

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Journal	
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029708
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Allison; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; PolicyWise for Children & Families Buchan, Sarah; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; Public Health Ontario Kwong, Jeff; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences Drews, Steven; Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health; University of Alberta, Division of Preventive Medicine Simmonds, Kimberley; Alberta Ministry of Health, Epidemiology and Surveillance Svenson, Lawrence; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences
Keywords:	Influenza, Vaccine effectiveness, Case Control, Test-negative, Administrative data, Population-level



1		
2 3 4	1	TITLE
5 6	2 3	Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol
7 8	4	Allison N Scott ^{1,2,3}
9 10	5	Sarah A Buchan ^{4,5,6}
11 12	6	Jeffrey C Kwong ^{4,5,6,7,8}
13 14	7	Steven J Drews ^{9,10}
15 16	, 8	Kimberley A Simmonds ^{1,11}
17 18	9	
19 20		Lawrence W Svenson ^{1,11, 12, 13}
21 22	10	
23 24	11	Affiliations
25 26	12	¹ Ministry of Health, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
27	13	² PolicyWise for Children & Families, Edmonton, AB, Canada
28 29	14	³ Department of Public Health, Concordia University of Edmonton, AB, Canada
30 31	15	⁴ Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Toronto, ON, Canada
32 33	16	⁵ Public Health Ontario, Toronto, ON, Canada
34 35	17	⁶ Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
36 37	18	⁷ Department of Family & Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
38 39	19	⁸ University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada
40 41	20	⁹ The Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health, Edmonton, AB, Canada
42 43	21	¹⁰ Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
44 45 46	22 23	¹¹ Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
47 48	24	¹² School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
49 50	25	¹³ Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
51 52	26	
53 54	27	Corresponding Author:
55 56	28	Allison N. Scott, Ph.D.
57 58	_	1
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

BMJ Open

1

60

2			
3 4	29	PolicyWise for Children & Fami	ilies
5 6	30	1000, 9925-109 Street NW	
7	31	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T5	K 2J8
8 9	32		
10 11	33	Email Addresses	
12 13	34	Allison N Scott	AScott@policywise.com
14 15			
16	35	Sarah A Buchan	Sarah.Buchan@oahpp.ca
17 18	36	Jeffrey C Kwong	jeff.kwong@utoronto.ca
19 20	37	Steven J Drews	steven.drews@blood.ca
21 22	38	Kimberley A Simmonds	kimberley.simmonds@gov.ab.ca
23 24	39	Lawrence W Svenson	larry.svenson@gov.ab.ca
25	40		
26 27	41		
28 29	42	Word Count : 2,586	
30 31	42	word Count. 2,500	
32 33			
34			
35 36			
37 38			
39 40			
41			
42 43			
44 45			
46 47			
48			
49 50			
51 52			
53			
54 55			
55 56			
57			
58 59			

ABSTRACT

Introduction

The appropriateness of using routinely collected laboratory data combined with administrative data for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) is still being explored. This paper outlines a protocol to estimate influenza VE using linked laboratory and administrative data which could act as a companion to estimates derived from other methods. Methods and Analysis We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE for each influenza type/subtype and season. Province-wide individual-level records of positive and negative influenza tests will be linked, by unique personal health numbers, to administrative databases and vaccination records to determine covariates and influenza

vaccination status, respectively. Covariates of interests include age, sex, immunocompromising chronic
conditions, and healthcare setting. Cases will be defined based on an individual's first positive influenza test
during the season, and potential controls will be defined based on an individual's first negative influenza test
during the season. One control for each case will be randomly selected based on the week the specimen was
collected. We will estimate vaccine effectiveness using multivariable logistic regression.

58 Ethics and Dissemination

Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
under study ID Pro00075997. Results will be disseminated by public health officials in Alberta.

2	
3 4	64
5 6	65
7	66
8 9	67
10 11	68
12 13	69
14 15	70
16 17	71
18 19	72
20 21	73
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30	
31 32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	
45 46	
47	
48 49	
50 51	
52	
53 54	
55	
56 57	
58	

60

1

Key Words

Case Control

Test-negative

Vaccine effectiveness

Influenza

ior oper terre work Administrative data Population-level Laboratory data Vaccination database

allocating resources and determining the appropriate policies and public messaging during the influenza season.		
 This protocol describes near real time estimation of vaccine effectiveness to assist public heal allocating resources and determining the appropriate policies and public messaging during the influenza season. Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked adminis health records for the entire population. While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data. 	74	ARTICLE SUMMARY
 allocating resources and determining the appropriate policies and public messaging during the influenza season. Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked adminis health records for the entire population. While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data. 	75	Strengths and limitations of this study
 influenza season. Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked adminis health records for the entire population. While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data. 		P P
 • Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked adminis health records for the entire population. • While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data. 		· · · · · · · · ·
 While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data. 	79	• Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked administrative
within inary confounders are included in the vacuue electrocress estimates, for an known confounders can be measured using administrative health data.		 health records for the entire population. While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known
		confounders can be measured using administrative health data.
	83	
For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza is a respiratory viral pathogen associated with significant morbidity and mortality globally. Infections range from relatively mild presentations (e.g. cough, sore throat) to severe lower respiratory tract infections (e.g. pneumonia). Severe cases may be associated with hospitalization, intensive care admission, and death; young children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic conditions are at highest risk of severe outcomes [1]. In Canada, rates of influenza infections are approximately 200 cases per 100,000 population, with about 50% of cases occurring in patients aged ≤ 18 years [2]. The causative agents, influenza A (subtypes H3N2 and H1N1pdm(09)) and influenza B (Yamagata and Victoria lineages), are under strong selective pressure to mutate genetically; significant genetic changes can occur in relatively short periods of time (i.e. <1 year) [3]. Influenza prevention relies, in part, on annual vaccination campaigns that rely on vaccine strains selected approximately 9 months prior to the onset of an influenza season; by the time the vaccines are administered, the predominant circulating strains may have mutated to the point such that the effectiveness of the vaccine has diminished or has become completely ineffective [4, 5]. Influenza VE is commonly estimated using the test-negative design, a variation of the case-control design where cases and controls are selected from a pool of individuals who have been tested for influenza [6-10]. Several research groups use sentinel physician networks to recruit patients: influenza testing is performed on patients who meet a case definition for influenza-like illness, and cases and controls are selected from that

102 pool [6-8]. While this has become an established method, there are some limitations to using sentinel

103 physicians. As the physicians are often volunteers, there can be bias in the geographic distribution, leading to

104 clustering of sampling in certain areas and not others. This can lead to inaccuracies as predominant

105 circulating influenza strains vary geographically [7, 11]. Immunization information is commonly self-reported,
106 potentially leading to recall and social desirability biases [12]; volunteer physicians may be more likely to have
107 strong views on influenza immunization, potentially making it more difficult for the patient to admit to not

108 being immunized. Finally, as these studies are labour-intensive for clinic staff, physician recruitment is often

BMJ Open

low, resulting in small sample sizes and wide confidence intervals. Estimates are, therefore, typically available after the peak of the influenza season, decreasing their usefulness for public health messaging and resource and operational planning [6-8, 11]. Using administrative data and routinely collected clinical specimens for estimating VE is currently under debate [13]. However, estimating VE in a large jurisdiction with near-real-time data on all influenza laboratory testing and influenza vaccination in the population has the potential to provide more precise and timely VE estimates than has previously been possible. We present a protocol to estimate influenza VE using individually-linked laboratory and administrative data. **METHODS AND ANALYSIS Study Setting:** Alberta is a province in Canada with a publicly-funded universal health care system; each of the 4.25 million residents is assigned a unique personal health number (PHN) at birth or upon immigration to the province [14]. The PHN is recorded each time a person accesses the healthcare system, allowing for deterministic linkage across multiple administrative data sets held by the Ministry of Health. In 2009, influenza vaccination became universally available to all Albertans aged ≥ 6 months, regardless of comorbidities or other risk conditions [15]. Influenza vaccines are available at no cost to the patient at public health clinics, pharmacies, physician offices, long-term care facilities, university health centers, and workplaces. Annual vaccine campaigns begin in October, with approximately 60% of all influenza vaccinations given by the end of the second week of the campaign. While the peak of influenza activity has varied widely since 2010, the median influenza peak in Alberta is in mid-January, approximately three months after vaccination campaigns begin.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Laboratory methods for influenza A and B detection and influenza A subtyping All influenza testing in Alberta is performed at a single diagnostic lab, the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health (ProvLab) and stored in a single laboratory information system, along with test and patient identifiers. Prior to May 2017 a real-time influenza A/B reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was used to diagnose influenza using a protocol previously described [16, 17]. After May 2017, ProvLab has been using a Luminex Respiratory Pathogen Panel for the identification of influenza A (including subtype), influenza B, and other respiratory viruses (e.g. coronavirus and parainfluenza) [14]. Study Design: We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE. We will estimate VE for the upcoming influenza season (2018/19) and past influenza seasons (2011/12 to 2016/17). The results of all respiratory virus tests conducted at ProvLab will be sent to the Ministry of Health for deterministic linkage to health administrative databases, in order to determine eligibility for inclusion in the analysis, influenza vaccination status, and the following covariates: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of immunocompromising comorbidities, and healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting) at the time of specimen submission. The presence of a diagnostic code for an acute respiratory illness (ARI) at the time of specimen collection will be used in a sensitivity analysis. Isolates will be considered eligible for inclusion in the analysis if they met all of the following criteria: a valid PHN is recorded, the isolate is not from a resident of a long-term care facility, if the seasonal threshold has been reached, and the isolate was collected at least four weeks after the initiation of the public influenza vaccination program [18-20]. It is important to ensure that the population has the chance to be exposed to influenza and there is sufficient time for immunity to be developed. Residence in a long-term care facility will be determined via the Alberta Continuing Care Information System (ACCIS), which contains information on admissions and discharges from long-term care facilities [21]. PHN validity will be assessed using the Alberta Health Care Insurance

\leq	
~	
0	
b€	
BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bm	
fir	
st	
ut	
olis	
he	
ă	
as	
10	
Ę.	
13	
0/b	
<u> </u>	
ę	
eņ	
ż	
010	
6	
29	
70	
õ	
n	
30	
Ś	
epi	
Ē	
nb	
<u>e</u> r	
20	
19	
Ő	
n	
oa	
de	
df	
ō	
T T	
loaded from http://bm	
bn	
j	
pe	
n.t	
Ĕ	
0	
.com	
.com/ o	
.com/ on /	
.com/ on Ap	
.com/ on April 2	
.com/ on April 27,	
.com/ on April 27, 20	
.com/ on April 27, 2024	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 b	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by <u>c</u>	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by gue	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. P	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Prot	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protec	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected b	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by c	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by cop	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyri	
.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyrigh	

1 2		
2 3 4	157	Plan (AHCIP) Adjusted Population Registry, which contains records of all individuals registered for
5 6 7	158	healthcare insurance [21, 22].
7 8 9	159	Individuals can have multiple laboratory tests over the course of their illness; therefore only the first positive
10 11	160	influenza test during the influenza season will be used, and potential control samples will be selected from
12 13	161	among those who only tested negative for influenza during that influenza season, using the first negative test.
14 15 16	162	Cases and controls tested <14 days after vaccination will be excluded from the analysis.
17 18	163	Influenza vaccination status will be determined from the Influenza Vaccination Registry. The registry
19 20	164	combines data from four databases that record influenza vaccination events (see below).
21 22 23 24	165	The following administrative data sets will be used in this study:
24 25 26	166	• Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system (Imm/ARI) contains
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	167	records of all publicly funded vaccines administered through public health, including influenza
	168	vaccines administered at mass influenza vaccination clinics, public health clinics, and vaccinations
	169	administered by public health nurses in long-term care facilities. Data submission is mandatory and
	170	guidelines exist to support complete and accurate vaccination records with descriptions of each,
	171	including notes [23, 24].
37 38	172	• The Supplemental Enhance Service Event (SESE) database captures physician claims for billing
39 40	173	purposes; International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnosis codes,
41 42	174	procedure codes, and codes indicating location of service delivery are mandatory data elements for
43 44 45	175	each patient encounter [22, 25, 26].
45 46 47	176	• Alberta Blue Cross (ABC) administers the pharmacist component of the universal vaccination
47 48 49 50 51	177	program. Pharmacists administering influenza vaccines through this program bill ABC for each
	178	vaccine provided; they are required to submit patient information such as PHN, name, and address.
52 53	179	• The Pharmaceutical Information Network (PIN) database records privately dispensed
54 55	180	pharmacological products, including the rare instances when an influenza vaccine is purchased rather
56 57		
58 59 60		9 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 10 of 26

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

BMJ Open

1		
2 3 4	181	than administered through the public program (e.g. purchased by travelers prior to the launch of the
4 5 6	182	public campaign). PIN captures dispensed events from >95% of pharmacists[21].
7 8	183	• Provincial Vaccine Registry combines influenza vaccinations given in the province and recorded in
9 10	184	four source databases (PIN, ABC, SESE and Imm/ARI).
11 12	185	• Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Adjusted Population Registry (AHCIP) Adjusted Population
13 14	186	Registry contains demographic variables, age, sex, socio-economic status, and geographic zone of
15 16	187	residence.
17 18 19	188	• Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting (MACAR) system contains diagnosis codes,
20 21	189	procedure codes, the date of admission, and date of discharge for every visit to hospitals, emergency
22 23	190	rooms, and outpatient clinics.
24 25	191	Individuals will be considered inpatients if they have at least one physician claim for inpatient services on
26 27	192	the same day as specimen collection or if specimen collection occurred during an inpatient stay; all others
28 29	193	will be considered outpatients. Individuals with an immunocompromising condition will be defined as
30 31	194	those who have a diagnosis of HIV, who received an organ transplant, or received oral corticosteroids
32 33	195	(for \geq 30 days), antineoplastic agents, or another immunocompromising drug from a community
34 35 36	196	pharmacist in the past 6 months. (Appendix 1 and 2) [27]. HIV diagnosis and acute respiratory illness will
37 38	197	be determined through physician claims and MACAR. Organ transplantation will be determined using
39 40	198	MACAR, and immunocompromising drug dispensations will be identified through PIN.
41 42	199	Statistical Analysis
43 44	199	Statistical Analysis
45 46	200	We will use multivariable logistic regression to estimate influenza vaccine effectiveness as (1 – adjusted OR) x
47 48	201	100%. We will estimate VE separately by influenza season and influenza subtype (i.e., A(H3N2),
49 50	202	A(H1N1)pdm09, and influenza B) [28]. All covariates will be considered for the adjusted model. SAS version
51 52	203	9.4 will be used for all statistical analysis (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). VE estimates will be compared to
53 54	204	published estimates of VE (12-14).
55 56 57		
57 58		10

59

BMJ Open

As shedding of influenza virus continues for approximately 4-5 days after symptom onset, bias can result if specimens that are collected too long after symptom onset are used [29]. Most studies use a threshold of 7 days [30]. To test the robustness of the findings, a sensitivity analysis will be performed; controls will be restricted to those specimens positive for a different respiratory virus (i.e. coronavirus, human respiratory syncytial virus) (As suggested by Sullivan et al 2016). A potential limitation to this study is that the samples utilized here are clinical isolates taken through the course of normal patient care, and are not from a standard case definition as is utilized in some other studies [12]. To test the robustness of the findings, the analysis will be repeated using only cases and controls that were given a diagnosis code for acute respiratory infection on the same day as specimen collection, as per the SESE database or MACAR. Appendix 3 lists the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to define an acute respiratory infection. DISCUSSION This protocol describes the estimation of seasonal influenza VE using specimens collected for routine influenza diagnostics as well as administrative data and vaccination records. A key strength of this approach is the large sample size. This approach allows calculation of near real-time, precise influenza VE estimates weeks prior to the influenza season peak, creating an early warning system for public health if, as in the 2014-2015 season, the vaccine is found to have exceedingly low effectiveness. Early notification of VE can assist public health in determining policies, messaging, and allocation of resources (antiviral agents, staffing emergency departments) to counter a potentially more severe influenza season [31, 32]. The large sample size also allows for stratified analyses of VE based on product, age group, or region. Whereas sentinel physician networks rely primarily on self-reported measures of influenza vaccination [33], a significant strength of this study is the use of the near-real-time influenza vaccination registry that contains individual-level, linkable data for most influenza vaccinations administered in the province. Use of this registry reduces the likelihood of recall error and information biases such as social desirability bias and For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

reduces non-differential misclassification, which would bias the odds ratio towards the null, thus underestimating VE [12]. Finally, we are certain to capture the results of all respiratory virus testing in the province, as all respiratory virus testing is centralized at ProvLab and there is limited use of point-of-care testing. There are some limitations to this methodology compared to the traditional method of VE estimation using sentinel physician networks, because a standardized clinical case definition cannot be applied to determine study eligibility. A sensitivity analysis restricting to healthcare encounters with a diagnosis code for acute respiratory infection will be used as a proxy for a standard case definition. While the inclusion of confounders is important for VE estimate adjustment, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative data. Frailty has been demonstrated to be a potential confounder of VE [34-36]. Frailty cannot be included in the multivariable model because no validated indices of frailty generated from standard administrative data exist at this time. However, this may not affect the results significantly as a previous study indicated that inclusion of frailty in the multivariate model increased VE estimates only slightly [37]. Laboratory requisitions in Alberta do not contain onset date. Ideally this would be used to ensure that the negative laboratory test results were representative of an acute infectious period and that test-negative specimens were not collected after viral shedding had ceased. Sullivan et al 2016 have indicated this bias may be accounted for by selecting influenza test-negative controls that were positive for another respiratory virus. Requiring controls to be positive for another virus excludes individuals who are tested long after their acute infectious period. However, a recent systematic review found no differences when using different groups of controls [30]. Comparison of the VE results using administrative data to previously published studies, specifically sentinel surveillance for the same seasons (2011/12 - 2018/19) will help to identify further areas of refinement.

1 2			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	253	This approach could successfully allow for the generation of early influenza VE estimates which could	
	254	facilitate tailoring of public health messaging and assist in public health operations planning for the peak of	
	255	the influenza season.	
	256		
11 12	257	ETHICS	
13 14	258	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Pane	1
15 16	259	under study ID Pro00075997.	
17 18	260		
19 20	261	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
21 22 23 24	262	ABC – Alberta Blue Cross	
	263	ACCIS – Alberta Continuing Care Information System	
25 26	264	AHCIP – Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Adjusted Population Registry	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	265	CCI – Canadian Classification of Health Interventions	
	266	CCP – Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures	
	267	CIHI – Canadian Institute for Health Information	
	268	DAD – Alberta Hospital Discharge Abstract Database	
	269	ICD-9 – International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision	
	270	ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision	
	271	Imm/ARI – Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system	
42 43	272	MACAR – Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting	
44 45	273	PHN – Personal Health Number	
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	274	PIN – Pharmaceutical Information Network	
	275	ProvLab – Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health	
	276	RT-PCR – Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction	
	277	SESE – Supplemental Enhance Service Event	
	278	VE – Vaccine Effectiveness	
56 57			
58 59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	13
00		· First · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

