

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin: Findings based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2020-042234 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 01-Jul-2020 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Saarela, Jan; Abo Akademi University, Kolk, Martin; Stockholm University; Institute for Futures Studies |
| Keywords: | EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH, SOCIAL MEDICINE |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin: Findings based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

Jan Saarela & Martin Kolk

Corresponding author: Jan Saarela, Demography Unit, Åbo Akademi University, Strandgatan 2, 65100 Vasa, Finland, jan.saarela@abo.fi

Co-author: Martin Kolk, Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University; Centre for Cultural Evolution, Stockholm University; Institute for Future Studies, Stockholm, Sweden, martin.kolk@sociology.su.se

Abstract

Objectives. The aim was to assess alcohol-related mortality rates of persons with mixed and uniform ethnic origin in two national contexts.

Setting. Data were from multigenerational population registers of Finland and Sweden. Men and women of ethnic Finnish and ethnic Swedish origin were studied.

Participants. Study persons were born 1953-1999. In Finland, ethnic origin was assessed through own, mother's, and father's Finnish or Swedish ethnolinguistic affiliation. Data on Sweden included persons born in Sweden, whose mother and father were born in Sweden or Finland. A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 persons were included in the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively. The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 in Finland and 3,336 in Sweden. Cox regressions were used to examine associations.

Primary and secondary outcome measures. For the period 1971-2017, we studied alcohol as the main cause of death. For the period 1996-2017, we observed if alcohol was the main or contributing cause of death. Parallel analyses were performed for all-cause mortality.

Results. For men in Finland, the hazard rate of alcohol-related mortality of Swedish speakers with uniform Swedish background was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) that of Finnish speakers with uniform Finnish background. The corresponding number for women was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.28-0.55). In Sweden, the rate of men with both parents born in Sweden was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) that of men with both parents born in Finland. The corresponding number for women was 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79). In both countries, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality rate between that of persons with uniform Finnish background and that of persons with uniform Swedish background.

Conclusions. The consistent pattern across countries necessitates increased policy attention to offspring disadvantaged via parental ethnicity, in order to minimise harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Keywords: alcohol, mortality, ethnicity, registers

Word count: 3,007 words

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Alcohol-related mortality is known to be associated with ethnicity and culture, but empirical evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse
- Using multigenerational population register data, we examine how persons with mixed cultural background and those with varying uniform background differ on alcohol-related mortality risks

- With data from two neighbouring countries, Finland and Sweden, we study also if this variation in alcohol-related mortality risks is consistent across two national contexts
- Similar differences across the study groups are found in both countries, and persons with mixed ethnic origin have an alcohol-related mortality risk between that of each ethnically uniform group
- Since the study uses population register data, we cannot measure cultural norms or values, alcohol-related behaviours, or family relations in an explicit manner

Funding statement. This work was supported by Stiftelsens för Åbo Akademi Forskningsinstitut, grant number not applicable.

Data sharing. No additional data available.

Competing interest statement. Both authors declare no competing interests.

Patient and public involvement. No patients were involved.



Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin: Findings based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

1. Introduction

Alcohol-related deaths have been estimated to account for three million deaths, or more than five per cent of all deaths, worldwide every year. The harmful use of alcohol is a causal factor in more than 200 disease and injury conditions, and about five per cent of the global burden of disease and injury is attributable to alcohol (1). Alcohol use is ranked as the seventh leading risk factor for premature death and disability. For people aged 15-49 years, alcohol use is the leading cause of death (2).

The alcohol-attributable fractions of death vary globally, with many of the countries in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union ranked highest (3). Finland is well below their levels, but at a notably higher rate than that of the neighbouring Nordic country Sweden. In Finland, nine per cent of all deaths in men and 2.5 per cent of all deaths in women are attributed to alcohol, as compared to less than six per cent and 1.5 per cent, respectively, in Sweden.

A variety of factors that work at both the individual and societal levels affect the patterns of alcohol consumption, drinking behaviours, and the magnitude of alcohol-related problems (4-5). Individual vulnerability factors include age, gender, familial factors, and socio-economic status. Societal factors include the level of development, the drinking context, the production, distribution and regulation of alcohol, and culture (6).

Empirical evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups, and particularly how mixed ethnic origin, relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse. The extent to which cultural norms affect alcohol use and, thus, alcohol-related mortality, may vary also by context and place (7). Cultural-related drinking behaviours in immigrant parents may assimilate toward the norms of a new context and seize to affect children's behaviours (8). If not, and the parents act as role models, certain behaviours such as alcohol use may be abiding and may even become more pronounced within another environment (9).

The multigenerational population registers of Finland and Sweden provide novel opportunities to study how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic background. Both countries have substantial populations of ethnic Swedish and ethnic Finnish origin, who differ notably in alcohol-related mortality. In ages 18-50 years, the ethnolinguistic group of Finnish speakers in Finland have approximately three times higher rates of alcohol-related mortality than the native group of Swedish speakers in the country (10-11). The Finnish speakers report also more frequent drunkenness, suffer more frequent hangovers and have alcohol-induced pass-outs significantly more often than Swedish speakers (12-13). Similar differences exist between ethnic Swedes and ethnic Finns in Sweden (14-16).

Swedish speakers in Finland account for five per cent of the total population, while Finns constitute the fourth largest ethnic group in Sweden (17). Both these minority groups have managed to keep their cultural roots and identities in spite of a substantial degree of intermarriage (18-20). Swedish speakers in Finland have a very long history, while most ethnic Finns in Sweden are more recent migrants, primarily arriving during the country's economic expansion in the 1960s and 1970s. In each national context, people in the minority group have formed a permanent and stable community.

Socio-economic, demographic and area-level variables explain only a small part of the differentials in alcohol-related mortality between ethnic Finns and ethnic Swedes. One may therefore assume that

they relate to group-specific cultural norms that affect alcohol use, and to variation in social networks and family bonds that protect from unhealthy drinking behaviours (21-22). Empirical support for such claims can be attained from analyses that examine persons by ethnic background, that is, by using data that include information about parental ethnicity.

Not much is known about the interrelation between parental ethnicity and offspring's alcohol-related mortality, and in particular about the issue of how people with mixed heritage are positioned. We used population register data from two generations of the population in Finland and in Sweden to examine individuals who are the children of majority-culture parents, minority-culture parents, and those with mixed cultural origin. Based on these settings, the study sought to answer two major research questions:

- i. Do persons with mixed ethnic background and those with varying uniform background differ with respect to alcohol-related mortality risks?
- ii. Is any such variation consistent across national contexts?

2. Methods

2.1. Study populations

The study base includes the total population of Finland and Sweden observed in 1971-2017. In the population registers, persons born in each country can be linked to the mother and the father. We restricted the study to persons born 1953-1999. This was to ensure that both parents in the data from Finland could be identified (23), and that all persons were at least 17 years old when they entered the study window. All study persons in Finland, and their mother and father, were registered as a Finnish speaker or as a Swedish speaker. Foreign-born immigrants and their children were consequently excluded, because immigration and intermarriage across other ethnic lines in Finland has been rare until recently. The data on Sweden were restricted to index persons born in Sweden, whose mother and father were born in Sweden or in Finland. Index persons born abroad were consequently excluded.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Outcome

Alcohol-related mortality was assessed with the ICD-8 codes for deaths in 1971-1986, with the ICD-9 codes for deaths in 1987-1995, and with ICD-10 codes for deaths in 1996-2017 (see the notes of Table 1). For the entire period 1971-2017, we could separate alcohol as the main cause of death. For the period 1996-2017, we could observe if alcohol was the main or any contributing cause of death. For the sake of comparison and completeness, we performed parallel analyses for all-cause mortality.

2.2.2. Exposures

The exposures in the data from Finland were the index person's, the mother's, and the father's ethnolinguistic registration (Finnish or Swedish), respectively. These were combined into one variable with the categories FFF, SSS, FFS, FSF, SFS, and SSF, where the first letter refers to the index person, the second to the mother, and the third to the father. FFF consequently consisted of persons with uniform Finnish background, and SSS of persons with uniform Swedish background. FFS and FSF contained Finnish-registered persons with mixed background, while SFS and SSF contained Swedish-registered persons with mixed background. With this setup we could determine how the ethnicity of the index person, the mother, and the father, separately or jointly, relate to the index person's

mortality risk. People categorised as FSS and SFF were included into analyses as well, but since they were rare and difficult to assess, the estimates are not reported (but available upon request).

The exposures in the data from Sweden were mother's country of birth and father's country of birth. Given our restrictions, we could combine these into one variable with the categories FF, SS, FS, and SF, where the first letter refers to whether the mother was born in Finland or Sweden, and the second to whether the father was born in Finland or Sweden. Since all study persons were nativeborn, FF consisted of those with uniform ethnic Finnish background, SS of those with uniform ethnic Swedish background, and FS and SF of those with ethnically mixed background. Like with the setup for the data on Finland, we can determine if mortality is differently associated with mother's and father's ethnicity.

2.2.3. Control variables

The control variables were year of birth, educational level, and region of birth, which all are important predictors of all-cause and alcohol-related mortality (24). Year of birth was used as a categorical variable. Educational level referred to the highest level ever attained and separated primary, secondary, and tertiary education. Region of birth was based on a regional division with 20 categories in Finland (*landskap*) and 25 categories in Sweden (*län*).

2.3. Statistical analyses

Cox regressions were used to estimate the association between ethnic background and mortality, adjusted for the control variables. For each country, we fitted two types of models. One was for persons born 1953-1999, observed in the period 1971-2017. All these persons entered at age 17, that is, in the calendar year when they become 18 years old. The highest age of observation, for persons born 1953, was consequently 64. The other was for persons born 1953-1974, observed in the period 1996-2017. All these persons entered at age 42 years, that is, in the calendar year when they become 43 years old, and the highest age of observation was 64.

With the first approach, we could observe the main cause of death. With the second approach, we could incorporate also any contributing cause of death. Right-censoring occured at death, first emigration, or end-2017. We analysed alcohol-related mortality as well as all-cause mortality. Separate models were fitted for men and women. The statistical analyses were performed using the softwares SPSS 26 and STATA 16.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved.

3. Results

A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 individuals were included in the final analyses of the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 in Finland and 3,336 in Sweden. In the data from Finland, Finnish-registered index persons with uniform Finnish background accounted for 92.7% of all study persons, Swedish-registered index persons with uniform Swedish background for 4.1%, Finnish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.7% , and Swedish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.5%. In the data from Sweden, persons with uniform Swedish background accounted for 95.0% of all study persons, those with uniform Finnish background for 1.5%, and those with mixed background for 3.6%. Death rates, and particularly those for alcohol-related mortality, were overall notably higher in Finland than in Sweden.

(Table 1 about here)

(Table 2 about here)

In Finland, significant differences were observed in alcohol-related mortality between Finnish speakers with uniform Finnish background and Swedish speakers with uniform Swedish background in Finland (Table 3). For men, the hazard ratio of mortality when alcohol was the main cause of death was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) over the entire observation period, and almost the same if observing men from age 42. In women, the corresponding hazard ratios were similar to those for men, in spite that the number of alcohol-related deaths was smaller.

(Table 3 about here)

Estimates for men in Sweden were almost the same as for men in Finland (Table 4). The mortality hazard ratio between men with uniform Swedish background and men with uniform Finnish background was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) over the entire observation period, and 0.45 (95% CI: 0.34-0.60) if the persons were observed from age 42. Differences in women were less pronounced, with corresponding hazard ratios of 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79) and 0.62 (95% CI: 0.34-1.13), respectively.

(Table 4 about here)

When alcohol-related mortality was analysed as the main or any contributing cause, the difference between the two groups with uniform background diminished somewhat for Finland, while they slightly increased for Sweden. For persons observed from age 42 in Finland, the hazard ratio was 0.56 (95% CI: 0.50-0.64) for men and 0.48 (95% CI: 0.36-0.63) for women. Corresponding numbers for Sweden were 0.44 (95% CI: 0.37-0.53) and 0.51 (95% CI: 0.36-0.74).

Mortality differentials between the two groups with ethnically uniform background were in both countries less pronounced for all-cause mortality than for alcohol-related mortality.

In both Finland and Sweden, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality risk below that of persons with uniform Finnish background and above that of persons with uniform Swedish background. These estimates were in the range 0.66-0.89 for men in Finland, 0.34-1.06 for women in Finland, 0.67-0.72 for men in Sweden, and 0.72-1.28 for women in Sweden, and many were statistically not significant. When collapsing the mixed categories that separated each parent by ethnicity, it was more evident that persons with mixed ethnic background were generally positioned between those with uniform ethnic background (see the online supplementary). For women in Finland with mixed background, own ethnic affiliation mattered. Swedish-registered women had a similar risk of alcohol-related mortality as those with uniform Swedish background, whereas Finnish-registered women were at a similar level as those with uniform Finnish background.

There was no consistent pattern related to the combination of parent's sex and parent's ethnicity. For women in Sweden, having a Finnish-born father and a Swedish-born mother was associated with higher alcohol-related mortality than if having a Swedish-born father and a Finnish-born mother, but the estimates came with wide confidence intervals. In Finland, Finnish-registered persons with a Finnish-registered mother and a Swedish-registered father had a higher alcohol-related mortality risk than Finnish-registered persons with Swedish-registered mother and Finnish-registered father, but these differences were statistically not significant. For Swedish-registered persons with mixed background, no level differences by parental ethnicity could be observed whatsoever.

Finally, it should be noted that the variation in all-cause mortality by ethnic background was overall less pronounced than the variation in alcohol-related mortality by ethnic background.

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

We investigated how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic origin in two national contexts, using population register data. In Finland, we analysed persons by own, mother's, and father's Swedish or Finnish ethnolinguistic affiliation. In Sweden, Swedish-born persons were separated according to whether the mother, the father, or both, were born in Sweden or in Finland. We found clear evidence that not only own ethnicity, but also parental ethnicity, is interrelated with alcohol-related mortality. Thus, parental ethnic affiliation is important for the alcohol-related mortality risk, net of own affiliation, but so is also own affiliation, net of the parental affiliation.

There was a substantial level difference between persons with uniform Finnish background and those with uniform Swedish background. In both countries, and for both sexes, the difference in risk was about 2 to 1, in spite that the overall rate of alcohol-related mortality is notably lower in Sweden than in Finland, and in women as compared to men. Another main finding consistent across the two countries was that persons with mixed background had an intermediate alcohol-related mortality risk. This pattern was more evident for men than for women. For Finland, we could observe that Swedish-registered women with mixed background had a mortality risk close to that of women with uniform Swedish background, while Finnish-registered women with mixed background were found close to those with uniform Finnish background. We could not see that either maternal or paternal parents in mixed unions had any consistent effect.

4.2. Interpretations

Cultural norms and beliefs that vary across ethnic and racial groups are known to be strong predictors of drinking behaviours (9, 25-26). Our findings are in line with this previous evidence. However, few studies have examined diversity within ethnic groups. We contributed to this specific area by evaluating how alcohol-related mortality depends on ethnicity across two generations and two national contexts, using high-quality population register data. Patterns specific to ethnic groups relate also to how alcohol use is correlated across generations (27-28). Although parental influence on the offspring diminishes after adolescence and young adulthood (29-30), cultural-related alcohol behaviours can be expected to influence the risk of alcohol-related mortality over the life course. This fits well also with findings which say that family support, bonding, and parental monitoring are associated with lower levels of alcohol use, while higher levels of familism and the nuclear family serve as protective factors (31-33).

We found that taking account for the parental generation emphasises the interrelation between ethnicity and alcohol-related mortality as observed from one-generation studies only. In the Nordic context, alcohol-related mortality is notably lower among ethnic Swedes than among ethnic Finns, both in Sweden and in Finland. When additionally evaluated on basis of the parental generation, this presumed cultural influence is strengthened further. In support, persons with mixed background are at an intermediate risk of alcohol-related mortality. These findings are remarkable from the perspective that, apart from the variation in sociohistorical and economic position of the study populations across the two national settings, there is also a difference in terms of generation status (34). In Sweden, we studied the children of Finnish-born immigrants, while both Finnish speakers and Swedish speakers in Finland constitute the native population of the country. What we find can, thus, be interpreted as strongly reflecting retention of ethnic values and cultural norms across generations and national contexts. These may, in turn, be associated with a strong awareness of own ethnic identity (9). One support for this claim is that for women in Finland with mixed background, own ethnicity, which generally reflects the larger ethnic community in which a person has been raised

(11), matters for the risk of alcohol-related mortality. Furthermore, we find an ethnic pattern that is similar in both countries, even though the group in majority in one country is in minority in the other. It is therefore not the minority status per se that affects drinking behaviour, but rather the cultural practices associated with ethnic origin.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

Apart from the obvious limitations of population register data, meaning that we cannot measure cultural norms or values in an explicit manner, nor drinking, alcohol-related behaviours of family relations directly, another issue needs to be stressed. Approximately 20 per cent of all Finnish-born immigrants in Sweden are Swedish-speaking Finns (35). If they have lower alcohol-related mortality than the Finnish-speaking immigrants in Sweden, which seems reasonable, we would expect that any variation as observed here is underestimated. In that case, the difference in alcohol-related mortality between persons who have two Finnish-speaking parents born in Finland and those with two parents born in Sweden would be even larger. Since population register data in Sweden do not separate people by ethnolinguistic affiliation we cannot address this issue, which is the same when studying mortality from other causes (36).

5. Conclusions

The parental influence on offspring's alcohol behaviours is often claimed to diminish over the life course. We have moved beyond most previous literature in examining not only how own ethnic identity and immigration history affect alcohol mortality, but incorporated the issue of how parental ethnicity relates to offspring alcohol-related mortality. We find strong such interrelations, and that mixed heritage generally implies an intermediate pattern of alcohol-related mortality. Hence, more effective policies and interventions specifically designed for offspring who may be disadvantaged via parental ethnicity are warranted, which may help to minimise the harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Contributorship statement

Both authors conceived the study, wrote the initial draft, and approved the final version of the manuscript. JS prepared the data and run the regressions for Finland. MK prepared the data and run the regressions for Sweden.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2018.
- 2. GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2018; 392:1015-35.
- 3. World Health Organization. Alcohol-attributable fractions. Regional prevalence, AAFs, all-cause deaths (%). https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/2332, accessed June 9, 2020.
- 4. Rehm J, Baliunas D, Borges GL, et al. The relation between different dimensions of alcohol consumption and burden of disease: an overview. Addiction 2010;105:817-843.
- 5. Blas E, Sivasankara Kurup A (eds.). Equity, social determinants and public health programmes. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010.

