likely to experience unfavourable obstetrical outcomes like haemorrhage.<sup>15</sup> The first visit is the proper time to provide preventive interventions, for example providing iron tablets and explaining why and also providing counselling if there are any danger signs.<sup>16</sup> In spite of these benefits, the contribution of first ANC contact in improving perinatal outcomes is blurred and requires further evaluation.

On mini Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey 2019 report, 74% of pregnant women had attended at least one ANC visit. However, only 43% of women had at least four ANC visits during their last pregnancy.<sup>17</sup> This discontinuity of care has created a doubt on the quality of ANC provided. This doubt leads to the question: Can health-care providers delivered appropriate quality care, or is it because women were unable to attend the facilities? Therefore, research on how ANC is provided as per local guideline and how much it is effective to reduce maternal and neonatal outcomes is needed.

The Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia has implemented focused ANC guideline for the last two decades.<sup>18</sup> However, the level of provider's adherence to national

ANC guidelines is low.<sup>19–20</sup> Poor providers competency, lack of in-service training and unavailability of ANC guidelines were the main reasons for providers not following the ANC guideline.<sup>21</sup>

Studies in Ethiopia have assessed on the effectiveness of ANC in reducing maternal and neonatal complications.<sup>22–24</sup> However, these measures often focus on service contacts as opposed to the interventions delivered during those contacts. In addition, Ethiopia has taken the coverage of ANC visit at least once as an indicator of maternal health.<sup>14</sup> In order to attain effectiveness of ANC interventions, insuring quality of ANC during the first visit is very crucial. However, there is a scarcity of evidence in Ethiopia on the extent to which providers' adherence to ANC guideline during the first visit guideline with direct observations, and its relationship with maternal and neonatal complications.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the effect of complete provider adherence to ANC guidelines during the first visit on the risk of maternal and neonatal complications during the intrapartum and postpartum periods in Gondar town public health facilities.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

### Study design, setting and period

A prospective cohort study was conducted in Gondar town public health facilities from 12 May 2019 to 30 January 2020. Gondar town is located in Northwest Ethiopia. The total population size of the town is estimated to be 306246. Of these, 156276 were females in reproductive age.<sup>25</sup> In Gondar town, there is 1 comprehensive specialised hospital, 8 public health centres and 15 private clinics. An average of 100–150 clients visit the University of Gondar specialty hospital everyday for ANC service, and 20–30 ANC users visit each health centre every day. Such health facilities are staffed by midwives, obstetricians, nurses and general physician. In each health facility, at least five midwives have been permanently employed. However, these midwives are expected to cover all maternal services.

### Population and recruitment of study participants

All pregnant women who came for ANC service during the first visit to one of the selected public health facilities during the data collection period were eligible for inclusion in the study and were then followed from the first ANC visit through the first 6 hours of the postpartum period. According to the Ethiopian delivery protocol, women have to be discharged 6 hours following birth unless there is a serious complication.<sup>18</sup> Pregnant women recruited and followed were to be 18 years or older, have reached gestational age of 28 weeks and below, were permanent residents in the study area and were willing to take the routine ANC service throughout pregnancy. Clients who received complete providers' adherence to ANC guideline during the first visit were designated as belonging to the exposed group and incomplete providers' adherence

to ANC guideline during the first visit were designated as belonging to the unexposed group.

### Sample size and sampling procedure

The sample size was calculated using Epi Info V.7 software<sup>26</sup> taking into account the following statistical assumptions: confidence level of 95% (two sided), power of 80 %, exposed to the non-exposed ratio of 1:2, the overall incidence (non-exposed group) for any pregnancy, delivery or postpartum complication was 68.6% taken from the study conducted in Ghana.<sup>27</sup> We believed that the status of health coverage and setting in Ghana and Ethiopia are almost the same. Quality of ANC alone has been reported to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality by 10%–20%.<sup>4,5</sup> Therefore, we assumed that the incidence of maternal and neonatal complications would be decreased by 15.4% if Ethiopian providers completely adhere to the ANC guidelines during the first visit, and would result in the overall incidence of complications to be 53.2% among the exposed group (complete adherence). This study also considered the design effect of 2 and lost follow-up and non-response rate of 10%. By considering all those assumptions, the minimum sample size required for the study was found to be 832 participants, of which 277 were exposed (complete adherence) and 555 unexposed women (incomplete adherence).

To conduct this study, a multistage sampling technique was employed. In the first step, four health facilities (one university hospital and three health centres) were selected out of nine public health facilities by a simple random sampling technique. The details of the sampling procedure have been described elsewhere.<sup>20</sup>

### Data collection processes and tools

Pregnant women who met the inclusion requirements and who gave informed consent for participation were enrolled in the study. After enrolment, an 18-item structured observation checklist developed on the basis of the ANC protocol was used to record how the ANC services were given and what information was provided during the first ANC visit.<sup>18</sup> A pretested structured questionnaire was used to guide the collection of the baseline socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics of study participants by face-to-face interview.

The data collectors were midwives who were trained about the data collection tool and how to collect data with direct observation. Both the data collectors and supervisors were not employed in the health facilities under the study. Pregnant women who met the inclusion requirements and who gave informed consent for participation were enrolled in the study. After enrolment, a direct observation and recording was done by data collectors (midwives) to assess how the ANC services/contents were given as well as the information provided using the observation protocol. So, direct observation and filling the checklist was done while the providers were doing the first ANC service as per national guideline.