2 3	270	
4	279	ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE
5 6	280	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
7 8	281	under study ID Pro00075997.
9 10	282	
11 12	283	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION
13 14 15	284	Not applicable
16 17	285	
18 19 20	286	AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS
20 21 22 23	287	Not applicable
23 24 25	288	
26 27	289	COMPETING INTERESTS
28 29 30	290	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
30 31 32	291	
33 34	292	FUNDING
35 36	293	Not applicable
37 38	294	
39 40	295	AUTHOR STATEMENT
41 42	296	ANS and SJD conceived of and designed the protocol and drafted and revised the manuscript. KS and LS
43	297	planned the original approach, providing guidance on available administrative database resources. SAB and
44 45	298	JCK made substantial contributions to the design and critically revised the manuscript.
46 47	299	
47 48 49	300	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
50	301	The authors would like to acknowledge the staff at Alberta Health Services and ProvLab for their assistance
51 52	302	in providing administrative and laboratory data sources that could be implemented in this protocol.
53 54	303	
55 56		
50 57		
58		14
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1			
2			
3 4	304		
5			
6 7	305	REFE	RENCES
, 8 9 10 11	306 307 308	1.	Mertz D, Kim TH, Johnstone J, Lam PP, Science M, Kuster SP, Fadel SA, Tran D, Fernandez E, Bhatnagar N, Loeb M. Populations at risk for severe or complicated influenza illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013; 347:f5061.
12 13	309	2.	Alberta Health Services. Edmonton, AB: Alberta Health Services; 2017.
14 15	310	3.	Wong SS, Webby RJ. Traditional and new influenza vaccines. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2013; 26:476-492.
16 17 18 19 20	311 312 313 314	4.	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, De SG, Winter AL, Dickinson JA, Krajden M, Gubbay JB, Drews SJ, Martineau C, Eshaghi A, Kwindt TL, Bastien N, Li Y. A Perfect Storm: Impact of Genomic Variation and Serial Vaccination on Low Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness During the 2014-2015 Season. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:21-32.
21 22	315	5.	World Health Organization. Influenza Update 309. World Health Organization; 2017.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	316 317 318 319 320 321	6.	Skowronski DM, De SG, Crowcroft NS, Janjua NZ, Boulianne N, Hottes TS, Rosella LC, Dickinson JA, Gilca R, Sethi P, Ouhoummane N, Willison DJ, Rouleau I, Petric M, Fonseca K, Drews SJ, Rebbapragada A, Charest H, Hamelin ME, Boivin G, Gardy JL, Li Y, Kwindt TL, Patrick DM, Brunham RC. Association between the 2008-09 seasonal influenza vaccine and pandemic H1N1 illness during Spring-Summer 2009: four observational studies from Canada. PLoS Med. 2010; 7:e1000258.
30 31 32 33 34	322 323 324 325	7.	Chambers C, Skowronski DM, Sabaiduc S, Winter AL, Dickinson JA, De SG, Gubbay JB, Drews SJ, Martineau C, Eshaghi A, Krajden M, Bastien N, Li Y. Interim estimates of 2015/16 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, Canada, February 2016. Euro Surveill. 2016; 21:30168.
35 36 37 38 39	326 327 328	8.	Kwong JC, Campitelli MA, Gubbay JB, Peci A, Winter AL, Olsha R, Turner R, Rosella LC, Crowcroft NS. Vaccine effectiveness against laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations among elderly adults during the 2010-2011 season. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57:820-827.
39 40 41	329 330	9.	Orenstein WA, Bernier RH, Dondero TJ, Hinman AR, Marks JS, Bart KJ, Sirotkin B. Field evaluation of vaccine efficacy. Bull World Health Organ. 1985; 63:1055-1068.
42 43 44 45 46	331 332 333	10.	Public Health Agency of Canada. Effectiveness of vaccine against medical consultation due to laboratory-confirmed influenza: results from a sentinel physician pilot project in British Columbia, 2004-2005. Can Commun Dis Rep. 2005; 31:181-191.
46 47 48 49 50	334 335 336	11.	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, Dickinson JA, Winter AL, De SG, Drews SJ, Jassem A, Gubbay JB, Charest H, Balshaw R, Bastien N, Li Y, Krajden M. Interim estimates of 2016/17 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2), Canada, January 2017. Euro Surveill. 2017; 22.
51 52 53 54 55	337 338	12.	World Health Organization. Evaluation of influenza vaccine effectiveness: a guide to the design and interpretation of observational studies. World Health Organization; 2017.
56 57 58 59			15
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2			
3 4 5 6	339 340 341	13.	Belongia EA, Simpson MD, King JP, Sundaram ME, Kelley NS, Osterholm MT, McLean HQ. Variable influenza vaccine effectiveness by subtype: a systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design studies. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016; 16:942-951.
7 8 9 10	342 343 344	14.	Fathima S, Simmonds K, Invik J, Scott AN, Drews S. Use of laboratory and administrative data to understand the potential impact of human parainfluenza virus 4 on cases of bronchiolitis, croup, and pneumonia in Alberta, Canada. BMC Infect Dis. 2016; 16:402.
11 12	345	15.	Alberta Health: History of immunization in Alberta. Edmonton, AB: Government of Alberta; 2017.
13 14 15 16	346 347 348	16.	Pabbaraju K, Wong S, Wong AA, Appleyard GD, Chui L, Pang XL, Yanow SK, Fonseca K, Lee BE, Fox JD, Preiksaitis JK. Design and validation of real-time reverse transcription-PCR assays for detection of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. J Clin Microbiol. 2009; 47:3454-3460.
17 18 19	349 350	17.	Chaudhry A, Bastien N, Li Y, Scott A, Pabbaraju K, Stewart D, Wong S, Drews SJ. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2016; 10:532-535.
20 21 22	351 352	18.	World Health Organization. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza. World Health Organization; 2013.
23 24 25	353 354	19.	World Health Organization. A manual for estimating disease burden associated with seasonal influenza.; World Health Organization; 2015.
26 27 28	355 356	20.	Alberta Health. Seasonal Influenza in Alberta 2016/2017 Summary Report. Alberta Health, Edmonton, AB: Government of Alberta; 2017.
29 30 31	357 358	21.	Government of Alberta. Overview of administrative health datasets. Edmonton, AB: Government of Alberta; 2017.
32 33 34	359 360	22.	Russell ML, Schopflocher DP, Svenson L, Virani SN. Secular trends in the epidemiology of shingles in Alberta. Epidemiol Infect. 2007; 135:908-913.
35 36 37	361 362	23.	Government of Alberta: Immunization data submission and response guidelines. Version 5.9. Edmonton, AB: Government of Alberta; 2017.
38 39 40 41	363 364 365	24.	MacDonald SE, Dover DC, Simmonds KA, Svenson LW. Risk of febrile seizures after first dose of measles-mumps-rubella-varicella vaccine: a population-based cohort study. CMAJ. 2014; 186:824-829.
42 43 44 45	366 367	25.	Alberta Health: Alberta Health Claims Assessment. 2017. https://open.alberta.ca/publications/alberta-health-diagnostic-codes. Accessed Feb 23, 2018.
46 47 48 49	368 369 370	26.	Lix LM, Walker R, Quan H, Nesdole R, Yang J, Chen G, CHEP-ORTF. Hypertension Outcomes and Surveillance Team. Hypertension Outcomes and Surveillance Team: Features of physician services databases in Canada. Chronic Diseases and Injuries in Canada. 2012; 32.
50 51 52 53	371 372 373	27.	Schwartz KL, Jembere N, Campitelli MA, Buchan SA, Chung H, Kwong JC. Using physician billing claims from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan to determine individual influenza vaccination status: an updated validation study. CMAJ Open. 2016; 4:E463-E470.
54 55 56	374 375	28.	Sullivan SG, Cowling BJ. "Crude Vaccine Effectiveness" Is a Misleading Term in Test-negative Studies of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. Epidemiology. 2015; 26:e60.
57 58			16
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

~
~
2
Ь
en
÷
S
Ð
Ъ
lis
he
shed a
as
10
0.1
-
36/b
,bm
Д,
ğ
en-
-20
019
9-0
029
297
80
õ
⊐ ⇔
30 S
S
ten
Ъ
ber
20
-
<u>ە</u>
Do
Ň
nlc
a
ade
d f
ਨੀ
₽.
Ĕ
om htt
om http:/
om http://b
om http://bmj
om http://bmjop
obe
om http://bmjopen.b
obe
open.bmj.com/ on A
open.bmj.com/ on Apr
open.bmj.com/ on April
open.bmj.com/ on April 27,
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2
open.bmj.com/ on April 27,
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 20
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by gu
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by gu
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Pr
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Pro-
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protect
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Pro-
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected b
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protect
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected b
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copy
open.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by c

376 377	29.	Sullivan SG, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Cowling BJ. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. Am J Epidemiol. 2016; 184:345-353.
378 379 380	30.	Feng S, Cowling BJ, Kelly H, Sullivan SG. Estimating Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness With the Test-Negative Design Using Alternative Control Groups: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2018; 187:389-397.
381 382	31.	Orton L, Lloyd-Williams F, Taylor-Robinson D, O'Flaherty M, Capewell S. The use of research evidence in public health decision making processes: systematic review. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e21704.
383 384	32.	Savel TG, Foldy S. The role of public health informatics in enhancing public health surveillance. MMWR Suppl. 2012; 61:20-24.
385 386 387 388	33.	Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De SG, Sabaiduc S, Eshaghi A, Dickinson JA, Fonseca K, Winter AL, Gubbay JB, Krajden M, Petric M, Charest H, Bastien N, Kwindt TL, Mahmud SM, Van CP, Li Y. Low 2012-13 influenza vaccine effectiveness associated with mutation in the egg-adapted H3N2 vaccine strain not antigenic drift in circulating viruses. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e92153.
389 390	34.	Nelson JC, Jackson ML, Weiss NS, Jackson LA. New strategies are needed to improve the accuracy of influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates among seniors. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009; 62:687-694.
391 392	35.	Jackson LA, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, Neuzil KM, Weiss NS. Evidence of bias in estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness in seniors. Int J Epidemiol. 2006; 35:337-344.
393 394 395	36.	Jackson LA, Nelson JC, Benson P, Neuzil KM, Reid RJ, Psaty BM, Heckbert SR, Larson EB, Weiss NS. Functional status is a confounder of the association of influenza vaccine and risk of all cause mortality in seniors. Int J Epidemiol. 2006; 35:345-352.
396 397 398 399 400	37.	Talbot HK, Nian H, Chen Q, Zhu Y, Edwards KM, Griffin MR. Evaluating the case-positive, control test-negative study design for influenza vaccine effectiveness for the frailty bias. Vaccine. 2016; 34:1806-1809.
401		
		17

)3	transplant			
	CCP Code I			
	495	Heart Transplantation		
	455	Lung Transplant		
	456	Combined Heart-Lung Transplantation		
	624	Liver Transplant		
	675	Transplant of Kidney		
	648	Transplant of Pancreas		
	CCI Code Description			
	1HY85	Transplant, Heart With Lung(S)		
	1HZ85	Transplant, Heart Nec		
	1GT85	Transplant, Lung Nec		
	1GR85	Transplant, Lobe of Lung		
	1OA85	Transplant, Liver		
	1PC85	Transplant, Kidney		
	1OJ85	Transplant, Pancreas		
	10K85	Transplant, Pancreas With Duodenum		
	1NK85	Transplant, Small Intestine		
	1NP85	Transplant, Small And Large Intestine		
	CMG 1992 To 2005			
	175	Heart or Lung Transplant		
	253	Major Intestinal And Rectal Procedures		
	310	Liver Transplant		
	311	Major Pancreatic Procedures		
	500	Kidney Transplant		
	CMG 2007 To 2016			
	110	Lung Transplant		
	160	Heart Transplant		
	220	Major Upper Gastrointestinal Reconstruction/Excision		
	270	Liver/Pancreas/Duodenum Transplant		
	45 0	Kidney Transplant		
4				
5				
6				
-				

2 3	407	Appendix 2.	List
4	407	rippendix 2.	
5 6			
6 7		DIN	1
8		00616192	I
9		00523410	I
10		02080036	I
11 12		02241182	I
13		02231622	1
14		02258218	1
15		00015431	V
16 17		00611182	V
18		02143305	V
19		00004618	I
20		00297763	(
21		09851399	(
22 23		00004626	(
24		00344915	(
25		00013544	(
26		00013552	(
27		02241797	(
28 29		02241799	(
30		00013749	(
31		00262676	(
32		00202070	(
33		00344885	(
34 35		02241795	(
36			
37		02241796	(]
38		02063794	I
39 40		00780278	Ι
40 41		00360414	I
42		00360422	I
43		00360430	I
44		00016063	1
45 46		00004715	l
40 47		02312794	1 r
48		02312794	-
49			
50		02395274	- - -
51 52		02395282	-
52 53		02395290	-
54		02395312	-
55		02443473	-
56		02443481	-
57 58			
58 59			
60			Fc

of drug names and DINs utilized to define immunocompromising conditions

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
00616192	ETOPOSIDE	САР	50MG
00523410	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02080036	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02241182	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02231622	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02258218	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
00015431	VINBLASTINE SULFATE	IV PWS	1MG/ML
00611182	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
02143305	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
00004618	BUSULFAN	TAB	2MG
00297763	CARMUSTINE	IV PWS	100MG
09851399	CARMUSTINE	TOP SOL	NOT AVLE
00004626	CHLORAMBUCIL	TAB	2MG
00344915	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	INJ PWS	2GM
00013544	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
00013552	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
02241797	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
02241799	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	1000MG
00013749	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	50MG
00262676	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	25MG
00344877	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	25MG
00344885	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	50MG
02241795	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	25MG
02241796	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE ESTRAMUSTINE DISODIUM	TAB	50MG
02063794	PHOSPHATE	САР	140MG
00780278	ESTRAMUSTINE PHOSPHATE	САР	140MG
00360414	LOMUSTINE	САР	100MG
00360422	LOMUSTINE	САР	40MG
00360430	LOMUSTINE	CAP	10MG
00016063	MECHLORETHAMINE	IV PWS	10MG
00004715	MELPHALAN	TAB	2MG
02312794	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	140MG
02312816	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	180MG
02395274	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
02395282	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02395290	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02395312	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02443473	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
02443481	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	20MG

59

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
02443511	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02443538	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02443554	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02241093	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	5MG
02241094	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	20MG
02241095	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	100MG
02241096	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	250MG
02441160	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAPSULE	5MG
00237035	THIOTEPA	INJ PWS	15MG/ML
02421917	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02421925	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02426757	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02426765	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02400022	CAPECITABINE	TAB	150MG
02400030	CAPECITABINE	TAB	500MG
02238453	CAPECITABINE	TAB	150MG
02238454	CAPECITABINE	TAB	500MG
02022117	CLADRIBINE	IV SOL	1MG
00194727	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	500MG
00386715	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
02167867	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
00646296	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	1GM
00646318	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	2GM
02246226	FLUDARABINE PHOSPHATE	TAB	10MG
00012882	FLUOROURACIL	IV SOL	
00330582	FLUOROURACIL	TOP CRM	5%
00465283	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02247937	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
00004723	MERCAPTOPURINE	TAB	50MG
02415275	MERCAPTOPURINE	TABLET	50MG
09857520	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
02182777	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
02182955	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
00014915	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02170698	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02182750	METHOTREXATE	TAB	10MG
02182963	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02244798	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02398427	METHOTREXATE	VIAL	2.5MG 25MG/ML
00321397	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML
00321397	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML 2.5MG/ML
00521400		11 Y 50 L	2.510/ ML

59

METHOTREXATE DISODIUM METHOTREXATE DISODIUM		
METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
	INJ SOL	2.5 MG/ML
METHOTREXATE SODIUM	INJ SOL	10MG/ML
METHOTREXATE SODIUM	IV SOL	10MG/ML
METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
THIOGUANINE	TAB	40MG
CRIZOTINIB	CAP	200MG
CRIZOTINIB	CAP	250MG
DABRAFENIB	CAP	50MG
DABRAFENIB	CAP	75MG
DASATINIB	TAB	100MG
DASATINIB	TAB	20MG
DASATINIB	TAB	50MG
DASATINIB	TAB	70MG
ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	25MG
ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	100MG
ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	150MG
ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	100MG
ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	150MG
IBRUTINIB	CAP	140MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	ТАВ	100MG
RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	5MG
RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	15MG
RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	20MG
TRAMETINIB RECOMBINANT	TAB	2MG
ASPARAGINASE	INJ PWS	10MU
AXITINIB	TAB	5MG
AXITINIB	TAB FC	1MG
BORTEZOMIB	IV PWS	3.5MG
DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG/VIAL
DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG
GEFITINIB	TAB	250MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	САР	100MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	FC TAB	100MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
		400MG
		100MG
		400MG
	1111	1001110
	IMATINIB MESYLATE IMATINIB MESYLATE IMATINIB MESYLATE IMATINIB MESYLATE	IMATINIB MESYLATETABIMATINIB MESYLATETAB

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
09857448	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02253275	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
02253283	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02326442	LAPATINIB DITOSYLATE	TAB	250MG
02315874	NILOTINIB	CAP	200MG
02368250	NILOTINIB	CAP	150MG
02352303	PAZOPANIB HCL	TAB	200MG
00012750	PROCARBAZINE HCL	CAP	50MG
02403390	REGORAFENIB	TAB	40MG
02284227	SORAFENIB TOSYLATE	TAB	200MG
02280795	SUNITINIB MALATE	САР	12.5MG
02280809	SUNITINIB MALATE	САР	25MG
02280817	SUNITINIB MALATE	САР	50MG
02258595	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09854785	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857294	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857326	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857327	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
02130181	ALDESLEUKIN	IV PWS	1.3MG
02331675	CERTOLIZUMAB PEGOL	INJ-SC SOL	200MG/ML
09857394	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ SOL	50MG/ML
02242903	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	25MG
02274728	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
09857322	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
02233014	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC PWS	20MG
02245619	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC SOL	20MG/ML
02324776	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02324784	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02244016	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
09852956	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
02419475	INFLIXIMAB	PWD VIAL	100MG
02239832	INTERFERON	INJ-SC SOL	0.03MG/ML
09852751	INTERFERON	OPH SOL	1MU/ML
02223384	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	3MMU
02223392	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	5MMU
02223406	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	10MMU
02231651	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	18MMU
00889067	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS INJ SOL	10 MMU
02223414	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL INJ SOL	10 MMU/2 ML 10 MMU/2 ML
	INTERFERON ALFA 26 INTERFERON ALFA 28	e	
02238674		INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5MI
02238675	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU/0.5MI
09853995	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MU/VIAL
			22

3 4	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
5	09854045	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5ML
5	09854053	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU $/0.5$ ML
	00705896	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	3MMU
)	00705918	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	5MMU
0	00705926	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	10MMU
1	02240693	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	18MMU/1.2ML
2	02240694	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	30MMU/1.2ML
3 4	02240695	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	60MMU/1.2ML
4 5	01911988	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	3000MU/ML
6	01911996	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	9000MU/ML
7	01912003	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
8 9	00812471	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
9 0	00812498	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
1	00812501	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
2	02217015	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
3	02217031	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
4 5	02217058	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
6	02217066	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	18000MU/ML
7	02019914	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
8	01959069	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
9 0	01959077	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
1	00709042	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
2	00709050	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
3	02169649	INTERFERON BETA	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
4 5	02237317	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	11MCG
6	02237318	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	44MCG
7	02237770	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM PWS	30MCG/1.1ML
8	02269201	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM SOL	30MCG/0.5ML
9 0	02318253	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	66MCG/1.5ML
1	02318261	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	132MCG/1.5ML
2	02237319	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	22MCG/0.5ML
3	02237320	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	44MCG/0.5ML
4 5 6	09857395	INTERFERON BETA-1A INTERFERON BETA-1B	PREF AUTOINJ PEN	30MCG/0.5ML
.7	02337819	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
8	00846368	LEVAMISOLE HCL	TAB	50MG
9 0	02234217	LEVAMISOLE HCL PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	TAB	50MG
1 2	09857505	RECOMBINANT PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5ML
53 54	02248077	RECOMBINANT PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5MI
55 56	02248078	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/ML
57 58				2

Page 2	4 of 26
--------	---------

1 2					
3		DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
4 5		00258482	BLEOMYCIN SULFATE	INJ PWS	15U
6		00163899	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	INJ PD	20MG
7		01926683	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	20MG
8		00353078	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
9 10		00357391	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	10MG
11		00640050	EPIRUBICIN HCL	INJ PWS	10MG
12		00640069	EPIRUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
13 14		00381799	MITOMYCIN	IV PWS	5MG
14 15		00463221	MITOTANE	TAB	500MG
16		02415992	AFLIBERCEPT	VIAL	40MG/ML
17		02273993	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	10MG/ML
18		02290960	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	30MG/ML
19 20		02270994	BEVACIZUMAB	IV SOL	25MG/ML
21		09857407	RITUXIMAB	IV SOL	10MG/ML
22		02241927	RITUXIMAB	IV SOL	10MG/ML
23	408		\sim		
24 25					
26	409				
27					
28					
29 30					
31					
32					
33					
34 35					
36					
37					
38					
39 40					
41					
42					
43					
44 45					
46					
47					
48					
49 50					
51					
52					
53					
54 55					
56					
57					
58					24
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.co	om/site/about/quidelines.xhtml	
00			in periodicity interior on periodified	Service and any gardenine sound in	

410 Appendix 4. ICD-9 codes and ICD-10 codes utilized to define acute respiratory illness in physician,

411 ER and hospital encounters.

6 7			
8	Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
9	Viral infection, unspecified site	079	B34
10	Viral agents as the cause of diseases		B97 (but not B973 or B977)
11	classified to other chapters		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	Acute nasopharyngitis (common cold)	460	J00
13	Acute sinusitis	461	J01
14	Acute pharyngitis	462	J02
15	Acute tonsillitis	463	J03
16	Acute laryngitis, tracheitis, epiglottitis,	464	J04, J05
17		+0+	J0 4 , J05
18	croup	465	106
19	Acute upper respiratory infections of	403	J06
20	multiple or unspecified sites	400	100
21	Influenza due to identified novel	488	J09
22	influenza A virus		
23	Influenza	487	J10, J11
24	Pneumonia, organism unspecified	486	
25	Viral pneumonia	480	J12
26	Bacterial pneumonia	481, 482	J13, J14, J15
27	Pneumonia due to other specified	483	J16
28	organism		
29	Pneumonia in infectious diseases	484	J17
30	classified elsewhere		-
31	Bronchopneumonia, organism	485	J18
32	unspecified		5
33	Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	466	J20, J21
34	Unspecified diseases respiratory system	519	J22, J39.8, J39.9
35	Bronchitis, not specified as acute or	490	J40
36	chronic		510
37	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	518.82	J80
38	Pulmonary edema	518.4	J80 J81
39	Pleural effusion		5
40		510.9, 511.0, 511.1, 511.89	J86.9, J90, R09.1
41	Respiratory failure	518.81	J96.0, J96.9
42	Atelectasis		J98.10
43	Pulmonary collapse	518.0	J98.19
44	Other respiratory disorders	786.00, 786.09	J98.0, J98.4, J98.8, J98.9
45	Hemoptysis	786.30	R04.2
46	Cough	786.2	R05
40	Shortness of breath (dyspnea)	786.02, 786.05, 786.09	R06.0
47 48	Stridor	786.1	R06.1
40 49	Wheezing	786.07	R06.2
	Tachypnea	786.06	R06.4
50 51	Chest pain on breathing	786.52	R07.1
51	Hypoxemia	799.02	R09.0
52 52	Respiratory arrest	799.1	R09.2
53	Abnormal sputum	786.4	R09.3
54	Nasal congestion	478.19	R09.81
55	Abnormal chest sounds	786.7	R09.89
56	i ionormai enest sounds	100.1	107.07
57			

	ion	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Fever Chills (wit Sepsis, sh	thout fever) lock	780.60 780.64 669.11, 669.12, 669.14, 785.50, 785.52, 995.91, 995.92	R50 R68.0 A41.9, R57.9
12			
13			