- 6. Sudhinaraset, M, Wigglesworth MSW, Takeuchi DT. Social and cultural contexts of alcohol use: influences in a social-ecological framework. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2016;38:35-45.
- 7. Ahern J, Galea S, Hubbard A, et al. "Culture of drinking" and individual problems with alcohol use. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1041-9.
- 8. Kulis S, Marsiglia FF, Nagoshi JL. Gender roles and substance use among Mexican American adolescents: a relationship moderated by acculturation? Subst Use Misuse 2012;47:214-29.
- 9. Caetano R, Clark CL. Trends in situational norms and attitudes toward drinking among whites, blacks, and Hispanics: 1984–1995. Drug Alcohol Depen 1999;54:45-56.
- 10. Blomgren J, Martikainen P, Mäkelä P, et al. The effects of regional characteristics on alcohol-related mortality a register-based multilevel analysis of 1.1 million men. Soc Sci Med 2004;58:2523-35.
- 11. Saarela J, Finnäs F. The ethno-linguistic community and premature death: a register based study of working-aged men in Finland. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2016;3:373-80.
- 12. Simpura J. Hur dricker finlandssvensken? Finska Läkaresällskapets Handlingar 1990;150:168-70.
- 13. Paljärvi T, Suominen S, Koskenvuo M, et al. The differences in drinking patterns between Finnish-speaking majority and Swedish-speaking minority in Finland. Eur J Public Health 2009;19:278-84.
- 14. Ågren G, Romelsjü A. Mortality in alcohol-related diseases in Sweden during 1971–80 in relation to occupation, marital status and citizenship in 1970. Scand J Soc Med 1992;20:134-142.
- 15. Hjern A, Allebeck P. Alcohol-related disorders in first-and second-generation immigrants in Sweden: a national cohort study. Addiction 2004;99:229-36.
- 16. Westman J, Wahlbeck K, Laursen TM, et al. Mortality and life expectancy of people with alcohol use disorder in Denmark, Finland and Sweden. Acta Psychiat Scand 2015;131:297-306.
- 17. Statistics Sweden. Utrikes födda i Sverige. https://www.scb.se/hitta-statistik/sverige-i-siffror/manniskorna-i-sverige/utrikes-fodda/, accessed June 5, 2020.
- 18. Ågren M. Är du finsk, eller--?: en etnologisk studie om att växa upp och leva med finsk bakgrund i Sverige. Göteborg, Arkipelag, 2006.
- 19. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Ethno-linguistic exogamy and divorce: does marital duration matter? Sociol Focus 2018;51:279-303.
- 20. Saarela J, Kolk M, Obucina O. Kinship, heritage and ethnic choice: ethnolinguistic registration across four generations in contemporary Finland. Stockholm Research Reports in Demography, 2020: 16. Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University.
- 21. Saarela J, Cederström A, Rostila M. Birth order and mortality in two ethno-linguistic groups: register-based evidence from Finland. Soc SciMed 2016;158:8-13.
- 22. Saarela J, Rostila M. Mortality after the death of a parent in adulthood: a register-based comparison of two ethno-linguistic groups. Eur J Public Health 2019;29:582-7.
- 23. Karhunen H, Uusitalo R. 50 vuotta koulutusmahdollisuuksien tasa-arvoa. Yhteiskuntapolitiikka 2017;82:296-303.

- 24. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and cause-specific mortality in a national population. Popul Res and Policy Rev 2009;28:169-94.
- 25. Chartier KG, Vaeth PA, Caetano R. Focus on: ethnicity and the social and health harms of drinking. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2013;35:229-237.
- 26. LaBrie JW, Atkins DC, Neighbors C, et al. Ethnicity specific norms and alcohol consumption among Hispanic/Latino/a and Caucasian students. Addict Behav 2012;37:573-6.
- 27. Mares SHW, van der Vorst H, Engels RCME, et al. Parental alcohol use, alcoholrelated problems, and alcohol-specific attitudes, alcohol-specific communication, and adolescent excessive alcohol use and alcohol-related problems: an indirect path model. Addict Behav 2011;36:209-16.
- 28. Trucco EM, Colder CR, Wieczorek WF, et al. Early adolescent alcohol use in context: how neighborhoods, parents, and peers impact youth. Dev Psychopathol 2014;26:425-36.
- 29. Cruz JE, Emery RE, Turkheimer E. Peer network drinking predicts increased alcohol use from adolescence to early adulthood after controlling for genetic and shared environmental selection. Dev Psychol 2012;48:1390-402.
- 30. Walsh SD, Djalovski A, Boniel-Nissim M, et al. Parental, peer and school experiences as predictors of alcohol drinking among first and second generation immigrant adolescents in Israel. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 2014;138:39-47.
- 31. White HR, McMorris BJ, Catalano, et al. Increases in alcohol and marijuana use during the transition out of high school into emerging adulthood: the effects of leaving home, going to college, and high school protective factors. J Stud Alcohol 2006;67:810-22.
- 32. Ramirez R, Hinman A, Sterling S, et al. Peer influences on adolescent alcohol and other drug use outcomes. J Nurs Scholarship 2012;44:36-44.
- 33. Ewing BA, Osilla KC, Pedersen ER, et al. Longitudinal family effects on substance use among an atrisk adolescent sample. Addict Behav 2015;41:185-91.
- 34. Berry JW, Phinney JS, Sam DL, et al. Immigrant youth: acculturation, identity, and adaptation. Appl Psychol 2006; 55:303-32.
- 35. Rooth D-O, Saarela J. Modersmål och arbetsmarknadsutfall: finsk- och svenskspråkiga finländare i Sverige. Ekonomisk Debatt 2006;34:56-65.
- 36. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and mortality from ischemic heart disease: evidence from the Finnish population register. Popul Rev 2009;48:64-82.

BMJ Open

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Finland by sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter), and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| | Bor | n 1953-1999 | , period 1971 | 1-2017, obser | ved from age | 17 | | В | Born 1953-19 | 74, period 19 | 96-20 월 7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|-------|---|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|------|
| | years related deaths alcohol- all-cause years related related deaths deaths by by main by main by main by main or | | | all-cause deaths 2020 | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause | | | | | | | |
| Men | | | | | | | | | | | nic | | | |
| FFF | 1419616 | 33244912 | 10608 | 68625 | 0.32 | 2.06 | 662904 | 7571206 | 7617 | 14293 | 3 5 351 | 1.01 | 1.89 | 4.64 |
| SSS | 63894 | 1436213 | 181 | 1914 | 0.13 | 1.33 | 31067 | 359380 | 141 | 315 | 1 <u>%</u> 86 | 0.39 | 0.88 | 3.02 |
| FFS | 16361 | 352144 | 92 | 615 | 0.26 | 1.75 | 6473 | 68981 | 63 | 109 | ₫96 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 4.29 |
| FSF | 10106 | 231357 | 56 | 424 | 0.24 | 1.83 | 4566 | 50787 | 35 | 66 | ₹96 ₹29 | 0.69 | 1.30 | 4.51 |
| SFS | 10900 | 206390 | 33 | 252 | 0.16 | 1.22 | 3772 | 37808 | 26 | 44 | ∄ 19 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 3.15 |
| SSF | 11538 | 198086 | 29 | 262 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 3309 | 28975 | 20 | 35 | 3 10 | 0.69 | 1.21 | 3.80 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | 0:#bmjop | | | |
| FFF | 1358794 | 31873160 | 2596 | 26519 | 0.08 | 0.83 | 639658 | 7430228 | 2033 | 3125 | 15466 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 2.08 |
| SSS | 59981 | 1311848 | 38 | 824 | 0.03 | 0.63 | 28704 | 334858 | 37 | 61 | ₹ 53 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 1.65 |
| FFS | 15835 | 335549 | 29 | 275 | 0.09 | 0.82 | 6183 | 65078 | 22 | 33 | ੋਂ , 64 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 2.52 |
| FSF | 8927 | 202609 | 12 | 143 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 4032 | 45340 | 10 | 14 | 9 92 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 2.03 |
| SFS | 10105 | 185456 | 5 | 103 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 3450 | 35097 | 3 | 7 | ≥59 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 1.68 |
| SSF | 11810 | 204228 | 6 | 111 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 3530 | 32393 | 3 | 6 | 9 ⁵⁹ ≥ ⁵¹ | 0.09 | 0.19 | 1.57 |

Alcohol-related mortality refers to the ICD-8 codes 291, 303, 571, 5728X, E849, E851, E860, E980, N979, and N980 for deaths in 1971-1986, to the ICD-9 codes 291, 303, 3050, 3317, 34570, 3457A, 3457X, 3575, 3594, 4255, 535, 571, 5771, 8609, 980, E849, and E851 for deaths in 1987-1995, and to the ICD-10 codes E244, F10, G312 G405, G621, G721, I426, K292, K70, K860, O354, P43, X45, T51, Y90, Y91, Z502, Z714, and Z721 for deaths in 1996-2017. 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Sweden by sex and mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finand and is for Sweden

| | Bor | n 1953-1999 | , period 197 | 1-2017, obser | ved from age | e 17 | | В | Born 1953-19 | 74, period 19 | 96-20\$7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of all-cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, all-cause | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main or contributing cause | Number of all-equise dember 2020. D | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| Men | | | | | / _ | | | | | | - OW | | | |
| FF | 30921 | 744428 | 84 | 1395 | 0.11 | 1.87 | 16199 | 146203 | 50 | 115 | ਨੂੰ 53 | 0.34 | 0.79 | 3.78 |
| SS | 2022947 | 46761800 | 2385 | 56976 | 0.05 | 1.22 | 962191 | 10754768 | 1757 | 3975 | 300009 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 2.79 |
| FS | 47505 | 1129150 | 102 | 1815 | 0.09 | 1.61 | 23443 | 257812 | 65 | 157 | 9 01 | 0.25 | 0.61 | 3.49 |
| SF | 28476 | 561815 | 35 | 731 | 0.06 | 1.30 | 10490 | 93815 | 20 | 50 | ₫63 Ħ | 0.21 | 0.53 | 2.80 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | http | | | |
| FF | 29373 | 711045 | 19 | 598 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 15571 | 143425 | 11 | 30 | 309 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 2.15 |
| SS | 1917461 | 44321881 | 677 | 31518 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 914443 | 10345432 | 517 | 1165 | 1982 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 1.90 |
| FS | 44800 | 1062951 | 24 | 870 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 22113 | 245013 | 20 | 43 | -3 13 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 2.09 |
| SF | 27311 | 535898 | 10 | 363 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 10015 | 90426 | 8 | 21 | <u>3</u> 64 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 1.81 |

See the notes of Table 1 for ICD codes.

mj.com/ on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 3. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland by own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| | • | period 1971-2017, from age 17 | | period 1996-2017, from age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.40 (0.28-0.55) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 1.06 (0.73-1.53) | 0.86 (0.67-1.11) | 1.05 (0.69-1.61) |
| FSF | 0.77 (0.59-1.00) | 0.67 (0.38-1.19) | 0.66 (0.47-0.92) | 0.71 (0.38-1.33) |
| SFS | 0.72 (0.51-1.02) | 0.45 (0.19-1.09) | 0.78 (0.53-1.14) | 0.34 (0.11-1.05) |
| SSF | 0.74 (0.51-1.07) | 0.52 (0.23-1.16) | 0.78 (0.50-1.21) | 0.35 (0.11-1.10) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FFF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | | | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS | | | 0.87 (0.72-1.05) | 1.06 (0.75-1.51) |
| FSF | | | 0.73 (0.57-0.93) | 0.67 (0.40-1.14) |
| SFS | | | 0.77 (0.57-1.04) | 0.52 (0.25-1.09) |
| SSF | | | 0.80 (0.58-1.12) | 0.47 (0.21-1.06) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.77 (0.71-0.84) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS | 0.92 (0.85-0.99) | 1.01 (0.90-1.15) | 0.94 (0.83-1.05) | 1.16 (0.99-1.36) |
| FSF | 0.93 (0.84-1.02) | 0.83 (0.70-0.98) | 1.00 (0.87-1.14) | 0.95 (0.77-1.17) |
| SFS | 0.78 (0.69-0.89) | 0.82 (0.67-1.00) | 0.79 (0.66-0.94) | 0.88 (0.68-1.13) |
| SSF | 0.89 (0.78-1.00) | 0.85 (0.70-1.02) | 0.98 (0.81-1.18) | 0.84 (0.64-1.11) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

Table 4. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden by mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden

| | | period 1971-2017, age 17 | | period 1996-2017, age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS | 0.68 (0.51-0.91) | 0.72 (0.39-1.31) | 0.67 (0.46-0.97) | 0.99 (0.47-2.07) |
| SF | 0.69 (0.46-1.02) | 0.91 (0.42-1.95) | 0.67 (0.40-1.13) | 1.28 (0.51-3.18) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SS | | | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS | | | 0.72 (0.57-0.92) | 0.81 (0.50-1.29) |
| SF | | | 0.72 (0.52-1.01) | 1.20 (0.69-2.10) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.80 (0.71-0.90) |
| FS | 0.85 (0.79-0.91) | 0.93 (0.83-1.03) | 0.84 (0.75-0.93) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |
| SF | 0.79 (0.72-0.86) | 0.95 (0.83-1.08) | 0.78 (0.67-0.90) | 0.90 (0.74-1.08) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

Online supplementary Table 1. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland, when collapsing the mixed categories that separate each parent by ethniciy, by own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| | | period 1971-2017, from age 17 | | period 1996-2017, from age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.40 (0.28-0.55) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS or FSF | 0.84 (0.71-0.99) | 0.91 (0.66-1.24) | 0.78 (0.63-0.95) | 0.91 (0.64-1.31) |
| SFS or SSF | 0.73 (0.57-0.94) | 0.49 (0.27-0.88) | 0.78 (0.58-1.04) | 0.34 (0.15-0.77) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FFF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | | | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS or FSF | | | 0.81 (0.70-0.94) | 0.91 (0.68-1.21) |
| SFS or SSF | | | 0.78 (0.63-0.98) | 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.77 (0.71-0.84) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS or FSF | 0.92 (0.87-0.98) | 0.94 (0.86-1.04) | 0.96 (0.88-1.05) | 1.08 (0.95-1.22) |
| SFS or SSF | 0.83 (0.76-0.91) | 0.83 (0.73-0.95) | 0.87 (0.76-0.99) | 0.86 (0.71-1.04) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

Online supplementary Table 2. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden, when collapsing the mixed categories that separate each parent by ethnicity, by mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden

| | | period 1971-2017, age 17 | | period 1996-2017, age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS or SF | 0.68 (0.52-0.89) | 0.77 (0.44-1.34) | 0.67 (0.47-0.95) | 1.06 (0.53-2.13) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SS | | | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS or SF | | | 0.72 (0.57-0.91) | 0.91 (0.59-1.40) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.80 (0.71-0.90) |
| FS or FS | 0.83 (0.78-0.89) | 0.93 (0.84-1.03) | 0.82 (0.74-0.91) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

BMJ Open

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2020-042234.R1 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 05-Oct-2020 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Saarela, Jan; Abo Akademi University, Kolk, Martin; Stockholm University; Institute for Futures Studies |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Epidemiology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Public health, Sociology |
| Keywords: | EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH, SOCIAL MEDICINE |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

Jan Saarela & Martin Kolk

Corresponding author: Jan Saarela, Demography Unit, Åbo Akademi University, Strandgatan 2, 65100 Vasa, Finland, jan.saarela@abo.fi

Co-author: Martin Kolk, Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University; Centre for Cultural Evolution, Stockholm University; Institute for Future Studies, Stockholm, Sweden, martin.kolk@sociology.su.se

Abstract

Objectives. The aim was to assess alcohol-related mortality of persons with mixed and uniform ethnic origins in two national contexts.

Setting. Data were from the multigenerational population registers of the total population of Finland and Sweden observed in 1971-2017. Study persons were men and women of ethnic Finnish and Swedish background, born in their country of residence.

Participants. Persons were born 1953-1999. In Finland, ethnic origin was assessed through own, mother's, and father's Finnish or Swedish ethnolinguistic affiliation. Data on Sweden included persons born in Sweden, with mother and father born in Sweden or Finland. A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 persons were included in the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively. The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 and 3,336 in each country. Cox regressions were used to examine associations.

Outcome measures. For the period 1971-2017, we studied alcohol as the main cause of death. For the period 1996-2017, we observed if alcohol was the main or contributing cause of death. Parallel analyses were performed for all-cause mortality.

Results. For men in Finland, the hazard rate of alcohol-related mortality of Swedish speakers with uniform Swedish background was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) that of Finnish speakers with uniform Finnish background. The corresponding number for women was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.28-0.55). In Sweden, the hazard rate of men with both parents born in Sweden was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) that of men with both parents born in Finland. The corresponding number for women was 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79). In both countries, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality rate between that of persons with uniform Finnish and Swedish background.

Conclusions. The consistent pattern across countries necessitates increased policy attention towards offspring disadvantaged via parental ethnicity, to minimise harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Keywords: alcohol, mortality, ethnicity, registers

Word count: 3,595 words

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Alcohol-related mortality is known to be associated with ethnicity and culture, but empirical evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse
- Using multigenerational population register data, we examine how persons with mixed cultural background and those with varying uniform background differ on alcohol-related mortality risks

- With data from two neighbouring countries, Finland and Sweden, we study also if this variation in alcohol-related mortality risks is consistent across two national contexts
- Similar differences across the study groups are found in both countries, and persons with mixed ethnic origin have an alcohol-related mortality risk between that of each ethnically uniform group
- Since the study uses population register data, we cannot measure cultural norms or values, alcohol-related behaviours, or family relations in an explicit manner



Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

1. Introduction

Alcohol-related deaths have been estimated to account for three million deaths, or more than five per cent of all deaths, worldwide every year. The harmful use of alcohol is a causal factor in more than 200 disease and injury conditions, and about five per cent of the global burden of disease and injury is attributable to alcohol (1). Alcohol use is ranked as the seventh leading risk factor for premature death and disability. For people aged 15-49 years, alcohol use is the leading cause of death (2).

The alcohol-attributable fractions of death vary globally, with many of the countries in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union ranked highest (3). Finland is well below their levels, but at a notably higher rate than that of the neighbouring Nordic country Sweden. In Finland, nine per cent of all deaths in men and 2.5 per cent of all deaths in women are attributed to alcohol, as compared to less than six per cent and 1.5 per cent, respectively, in Sweden.

There is a long tradition of alcohol research in the Nordic countries, and much of it has been of comparative nature (4). Over the period covered in the current study, starting in the early 1970s, alcohol sales and import used to be highly regulated, but were gradually relaxed (5-6). In an international perspective, both Sweden and Finland have had strict alcohol policies, with only slight differentials (7). Both societies have traditionally been described as 'dry' drinking cultures, with a focus on more sporadic and heavy drinking oriented towards intoxication (8). Alcohol and booze have been perceived as particularly rooted in the Finnish self-perception, although in an international perspective, Sweden and Finland are quite similar in this respect (9).

A variety of factors that work at both the individual and societal levels affect the patterns of alcohol consumption, drinking behaviours, and the magnitude of alcohol-related problems (10-11). Individual vulnerability factors include age, gender, familial factors, and socio-economic status. Societal factors include the level of development, the drinking context, the production, distribution and regulation of alcohol, and culture (12).

Empirical evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups, and particularly how mixed ethnic origin, relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse. The extent to which cultural norms affect alcohol use and, thus, alcohol-related mortality, may vary also by context and place (13). Cultural-related drinking behaviours in immigrant parents may assimilate toward the norms of a new context and cease to affect children's behaviours (14). If not, and the parents act as role models, certain behaviours such as alcohol use may be abiding and may even become more pronounced within another environment (15).

The multigenerational population registers of Finland and Sweden provide novel opportunities to study how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic background. Both countries have substantial populations of ethnic Swedish and ethnic Finnish origin, who differ notably in alcohol-related mortality. In ages 18-50 years, the ethnolinguistic group of Finnish speakers in Finland have approximately three times higher rates of alcohol-related mortality than the native group of Swedish speakers in the country (16-17). The Finnish speakers report also more frequent drunkenness, suffer more frequent hangovers and have alcohol-induced pass-outs significantly more often than Swedish speakers (18-19). Similar differences exist between ethnic Swedes and ethnic Finns in Sweden (20-22), but little research has been concerned with the issue of whether the ethnic gradient is similar in magnitude across the two national contexts.

Swedish speakers in Finland account for five per cent of the total population. Until 2017, Finns constituted the largest foreign-born group in Sweden. Now they constitute the third largest group, or 7.2% of all foreign-born individuals (23). Both these minority groups have managed to keep their cultural roots and identities in spite of a substantial degree of intermarriage (24-26). Swedish speakers in Finland have a very long history, while most ethnic Finns in Sweden are more recent migrants, primarily arriving during the country's economic expansion in the 1960s and 1970s. In each national context, people in the minority group have formed a permanent and stable community.