Once the women had finished their first ANC visit, they were categorised as belonging to either the exposed group or the un-exposed group and then followed prospectively through the first 6 hours of the postpartum period. On the subsequent ANC visits, another structured 9-point checklist extracted from the ANC guideline was used to record how the care provided particularly counselling on iron and folic acid supplementation, tetanus immunisation, how to recognise danger signs, what to do, and where to get help, HIV testing and counselling, the benefit of skilled attendance at birth, nutrition, deworming, birth preparedness' and complication readiness plan, and risks of using tobacco and alcohol during pregnancy. At the same time, medical records and ANC charts of subsequent antenatal clinic visits were also reviewed and documented by following the checklist to be sure that any antenatal complications had been checked for and identified. Finally, maternal outcomes (ante-partum haemorrhage (APH), pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), postpartum haemorrhage (PPH), obstructed labour, caesarean section and tear) and neonatal outcomes (stillbirth, preterm birth, Apgar score and low birth weight) during intrapartum and postpartum period were collected from both the maternal health record book and delivery register and documented in the checklist prepared for this purpose.

The participants' addresses and telephone numbers were linked to their study identification numbers. Those clients who didn't avail themselves of the scheduled ANC visit were contacted through a telephone call. All participants received a phone call at the time of their expected delivery to determine if they had given birth or not. Once they assured us that they had experienced delivery, the data collectors went to the facility where the women had given birth and extracted delivery data from both the maternal health record or chart and the delivery register. Women who delivered at home and were reachable were called and a home visit was done to collect information about delivery outcomes. Those who could not be reached by any of these means were treated as lost to follow-up.

Training was given for data collectors and supervisors for 3 days on how to use the data collection instrument and how to approach the pregnant women and record the nature of the service they had received. On-site supervision was conducted during data collection.

### Study variables

#### Outcome variable

The outcome variables were maternal and neonatal complications during the intrapartum and postpartum periods. A combined maternal complication was defined as occurrence complications such as PIH (defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mm Hg after 20 weeks of pregnancy with or without proteinuria or with tonic-clonic convulsion), caesarean delivery, APH (defined as bleeding from or in to the genital tract, occurring during third trimesters of pregnancy and prior to the birth of the baby), PPH





(was defined as any amount of bleeding from or into the genital tract following birth of the baby up to 6 hours in the postpartum period, which adversely affected the general condition of the patient as evidenced by rise in pulse rate and/or falling blood pressure), genital tear (defined as injuries following childbirth process involving vulva, perineum, vagina and/or cervix) and / or obstructed labour (defined as arrest of descent in spite of good uterine contractions due to mechanical obstruction).<sup>28</sup>

Combined neonatal complications were also defined as the occurrence of adverse neonatal outcomes such as stillbirth, preterm birth (<37 weeks),<sup>29</sup> poor Apgar score (fifth minute Apgar score <7)<sup>30</sup> and/or low birth weight (<2500 g).<sup>31</sup> Both the women and the newborns could have more than one complication.

### Primary exposure variable

The primary exposure variable for this study was the level of the providers' adherence to the ANC guideline during the first visit. Adherence was defined as the providers' degree of conformity to following the standard ANC guidelines as per the national protocols<sup>32</sup> by an observer using the 18-point checklist. The observed variables to be attended to as indicated by the checklist include age asked, gestational age calculated, parity asked, past obstetric history asked, previous abdominal-pelvic surgery asked or checked, medical general history asked, current pregnancy history asked, weight measured, blood pressure checked, the abdominal examination done, haemoglobin test done, urine test done, VDRL done, blood group and Rh, HIV test done, tetanus injection given, iron and folic tablets prescribed and counselling done. Each variable adhered to, scored a point of 1 while non-adherence scored 0. Complete provider adherence was defined as clients who received all the 18 items adhered to by the provider as listed in care guidelines for the first ANC visit (total score=18). The observation checklist, scoring criteria and the entire method for the baseline of the cohort study have been described elsewhere.<sup>20</sup>

### Covariates

Several explanatory variables were included as covariates. These include a range of maternal socio-demographic variables such as maternal age, residence, religion, marital status, educational level and employment status. Obstetric characteristics such as time of booking (early booking was defined as the initiation of first ANC <16 weeks of gestation),<sup>18</sup> gravidity, parity, history of obstetric complications, birth-to-pregnancy interval, the intention of the current pregnancy, history of female genital mutilation, current ANC complications, number of ANC visit attended, place of current delivery, mode of delivery of the current pregnancy and counselling received during pregnancy.

Current antepartum complication was defined as the presence of at least one of the complications occurs during antepartum period (anaemia, PIH, APH, urinary

tract infection, gestational diabetic mellitus and premature rupture of membrane).

Counselling service during pregnancy was measured by nine composite variables. Each counselling service occurrence was recorded starting from the second visit up to the last visit. To say the woman was counselled for one variable, the counselling had to be given in all visits. When one component of counselling service was given at all visits, it was coded as 1 otherwise 0. Finally, composite counselling service was defined as pregnant women who got at least one or more variable out of the nine counselling variables.<sup>19</sup>

### Data analysis


Data were entered using Epi Info V.7 and analysed using Stata V.14 software. Descriptive analysis of participants' sociodemographic and obstetric information was conducted by the use of frequencies and  $\chi^2$  analysis. Incidences of maternal and neonatal complications during intrapartum and postpartum period were estimated in percentages. Possible associations of adherence with each adverse outcome were assessed using Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test. Since the maternal and neonatal complications are outcome variables of the study and the numbers of complications are considered as count data, Poisson regression was used as a standard model for analysis. However, the observation should be independent over time and the mean and the variance should be equal. In this study, the variance was greater than the mean; this indicates the presence of overdispersion. Hence, the negative binomial model was used to estimate the effect of complete adherence on the risk of maternal, and neonatal complications were estimated and expressed as incidence risk ratio (IRR) with their 95% CIs. Separate models were done for maternal and neonatal outcomes. To control confounders, the models were adjusted with selected maternal socio-demographic and obstetric variables ( $p \leq 0.25$  in bivariable analysis) with outcome variables. The adjusted IRR (AIRR) for the associations between complete provider adherence and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes were determined after controlling for maternal sociodemographic and obstetric variables characteristics. A  $p < 0.05$  was used to declare the statistical significance of independent variables. The likelihood ratio test of the parameter alpha ( $\alpha$ ) was found to be  $p < 0.001$  for both models.

### Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not invited to comment on the study design or conduct of the study. However, they will be informed of the study results through publications.

### RESULT

A total of 832 women were recruited at baseline with the number of exposed and unexposed women who were 277 and 555, respectively, prospectively followed from the first ANC visit to the first 6 hours of the postpartum



**Figure 1** Flow diagram for participants' in the study. A description of participants' recruitment, follow-up and analysis in the study in Gondar town public health facilities, 2020.

period. Seven hundred and eighty-two (93.99%) women were completed the follow-up and considered in the final analysis. Twenty-three (8.3%) study participants from the exposed group and 25 (4.5%) participants from the unexposed group were lost in the follow-up. Self-referral to other health facilities and permanent change in workplace were the reasons (figure 1).

### Participants' baseline characteristics

The mean age of study participants was 25.9 years. About 651 (83.3%) were in the age group of 20–35 years. Six (2.1%) clients came from the rural area. A higher proportion of women (38.5%) who received complete adherence had no formal education (table 1). Regarding their obstetric characteristics, 551 (70.5%) booked their first ANC at 16 and above weeks of gestation. Three hundred and eight (39.4%) were primigravida. Three-fourths of the women (73.4%) attended an antenatal clinic more than four times during the pregnancy. Forty-seven (6%) of the participants gave birth at home. Among 219 (28.6%) women who had current antenatal complications, 68 (31.1%) of them received complete providers' adherence to the first ANC guideline (table 2).

### The incidence of maternal and neonatal complications

Of the total of 782 women, 298 (38.1%) (95% CI 34.7 to 41.6) had at least one type of maternal complications during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods. The different incidence of maternal complications among women who received complete and incomplete providers' adherence was statistical significant; 32.7% and 40.7%, respectively. Eighty-four (10.7%) participants developed

PIH during intrapartum period though there was no statistical difference between the two groups (table 3).

The incidence of stillbirth, low birth weight, poor Apgar score were statistical significant higher in the un-exposed group (table 3). There was no maternal and neonatal death during the intrapartum and postpartum periods.

The incidence of at least one neonatal complication among newborns who were delivered at home and at the health facilities were 44.7% and 21.5%, respectively. The incidence of stillbirth and low Apgar score was much higher among newborns who were delivered at home than among those delivered at the health facility. The incidence of at least one maternal complication among women delivered at the facility and home was 38.4% and 34%, respectively. All women who had obstructed labour gave birth at the health facility (figure 2).

### Effect of received complete provider adherence to guidelines during the first ANC on the risk of maternal and neonatal complications

In the unadjusted negative binomial regression, complete provider adherence, maternal age, maternal education, parity, place of ANC attendance, number of ANC visits, counselling during pregnancy, current ANC complications, and place of delivery were associated with maternal and neonatal complications during intrapartum and postpartum periods. After controlling for confounding variables, complete providers' adherence (AIRR 0.56; 95% CI 0.39 to 0.79) was significantly associated with neonatal complications. However, complete providers' adherence was not significantly associated with maternal

**Table 1** Sociodemographic characteristics of study participants among exposed and unexposed groups in Gondar town public health facilities, 2020

| Sociodemographic variables |                | Frequency (%) of total participants<br>N=782 | Frequency (%) of the exposed group<br>N=254 | Frequency (%) of the un-exposed group<br>N=528 | P value |
|----------------------------|----------------|--|---|--|---------|
| Type of health facility    | Hospital       | 415 (53.1)                                   | 131 (31.6)                                  | 284 (68.4)                                     | 0.56    |
|                            | Health centres | 367 (46.9)                                   | 123 (33.5)                                  | 244 (66.5)                                     |         |
| Age (in years)             | <20            | 105 (13.1)                                   | 27 (25.7)                                   | 78 (74.3)                                      | 0.28    |
|                            | 20–35          | 651 (83.3)                                   | 218 (33.5)                                  | 433 (66.5)                                     |         |
|                            | >35            | 26 (3.3)                                     | 9 (34.6)                                    | 17 (65.4)                                      |         |
| Residence                  | Urban          | 766 (97.9)                                   | 248 (32.4)                                  | 518 (67.6)                                     | 0.66    |
|                            | Rural          | 16 (2.1)                                     | 6 (37.5)                                    | 10 (62.5)                                      |         |
| Religion                   | Orthodox       | 715 (91.4)                                   | 227 (31.8)                                  | 488 (68.2)                                     | 0.36    |
|                            | Muslim         | 57 (7.3)                                     | 23 (40.3)                                   | 34 (59.7)                                      |         |
|                            | Others         | 10 (1.3)                                     | 4 (0.4)                                     | 6 (0.6)  |         |
| Marital status             | Married        | 761 (97.3)                                   | 250 (32.8)                                  | 511 (61.2)                                     | 0.18    |
|                            | Unmarried      | 21 (2.7)                                     | 4 (19.1)                                    | 17 (80.9)                                      |         |
| Educational level          | None           | 130 (16.6)                                   | 50 (38.5)                                   | 80 (61.5)                                      | 0.24    |
|                            | Primary        | 191 (24.4)                                   | 56 (29.3)                                   | 135 (70.7)                                     |         |
|                            | Secondary      | 241 (30.8)                                   | 72 (29.9)                                   | 169 (70.1)                                     |         |
|                            | Tertiary       | 220 (28.1)                                   | 76 (34.5)                                   | 144 (65.5)                                     |         |
| Employment                 | Yes            | 183 (23.4)                                   | 67 (36.6)                                   | 116 (63.4)                                     | 0.17    |
|                            | No             | 599 (76.6)                                   | 187 (31.2)                                  | 412 (68.8)                                     |         |

complications during the intra-partum and/or post-partum periods (table 4).

## DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrates the role of a provider's adherence to the ANC guideline during the first visit in leading to improvement of maternal and neonatal outcomes. The key findings of this study are that complete adherence by the providers to the ANC guidelines during the first visit reduced the risk of neonatal complications but did not have any statistically significant effect on the risk of maternal complications occurring during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods.

In general, improving the quality of obstetric care, if supported by guidelines, can directly reduce the incidence of maternal and neonatal deaths.<sup>1</sup> Guidelines can, however, only lead to improved quality care if they are translated into daily provider practice.<sup>33</sup>

The finding of our study revealed that those women who received complete providers' adherence to ANC guideline during the first visit had a 44% reduction in the risk of combined neonatal complications. It is possible to explain the preventive association between providers adherence to the contents of ANC guideline with risk of neonatal complications can be explained in different ways. Complete providers adherence to ANC guideline during the first creates an opportunity for a woman to connect with formal health services like screening of

pregnant women who have and at risk of developing complications.<sup>14</sup> Because neonatal outcomes are the direct reflection of maternal health conditions.<sup>34</sup> The first visit is the exact moment to deliver cost effective preventive interventions like iron–folic acid supplementation, nutritional advice, tetanus toxoid vaccination.<sup>16 35 36</sup> These interventions have been shown to be cost-effective in a SSA context.<sup>37</sup> The first ANC visit also provides an important opportunity for midwives to teach mothers how to recognise warning signs of complications during pregnancy, labour and delivery and encourage them to plan clean and safe deliveries.<sup>19</sup>

The finding of our current study are consistent with findings from the study conducted in Ghana<sup>27</sup> and in Ethiopia.<sup>22 23</sup> The observed similarity between the findings of this study and the findings from Ghana might be due to the similarity of the ANC guideline in the two countries. In both countries the format and contents of the first ANC guideline is quite similar.<sup>18 38</sup> Even though there is a similarity between our study findings and the findings of Abera *et al* 2018 and Tafere *et al*. However, the types of exposures were somehow different among the three studies. In the case of our current study, the exposure variable was the provider's adherence to ANC guideline during the first visit. In the study done by Abera *et al*, the exposure variable was the number of ANC visit. On the other hand, the quality of ANC throughout pregnancy was the primary exposure in the other study done

**Table 2** Obstetric characteristics of study participants among exposed and unexposed groups in Gondar town public health facilities, 2020

| Obstetric variables                         |                              | Frequency (%) of total participants N=782 | Frequency (%) of Exposed group N=254 | Frequency (%) of un-exposed group N=528 | P value |
|---|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------|
| Time booking                                | Early booking (<16 weeks)    | 231 (29.5)                                | 61 (26.4)                            | 170 (73.6)                              | 0.02    |
|   | Late booking (≥16 weeks)     | 551 (70.5)                                | 193 (35.0)                           | 358 (65.0)                              |         |
| Gravidity                                   | Primigravida                 | 306 (39.4)                                | 96 (31.2)                            | 212 (68.8)                              | 0.53    |
|   | Multigravida                 | 474 (60.6)                                | 158 (33.3)                           | 316 (66.7)                              |         |
| Parity (no)                                 | 0                            | 353 (45.1)                                | 111 (31.4)                           | 242 (68.6)                              | 0.95    |
|   | 1–2                          | 355 (45.4)                                | 119 (33.5)                           | 236 (66.5)                              |         |
|   | 3–4                          | 61 (7.8)                                  | 20 (32.8)                            | 41 (67.2)                               |         |
|   | >4                           | 13 (1.7)                                  | 4 (30.8)                             | 9 (69.2)                                |         |
| Previous history of pregnancy complications | Yes                          | 105 (13.4)                                | 42 (40.0)                            | 63 (60.0)                               | 0.07    |
|   | No                           | 369 (47.2)                                | 115 (31.2)                           | 254 (68.8)                              |         |
| Birth to pregnancy interval (in month)      | Short (≤24)                  | 101 (23.3)                                | 36 (35.6)                            | 65 (64.4)                               | 0.39    |
|   | Recommended (24–48)          | 172 (39.6)                                | 55 (32.0)                            | 117 (68.0)                              |         |
|   | Long >48)                    | 161 (37.1)                                | 53 (32.9)                            | 108 (67.1)                              |         |
| Intention of pregnancy                      | Planned                      | 717 (91.7)                                | 230 (32.1)                           | 487 (67.9)                              | 0.60    |
|   | Unplanned                    | 65 (8.3)                                  | 24 (36.9)                            | 41 (63.1)                               |         |
| History of female genital mutilation        | Yes                          | 22 (2.8)                                  | 10 (45.5)                            | 12 (54.5)                               | 0.61    |
|   | No                           | 760 (97.2)                                | 244 (32.1)                           | 516 (67.9)                              |         |
| Received counselling during pregnancy       | yes                          | 702 (89.8)                                | 231 (32.9)                           | 471 (67.1)                              | 0.95    |
|   | No                           | 80 (10.2)                                 | 23 (28.8)                            | 57 (72.2)                               |         |
| Current antenatal complications             | Yes                          | 219 (28.6)                                | 68 (31.1)                            | 151 (68.9)                              | 0.59    |
|   | No                           | 569 (71.4)                                | 186 (33.0)                           | 377 (67.0)                              |         |
| No of ANC visit attended                    | ≤4 visits                    | 208 (26.6)                                | 66 (31.7)                            | 142 (68.3)                              | 0.78    |
|   | >4 visits                    | 574 (73.4)                                | 188 (32.8)                           | 386 (67.2)                              |         |
| Place of current delivery                   | Health facility              | 735 (94.0)                                | 242 (32.9)                           | 493 (67.1)                              | 0.30    |
|   | Home                         | 47 (6.0)                                  | 12 (25.5)                            | 35 (74.5)                               |         |
| Gestational age at delivery                 | <37 weeks                    | 60 (7.7)                                  | 19 (31.7)                            | 41 (68.3)                               | 0.82    |
|   | 37–42                        | 717 (91.7)                                | 234 (48.4)                           | 483 (67.4)                              |         |
|   | >42                          | 5 (0.60)                                  | 1 (20.0)                             | 4 (80.0)                                |         |
| Mode of delivery of the current pregnancy   | Spontaneous vaginal delivery | 620 (79.3)                                | 201 (32.4)                           | 419 (67.6)                              | 0.91    |
|   | Caesarean section            | 141 (18)                                  | 47 (33.3)                            | 94 (66.7)                               |         |
|   | Instrumental delivery        | 21 (2.7)                                  | 6 (28.57)                            | 15 (71.4)                               |         |