BMJ Open

Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029708.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Apr-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Allison; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; PolicyWise for Children & Families Buchan, Sarah; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; Public Health Ontario Kwong, Jeff; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences Drews, Steven; Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health; University of Alberta, Division of Preventive Medicine Simmonds, Kimberley; Alberta Ministry of Health, Epidemiology and Surveillance Svenson, Lawrence; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	Influenza, Vaccine effectiveness, Case Control, Test-negative, Administrative data, Population level

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1		
2 3 4	1	TITLE
5 6 7	2 3	Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol
8 9	4	Allison N Scott ^{1,2,3}
10 11	5	Sarah A Buchan ^{4,5,6}
12 13	6	Jeffrey C Kwong ^{4,5,6,7,8}
14 15	7	Steven J Drews ^{9,10}
16 17	8	Kimberley A Simmonds ^{1,11}
18 19	9	Lawrence W Svenson ^{1,11, 12, 13}
20 21	10	
22 23	11	Affiliations
24 25	12	¹ Ministry of Health, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
26 27 28 29 30 31	13	² PolicyWise for Children & Families, Edmonton, AB, Canada
	14	³ Department of Public Health, Concordia University of Edmonton, AB, Canada
	15	⁴ ICES, Toronto, ON, Canada
32 33	16	⁵ Public Health Ontario, Toronto, ON, Canada
34 35	17	⁶ Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
36 37	18	⁷ Department of Family & Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
38 39	19	⁸ University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada
40 41	20	⁹ The Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health, Edmonton, AB, Canada
42 43	21	¹⁰ Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
44 45 46	22 23	¹¹ Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
47 48	24	¹² School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
49 50	25	¹³ Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
51 52	26	
53 54	27	Corresponding Author:
55 56	28	Allison N. Scott, Ph.D.
57 58		1
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2 3 4	29	PolicyWise for Children & Far	nilies
5 6	30	1000, 9925-109 Street NW	
7 8	31	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T	5K 2]
8 9 10	32		
10 11 12	33	Email Addresses	
13 14	34	Allison N Scott	A
15 16	35	Sarah A Buchan	Sa
17 18	36	Jeffrey C Kwong	je
19 20	37	Steven J Drews	ste
21 22	38	Kimberley A Simmonds	ki
23 24	39	Lawrence W Svenson	la
25 26	40		
27 28	41		
29 30	42	Word Count: 2,502	
31 32			
33			
34 35			
36			
37			
38 39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45 46			
40 47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55 56			
57			
58			
59			
60		For peer revie	ew or

1

9925-109 Street NW nton, Alberta, Canada T5K 2J8 AScott@policywise.com Sarah.Buchan@oahpp.ca jeff.kwong@utoronto.ca steven.drews@blood.ca erley A Simmonds kimberley.simmonds@gov.ab.ca gov.ab.c. larry.svenson@gov.ab.ca

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ABSTRACT Introduction The appropriateness of using routinely collected laboratory data combined with administrative data for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) is still being explored. This paper outlines a protocol to estimate influenza VE using linked laboratory and administrative data which could act as a companion to estimates derived from other methods. Methods and Analysis We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE for each influenza type/subtype and season. Province-wide individual-level records of positive and negative influenza tests at the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health in Alberta will be linked, by unique personal health numbers, to administrative databases and vaccination records held at the Ministry of Health in Alberta to determine covariates and influenza vaccination status, respectively. Covariates of interests include age, sex, immunocompromising chronic conditions, and healthcare setting. Cases will be defined based on an individual's first positive influenza test during the season, and potential controls will be defined based on an individual's first negative influenza test during the season. One control for each case will be randomly selected based on the week the specimen was collected. We will estimate vaccine effectiveness using multivariable logistic regression. **Ethics and Dissemination** Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board - Health Panel under study ID Pro00075997. Results will be disseminated by public health officials in Alberta.

2	
3 4	65
5 6	66
7 8	67
9	68
10 11	69
12 13	70
14 15	71
16 17	72
18 19 20	73
20 21	74
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
47 48	
49 50	
51	
52 53	
54 55	
56	
57 58	

60

1

Key Words

Case Control

Test-negative

Administrative data

Population-level

Laboratory data

Vaccination database

Vaccine effectiveness

Influenza

4

ior oper terre work

1 2 3	75	ADTICLE CUMMADY
4	75	ARTICLE SUMMARY
5 6	76	Strengths and limitations of this study
7 8	77	• A strength of this protocol is that it provides near real time estimation of vaccine effectiveness to
9	78	assist public health in allocating resources and determining the appropriate policies and public
10	79	messaging during the influenza season.
11 12	80 81	• Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked administrative
13	81	health records for the entire population.While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known
14 15	83	• While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data.
15 16	05	comounders can be measured using administrative nearth data.
$\begin{array}{c} 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 9\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ \end{array}$	84	• While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data.
53 54		
55		
56 57		
57 58		
59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

INTRODUCTION

Influenza is a respiratory viral disease associated with significant morbidity and mortality globally. Infections range from relatively mild presentations (e.g. cough, sore throat) to severe lower respiratory tract infections (e.g. pneumonia). Severe cases may be associated with hospitalization, intensive care admission, and death; young children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic conditions are at highest risk of severe outcomes[1]. In Canada, rates of laboratory-confirmed influenza infections are, on average, approximately 200 cases per 100,000 population, with approximately 50% of cases occurring in patients aged ≤ 18 years [2]. The causative agents, influenza A (subtypes H3N2 and H1N1pdm(09)) and influenza B (Yamagata and Victoria lineages), are under strong selective pressure to mutate genetically; significant genetic changes can occur in relatively short periods of time (i.e. <1 year) [3]. Influenza prevention relies, in part, on annual vaccination campaigns. Selection of viral strains for inclusion in the vaccine occurs approximately 9 months prior to the onset of the influenza season; by the time the vaccines are administered, the predominant circulating strains may have mutated to the point such that the effectiveness of the vaccine has diminished or has become completely ineffective [4,5]. Influenza VE is commonly estimated using the test-negative design, a variation of the case-control design where cases and controls are selected from a pool of individuals who have been tested for influenza [6–10]. Several research groups use sentinel physician networks to recruit patients: influenza testing is performed on patients who meet a case definition for influenza-like illness, and cases and controls are selected from that pool [6–8]. While this has become an established method, there are some limitations to using sentinel physicians. As the physicians are often volunteers, there can be bias in the geographic distribution, leading to clustering of sampling in certain areas and not others. This can lead to inaccuracies as predominant circulating influenza strains vary geographically [7,11]. Immunization information is commonly self-reported, potentially leading to recall and social desirability biases [12]; volunteer physicians may be more likely to have

108 strong views on influenza immunization, potentially making it more difficult for the patient to admit to not

109 being immunized. Finally, as these studies are labour-intensive for clinic staff, physician recruitment is often

BMJ Open

low, resulting in small sample sizes and wide confidence intervals. Estimates are, therefore, typically available after the peak of the influenza season, decreasing their usefulness for public health messaging and resource and operational planning [6-8,11].

Using administrative data and routinely collected clinical specimens for estimating VE is currently under debate [13]. VE estimates generated using linked health administrative and laboratory data in the province Ontario have been shown to be comparable to previously published estimates [manuscript under review]. There has been one published estimate of Alberta-specific vaccine effectiveness using a sentinel surveillance system[11]; however, because of the small sample size the confidence interval was large, ranging from 8% to 72%. Estimating VE in a large jurisdiction with near-real-time data on all influenza laboratory testing and influenza vaccination in the population has the potential to provide more precise and timely VE estimates than has previously been possible. We present a protocol to estimate influenza VE using individually-linked laboratory and administrative data. relien

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study Setting:

Alberta is a province in Canada with a publicly-funded universal health care system; each of the 4.25 million residents is assigned a unique personal health number (PHN) at birth or upon immigration to the province [14]. The PHN is recorded each time a person accesses the healthcare system, allowing for deterministic linkage across multiple administrative data sets held by the Ministry of Health.

In 2009, influenza vaccination became universally available to all Albertans aged ≥ 6 months, regardless of

comorbidities or other risk conditions [15]. Influenza vaccines are available at no cost to the patient at public

- health clinics, pharmacies, physician offices, long-term care facilities, university health centers, and
- workplaces. Annual vaccine campaigns begin in October, with approximately 60% of all influenza
- vaccinations given by the end of the second week of the campaign. While the peak of influenza activity has

varied widely since 2010, the median influenza peak in Alberta is in mid-January, approximately three months after vaccination campaigns begin. Laboratory methods for influenza A and B detection and influenza A subtyping All influenza testing in Alberta is performed at a single diagnostic lab, the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health (ProvLab) and stored in a single laboratory information system, along with test and patient identifiers. Clinical specimens (e.g. nasopharyngeal swabs, nasopharyngeal aspirates, bronchoalveolar lavages) are processed at ProvLab using previously published protocols. Nucleic acid extraction utilizes the easyMAG extractor and reagents (bioMerieux, St.Laurent, Quebec, Canada) [16]. Nucleic acid from clinical specimens are then tested using a series of respiratory detection assays as described below. Prior to May 2017, a real-time influenza A/B reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was used to diagnose influenza using a protocol previously described [17,18]. After May 2017, ProvLab has been using a Luminex Respiratory Pathogen Panel for the identification of influenza A (including subtype), influenza B, and other respiratory viruses (e.g. coronavirus and parainfluenza) [14]. Results of the laboratory testing were imported into specific laboratory information systems depending on the testing time period. Study Design: We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE. We will estimate VE for the 2011/12 - 2018/19influenza seasons. The results of all respiratory virus tests conducted at ProvLab will be sent to the Ministry of Health for deterministic linkage to health administrative databases, in order to determine eligibility for inclusion in the analysis, influenza vaccination status, and the following covariates: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month at the time of specimen submission. The presence of a diagnostic code for an acute respiratory illness (ARI) at the time of specimen collection will be used in a sensitivity analysis.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

Isolates will be considered eligible for inclusion in the analysis if they met all of the following criteria: a valid PHN is recorded, the isolate is not from a resident of a long-term care facility, the isolate was collected at least four weeks after the initiation of the public influenza vaccination program, and the isolate was collected during the influenza season, as determined using the method recommended by the WHO r [19–21]. It is important to ensure that the population has the chance to be exposed to influenza and there is sufficient time for immunity to the vaccine to be developed. Residence in a long-term care facility will be determined via the Alberta Continuing Care Information System (ACCIS), which contains information on admissions and discharges from long-term care facilities [22]. PHN validity will be assessed using the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Adjusted Population Registry, which contains records of all individuals registered for healthcare insurance [22,23]. Individuals can have multiple laboratory tests over the course of their illness; therefore only the first positive influenza test during the influenza season will be used, and potential control samples will be selected from among those who only tested negative for influenza during that influenza season, using the first negative test. Cases and controls tested <14 days after vaccination will be excluded from the analysis. Influenza vaccination status will be determined from the Influenza Vaccination Registry. The registry combines data from four databases that record influenza vaccination events (see below). The following administrative data sets will be used in this study. Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system (Imm/ARI) contains records of all publicly funded vaccines administered through public health, including influenza vaccines administered at mass influenza vaccination clinics, public health clinics, and vaccinations administered by public health nurses in long-term care facilities. Data submission is mandatory and guidelines exist to support complete and accurate vaccination records with descriptions of each, including notes [24,25].

182	• The Supplemental Enhanced Service Event (SESE) database captures physician claims for billing
183	purposes; International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnosis codes,
184	procedure codes (Canadian Classification of Procedures), codes indicating location of service
185	delivery, and a number of other administrative elements used to support the payment for each
186	patient encounter [23,26,27].
187	• Alberta Blue Cross (ABC) administers the pharmacist component of the universal vaccination
188	program. Pharmacists administering influenza vaccines through this program submit claims to ABC
189	for each vaccine provided; they are required to submit patient information such as PHN, date of
190	service, name, and address.
191	• The Pharmaceutical Information Network (PIN) database records dispensed pharmacological
192	products, regardless of payer, including the rare instances when an influenza vaccine is purchased
193	rather than administered through the public program (e.g. purchased by travelers prior to the launch
194	of the public campaign). PIN captures approximately 95% of all dispensed events in the province
195	[22].
196	• Provincial Vaccine Registry combines influenza vaccinations given in the province and recorded in
197	four source databases (PIN, ABC, SESE and Imm/ARI).
198	• Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Population Registry contains demographic variables,
199	age, sex, socio-economic status, and geographic zone of residence. Neighbourhood-level socio-
200	economic status is derived from census dissemination area income quintiles using postal code.
201	Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting (MACAR) system contains ICD-10-CA
202	diagnostic codes, procedure codes, the date of admission, and date of discharge for every visit to
203	hospitals, emergency rooms, and outpatient clinics.
204	The quality of administrative datasets in Alberta has been extensively reviewed [28–30].
205	Individuals will be considered inpatients if they have at least one physician claim for inpatient services on
206	the same day as specimen collection or if specimen collection occurred during an inpatient stay; all others
	10
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
	 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205

60

BMJ Open

1 2			
2 3 4	207	will be considered outpatients. Individuals with an immunocompromising condition will be defined as	
5 6	208	those who have a diagnosis of HIV, who received an organ transplant, or received oral corticosteroids	
7 8	209	(for \geq 30 days), antineoplastic agents, or another immunocompromising drug from a community	
9 10	210	pharmacist in the past 6 months. (Appendix 1 and 2) [31]. HIV diagnosis and ARI will be determined	
11 12	211	through physician claims and MACAR. Organ transplantation will be determined using MACAR, and	
13 14 15	212	immunocompromising drug dispensations will be identified through PIN.	
16 17	213	Statistical Analysis	
18 19 20	214	We will use multivariable logistic regression to estimate influenza vaccine effectiveness as (1 – adjusted OR) x	
21 22	215	100%. We will estimate VE separately by influenza season and influenza subtype (i.e., A(H3N2),	
23 24	216	A(H1N1)pdm09, and influenza B) [32]. When there is a large enough sample size in a particular season to	
25 26	217	provide adequate power, VE will be estimated for specific age groups such as children under the age of 5 and	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	218	seniors over the age of 65. The following covariates will be included in the adjusted model, regardless of	
	219	statistical significance: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of	
	220	immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month of	
	221	specimen submission within the influenza season. SAS version 9.4 will be used for all statistical analysis (SAS	
	222	Institute Inc, Cary, NC). VE estimates will be compared to published estimates of VE [6,7,11,13,33,34] .	
38 39	223	As shedding of influenza virus continues for approximately 4-5 days after symptom onset, bias can result if	
40 41	224	specimens that are collected too long after symptom onset are used [35]. Most studies use a threshold of 7	
42 43 44	225	days [36]. To test the robustness of the findings, a sensitivity analysis will be performed; controls will be	
44 45 46	226	restricted to those specimens positive for a different respiratory virus (i.e. coronavirus, human respiratory	
47 48	227	syncytial virus) (As suggested by Sullivan et al 2016).	
49 50	228	A potential limitation to this study is that the samples utilized here are clinical isolates taken through the	
51 52	229	course of normal patient care, and are not from a standard case definition as is utilized in some other studies	
53 54 55	230	[12]. To test the robustness of the findings, the analysis will be repeated using only cases and controls that	
56 57 58		11	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

were given a diagnosis code for ARI on the same day as specimen collection, as per the SESE database orMACAR. Appendix 3 lists the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to define ARIs.

BMJ Open

234 PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Patients and the public were not involved in the design of the study, including the development of the
research question, outcomes measures, recruitment to or conduct of the study. The results of the study will
be disseminated to the public as deemed appropriate by public health officials.

24 239 DISCUSSION

240 This protocol describes the estimation of seasonal influenza VE using specimens collected for routine241 influenza diagnostics as well as administrative data and vaccination records.

A key strength of this approach is the large sample size. This approach allows calculation of near real-time,

33 243 precise influenza VE estimates weeks prior to the influenza season peak, creating an early warning system for

public health if, as in the 2014-2015 season, the vaccine is found to have exceedingly low effectiveness. Early

245 notification of VE can assist public health in determining policies, messaging, and allocation of resources

246 (antiviral agents, staffing emergency departments) to counter a potentially more severe influenza season

247 [36,37]. The large sample size also allows for stratified analyses of VE based on product, age group, or region.

248 Whereas sentinel physician networks rely primarily on self-reported measures of influenza vaccination [33], a

249 significant strength of this study is the use of the near-real-time influenza vaccination registry that contains

48 and a state of the province of

251 registry reduces the likelihood of recall error and information biases such as social desirability bias and

52 252 reduces non-differential misclassification, which would bias the odds ratio towards the null, thus

54 253 underestimating VE [12].

BMJ Open

Finally, we are certain to capture the results of all respiratory virus testing in the province, as all respiratory virus testing is centralized at ProvLab and there is limited use of point-of-care testing. There are some limitations to this methodology compared to the traditional method of VE estimation using sentinel physician networks, because a standardized clinical case definition cannot be applied to determine study eligibility. A sensitivity analysis restricting to healthcare encounters with a diagnosis code for ARI will be used as a proxy for a standard case definition. While the inclusion of confounders is important for VE estimate adjustment, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative data. Frailty has been demonstrated to be a potential confounder of VE [38–40]. Frailty cannot be included in the multivariable model because no validated indices of frailty generated from standard administrative data exist at this time. However, this may not affect the results significantly as a previous study indicated that inclusion of frailty in the multivariate model increased VE estimates only slightly [41]. Laboratory requisitions in Alberta do not contain illness onset date. Ideally this would be used to ensure that the negative laboratory test results were representative of an acute infectious period and that test-negative specimens were not collected after viral shedding had ceased. Sullivan et al 2016 have indicated this bias may be accounted for by selecting influenza test-negative controls that were positive for another respiratory virus. Requiring controls to be positive for another virus excludes individuals who are tested long after their acute infectious period. However, a recent systematic review found no differences when using different groups of controls [42]. Comparison of the VE results using administrative data to previously published studies, specifically sentinel surveillance for the same seasons (2011/12 - 2018/19) will help to identify further areas of refinement. This approach could successfully allow for the generation of early influenza VE estimates which could facilitate tailoring of public health messaging and assist in public health operations planning for the peak of the influenza season.

1 2		
2 3 4	279	ETHICS
5 6	280	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
7 8	281	under study ID Pro00075997.
9 10	282	
11 12	283	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
13 14	284	ABC – Alberta Blue Cross
15 16	285	ACCIS – Alberta Continuing Care Information System
17 18	286	AHCIP – Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Adjusted Population Registry
19 20	287	CCI – Canadian Classification of Health Interventions
21 22	288	CCP – Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures
23 24	289	ICD-9 – International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	290	ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision
	291	Imm/ARI – Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system
	292	MACAR – Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting
	293	PHN – Personal Health Number
33 34 35	294	PIN – Pharmaceutical Information Network
36 37	295	ProvLab – Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health
38 39	296	RT-PCR – Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction
40 41	297	SESE – Supplemental Enhance Service Event
42 43	298	VE – Vaccine Effectiveness
44 45	299	
46 47	300	ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE
48 49 50 51	301	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
	302	under study ID Pro00075997.
52 53	303	
54 55	304	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION
56 57		
58 59		14
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
3 4	305	Not applicable
5 6 7	306	
8 9 10	307	AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS
11 12	308	Not applicable
13 14 15	309	
16	310	COMPETING INTERESTS
17 18 19	311	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
20 21	312	
22 23	313	FUNDING
24 25 26	314	Not applicable
27 28	315	
29 30	316	AUTHOR STATEMENT
31 32 33 34	317 318 319	ANS and SJD conceived of and designed the protocol and drafted and revised the manuscript. KS and LS planned the original approach, providing guidance on available administrative database resources. SAB and JCK made substantial contributions to the design and critically revised the manuscript.
35 36	320	
37 38	321	
39 40	322	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
41 42 43	323 324	The authors would like to acknowledge the staff at Alberta Health Services and ProvLab for their assistance in providing administrative and laboratory data sources that could be implemented in this protocol.
44 45	325	
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		1
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2			
3 4	326	REF	ERENCES
5 6 7	327 328	1	Mertz D, Tae HK, Johnstone J, <i>et al.</i> Populations at risk for severe or complicated influenza illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2013;347:10.
8 9	329	2	Alberta Health Services. Alberta Health Services. 2017.
10 11 12	330 331	3	Wong S-S, Webby RJ. Traditional and new influenza vaccines. <i>Clin Microbiol Rev</i> 2013; 26 :476–92. doi:10.1128/CMR.00097-12
13 14 15	332 333 334	4	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> A Perfect Storm: Impact of Genomic Variation and Serial Vaccination on Low Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness During the 2014-2015 Season. <i>Clin Infect Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2016; 63 :21–32. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw176
16 17	335	5	World Health Organization. Influenza Update N ° 309. 2018; 2018 :1–8.
18 19 20 21	336 337 338	6	Skowronski DM, De Serres G, Crowcroft NS, <i>et al.</i> Association between the 2008-09 seasonal influenza vaccine and pandemic H1N1 illness during Spring-Summer 2009: four observational studies from Canada. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2010;:e1000258. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000258
22 23 24 25	339 340 341	7	Chambers C, Skowronski DM, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2015/16 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, Canada, February 2016. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm</i> = <i>Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2016; 21 :30168. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.11.30168
26 27 28 29	342 343 344	8	Kwong JC, Campitelli MA, Gubbay JB, <i>et al.</i> Vaccine effectiveness against laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations among elderly adults during the 2010-2011 season. <i>Clin Infect Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2013; 57 :820–7. doi:10.1093/cid/cit404
30 31 32	345 346	9	Orenstein WA, Bernier RH, Dondero TJ, et al. Field evaluation of vaccine efficacy. Bull World Health Organ 1985;63:1055–68.
33 34 35 36	347 348 349	10	Public Health Agency of Canada. Effectiveness of Vaccine Against Medical Consultation Due to Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza: Results From a Sentinel Physician Pilot Project in British Columbia, 2004-2005. <i>Can Commun Dis Rep</i> 2005; 31 :181–91.
37 38 39 40	350 351 352	11	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2016/17 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2), Canada, January 2017. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm = Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2017; 22 . doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.6.30460
41 42 43	353 354	12	World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluation of influenza vaccine effectiveness: A guide to the design and interpretation of observational studies. 2017;:1–47.
44 45 46 47	355 356 357	13	Belongia EA, Simpson MD, King JP, <i>et al.</i> Variable influenza vaccine effectiveness by subtype: a systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design studies. <i>LancetInfections Dis</i> 2016; 16 :942–51. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00129-8
48 49 50 51	358 359 360	14	Fathima S, Simmonds K, Invik J, <i>et al.</i> Use of laboratory and administrative data to understand the potential impact of human parainfluenza virus 4 on cases of bronchiolitis, croup, and pneumonia in Alberta, Canada. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016; 16 . doi:10.1186/s12879-016-1748-z
52 53	361	15	Government of Alberta. Alberta Health: History of immunization in Alberta. 2017.
54 55 56	362 363 364	16	Pabbaraju K, Tokaryk KL, Wong S, <i>et al.</i> Comparison of the luminex xTAG respiratory viral panel with in-house nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of respiratory virus infections. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2008; 46 :3056–62. doi:10.1128/JCM.00878-08
57 58			16
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