Socio-economic, demographic and area-level variables explain only a small part of the differentials in alcohol-related mortality between ethnic Finns and ethnic Swedes. One may therefore assume that they relate to group-specific cultural norms that affect alcohol use, and to variation in social networks and family bonds that protect from unhealthy drinking behaviours (27-28). Empirical support for such claims can be attained from analyses that examine persons by ethnic background, that is, by using data that include information about parental ethnicity.

There have been many studies on alcohol-related mortality in both Finland and Sweden (29-33). In the working-aged population, the alcohol-related mortality rate is more than twice higher in Finland as compared to Sweden, and roughly four times higher in men than in women in each country. Many of the underlying factors are nevertheless similar, and particularly the educational gradient associated with health-related behaviours.

However, not much is known about the interrelation between parental ethnicity and offspring's alcohol-related mortality, and in particular about the issue of how people with mixed heritage are positioned. We used population register data from two generations of the population in Finland and in Sweden to examine individuals who are the children of majority-culture parents, minority-culture parents, and those with mixed cultural origin. Based on these settings, the study sought to answer two major research questions:

- i. Do persons with mixed ethnic background and those with varying uniform background differ with respect to alcohol-related mortality risks?
- ii. Is any such variation consistent across national contexts?

2. Methods

2.1. Study populations

The study base includes the total population of Finland and Sweden observed in 1971-2017. In the population registers, persons born in each country can be linked to the mother and the father. We restricted the study to persons born 1953-1999. This was to ensure that both parents in the data from Finland could be identified (34), and that all persons were at least 17 years old when they entered the study window. All study persons in Finland, and their mother and father, were registered as a Finnish speaker or as a Swedish speaker. Foreign-born immigrants and their children were consequently excluded, because immigration and intermarriage across other ethnic lines in Finland has been rare until recently. The data on Sweden were restricted to index persons born in Sweden, whose mother and father were born in Sweden or in Finland. Index persons born abroad were consequently excluded.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Outcome

Alcohol-related mortality was assessed with the ICD-8 codes for deaths in 1971-1986 (291, 303, 571, 5728X, E849, E851, E860, E980, N979, and N980), with the ICD-9 codes for deaths in 1987-1995 (291, 303, 3050, 3317, 34570, 3457A, 3457X, 3575, 3594, 4255, 535, 571, 5771, 8609, 980, E849, and E851), and with the ICD-10 codes for deaths in 1996-2017 (E244, F10, G312, G405, G621, G721, I426, K292, K70, K860, O354, P43, X45, T51, Y90, Y91, Z502, Z714, and Z721). Medical conditions fully attributable to alcohol were consequently covered. For the entire period 1971-2017, we could separate alcohol as the main cause of death. For the period 1996-2017, we could observe if alcohol was the main or any contributing cause of death. For the sake of comparison and completeness, we performed parallel analyses for all-cause mortality.

2.2.2. Exposures

The exposures in the data from Finland were the index person's, the mother's, and the father's ethnolinguistic registration (Finnish or Swedish), respectively. These were combined into one variable with the categories FFF, SSS, FFS, FSF, SFS, and SSF, where the first letter refers to the index person, the second to the mother, and the third to the father. FFF consequently consisted of persons with a uniform Finnish background, and SSS of persons with a uniform Swedish background. FFS and FSF contained Finnish-registered persons with mixed background, while SFS and SSF contained Swedish-registered persons with mixed background. With this setup we could determine how the ethnicity of the index person, the mother, and the father, separately or jointly, relate to the index person's mortality risk. People categorised as FSS and SFF were included into analyses as well, but since they were rare and difficult to assess, the estimates are not reported (but available upon request).

The exposures in the data from Sweden were mother's country of birth and father's country of birth. Given our restrictions, we could combine these into one variable with the categories FF, SS, FS, and SF, where the first letter refers to whether the mother was born in Finland or Sweden, and the second to whether the father was born in Finland or Sweden. Since all study persons were nativeborn, FF consisted of those with a uniform ethnic Finnish background, SS of those with a uniform ethnic Swedish background, and FS and SF of those with ethnically mixed background. Like with the setup for the data on Finland, we could determine if mortality is differently associated with mother's and father's ethnicity.

2.2.3. Control variables

The control variables were year of birth, educational level, and region of birth (Tables A1-A2 in the online supplementary provide the distributions). They are important predictors of all-cause and alcohol-related mortality, and region of birth is generally more important than the current region of residence (35). Year of birth was used as a categorical variable. Educational level referred to the highest level ever attained and separated primary, secondary, and tertiary education. Region of birth was based on a regional division with 20 categories in Finland (*landskap*) and 25 categories in Sweden (*län*). We did not include income or marital status, as they could not be consistently measured throughout the study period. The age ranges analysed were also wide, which would mean that these variables would be difficult to interpret, and causality may even disputed, if alcohol problems affect income or marital status, which in turn may affect alcohol mortality.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Cox regressions were used to estimate the association between ethnic background and mortality, adjusted for the control variables. For each country, we fitted two types of models. One was for persons born 1953-1999, observed in the period 1971-2017. All these persons entered at age 17, that is, in the calendar year when they become 18 years old. The highest age of observation, for persons

born 1953, was consequently 64. The other was for persons born 1953-1974, observed in the period 1996-2017. All these persons entered at age 42 years, that is, in the calendar year when they become 43 years old, and the highest age of observation was 64.

With the first approach, we could observe the main cause of death. With the second approach, we could incorporate also any contributing cause of death. Right-censoring occurred at death, first emigration, or end-2017. We analysed alcohol-related mortality as well as all-cause mortality. Separate models were fitted for men and women. The statistical analyses were performed using the softwares SPSS 26 and STATA 15.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved.

3. Results

A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 individuals were included in the final analyses of the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 in Finland and 3,336 in Sweden. In the data from Finland, Finnish-registered index persons with a uniform Finnish background accounted for 92.7% of all study persons, Swedish-registered index persons with a uniform Swedish background for 4.1%, Finnish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.7%, and Swedish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.5%. In the data from Sweden, persons with a uniform Swedish background accounted for 95.0% of all study persons, those with a uniform Finnish background for 1.5%, and those with mixed background for 3.6%. Death rates, and particularly those for alcohol-related mortality, were overall notably higher in Finland than in Sweden.

(Table 1 about here)

(Table 2 about here)

In Finland, significant differences were observed in alcohol-related mortality between Finnish speakers with a uniform Finnish background and Swedish speakers with a uniform Swedish background in Finland (Table 3). For men, the hazard ratio of mortality when alcohol was the main cause of death was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) over the entire observation period, and almost the same if observing men from age 42. In women, the corresponding hazard ratios were similar to those for men, in spite that the number of alcohol-related deaths was smaller.

(Table 3 about here)

Estimates for men in Sweden were almost the same as for men in Finland (Table 4). The mortality hazard ratio between men with a uniform Swedish background and men with a uniform Finnish background was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) over the entire observation period, and 0.45 (95% CI: 0.34-0.60) if the persons were observed from age 42. Differences in women were less pronounced, with corresponding hazard ratios of 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79) and 0.62 (95% CI: 0.34-1.13), respectively.

(Table 4 about here)

When alcohol-related mortality was analysed as the main or any contributing cause, the difference between the two groups with a uniform background diminished somewhat for Finland, while they slightly increased for Sweden. For persons observed from age 42 in Finland, the hazard ratio was 0.56 (95% CI: 0.50-0.64) for men and 0.48 (95% CI: 0.36-0.63) for women. Corresponding numbers for Sweden were 0.44 (95% CI: 0.37-0.53) and 0.51 (95% CI: 0.36-0.74).

Mortality differentials between each of the two groups with an ethnically uniform background were in both countries less pronounced for all-cause mortality than for alcohol-related mortality. Inclusion of the control variables did not change conclusions about the between-group differentials in alcohol-related and all-cause mortality to any considerable extent (Tables A3-A4 in the online supplementary).

In both Finland and Sweden, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality risk below that of persons with a uniform Finnish background and above that of persons with a uniform Swedish background. These estimates were in the range 0.73-0.84 for men in Finland, 0.34-0.91 for women in Finland, 0.67-0.72 for men in Sweden, and 0.77-1.06 for women in Sweden, and some were statistically not significant. Yet, it was fairly evident that persons with mixed ethnic background were generally positioned between those with uniform ethnic backgrounds. For women in Finland with a mixed background, own ethnic affiliation mattered. Swedish-registered women had a similar risk of alcohol-related mortality as those with a uniform Swedish background, whereas Finnish-registered women were at a similar level as those with a uniform Finnish background.

There was no consistent pattern related to the combination of parent's sex and parent's ethnicity (Tables A5-A8 in the online supplementary). For women in Sweden, having a Finnish-born father and a Swedish-born mother was associated with higher alcohol-related mortality than if having a Swedish-born father and a Finnish-born mother, but the estimates came with wide confidence intervals. In Finland, Finnish-registered persons with a Finnish-registered mother and a Swedish-registered father had a higher alcohol-related mortality risk than Finnish-registered persons with Swedish-registered mother and Finnish-registered father, but these differences were statistically not significant. For Swedish-registered persons with a mixed background, no level differences by parental ethnicity could be observed whatsoever.

Finally, it should be noted that the variation in all-cause mortality by ethnic background was overall less pronounced than the variation in alcohol-related mortality by ethnic background.

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

We investigated how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic origin in two national contexts, using population register data. In Finland, we analysed persons by own, mother's, and father's Swedish or Finnish ethnolinguistic affiliation. In Sweden, Swedish-born persons were separated according to whether the mother, the father, or both, were born in Sweden or in Finland. We found clear evidence that not only own ethnicity, but also parental ethnicity, is interrelated with alcohol-related mortality. Thus, parental ethnic affiliation is important for the alcohol-related mortality risk, net of own affiliation, but so is also own affiliation, net of the parental affiliation.

There was a substantial level difference between persons with a uniform Finnish background and those with a uniform Swedish background. In both countries, and for both sexes, the difference in risk was about 2 to 1, in spite that the overall rate of alcohol-related mortality is notably lower in Sweden than in Finland, and in women as compared to men. Another main finding consistent across the two countries was that persons with mixed background had an intermediate alcohol-related mortality risk. This pattern was more evident for men than for women. For Finland, we could observe that Swedish-registered women with a mixed background had a mortality risk close to that of women with a uniform Swedish background, while Finnish-registered women with a mixed background were found close to those with a uniform Finnish background. We could not see that either maternal or paternal parents in mixed unions had any consistent effect.

4.2. Interpretations

Cultural norms and beliefs that vary across ethnic and racial groups are known to be strong predictors of drinking behaviours (15, 36-37). Our findings are in line with this previous evidence. However, few studies have examined diversity within ethnic groups. We contributed to this specific area by evaluating how alcohol-related mortality depends on ethnicity across two generations and two national contexts, using high-quality population register data. Patterns specific to ethnic groups relate also to how alcohol use is correlated across generations (38-39). Although parental influence on the offspring diminishes after adolescence and young adulthood (40-41), cultural-related alcohol behaviours can be expected to influence the risk of alcohol-related mortality over the life course. This fits well also with findings which say that family support, bonding, and parental monitoring are associated with lower levels of alcohol use, and that higher levels of familism and the nuclear family serve as protective factors (42-44).

We found that taking account for the parental generation emphasises the interrelation between ethnicity and alcohol-related mortality as observed from one-generation studies only. In the Nordic context, alcohol-related mortality is notably lower among ethnic Swedes than among ethnic Finns, both in Sweden and in Finland. When additionally evaluated on basis of the parental generation, this presumed cultural influence is strengthened further. In support, persons with mixed background are at an intermediate risk of alcohol-related mortality. These findings are remarkable from the perspective that, apart from the variation in sociohistorical and economic position of the study populations across the two national settings, there is also a difference in terms of generation status (45). In Sweden, we studied the children of Finnish-born immigrants, while both Finnish speakers and Swedish speakers in Finland constitute the native population of the country. What we find can, thus, be interpreted as strongly reflecting retention of ethnic values and cultural norms across generations and national contexts. These may, in turn, be associated with a strong awareness of own ethnic identity (15). One support for this claim is that for women in Finland with mixed background, own ethnicity, which generally reflects the larger ethnic community in which a person has been raised (17), matters for the risk of alcohol-related mortality. Furthermore, we find an ethnic pattern that is similar in both countries, even though the group in majority in one country is in minority in the other. It is therefore not the minority status per se that affects drinking behaviour, but rather the cultural practices associated with ethnic origin.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

Apart from the obvious limitations of population register data, meaning that we cannot measure cultural norms or values in an explicit manner, nor drinking, alcohol-related behaviours of family relations directly, another issue needs to be stressed. Approximately 20 per cent of all Finnish-born immigrants in Sweden are Swedish-speaking Finns (46). If they have lower alcohol-related mortality than the Finnish-speaking immigrants in Sweden, which seems reasonable, we would expect that any variation as observed here is underestimated. In that case, the difference in alcohol-related mortality between persons who have two Finnish-speaking parents born in Finland and those with two parents born in Sweden would be even larger. Since population register data in Sweden do not separate people by ethnolinguistic affiliation we cannot address this issue, which is the same when studying mortality from other causes (47). Furthermore, our study concerns ethnic groups which are firmly rooted in their non-majority context, and which have good access to social support and government services. This limits the generalisability of the findings to other contexts, in which ethnic minorities exist due to recent migration, where they may be less integrated, and more affected by the migration history. On the other hand, we argue that our setting allows us to assess a more direct

association with ethnic background, which is not affected by social and other disadvantages, which often may explain poor health outcomes in other minority contexts.

5. Conclusions

The parental influence on offspring's alcohol behaviours is often claimed to diminish over the life course. We have moved beyond most previous literature in examining not only how own ethnic identity and immigration history affect alcohol mortality, but incorporated the issue of how parental ethnicity relates to offspring alcohol-related mortality. We find strong such interrelations, and that mixed heritage generally implies an intermediate pattern of alcohol-related mortality. Hence, more effective policies and interventions specifically designed for offspring who may be disadvantaged via parental ethnicity are warranted, which may help to minimise the harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Contributorship statement

Both authors conceived the study, wrote the initial draft, and approved the final version of the manuscript. JS prepared the data and run the regressions for Finland. MK prepared the data and run the regressions for Sweden.

Competing interests

Both authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by Stiftelsens för Åbo Akademi Forskningsinstitut, grant number is not applicable.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2018.
- 2. GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2018; 392:1015-35.
- 3. World Health Organization. Alcohol-attributable fractions. Regional prevalence, AAFs, all-cause deaths (%). https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/2332, accessed June 9, 2020.
- 4. Bruun K, Rosenqvist P. International review series: alcohol and alcohol problems research 3. Nordic countries. Brit J Addict 1985;80:245-53.
- 5. Tigerstedt C. The dissolution of the alcohol policy field. Studies on the Nordic countries. Academic dissertation, University of Helsinki 2001.
- 6. Karlsson T. Nordic alcohol policy in Europe. The adaption of Finland's, Sweden's and Norway's alcohol policies to a new policy framework, 1994-2013. Academic dissertation, Åbo Akademi University 2014. Research, No. 137, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki.

- 7. Karlsson T, Lindeman M, Österberg E. Does alcohol policy make any difference? Scales and consumption. In Alcohol Policy in Europe: Evidence from AMPHORA, Anderson P, Braddick F, Reynolds J, et al (eds) 2012:15-23. The AMPHORA project, http://amphoraproject.net/view.php?id_cont=45
- 8. Savic M, Room R, Mugavin J, et al. Defining "drinking culture": a critical review of its meaning and connotation in social research on alcohol problems. Drugs Educ Prev Polic 2016;23:270-82.
- 9. Peltonen M. Between landscape and language: the Finnish national self-image in transition. Scand J Hist 2000;25:265-80.
- 10. Rehm J, Baliunas D, Borges GL, et al. The relation between different dimensions of alcohol consumption and burden of disease: an overview. Addiction 2010;105:817-43.
- 11. Blas E, Sivasankara Kurup A (eds). Equity, social determinants and public health programmes. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010.
- 12. Sudhinaraset, M, Wigglesworth MSW, Takeuchi DT. Social and cultural contexts of alcohol use: influences in a social-ecological framework. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2016;38:35-45.
- 13. Ahern J, Galea S, Hubbard A, et al. "Culture of drinking" and individual problems with alcohol use. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1041-9.
- 14. Kulis S, Marsiglia FF, Nagoshi JL. Gender roles and substance use among Mexican American adolescents: a relationship moderated by acculturation? Subst Use Misuse 2012;47:214-29.
- 15. Caetano R, Clark CL. Trends in situational norms and attitudes toward drinking among whites, blacks, and Hispanics: 1984-1995. Drug Alcohol Depen 1999;54:45-56.
- 16. Blomgren J, Martikainen P, Mäkelä P, et al. The effects of regional characteristics on alcohol-related mortality a register-based multilevel analysis of 1.1 million men. Soc Sci Med 2004;58:2523-35.
- 17. Saarela J, Finnäs F. The ethno-linguistic community and premature death: a register based study of working-aged men in Finland. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2016;3:373-80.
- 18. Simpura J. Hur dricker finlandssvensken? Finska Läkaresällskapets Handlingar 1990;150:168-70.
- 19. Paljärvi T, Suominen S, Koskenvuo M, et al. The differences in drinking patterns between Finnish-speaking majority and Swedish-speaking minority in Finland. Eur J Public Health 2009;19:278-84.
- 20. Ågren G, Romelsjü A. Mortality in alcohol-related diseases in Sweden during 1971-80 in relation to occupation, marital status and citizenship in 1970. Scand J Soc Med 1992;20:134-42.
- 21. Hjern A, Allebeck P. Alcohol-related disorders in first-and second-generation immigrants in Sweden: a national cohort study. Addiction 2004;99:229-36.
- 22. Westman J, Wahlbeck K, Laursen TM, et al. Mortality and life expectancy of people with alcohol use disorder in Denmark, Finland and Sweden. Acta Psychiat Scand 2015;131:297-306.
- 23. Statistics Sweden. Utrikes födda i Sverige. https://www.scb.se/hitta-statistik/sverige-i-siffror/manniskorna-i-sverige/utrikes-fodda/, accessed June 5, 2020.
- 24. Ågren M. Är du finsk, eller--?: en etnologisk studie om att växa upp och leva med finsk bakgrund i Sverige. Göteborg, Arkipelag, 2006.

- 25. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Ethno-linguistic exogamy and divorce: does marital duration matter? Sociol Focus 2018;51:279-303.
- 26. Saarela J, Kolk M, Obucina O. Kinship, heritage and ethnic choice: ethnolinguistic registration across four generations in contemporary Finland. Stockholm Research Reports in Demography, 2020: 16. Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University.
- 27. Saarela J, Cederström A, Rostila M. Birth order and mortality in two ethno-linguistic groups: register-based evidence from Finland. Soc Sci Med 2016;158:8-13.
- 28. Saarela J, Rostila M. Mortality after the death of a parent in adulthood: a register-based comparison of two ethno-linguistic groups. Eur J Public Health 2019;29:582-7.
- 29. Mackenbach JP, Kulhánová I, Bopp M, et al. Inequalities in alcohol-related mortality in 17 European countries: a retrospective analysis of mortality registers. PLoS Med 2015;12:e1001909.
- 30. Martikainen P, Ho JY, Preston S, et al. The changing contribution of smoking to educational differences in life expectancy: indirect estimates for Finnish men and women from 1971 to 2010. J Epidemiol Commun H 2013;67:219-24.
- 31. Martikainen P, Mäkelä P, Peltonen R, et al. Income differences in life expectancy: the changing contribution of harmful consumption of alcohol and smoking. Epidemiology 2014;25:182-90.
- 32. Nordahl H, Lange T, Osler M et al. Education and cause-specific mortality: the mediating role of differential exposure and vulnerability to behavioral risk factors. Epidemiology 2014;25:389-96.
- 33. Östergren O, Martikainen P, Lundberg O. The contribution of alcohol consumption and smoking to educational inequalities in life expectancy among Swedish men and women during 1991-2008. Int J Public Health 2018;63:41-8.
- 34. Karhunen H, Uusitalo R. 50 vuotta koulutusmahdollisuuksien tasa-arvoa. Yhteiskuntapolitiikka 2017;82:296-303.
- 35. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and cause-specific mortality in a national population. Popul Res and Policy Rev 2009;28:169-94.
- 36. Chartier KG, Vaeth PA, Caetano R. Focus on: ethnicity and the social and health harms of drinking. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2013;35:229-237.
- 37. LaBrie JW, Atkins DC, Neighbors C, et al. Ethnicity specific norms and alcohol consumption among Hispanic/Latino/a and Caucasian students. Addict Behav 2012;37:573-6.
- 38. Mares SHW, van der Vorst H, Engels RCME, et al. Parental alcohol use, alcoholrelated problems, and alcohol-specific attitudes, alcohol-specific communication, and adolescent excessive alcohol use and alcohol-related problems: an indirect path model. Addict Behav 2011;36:209-16.
- 39. Trucco EM, Colder CR, Wieczorek WF, et al. Early adolescent alcohol use in context: how neighborhoods, parents, and peers impact youth. Dev Psychopathol 2014;26:425-36.
- 40. Cruz JE, Emery RE, Turkheimer E. Peer network drinking predicts increased alcohol use from adolescence to early adulthood after controlling for genetic and shared environmental selection. Dev Psychol 2012;48:1390-402.