ANC, antenatal care.

in Bahirdar city. On top of that the way we measured the association between the outcome variables and the exposure variable was different in the three studies.

The number of ANC visits attended by mothers to be is inversely related to the incidence of adverse neonatal outcomes. The greater the number of visits, the lower the incidence of neonatal complications as shown by the 59.0% reduction among women who had more than four ANC visits. This finding was supported by another

study done in Ethiopia.<sup>22</sup> The possible explanation is that frequent antenatal visits might create a better quality of contact between the provider and the pregnant woman and this might lead both the provider and the pregnant woman to identify and address any challenges of the pregnancy. This creates an opportunity to screen and provide interventions for anything missed during the first ANC visit.<sup>39</sup> A Cochrane review found that there was an inverse relationship between the number of ANC visits and risk



**Table 3** Risk of maternal and neonatal complications during intra-partum and postpartum periods among exposed and controlled groups in Gondar town public health facilities, 2020

| Complications  | Incidence N=832 | Exposed group n=254 | Unexposed group n=528 | P value |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| <b>Maternal</b>  |                 |                     |                       |         |
| PIH  | 84 (10.7)       | 23 (9.1)            | 61 (11.6)             | 0.51    |
| APH  | 43 (5.5)        | 14 (4.7)            | 29 (5.9)              | 0.29    |
| Obstructed labour  | 6 (0.8)         | 3 (1.2)             | 3 (0.6)               | 0.36    |
| Tear   | 56 (7.2)        | 14 (5.5)            | 42 (8.0)              | 0.21    |
| Caesarean section  | 141 (18.00)     | 47 (18.5)           | 94 (17.8)             | 0.81    |
| PPH  | 23 (2.9)        | 6 (2.4)             | 17 (3.2)              | 0.5     |
| Any intrapartum and/or postpartum complications (at least one) | 298 (38.1)      | 83 (32.7)           | 215 (40.7)            | 0.03    |
| <b>Neonatal</b>  |                 |                     |                       |         |
| Preterm birth  | 60 (7.7)        | 19 (7.5)            | 41 (7.8)              | 0.08    |
| Stillbirth   | 25 (3.2)        | 3 (1.2)             | 22 (4.2)              | 0.026   |
| Low birth weight   | 62 (7.9)        | 9 (3.5)             | 53 (10.0)             | 0.02    |
| Poor Apgar score   | 101 (12.9)      | 19 (7.5)            | 82 (15.5)             | 0.02    |
| Any neonatal complications (at least one)                      | 179 (22.9)      | 40 (15.7)           | 139 (26.3)            | 0.001   |

APH, antepartum haemorrhage; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; PPH, postpartum haemorrhage.


of stillbirth, the smaller the number of visits, the greater the risk.<sup>40</sup> This was one of the best reasons for the development of the 2016 eight-contact ANC guideline.

The result of this study indicated that those women who attended ANC at the hospital were more likely to develop neonatal complications compared with health centre. Studies have shown that women who attended their ANC at higher level facilities were more likely to receive incomplete adherence to ANC guideline during the first visit.<sup>41</sup> On the other hand, majority of study participants who received their at ANC hospital were referral cases with obstetric complications. They might opt in to attend birth in the hospital than health centres. It is supported by the

other finding of our study that newborns who were delivered from mothers with antepartum complications were at a higher risk developing neonatal complications. This implies that women who attend their ANC at the hospital special attention.

In contrast to the findings from the Ghana study<sup>27</sup> and contrary to our original alternative hypothesis, we found that complete provider adherence to the ANC guideline during the first visit did not have a significant effect on the risk of maternal complications during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods. The plausible explanation for the observed difference between the findings of this study and the study conducted in Ghana could be due to the disparity in inclusion criteria. The Ghana study included women who had their first ANC visit at gestational age below or equal to 20 weeks. But in our current study, we included women whose gestational age was below 28 weeks. This could miss opportunities for early detection of complications and initiation of certain preventive measures such as prescribing iron tablet in a timely manner.<sup>42</sup> There may be other factors in addition to providers' adherence to the ANC guidelines during the first visit. It is recognised that the regular subsequent antenatal attendance would encourage providers to review and provide care for what they might have missed out during the first antenatal visit.

