

BMJ Open uses compulsory open peer review. Your name and institution will be returned to the authors and will be published with this review if the article is accepted. Therefore please sign your review in the box below. Include your name, position, institution and country. Please also include a statement of competing interests. If you have filled out an ICMJE Conflicts of Interests form - please attach this using the box beneath instead.

Reviewer Name	<input type="text"/>
Institution and Country	<input type="text"/>
req Please state any competing interests or state 'None declared':	<input type="text"/>

Review Checklist			
Please elaborate on any 'No' answers in the free text section below.			
	Yes	No	N/A
1. Is the research question or study objective clearly defined?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. Is the abstract accurate, balanced and complete?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. Is the study design appropriate to answer the research question?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. Are the methods described sufficiently to allow the study to be repeated?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5. Are research ethics (e.g. participant consent, ethics approval) addressed appropriately?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Are the outcomes clearly defined?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. If statistics are used are they appropriate and described fully?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. Are the references up-to-date and appropriate?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
9. Do the results address the research question or objective?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. Are they presented clearly?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
11. Are the discussion and conclusions justified by the results	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
12. Are the study limitations discussed adequately?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
13. Is the supplementary reporting complete (e.g. trial registration; funding details; CONSORT, STROBE or PRISMA checklist)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
14. To the best of your knowledge is the paper free from concerns over publication ethics (e.g. plagiarism, redundant publication, undeclared conflicts of interest)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
15. Is the standard of written English acceptable for publication?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you have any further comments on the paper please enter them below.

req Statistics

req 1. Does this paper require further specialist statistical review?

Yes

Yes and I have performed this review

No

FURTHER COMMENTS

If you have any further comments on the paper please enter them below.