- 41. Walsh SD, Djalovski A, Boniel-Nissim M, et al. Parental, peer and school experiences as predictors of alcohol drinking among first and second generation immigrant adolescents in Israel. Drug Alcohol Depen 2014;138:39-47.
- 42. White HR, McMorris BJ, Catalano, et al. Increases in alcohol and marijuana use during the transition out of high school into emerging adulthood: the effects of leaving home, going to college, and high school protective factors. J Stud Alcohol 2006;67:810-22.
- 43. Ramirez R, Hinman A, Sterling S, et al. Peer influences on adolescent alcohol and other drug use outcomes. J Nurs Scholarship 2012;44:36-44.
- 44. Ewing BA, Osilla KC, Pedersen ER, et al. Longitudinal family effects on substance use among an atrisk adolescent sample. Addict Behav 2015;41:185-91.
- 45. Berry JW, Phinney JS, Sam DL, et al. Immigrant youth: acculturation, identity, and adaptation. Appl Psychol 2006; 55:303-32.
- 46. Rooth D-O, Saarela J. Modersmål och arbetsmarknadsutfall: finsk- och svenskspråkiga finländare i Sverige. Ekonomisk Debatt 2006;34:56-65.
- 47. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and mortality from ischemic heart disease: evidence from the Finnish population register. Popul Rev 2009;48:64-82.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Finland by sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | Born 19 | 953-1999, peri | od 1971-20 | 17, observe | d from age : | L 17 | | | Born 1 | L953-1974, | period 1996-20 | 7, observe | d from age | 42 | |
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause | Number of all- cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main | Death rate per mille, all- cause | | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Numbe r of alcohol- related deaths by main cause | | of all- cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main | Death rate per mille, alcohol-related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| | | | only | | cause only | | | | | only | | | cause only | | |
| <u>Men</u> | | | | · · | | | | | | | 9 | | | | |
| FFF | 1419616 | 33244912 | 10608 | 68625 | 0.32 | 2.06 | | 662904 | 7571206 | 7617 | 14293 | 35151 | 1.01 | 1.89 | 4.64 |
| SSS | 63894 | 1436213 | 181 | 1914 | 0.13 | 1.33 | | 31067 | 359380 | 141 | 315 | 1086 | 0.39 | 0.88 | 3.02 |
| FFS/FSF | 26467 | 583501 | 148 | 1039 | 0.25 | 1.78 | | 11039 | 119768 | 98 | 175 | 525 | 0.82 | 1.46 | 4.38 |
| SFS/SSF | 22438 | 404476 | 62 | 514 | 0.15 | 1.27 | | 7081 | 66783 | 46 | 79 | 229 | 0.69 | 1.18 | 3.43 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | | <u>D</u> | | | |
| FFF | 1358794 | 31873160 | 2596 | 26519 | 0.08 | 0.83 | | 639658 | 7430228 | 2033 | 3125 | . 15466 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 2.08 |
| SSS | 59981 | 1311848 | 38 | 824 | 0.03 | 0.63 | | 28704 | 334858 | 37 | 61 | 553 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 1.65 |
| FFS/FSF | 24762 | 538158 | 41 | 418 | 0.08 | 0.78 | | 10215 | 110418 | 32 | 47 | 256 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 2.32 |
| SFS/SSF | 21915 | 389684 | 11 | 214 | 0.03 | 0.55 | | 6980 | 67490 | 6 | 13 | 110 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 1.63 |
| Alsohal ra | latad martalitu | rafara ta tha l | CD 0 codos | 201 202 5 | 71 F720V F | 040 505 | 1 F | 1 2000 F000 NO | 70. and N000 f | or dooths i | 1071 1096 +0 | the ICD 0 e | odos 201 2 | 02 2050 2217 | 24570 |

Alcohol-related mortality refers to the ICD-8 codes 291, 303, 571, 5728X, E849, E851, E860, E980, N979, and N980 for deaths in 1971-1986, to the ICD-9 codes 291, 303, 3050, 3317, 34570, 3457A, 3457X, 35594, 4255, 535, 571, 5771, 8609, 980, E849, and E851 for deaths in 1987-1995, and to the ICD-10 codes E244, F10, G3126, G405, G621, G721, I426, K292, K70, K860, O354, P43, X45, T51, Y90, Y91, Z502, Z714, and Z721 for deaths in 1996-2017.

| | | | | | | | | BMJ Open | | | ; (| mionen-2020-042234 | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 1 | n-202 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ((| 0-04 <i>2</i> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | i C | 3 3 4 | | | |
| Table 2. D | escriptive statis | tics of the data | fom Swede | en by sex ar | nd mother's | (first lett | ter) a | nd father's (s | second letter) c | ountry of b | oirth, where F is | for Finland | and is for S | weden | |
| | Born 19 | 953-1999, perio | od 1971-201 | L7, observe | d from age | 17 | | | Born 1 | 953-1974, | period 1996-20 | ⊅ ≱7, observe | d from age | 42 | |
| | Number of | Number | Number | Number | Death | Death | | Number of | Number | Numbe | Number of | Number | Death | Death rate | Death |
| | individuals | of person- | of | of all- | rate | rate | | individuals | of person- | rof | alcohol- | of all- | rate | per mille, | rate |
| | | years | alcohol- | cause | per | per | | | years | alcohol- | related | cause | per | alcohol- | per |
| | | | related deaths | deaths | mille, alcohol- | mille, all- | | | | related deaths | | deaths | mille, alcohol- | related by main or | mille, all- |
| | | | by main | | related | cause | | | | by main | contributing | | related | contributing | cause |
| | | | cause | (), | by main | | | | | cause | cause | ¥ | by main | cause | |
| | | | only | | cause | | | | | only | | \$ | cause | | |
| | | | | | only | | | | | | | | only | | |
| Men | 20024 | 744420 | 0.4 | 1205 | 0.11 | 4.07 | | 4.6400 | 4.46202 | F0 | | <u>+</u> - | 0.24 | 0.70 | 2.70 |
| FF SS | 30921 2022947 | 744428 46761800 | 2385 | 1395 56976 | 0.11 | 1.87 1.22 | | 16199 962191 | 146203 10754768 | 50 1757 | 115 9 3975 | D. | 0.34 | 0.79 | 3.78 2.79 |
| FS/SF | 75981 | 1690965 | 137 | 2546 | 0.03 | 1.51 | | 33933 | 351627 | 85 | 207 | | 0.16 | 0.57 | 3.31 |
| F3/3F | 73981 | 1030303 | 137 | 2340 | 0.08 | 1.31 | | 33333 | 331027 | 63 | 207 | 1104 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 3.31 |
| Women | | | | | | | | N | | | -1 | 3 . | | | |
| FF | 29373 | 711045 | 19 | 598 | 0.03 | 0.84 | | 15571 | 143425 | 11 | 30 | 309 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 2.15 |
| SS | 1917461 | 44321881 | 677 | 31518 | 0.02 | 0.71 | | 914443 | 10345432 | 517 | 1165 | <u> </u> | 0.05 | 0.11 | 1.90 |
| FS/SF | 72111 | 1598849 | 34 | 1233 | 0.02 | 0.77 | | 32128 | 335439 | 28 | 64 | 677 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 2.02 |
| See the no | otes of Table 1 f | or ICD codes. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 7024 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | \$ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | <u>×</u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | 0 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Š | P C | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ć | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Ş | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | _ | | 1 | л . | | / * . / 1 | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | I | For peer re | eview only | - http:// | /bmj | open.bmj.c | com/site/abou | ut/guidelii | nes.xhtml | | | | |

| cause 1 <th> Observed from age 17</th> | Observed from age 17 |
|--|--|
| 1 | Cloohol, rain cause |
| cause 1 <th>Term 1</th> | Term 1 |
| cause 1 <th>Term 1</th> | Term 1 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 0.44 (0.38-0.52) 0.40 (0.28-0.55) 0.43 (0.35-0.51) 0.44 (0.31-0.62) SF | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) 0.40 (0.28-0.55) 0.43 (0.35-0.51) 0.44 (0.31-0.62) |
| SF 0.84 (0.71-0.99) 0.91 (0.66-1.24) 0.78 (0.63-0.95) 0.91 (0.64-1.31) SF 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) SF 0.80 | 6/FSF 0.84 (0.71-0.99) 0.91 (0.66-1.24) 0.78 (0.63-0.95) 0.91 (0.64-1.31) 6/SSF 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) cohol, ain or intributing use 1 1 1 6 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) 6/FSF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) 6/SSF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| SF 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) Iol, or ibuting section 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | S/SSF 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) Cohol, ain or intributing use F 1 1 1 S 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) S/FSF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) S/SSF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| or ibuting set of the property | Tain or contributing suse |
| or ibuting set of the property | Tain or contributing suse |
| ibuting 1 | Intributing dause Image: contributing dause Image: con |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Tenuse 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 1 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) SF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) SF 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) SF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | TF 1 1 1 0.36-0.63) SS 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) TS/FSF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) TS/SSF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| SF | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) 5/FSF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) 5/SSF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| SF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) SF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) suse 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) SF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | 5/FSF 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) 5/SSF 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) |
| diuse 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) CSF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) SF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | l-cause |
| 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) SF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | l-cause |
| 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) CSF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | |
| SF 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) | |
| | |
| SF 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | |
| | S/SSF 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) |

| | l ohcan | ved from age 17 | | Born 1953-1974, period 1996-2017, observed from age 42 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|--|----------|-------|-------------------|-----|------|-------------|--|
| | | ved from age 17 | 14/ | | | | reu iroin age 42 | | 14/ | | |
| | Men | | Wome | en | M | en | | | Wome | n | |
| Alcohol, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| main cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | 0.40 | (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 | (0.31-0.79) | 0.4 | | (0.34-0.60) | | 0.62 | (0.34-1.13) | |
| FS/SF | 0.68 | (0.52-0.89) | 0.77 | (0.44-1.34) | 0.0 | 67 | (0.47-0.95) | | 1.06 | (0.53-2.13) | |
| Alcohol, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| main or | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contributing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | | | | | 0.4 | | (0.37-0.53) | | 0.52 | (0.36-0.74) | |
| FS/SF | | | | | 0. | 72 | (0.57-0.91) | | 0.91 | (0.59-1.40) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All-cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | 0.66 | (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 | (0.75-0.88) | 0.0 | 67 | (0.61-0.72) | | 0.80 | (0.71-0.90) | |
| FS/SF | 0.83 | (0.78-0.89) | 0.93 | (0.84-1.03) | 0.8 | 82 | (0.74-0.91) | | 0.90 | (0.78-1.03) | |
| Each model Incl | iudes ye | ar of birth, educa | tional leve | er, and region of | birth as | s cat | egoricai variabie | es. | | | |

Online supplementary tables

Table A1. Distribution of variables (%) in the data fom Finland by study population, sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| , | | nolingu | iistic a | ffiliation | | F is fo | r Finni | | | d S is f | or Swe | dish-regi | stered | | | ter) | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 | | | | | | Bor | | -1974, pe served fro | | | 17, | | | | | |
| | | M | en | | | Wor | nen | | | M | en | | | Wor | nen | | |
| | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | |
| Birth cohort | | | r3r | SSF | | | гъг | SSF | | | гъг | SSF | | | гъг | 166 | |
| 1953-1954 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 5.6 | |
| 955-1959 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 21.6 | 16.3 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 19.9 | 16.9 | |
| 960-1964 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 24.3 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 18.6 | 24.4 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 20.2 | |
| 965-1969 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 25.6 | 26.1 | |
| 970-1974 975-1979 | 9.7 10.6 | 10.6 10.1 | 9.5 12.8 | 11.0 11.1 | 9.7 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.1 12.8 | 10.8 10.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 21.8 | 32.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 23.1 | 31.2 | |
| 980-1984 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 12.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 985-1989 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 12.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 990-1994 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 14.8 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 15.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 995-1999 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 14.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| ducational level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rimary | 16.0 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 18.4 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 12.7 | 8.6 | |
| econdary | 57.3 | 52.9 | 55.2 | 53.1 | 47.5 | 45.4 | 48.2 | 45.6 | 51.7 | 43.7 | 46.3 | 43.1 | 42.7 | 39.3 | 39.9 | 37.3 | |
| ertiary | 26.7 | 31.3 | 26.1 | 29.4 | 40.2 | 43.3 | 36.9 | 39.9 | 34.0 | 40.1 | 35.3 | 43.2 | 48.6 | 51.9 | 47.4 | 54.2 | |
| egion of birth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jusimaa | 19.8 | 38.7 | 59.3 | 57.0 | 19.7 | 39.3 | 58.8 | 56.9 | 16.3 | 42.6 | 61.8 | 53.7 | 16.2 | 43.1 | 61.3 | 53.8 | |
| /arsinais-Suomi | 7.6 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 | |
| Satakunta | 5.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | |
| anta-Häme irkanmaa | 3.2 8.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 1.9 | 0.2 1.2 | 3.2 8.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 2.0 | 0.3 1.1 | 3.3 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 1.9 | 0.2 1.3 | 3.3 8.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 2.1 | 0.3 1.1 | |
| irkanmaa äijät-Häme | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | |
| ymenlaakso | 3.9 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 2.0 | |
| telä-Karjala | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| telä-Savo | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| ohjois-Savo | 5.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | |
| ohjois-Karjala | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | |
| Leski-Suomi | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | |
| telä-Pohjanmaa | 4.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | |
| ohjanmaa Geski Pohjanmaa | 1.5 | 37.7 | 10.3 4.4 | 18.6 4.7 | 1.5 1.4 | 37.5 2.4 | 10.3 4.4 | 18.1 | 1.5 1.4 | 34.3 2.8 | 8.6 3.6 | 20.8 5.9 | 1.5 | 34.3 2.8 | 8.5 | 19.7 | |
| eski-Pohjanmaa ohjois-Pohjanmaa | 1.4 9.1 | 2.5 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 4.7 0.3 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 8.9 | 0.1 | 3.6 0.9 | 6.1 0.3 | |
| ainuu | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | |
| appi | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | |
| hvenanmaa | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | |
| Other | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | |

Table A2. Distribution of variables (%) in the data fom Sweden by study population, sex and mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden

| , , , | irth, wh Bor | | | land and period 19 | | | | n 1953 | -1974, p | eriod 19 | 996-20 | 017, | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | | served fr | om age | | | | | served fr | | | | |
| | | Men | | | Vomen | | | Men | | | Vomen | | |
| | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | |
| irth cohort | | | ъг | | | эг | | | 31, | | | 31. | |
| 953-1954 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.15 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 3.45 | 9.1 | 5.6 | |
| 55-1959 | 8.1 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 14.3 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 13.7 | 22.3 | 18.9 | |
| 50-1964 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 21.5 | 22.5 | 24.6 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 24.6 | |
| 65-1969 | 17.4 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 30.0 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 30.2 | 23.8 | 26.5 | |
| 70-1974 | 19.0 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 18.8 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 31.5 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 30.8 | 22.1 | 24.4 | |
| 75-1979 80-1984 | 13.8 11.7 | 10.0 9.6 | 10.5 10.8 | 14.0 11.3 | 10.0 9.5 | 10.5 11.0 | | | | | | | |
| 80-1984 85-1989 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 12.6 | | | | | | | |
| 90-1994 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 11.7 | 12.1 | | | | | | | |
| 95-1999 | 1.8 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 7.1 | 5.6 | | | | | | | |
| icational level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mary | 18.7 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 8.5 | |
| condary | 58.3 | 52.1 | 54.1 | 50.1 | 44.9 | 46.5 | 62.5 | 52.8 | 56.0 | 54.7 | 48.5 | 50.6 | |
| rtiary | 22.9 | 33.2 | 29.6 | 35.8 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 23.9 | 32.7 | 30.0 | 35.4 | 43.2 | 40.9 | |
| ion of birth | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ckholm municipality | 25.7 | 14.2 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 14.2 | 24.2 | 18.6 | 11.0 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 17.8 | |
| ockholm (except municipality) | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 7.6 | |
| psala | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | |
| dermanland tergötland | 7.2 3.4 | 2.9 5.0 | 4.7 3.7 | 7.1 3.3 | 2.9 4.9 | 4.8 3.7 | 6.8 3.1 | 3.0 5.0 | 3.9 3.3 | 6.7 3.0 | 3.0 5.0 | 3.9 3.4 | |
| iköping | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 2.8 | |
| onoberg | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| lmar | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.7 | |
| tland | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| ekinge | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | |
| istianstad | 0.4 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 | |
| lmöhus | 2.0 | 8.5 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 3.7 | |
| lland | 0.5 | 2.8 8.7 | 1.3 6.5 | 0.6 8.4 | 2.8 8.6 | 1.3 6.5 | 0.5 7.9 | 2.4 8.4 | 1.4 6.2 | 0.6 7.8 | 2.4 8.3 | 1.5 6.4 | |
| teborg & Bohus vsborg | 8.3 7.9 | 8.7 4.9 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.8 7.8 | 4.8 | 5.3 | |
| araborg | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | |
| irmland | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.2 | |
| ebro | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | |
| stmanland | 9.9 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | |
| larna | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 4.3 | |
| vleborg | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | |
| sternorrland | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | |
| ntland | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| ästerbottens | 1.1 4.2 | 3.5 3.6 | 2.4 8.1 | 1.1 4.2 | 3.5 3.6 | 2.4 8.2 | 0.9 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 10.0 | 0.9 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 10.3 | |

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A3. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland by own, mother's and father's ethnolinguistic affiliation (F is for Finnish-registered, S is for Swedish-registered), according to alternative models, men and women according to alternative models, men and women

| | | Men | | | Women 0 | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 | | | | | mber 20 | |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | | 2020. | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 0 1 | |
| SSS | 0.39 (0.33-0.45) | 0.39 (0.34-0.45) | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.35 (0.25-0.48) | ` '= | 39 (0.28-0.55) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.88 (0.75-1.04) | 0.86 (0.73-1.01) | 0.84 (0.71-0.99) | 1.06 (0.78-1.45) | ` /0 | 90 (0.66-1.24) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.67 (0.52-0.86) | 0.70 (0.54-0.90) | 0.73 (0.57-0.94) | 0.48 (0.27-0.87) | 0.48 (0.27-0.87) 0.4 | 49 (0.27-0.88) |
| All-cause | | | | | ä. - | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 9 1 | |
| SSS | 0.63 (0.61-0.66) | 0.65 (0.62-0.68) | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.74 (0.69-0.79) | 0.75 (0.70-0.80) 0.7 | 77 (0.71-0.83) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.92 (0.86-0.98) | 0.90 (0.85-0.96) | 0.92 (0.87-0.98) | 1.02 (0.92-1.12) | 0.95 (0.86-1.04) 0.9 | 94 (0.86-1.04) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.75 (0.68-0.81) | 0.79 (0.72-0.86) | 0.83 (0.76-0.91) | 0.81 (0.71-0.93) | 0.82 (0.72-1.19) 0.8 | 83 (0.73-0.95) |
| Born 1953-1974, period 1996-2017, observed from age 42 Alcohol, main cause | | | | | open.bmj.co | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 3 1 | |
| SSS | 0.39 (0.33-0.46) | 0.40 (0.34-0.47) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.40 (0.29-0.56) | | 44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.83 (0.68-1.02) | 0.82 (0.67-1.00) | 0.78 (0.63-0.95) | 1.09 (0.77-1.55) | | 92 (0.64-1.31) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.74 (0.55-0.98) | 0.77 (0.57-1.03) | 0.78 (0.58-1.04) | 0.35 (0.16-0.78) | 0.35 (0.16-0.78) 0.3 | 34 (0.15-0.77) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 2 1 | |
| SSS | 0.46 (0.41-0.52) | 0.47 (0.42-0.53) | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.43 (0.34-0.56) | | 48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.79 (0.68-0.92) | 0.78 (0.67-0.91) | 0.81 (0.70-0.94) | 1.04 (0.78-1.39) | 0.96 (0.72-1.28) 0.9 | 91 (0.68-1.21) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.67 (0.54-0.84) | 0.70 (0.56-0.88) | 0.78 (0.63-0.98) | 0.49 (0.29-0.85) | ~ | 50 (0.29-0.86) |
| All-cause | | | | | ues | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 7 1 | |
| SSS | 0.64 (0.61-0.69) | 0.66 (0.62-0.70) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.79 (0.73-0.86) | 0.79 (0.73-0.87) 0.3 | 83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.97 (0.89-1.06) | 0.95 (0.88-1.04) | 0.96 (0.88-1.05) | 1.15 (1.02-1.30) | 1.08 (0.96-1.22) 1.0 | 08 (0.95-1.22) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.79 (0.70-0.90) | 0.82 (0.72-0.93) | 0.87 (0.76-0.99) | 0.84 (0.70-1.02) | 0.84 (0.70-1.02) 0.8 | 86 (0.71-1.04) |

Model 1 includes year of birth.