Other confounding determinant factors may contribute to raising the incidence of risks of maternal complications during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods. The finding of our study revealed that the risk of clients who had any antepartum complications was 1.83 times more likely to develop maternal complications which occurred during intrapartum and/or postpartum periods compared with women to clients who had no antenatal



**Figure 2** Risk of maternal and neonatal complications during intrapartum and postpartum periods based on place of delivery among women completed the follow in Gondar town public health facilities, 2020. APH, antepartum haemorrhage; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; PPH, postpartum haemorrhage.



**Table 4** Negative binomial Poisson regression analysis showing the effect of received complete provider adherence to guidelines during the first ANC on maternal and neonatal complications during intrapartum and/or postpartum periods

| Explanatory variables   | Maternal complications  |                            |                  | Neonatal complications  |                            |                  |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|   | Unadjusted IRR (95% CI) | Adjusted IRR (95% CI)      | Adjusted p value | Unadjusted IRR (95% CI) | Adjusted IRR(95% CI)       | Adjusted P value |
| Received complete adherence   | 0.85 (0.67 to 1.07)     | 0.84 (0.67 to 1.05)        | 0.14             | 0.51 (0.36 to 0.74)     | <b>0.56 (0.39 to 0.79)</b> | <b>0.001</b>     |
| Age (in years)  |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| <20   | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| 20–35   | 1.24 (0.89 to 1.72)     | 1.2 (0.85 to 1.71)         | 0.28             | 0.95 (0.61 to 1.47)     | 1.01 (0.65 to 1.59)        | 0.93             |
| >35   | 1.31 (0.70 to 2.45)     | 1.46 (0.74 to 2.88)        | 0.27             | 1.30 (0.55 to 3.05)     | 1.28 (0.51 to 3.18)        | 0.59             |
| Educational level   |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| None  | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          | 1                |
| Primary   | 1.1 (0.78 to 1.56)      | 1.03 (0.72 to 1.46)        | 0.87             | 1.09 (0.69 to 1.73)     | 1.08 (0.68 to 1.71)        | 0.73             |
| Secondary   | 1.12 (0.81 to 1.55)     | 1.11 (0.78 to 1.59)        | 0.53             | 0.94 (0.60 to 1.47)     | 0.97 (0.60 to 1.56)        | 0.90             |
| Tertiary  | 1.24 (0.90 to 1.73)     | 1.21 (0.84 to 1.74)        | 0.29             | 0.72 (0.45 to 1.16)     | 0.74 (0.44 to 1.24)        | 0.25             |
| Parity (no)   |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| 0   | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          | 1                |
| 1–2   | 1.02 (0.82 to 1.27)     | 1.01 (0.8 to 1.27)         | 0.91             | 1.04 (0.75 to 1.43)     | 0.99 (0.72 to 1.38)        | 0.99             |
| 3–4   | 1.11 (0.75 to 1.63)     | 1.18 (0.77 to 1.81)        | 0.43             | 1.32 (0.76 to 2.28)     | 0.97 (0.54 to 1.74)        | 0.92             |
| >4  | 0.5 (0.16 to 1.58)      | 0.46 (0.14 to 1.55)        | 0.21             | 1.81 (0.66 to 4.95)     | 1.71 (0.59 to 4.94)        | 0.31             |
| Place of ANC attendance   |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| Hospital  | 0.95 (0.77 to 1.16)     | 0.88 (0.68 to 1.12)        | 0.32             | 1.04 (0.77 to 1.04)     | <b>1.88 (1.31 to 2.69)</b> | <b>0.001</b>     |
| Health centres  | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| No of ANC visit attended  |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| ≤4 visits   | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| >4 visits   | 1.14 (0.89 to 1.45)     | 1.12 (0.87 to 1.46)        | 0.30             | 0.51 (0.37 to 0.69)     | <b>0.41 (0.30 to 0.57)</b> | <b>0.00</b>      |
| Received counselling during pregnancy                               |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| Yes   | 1.02 (0.79 to 1.33)     | 1.05 (0.78 to 1.41)        | 0.70             | 0.70 (0.49 to 1.01)     | 0.69 (0.47 to 1.03)        | 0.07             |
| No  | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| Current antenatal complications                                     |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| Yes   | 1.85 (1.50 to 2.28)     | <b>1.83 (1.48 to 2.26)</b> | <b>0.00</b>      | 1.82 (1.34 to 2.49)     | <b>1.9 (1.40 to 2.58)</b>  | <b>0.00</b>      |
| No  | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| Place of current delivery   |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| Facility  | 1.29 (0.79 to 2.10)     | 1.21 (0.72 to 2.01)        | 0.45             | 0.42 (0.25 to 0.70)     | <b>0.49 (0.30 to 0.81)</b> | <b>0.005</b>     |
| Home  | 1                       | 1                          |                  | 1                       | 1                          |                  |
| Maternal complications during intrapartum and/or postpartum periods |                         |                            |                  |                         |                            |                  |
| Yes   | –                       | –                          | –                | 1.57 (1.16 to 2.12)     | <b>1.48 (1.10 to 2.00)</b> | <b>0.009</b>     |
| No  | –                       | –                          | –                | 1                       | 1                          |                  |

The bold value indicates the statistical significance of the P-Value of the variable. ANC, antenatal care; IRR, incidence risk ratio; NA, not applicable.

complications. This might be due to the recurring nature of complications during labour and childbirth once they have been detected and treated during the antenatal period.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, full quality of care throughout pregnancy is very crucial.