1 2				
2 3 4 5 6	365 366 367	17	Pabbaraju K, Wong S, Wong AA, <i>et al.</i> Design and validation of real-time reverse transcription-PCR assays for detection of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2009; 47 :3454–60. doi:10.1128/JCM.01103-09	
7 8 9	368 369 370	18	Chaudhry A, Bastien N, Li Y, <i>et al.</i> Oseltamivir resistance in an influenza A (H3N2) virus isolated from an immunocompromised patient during the 2014-2015 influenza season in Alberta, Canada. <i>Influenza Other Respi Viruses</i> 2016; 10 :532–5. doi:10.1111/irv.12415	
10 11	371	19	World Health Organization. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza. 2013.	
12 13 14	372 373	20	World Health Organization. A Manual for Estimating Disease Burden Associated with Seasonal Influenza. 2015.	
15 16	374	21	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Seasonal Influenza in Alberta 2016/2017 Summary Report. 2017.	
17 18	375	22	Government of Alberta. Overview of Administrative Health Datasets. 2017.	
19 20 21	376 377	23	Russell ML, Schopflocher DP, Svenson L, et al. Secular trends in the epidemiology of shingles in Alberta. <i>Epidemiol Infect</i> 2007; 135 :908–13.	
22 23	378	24	Government of Alberta. Immunization data submission and response guidelines. 2017.	
24 25 26 27	379 380 381	25	MacDonald SE, Dover DC, Simmonds KA, <i>et al.</i> Risk of febrile seizures after first dose of measles- mumps-rubella- varicella vaccine: A population-based cohort study. <i>CMAJ</i> 2014; 186 :824–9. doi:10.1503/cmaj.140078	
28 29 30	382 383	26	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Claims Assessment. 2017.https://open.alberta.ca/publications/alberta-health-diagnostic-codes (accessed 23 Feb 2018).	
31 32 33	384 385	27	Lix LM, Walker R, Quan H, <i>et al.</i> Features of physician services databases in Canada. <i>Chronic Dis Inj Can</i> 2012; 32 :186–93.	
34 35 36	386 387	28	Hinds A, Lix LM, Smith M, <i>et al.</i> Quality of administrative health databases in Canada: a scoping review. <i>Can J Public Heal</i> 2016; 107 :e56e61.	
37 38	388 389	29	Shiff NJ, Jama S, Boden C, et al. Validation of administrative health data for the pediatric population A scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-236	:
39 40 41 42	390 391 392	30	Quan H, Smith M, Bartlett-Esquilant G, <i>et al.</i> Mining Administrative Health Databases to Advance Medical Science: Geographical Considerations and Untapped Potential in Canada. <i>Can J Cardiol</i> 2012; 28 :152–4. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2012.01.005	
43 44 45 46	393 394 395	31	Schwartz KL, Jembere N, Campitelli MA, <i>et al.</i> Using physician billing claims from the Ontario Heal Insurance Plan to determine individual influenza vaccination status: an updated validation study. <i>C Open</i> 2016; 4 :E470.	th
47 48 49	396 397	32	Sullivan SG, Cowling BJ. 'Crude Vaccine Effectiveness' Is a Misleading Term in Test-negative Studie of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Epidemiology</i> 2015; 26 :e60. doi:10.1097/EDE.00000000000343	es
50 51 52 53	398 399 400	33	Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De Serres G, <i>et al.</i> Low 2012-13 influenza vaccine effectiveness associated with mutation in the egg-adapted H3N2 vaccine strain not antigenic drift in circulating viruses. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014; 9 :e92153. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092153	
54 55 56	401 402	34	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2013/14 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)PDM09 from Canada's sentinel surveillance network, January 2014.	
57 58 59				17

2				
3 4	403		Eurosurveillance 2014;19.	
5 6 7 8	404 405 406	35	Sullivan SG, Tchetgen Tchetgen J. E, Cowling BJ. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 2016; 184 :345–53. doi:10.1093/aje/kww064	
9 10	407 408	36	Orton L, Lloyd-Williams F, Taylor-Robinson D, et al. The use of research evidence in public health decision making processes: systematic review. PLoS One;:e21704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021704	
11 12 13	409 410	37	Savel TG, Foldy S. The role of public health informatics in enhancing public health surveillance. <i>MMWR Suppl</i> 2012; 61 :20–4.	
14 15 16 17	411 412 413	38	Nelson JC, Jackson ML, Weiss NS, <i>et al.</i> New strategies are needed to improve the accuracy of influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates among seniors. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2009; 62 :687–94. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.06.014	
18 19 20 21 22	414 415 416 417	39	Jackson LA, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, <i>et al.</i> Evidence of bias in estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :337–44.http://login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?dire=true&db=cmedm&AN=16368725&site=eds-live&scope=site	ect
23 24 25	418 419	40	Jackson LA, Nelson JC, Benson P, <i>et al.</i> Functional status is a confounder of the association of influenza vaccine and risk of all cause mortality in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :345–52.	
26 27 28 29	420 421 422	41	Talbot HK, Nian H, Chen Q, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating the case-positive, control test-negative study design f influenza vaccine effectiveness for the frailty bias. <i>Vaccine</i> 2016; 34 :1806–9. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.037	or
30 31 32 33	423 424 425	42	Feng S, Cowling BJ, Kelly H, <i>et al.</i> Estimating Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness With the Test- Negative Design Using Alternative Control Groups: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 2018; 187 :389–97. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx251	
34 35 36	426			
37 38 39				
40 41 42 43				
44 45 46				
47 48 49				
50 51 52				
53 54 55				
56 57 58				18

Appendix: List of CCP, CCI, and CMG codes utilized to define individuals who have had an organ

transplant

CCP Code 1	Description
495	Heart Transplantation
455	Lung Transplant
456	Combined Heart-Lung Transplantation
624	Liver Transplant
675	Transplant of Kidney
648	Transplant of Pancreas
CCI Code I	Description
1HY85	Transplant, Heart With Lung(S)
1HZ85	Transplant, Heart Nec
1GT85	Transplant, Lung Nec
1GR85	Transplant, Lobe of Lung
1OA85	Transplant, Liver
1PC85	Transplant, Kidney
1OJ85	Transplant, Pancreas
10K85	Transplant, Pancreas With Duodenum
1NK85	Transplant, Small Intestine
1NP85	Transplant, Small And Large Intestine
CMG 1992 7	Γο 2005
175	Heart or Lung Transplant
253	Major Intestinal And Rectal Procedures
310	Liver Transplant
311	Major Pancreatic Procedures
500	Kidney Transplant
CMG 2007	Γο 2016
110	Lung Transplant
160	Heart Transplant

Major Upper Gastrointestinal Reconstruction/Excision

Liver/Pancreas/Duodenum Transplant

Kidney Transplant

1
2
3
4 5
5
6
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 15
15
10
17
10
19 20
∠∪ ว1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 23 24 25 26 27 8 9 30 31 23 34
22
25
24
25
20
27 20
20 20
30
30
37
32
33 34 35 36 37 38
35
36
30
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

Appendix: List of drug names and DINs utilized to define immunocompromising conditions

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
00616192	ETOPOSIDE	САР	50MG
00523410	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02080036	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02241182	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02231622	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
02258218	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
00015431	VINBLASTINE SULFATE	IV PWS	1MG/ML
00611182	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
02143305	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
00004618	BUSULFAN	TAB	2MG
00297763	CARMUSTINE	IV PWS	100MG
09851399	CARMUSTINE	TOP SOL	NOT AVLE
00004626	CHLORAMBUCIL	TAB	2MG
00344915	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	INJ PWS	2GM
00013544	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
00013552	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
02241797	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE 🦯	IV PWS	200MG
02241799	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	1000MG
00013749	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
00262676	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	25MG
00344877	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	25MG
00344885	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	ТАВ	50MG
02241795	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
02241796	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE ESTRAMUSTINE DISODIUM	ТАВ	50MG
02063794	PHOSPHATE	САР	140MG
00780278	ESTRAMUSTINE PHOSPHATE	САР	140MG
00360414	LOMUSTINE	САР	100MG
00360422	LOMUSTINE	CAP	40MG
00360430	LOMUSTINE	CAP	10MG
00016063	MECHLORETHAMINE	IV PWS	10MG
00004715	MELPHALAN	TAB	2MG
02312794	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02312816	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	180MG
02395274	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
02395282	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02395290	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02395312	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02443473	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
02443481	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
02443511	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02443538	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02443554	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02241093	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
02241094	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
02241095	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02241096	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02441160	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAPSULE	5MG
00237035	THIOTEPA	INJ PWS	15MG/ML
02421917	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02421925	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02426757	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02426765	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02400022	CAPECITABINE	TAB	150MG
02400030	CAPECITABINE	TAB	500MG
02238453	CAPECITABINE	TAB	150MG
02238454	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	500MG
02022117	CLADRIBINE	IV SOL	1MG
00194727	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	500MG
00386715	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
02167867	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
00646296	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	1GM
00646318	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	2GM
02246226	FLUDARABINE PHOSPHATE	TAB	10MG
00012882	FLUOROURACIL	IV SOL	101110
00330582	FLUOROURACIL	TOP CRM	5%
00465283	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
00004723	MERCAPTOPURINE	ТАВ	50MG
02415275	MERCAPTOPURINE	TABLET	50MG
09857520	METHOTREXATE		50MG/2ML
	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
02182777		INJ SOL	
02182955	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
00014915	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02170698	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02182750	METHOTREXATE	TAB	10MG
02182963	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02244798	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02398427	METHOTREXATE	VIAL	25MG/ML
00321397	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML
00321400	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML

59

	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
	02170663	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
	02170671	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML
	02182947	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	INJ SOL	10MG/ML
	00614335	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	IV SOL	10MG/ML
I	00874132	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
	02171767	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
	00282081	THIOGUANINE	TAB	40MG
	02384256	CRIZOTINIB	САР	200MG
	02384264	CRIZOTINIB	CAP	250MG
	02409607	DABRAFENIB	САР	50MG
	02409615	DABRAFENIB	САР	75MG
	02320193	DASATINIB	TAB	100MG
	02293129	DASATINIB	TAB	20MG
	02293137	DASATINIB	TAB	50MG
	02293145	DASATINIB	ТАВ	70MG
	02269007	ERLOTINIB HCL	ТАВ	25MG
	02269015	ERLOTINIB HCL	ТАВ	100MG
	02269023	ERLOTINIB HCL	ТАВ	150MG
	02377705	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	100MG
	02377713	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	150MG
	02434407	IBRUTINIB	САР	140MG
	09857447	IMATINIB MESYLATE	• TAB	100MG
	02388006	RUXOLITINIB	TAB	5MG
	02388014	RUXOLITINIB	TAB	15MG
	02388022	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	20MG
	02409658	TRAMETINIB RECOMBINANT	ТАВ	2MG
	01926438	ASPARAGINASE	INJ PWS	10MU
	02389649	AXITINIB	TAB	5MG
	02389630	AXITINIB	TAB FC	1MG
	02262452	BORTEZOMIB	IV PWS	3.5MG
	00521183	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG/VIAL
	02154854	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG/VIAL 200MG
	02248676	GEFITINIB	TAB	200MG
	02244725	IMATINIB MESYLATE	CAP	230MG 100MG
	02399806	IMATINIB MESILATE IMATINIB MESYLATE	FC TAB	100MG
		IMATINIB MESTLATE IMATINIB MESYLATE		
	02355337		TAB	100MG
	02355345	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
	02397285	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
	02397293	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
	02399814	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
	02431114	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
	02431122	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG

59

3 4	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
5	09857448	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
6	02253275	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
7	02253283	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
8 9	02326442	LAPATINIB DITOSYLATE	TAB	250MG
9 10	02315874	NILOTINIB	CAP	200MG
11	02368250	NILOTINIB	CAP	150MG
12	02352303	PAZOPANIB HCL	TAB	200MG
13 14	00012750	PROCARBAZINE HCL	CAP	50MG
14	02403390	REGORAFENIB	TAB	40MG
16	02284227	SORAFENIB TOSYLATE	TAB	200MG
17	02280795	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	12.5MG
18 19	02280809	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	25MG
19 20	02280817	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	50MG
21	02258595	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
22	09854785	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
23 24	09857294	ADALIMUMAB 🚫	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
24 25	09857326	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
26	09857327	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
27	02130181	ALDESLEUKIN	IV PWS	1.3MG
28 29	02331675	CERTOLIZUMAB PEGOL	INJ-SC SOL	200MG/ML
30	09857394	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ SOL	50MG/ML
31	02242903	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	25MG
32	02274728	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	NJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
33 34	09857322	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
35	02233014	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC PWS	20MG
36	02245619	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC SOL	20MG/ML
37	02324776	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
38 39	02324784	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
40	02244016	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
41	09852956	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
42	02419475	INFLIXIMAB	PWD VIAL	100MG
43 44	02239832	INTERFERON	INJ-SC SOL	0.03MG/ML
45	09852751	INTERFERON	OPH SOL	1MU/ML
46	02223384	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	3MMU
47	02223392	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	5MMU
48 49	02223406	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	10MMU
50	02231651	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	18MMU
51	00889067	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10 MMU/2ML
52	02223414	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MMU/2ML
53 54	02238674	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5ML
54 55	02238675	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU $/0.5$ ML
56	09853995	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MU/VIAL
57				_
58				5

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
09854045	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	3MMU $/0.5$ ML
09854053	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU $/0.5$ ML
00705896	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	3MMU
00705918	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	5MMU
00705926	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	10MMU
02240693	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	18MMU/1.2ML
02240694	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	30MMU/1.2ML
02240695	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	60MMU/1.2ML
01911988	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	3000MU/ML
01911996	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	9000MU/ML
01912003	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
00812471	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
00812498	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
00812501	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
02217015	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
02217031	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
02217058	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
02217066	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	18000MU/ML
02019914	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
01959069	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
01959077	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
00709042	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
00709050	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
02169649	INTERFERON BETA	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
02237317	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	11MCG
02237318	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	44MCG
02237770	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM PWS	30MCG/1.1ML
02269201	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM SOL	30MCG/0.5ML
02318253	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	66MCG/1.5ML
02318261	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	132MCG/1.5MI
02237319	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	22MCG/0.5ML
02237320	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	44MCG/0.5ML
09857395	INTERFERON BETA-1A	PREF AUTOINJ PEN	30MCG/0.5ML
	INTERFERON BETA-1B	5	,
02337819	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
00846368	LEVAMISOLE HCL	TAB	50MG
02234217	LEVAMISOLE HCL	TAB	50MG
00057505	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A		1001100 /0 510
09857505	RECOMBINANT PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5MI
02248077	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5MI
	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A		
02248078	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/ML
			6

00258482	BLEOMYCIN SULFATE	IN IL DIVIC	4 511
004 000		INJ PWS	15U
00163899	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	INJ PD	20MG
01926683	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	20MG
00353078	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
00357391	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	10MG
00640050	EPIRUBICIN HCL	INJ PWS	10MG
00640069	EPIRUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
00381799	MITOMYCIN	IV PWS	5MG
00463221	MITOTANE	TAB	500MG
02415992	AFLIBERCEPT	VIAL	40MG/ML
02273993	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	10MG/ML
02290960	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	30MG/ML
02270994	BEVACIZUMAB	IV SOL	25MG/ML
09857407	RITUXIMAB	IV SOL	10MG/ML
02241927			10MG/ML
		IV SOL	

Appendix: ICD-9 codes and ICD-10 codes utilized to define acute respiratory illness in physician,

ER and hospital encounters.

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Viral infection, unspecified site	079	B34
Viral agents as the cause of diseases		B97 (but not B973 or B97
classified to other chapters		
Acute nasopharyngitis (common cold)	460	J00
Acute sinusitis	461	J01
Acute pharyngitis	462	J02
Acute tonsillitis	463	J03
Acute laryngitis, tracheitis, epiglottitis,	464	J04, J05
croup		
Acute upper respiratory infections of	465	J06
multiple or unspecified sites		2
Influenza due to identified novel	488	J09
influenza A virus		2
Influenza	487	J10, J11
Pneumonia, organism unspecified	486	
Viral pneumonia	480	J12
Bacterial pneumonia	481, 482	J13, J14, J15
Pneumonia due to other specified	483	J16
organism		-
Pneumonia in infectious diseases	484	J17
classified elsewhere		-
Bronchopneumonia, organism	485	J18
unspecified		
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	466	J20, J21
Unspecified diseases respiratory system	519	J22, J39.8, J39.9
Bronchitis, not specified as acute or	490	J40
chronic		
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	518.82	J80
Pulmonary edema	518.4	J81
Pleural effusion	510.9, 511.0, 511.1, 511.89	J86.9, J90, R09.1
Respiratory failure	518.81	J96.0, J96.9
Atelectasis		J98.10
Pulmonary collapse	518.0	J98.19
Other respiratory disorders	786.00, 786.09	J98.0, J98.4, J98.8, J98.9
Hemoptysis	786.30	R04.2
Cough	786.2	R05
Shortness of breath (dyspnea)	786.02, 786.05, 786.09	R06.0
Stridor	786.1	R06.1
Wheezing	786.07	R06.2
Tachypnea	786.06	R06.4

Page 27 of 27

BMJ Open

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Chest pain on breathing	786.52	R07.1
Hypoxemia	799.02	R09.0
Respiratory arrest	799.1	R09.2
Abnormal sputum	786.4	R09.3
Nasal congestion	478.19	R09.81
Abnormal chest sounds	786.7	R09.89
Fever	780.60	R5 0
Chills (without fever)	780.64	R68.0
Sepsis, shock	669.11, 669.12, 669.14,	A41.9, R57.9
	785.50, 785.52, 995.91,	
	995.92	
	005.11, 005.12, 005.11, 785.50, 785.52, 995.91, 995.92	

BMJ Open

Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029708.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Jun-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Allison; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; PolicyWise for Children & Families Buchan, Sarah; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; Public Health Ontario Kwong, Jeff; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences Drews, Steven; Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health; University of Alberta, Division of Preventive Medicine Simmonds, Kimberley; Alberta Ministry of Health, Epidemiology and Surveillance Svenson, Lawrence; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	Influenza, Vaccine effectiveness, Case Control, Test-negative, Administrative data, Population level

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2 3 4	1	TITLE
5 6 7	2 3	Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol
8 9	4	Allison N Scott ^{1,2,3}
10 11	5	Sarah A Buchan ^{4,5,6}
12 13	6	Jeffrey C Kwong ^{4,5,6,7,8}
14 15	7	Steven J Drews ^{9,10}
16 17	8	Kimberley A Simmonds ^{1,11}
17 18 19	9	Lawrence W Svenson ^{1,11, 12, 13}
20 21	10	
22 23	11	Affiliations
24 25	12	¹ Ministry of Health, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
26 27	13	² PolicyWise for Children & Families, Edmonton, AB, Canada
28 29	14	³ Department of Public Health, Concordia University of Edmonton, AB, Canada
30 31	15	⁴ ICES, Toronto, ON, Canada
32 33	16	⁵ Public Health Ontario, Toronto, ON, Canada
34 35	17	⁶ Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
36 37	18	⁷ Department of Family & Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
38 39	19	⁸ University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada
40 41	20	⁹ The Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health, Edmonton, AB, Canada
42 43	21	¹⁰ Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
44 45	22	¹¹ Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary,
46 47	23	AB, Canada
48 49	24	¹² School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
50 51	25	¹³ Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
52 53	26	
54 55	27	Corresponding Author:
56 57	28	Allison N. Scott, Ph.D.
58 59		1
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

BMJ Open

1

60

2			
3 4	29	PolicyWise for Children & Fam	ilies
5 6	30	1000, 9925-109 Street NW	
7 8	31	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T5	K 2J8
9	32		
10 11	33	Email Addresses	
12 13	34	Allison N Scott	AScott@policywise.com
14 15	35	Sarah A Buchan	Sarah.Buchan@oahpp.ca
16 17	36	Jeffrey C Kwong	jeff.kwong@utoronto.ca
18 19			
20 21	37	Steven J Drews	steven.drews@blood.ca
22	38	Kimberley A Simmonds	kimberley.simmonds@gov.ab.ca
23 24	39	Lawrence W Svenson	larry.svenson@gov.ab.ca
25 26	40		
27 28	41		
29 30	42	Word Count : 2,550	
31 32			
33			
34 35			
36 37			
38 39			
40 41			
42			
43 44			
45 46			
47			
48 49			
50 51			
52			
53 54			
55			
56 57			
58			
59			

ABSTRACT Introduction The appropriateness of using routinely collected laboratory data combined with administrative data for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) is still being explored. This paper outlines a protocol to estimate influenza VE using linked laboratory and administrative data which could act as a companion to estimates derived from other methods. Methods and Analysis We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE for each influenza type/subtype and season. Province-wide individual-level records of positive and negative influenza tests at the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health in Alberta will be linked, by unique personal health numbers, to administrative databases and vaccination records held at the Ministry of Health in Alberta to determine covariates and influenza vaccination status, respectively. Covariates of interests include age, sex, immunocompromising chronic conditions, and healthcare setting. Cases will be defined based on an individual's first positive influenza test during the season, and potential controls will be defined based on an individual's first negative influenza test during the season. One control for each case will be randomly selected based on the week the specimen was collected. We will estimate vaccine effectiveness using multivariable logistic regression. **Ethics and Dissemination** Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board - Health Panel under study ID Pro00075997. Results will be disseminated by public health officials in Alberta.