Model 2 includes year of birth and educational level.

Model 3 includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A4. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden by own, mother's and father's country of birth (F is for Finland, S is for Sweden), according to alternative models, men and women

| | | Men | | | Women 0 | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 | | | | | mber 2 | |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | | 020 | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 - | |
| SS | 0.36 (0.29-0.44) | 0.38 (0.30-0.47) | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.44 (0.28-0.69) | 0.48 (0,30-0,76) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) |
| FS/SF | 0.65 (0.49-0.85) | 0.69 (0.52-0.90) | 0.68 (0.52-0.89) | 0.72 (0.41-1.26) | 0.78 (0,44-1,37) | 0.77 (0.44-1.34) |
| All-cause | | | | | ade | |
| FF | 1 | 1/// | 1 | 1 | 1 <u>a</u> | 1 |
| SS | 0.57 (0.55-0.61) | 0.65 (0.62-0.68) | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.73 (0.68-0.80) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) |
| FS/SF | 0.76 (0.71-0.81) | 0.84 (0.79-0.90) | 0.83 (0.78-0.89) | 0.87 (0.79-0.96) | 0.94 (0.85-1.04) | 0.93 (0.84-1.03) |
| Born 1953-1974, period 1996-2017, observed from age 42 Alcohol, main cause | | | | | :://bmjoper | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.41 (0.31-0.54) | 0.43 (0.32-0.56) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.54 (0.30-0.99) | $0.58 \ (0.32-1.05)$ | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS/SF | 0.65 (0.46-0.92) | 0.67 (0.47-0.94) | 0.67 (0.47-0.95) | 0.99 (0.49-1.99) | 1.05 (0.52-2.10) | 1.06 (0.53-2.13) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | | on | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 > 2 | 1 |
| SS | 0.41 (0.34-0.49) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.47 (0.33-0.67) | 0.50 (0.35-0.72) <u>=</u> | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS/SF | 0.69 (0.55-0.87) | 0.71 (0.57-0.90) | 0.72 (0.57-0.91) | 0.85 (0.55-1.31) | 0.90 (0.58-1.39) | 0.91 (0.59-1.40) |
| All-cause | | | | | 202 | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.64 (0.59-0.70) | 0.66 (0.60-0.72) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.78 (0.69-0.87) | 0.80 (0.72-0.90) | |
| FS/SF | 0.81 (0.73-0.89) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) | 0.82 (0.74-0.91) | 0.87 (0.76-1.00) | 0.90 (0.79-1.03) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |

Model 1 includes year of birth.

Model 2 includes year of birth and educational level.

Model 3 includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A5. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Finland by sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish registered, when conserving the offiliation of each porent. S is for Swedish-registered, when separating the affiliation of each parent

| | DOL | n 1953-1999 | , period 197 | 1-2017, obser | ved from age | e 17 | | В | orn 1953-19 | 974, period 199 | 96-20 ≧ 7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of all-cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, all-cause | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main or contributing cause | all-guse dear 2020. Dow | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| Men | | | | | | • | | | | | 7)loa d 51 | | | |
| FFF | 1419616 | 33244912 | 10608 | 68625 | 0.32 | 2.06 | 662904 | 7571206 | 7617 | 14293 | 3 5 51 | 1.01 | 1.89 | 4.64 |
| SSS | 63894 | 1436213 | 181 | 1914 | 0.13 | 1.33 | 31067 | 359380 | 141 | 315 | 1 9 86 | 0.39 | 0.88 | 3.02 |
| FFS | 16361 | 352144 | 92 | 615 | 0.26 | 1.75 | 6473 | 68981 | 63 | 109 | ₹96 ₹29 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 4.29 |
| FSF | 10106 | 231357 | 56 | 424 | 0.24 | 1.83 | 4566 | 50787 | 35 | 66 | 2 29 | 0.69 | 1.30 | 4.51 |
| SFS | 10900 | 206390 | 33 | 252 | 0.16 | 1.22 | 3772 | 37808 | 26 | 44 | ₹ 19 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 3.15 |
| SSF | 11538 | 198086 | 29 | 262 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 3309 | 28975 | 20 | 35 | ≥ 10 | 0.69 | 1.21 | 3.80 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | omjop | | | |
| FFF | 1358794 | 31873160 | 2596 | 26519 | 0.08 | 0.83 | 639658 | 7430228 | 2033 | 3125 | 15466 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 2.08 |
| SSS | 59981 | 1311848 | 38 | 824 | 0.03 | 0.63 | 28704 | 334858 | 37 | 61 | ≅ 53 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 1.65 |
| FFS | 15835 | 335549 | 29 | 275 | 0.09 | 0.82 | 6183 | 65078 | 22 | 33 | 3 :64 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 2.52 |
| FSF | 8927 | 202609 | 12 | 143 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 4032 | 45340 | 10 | 14 | 9 92 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 2.03 |
| SFS | 10105 | 185456 | 5 | 103 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 3450 | 35097 | 3 | 7 | 59 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 1.68 |
| SSF | 11810 | 204228 | 6 | 111 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 3530 | 32393 | 3 | 6 | 9 ⁵⁹ ≥ ⁵¹ | 0.09 | 0.19 | 1.57 |
| See the no | otes of Table | for ICD cod | des. | | | | | | | | pril 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright. | | | |

BMJ Open

Table A6. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Sweden by sex and mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Einland and is for Sweden, when separating the country of birth of each parent

| | Bor | n 1953-1999 | , period 197 | 1-2017, obsei | ved from age | e 17 | | В | 30rn 1953-19 | 974, period 19 | 96-20 2 7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of all-cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, all-cause | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main or contributing cause | all-guse dear 2020. Dow | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| <u>Men</u> | | | | | | | | | | | nloag ₅₃ | | | |
| FF | 30921 | 744428 | | 1395 | 0.11 | 1.87 | 16199 | 146203 | 50 | 115 | ⊕ 53 | 0.34 | 0.79 | 3.78 |
| SS | 2022947 | 46761800 | 2385 | 56976 | 0.05 | 1.22 | 962191 | 10754768 | | 3975 | 30 0 09 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 2.79 |
| FS SF | 47505 28476 | 1129150 561815 | | 1815 731 | 0.09 0.06 | 1.61 1.30 | 23443 10490 | 257812 93815 | 65 20 | 157 50 | 363 | 0.25 0.21 | 0.61 0.53 | 3.49 2.80 |
| | 20470 | 301013 | 33 | 731 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 10490 | 73013 | 20 | 50 | from http://bmji | 0.21 | 0.55 | 2.00 |
| Women FF | 29373 | 711045 | 19 | 598 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 15571 | 143425 | 11 | 30 | 3 00 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 2.15 |
| SS | 1917461 | 44321881 | 677 | 31518 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 914443 | 10345432 | | 1165 | 1 % 82 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 1.90 |
| FS | 44800 | 1062951 | 24 | 870 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 22113 | 245013 | 20 | 43 | 3 13 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 2.09 |
| SF | 27311 | 535898 | | 363 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 10015 | 90426 | | 21 | 9 64 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 1.81 |
| | otes of Table | | | For pe | er review o | nly - http://b | mjopen.bmj | j.com/site/a | | delines.xhtm | 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright. | | | |

Table A7. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland by own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered, when separating the affiliation of each parent

| | | period 1971-2017, from age 17 | | period 1996-2017, from age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.40 (0.28-0.55) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 1.06 (0.73-1.53) | 0.45 (0.53-0.51) | 1.05 (0.69-1.61) |
| FSF | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 0.67 (0.38-1.19) | 0.66 (0.47-0.92) | 0.71 (0.38-1.33) |
| SFS | 0.77 (0.59-1.00) | 0.45 (0.19-1.09) | 0.78 (0.53-1.14) | 0.71 (0.38-1.33) |
| SSF | 0.72 (0.51-1.02) | 0.52 (0.23-1.16) | 0.78 (0.50-1.21) | 0.35 (0.11-1.10) |
| 551 | 0.74 (0.51-1.07) | 0.32 (0.23-1.10) | 0.76 (0.30-1.21) | 0.55 (0.11-1.10) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| e | | | | 10. |
| FFF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | | | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS | | | 0.87 (0.72-1.05) | 1.06 (0.75-1.51) |
| FSF | | | 0.73 (0.57-0.93) | 0.67 (0.40-1.14) |
| SFS | | | 0.77 (0.57-1.04) | 0.52 (0.25-1.09) |
| SSF | | | 0.80 (0.58-1.12) | 0.47 (0.21-1.06) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.77 (0.71-0.84) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS | 0.92 (0.85-0.99) | 1.01 (0.90-1.15) | 0.94 (0.83-1.05) | 1.16 (0.99-1.36) |
| FSF | 0.93 (0.84-1.02) | 0.83 (0.70-0.98) | 1.00 (0.87-1.14) | 0.95 (0.77-1.17) |
| SFS | 0.78 (0.69-0.89) | 0.82 (0.67-1.00) | 0.79 (0.66-0.94) | 0.88 (0.68-1.13) |
| SSF | 0.89 (0.78-1.00) | 0.85 (0.70-1.02) | 0.98 (0.81-1.18) | 0.84 (0.64-1.11) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

mjopen-2020-042234 on 20 November 2020. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table A8. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden by mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden, when separating the country of birth of each parent

| | | period 1971-2017, age 17 | | period 1996-2017, age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS | 0.68 (0.51-0.91) | 0.72 (0.39-1.31) | 0.67 (0.46-0.97) | 0.99 (0.47-2.07) |
| SF | 0.69 (0.46-1.02) | 0.91 (0.42-1.95) | 0.67 (0.40-1.13) | 1.28 (0.51-3.18) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FF | | | | 1 |
| SS | | | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS | | | 0.72 (0.57-0.92) | 0.81 (0.50-1.29) |
| SF | | | 0.72 (0.52-1.01) | 1.20 (0.69-2.10) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.80 (0.71-0.90) |
| FS | 0.85 (0.79-0.91) | 0.93 (0.83-1.03) | 0.84 (0.75-0.93) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |
| SF | 0.79 (0.72-0.86) | 0.95 (0.83-1.08) | 0.78 (0.67-0.90) | 0.90 (0.74-1.08) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------|------------|---|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract |
| | | page 1, lines 1-2. |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done |
| | | and what was found: page 1, lines 24-31. |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported: |
| | | page 3, lines 4-44, page 4, lines 1-24. |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses: page 4, lines 25-27. |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper: page 4, lines 30-39, page 5, |
| | | lines 1-28. |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, |
| | | exposure, follow-up, and data collection: page 4, lines 30-39. |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of |
| | | participants. Describe methods of follow-up: page 4, lines 30-39. |
| | | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and |
| | | unexposed: n.a. |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect |
| | | modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable: page 5, lines 1-39 |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of |
| measurement | | assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is |
| | | more than one group: page 4, lines 30-39, page 5, lines 1-39. |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias: page 5, lines 30-39. |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at: page 6, lines 12-14. |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, |
| | | describe which groupings were chosen and why: page 5, lines 11-39. |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding: |
| | | page 5, lines 41-44, page 6, lines 1-8. |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions: page 5, lines |
| | | 11-28. |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed: page 5, lines 19-20. |
| | | (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed: page 6, lines 5-6. |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses: page 7, lines 14-15. |
| Results | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially |
| r articipants | 13 | eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, |
| | | completing follow-up, and analysed: page 4, lines 30-39, page 5, lines 11-28, 41-44, |
| | | page 6, lines 1-6. |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage: page 5, lines 41-44, page 6, line |
| | | 1-8. |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and |
| Descriptive data | 14. | information on exposures and potential confounders: page 5, lines 30-31. |
| | | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest: |
| | | page 5, lines 30-31. |

| | | (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount): page 6, lines 12-13. |
|-------------------|-----|---|
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time: page 6, lines 12-21. |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included: page 6, lines 24-41, page 7, lines 1-13. (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period: page 6, lines 12-21. |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses: page 7, lines 14-22. |
| Discussion | | J J 1 C / |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives: page 7, lines 27-43. |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias: page 8, lines 32-45, page 9, lines 1-2. |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence: page 9, lines 4-11. |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results: page 8, lines 43-45, page 9, lines 1-2. |
| Other information | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based: page 2, lines 9-10. |

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2020-042234.R2 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 03-Nov-2020 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Saarela, Jan; Abo Akademi University, Kolk, Martin; Stockholm University; Institute for Futures Studies |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Epidemiology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Public health, Sociology |
| Keywords: | EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH, SOCIAL MEDICINE |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

Jan Saarela & Martin Kolk

Corresponding author: Jan Saarela, Demography Unit, Åbo Akademi University, Strandgatan 2, 65100 Vasa, Finland, jan.saarela@abo.fi

Co-author: Martin Kolk, Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University; Centre for Cultural Evolution, Stockholm University; Institute for Future Studies, Stockholm, Sweden, martin.kolk@sociology.su.se

Abstract

Objectives. The aim was to assess alcohol-related mortality of persons with mixed and uniform ethnic origins in two national contexts.

Setting. Data were from the multigenerational population registers of the total population of Finland and Sweden observed in 1971-2017. Study persons were men and women of ethnic Finnish and Swedish background, born in their country of residence.

Participants. Persons were born 1953-1999. In Finland, ethnic origin was assessed through own, mother's, and father's Finnish or Swedish ethnolinguistic affiliation. Data on Sweden included persons born in Sweden, with mother and father born in Sweden or Finland. A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 persons were included in the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively. The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 and 3,336. Cox regressions were used to examine associations.

Outcome measures. For the period 1971-2017, we studied alcohol as the main cause of death. For the period 1996-2017, we observed if alcohol was the main or contributing cause of death. Parallel analyses were performed for all-cause mortality.

Results. For men in Finland, the hazard rate of alcohol-related mortality of Swedish speakers with uniform Swedish background was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) that of Finnish speakers with uniform Finnish background. The corresponding hazard rate for women was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.28-0.55). In Sweden, the hazard rate of men with both parents born in Sweden was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) that of men with both parents born in Finland. The corresponding hazard rate for women was 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79). In both countries, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality rate between that of persons with uniform Finnish and Swedish background.

Conclusions. The consistent pattern across countries necessitates increased policy attention towards offspring disadvantaged via parental ethnicity, to minimise harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Keywords: alcohol, mortality, ethnicity, registers

Word count: 3,690 words

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Alcohol-related mortality is known to be associated with ethnicity and culture, but empirical
 evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse
- Using multigenerational population register data, we examine how persons with mixed cultural background and those with varying uniform background differ on alcohol-related mortality risks

- With data from two neighbouring countries, Finland and Sweden, we study also if this variation in alcohol-related mortality risks is consistent across two national contexts
- Similar differences across the study groups are found in both countries, and persons with mixed ethnic origin have an alcohol-related mortality risk between that of each ethnically uniform group
- Since the study uses population register data, we cannot measure cultural norms or values, alcohol-related behaviours, or family relations in an explicit manner



Alcohol-related mortality by ethnic origin of natives: A prospective cohort study based on multigenerational population register data from Finland and Sweden

1. Introduction

Alcohol-related deaths have been estimated to account for three million deaths, or more than five per cent of all deaths, worldwide every year. The harmful use of alcohol is a causal factor in more than 200 disease and injury conditions, and about five per cent of the global burden of disease and injury is attributable to alcohol (1). Alcohol use is ranked as the seventh leading risk factor for premature death and disability. For people aged 15-49 years, alcohol use is the leading cause of death (2).

The alcohol-attributable fractions of death vary globally, with many of the countries in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union ranked highest (3). Finland is well below their levels, but at a notably higher rate than that of the neighbouring Nordic country Sweden. In Finland, nine per cent of all deaths in men and 2.5 per cent of all deaths in women are attributed to alcohol, as compared to less than six per cent and 1.5 per cent, respectively, in Sweden.

There is a long tradition of alcohol research in the Nordic countries, and much of it has been of comparative nature (4). Over the period covered in the current study, starting in the early 1970s, alcohol sales and import used to be highly regulated, but were gradually relaxed (5-6). In an international perspective, both Sweden and Finland have had strict alcohol policies, with only slight differentials (7). Both societies have traditionally been described as 'dry' drinking cultures, with a focus on more sporadic and heavy drinking oriented towards intoxication (8). Alcohol and booze have been perceived as particularly rooted in the Finnish self-perception, although in an international perspective, Sweden and Finland are quite similar in this respect (9).

A variety of factors that work at both the individual and societal levels affect the patterns of alcohol consumption, drinking behaviours, and the magnitude of alcohol-related problems (10-11). Individual vulnerability factors include age, gender, familial factors, and socio-economic status. Societal factors include the level of development, the drinking context, the production, distribution and regulation of alcohol, and culture (12).

Empirical evidence on how diversity within ethnic groups, and particularly how mixed ethnic origin, relate to alcohol-related mortality is sparse. The extent to which cultural norms affect alcohol use and, thus, alcohol-related mortality, may vary also by context and place (13). Cultural-related drinking behaviours in immigrant parents may assimilate toward the norms of a new context and cease to affect children's behaviours (14). If not, and the parents act as role models, certain behaviours such as alcohol use may be abiding and may even become more pronounced within another environment (15).