In the last few years, it is easy to become optimistic increasing the coverage focused ANC is a top priority of the Ethiopian government. However, the quality of care lags behind. In general, the finding of this study will give

an overall insight for maternal healthcare programmers and implementers in Ethiopia and similar countries to focus on improving the quality ANC based on the up-to-date guideline in order to improve neonatal outcomes.

The strengths of our study included its prospective nature, which ensured the availability of sufficiently detailed information on maternal and neonatal characteristics. The proportion of drop-out rate or lost to follow-up is under the acceptable range. The proportion



of drop-out rate or lost to follow-up is under the acceptable range. To increase the generalisability of our study findings, adequate sample size was calculated. However, the study also has limitations. The outcomes of women who gave birth at home were collected through the home visit. However, measurement bias was one of biggest trait at there. Some of the neonatal outcomes were measured with instruments like bin balance to measure the baby wait at home. Other variables like Apgar score were measured subjectively by asking clients what was happening during and after child birth. The other trait of our study was Hawthorne effect. Healthcare providers might make maximum effort to carry out the first ANC visit if the data collectors were directly observing that visit. However, the effect of an observer lasts only for a short time and it slowly decreases when the providers adapt to the presence of the observer.<sup>44</sup> To decrease the bias, we were discarding the first few observations during the start of data collection. On the top of that, prospective (longitudinal) nature of the study would also significantly reduce its effect. The majority of enrolled participants book their first visit during the second trimester. This might be underestimating the contribution adherence to the ANC visit guideline on the reduction of maternal and neonatal outcomes. At last, we did not estimate the effect of complete provider adherence on specific maternal and neonatal complications.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, received complete provider adherence to ANC guideline during the first contact significantly reduced the risk of neonatal complications but no statistically significant reduction in maternal adverse outcomes during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods. Therefore, our findings point to the important implications of developing interventions that build on institutional practice of providing a tested set of ANC guidelines that are followed completely by healthcare providers. We also believe that early detection and management of antenatal complications is very crucial if maternal and neonatal outcomes are to be improved during the intrapartum and/or postpartum periods.

### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>School of Midwifery, University of Gondar College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Gondar, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Health, University of Gondar College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Gondar, Ethiopia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank the University of Gondar for the opportunity to conduct this research. We also extend our gratitude to study participants for their willingness to be a part of the study.

**Contributors** TS conceived and designed the study, analysed the data and prepared the manuscript. MA, KC and HL assisted in the development of the research idea, analysis, interpretation and preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. TS is the guarantor of this article.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Ethics approval** This study does not involve human participants. The protocol has been reviewed by the Institutional ethical review board of University of the Gondar for its ethical soundness, ID: O/V/P/RCS/05/498/2018. Confidentiality was maintained.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as online supplemental information. Data are available on reasonable request. The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

### ORCID iD

Tewodros Seyoum <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9915-6368>

## REFERENCES

- 1 Ö T, Pena-Rosas JP, Lawrie T. Who recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience—going beyond survival. *BJOG* 2017;124:860–2.
- 2 Campbell OMR, Graham WJ, Lancet Maternal Survival Series steering group. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet* 2006;368:1284–99.
- 3 Carroli G, Rooney C, Villar J. How effective is antenatal care in preventing maternal mortality and serious morbidity? an overview of the evidence. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2001;15 Suppl 1:1–42.
- 4 Prual A, Toure A, Huguet D, et al. The quality of risk factor screening during antenatal consultations in niger. *Health Policy Plan* 2000;15:11–16.
- 5 Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA, Cousens S, et al. Evidence-Based, cost-effective interventions: how many newborn babies can we save? *Lancet* 2005;365:977–88.
- 6 Tekelab T, Choienta C, Smith R, et al. The impact of antenatal care on neonatal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0222566.
- 7 Cabana MD, Rand CS, Powe NR, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement. *JAMA* 1999;282:1458–65.
- 8 World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Geneva.2019. Available: <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en//Trends-in-maternal-mortality-2000-to-2017-Estimates-by-WHO-UNICEF-UNFPA-World-Bank-Group-and-the-United-Nations-Population-Division> [Accessed 14 Dec 2019].
- 9 Dowswell T, Carroli G, Duley L, et al. Alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;106:CD000934.
- 10 Munjanja SP, Lindmark G, Nyström L. Randomised controlled trial of a reduced-visits programme of antenatal care in Harare, Zimbabwe. *Lancet* 1996;348:364–9.
- 11 Villar J, Carroli G, Khan-Neelofur D, et al. Patterns of routine antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001:CD000934.
- 12 Carroli G, Villar J, Piaggio G, et al. WHO systematic review of randomised controlled trials of routine antenatal care. *Lancet* 2001;357:1565–70.
- 13 World Health Organization. *Definition of skilled health personnel providing care during childbirth: the 2018 joint statement by who, UNFPA, UNICEF, ICM, ICN, FIGO and IPA*. World Health Organization, 2018.