2	
3 4	65
5 6	66
7 8	67
9 10	68
10 11 12	69
13	70
14 15	71
16 17	72
18 19 20	73
20 21 22	74
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46 47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54 55	
56 57	
57 58	

60

1

Key Words

Case Control

Test-negative

Administrative data

Population-level

Laboratory data

Vaccination database

Vaccine effectiveness

Influenza

ior oper terre work

75	ARTICLE SUMMARY
76	Strengths and limitations of this study
77	• A strength of this protocol is that it provides near real time estimation of vaccine effectiveness to
78	assist public health in allocating resources and determining the appropriate policies and public
79	messaging during the influenza season.
80	• Vaccine effectiveness estimates use a test negative design, taking advantage of linked administrat
81	health records for the entire population.
82 83	• While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known
65	confounders can be measured using administrative health data.
84	• While many confounders are included in the vaccine effectiveness estimates, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative health data.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

INTRODUCTION

85	INTRODUCTION
86	Influenza is a respiratory viral disease associated with significant morbidity and mortality globally. Infections
87	range from relatively mild presentations (e.g. cough, sore throat) to severe lower respiratory tract infections
88	(e.g. pneumonia). Severe cases may be associated with hospitalization, intensive care admission, and death;
89	young children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic conditions are at highest risk of severe outcomes[1].
90	In Canada, rates of laboratory-confirmed influenza infections are, on average, approximately 200 cases per
91	100,000 population, with approximately 50% of cases occurring in patients aged \leq 18 years [2]. The causative
92	agents, influenza A (subtypes H3N2 and H1N1pdm(09)) and influenza B (Yamagata and Victoria lineages),
93	are under strong selective pressure to mutate genetically; significant genetic changes can occur in relatively
94	short periods of time (i.e. <1 year) [3].
95	Influenza prevention relies, in part, on annual vaccination campaigns. Selection of viral strains for inclusion
96	in the vaccine occurs approximately 9 months prior to the onset of the influenza season; by the time the
97	vaccines are administered, the predominant circulating strains may have mutated to the point such that the
98	effectiveness of the vaccine has diminished or has become completely ineffective [4,5].
99	Influenza VE is commonly estimated using the test-negative design, a variation of the case-control design
100	where cases and controls are selected from a pool of individuals who have been tested for influenza [6–10].
101	Several research groups use sentinel physician networks to recruit patients: influenza testing is performed on
102	patients who meet a case definition for influenza-like illness, and cases and controls are selected from that
103	pool [6-8]. While this has become an established method, there are some limitations to using sentinel
104	physicians. As the physicians are often volunteers, there can be bias in the geographic distribution, leading to
105	clustering of sampling in certain areas and not others. This can lead to inaccuracies as predominant
106	circulating influenza strains vary geographically [7,11]. Immunization information is commonly self-reported,
107	potentially leading to recall and social desirability biases [12]; volunteer physicians may be more likely to have
108	strong views on influenza immunization, potentially making it more difficult for the patient to admit to not

BMJ Open

low, resulting in small sample sizes and wide confidence intervals. Estimates are, therefore, typically available after the peak of the influenza season, decreasing their usefulness for public health messaging and resource and operational planning [6-8,11]. Using administrative data and routinely collected clinical specimens for estimating VE is currently under debate [13]. VE estimates generated using linked health administrative and laboratory data in the province Ontario have been shown to be comparable to previously published estimates[14]. There has been one published estimate of Alberta-specific vaccine effectiveness using a sentinel surveillance system[11]; however, because of the small sample size the confidence interval was large, ranging from 8% to 72%. Estimating VE in a large jurisdiction with near-real-time data on all influenza laboratory testing and influenza vaccination in the population has the potential to provide more precise and timely VE estimates than has previously been possible. We present a protocol to estimate influenza VE using individually-linked laboratory and administrative data. rezien METHODS AND ANALYSIS **Study Setting:** Alberta is a province in Canada with a publicly-funded universal health care system; each of the 4.25 million residents is assigned a unique personal health number (PHN) at birth or upon immigration to the province [15]. The PHN is recorded each time a person accesses the healthcare system, allowing for deterministic linkage across multiple administrative data sets held by the Ministry of Health. In 2009, influenza vaccination became universally available to all Albertans aged ≥ 6 months, regardless of comorbidities or other risk conditions [16]. Influenza vaccines are available at no cost to the patient at public health clinics, pharmacies, physician offices, long-term care facilities, university health centers, and workplaces. Annual vaccine campaigns begin in October, with approximately 60% of all influenza vaccinations given by the end of the second week of the campaign. While the peak of influenza activity has For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

varied widely since 2010, the median influenza peak in Alberta is in mid-January, approximately three months after vaccination campaigns begin. Laboratory methods for influenza A and B detection and influenza A subtyping All influenza testing in Alberta is performed at a single diagnostic lab, the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health (ProvLab) and stored in a single laboratory information system, along with test and patient identifiers. Clinical specimens (e.g. nasopharyngeal swabs, nasopharyngeal aspirates, bronchoalveolar lavages) are processed at ProvLab using previously published protocols. Nucleic acid extraction utilizes the easyMAG extractor and reagents (bioMerieux, St.Laurent, Quebec, Canada) [17]. Nucleic acid from clinical specimens are then tested using a series of respiratory detection assays as described below. Prior to May 2017, a real-time influenza A/B reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was used to diagnose influenza using a protocol previously described [18,19]. After May 2017, ProvLab has been using a Luminex Respiratory Pathogen Panel for the identification of influenza A (including subtype), influenza B, and other respiratory viruses (e.g. coronavirus and parainfluenza) [15]. Results of the laboratory testing were imported into specific laboratory information systems depending on the testing time period. Study Design: We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE. We will estimate VE for the 2011/12 - 2019/20influenza seasons. The results of all respiratory virus tests conducted at ProvLab will be sent to the Ministry of Health for deterministic linkage to health administrative databases, in order to determine eligibility for inclusion in the analysis, influenza vaccination status, and the following covariates: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month at the time of specimen submission. The presence of a diagnostic code for an acute respiratory illness (ARI) at the time of specimen collection will be used in a sensitivity analysis.

BMJ Open

Isolates will be considered eligible for inclusion in the analysis if they met all of the following criteria: a valid PHN is recorded, the isolate is not from a resident of a long-term care facility, the isolate was collected at least four weeks after the initiation of the public influenza vaccination program, and the isolate was collected during the influenza season, as determined using the method recommended by the WHO r [20–22]. It is important to ensure that the population has the chance to be exposed to influenza and there is sufficient time for immunity to the vaccine to be developed. Residence in a long-term care facility will be determined via the Alberta Continuing Care Information System (ACCIS), which contains information on admissions and discharges from long-term care facilities [23]. PHN validity will be assessed using the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Adjusted Population Registry, which contains records of all individuals registered for healthcare insurance [23,24]. Individuals can have multiple laboratory tests over the course of their illness; therefore only the first positive influenza test during the influenza season will be used, and potential control samples will be selected from among those who only tested negative for influenza during that influenza season, using the first negative test. Cases and controls tested <14 days after vaccination will be excluded from the analysis. Influenza vaccination status will be determined from the Influenza Vaccination Registry. The registry combines data from four databases that record influenza vaccination events (see below). The following administrative data sets will be used in this study. Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system (Imm/ARI) contains records of all publicly funded vaccines administered through public health, including influenza vaccines administered at mass influenza vaccination clinics, public health clinics, and vaccinations administered by public health nurses in long-term care facilities. Data submission is mandatory and guidelines exist to support complete and accurate vaccination records with descriptions of each, including notes [25,26].

1

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

182	• The Supplemental Enhanced Service Event (SESE) database captures physician claims for billing
183	purposes; International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnosis codes,
184	procedure codes (Canadian Classification of Procedures), codes indicating location of service
185	delivery, and a number of other administrative elements used to support the payment for each
186	patient encounter [24,27,28].
187	• Alberta Blue Cross (ABC) administers the pharmacist component of the universal vaccination
188	program. Pharmacists administering influenza vaccines through this program submit claims to ABC
189	for each vaccine provided; they are required to submit patient information such as PHN, date of
190	service, name, and address.
191	• The Pharmaceutical Information Network (PIN) database records dispensed pharmacological
192	products, regardless of payer, including the rare instances when an influenza vaccine is purchased
193	rather than administered through the public program (e.g. purchased by travelers prior to the launch
194	of the public campaign). PIN captures approximately 95% of all dispensed events in the province
195	[23].
196	• Provincial Vaccine Registry combines influenza vaccinations given in the province and recorded in
197	four source databases (PIN, ABC, SESE and Imm/ARI).
198	• Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Population Registry contains demographic variables,
199	age, sex, socio-economic status, and geographic zone of residence. Neighbourhood-level socio-
200	economic status is derived from census dissemination area income quintiles using postal code.
201	Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting (MACAR) system contains ICD-10-CA
202	diagnostic codes, procedure codes, the date of admission, and date of discharge for every visit to
203	hospitals, emergency rooms, and outpatient clinics.
204	The quality of administrative datasets in Alberta has been extensively reviewed [29-31].
205	Individuals will be considered inpatients if they have at least one physician claim for inpatient services on
206	the same day as specimen collection or if specimen collection occurred during an inpatient stay; all others
	10
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
	 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205

60

BMJ Open

1 2		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	207	will be considered outpatients. Individuals with an immunocompromising condition will be defined as
	208	those who have a diagnosis of HIV, who received an organ transplant, or received oral corticosteroids
	209	(for \geq 30 days), antineoplastic agents, or another immunocompromising drug from a community
	210	pharmacist in the past 6 months. (Appendix 1 and 2) [32]. HIV diagnosis and ARI will be determined
	211	through physician claims and MACAR. Organ transplantation will be determined using MACAR, and
	212	immunocompromising drug dispensations will be identified through PIN.
	213	Statistical Analysis
	214	We will use multivariable logistic regression to estimate influenza vaccine effectiveness as (1 – adjusted OR) x
	215	100%. We will estimate VE separately by influenza season and influenza subtype (i.e., A(H3N2),
	216	A(H1N1)pdm09, and influenza B) [33]. When there is a large enough sample size in a particular season to
	217	provide adequate power, VE will be estimated for specific age groups such as children under the age of 5 and
	218	seniors over the age of 65. The following covariates will be included in the adjusted model, regardless of
	219	statistical significance: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of
	220	immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month of
	221	specimen submission within the influenza season. SAS version 9.4 will be used for all statistical analysis (SAS
35 36	222	Institute Inc, Cary, NC). VE estimates will be compared to published estimates of VE [6,7,11,13,34,35] .
37 38 39	223	As shedding of influenza virus continues for approximately 4-5 days after symptom onset, bias can result if
40 41 42	224	specimens that are collected too long after symptom onset are used [36]. Most studies use a threshold of 7
43	225	days [37]. To test the robustness of the findings, a sensitivity analysis will be performed; controls will be
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	226	restricted to those specimens positive for a different respiratory virus (i.e. coronavirus, human respiratory
	227	syncytial virus) (As suggested by Sullivan et al 2016).
	228	A potential limitation to this study is that the samples utilized here are clinical isolates taken through the
	229	course of normal patient care, and are not from a standard case definition as is utilized in some other studies
	230	[12]. To test the robustness of the findings, the analysis will be repeated using only cases and controls that
56 57 58		11

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

were given a diagnosis code for ARI on the same day as specimen collection, as per the SESE database orMACAR. Appendix 3 lists the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to define ARIs.

BMJ Open

234 PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Patients and the public were not involved in the design of the study, including the development of the
research question, outcomes measures, recruitment to or conduct of the study. The results of the study will
be disseminated to the public as deemed appropriate by public health officials.

24 239 DISCUSSION

240 This protocol describes the estimation of seasonal influenza VE using specimens collected for routine241 influenza diagnostics as well as administrative data and vaccination records.

A key strength of this approach is the large sample size. This approach allows calculation of near real-time,

33 243 precise influenza VE estimates weeks prior to the influenza season peak, creating an early warning system for

244 public health if, as in the 2014-2015 season, the vaccine is found to have exceedingly low effectiveness. Early

245 notification of VE can assist public health in determining policies, messaging, and allocation of resources

246 (antiviral agents, staffing emergency departments) to counter a potentially more severe influenza season

[37,38]. The large sample size also allows for stratified analyses of VE based on product, age group, or region.

248 Whereas sentinel physician networks rely primarily on self-reported measures of influenza vaccination [34], a

249 significant strength of this study is the use of the near-real-time influenza vaccination registry that contains

250 individual-level, linkable data for most influenza vaccinations administered in the province. Use of this

251 registry reduces the likelihood of recall error and information biases such as social desirability bias and

52 252 reduces non-differential misclassification, which would bias the odds ratio towards the null, thus

54 253 underestimating VE [12].

BMJ Open

Finally, we are certain to capture the results of all respiratory virus testing in the province, as all respiratory virus testing is centralized at ProvLab and there is limited use of point-of-care testing. There are some limitations to this methodology compared to the traditional method of VE estimation using sentinel physician networks, because a standardized clinical case definition cannot be applied to determine study eligibility. A sensitivity analysis restricting to healthcare encounters with a diagnosis code for ARI will be used as a proxy for a standard case definition. While the inclusion of confounders is important for VE estimate adjustment, not all known confounders can be measured using administrative data. Frailty has been demonstrated to be a potential confounder of VE [39-41]. Frailty cannot be included in the multivariable model because no validated indices of frailty generated from standard administrative data exist at this time. However, this may not affect the results significantly as a previous study indicated that inclusion of frailty in the multivariate model increased VE estimates only slightly [42]. Laboratory requisitions in Alberta do not contain illness onset date. Ideally this would be used to ensure that the negative laboratory test results were representative of an acute infectious period and that test-negative specimens were not collected after viral shedding had ceased. Sullivan et al 2016 have indicated this bias may be accounted for by selecting influenza test-negative controls that were positive for another respiratory virus. Requiring controls to be positive for another virus excludes individuals who are tested long after their acute infectious period. However, a recent systematic review found no differences when using different groups of controls [43]. Comparison of the VE results using administrative data to previously published studies, specifically sentinel surveillance for the same seasons (2011/12 - 2018/19) will help to identify further areas of refinement. This approach could successfully allow for the generation of early influenza VE estimates which could facilitate tailoring of public health messaging and assist in public health operations planning for the peak of the influenza season. For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	279	ETHICS
	280	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
	281	under study ID Pro00075997.
	282	
	283	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
	284	ABC – Alberta Blue Cross
	285	ACCIS – Alberta Continuing Care Information System
	286	AHCIP – Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Adjusted Population Registry
	287	CCI – Canadian Classification of Health Interventions
	288	CCP – Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures
	289	ICD-9 – International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision
	290	ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision
	291	Imm/ARI – Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system
	292	MACAR – Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting
	293	PHN – Personal Health Number
	294	PIN – Pharmaceutical Information Network
35 36	295	ProvLab – Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health
37 38	296	RT-PCR – Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction
39 40 41	297	SESE – Supplemental Enhance Service Event
41 42 43	298	VE – Vaccine Effectiveness
44 45	299	
46 47	300	ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	301	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board - Health Panel
	302	under study ID Pro00075997.
	303	
	304	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION
56 57		
58 59		14
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2 3	205	
4	305	Not applicable
5		
6	306	
7		
8 9	307	AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS
10	507	
11	308	Not applicable
12		
13 14	309	
15	505	
16	310	COMPETING INTERESTS
17		
18 10	311	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
19 20		
21	312	
22		FUNDING Not applicable AUTHOR STATEMENT
23	313	FUNDING
24 25	214	Natapplicable
25 26	314	Not applicable
27	315	
28	515	
29	316	AUTHOR STATEMENT
30 31		
32	317	ANS and SJD conceived of and designed the protocol and drafted and revised the manuscript. KS and LS
33	318	planned the original approach, providing guidance on available administrative database resources. SAB and
34	319	JCK made substantial contributions to the design and critically revised the manuscript.
35	320	
36 37	520	
38	321	
39		
40	322	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
41 42	323	The authors would like to acknowledge the staff at Alberta Health Services and ProvLab for their assistance
43	324	in providing administrative and laboratory data sources that could be implemented in this protocol.
44	01	
45	325	LICENCE STATEMENT
46		
47 48	326	* I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as
49	327	defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for
50	328	contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence
51	329	shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or
52 53	330	employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free
53 54	331	basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by
55	332	BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Open and any other BMJ products and
56		
57		
58 59		1
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
3	333	to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u> .
4 5	334	
6	335	The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by
7 8	336	BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a
9	337	postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge
10 11	338	("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an
12	339 340	Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u>
13	340 341	licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.
14 15	511	
16		
17 18		
18 19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36		be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.
37 38		
39		
40 41		
41		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54 55		
56		
57 58		16
58 59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2			
- 3 4	342	REFI	ERENCES
5 6 7	343 344	1	Mertz D, Tae HK, Johnstone J, et al. Populations at risk for severe or complicated influenza illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;347:10.
8 9	345	2	Alberta Health Services. Alberta Health Services. 2017.
10 11	346 347	3	Wong S-S, Webby RJ. Traditional and new influenza vaccines. <i>Clin Microbiol Rev</i> 2013; 26 :476–92. doi:10.1128/CMR.00097-12
12 13 14 15	348 349 350	4	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> A Perfect Storm: Impact of Genomic Variation and Serial Vaccination on Low Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness During the 2014-2015 Season. <i>Clin Infect Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2016; 63 :21–32. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw176
16 17	351	5	World Health Organization. Influenza Update N ° 309. 2018; 2018 :1–8.
18 19 20 21	352 353 354	6	Skowronski DM, De Serres G, Crowcroft NS, <i>et al.</i> Association between the 2008-09 seasonal influenza vaccine and pandemic H1N1 illness during Spring-Summer 2009: four observational studies from Canada. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2010;:e1000258. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000258
22 23 24 25	355 356 357	7	Chambers C, Skowronski DM, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2015/16 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, Canada, February 2016. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm</i> = <i>Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2016; 21 :30168. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.11.30168
26 27 28 29	358 359 360	8	Kwong JC, Campitelli MA, Gubbay JB, <i>et al.</i> Vaccine effectiveness against laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations among elderly adults during the 2010-2011 season. <i>Clin Infect Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2013; 57 :820–7. doi:10.1093/cid/cit404
30 31 32	361 362	9	Orenstein WA, Bernier RH, Dondero TJ, et al. Field evaluation of vaccine efficacy. Bull World Health Organ 1985;63:1055–68.
33 34 35 36	363 364 365	10	Public Health Agency of Canada. Effectiveness of Vaccine Against Medical Consultation Due to Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza: Results From a Sentinel Physician Pilot Project in British Columbia, 2004-2005. <i>Can Commun Dis Rep</i> 2005; 31 :181–91.
37 38 39 40	366 367 368	11	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2016/17 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2), Canada, January 2017. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm = Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2017; 22 . doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.6.30460
41 42 43	369 370	12	World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluation of influenza vaccine effectiveness: A guide to the design and interpretation of observational studies. 2017;:1–47.
44 45 46 47	371 372 373	13	Belongia EA, Simpson MD, King JP, <i>et al.</i> Variable influenza vaccine effectiveness by subtype: a systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design studies. <i>LancetInfectious Dis</i> 2016; 16 :942–51. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00129-8
48 49 50 51 52	374 375 376 377	14	Kwong JC, Buchan SA, Chung H, <i>et al.</i> Can routinely collected laboratory and health administrative data be used to assess influenza vaccine effectiveness? Assessing the validity of the Flu and Other Respiratory Viruses Research (FOREVER) Cohort. <i>Vaccine</i> Published Online First: 17 June 2019. doi:10.1016/J.VACCINE.2019.06.011
53 54 55 56	378 379 380	15	Fathima S, Simmonds K, Invik J, <i>et al.</i> Use of laboratory and administrative data to understand the potential impact of human parainfluenza virus 4 on cases of bronchiolitis, croup, and pneumonia in Alberta, Canada. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016; 16 . doi:10.1186/s12879-016-1748-z
57 58			17
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