The multigenerational population registers of Finland and Sweden provide novel opportunities to study how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic background. Both countries have substantial populations of ethnic Swedish and ethnic Finnish origin, who differ notably in alcohol-related mortality. In ages 18-50 years, the ethnolinguistic group of Finnish speakers in Finland have approximately three times higher rates of alcohol-related mortality than the native group of Swedish speakers in the country (16-17). The Finnish speakers report also more frequent drunkenness, suffer more frequent hangovers and have alcohol-induced pass-outs significantly more often than Swedish speakers (18-19). Similar differences exist between ethnic Swedes and ethnic Finns in Sweden (20-22), but little research has been concerned with the issue of whether the ethnic gradient is similar in magnitude across the two national contexts.

Swedish speakers in Finland account for five per cent of the total population. Until 2017, Finns constituted the largest foreign-born group in Sweden. Now they constitute the third largest group, or 7.2% of all foreign-born individuals (23). Both these minority groups have managed to keep their cultural roots and identities in spite of a substantial degree of intermarriage (24-26). Swedish speakers in Finland have a very long history, while most ethnic Finns in Sweden are more recent migrants, primarily arriving during the country's economic expansion in the 1960s and 1970s. In each national context, people in the minority group have formed a permanent and stable community.

Socio-economic, demographic and area-level variables explain only a small part of the differentials in alcohol-related mortality between ethnic Finns and ethnic Swedes. One may therefore assume that they relate to group-specific cultural norms that affect alcohol use, and to variation in social networks and family bonds that protect from unhealthy drinking behaviours (27-28). Empirical support for such claims can be attained from analyses that examine persons by ethnic background, that is, by using data that include information about parental ethnicity.

There have been many studies on alcohol-related mortality in both Finland and Sweden (29-33). In the working-aged population, the alcohol-related mortality rate is more than twice higher in Finland as compared to Sweden, and roughly four times higher in men than in women in each country. Many of the underlying factors are nevertheless similar, and particularly the educational gradient associated with health-related behaviours.

However, not much is known about the interrelation between parental ethnicity and offspring's alcohol-related mortality, and in particular about the issue of how people with mixed heritage are positioned. We used population register data from two generations of the population in Finland and in Sweden to examine individuals who are the children of majority-culture parents, minority-culture parents, and those with mixed cultural origin. Based on these settings, the study sought to answer two major research questions:

- i. Do persons with mixed ethnic background and those with varying uniform background differ with respect to alcohol-related mortality risks?
- ii. Is any such variation consistent across national contexts?

2. Methods

2.1. Study populations

The study base includes the total population of Finland and Sweden observed in 1971-2017. In the population registers, persons born in each country can be linked to the mother and the father. We restricted the study to persons born 1953-1999. This was to ensure that both parents in the data from Finland could be identified (34), and that all persons were at least 17 years old when they entered the study window. All study persons in Finland, and their mother and father, were registered as a Finnish speaker or as a Swedish speaker. Foreign-born immigrants and their children were consequently excluded, because immigration and intermarriage across other ethnic lines in Finland has been rare until recently. The data on Sweden were restricted to index persons born in Sweden, whose mother and father were born in Sweden or in Finland. Index persons born abroad were consequently excluded.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Outcome

Alcohol-related mortality was assessed with the ICD-8 codes for deaths in 1971-1986 (291, 303, 571, 5728X, E849, E851, E860, E980, N979, and N980), with the ICD-9 codes for deaths in 1987-1995 (291, 303, 3050, 3317, 34570, 3457A, 3457X, 3575, 3594, 4255, 535, 571, 5771, 8609, 980, E849, and E851), and with the ICD-10 codes for deaths in 1996-2017 (E244, F10, G312, G405, G621, G721, I426, K292, K70, K860, O354, P43, X45, T51, Y90, Y91, Z502, Z714, and Z721). Medical conditions fully attributable to alcohol were consequently covered. For the entire period 1971-2017, we could separate alcohol as the main cause of death. ICD-10 codes X65 (intentional self-poisoning by and exposure to alcohol) and Y15 (poisoning by and exposure to alcohol, undetermined intent) were not included because the first is generally used to identify suicides and the latter to identify (potential) accidents. They cannot either be separated by the ICD-8 and ICD-9 terminologies, which we used to identify causes of death that occurred before 1996. For the period 1996-2017, we could additionally observe if alcohol was the main or any contributing cause of death. For the sake of comparison and completeness, we performed parallel analyses for all-cause mortality.

2.2.2. Exposures

The exposures in the data from Finland were the index person's, the mother's, and the father's ethnolinguistic registration (Finnish or Swedish), respectively. These were combined into one variable with the categories FFF, SSS, FFS, FSF, SFS, and SSF, where the first letter refers to the index person, the second to the mother, and the third to the father. FFF consequently consisted of persons with a uniform Finnish background, and SSS of persons with a uniform Swedish background. FFS and FSF contained Finnish-registered persons with mixed background, while SFS and SSF contained Swedish-registered persons with mixed background. With this setup we could determine how the ethnicity of the index person, the mother, and the father, separately or jointly, relate to the index person's mortality risk. People categorised as FSS and SFF were included into analyses as well, but since they were rare and difficult to assess, the estimates are not reported (but available upon request).

The exposures in the data from Sweden were mother's country of birth and father's country of birth. Given our restrictions, we could combine these into one variable with the categories FF, SS, FS, and SF, where the first letter refers to whether the mother was born in Finland or Sweden, and the second to whether the father was born in Finland or Sweden. Since all study persons were nativeborn, FF consisted of those with a uniform ethnic Finnish background, SS of those with a uniform ethnic Swedish background, and FS and SF of those with ethnically mixed background. Like with the setup for the data on Finland, we could determine if mortality is differently associated with mother's and father's ethnicity.

2.2.3. Control variables

The control variables were year of birth, educational level, and region of birth (Tables A1-A2 in the online supplementary provide the distributions). They are important predictors of all-cause and alcohol-related mortality, and region of birth is generally more important than the current region of residence (35). Year of birth was used as a categorical variable. Educational level referred to the highest level ever attained and separated primary, secondary, and tertiary education. Region of birth was based on a regional division with 20 categories in Finland (*landskap*) and 25 categories in Sweden (*län*). We did not include income or marital status, as they could not be consistently measured throughout the study period. The age ranges analysed were also wide, which would mean that these variables would be difficult to interpret, and causality may even disputed, if alcohol problems affect income or marital status, which in turn may affect alcohol mortality.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Cox regressions were used to estimate the association between ethnic background and mortality, adjusted for the control variables. For each country, we fitted two types of models. One was for persons born 1953-1999, observed in the period 1971-2017. All these persons entered at age 17, that is, in the calendar year when they become 18 years old. The highest age of observation, for persons born 1953, was consequently 64. The other was for persons born 1953-1974, observed in the period 1996-2017. All these persons entered at age 42 years, that is, in the calendar year when they become 43 years old, and the highest age of observation was 64.

With the first approach, we could observe the main cause of death. With the second approach, we could incorporate also any contributing cause of death. Right-censoring occurred at death, first emigration, or end-2017. We analysed alcohol-related mortality as well as all-cause mortality. Separate models were fitted for men and women. The statistical analyses were performed using the softwares SPSS 26 and STATA 15.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved.

3. Results

A total of 2,997,867 and 4,148,794 individuals were included in the final analyses of the Finnish and Swedish data, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). The total number of alcohol-related deaths by main cause was 13,204 in Finland and 3,336 in Sweden. In the data from Finland, Finnish-registered index persons with a uniform Finnish background accounted for 92.7% of all study persons, Swedish-registered index persons with a uniform Swedish background for 4.1%, Finnish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.7%, and Swedish-registered persons with mixed background for 1.5%. In the data from Sweden, persons with a uniform Swedish background accounted for 95.0% of all study persons, those with a uniform Finnish background for 1.5%, and those with mixed background for 3.6%. Death rates, and particularly those for alcohol-related mortality, were overall notably higher in Finland than in Sweden.

(Table 1 about here)

(Table 2 about here)

In Finland, significant differences were observed in alcohol-related mortality between Finnish speakers with a uniform Finnish background and Swedish speakers with a uniform Swedish background in Finland (Table 3). For men, the hazard ratio of mortality when alcohol was the main cause of death was 0.44 (95% CI: 0.38-0.52) over the entire observation period, and almost the same if observing men from age 42. In women, the corresponding hazard ratios were similar to those for men, in spite that the number of alcohol-related deaths was smaller.

(Table 3 about here)

Estimates for men in Sweden were almost the same as for men in Finland (Table 4). The mortality hazard ratio between men with a uniform Swedish background and men with a uniform Finnish background was 0.40 (95% CI: 0.32-0.49) over the entire observation period, and 0.45 (95% CI: 0.34-0.60) if the persons were observed from age 42. Differences in women were less pronounced, with corresponding hazard ratios of 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.79) and 0.62 (95% CI: 0.34-1.13), respectively.

(Table 4 about here)

When alcohol-related mortality was analysed as the main or any contributing cause, the difference between the two groups with a uniform background diminished somewhat for Finland, while they slightly increased for Sweden. For persons observed from age 42 in Finland, the hazard ratio was 0.56 (95% CI: 0.50-0.64) for men and 0.48 (95% CI: 0.36-0.63) for women. Corresponding numbers for Sweden were 0.44 (95% CI: 0.37-0.53) and 0.51 (95% CI: 0.36-0.74).

Mortality differentials between each of the two groups with an ethnically uniform background were in both countries less pronounced for all-cause mortality than for alcohol-related mortality. Inclusion of the control variables did not change conclusions about the between-group differentials in alcohol-related and all-cause mortality to any considerable extent (Tables A3-A4 in the online supplementary).

In both Finland and Sweden, persons with mixed background had an alcohol-related mortality risk below that of persons with a uniform Finnish background and above that of persons with a uniform Swedish background. These estimates were in the range 0.73-0.84 for men in Finland, 0.34-0.91 for women in Finland, 0.67-0.72 for men in Sweden, and 0.77-1.06 for women in Sweden, and some were statistically not significant. Yet, it was fairly evident that persons with mixed ethnic background were generally positioned between those with uniform ethnic backgrounds. For women in Finland with a mixed background, own ethnic affiliation mattered. Swedish-registered women had a similar risk of alcohol-related mortality as those with a uniform Swedish background, whereas Finnish-registered women were at a similar level as those with a uniform Finnish background.

There was no consistent pattern related to the combination of parent's sex and parent's ethnicity (Tables A5-A8 in the online supplementary). For women in Sweden, having a Finnish-born father and a Swedish-born mother was associated with higher alcohol-related mortality than if having a Swedish-born father and a Finnish-born mother, but the estimates came with wide confidence intervals. In Finland, Finnish-registered persons with a Finnish-registered mother and a Swedish-registered father had a higher alcohol-related mortality risk than Finnish-registered persons with Swedish-registered mother and Finnish-registered father, but these differences were statistically not significant. For Swedish-registered persons with a mixed background, no level differences by parental ethnicity could be observed whatsoever.

Finally, it should be noted that the variation in all-cause mortality by ethnic background was overall less pronounced than the variation in alcohol-related mortality by ethnic background.

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

We investigated how alcohol-related mortality relates to ethnic origin in two national contexts, using population register data. In Finland, we analysed persons by own, mother's, and father's Swedish or Finnish ethnolinguistic affiliation. In Sweden, Swedish-born persons were separated according to whether the mother, the father, or both, were born in Sweden or in Finland. We found clear evidence that not only own ethnicity, but also parental ethnicity, is interrelated with alcohol-related mortality. Thus, parental ethnic affiliation is important for the alcohol-related mortality risk, net of own affiliation, but so is also own affiliation, net of the parental affiliation.

There was a substantial level difference between persons with a uniform Finnish background and those with a uniform Swedish background. In both countries, and for both sexes, the difference in risk was about 2 to 1, in spite that the overall rate of alcohol-related mortality is notably lower in Sweden than in Finland, and in women as compared to men. Another main finding consistent across

the two countries was that persons with mixed background had an intermediate alcohol-related mortality risk. This pattern was more evident for men than for women. For Finland, we could observe that Swedish-registered women with a mixed background had a mortality risk close to that of women with a uniform Swedish background, while Finnish-registered women with a mixed background were found close to those with a uniform Finnish background. We could not see that either maternal or paternal parents in mixed unions had any consistent effect.

4.2. Interpretations

Cultural norms and beliefs that vary across ethnic and racial groups are known to be strong predictors of drinking behaviours (15, 36-37). Our findings are in line with this previous evidence. However, few studies have examined diversity within ethnic groups. We contributed to this specific area by evaluating how alcohol-related mortality depends on ethnicity across two generations and two national contexts, using high-quality population register data. Patterns specific to ethnic groups relate also to how alcohol use is correlated across generations (38-39). Although parental influence on the offspring diminishes after adolescence and young adulthood (40-41), cultural-related alcohol behaviours can be expected to influence the risk of alcohol-related mortality over the life course. This fits well also with findings which say that family support, bonding, and parental monitoring are associated with lower levels of alcohol use, and that higher levels of familism and the nuclear family serve as protective factors (42-44).

We found that taking account for the parental generation emphasises the interrelation between ethnicity and alcohol-related mortality as observed from one-generation studies only. In the Nordic context, alcohol-related mortality is notably lower among ethnic Swedes than among ethnic Finns, both in Sweden and in Finland. When additionally evaluated on basis of the parental generation, this presumed cultural influence is strengthened further. In support, persons with mixed background are at an intermediate risk of alcohol-related mortality. These findings are remarkable from the perspective that, apart from the variation in sociohistorical and economic position of the study populations across the two national settings, there is also a difference in terms of generation status (45). In Sweden, we studied the children of Finnish-born immigrants, while both Finnish speakers and Swedish speakers in Finland constitute the native population of the country. What we find can, thus, be interpreted as strongly reflecting retention of ethnic values and cultural norms across generations and national contexts. These may, in turn, be associated with a strong awareness of own ethnic identity (15). One support for this claim is that for women in Finland with mixed background, own ethnicity, which generally reflects the larger ethnic community in which a person has been raised (17), matters for the risk of alcohol-related mortality. Furthermore, we find an ethnic pattern that is similar in both countries, even though the group in majority in one country is in minority in the other. It is therefore not the minority status per se that affects drinking behaviour, but rather the cultural practices associated with ethnic origin.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

Apart from the obvious limitations of population register data, meaning that we cannot measure cultural norms or values in an explicit manner, nor drinking, alcohol-related behaviours of family relations directly, another issue needs to be stressed. Approximately 20 per cent of all Finnish-born immigrants in Sweden are Swedish-speaking Finns (46). If they have lower alcohol-related mortality than the Finnish-speaking immigrants in Sweden, which seems reasonable, we would expect that any variation as observed here is underestimated. In that case, the difference in alcohol-related mortality between persons who have two Finnish-speaking parents born in Finland and those with two parents born in Sweden would be even larger. Since population register data in Sweden do not separate

people by ethnolinguistic affiliation we cannot address this issue, which is the same when studying mortality from other causes (47). Furthermore, our study concerns ethnic groups which are firmly rooted in their non-majority context, and which have good access to social support and government services. This limits the generalisability of the findings to other contexts, in which ethnic minorities exist due to recent migration, where they may be less integrated, and more affected by the migration history. On the other hand, we argue that our setting allows us to assess a more direct association with ethnic background, which is not affected by social and other disadvantages, which often may explain poor health outcomes in other minority contexts.

5. Conclusions

The parental influence on offspring's alcohol behaviours is often claimed to diminish over the life course. We have moved beyond most previous literature in examining not only how own ethnic identity and immigration history affect alcohol mortality, but incorporated the issue of how parental ethnicity relates to offspring alcohol-related mortality. We find strong such interrelations, and that mixed heritage generally implies an intermediate pattern of alcohol-related mortality. Hence, more effective policies and interventions specifically designed for offspring who may be disadvantaged via parental ethnicity are warranted, which may help to minimise the harmful consequences of alcohol consumption across and within ethnic groups.

Contributorship statement

Both authors conceived the study, wrote the initial draft, and approved the final version of the manuscript. JS prepared the data and run the regressions for Finland. MK prepared the data and run the regressions for Sweden.

Competing interests

Both authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by Stiftelsens för Åbo Akademi Forskningsinstitut, grant number is not applicable.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2018.
- 2. GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2018; 392:1015-35.
- 3. World Health Organization. Alcohol-attributable fractions. Regional prevalence, AAFs, all-cause deaths (%). https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/2332, accessed June 9, 2020.
- 4. Bruun K, Rosenqvist P. International review series: alcohol and alcohol problems research 3. Nordic countries. Brit J Addict 1985;80:245-53.

- 5. Tigerstedt C. The dissolution of the alcohol policy field. Studies on the Nordic countries. Academic dissertation, University of Helsinki 2001.
- 6. Karlsson T. Nordic alcohol policy in Europe. The adaption of Finland's, Sweden's and Norway's alcohol policies to a new policy framework, 1994-2013. Academic dissertation, Åbo Akademi University 2014. Research, No. 137, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki.
- 7. Karlsson T, Lindeman M, Österberg E. Does alcohol policy make any difference? Scales and consumption. In Alcohol Policy in Europe: Evidence from AMPHORA, Anderson P, Braddick F, Reynolds J, et al (eds) 2012:15-23. The AMPHORA project, http://amphoraproject.net/view.php?id_cont=45
- 8. Savic M, Room R, Mugavin J, et al. Defining "drinking culture": a critical review of its meaning and connotation in social research on alcohol problems. Drugs Educ Prev Polic 2016;23:270-82.
- 9. Peltonen M. Between landscape and language: the Finnish national self-image in transition. Scand J Hist 2000;25:265-80.
- 10. Rehm J, Baliunas D, Borges GL, et al. The relation between different dimensions of alcohol consumption and burden of disease: an overview. Addiction 2010;105:817-43.
- 11. Blas E, Sivasankara Kurup A (eds). Equity, social determinants and public health programmes. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010.
- 12. Sudhinaraset, M, Wigglesworth MSW, Takeuchi DT. Social and cultural contexts of alcohol use: influences in a social-ecological framework. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2016;38:35-45.
- 13. Ahern J, Galea S, Hubbard A, et al. "Culture of drinking" and individual problems with alcohol use. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1041-9.
- 14. Kulis S, Marsiglia FF, Nagoshi JL. Gender roles and substance use among Mexican American adolescents: a relationship moderated by acculturation? Subst Use Misuse 2012;47:214-29.
- 15. Caetano R, Clark CL. Trends in situational norms and attitudes toward drinking among whites, blacks, and Hispanics: 1984-1995. Drug Alcohol Depen 1999;54:45-56.
- 16. Blomgren J, Martikainen P, Mäkelä P, et al. The effects of regional characteristics on alcohol-related mortality a register-based multilevel analysis of 1.1 million men. Soc Sci Med 2004;58:2523-35.
- 17. Saarela J, Finnäs F. The ethno-linguistic community and premature death: a register based study of working-aged men in Finland. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2016;3:373-80.
- 18. Simpura J. Hur dricker finlandssvensken? Finska Läkaresällskapets Handlingar 1990;150:168-70.
- 19. Paljärvi T, Suominen S, Koskenvuo M, et al. The differences in drinking patterns between Finnish-speaking majority and Swedish-speaking minority in Finland. Eur J Public Health 2009;19:278-84.
- 20. Ågren G, Romelsjü A. Mortality in alcohol-related diseases in Sweden during 1971-80 in relation to occupation, marital status and citizenship in 1970. Scand J Soc Med 1992;20:134-42.
- 21. Hjern A, Allebeck P. Alcohol-related disorders in first-and second-generation immigrants in Sweden: a national cohort study. Addiction 2004;99:229-36.
- 22. Westman J, Wahlbeck K, Laursen TM, et al. Mortality and life expectancy of people with alcohol use disorder in Denmark, Finland and Sweden. Acta Psychiat Scand 2015;131:297-306.