- 14 Gebreyohannes Y, Ararso D, Mengistu F. Improving antenatal care services utilization in Ethiopia: an evidence-based policy brief. *Int J Health Econ Policy* 2017;2:111–7.
- 15 Adriano Biza IJ-T, Colomar M, Belizan M. Challenges and opportunities for implementing evidence-based antenatal care in Mozambique: a qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2015;15:7–10.
- 16 World Health Organization. Opportunities for Africa's newborns: antenatal Care. 2013. Report. Available: [http://www.who.int/pmnch/media/publications/aonsectionIII\\_2.pdf](http://www.who.int/pmnch/media/publications/aonsectionIII_2.pdf) [Accessed 30 Nov 2020].
- 17 Ethiopian Public Health Institute. Mini demographic and health survey 2019. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Calverton, Maryland, USA, 2019. Available: [http://www.moh.gov.et/ejcc/sites/default/files/Complete\\_KIR\\_EMDHS-20Final.pdf](http://www.moh.gov.et/ejcc/sites/default/files/Complete_KIR_EMDHS-20Final.pdf) [Accessed 14 Dec 2019].
- 18 Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health. Management protocol on selected obstetrics topics. 2010. Available: <http://lifesavingcommunities.org/Management-of-Selected-Obstetric-Topics-2010-1.pdf> [Accessed 14 Dec 2019].
- 19 Ejigu Tafere T, Afework MF, Yalew AW. Antenatal care service quality increases the odds of utilizing institutional delivery in Bahir Dar city administration, North Western Ethiopia: a prospective follow up study. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0192428.
- 20 Seyoum T, Alemayehu M, Christensson K, et al. Client factors affect provider adherence to guidelines during first antenatal care in public health facilities, Ethiopia: a multi-center cross-sectional study. *Ethiop J Health Sci* 2020;30:903.
- 21 Seyoum T, Alemayehu M, Christensson K, et al. Provider-perceived benefits and constraints of complete adherence to antenatal care guideline among public health facilities, Ethiopia: a qualitative study. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0255297.
- 22 Haftu A, Hagos H, Mehari M-A, et al. Pregnant women adherence level to antenatal care visit and its effect on perinatal outcome among mothers in Tigray public health institutions, 2017: cohort study. *BMC Res Notes* 2018;11:872.
- 23 Tafere TE, Afework MF, Yalew AW. Providers adherence to essential contents of antenatal care services increases birth weight in Bahir Dar city administration, North West Ethiopia: a prospective follow up study. *Reprod Health* 2018;15:163.
- 24 Shiferaw K, Mengiste B, Gobena T, et al. The effect of antenatal care on perinatal outcomes in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2021;16:e0245003.
- 25 Central Statistical Agency. Population projection of Ethiopia for all regions at wereda level from 2014–2017, 2013. Available: <http://www.csa.gov.et/index.php/ehioinfo-internaldownload:population-projection-of-ethiopia-for-all-regions-atwereda-level-from-2014-2017> [Accessed 14 Dec 2019].
- 26 CDC. Centers for disease control and prevention (US): introducing Epl Info 7, 2015
- 27 Amoakoh-Coleman M, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Agyepong IA, et al. Provider adherence to first antenatal care guidelines and risk of pregnancy complications in public sector facilities: a Ghanaian cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016;16:369.
- 28 Hiralal K. *Dutta's Textbook of Obstetrics Including Perinatology and Contraception: Abdominal examination during antenatal care*. Jaypee Brothers Medical, 2013: 400–18.
- 29 Valero de Bernabé J, Soriano T, Albaladejo R, et al. Risk factors for low birth weight: a review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2004;116:3–15.
- 30 American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Fetus and Newborn, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, et al. The Apgar score. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2006;6:220–3.
- 31 Mohammadbeigi A, Farhadifar F, Soufi Zadeh N, et al. Fetal macrosomia: risk factors, maternal, and perinatal outcome. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 2013;3:546–50.
- 32 Reference.MD. Definition of adherence to guidelines, 2012. Available: [www.reference.md](http://www.reference.md) [Accessed 14 Dec 2020].
- 33 Lomas J, Anderson GM, Domnick-Pierre K, et al. Do practice guidelines guide practice? *N Engl J Med* 1989;321:1306–11.
- 34 Lassi ZS, Majeed A, Rashid S, et al. The interconnections between maternal and newborn health—evidence and implications for policy. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2013;26 Suppl 1:3–53.
- 35 Titaley CR, Dibley MJ, Roberts CL, et al. Iron and folic acid supplements and reduced early neonatal deaths in Indonesia. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010;88:500–8.
- 36 Hollowell J, Oakley L, Kurinczuk JJ, et al. The effectiveness of antenatal care programmes to reduce infant mortality and preterm birth in socially disadvantaged and vulnerable women in high-income countries: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2011;11:1–20.
- 37 Adam TLS, Mehta S, Bhutta ZA. Achieving the millennium development goals for health - cost effectiveness analysis of strategies for maternal and neonatal health in developing countries. *Br Med J* 2005;331:1107–10.
- 38 Ghana Health Service. *National safe motherhood service protocol*. Accra, Ghana: Yamens Press Ltd, 2007.
- 39 World Health organization. Standards for maternal and neonatal care. Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/69735/a91272.pdf> [Accessed 11 Nov 2020].
- 40 Dowswell T, Carroli G, Duley L, et al. Alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:CD000934.
- 41 Amoakoh-Coleman M, Agyepong IA, Zuithoff NPA, et al. Client factors affect provider adherence to clinical guidelines during first antenatal care. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0157542.
- 42 Peña-Rosas JP, De-Regil LM, Garcia-Casal MN. Daily oral iron supplementation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;22:CD004736.
- 43 Lykke JA, Dideriksen KL, Lidgaard Øjvind, et al. First-Trimester vaginal bleeding and complications later in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;115:935–44.
- 44 Goodwin MA, Stange KC, Zyzanski SJ, et al. The Hawthorne effect in direct observation research with physicians and patients. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2017;23:1322–8.