58 59 60			18 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
53 54 55 56 57	416 417	33	Sullivan SG, Cowling BJ. 'Crude Vaccine Effectiveness' Is a Misleading Term in Test-negative Studies of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Epidemiology</i> 2015; 26 :e60. doi:10.1097/EDE.00000000000343
49 50 51 52	413 414 415	32	Schwartz KL, Jembere N, Campitelli MA, <i>et al.</i> Using physician billing claims from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan to determine individual influenza vaccination status: an updated validation study. <i>C Open</i> 2016; 4 :E470.
45 46 47 48	410 411 412	31	Quan H, Smith M, Bartlett-Esquilant G, <i>et al.</i> Mining Administrative Health Databases to Advance Medical Science: Geographical Considerations and Untapped Potential in Canada. <i>Can J Cardiol</i> 2012; 28 :152–4. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2012.01.005
42 43 44	408 409	30	Shiff NJ, Jama S, Boden C, <i>et al.</i> Validation of administrative health data for the pediatric population: A scoping review. <i>BMC Health Serv Res</i> 2014; 14 . doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-236
39 40 41	406 407	29	Hinds A, Lix LM, Smith M, <i>et al.</i> Quality of administrative health databases in Canada: a scoping review. <i>Can J Public Heal</i> 2016; 107 :e56e61.
37 38	404 405	28	Lix LM, Walker R, Quan H, <i>et al.</i> Features of physician services databases in Canada. <i>Chronic Dis Inj Can</i> 2012; 32 :186–93.
34 35 36	402 403	27	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Claims Assessment. 2017.https://open.alberta.ca/publications/alberta-health-diagnostic-codes (accessed 23 Feb 2018).
30 31 32 33	399 400 401	26	MacDonald SE, Dover DC, Simmonds KA, <i>et al.</i> Risk of febrile seizures after first dose of measles- mumps-rubella- varicella vaccine: A population-based cohort study. <i>CMAJ</i> 2014; 186 :824–9. doi:10.1503/cmaj.140078
28 29	398	25	Government of Alberta. Immunization data submission and response guidelines. 2017.
25 26 27	396 397	24	Russell ML, Schopflocher DP, Svenson L, et al. Secular trends in the epidemiology of shingles in Alberta. <i>Epidemiol Infect</i> 2007; 135 :908–13.
23 24	395	23	Government of Alberta. Overview of Administrative Health Datasets. 2017.
21 22	394	22	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Seasonal Influenza in Alberta 2016/2017 Summary Report. 2017.
18 19 20	392 393	21	World Health Organization. A Manual for Estimating Disease Burden Associated with Seasonal Influenza. 2015.
16 17	391	20	World Health Organization. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza. 2013.
12 13 14 15	388 389 390	19	Chaudhry A, Bastien N, Li Y, <i>et al.</i> Oseltamivir resistance in an influenza A (H3N2) virus isolated from an immunocompromised patient during the 2014-2015 influenza season in Alberta, Canada. <i>Influenza Other Respi Viruses</i> 2016; 10 :532–5. doi:10.1111/irv.12415
8 9 10 11 12	385 386 387	18	Pabbaraju K, Wong S, Wong AA, <i>et al.</i> Design and validation of real-time reverse transcription-PCR assays for detection of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2009; 47 :3454–60. doi:10.1128/JCM.01103-09
5 6 7 8	382 383 384	17	Pabbaraju K, Tokaryk KL, Wong S, <i>et al.</i> Comparison of the luminex xTAG respiratory viral panel with in-house nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of respiratory virus infections. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2008; 46 :3056–62. doi:10.1128/JCM.00878-08
2 3 4	381	16	Government of Alberta. Alberta Health: History of immunization in Alberta. 2017.
2			

BMJ Open

۳
\leq
BMJ O
0
þe
Ľ
n: fir
S
÷
pu
Ы
<u>is</u> i
ы
ublished as 10.1136/bmj
as
ō
<u>`</u> _
$\overline{\omega}$
õ
ď
크.
ъ
ĕ
Ŗ
jopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downl
2019
φ
02
297
070
8
on
Ξ
ω
0
Š
ď
te
З
ğ
4
20
2
<u>.</u>
σ
õ
۲
Ĕ
ad
de
ž
<u>u</u>
Ť
d fror
d from
d from ht
d from http
d from http://
d from http://br
d from http://bmj
d from http://bmjop
d from http://bmjope
d from http://bmjopen.
d from http://bmjopen.bi
d from http://bmjopen.bmj
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.c
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.cor
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ o
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on .
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on A
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on Apri
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.

1 2			
2 3 4 5 6	418 419 420	34	Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De Serres G, <i>et al.</i> Low 2012-13 influenza vaccine effectiveness associated with mutation in the egg-adapted H3N2 vaccine strain not antigenic drift in circulating viruses. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014; 9 :e92153. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092153
7 8 9 10	421 422 423	35	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2013/14 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)PDM09 from Canada's sentinel surveillance network, January 2014. <i>Eurosurveillance</i> 2014; 19 .
10 11 12 13 14	424 425 426	36	Sullivan SG, Tchetgen Tchetgen J. E, Cowling BJ. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 2016; 184 :345–53. doi:10.1093/aje/kww064
15 16	427 428	37	Orton L, Lloyd-Williams F, Taylor-Robinson D, et al. The use of research evidence in public health decision making processes: systematic review. PLoS One;:e21704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021704
17 18 19	429 430	38	Savel TG, Foldy S. The role of public health informatics in enhancing public health surveillance. <i>MMW</i> R <i>Suppl</i> 2012; 61 :20–4.
20 21 22 23	431 432 433	39	Nelson JC, Jackson ML, Weiss NS, <i>et al.</i> New strategies are needed to improve the accuracy of influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates among seniors. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2009; 62 :687–94. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.06.014
24 25 26 27 28	434 435 436 437	40	Jackson LA, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, <i>et al.</i> Evidence of bias in estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :337–44.http://login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cmedm&AN=16368725&site=eds-live&scope=site
29 30 31	438 439	41	Jackson LA, Nelson JC, Benson P, <i>et al.</i> Functional status is a confounder of the association of influenza vaccine and risk of all cause mortality in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :345–52.
32 33 34 35	440 441 442	42	Talbot HK, Nian H, Chen Q, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating the case-positive, control test-negative study design for influenza vaccine effectiveness for the frailty bias. <i>Vaccine</i> 2016; 34 :1806–9. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.037
36 37 38 39	443 444 445	43	Feng S, Cowling BJ, Kelly H, <i>et al.</i> Estimating Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness With the Test- Negative Design Using Alternative Control Groups: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Am J</i> <i>Epidemiol</i> 2018; 187 :389–97. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx251
40 41 42	446		<i>Epidemiol</i> 2018; 16 7:589–97. doi:10.1095/aje/kwx251
43 44 45 46			
47 48 49			
50 51 52			
53 54 55			
56 57 58 59			19

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 8	
9	
10)
11	I
	2
	<u>_</u>
	3
14	
15	5
16	5
17	,
17	
18	
19)
20)
21	
2	
	2
	3
24	1
25	
26	
27	
28	3
29	
30	
31	
	2
33	3
34	
35	
36	
37	7
38	3
20	Ś
5	~
4(
41	
42	2
	3
44	
45	5
46	5
47	7
48	
49	
50)
51	
	2
	-
5.	3
54	
55	5
56	5
57	
58	
59 60	

1

Supplementary File - Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate typeand subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Appendix: List of CCP, CCI, and CMG codes utilized to define individuals who have had an organ

transplant

495	Heart Transplantation
455	Lung Transplant
456	Combined Heart-Lung Transplantation
624	Liver Transplant
675	Transplant of Kidney
648	Transplant of Pancreas
CI Code D	A
1HY85	Transplant, Heart With Lung(S)
1HZ85	Transplant, Heart Nec
1GT85	Transplant, Lung Nec
1GR85	Transplant, Lobe of Lung
1OA85	Transplant, Liver
1PC85	Transplant, Kidney
1OJ85	Transplant, Pancreas
10K85	Transplant, Pancreas With Duodenum
1NK85	Transplant, Small Intestine
1NP85	Transplant, Small And Large Intestine
CMG 1992 T	Го 2005
175	Heart or Lung Transplant
253	Major Intestinal And Rectal Procedures
310	Liver Transplant
311	Major Pancreatic Procedures
500	Kidney Transplant

110	Lung Transplant
160	Heart Transplant
220	Major Upper Gastrointestinal Reconstruction/Excision
270	Liver/Pancreas/Duodenum Transplant
450	Kidney Transplant

DIN

Drug Name

Route of Administration Strength

		0		0
7 8	00616192	ETOPOSIDE	CAP	50MG
9	00523410	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
10	02080036	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
11	02241182	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
12 13	02231622	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
14	02258218	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
15	00015431	VINBLASTINE SULFATE	IV PWS	1MG/ML
16	00611182	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
17	02143305	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
18 19	00004618	BUSULFAN	TAB	2MG
20	00297763	CARMUSTINE	IV PWS	100MG
21	09851399	CARMUSTINE	TOP SOL	NOT AVLE
22	00004626	CHLORAMBUCIL	ТАВ	2MG
23	00344915	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	INJ PWS	2GM
24 25	00013544	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
26		CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE		
27	00013552		IV PWS	200MG
28	02241797	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
29	02241799	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	1000MG
30 31	00013749	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
32	00262676	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
33	00344877	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
34	00344885	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
35	02241795	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
36 37	02241796	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
38	000/2704	ESTRAMUSTINE DISODIUM	CAD	140340
39	02063794	PHOSPHATE	CAP	140MG
40	00780278	ESTRAMUSTINE PHOSPHATE	CAP	140MG
41	00360414	LOMUSTINE	САР	100MG
42 43	00360422	LOMUSTINE	CAP	40MG
44	00360430	LOMUSTINE	CAP	10MG
45	00016063	MECHLORETHAMINE	IV PWS	10MG
46	00004715	MELPHALAN	TAB	2MG
47	02312794	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
48 49	02312816	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	180MG
50	02395274	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
51	02395282	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
52	02395290	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
53	02395312	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
54 55	02443473	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	5MG
56	02443481	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
57				
58				2

Appendix: List of drug names and DINs utilized to define immunocompromising conditions

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

59

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
02443511	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02443538	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02443554	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02241093	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
02241094	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
02241095	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02241096	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02441160	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAPSULE	5MG
00237035	THIOTEPA	INJ PWS	15MG/ML
02421917	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02421925	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02426757	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02426765	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02400022	CAPECITABINE	TAB	150MG
02400030	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	500MG
02238453	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	150MG
02238454	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	500MG
02022117	CLADRIBINE	IV SOL	1MG
00194727	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	500MG
00386715	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
02167867	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
00646296	CYTARABINE	• IV PWS	1GM
00646318	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	2GM
02246226	FLUDARABINE PHOSPHATE	TAB	10MG
00012882	FLUOROURACIL	IV SOL	101110
00330582	FLUOROURACIL	TOP CRM	5%
00465283	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	САР	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
00004723	MERCAPTOPURINE	ТАВ	50MG
02415275	MERCAPTOPURINE	TABLET	50MG
09857520	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
02182777	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
02182777	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML 25MG/ML
00014915	METHOTREXATE METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG/ ML
	METHOTREXATE METHOTREXATE	ТАВ	2.5MG 2.5MG
02170698	METHOTREXATE		
02182750		TAB	10MG
02182963	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02244798	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02398427	METHOTREXATE DISODUDI	VIAL	25MG/ML
00321397	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML
00321400	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML

59

3 4	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
5	02170663	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
6	02170671	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5 MG/ML
7	02182947	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	INJ SOL	10MG/ML
8 9	00614335	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	IV SOL	10MG/ML
10	00874132	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
11	02171767	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
12	00282081	THIOGUANINE	TAB	40MG
13 14	02384256	CRIZOTINIB	САР	200MG
14	02384264	CRIZOTINIB	САР	250MG
16	02409607	DABRAFENIB	САР	50MG
17	02409615	DABRAFENIB	CAP	75MG
18	02320193	DASATINIB	TAB	100MG
19 20	02293129	DASATINIB	TAB	20MG
21	02293137	DASATINIB	TAB	50MG
22	02293145	DASATINIB	TAB	70MG
23	02269007	ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	25MG
24 25	02269015	ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	100MG
26	02269023	ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	150MG
27	02377705	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	100MG
28	02377713	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	150MG
29 30	02434407	IBRUTINIB	САР	140MG
31	09857447	IMATINIB MESYLATE	• TAB	100MG
32	02388006	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	5MG
33	02388014	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	15MG
34 35	02388022	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	20MG
36	02409658	TRAMETINIB RECOMBINANT	ТАВ	2MG
37	01926438	ASPARAGINASE	INJ PWS	10MU
38	02389649	AXITINIB	TAB	5MG
39 40	02389630	AXITINIB	TAB FC	1MG
40	02262452	BORTEZOMIB	IV PWS	3.5MG
42	00521183	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG/VIAL
43	02154854	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG
44 45	02248676	GEFITINIB	ТАВ	250MG
46	02244725	IMATINIB MESYLATE	САР	100MG
47	02399806	IMATINIB MESYLATE	FC TAB	100MG
48	02355337	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
49 50	02355345	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
50 51	02397285	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
52	02397293	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
53	02399814	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
54	02431114	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
55 56	02431122	IMATINIB MESYLATE	ТАВ	400MG
57				100220
58				4

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
09857448	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02253275	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
02253283	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02326442	LAPATINIB DITOSYLATE	TAB	250MG
02315874	NILOTINIB	CAP	200MG
02368250	NILOTINIB	CAP	150MG
02352303	PAZOPANIB HCL	TAB	200MG
00012750	PROCARBAZINE HCL	CAP	50MG
02403390	REGORAFENIB	TAB	40MG
02284227	SORAFENIB TOSYLATE	TAB	200MG
02280795	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	12.5MG
02280809	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	25MG
02280817	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	50MG
02258595	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09854785	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857294	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857326	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857327	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
02130181	ALDESLEUKIN	IV PWS	1.3MG
02331675	CERTOLIZUMAB PEGOL	INJ-SC SOL	200MG/ML
09857394	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ SOL	50MG/ML
02242903	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	• INJ-SC PWS	25MG
02274728	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
09857322	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
02233014	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC PWS	20MG
02245619	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC SOL	20MG/ML
02324776	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02324784	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02244016	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
09852956	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
02419475	INFLIXIMAB	PWD VIAL	100MG
02239832	INTERFERON	INJ-SC SOL	0.03MG/ML
02239832	INTERFERON	OPH SOL	1MU/ML
02223384	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	3MMU
02223384	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	2	5MMU 5MMU
	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	
02223406		INJ PWS	10MMU
02231651	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	18MMU
00889067	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10 MMU/2ML
02223414	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MMU/2ML
02238674	INTERFERON ALFA 2D	INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5MI
02238675	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU/0.5MI
09853995	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MU/VIAL

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
09854045	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5ML
09854053	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU/0.5ML
00705896	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	3MMU
00705918	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	5MMU
00705926	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	10MMU
02240693	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	18MMU/1.2ML
02240694	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	30MMU/1.2ML
02240695	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	60MMU/1.2ML
01911988	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	3000MU/ML
01911996	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	9000MU/ML
01912003	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
00812471	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
00812498	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
00812501	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
02217015	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
02217031	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
02217058	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
02217066	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	18000MU/ML
02019914	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
01959069	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
01959077	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
00709042	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	• INJ SOL	3MU
00709050	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
02169649	INTERFERON BETA	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
	INTERFERON BETA 1A		11MCG
02237317		INJ PWS	
02237318	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	44MCG
02237770	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM PWS	30MCG/1.1ML
02269201	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM SOL	30MCG/0.5ML
02318253	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	66MCG/1.5ML
02318261	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	132MCG/1.5ML
02237319	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	22MCG/0.5ML
02237320	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	44MCG/0.5ML
09857395	INTERFERON BETA-1A INTERFERON BETA-1B	PREF AUTOINJ PEN	30MCG/0.5ML
02337819	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
00846368	LEVAMISOLE HCL	ТАВ	50MG
02234217	LEVAMISOLE HCL	TAB	50MG
02201211	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A		00110
09857505	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5ML
	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A		
02248077	RECOMBINANT DECINITEDEERON ALEA 2A	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5ML
02248078	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/ML
522 10070		11. J 00 001	1001100/1111
			6
			O
	For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.co	m/site/about/auidalinas.yhtml	

Page 2	6 of 28
--------	---------

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
00258482	BLEOMYCIN SULFATE	INJ PWS	15U
00163899	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	INJ PD	20MG
01926683	DAUNORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	20MG
00353078	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
00357391	DOXORUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	10MG
00640050	EPIRUBICIN HCL	INJ PWS	10MG
00640069	EPIRUBICIN HCL	IV PWS	50MG
00381799	MITOMYCIN	IV PWS	5MG
00463221	MITOTANE	TAB	500MG
02415992	AFLIBERCEPT	VIAL	40MG/MI
02273993	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	10MG/M
02290960	ALEMTUZUMAB	IV SOL	30MG/M
02270994	BEVACIZUMAB	IV SOL	25MG/M
09857407	RITUXIMAB	IV SOL	10MG/MI
02241927	RITUXIMAB	IV SOL	10MG/M

BMJ Open

Appendix: ICD-9 codes and ICD-10 codes utilized to define acute respiratory illness in physician,

ER and hospital encounters.

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Viral infection, unspecified site	079	B34
Viral agents as the cause of diseases		B97 (but not B973 or B977
classified to other chapters		
Acute nasopharyngitis (common cold)	460	J00
Acute sinusitis	461	J01
Acute pharyngitis	462	J02
Acute tonsillitis	463	J03
Acute laryngitis, tracheitis, epiglottitis,	464	J04, J05
croup		
Acute upper respiratory infections of	465	J06
multiple or unspecified sites		
Influenza due to identified novel	488	J09
influenza A virus		
Influenza	487	J10, J11
Pneumonia, organism unspecified	486	
Viral pneumonia	480	J12
Bacterial pneumonia	481, 482	J13, J14, J15
Pneumonia due to other specified	483	J16
organism		
Pneumonia in infectious diseases	484	J17
classified elsewhere		
Bronchopneumonia, organism	485	J18
unspecified		
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	466	J20, J21
Unspecified diseases respiratory system	519	J22, J39.8, J39.9
Bronchitis, not specified as acute or	490	J40
chronic		
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	518.82	J80
Pulmonary edema	518.4	J81
Pleural effusion	510.9, 511.0, 511.1, 511.89	J86.9, J90, R09.1
Respiratory failure	518.81	J96.0, J96.9
Atelectasis		J98.10
Pulmonary collapse	518.0	J98.19
Other respiratory disorders	786.00, 786.09	J98.0, J98.4, J98.8, J98.9
Hemoptysis	786.30	R04.2
Cough	786.2	R05
Shortness of breath (dyspnea)	786.02, 786.05, 786.09	R06.0
Stridor	786.1	R06.1
Wheezing	786.07	R06.2
Tachypnea	786.06	R06.4

Page 2	28 of	28
--------	-------	----

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Chest pain on breathing	786.52	R07.1
Hypoxemia	799.02	R09.0
Respiratory arrest	799.1	R09.2
Abnormal sputum	786.4	R09.3
Nasal congestion	478.19	R09.81
Abnormal chest sounds	786.7	R09.89
Fever	780.60	R50
Chills (without fever)	780.64	R68.0
Sepsis, shock	669.11, 669.12, 669.14,	A41.9, R57.9
	785.50, 785.52, 995.91,	
	995.92	
	785.50, 785.52, 995.91, 995.92	

BMJ Open

Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-029708.R3
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Jul-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Allison; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; PolicyWise for Children & Families Buchan, Sarah; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; Public Health Ontario Kwong, Jeff; Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences Drews, Steven; Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health; University of Alberta, Division of Preventive Medicine Simmonds, Kimberley; Alberta Ministry of Health, Epidemiology and Surveillance Svenson, Lawrence; Government of Alberta, Ministry of Health; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Public health, Epidemiology
Keywords:	Influenza, Vaccine effectiveness, Case Control, Test-negative, Administrative data, Population level

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1			
2 3 4	1	TITLE	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2 3	Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate type- and subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol	
	4	Allison N Scott ^{1,2,3}	
	5	Sarah A Buchan ^{4,5,6}	
12 13	6	Jeffrey C Kwong ^{4,5,6,7,8}	
14 15	7	Steven J Drews ^{9,10}	
16 17	8	Kimberley A Simmonds ^{1,11}	
17 18 19	9	Lawrence W Svenson ^{1,11, 12, 13}	
20 21	10		
22 23	11	Affiliations	
23 24 25	12	¹ Ministry of Health, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
26 27	13	² PolicyWise for Children & Families, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	14	³ Department of Public Health, Concordia University of Edmonton, AB, Canada	
	15	⁴ ICES, Toronto, ON, Canada	
	16	⁵ Public Health Ontario, Toronto, ON, Canada	
	17	⁶ Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada	
	18	⁷ Department of Family & Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada	
38 39	19	⁸ University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada	
40 41	20	⁹ The Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
42 43	21	¹⁰ Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
44 45	22	¹¹ Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary,	
46 47	23	AB, Canada	
48 49	24	¹² School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
50 51	25	¹³ Division of Preventive Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada	
52 53	26		
54 55	27	Corresponding Author:	
56 57	28	Allison N. Scott, Ph.D.	
58 59		1	
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

BMJ Open

1

60

2					
3 4	29	, ,			
5 6	30				
7 8	31	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T5	K 2J8		
9	32				
10 11	33	Email Addresses			
12 13	34	Allison N Scott	AScott@policywise.com		
14 15	35	Sarah A Buchan	Sarah.Buchan@oahpp.ca		
16 17	36	Jeffrey C Kwong	jeff.kwong@utoronto.ca		
18 19					
20 21	37	Steven J Drews	steven.drews@blood.ca		
22	38	Kimberley A Simmonds	kimberley.simmonds@gov.ab.ca		
23 24	39	Lawrence W Svenson	larry.svenson@gov.ab.ca		
25 26	40				
27 28	41				
29 30	42	Word Count : 2,550			
31 32					
33					
34 35					
36 37					
38 39					
40 41					
42					
43 44					
45 46					
47					
48 49					
50 51					
52					
53 54					
55					
56 57					
58					
59					

ABSTRACT Introduction The appropriateness of using routinely collected laboratory data combined with administrative data for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness (VE) is still being explored. This paper outlines a protocol to estimate influenza VE using linked laboratory and administrative data which could act as a companion to estimates derived from other methods. Methods and Analysis We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE for each influenza type/subtype and season. Province-wide individual-level records of positive and negative influenza tests at the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health in Alberta will be linked, by unique personal health numbers, to administrative databases and vaccination records held at the Ministry of Health in Alberta to determine covariates and influenza vaccination status, respectively. Covariates of interests include age, sex, immunocompromising chronic conditions, and healthcare setting. Cases will be defined based on an individual's first positive influenza test during the season, and potential controls will be defined based on an individual's first negative influenza test during the season. One control for each case will be randomly selected based on the week the specimen was collected. We will estimate vaccine effectiveness using multivariable logistic regression. **Ethics and Dissemination** Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board - Health Panel under study ID Pro00075997. Results will be disseminated by public health officials in Alberta.