- 23. Statistics Sweden. Utrikes födda i Sverige. https://www.scb.se/hitta-statistik/sverige-i-siffror/manniskorna-i-sverige/utrikes-fodda/, accessed June 5, 2020.
- 24. Ågren M. Är du finsk, eller--?: en etnologisk studie om att växa upp och leva med finsk bakgrund i Sverige. Göteborg, Arkipelag, 2006.
- 25. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Ethno-linguistic exogamy and divorce: does marital duration matter? Sociol Focus 2018;51:279-303.
- 26. Saarela J, Kolk M, Obucina O. Kinship, heritage and ethnic choice: ethnolinguistic registration across four generations in contemporary Finland. Stockholm Research Reports in Demography, 2020: 16. Demography Unit, Department of Sociology, Stockholm University.
- 27. Saarela J, Cederström A, Rostila M. Birth order and mortality in two ethno-linguistic groups: register-based evidence from Finland. Soc Sci Med 2016;158:8-13.
- 28. Saarela J, Rostila M. Mortality after the death of a parent in adulthood: a register-based comparison of two ethno-linguistic groups. Eur J Public Health 2019;29:582-7.
- 29. Mackenbach JP, Kulhánová I, Bopp M, et al. Inequalities in alcohol-related mortality in 17 European countries: a retrospective analysis of mortality registers. PLoS Med 2015;12:e1001909.
- 30. Martikainen P, Ho JY, Preston S, et al. The changing contribution of smoking to educational differences in life expectancy: indirect estimates for Finnish men and women from 1971 to 2010. J Epidemiol Commun H 2013;67:219-24.
- 31. Martikainen P, Mäkelä P, Peltonen R, et al. Income differences in life expectancy: the changing contribution of harmful consumption of alcohol and smoking. Epidemiology 2014;25:182-90.
- 32. Nordahl H, Lange T, Osler M et al. Education and cause-specific mortality: the mediating role of differential exposure and vulnerability to behavioral risk factors. Epidemiology 2014;25:389-96.
- 33. Östergren O, Martikainen P, Lundberg O. The contribution of alcohol consumption and smoking to educational inequalities in life expectancy among Swedish men and women during 1991-2008. Int J Public Health 2018;63:41-8.
- 34. Karhunen H, Uusitalo R. 50 vuotta koulutusmahdollisuuksien tasa-arvoa. Yhteiskuntapolitiikka 2017;82:296-303.
- 35. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and cause-specific mortality in a national population. Popul Res and Policy Rev 2009;28:169-94.
- 36. Chartier KG, Vaeth PA, Caetano R. Focus on: ethnicity and the social and health harms of drinking. Alcohol Res-Curr Rev 2013;35:229-237.
- 37. LaBrie JW, Atkins DC, Neighbors C, et al. Ethnicity specific norms and alcohol consumption among Hispanic/Latino/a and Caucasian students. Addict Behav 2012;37:573-6.
- 38. Mares SHW, van der Vorst H, Engels RCME, et al. Parental alcohol use, alcoholrelated problems, and alcohol-specific attitudes, alcohol-specific communication, and adolescent excessive alcohol use and alcohol-related problems: an indirect path model. Addict Behav 2011;36:209-16.
- 39. Trucco EM, Colder CR, Wieczorek WF, et al. Early adolescent alcohol use in context: how neighborhoods, parents, and peers impact youth. Dev Psychopathol 2014;26:425-36.

- 40. Cruz JE, Emery RE, Turkheimer E. Peer network drinking predicts increased alcohol use from adolescence to early adulthood after controlling for genetic and shared environmental selection. Dev Psychol 2012;48:1390-402.
- 41. Walsh SD, Djalovski A, Boniel-Nissim M, et al. Parental, peer and school experiences as predictors of alcohol drinking among first and second generation immigrant adolescents in Israel. Drug Alcohol Depen 2014;138:39-47.
- 42. White HR, McMorris BJ, Catalano, et al. Increases in alcohol and marijuana use during the transition out of high school into emerging adulthood: the effects of leaving home, going to college, and high school protective factors. J Stud Alcohol 2006;67:810-22.
- 43. Ramirez R, Hinman A, Sterling S, et al. Peer influences on adolescent alcohol and other drug use outcomes. J Nurs Scholarship 2012;44:36-44.
- 44. Ewing BA, Osilla KC, Pedersen ER, et al. Longitudinal family effects on substance use among an atrisk adolescent sample. Addict Behav 2015;41:185-91.
- 45. Berry JW, Phinney JS, Sam DL, et al. Immigrant youth: acculturation, identity, and adaptation. Appl Psychol 2006; 55:303-32.
- 46. Rooth D-O, Saarela J. Modersmål och arbetsmarknadsutfall: finsk- och svenskspråkiga finländare i Sverige. Ekonomisk Debatt 2006;34:56-65.

47. Saarela J, Finnäs F. Geographic ancestry and mortality from ischemic heart disease: evidence from the Finnish population register. Popul Rev 2009;48:64-82.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Finland by sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Born 1 | | od 1971-20 | 17, observe | d from age : | L 17 | | | Born 1 | L953-1974, | period 1996-20 | 5 ₹7, observe | d from age | 42 | |
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause | Number of all- cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main | Death rate per mille, all- cause | | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Numbe r of alcohol- related deaths by main cause | | of all- cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main | Death rate per mille, alcohol-related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all- cause |
| | | | only | | cause only | | | | | only | | | cause only | | |
| <u>Men</u> | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | Ö | | | |
| FFF | 1419616 | 33244912 | 10608 | 68625 | 0.32 | 2.06 | | 662904 | 7571206 | 7617 | 14293 | 35151 | 1.01 | 1.89 | 4.64 |
| SSS | 63894 | 1436213 | 181 | 1914 | 0.13 | 1.33 | | 31067 | 359380 | 141 | 315 | 1086 | 0.39 | 0.88 | 3.02 |
| FFS/FSF | 26467 | 583501 | 148 | 1039 | 0.25 | 1.78 | | 11039 | 119768 | 98 | 175 | 525 | 0.82 | 1.46 | 4.38 |
| SFS/SSF | 22438 | 404476 | 62 | 514 | 0.15 | 1.27 | | 7081 | 66783 | 46 | 79 | 229 | 0.69 | 1.18 | 3.43 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | | <u>P</u> D b | | | |
| FFF | 1358794 | 31873160 | 2596 | 26519 | 0.08 | 0.83 | | 639658 | 7430228 | 2033 | 3125 | . 15466 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 2.08 |
| SSS | 59981 | 1311848 | 38 | 824 | 0.03 | 0.63 | | 28704 | 334858 | 37 | 61 | 553 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 1.65 |
| FFS/FSF | 24762 | 538158 | 41 | 418 | 0.08 | 0.78 | | 10215 | 110418 | 32 | 47 | 256 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 2.32 |
| SFS/SSF | 21915 | 389684 | 11 | 214 | 0.03 | 0.55 | | 6980 | 67490 | 6 | 13 | 110 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 1.63 |
| Alcohol ro | latad martality | rofors to the l | CD 0 codos | 201 202 5 | 71 F730V F | 040 F0F | 1 F | | 70 and N000 f | or doaths i | 1071 1096 +0 | 5 360 ICD 0 0 | ndos 201 2 | 02 2050 2217 | 24570 |

Alcohol-related mortality refers to the ICD-8 codes 291, 303, 571, 5728X, E849, E851, E860, E980, N979, and N980 for deaths in 1971-1986, to the ICD-9 codes 291, 303, 3050, 3317, 34570, 3457A, 3457X, 35594, 4255, 535, 571, 5771, 8609, 980, E849, and E851 for deaths in 1987-1995, and to the ICD-10 codes E244, F10, G3126, G405, G621, G721, I426, K292, K70, K860, O354, P43, X45, T51, Y90, Y91, Z502, Z714, and Z721 for deaths in 1996-2017.

| | BMJ Open | | | | | | | | | | | mionen-2020-042234 | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | : ! | n-202 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | i | 0-04 <i>2</i> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ! | 234 | | | |
| Table 2. D | Descriptive statis | stics of the data | fom Swede | en by sex ar | nd mother's | (first lett | ter) a | nd father's (s | second letter) c | ountry of b | irth, where F is | for Finland | and is for S | weden | |
| | Born 19 | 953-1999, peri | od 1971-201 | 17, observe | d from age | 17 | | | Born 1 | 953-1974, | period 1996-20 | ₽, observe | d from age | 42 | |
| | Number of | Number | Number | Number | Death | Death | | Number of | Number | Numbe | Number of | Number | Death | Death rate | Death |
| | individuals | of person- | of | of all- | rate | rate | | individuals | of person- | r of | alcohol- | of all- | rate | per mille, | rate |
| | | years | alcohol- | cause | per | per | | | years | alcohol- | related | cause | per | alcohol- | per |
| | | | related deaths | deaths | mille, alcohol- | mille, all- | | | | related deaths | | deaths | mille, alcohol- | related by main or | mille, |
| | | | by main | | related | cause | | | | by main | contributing | | related | contributing | cause |
| | | | cause | | by main | cause | | | | cause | cause | ₽ | by main | cause | caase |
| | | | only | | cause | | | | | only | | ₹ | cause | | |
| | | | | | only | | | | | | | | only | | |
| Men | 20024 | 744400 | 0.4 | 4205 | 0.11 | 4.07 | | 4.6400 | 4.46202 | | | <u>+</u> - | 0.24 | 0.70 | 2.70 |
| FF | 30921 | 744428 | 84 | 1395 | 0.11 | 1.87 | | 16199 | 146203 | 50 | 115 | D. | 0.34 | 0.79 | 3.78 |
| SS FS/SF | 2022947 | 46761800 1690965 | 2385 137 | 56976 | 0.05 0.08 | 1.22 1.51 | | 962191 | 10754768 351627 | 1757 85 | 3975 207 | | 0.16 | 0.37 0.59 | 2.79 |
| F3/3F | 75981 | 1090905 | 137 | 2546 | 0.08 | 1.51 | | 33933 | 351027 | 85 | 207 | 1104 | 0.24 | 0.59 | 3.31 |
| Women | _ | | | | | | | | | | _ | <u>.</u> | | | |
| FF | 29373 | 711045 | 19 | 598 | 0.03 | 0.84 | | 15571 | 143425 | 11 | 30 | 309 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 2.15 |
| SS | 1917461 | 44321881 | 677 | 31518 | 0.02 | 0.71 | | 914443 | 10345432 | 517 | 1165 | <u> </u> | 0.05 | 0.11 | 1.90 |
| FS/SF | 72111 | 1598849 | 34 | 1233 | 0.02 | 0.77 | | 32128 | 335439 | 28 | 64 | 677 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 2.02 |
| See the n | otes of Table 1 f | for ICD codes. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 2022 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | <u>u</u> | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Ç | D N | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | D 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 2 1 Pr | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | <u>.</u> 4 D | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | į | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ď | a b t | | | |
| | | | I | For peer re | eview only | - http:// | /bmj | open.bmj.c | :om/site/abou | ut/guideli | nes.xhtml | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Observed from age 42 | | | n, where F is for L953-1999, perio | | | | | 1953-1974, period | 19 | 96-201 | L7. |
|--|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------|----------|--------------------|----|--------|-------------|
| 1 | | | | | , | | | | | | , |
| Table 1 | | Men | | Wome | en | | Men | | 1 | Wome | n |
| Table 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | lcohol, nain cause | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | F Cause | 1 | | 1 | Uh | | 1 | | + | 1 | |
| 6F 0.84 (0.71-0.99) 0.91 (0.66-1.24) 0.78 (0.63-0.95) 0.91 (0.64-1.31) 6F 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) 6DI, Or Outing Outin | S | | (0.38-0.52) | _ | (0.28-0.55) | 4 | | (0.35-0.51) | _ | | (0.31-0.62) |
| 0.73 (0.57-0.94) 0.49 (0.27-0.88) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.34 (0.15-0.77) 1 | S/FSF | 0.84 | | | | | | | | 0.91 | |
| Druting Drutin | S/SSF | 0.73 | (0.57-0.94) | 0.49 | (0.27-0.88) | | 0.78 | (0.58-1.04) | | 0.34 | (0.15-0.77) |
| Druting Drutin | | | | | | | | 7/ | | | |
| buting but | lcohol, | | | | | | | - / /- | | | |
| 1 1 0.56 (0.50-0.64) 0.48 (0.36-0.63) IF 0 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) IF 0 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) ISE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | nain or | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | ause | | | | | | | | 4 | | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | : | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| 3F 0.81 (0.70-0.94) 0.91 (0.68-1.21) 3F 0.78 (0.63-0.98) 0.50 (0.29-0.86) 3F 1 1 1 1 3F 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) 3F 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) 3F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | 5 | | | | | | 0.56 | (0.50-0.64) | | 0.48 | (0.36-0.63) |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | S/FSF | | | | | | | | _ | | · · · · |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) 6F 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) 6F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | S/SSF | | | | | | 0.78 | | | 0.50 | |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) 6F 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) 6F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.72 (0.68-0.75) 0.77 (0.71-0.84) 0.72 (0.67-0.77) 0.83 (0.75-0.92) 6F 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) 6F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | l-cause | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6F 0.92 (0.87-0.98) 0.94 (0.86-1.04) 0.96 (0.88-1.05) 1.08 (0.95-1.22) 6F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | F | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| F 0.83 (0.76-0.91) 0.83 (0.73-0.95) 0.87 (0.76-0.99) 0.86 (0.71-1.04) | SS | 0.72 | (0.68-0.75) | 0.77 | (0.71-0.84) | | 0.72 | (0.67-0.77) | | 0.83 | (0.75-0.92) |
| | S/FSF | 0.92 | (0.87-0.98) | 0.94 | (0.86-1.04) | | 0.96 | (0.88-1.05) | I | 1.08 | (0.95-1.22) |
| nodel includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables. | S/SSF | 0.83 | (0.76-0.91) | 0.83 | (0.73-0.95) | | 0.87 | (0.76-0.99) | | 0.86 | (0.71-1.04) |
| nous morades year or an end cadeditional reventance of an end of acceptance variables. | model inc | ludes ye | ar of birth, educa | ntional leve | l, and region of | birt | h as cat | egorical variables | | | |

| | l ohcan | ved from age 17 | | | Oh |)CON | ed from age 42 | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|-----|------|-------------|--|
| | | ved from age 17 | 14/ | | | | reu iroin age 42 | | 14/ | | |
| | Men | | Wome | en | M | en | | | Wome | n | |
| Alcohol, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| main cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | 0.40 | (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 | (0.31-0.79) | 0.4 | | (0.34-0.60) | | 0.62 | (0.34-1.13) | |
| FS/SF | 0.68 | (0.52-0.89) | 0.77 | (0.44-1.34) | 0.0 | 67 | (0.47-0.95) | | 1.06 | (0.53-2.13) | |
| Alcohol, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| main or | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contributing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | | | | | 0.4 | | (0.37-0.53) | | 0.52 | (0.36-0.74) | |
| FS/SF | | | | | 0. | 72 | (0.57-0.91) | | 0.91 | (0.59-1.40) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All-cause | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FF | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| SS | 0.66 | (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 | (0.75-0.88) | 0.0 | 67 | (0.61-0.72) | | 0.80 | (0.71-0.90) | |
| FS/SF | 0.83 | (0.78-0.89) | 0.93 | (0.84-1.03) | 0.8 | 82 | (0.74-0.91) | | 0.90 | (0.78-1.03) | |
| Each model Incl | iudes ye | ar of birth, educa | tional leve | er, and region of | birth as | s cat | egoricai variabie | es. | | | |

Online supplementary tables

Table A1. Distribution of variables (%) in the data fom Finland by study population, sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered

| , | | nolingu | iistic a | ffiliation | | F is fo | r Finni | | | d S is f | or Swe | dish-regi | stered | | | ter) | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | Bor | | - | period 19 rom age | | 17, | | | Bor | | -1974, pe served fro | | | 17, | | |
| | | M | en | | | Wor | nen | | | M | en | | | Wor | nen | | |
| | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | FFF | SSS | FFS/ FSF | SFS/ SSF | |
| Birth cohort | | | r3r | SSF | | | гъг | SSF | | | гъг | SSF | | | гъг | 166 | |
| 1953-1954 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 5.6 | |
| 955-1959 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 21.6 | 16.3 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 19.9 | 16.9 | |
| 960-1964 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 24.3 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 18.6 | 24.4 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 20.2 | |
| 965-1969 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 25.6 | 26.1 | |
| 970-1974 975-1979 | 9.7 10.6 | 10.6 10.1 | 9.5 12.8 | 11.0 11.1 | 9.7 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.1 12.8 | 10.8 10.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 21.8 | 32.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 23.1 | 31.2 | |
| 980-1984 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 12.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 985-1989 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 12.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 990-1994 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 14.8 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 15.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 995-1999 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 14.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| ducational level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rimary | 16.0 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 18.4 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 12.7 | 8.6 | |
| econdary | 57.3 | 52.9 | 55.2 | 53.1 | 47.5 | 45.4 | 48.2 | 45.6 | 51.7 | 43.7 | 46.3 | 43.1 | 42.7 | 39.3 | 39.9 | 37.3 | |
| ertiary | 26.7 | 31.3 | 26.1 | 29.4 | 40.2 | 43.3 | 36.9 | 39.9 | 34.0 | 40.1 | 35.3 | 43.2 | 48.6 | 51.9 | 47.4 | 54.2 | |
| egion of birth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jusimaa | 19.8 | 38.7 | 59.3 | 57.0 | 19.7 | 39.3 | 58.8 | 56.9 | 16.3 | 42.6 | 61.8 | 53.7 | 16.2 | 43.1 | 61.3 | 53.8 | |
| /arsinais-Suomi | 7.6 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 | |
| Satakunta | 5.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | |
| anta-Häme irkanmaa | 3.2 8.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 1.9 | 0.2 1.2 | 3.2 8.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 2.0 | 0.3 1.1 | 3.3 8.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 1.9 | 0.2 1.3 | 3.3 8.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 2.1 | 0.3 1.1 | |
| irkanmaa äijät-Häme | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | |
| ymenlaakso | 3.9 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 2.0 | |
| telä-Karjala | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| telä-Savo | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | |
| ohjois-Savo | 5.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | |
| ohjois-Karjala | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | |
| Leski-Suomi | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | |
| telä-Pohjanmaa | 4.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | |
| ohjanmaa Geski Pohjanmaa | 1.5 | 37.7 | 10.3 4.4 | 18.6 4.7 | 1.5 1.4 | 37.5 2.4 | 10.3 4.4 | 18.1 | 1.5 1.4 | 34.3 2.8 | 8.6 3.6 | 20.8 5.9 | 1.5 | 34.3 2.8 | 8.5 | 19.7 | |
| eski-Pohjanmaa ohjois-Pohjanmaa | 1.4 9.1 | 2.5 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 4.7 0.3 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 8.9 | 0.1 | 3.6 0.9 | 6.1 0.3 | |
| ainuu | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | |
| appi | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | |
| hvenanmaa | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | |
| Other | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | |

Table A2. Distribution of variables (%) in the data fom Sweden by study population, sex and mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden

| , , , | irth, wh Bor | | | land and period 19 | | | | n 1953 | -1974, p | eriod 19 | 996-20 | 017, | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | | served fr | om age | | | | | served fr | | | | |
| | | Men | | | Vomen | | | Men | | | Vomen | | |
| | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | FF | SS | FS/ SF | |
| irth cohort | | | ъг | | | эг | | | 31, | | | 31. | |
| 953-1954 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.15 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 3.45 | 9.1 | 5.6 | |
| 55-1959 | 8.1 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 14.3 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 13.7 | 22.3 | 18.9 | |
| 50-1964 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 21.5 | 22.5 | 24.6 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 24.6 | |
| 65-1969 | 17.4 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 30.0 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 30.2 | 23.8 | 26.5 | |
| 70-1974 | 19.0 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 18.8 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 31.5 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 30.8 | 22.1 | 24.4 | |
| 75-1979 80-1984 | 13.8 11.7 | 10.0 9.6 | 10.5 10.8 | 14.0 11.3 | 10.0 9.5 | 10.5 11.0 | | | | | | | |
| 80-1984 85-1989 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 12.6 | | | | | | | |
| 90-1994 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 11.7 | 12.1 | | | | | | | |
| 95-1999 | 1.8 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 7.1 | 5.6 | | | | | | | |
| icational level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| mary | 18.7 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 8.5 | |
| condary | 58.3 | 52.1 | 54.1 | 50.1 | 44.9 | 46.5 | 62.5 | 52.8 | 56.0 | 54.7 | 48.5 | 50.6 | |
| rtiary | 22.9 | 33.2 | 29.6 | 35.8 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 23.9 | 32.7 | 30.0 | 35.4 | 43.2 | 40.9 | |
| ion of birth | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ckholm municipality | 25.7 | 14.2 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 14.2 | 24.2 | 18.6 | 11.0 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 17.8 | |
| ockholm (except municipality) | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 7.6 | |
| psala | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | |
| dermanland tergötland | 7.2 3.4 | 2.9 5.0 | 4.7 3.7 | 7.1 3.3 | 2.9 4.9 | 4.8 3.7 | 6.8 3.1 | 3.0 5.0 | 3.9 3.3 | 6.7 3.0 | 3.0 5.0 | 3.9 3.4 | |
| iköping | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 2.8 | |
| onoberg | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| lmar | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.7 | |
| tland | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| ekinge | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | |
| istianstad | 0.4 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 | |
| lmöhus | 2.0 | 8.5 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 3.7 | |
| lland | 0.5 | 2.8 8.7 | 1.3 6.5 | 0.6 8.4 | 2.8 8.6 | 1.3 6.5 | 0.5 7.9 | 2.4 8.4 | 1.4 6.2 | 0.6 7.8 | 2.4 8.3 | 1.5 6.4 | |
| teborg & Bohus vsborg | 8.3 7.9 | 8.7 4.9 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.8 7.8 | 4.8 | 5.3 | |
| araborg | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | |
| irmland | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.2 | |
| ebro | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | |
| stmanland | 9.9 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | |
| larna | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 4.3 | |
| vleborg | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | |
| sternorrland | 1.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | |
| ntland | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| ästerbottens | 1.1 4.2 | 3.5 3.6 | 2.4 8.1 | 1.1 4.2 | 3.5 3.6 | 2.4 8.2 | 0.9 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 10.0 | 0.9 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 10.3 | |

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A3. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland by own, mother's and father's ethnolinguistic affiliation (F is for Finnish-registered, S is for Swedish-registered), according to alternative models, men and women according to alternative models, men and women

| | | Men | | | Women 0 | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 | | | | | mber 20 | |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | | 2020. | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 0 1 | |
| SSS | 0.39 (0.33-0.45) | 0.39 (0.34-0.45) | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.35 (0.25-0.48) | ` '= | 39 (0.28-0.55) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.88 (0.75-1.04) | 0.86 (0.73-1.01) | 0.84 (0.71-0.99) | 1.06 (0.78-1.45) | ` /0 | 90 (0.66-1.24) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.67 (0.52-0.86) | 0.70 (0.54-0.90) | 0.73 (0.57-0.94) | 0.48 (0.27-0.87) | 0.48 (0.27-0.87) 0.4 | 49 (0.27-0.88) |
| All-cause | | | | | ä. - | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 9 1 | |
| SSS | 0.63 (0.61-0.66) | 0.65 (0.62-0.68) | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.74 (0.69-0.79) | 0.75 (0.70-0.80) 0.7 | 77 (0.71-0.83) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.92 (0.86-0.98) | 0.90 (0.85-0.96) | 0.92 (0.87-0.98) | 1.02 (0.92-1.12) | 0.95 (0.86-1.04) 0.9 | 94 (0.86-1.04) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.75 (0.68-0.81) | 0.79 (0.72-0.86) | 0.83 (0.76-0.91) | 0.81 (0.71-0.93) | 0.82 (0.72-1.19) 0.8 | 83 (0.73-0.95) |
| Born 1953-1974, period 1996-2017, observed from age 42 Alcohol, main cause | | | | | open.bmj.co | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 3 1 | |
| SSS | 0.39 (0.33-0.46) | 0.40 (0.34-0.47) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.40 (0.29-0.56) | | 44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.83 (0.68-1.02) | 0.82 (0.67-1.00) | 0.78 (0.63-0.95) | 1.09 (0.77-1.55) | | 92 (0.64-1.31) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.74 (0.55-0.98) | 0.77 (0.57-1.03) | 0.78 (0.58-1.04) | 0.35 (0.16-0.78) | 0.35 (0.16-0.78) 0.3 | 34 (0.15-0.77) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 2 1 | |
| SSS | 0.46 (0.41-0.52) | 0.47 (0.42-0.53) | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.43 (0.34-0.56) | | 48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.79 (0.68-0.92) | 0.78 (0.67-0.91) | 0.81 (0.70-0.94) | 1.04 (0.78-1.39) | 0.96 (0.72-1.28) 0.9 | 91 (0.68-1.21) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.67 (0.54-0.84) | 0.70 (0.56-0.88) | 0.78 (0.63-0.98) | 0.49 (0.29-0.85) | ~ | 50 (0.29-0.86) |
| All-cause | | | | | ues | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 7 1 | |
| SSS | 0.64 (0.61-0.69) | 0.66 (0.62-0.70) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.79 (0.73-0.86) | 0.79 (0.73-0.87) 0.3 | 83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS/FSF | 0.97 (0.89-1.06) | 0.95 (0.88-1.04) | 0.96 (0.88-1.05) | 1.15 (1.02-1.30) | 1.08 (0.96-1.22) 1.0 | 08 (0.95-1.22) |
| SFS/SSF | 0.79 (0.70-0.90) | 0.82 (0.72-0.93) | 0.87 (0.76-0.99) | 0.84 (0.70-1.02) | 0.84 (0.70-1.02) 0.8 | 86 (0.71-1.04) |

Model 1 includes year of birth.

Model 2 includes year of birth and educational level.

Model 3 includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A4. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden by own, mother's and father's country of birth (F is for Finland, S is for Sweden), according to alternative models, men and women

| | | Men | | | Women 0 | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 | | | | | mber 2 | |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | | 020 | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 - | |
| SS | 0.36 (0.29-0.44) | 0.38 (0.30-0.47) | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.44 (0.28-0.69) | 0.48 (0,30-0,76) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) |
| FS/SF | 0.65 (0.49-0.85) | 0.69 (0.52-0.90) | 0.68 (0.52-0.89) | 0.72 (0.41-1.26) | 0.78 (0,44-1,37) | 0.77 (0.44-1.34) |
| All-cause | | | | | ade | |
| FF | 1 | 1/// | 1 | 1 | 1 <u>a</u> | 1 |
| SS | 0.57 (0.55-0.61) | 0.65 (0.62-0.68) | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.73 (0.68-0.80) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) |
| FS/SF | 0.76 (0.71-0.81) | 0.84 (0.79-0.90) | 0.83 (0.78-0.89) | 0.87 (0.79-0.96) | 0.94 (0.85-1.04) | 0.93 (0.84-1.03) |
| Born 1953-1974, period 1996-2017, observed from age 42 Alcohol, main cause | | | | | :://bmjoper | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.41 (0.31-0.54) | 0.43 (0.32-0.56) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.54 (0.30-0.99) | $0.58 \ (0.32-1.05)$ | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS/SF | 0.65 (0.46-0.92) | 0.67 (0.47-0.94) | 0.67 (0.47-0.95) | 0.99 (0.49-1.99) | 1.05 (0.52-2.10) | 1.06 (0.53-2.13) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | | on | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 > 2 | 1 |
| SS | 0.41 (0.34-0.49) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.47 (0.33-0.67) | 0.50 (0.35-0.72) <u>=</u> | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS/SF | 0.69 (0.55-0.87) | 0.71 (0.57-0.90) | 0.72 (0.57-0.91) | 0.85 (0.55-1.31) | 0.90 (0.58-1.39) | 0.91 (0.59-1.40) |
| All-cause | | | | | 202 | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.64 (0.59-0.70) | 0.66 (0.60-0.72) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.78 (0.69-0.87) | 0.80 (0.72-0.90) | |
| FS/SF | 0.81 (0.73-0.89) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) | 0.82 (0.74-0.91) | 0.87 (0.76-1.00) | 0.90 (0.79-1.03) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |

Model 1 includes year of birth.

Model 2 includes year of birth and educational level.

Model 3 includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Table A5. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Finland by sex and own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish registered, when conserving the offiliation of each porent. S is for Swedish-registered, when separating the affiliation of each parent

| | DOL | n 1953-1999 | , period 197 | 1-2017, obser | ved from age | e 17 | | В | orn 1953-19 | 974, period 199 | 96-20 ≧ 7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of all-cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, all-cause | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main or contributing cause | all-guse dear 2020. Dow | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| Men | | | | | | • | | | | | 7)loa d 51 | | | |
| FFF | 1419616 | 33244912 | 10608 | 68625 | 0.32 | 2.06 | 662904 | 7571206 | 7617 | 14293 | 3 5 51 | 1.01 | 1.89 | 4.64 |
| SSS | 63894 | 1436213 | 181 | 1914 | 0.13 | 1.33 | 31067 | 359380 | 141 | 315 | 1 9 86 | 0.39 | 0.88 | 3.02 |
| FFS | 16361 | 352144 | 92 | 615 | 0.26 | 1.75 | 6473 | 68981 | 63 | 109 | ₹96 ₹29 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 4.29 |
| FSF | 10106 | 231357 | 56 | 424 | 0.24 | 1.83 | 4566 | 50787 | 35 | 66 | 2 29 | 0.69 | 1.30 | 4.51 |
| SFS | 10900 | 206390 | 33 | 252 | 0.16 | 1.22 | 3772 | 37808 | 26 | 44 | ₹ 19 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 3.15 |
| SSF | 11538 | 198086 | 29 | 262 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 3309 | 28975 | 20 | 35 | ≥ 10 | 0.69 | 1.21 | 3.80 |
| Women | | | | | | | | | | | omjop | | | |
| FFF | 1358794 | 31873160 | 2596 | 26519 | 0.08 | 0.83 | 639658 | 7430228 | 2033 | 3125 | 15466 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 2.08 |
| SSS | 59981 | 1311848 | 38 | 824 | 0.03 | 0.63 | 28704 | 334858 | 37 | 61 | ≅ 53 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 1.65 |
| FFS | 15835 | 335549 | 29 | 275 | 0.09 | 0.82 | 6183 | 65078 | 22 | 33 | 3 :64 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 2.52 |
| FSF | 8927 | 202609 | 12 | 143 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 4032 | 45340 | 10 | 14 | 9 92 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 2.03 |
| SFS | 10105 | 185456 | 5 | 103 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 3450 | 35097 | 3 | 7 | 59 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 1.68 |
| SSF | 11810 | 204228 | 6 | 111 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 3530 | 32393 | 3 | 6 | 9 ⁵⁹ ≥ ⁵¹ | 0.09 | 0.19 | 1.57 |
| See the no | otes of Table | for ICD cod | des. | | | | | | | | pril 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright. | | | |

BMJ Open

Table A6. Descriptive statistics of the data fom Sweden by sex and mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Einland and is for Sweden, when separating the country of birth of each parent

| | Born 1953-1999, period 1971-2017, observed from age 17 Number of Number of Number of Death rate Death rate | | | | | | | В | Born 1953-19 | 974, period 19 | 96-20 2 7, obs | erved from ag | ge 42 | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of individuals | Number of person- years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of all-cause deaths | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, all-cause | Number of individuals | Number of person-years | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main cause only | Number of alcohol- related deaths by main or contributing cause | all-guse deaths 2020. Dow | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main cause only | Death rate per mille, alcohol- related by main or contributing cause | Death rate per mille, all-cause |
| Men FF SS FS SF | 30921 2022947 47505 28476 | 744428 46761800 1129150 561815 | 84 2385 102 35 | 1395 56976 1815 731 | 0.11 0.05 0.09 0.06 | 1.87 1.22 1.61 1.30 | 16199 962191 23443 10490 | 146203 10754768 257812 93815 | 50 1757 65 20 | 115 3975 157 50 | nloade@fromhttp://bmj | 0.34 0.16 0.25 0.21 | 0.79 0.37 0.61 0.53 | 3.78 2.79 3.49 2.80 |
| Women FF SS FS SF See the ne | 29373 1917461 44800 27311 otes of Table | 711045 44321881 1062951 535898 1 for ICD coo | 19 677 24 10 des. | 598 31518 870 363 | 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02 | 0.84 0.71 0.82 0.68 | 15571 914443 22113 10015 | 143425 10345432 245013 90426 | 1, | 30 1165 43 21 | 19682 513 1964 | 0.08 0.05 0.08 0.09 | 0.21 0.11 0.18 0.23 | 2.15 1.90 2.09 1.81 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | .com/ on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright. | | | |
| | | | | For pe | er review o | nly - http://k | omjopen.bm _j | j.com/site/a | about/guid | delines.xhtm | ted by copyright. | | | |

Table A7. Mortality hazard ratios in Finland by own (first letter), mother's (second letter) and father's (third letter) ethnolinguistic affiliation, where F is for Finnish-registered and S is for Swedish-registered, when separating the affiliation of each parent

| | | period 1971-2017, from age 17 | | period 1996-2017, from age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.44 (0.38-0.52) | 0.40 (0.28-0.55) | 0.43 (0.35-0.51) | 0.44 (0.31-0.62) |
| FFS | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 1.06 (0.73-1.53) | 0.45 (0.53-0.51) | 1.05 (0.69-1.61) |
| FSF | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 0.67 (0.38-1.19) | 0.66 (0.47-0.92) | 0.71 (0.38-1.33) |
| SFS | 0.77 (0.59-1.00) | 0.45 (0.19-1.09) | 0.78 (0.53-1.14) | 0.71 (0.38-1.33) |
| SSF | 0.72 (0.51-1.02) | 0.52 (0.23-1.16) | 0.78 (0.50-1.21) | 0.35 (0.11-1.10) |
| 551 | 0.74 (0.51-1.07) | 0.32 (0.23-1.10) | 0.76 (0.30-1.21) | 0.55 (0.11-1.10) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| e | | | | 10. |
| FFF | | | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | | | 0.56 (0.50-0.64) | 0.48 (0.36-0.63) |
| FFS | | | 0.87 (0.72-1.05) | 1.06 (0.75-1.51) |
| FSF | | | 0.73 (0.57-0.93) | 0.67 (0.40-1.14) |
| SFS | | | 0.77 (0.57-1.04) | 0.52 (0.25-1.09) |
| SSF | | | 0.80 (0.58-1.12) | 0.47 (0.21-1.06) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FFF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SSS | 0.72 (0.68-0.75) | 0.77 (0.71-0.84) | 0.72 (0.67-0.77) | 0.83 (0.75-0.92) |
| FFS | 0.92 (0.85-0.99) | 1.01 (0.90-1.15) | 0.94 (0.83-1.05) | 1.16 (0.99-1.36) |
| FSF | 0.93 (0.84-1.02) | 0.83 (0.70-0.98) | 1.00 (0.87-1.14) | 0.95 (0.77-1.17) |
| SFS | 0.78 (0.69-0.89) | 0.82 (0.67-1.00) | 0.79 (0.66-0.94) | 0.88 (0.68-1.13) |
| SSF | 0.89 (0.78-1.00) | 0.85 (0.70-1.02) | 0.98 (0.81-1.18) | 0.84 (0.64-1.11) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

mjopen-2020-042234 on 20 November 2020. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 10, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table A8. Mortality hazard ratios in Sweden by mother's (first letter) and father's (second letter) country of birth, where F is for Finland and S is for Sweden, when separating the country of birth of each parent

| | | period 1971-2017, age 17 | | period 1996-2017, age 42 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Alcohol, main cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.40 (0.32-0.49) | 0.50 (0.31-0.79) | 0.45 (0.34-0.60) | 0.62 (0.34-1.13) |
| FS | 0.68 (0.51-0.91) | 0.72 (0.39-1.31) | 0.67 (0.46-0.97) | 0.99 (0.47-2.07) |
| SF | 0.69 (0.46-1.02) | 0.91 (0.42-1.95) | 0.67 (0.40-1.13) | 1.28 (0.51-3.18) |
| Alcohol, main or contributing cause | | | | |
| FF | | | | 1 |
| SS | | | 0.44 (0.37-0.53) | 0.52 (0.36-0.74) |
| FS | | | 0.72 (0.57-0.92) | 0.81 (0.50-1.29) |
| SF | | | 0.72 (0.52-1.01) | 1.20 (0.69-2.10) |
| All-cause | | | | |
| FF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SS | 0.66 (0.63-0.70) | 0.81 (0.75-0.88) | 0.67 (0.61-0.72) | 0.80 (0.71-0.90) |
| FS | 0.85 (0.79-0.91) | 0.93 (0.83-1.03) | 0.84 (0.75-0.93) | 0.90 (0.78-1.03) |
| SF | 0.79 (0.72-0.86) | 0.95 (0.83-1.08) | 0.78 (0.67-0.90) | 0.90 (0.74-1.08) |

Each model includes year of birth, educational level, and region of birth as categorical variables.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------|------------|---|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract: |
| Title and abstract | | page 1, lines 1-2. |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done |
| | | and what was found: page 1, lines 37-44. |
| Introduction | | 10 / |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported: |
| | _ | page 3, lines 8-60, page 4, lines 3-29. |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses: page 4, lines 35-37. |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper: page 4, lines 43-54, page 5, |
| | | lines 3-43. |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, |
| Seame | | exposure, follow-up, and data collection: page 4, lines 43-54. |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of |
| | Ü | participants. Describe methods of follow-up: page 4, lines 43-54. |
| | | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and |
| | | unexposed: n.a. |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect |
| | · | modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable: page 5, lines 3-43 |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of |
| measurement | | assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is |
| | | more than one group: page 4, lines 43-54, page 5, lines 3-43. |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias: page 5, lines 47-58. |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at: page 6, lines 24-28. |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, |
| | | describe which groupings were chosen and why: page 5, lines 20-60. |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding: |
| | | page 6, lines 3-18. |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions: page 5, lines |
| | | 21-43. |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed: page 5, lines 30-33. |
| | | (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed: page 6, lines 13-17. |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses: page 7, lines 25-28. |
| Results | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially |
| | | eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, |
| | | completing follow-up, and analysed: page 4, lines 43-54, page 5, lines 20-43, page 6 |
| | | lines 3-13. |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage: page 6, lines 3-13. |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and |
| | | information on exposures and potential confounders: page 5, lines 43-59. |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest: |
| | | page 5, lines 46-48. |
| | | (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount): page 6, lines 24-28. |

| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time: page 6, lines 24- |
|-------------------|-----|--|
| | | 33. |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and |
| | | their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were |
| | | adjusted for and why they were included: page 6, lines 41-60, page 7, lines 3-25. |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a |
| | | meaningful time period: page 6, lines 24-33. |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and |
| | | sensitivity analyses: page 7, lines 25-36. |
| Discussion | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives: page 7, lines 44-52. |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or |
| | | imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias: page 8, lines |
| | | 47-60, page 9, lines 3-12. |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, |
| | | multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence: |
| | | page 9, lines 13-22. |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results: page 9, lines 6-12. |
| Other information | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if |
| | | applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based: page 9, lines |
| | | 34-39. |

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.