2	
3 4	65
5 6	66
7 8	67
9 10	68
10 11 12	69
13	70
14 15	71
16 17	72
18 19 20	73
20 21 22	74
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46 47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54 55	
56 57	
57 58	

60

1

Key Words

Case Control

Test-negative

Administrative data

Population-level

Laboratory data

Vaccination database

Vaccine effectiveness

Influenza

ior oper terre work

1		
2 3 4	75	ARTICLE SUMMARY
5	76	Strengths and limitations of this study
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 34 25 26 7 28 29 30 31 23 34 5 36 37 8 9 40 41 24 34 45 46 47 89 50 152 53		
54 55		
56 57 58		5
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

INTRODUCTION

85	INTRODUCTION
86	Influenza is a respiratory viral disease associated with significant morbidity and mortality globally. Infections
87	range from relatively mild presentations (e.g. cough, sore throat) to severe lower respiratory tract infections
88	(e.g. pneumonia). Severe cases may be associated with hospitalization, intensive care admission, and death;
89	young children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic conditions are at highest risk of severe outcomes[1].
90	In Canada, rates of laboratory-confirmed influenza infections are, on average, approximately 200 cases per
91	100,000 population, with approximately 50% of cases occurring in patients aged \leq 18 years [2]. The causative
92	agents, influenza A (subtypes H3N2 and H1N1pdm(09)) and influenza B (Yamagata and Victoria lineages),
93	are under strong selective pressure to mutate genetically; significant genetic changes can occur in relatively
94	short periods of time (i.e. <1 year) [3].
95	Influenza prevention relies, in part, on annual vaccination campaigns. Selection of viral strains for inclusion
96	in the vaccine occurs approximately 9 months prior to the onset of the influenza season; by the time the
97	vaccines are administered, the predominant circulating strains may have mutated to the point such that the
98	effectiveness of the vaccine has diminished or has become completely ineffective [4,5].
99	Influenza VE is commonly estimated using the test-negative design, a variation of the case-control design
100	where cases and controls are selected from a pool of individuals who have been tested for influenza [6–10].
101	Several research groups use sentinel physician networks to recruit patients: influenza testing is performed on
102	patients who meet a case definition for influenza-like illness, and cases and controls are selected from that
103	pool [6-8]. While this has become an established method, there are some limitations to using sentinel
104	physicians. As the physicians are often volunteers, there can be bias in the geographic distribution, leading to
105	clustering of sampling in certain areas and not others. This can lead to inaccuracies as predominant
106	circulating influenza strains vary geographically [7,11]. Immunization information is commonly self-reported,
107	potentially leading to recall and social desirability biases [12]; volunteer physicians may be more likely to have
108	strong views on influenza immunization, potentially making it more difficult for the patient to admit to not

BMJ Open

low, resulting in small sample sizes and wide confidence intervals. Estimates are, therefore, typically available after the peak of the influenza season, decreasing their usefulness for public health messaging and resource and operational planning [6-8,11]. Using administrative data and routinely collected clinical specimens for estimating VE is currently under debate [13]. VE estimates generated using linked health administrative and laboratory data in the province Ontario have been shown to be comparable to previously published estimates[14]. There has been one published estimate of Alberta-specific vaccine effectiveness using a sentinel surveillance system[11]; however, because of the small sample size the confidence interval was large, ranging from 8% to 72%. Estimating VE in a large jurisdiction with near-real-time data on all influenza laboratory testing and influenza vaccination in the population has the potential to provide more precise and timely VE estimates than has previously been possible. We present a protocol to estimate influenza VE using individually-linked laboratory and administrative data. relien METHODS AND ANALYSIS **Study Setting:** Alberta is a province in Canada with a publicly-funded universal health care system; each of the 4.25 million residents is assigned a unique personal health number (PHN) at birth or upon immigration to the province [15]. The PHN is recorded each time a person accesses the healthcare system, allowing for deterministic linkage across multiple administrative data sets held by the Ministry of Health. In 2009, influenza vaccination became universally available to all Albertans aged ≥ 6 months, regardless of comorbidities or other risk conditions [16]. Influenza vaccines are available at no cost to the patient at public health clinics, pharmacies, physician offices, long-term care facilities, university health centers, and workplaces. Annual vaccine campaigns begin in October, with approximately 60% of all influenza vaccinations given by the end of the second week of the campaign. While the peak of influenza activity has For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

varied widely since 2010, the median influenza peak in Alberta is in mid-January, approximately three months after the vaccination campaigns begin. Laboratory methods for influenza A and B detection and influenza A subtyping All influenza testing in Alberta is performed at a single diagnostic lab, the Provincial Laboratory for Public Health (ProvLab) and stored in a single laboratory information system, along with test and patient identifiers. Clinical specimens (e.g. nasopharyngeal swabs, nasopharyngeal aspirates, bronchoalveolar lavages) are processed at ProvLab using previously published protocols. Nucleic acid extraction utilizes the easyMAG extractor and reagents (bioMerieux, St.Laurent, Quebec, Canada) [17]. Nucleic acid from clinical specimens are then tested using a series of respiratory detection assays as described below. Prior to May 2017, a real-time influenza A/B reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was used to diagnose influenza using a protocol previously described [18,19]. After May 2017, ProvLab has been using a Luminex Respiratory Pathogen Panel for the identification of influenza A (including subtype), influenza B, and other respiratory viruses (e.g. coronavirus and parainfluenza) [15]. Results of the laboratory testing were imported into specific laboratory information systems depending on the testing time period. **Study Design:** We will use the test-negative design to estimate VE. We will estimate VE for the 2011/12 - 2019/20influenza seasons. The results of all respiratory virus tests conducted at ProvLab will be sent to the Ministry of Health for deterministic linkage to health administrative databases, in order to determine eligibility for inclusion in the analysis, influenza vaccination status, and the following covariates: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month at the time of specimen submission. The presence of a diagnostic code for an acute respiratory illness (ARI) at the time of specimen collection will be used in a sensitivity analysis.

60

BMJ Open

1 2		
3 4	159	Isolates will be considered eligible for inclusion in the analysis if they met all of the following criteria: a valid
5	160	PHN is recorded, the isolate is not from a resident of a long-term care facility, the isolate was collected at
7 8	161	least four weeks after the initiation of the public influenza vaccination program, and the isolate was collected
9 10 11	162	during the influenza season, as determined using the method recommended by the WHO [20-22].
12 13	163	It is important to ensure that the population has the chance to be exposed to influenza and there is sufficient
14 15	164	time for immunity to the vaccine to be developed. Residence in a long-term care facility will be determined
16 17	165	via the Alberta Continuing Care Information System (ACCIS), which contains information on admissions
18 19	166	and discharges from long-term care facilities [23]. PHN validity will be assessed using the Alberta Health
20 21	167	Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Adjusted Population Registry, which contains records of all individuals
22 23	168	registered for healthcare insurance [23,24].
24 25	169	Individuals can have multiple laboratory tests over the course of their illness; therefore only the first positive
26 27	109	influenza test during the influenza season will be used, and potential control samples will be selected from
28 29		
30 31	171	among those who only tested negative for influenza during that influenza season, using the first negative test.
32 33	172	Cases and controls tested <14 days after vaccination will be excluded from the analysis.
34 35	173	Influenza vaccination status will be determined from the Influenza Vaccination Registry. The registry
36 37	174	combines data from four databases that record influenza vaccination events (see below).
38 39 40 41	175	The following administrative data sets will be used in this study.
42 43	176	• Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system (Imm/ARI) contains
44 45	177	records of all publicly funded vaccines administered through public health, including influenza
46 47	178	vaccines administered at mass influenza vaccination clinics, public health clinics, and vaccinations
48 49	179	administered by public health nurses in long-term care facilities. Data submission is mandatory and
50 51	180	guidelines exist to support complete and accurate vaccination records with descriptions of each,
52 53	181	including notes [25,26].
54 55		
56 57		
58		9

1

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

182	• The Supplemental Enhanced Service Event (SESE) database captures physician claims for billing
183	purposes; International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnosis codes,
184	procedure codes (Canadian Classification of Procedures), codes indicating location of service
185	delivery, and a number of other administrative elements used to support the payment for each
186	patient encounter [24,27,28].
187	• Alberta Blue Cross (ABC) administers the pharmacist component of the universal vaccination
188	program. Pharmacists administering influenza vaccines through this program submit claims to ABC
189	for each vaccine provided; they are required to submit patient information such as PHN, date of
190	service, name, and address.
191	• The Pharmaceutical Information Network (PIN) database records dispensed pharmacological
192	products, regardless of payer, including the rare instances when an influenza vaccine is purchased
193	rather than administered through the public program (e.g. purchased by travelers prior to the launch
194	of the public campaign). PIN captures approximately 95% of all dispensed events in the province
195	[23].
196	• Provincial Vaccine Registry combines influenza vaccinations given in the province and recorded in
197	four source databases (PIN, ABC, SESE and Imm/ARI).
198	• Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan (AHCIP) Population Registry contains demographic variables,
199	age, sex, socio-economic status, and geographic zone of residence. Neighbourhood-level socio-
200	economic status is derived from census dissemination area income quintiles using postal code.
201	Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting (MACAR) system contains ICD-10-CA
202	diagnostic codes, procedure codes, the date of admission, and date of discharge for every visit to
203	hospitals, emergency rooms, and outpatient clinics.
204	The quality of administrative datasets in Alberta has been extensively reviewed [29-31].
205	Individuals will be considered inpatients if they have at least one physician claim for inpatient services on
206	the same day as specimen collection or if specimen collection occurred during an inpatient stay; all others
	10
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
	 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205

will be considered outpatients. Individuals with an immunocompromising condition will be defined as
those who have a diagnosis of HIV, who received an organ transplant, or received oral corticosteroids
(for ≥30 days), antineoplastic agents, or another immunocompromising drug from a community
pharmacist in the past 6 months. (Appendix 1 and 2) [32]. HIV diagnosis and ARI will be determined
through physician claims and MACAR. Organ transplantation will be determined using MACAR, and
immunocompromising drug dispensations will be identified through PIN.

213 Statistical Analysis

Vaccine effectiveness data will be refreshed and the analysis completed every two weeks until the peak of the influenza season and monthly thereafter. We will use multivariable logistic regression to estimate influenza vaccine effectiveness as $(1 - adjusted OR) \ge 100\%$ and will compare the results to historical values of VE for the predominate subtype. We will estimate VE separately by influenza season and influenza subtype (i.e., A(H3N2), A(H1N1)pdm09, and influenza B) [33]. When there is a large enough sample size in a particular season to provide adequate power, VE will be estimated for specific age groups such as children under the age of 5 and seniors over the age of 65. The following covariates will be included in the adjusted model, regardless of statistical significance: age, sex, socio-economic status, geographic zone of residence, history of immunocompromising comorbidities, healthcare setting (inpatient or outpatient setting), and month of specimen submission within the influenza season. SAS version 9.4 will be used for all statistical analysis (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). VE estimates will be compared to published estimates of VE [6,7,11,13,34,35].

As shedding of influenza virus continues for approximately 4-5 days after symptom onset, bias can result if specimens that are collected too long after symptom onset are used [36]. Most studies use a threshold of 7 days [37]. To test the robustness of the findings, a sensitivity analysis will be performed; controls will be restricted to those specimens positive for a different respiratory virus (i.e. coronavirus, human respiratory syncytial virus) (As suggested by Sullivan et al 2016).

A potential limitation to this study is that the samples utilized here are clinical isolates taken through thecourse of normal patient care, and are not from a standard case definition as is utilized in some other studies

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

2
3
4
5
-
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
55 54
54 55
56
57
58
59

60

MACAR. Appendix 3 lists the ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to define ARIs.

235

234

1

236 PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Patients and the public were not involved in the design of the study, including the development of the
research question, outcomes measures, recruitment to or conduct of the study. The results of the study will
be disseminated to the public as deemed appropriate by public health officials.

240

241 DISCUSSION

242 This protocol describes the estimation of seasonal influenza VE using specimens collected for routine243 influenza diagnostics as well as administrative data and vaccination records.

244 A key strength of this approach is the large sample size. This approach allows calculation of timely, precise influenza VE estimates weeks prior to the influenza season peak, creating an early warning system for public 245 246 health if, as in the 2014-2015 season, the vaccine is found to have exceedingly low effectiveness. Early 247 notification of VE can assist public health in determining policies, messaging, and allocation of resources 248 (antiviral agents, staffing emergency departments) to counter a potentially more severe influenza season 249 [37,38]. The large sample size also allows for stratified analyses of VE based on product, age group, or region. 250 Whereas sentinel physician networks rely primarily on self-reported measures of influenza vaccination [34], a 251 significant strength of this study is the use of the near-real-time influenza vaccination registry that contains

- 252 individual-level, linkable data for most influenza vaccinations administered in the province. Use of this
- 253 registry reduces the likelihood of recall error and information biases such as social desirability bias and

BMJ Open

254	reduces non-differential misclassification, which would bias the odds ratio towards the null, thus
255	underestimating VE [12].
256	Finally, we are certain to capture the results of all respiratory virus testing in the province, as all respiratory
257	virus testing is centralized at ProvLab and there is limited use of point-of-care testing.
258	There are some limitations to this methodology compared to the traditional method of VE estimation using
259	sentinel physician networks, because a standardized clinical case definition cannot be applied to determine
260	study eligibility. A sensitivity analysis restricting to healthcare encounters with a diagnosis code for ARI will
261	be used as a proxy for a standard case definition.
262	While the inclusion of confounders is important for VE estimate adjustment, not all known confounders can
263	be measured using administrative data. Frailty has been demonstrated to be a potential confounder of VE
264	[39–41]. Frailty cannot be included in the multivariable model because no validated indices of frailty
265	generated from standard administrative data exist at this time. However, this may not affect the results
266	significantly as a previous study indicated that inclusion of frailty in the multivariate model increased VE
267	estimates only slightly [42].
268	Laboratory requisitions in Alberta do not contain illness onset date. Ideally this would be used to ensure that
269	the negative laboratory test results were representative of an acute infectious period and that test-negative
270	specimens were not collected after viral shedding had ceased. Sullivan et al 2016 have indicated this bias may
271	be accounted for by selecting influenza test-negative controls that were positive for another respiratory virus.
272	Requiring controls to be positive for another virus excludes individuals who are tested long after their acute
273	infectious period. However, a recent systematic review found no differences when using different groups of
274	controls [43].
275	Comparison of the VE results using administrative data to previously published studies, specifically sentinel
276	surveillance for the same seasons $(2011/12 - 2018/19)$ will help to identify further areas of refinement.
	13

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2			
2 3 4	277	This approach could successfully allow for the generation of early influenza VE estimates which could	
5 6	278	facilitate tailoring of public health messaging and assist in public health operations planning for the peak of	
7 8	279	the influenza season.	
9 10	280		
11 12	281	ETHICS	
13 14	282	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Pane	el
15 16	283	under study ID Pro00075997.	
17 18	284		
19 20	285	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
21 22	286	ABC – Alberta Blue Cross	
23 24	287	ACCIS – Alberta Continuing Care Information System	
25 26	288	AHCIP – Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan Adjusted Population Registry	
27 28	289	CCI – Canadian Classification of Health Interventions	
29 30	290	CCP – Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures	
31 32	291	ICD-9 – International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision	
33 34 35	292	ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision	
35 36 37	293	Imm/ARI – Alberta Health Immunization and Adverse Reaction to Immunization system	
38 39	294	MACAR – Morbidity and Ambulatory Care Abstracting Reporting	
40 41	295	PHN – Personal Health Number	
42 43	296	PIN – Pharmaceutical Information Network	
44 45	297	ProvLab – Alberta Provincial Laboratory for Public Health	
46 47	298	RT-PCR – Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction	
48 49	299	SESE – Supplemental Enhance Service Event	
50 51 52 53	300	VE – Vaccine Effectiveness	
	301		
54 55	302	ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE	
56 57			
58 59			14
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2		
2 3 4	303	Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Alberta's Health Research Ethics Board – Health Panel
5 6	304	under study ID Pro00075997.
7 8	305	
9 10	306	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION
11 12 13	307	Not applicable
14 15	308	
16 17 18	309	AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS
19 20 21	310	Not applicable
22 23	311	
24 25	312	COMPETING INTERESTS
26 27	313	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
28 29 30	314	
31 32	315	FUNDING
33 34	316	Not applicable
35 36	317	
37 38	318	AUTHOR STATEMENT
39 40	319	ANS and SJD conceived of and designed the protocol and drafted and revised the manuscript. KS and LS
41 42	320 321	planned the original approach, providing guidance on available administrative database resources. SAB and JCK made substantial contributions to the design and critically revised the manuscript.
43 44	322	
45 46	323	
47 48 40	324	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
49 50	325	The authors would like to acknowledge the staff at Alberta Health Services and ProvLab for their assistance
51 52	326	in providing administrative and laboratory data sources that could be implemented in this protocol.
53 54	327	LICENCE STATEMENT
55 56		
57 58		15
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

* I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Open and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence. The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

2			
3 4	344	REFI	ERENCES
5 6 7	345 346	1	Mertz D, Tae HK, Johnstone J, et al. Populations at risk for severe or complicated influenza illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2013; 347 :10.
8 9	347	2	Alberta Health Services. Alberta Health Services. 2017.
9 10 11 12	348 349	3	Wong S-S, Webby RJ. Traditional and new influenza vaccines. <i>Clin Microbiol Rev</i> 2013; 26 :476–92. doi:10.1128/CMR.00097-12
13 14 15	350 351 352	4	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> A Perfect Storm: Impact of Genomic Variation and Serial Vaccination on Low Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness During the 2014-2015 Season. <i>Clin Infect</i> <i>Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2016; 63 :21–32. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw176
16 17	353	5	World Health Organization. Influenza Update N ° 309. 2018; 2018 :1–8.
18 19 20 21	354 355 356	6	Skowronski DM, De Serres G, Crowcroft NS, <i>et al.</i> Association between the 2008-09 seasonal influenza vaccine and pandemic H1N1 illness during Spring-Summer 2009: four observational studies from Canada. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2010;:e1000258. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000258
22 23 24 25	357 358 359	7	Chambers C, Skowronski DM, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2015/16 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, Canada, February 2016. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm</i> = <i>Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2016; 21 :30168. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.11.30168
26 27 28 29	360 361 362	8	Kwong JC, Campitelli MA, Gubbay JB, <i>et al.</i> Vaccine effectiveness against laboratory-confirmed influenza hospitalizations among elderly adults during the 2010-2011 season. <i>Clin Infect Dis An Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am</i> 2013; 57 :820–7. doi:10.1093/cid/cit404
30 31 32	363 364	9	Orenstein WA, Bernier RH, Dondero TJ, et al. Field evaluation of vaccine efficacy. Bull World Health Organ 1985;63:1055–68.
33 34 35 36	365 366 367	10	Public Health Agency of Canada. Effectiveness of Vaccine Against Medical Consultation Due to Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza: Results From a Sentinel Physician Pilot Project in British Columbia, 2004-2005. <i>Can Commun Dis Rep</i> 2005; 31 :181–91.
37 38 39 40	368 369 370	11	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2016/17 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2), Canada, January 2017. <i>Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Les Mal Transm = Eur Commun Dis Bull</i> 2017; 22 . doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.6.30460
41 42 43	371 372	12	World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluation of influenza vaccine effectiveness: A guide to the design and interpretation of observational studies. 2017;:1–47.
44 45 46 47	373 374 375	13	Belongia EA, Simpson MD, King JP, <i>et al.</i> Variable influenza vaccine effectiveness by subtype: a systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design studies. <i>LancetInfectious Dis</i> 2016; 16 :942–51. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00129-8
48 49 50 51 52	376 377 378 379	14	Kwong JC, Buchan SA, Chung H, <i>et al.</i> Can routinely collected laboratory and health administrative data be used to assess influenza vaccine effectiveness? Assessing the validity of the Flu and Other Respiratory Viruses Research (FOREVER) Cohort. <i>Vaccine</i> Published Online First: 17 June 2019. doi:10.1016/J.VACCINE.2019.06.011
53 54 55 56	380 381 382	15	Fathima S, Simmonds K, Invik J, <i>et al.</i> Use of laboratory and administrative data to understand the potential impact of human parainfluenza virus 4 on cases of bronchiolitis, croup, and pneumonia in Alberta, Canada. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016; 16 . doi:10.1186/s12879-016-1748-z
57 58			17
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2			
3 4	383	16	Government of Alberta. Alberta Health: History of immunization in Alberta. 2017.
5 6 7 8	384 385 386	17	Pabbaraju K, Tokaryk KL, Wong S, <i>et al.</i> Comparison of the luminex xTAG respiratory viral panel with in-house nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of respiratory virus infections. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2008; 46 :3056–62. doi:10.1128/JCM.00878-08
9 10 11	387 388 389	18	Pabbaraju K, Wong S, Wong AA, <i>et al.</i> Design and validation of real-time reverse transcription-PCR assays for detection of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> 2009; 47 :3454–60. doi:10.1128/JCM.01103-09
12 13 14 15	390 391 392	19	Chaudhry A, Bastien N, Li Y, <i>et al.</i> Oseltamivir resistance in an influenza A (H3N2) virus isolated from an immunocompromised patient during the 2014-2015 influenza season in Alberta, Canada. <i>Influenza Other Respi Viruses</i> 2016; 10 :532–5. doi:10.1111/irv.12415
16 17	393	20	World Health Organization. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza. 2013.
18 19 20	394 395	21	World Health Organization. A Manual for Estimating Disease Burden Associated with Seasonal Influenza. 2015.
21 22	396	22	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Seasonal Influenza in Alberta 2016/2017 Summary Report. 2017.
23 24	397	23	Government of Alberta. Overview of Administrative Health Datasets. 2017.
25 26 27	398 399	24	Russell ML, Schopflocher DP, Svenson L, <i>et al.</i> Secular trends in the epidemiology of shingles in Alberta. <i>Epidemiol Infect</i> 2007; 135 :908–13.
28	400	25	Government of Alberta. Immunization data submission and response guidelines. 2017.
29 30 31 32 33	401 402 403	26	MacDonald SE, Dover DC, Simmonds KA, <i>et al.</i> Risk of febrile seizures after first dose of measles- mumps-rubella- varicella vaccine: A population-based cohort study. <i>CMAJ</i> 2014; 186 :824–9. doi:10.1503/cmaj.140078
34 35	404 405	27	Alberta Health. Alberta Health Claims Assessment. 2017.https://open.alberta.ca/publications/alberta-health-diagnostic-codes (accessed 23 Feb 2018).
36 37 38	406 407	28	Lix LM, Walker R, Quan H, et al. Features of physician services databases in Canada. Chronic Dis Inj Can 2012; 32 :186–93.
39 40 41	408 409	29	Hinds A, Lix LM, Smith M, <i>et al.</i> Quality of administrative health databases in Canada: a scoping review. <i>Can J Public Heal</i> 2016; 107 :e56e61.
42 43 44	410 411	30	Shiff NJ, Jama S, Boden C, et al. Validation of administrative health data for the pediatric population: A scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-236
45 46 47 48	412 413 414	31	Quan H, Smith M, Bartlett-Esquilant G, <i>et al.</i> Mining Administrative Health Databases to Advance Medical Science: Geographical Considerations and Untapped Potential in Canada. <i>Can J Cardiol</i> 2012; 28 :152–4. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2012.01.005
49 50 51 52	415 416 417	32	Schwartz KL, Jembere N, Campitelli MA, <i>et al.</i> Using physician billing claims from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan to determine individual influenza vaccination status: an updated validation study. <i>C Open</i> 2016; 4 :E470.
53 54 55 56	418 419	33	Sullivan SG, Cowling BJ. 'Crude Vaccine Effectiveness' Is a Misleading Term in Test-negative Studies of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Epidemiology</i> 2015; 26 :e60. doi:10.1097/EDE.00000000000343
57 58			18
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

۳
\leq
BMJ O
0
þe
Ľ
n: fir
S
÷
pu
Ы
<u>is</u> i
ы
ublished as 10.1136/bmj
as
ō
<u>`</u> _
$\overline{\omega}$
õ
ď
크.
ъ
ĕ
Ŗ
jopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downl
2019
φ
02
297
070
8
on
Ξ
ω
0
Š
ď
te
З
ğ
4
20
2
<u>.</u>
σ
õ
۲
Ĕ
ad
de
ž
<u>u</u>
Ť
d fror
d from
d from ht
d from http
d from http://
d from http://br
d from http://bmj
d from http://bmjop
d from http://bmjope
d from http://bmjopen.
d from http://bmjopen.bi
d from http://bmjopen.bmj
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.c
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.cor
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ o
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on .
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on A
d from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on Apri
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27,
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.
/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest.

1 2			
2 3 4 5 6	420 421 422	34	Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De Serres G, <i>et al.</i> Low 2012-13 influenza vaccine effectiveness associated with mutation in the egg-adapted H3N2 vaccine strain not antigenic drift in circulating viruses. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014; 9 :e92153. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092153
7 8 9 10	423 424 425	35	Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, <i>et al.</i> Interim estimates of 2013/14 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)PDM09 from Canada's sentinel surveillance network, January 2014. <i>Eurosurveillance</i> 2014; 19 .
10 11 12 13 14	426 427 428	36	Sullivan SG, Tchetgen Tchetgen J. E, Cowling BJ. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 2016; 184 :345–53. doi:10.1093/aje/kww064
15 16	429 430	37	Orton L, Lloyd-Williams F, Taylor-Robinson D, et al. The use of research evidence in public health decision making processes: systematic review. PLoS One;:e21704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021704
17 18 19	431 432	38	Savel TG, Foldy S. The role of public health informatics in enhancing public health surveillance. <i>MMW</i> R <i>Suppl</i> 2012; 61 :20–4.
20 21 22 23	433 434 435	39	Nelson JC, Jackson ML, Weiss NS, <i>et al.</i> New strategies are needed to improve the accuracy of influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates among seniors. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2009; 62 :687–94. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.06.014
24 25 26 27 28	436 437 438 439	40	Jackson LA, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, <i>et al.</i> Evidence of bias in estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :337–44.http://login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cmedm&AN=16368725&site=eds-live&scope=site
29 30 31	440 441	41	Jackson LA, Nelson JC, Benson P, <i>et al.</i> Functional status is a confounder of the association of influenza vaccine and risk of all cause mortality in seniors. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2006; 35 :345–52.
32 33 34 35	442 443 444	42	Talbot HK, Nian H, Chen Q, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating the case-positive, control test-negative study design for influenza vaccine effectiveness for the frailty bias. <i>Vaccine</i> 2016; 34 :1806–9. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.037
36 37 38 39	445 446 447	43	Feng S, Cowling BJ, Kelly H, <i>et al.</i> Estimating Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness With the Test- Negative Design Using Alternative Control Groups: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Am J</i> <i>Epidemiol</i> 2018; 187 :389–97. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx251
40 41 42	448		<i>Epidemiol</i> 2018; 18 7:589–97. doi:10.1095/aje/kwx251
43 44 45 46			
47 48 49			
50 51 52			
53 54 55			
56 57 58			19
59			

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 8	
9	
10)
11	
	2
	<u>_</u>
	3
14	
15	5
16	5
17	,
17	
18	
19)
20)
21	
2	
	2
	3
24	1
25	
26	
27	
28	3
29	
30	
31	
	2
33	3
34	
35	
36	
37	7
38	3
20	Ś
5	~
4(
41	
42	2
	3
44	
45	5
46	5
47	7
48	
49	
50)
51	
	2
	-
5.	3
54	
55	5
56	5
57	
58	
59 60	

1

Supplementary File - Using population-wide administrative and laboratory data to estimate typeand subtype-specific influenza vaccine effectiveness: a surveillance protocol

Appendix: List of CCP, CCI, and CMG codes utilized to define individuals who have had an organ

transplant

495	Heart Transplantation
455	Lung Transplant
456	Combined Heart-Lung Transplantation
624	Liver Transplant
675	Transplant of Kidney
648	Transplant of Pancreas
CI Code D	A
1HY85	Transplant, Heart With Lung(S)
1HZ85	Transplant, Heart Nec
1GT85	Transplant, Lung Nec
1GR85	Transplant, Lobe of Lung
1OA85	Transplant, Liver
1PC85	Transplant, Kidney
1OJ85	Transplant, Pancreas
10K85	Transplant, Pancreas With Duodenum
1NK85	Transplant, Small Intestine
1NP85	Transplant, Small And Large Intestine
CMG 1992 T	Го 2005
175	Heart or Lung Transplant
253	Major Intestinal And Rectal Procedures
310	Liver Transplant
311	Major Pancreatic Procedures
500	Kidney Transplant

110	Lung Transplant
160	Heart Transplant
220	Major Upper Gastrointestinal Reconstruction/Excision
270	Liver/Pancreas/Duodenum Transplant
450	Kidney Transplant

DIN

Drug Name

Route of Administration Strength

		0		0
7 8	00616192	ETOPOSIDE	CAP	50MG
9	00523410	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
10	02080036	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
11	02241182	ETOPOSIDE	IV SOL	20MG/ML
12 13	02231622	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
14	02258218	IRINOTECAN HCL	IV SOL	20MG/ML
15	00015431	VINBLASTINE SULFATE	IV PWS	1MG/ML
16	00611182	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
17	02143305	VINCRISTINE SULFATE	IV SOL	1MG/ML
18 19	00004618	BUSULFAN	TAB	2MG
20	00297763	CARMUSTINE	IV PWS	100MG
21	09851399	CARMUSTINE	TOP SOL	NOT AVLE
22	00004626	CHLORAMBUCIL	ТАВ	2MG
23	00344915	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	INJ PWS	2GM
24 25	00013544	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
26		CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE		
27	00013552		IV PWS	200MG
28	02241797	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	200MG
29	02241799	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	IV PWS	1000MG
30 31	00013749	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
32	00262676	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
33	00344877	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
34	00344885	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
35	02241795	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	25MG
36 37	02241796	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE	TAB	50MG
38	000/2704	ESTRAMUSTINE DISODIUM	CAD	140340
39	02063794	PHOSPHATE	CAP	140MG
40	00780278	ESTRAMUSTINE PHOSPHATE	CAP	140MG
41	00360414	LOMUSTINE	САР	100MG
42 43	00360422	LOMUSTINE	CAP	40MG
44	00360430	LOMUSTINE	CAP	10MG
45	00016063	MECHLORETHAMINE	IV PWS	10MG
46	00004715	MELPHALAN	TAB	2MG
47	02312794	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
48 49	02312816	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	180MG
50	02395274	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
51	02395282	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
52	02395290	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
53	02395312	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
54 55	02443473	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
56	02443481	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
57				
58				2

Appendix: List of drug names and DINs utilized to define immunocompromising conditions

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029708 on 30 September 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 27, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

59

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
02443511	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02443538	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	140MG
02443554	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	250MG
02241093	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	5MG
02241094	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	20MG
02241095	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAP	100MG
02241096	TEMOZOLOMIDE	САР	250MG
02441160	TEMOZOLOMIDE	CAPSULE	5MG
00237035	THIOTEPA	INJ PWS	15MG/ML
02421917	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02421925	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02426757	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	150MG
02426765	CAPECITABINE	FC TAB	500MG
02400022	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	150MG
02400030	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	500MG
02238453	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	150MG
02238454	CAPECITABINE	ТАВ	500MG
02022117	CLADRIBINE	IV SOL	1MG
00194727	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	500MG
00386715	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
02167867	CYTARABINE	INJ PWS	100MG
00646296	CYTARABINE	• IV PWS	1GM
00646318	CYTARABINE	IV PWS	2GM
02246226	FLUDARABINE PHOSPHATE	TAB	10MG
00012882	FLUOROURACIL	IV SOL	101110
00330582	FLUOROURACIL	TOP CRM	5%
00465283	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	САР	500MG
02242920	HYDROXYUREA	CAP	500MG
00004723	MERCAPTOPURINE	ТАВ	50MG
02415275	MERCAPTOPURINE	TABLET	50MG
09857520	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
02182777	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML
02182955	METHOTREXATE	INJ SOL	25MG/ML 25MG/ML
02182955	METHOTREXATE METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG/ ML
	METHOTREXATE METHOTREXATE	ТАВ	2.5MG 2.5MG
02170698	METHOTREXATE		
02182750		TAB	10MG
02182963	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02244798	METHOTREXATE	TAB	2.5MG
02398427	METHOTREXATE DISODUDI	VIAL	25MG/ML
00321397	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML
00321400	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5MG/ML

59

3 4	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
5	02170663	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	50MG/2ML
6	02170671	METHOTREXATE DISODIUM	INJ SOL	2.5 MG/ML
7	02182947	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	INJ SOL	10MG/ML
8 9	00614335	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	IV SOL	10MG/ML
10	00874132	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
11	02171767	METHOTREXATE SODIUM	TAB	2.5MG
12	00282081	THIOGUANINE	TAB	40MG
13 14	02384256	CRIZOTINIB	CAP	200MG
14	02384264	CRIZOTINIB	CAP	250MG
16	02409607	DABRAFENIB	САР	50MG
17	02409615	DABRAFENIB	САР	75MG
18	02320193	DASATINIB	TAB	100MG
19 20	02293129	DASATINIB	TAB	20MG
21	02293137	DASATINIB	TAB	50MG
22	02293145	DASATINIB	TAB	70MG
23	02269007	ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	25MG
24 25	02269015	ERLOTINIB HCL	TAB	100MG
26	02269023	ERLOTINIB HCL	ТАВ	150MG
27	02377705	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	100MG
28	02377713	ERLOTINIB HCL	TABLET	150MG
29 30	02434407	IBRUTINIB	САР	140MG
31	09857447	IMATINIB MESYLATE	• TAB	100MG
32	02388006	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	5MG
33	02388014	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	15MG
34 35	02388022	RUXOLITINIB	ТАВ	20MG
36	02409658	TRAMETINIB RECOMBINANT	ТАВ	2MG
37	01926438	ASPARAGINASE	INJ PWS	10MU
38	02389649	AXITINIB	TAB	5MG
39 40	02389630	AXITINIB	TAB FC	1MG
40	02262452	BORTEZOMIB	IV PWS	3.5MG
42	00521183	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG/VIAL
43	02154854	DACARBAZINE	IV PWS	200MG
44 45	02248676	GEFITINIB	ТАВ	250MG
46	02244725	IMATINIB MESYLATE	САР	100MG
47	02399806	IMATINIB MESYLATE	FC TAB	100MG
48	02355337	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
49 50	02355345	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
50 51	02397285	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
52	02397293	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
53	02399814	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
54	02431114	IMATINIB MESTLATE	TAB	100MG
55 56	02431122	IMATINIB MESTLATE	TAB	400MG
57	VE 1911EE			
58				4

59

DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
09857448	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02253275	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	100MG
02253283	IMATINIB MESYLATE	TAB	400MG
02326442	LAPATINIB DITOSYLATE	TAB	250MG
02315874	NILOTINIB	CAP	200MG
02368250	NILOTINIB	CAP	150MG
02352303	PAZOPANIB HCL	TAB	200MG
00012750	PROCARBAZINE HCL	CAP	50MG
02403390	REGORAFENIB	TAB	40MG
02284227	SORAFENIB TOSYLATE	TAB	200MG
02280795	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	12.5MG
02280809	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	25MG
02280817	SUNITINIB MALATE	CAP	50MG
02258595	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09854785	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857294	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857326	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
09857327	ADALIMUMAB	INJ-SC SOL	40MG
02130181	ALDESLEUKIN	IV PWS	1.3MG
02331675	CERTOLIZUMAB PEGOL	INJ-SC SOL	200MG/ML
09857394	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ SOL	50MG/ML
02242903	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	25MG
02274728	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
09857322	ETANERCEPT RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/ML
02233014	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC PWS	20MG
02245619	GLATIRAMER	INJ-SC SOL	20MG/ML
02324776	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02324784	GOLIMUMAB RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	50MG/0.5ML
02244016	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
09852956	INFLIXIMAB	IV PWS	100MG
02419475	INFLIXIMAB	PWD VIAL	100MG
02239832	INTERFERON	INJ-SC SOL	0.03 MG/ML
09852751	INTERFERON	OPH SOL	1MU/ML
02223384	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	3MMU
02223392	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	5MMU
02223406	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	10MMU
02231651	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ PWS	18MMU
00889067	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10MMU/2ML
02223414	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	10 MMU/2ML
02238674	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5MI
02238675	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL INJ SOL	5MMU/0.5MI
09853995	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL INJ SOL	10MU/VIAL
07033773	IINTERCIERCIN ALI'A 2D	111J SOL	IUNIU/VIAL

l L	DIN	Drug Name	Route of Administration	Strength
	09854045	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	3MMU/0.5ML
	09854053	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ SOL	5MMU/0.5ML
	00705896	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	3MMU
	00705918	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	5MMU
	00705926	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	10MMU
	02240693	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	18MMU/1.2ML
	02240694	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	30MMU/1.2ML
	02240695	INTERFERON ALFA 2B	INJ-SC SOL	60MMU/1.2ML
	01911988	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	3000MU/ML
	01911996	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	9000MU/ML
	01912003	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
	00812471	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ PWS	6000MU/ML
	00812498	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
	00812501	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
	02217015	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	3000MU/ML
	02217031	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	6000MU/ML
	02217058	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
	02217066	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	18000MU/ML
	02019914	INTERFERON ALFA-2A	INJ SOL	9000MU/ML
	01959069	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
	01959077	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	3MU
	00709042	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	• INJ SOL	3MU
	00709050	INTERFERON ALPHA-N1	INJ SOL	10MU
	02169649	INTERFERON BETA	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
		INTERFERON BETA 1A		11MCG
	02237317		INJ PWS	
	02237318	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ PWS	44MCG
	02237770	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM PWS	30MCG/1.1ML
	02269201	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-IM SOL	30MCG/0.5ML
	02318253	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	66MCG/1.5ML
	02318261	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	132MCG/1.5ML
	02237319	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	22MCG/0.5ML
	02237320	INTERFERON BETA 1A	INJ-SC SOL	44MCG/0.5ML
	09857395	INTERFERON BETA-1A	PREF AUTOINJ PEN	30MCG/0.5ML
	02337819	INTERFERON BETA-1B RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC PWS	0.3MG
	00846368	LEVAMISOLE HCL	TAB	50MG
	02234217	LEVAMISOLE HCL LEVAMISOLE HCL	ТАВ	50MG
	02234217	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	IAD	JUMG
	09857505	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5ML
		PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A	5	
	02248077	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/0.5ML
	00040070	PEGINTERFERON ALFA 2A		40034000/200
	02248078	RECOMBINANT	INJ-SC SOL	180MCG/ML
				6
		For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.co	m/site/about/quidelines.yhtml	

Page 2	6 of 28
--------	---------

	Route of Administra	ation Strength
0258482	INJ PWS	15U
0163899	INJ PD	20MG
1926683	IV PWS	20MG
0353078	IV PWS	50MG
0357391	IV PWS	10MG
0640050	INJ PWS	10MG
0640069	IV PWS	50MG
0381799	IV PWS	5MG
0463221	TAB	500MG
2415992	VIAL	40MG/MI
2273993	IV SOL	10MG/M
2290960	IV SOL	30MG/MI
2270994	IV SOL	25MG/M
9857407	IV SOL	10MG/MI
2241927	IV SOL	10 MG/M

BMJ Open

Appendix: ICD-9 codes and ICD-10 codes utilized to define acute respiratory illness in physician,

ER and hospital encounters.

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Viral infection, unspecified site	079	B34
Viral agents as the cause of diseases		B97 (but not B973 or B977
classified to other chapters		
Acute nasopharyngitis (common cold)	460	Joo
Acute sinusitis	461	J01
Acute pharyngitis	462	J02
Acute tonsillitis	463	J03
Acute laryngitis, tracheitis, epiglottitis,	464	J04, J05
croup		
Acute upper respiratory infections of	465	J06
multiple or unspecified sites		
Influenza due to identified novel	488	J09
influenza A virus		
Influenza	487	J10, J11
Pneumonia, organism unspecified	486	
Viral pneumonia	480	J12
Bacterial pneumonia	481, 482	J13, J14, J15
Pneumonia due to other specified	483	J16
organism		5
Pneumonia in infectious diseases	484	J17
classified elsewhere		
Bronchopneumonia, organism	485	J18
unspecified		
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	466	J20, J21
Unspecified diseases respiratory system	519	J22, J39.8, J39.9
Bronchitis, not specified as acute or	490	J40
chronic		
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	518.82	J80
Pulmonary edema	518.4	J81
Pleural effusion	510.9, 511.0, 511.1, 511.89	J86.9, J90, R09.1
Respiratory failure	518.81	J96.0, J96.9
Atelectasis		J98.10
Pulmonary collapse	518.0	J98.19
Other respiratory disorders	786.00, 786.09	J98.0, J98.4, J98.8, J98.9
Hemoptysis	786.30	R04.2
Cough	786.2	R05
Shortness of breath (dyspnea)	786.02, 786.05, 786.09	R06.0
Stridor	786.1	R06.1
Wheezing	786.07	R06.2
Tachypnea	786.06	R06.4

Page 2	28 of	28
--------	-------	----

Description	ICD-9 Code	ICD-10 Code
Chest pain on breathing	786.52	R07.1
Hypoxemia	799.02	R09.0
Respiratory arrest	799.1	R09.2
Abnormal sputum	786.4	R09.3
Nasal congestion	478.19	R09.81
Abnormal chest sounds	786.7	R09.89
Fever	780.60	R50
Chills (without fever)	780.64	R68.0
Sepsis, shock	669.11, 669.12, 669.14,	A41.9, R57.9
	785.50, 785.52, 995.91,	
	995.92	
	785.50, 785.52, 995.91, 995.92	