## Appendix 1. Sample size calculation

```
clustersampsi, binomial samplesize p1(0.47) p2(0.41) k40) rho0.01)alpha(0.05) beta(0.8)
```


## Output of the STATA command for sample size calculation

Sample size calculation to determine number of observations required per cluster, for a twosample comparison of proportions (using normal approximations) without continuity correction.

For the user specified parameters:
p1: 0.4700
p2: 0.4100
significance level: 0.05
power: 0.80
number of clusters available: 40
intra cluster correlation (ICC): 0.0100
clustersampsi estimated parameters:
Firstly, assuming individual randomisation: sample size per arm: 1071
Then, allowing for cluster randomisation: average cluster size required: 38
sample size per arm: 1520


Supplementary Figure 1. The evaluation diagram of Suchana programme

## Appendix 2. Data collection

The Suchana data collection software contained built-in validation rules. As the data were entered at the interviewer level and the records were uploaded to a server at the icddr,b using the built-in internet connectivity of the devices, maximum validation rules were set in the data system to prevent errors during data entry, which reduced the data entry burden. This allowed the data analysis team to review the
consistency of the data every day. Data were synchronized to the central server "Web Service" developed in Asp.Net based on the C\# (C Sharp) code. Activities such as editing (after receiving any feedback from field staff members), updating, range checks, duplication checks, consistency checks, frequency checks and cross tabulation were regularly performed during the data entry period. In case of any unusual observations, the issues were discussed and resolved.

## Appendix 3. Equation of logistic and probit regression

```
logit(y)= \beta0+ \beta}\mp@subsup{\}{1}{}\mp@subsup{X}{1}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{2}{}\mp@subsup{X}{2}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{3}{}\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{4}{}\mp@subsup{X}{4}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{5}{}\mp@subsup{X}{5}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{6}{}\mp@subsup{X}{6}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{7}{}\mp@subsup{X}{7}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{8}{}\mp@subsup{X}{8}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{9}{}\mp@subsup{X}{9}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{10}{}\mp@subsup{X}{10}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{12}{}\mp@subsup{X}{12}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{13}{}\mp@subsup{X}{13}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{14}{}\mp@subsup{X}{14}{}
\beta}\mp@subsup{1}{5}{}\mp@subsup{X}{15}{}+\mp@subsup{\beta}{16}{}\mp@subsup{X}{16}{
```



```
\beta
Where,
    x1: Less than four ANC visits by a skilled service provider x9: Having unhygienic latrine
    x2: Unskilled birth attendant/facility
    x3: Mother involved in income-generating activities
    x4: Maternal BMI <18.5
    x5: Maternal education: no schooling
    x6: HH severe food insecurity
    x7: Monthly income < 15000 BDT
    x8: Did not involve with aquaculture
and
logit(y)=\operatorname{log}[y/(1-y)]
```


## Supplementary Table 1. Suchana inclusion criteria for registration of enrolling as vulnerable households

| Vulnerable household verification questions | Inclusion criteria |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1 | If "NO" go ahead for next |
| - $\quad$ Households currently participating/member of any livelihood, food |  |
| security or asset transfer program | questions |

## Step 2

- Ability to afford three (3) full meals per day for all family members round the year
- Households monthly income BDT 7,500 or more
- Household productive asset value worth BDT 15,000 or more (excluding land, pond and homestead)
- Ownership of homestead land 10 decimals or more
- Ownership of cultivable land 50 decimals or more (excluding


## Step 3

- Households have married women with in child bearing age (15 to 45 years)
- Households have pregnant women (including abandoned or widowed woman)

If anyone is "NO" go ahead for next questions

## homestead or pond)

45 years)
Households have pregnant women (including abandoned or

If anyone is 'Yes' go ahead for registration of enrolling as vulnerable Household

- Households have 0-23 months old children
- Households have adolescent girls (15-19 years)

Sampling frame was prepared for collecting data from mother-child pair if the households had 0-23 months old children

Supplementary Table 2a. Predictive ability of various indicators for the adjusted prevalence of stunting and adjusted prevalence difference (effect size) in the fitted multiple logistic regression model.

|  | Prediction of adjusted stunting [\% (95\% CI)] | Prediction of adjusted prevalence difference as effect size* | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At least four ANC visits by a skilled service provider |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.64 (50.05, 53.23) | Reference |  |
| No | 47.99 (45.46, 50.53) | 3.65 (1.07, 6.22) | 0.006 |
| Birth attendant/facility |  |  |  |
| Skilled | 51.87 (50.23, 53.51) | Reference |  |
| Unskilled | 49.42 (47.48, 51.36) | 2.45 (0.55, 4.35) | 0.012 |
| Mother involved in income-generating activities |  |  |  |
| No | 54.55 (50.90, 58.19) | Reference |  |
| Yes | 50.59 (49.08, 52.11) | 3.95 (0.40, 7.51) | 0.029 |
| Maternal BMI |  |  |  |
| BMI $\geq 18.5$ | 54.04 (52.01, 56.07) | Reference |  |
| BMI $<18.5$ | 49.01 (47.21, 50.81) | 5.03 (2.66, 7.41) | $<0.001$ |
| Maternal education was primary completed |  |  |  |
| Yes | 54.66 (52.58, 56.74) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.21 (46.67, 49.75) | 6.45 (4.49, 8.40) | $<0.001$ |
| HH food insecurity |  |  |  |
| Below severe | 53.03 (50.91, 55.15) | Reference |  |
| Severe | 50.36 (48.63, 52.09) | 2.66 (0.11, 5.22) | 0.041 |
| HH monthly income $\geq 15000$ BDT |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.31 (49.79, 52.84) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.58 (45.86, 51.30) | 2.73 (0.17, 5.30) | 0.037 |
| Involved with aquaculture |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.22 (49.72, 52.72) | Reference |  |
| No | 46.99 (43.30, 50.69) | 4.23 (0.73, 7.73) | 0.018 |
| Hygienic latrine |  |  |  |
| Yes | 52.67 (50.94, 54.4) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.36 (46.5, 50.23) | 4.31 (2.34, 6.28) | $<0.001$ |
| Water and soap available in handwashing place |  |  |  |
| Yes | 52.64 (50.71, 54.58) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.54 (46.74, 50.33) | 4.10 (1.83, 6.38) | $<0.001$ |
| HH size |  |  |  |
| Below seven | 53.86 (51.98, 55.74) | Reference |  |
| Seven or above | 49.41 (47.70, 51.13) | 4.44 (2.43, 6.46) | $<0.001$ |
| HH dietary diversity |  |  |  |
| HDDS $\geq 7$ | 53.92 (51.25, 56.60) | Reference |  |
| HDDS $<7$ | 50.10 (48.70, 51.50) | 3.83 (1.63, 6.02) | $<0.001$ |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| Age $\leq 18$ months | 56.72 (54.77, 58.67) | Reference |  |
| Age $>18$ months | 46.59 (44.82, 48.35) | 10.1 (7.94, 12.32) | $<0.001$ |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Female | 53.25 (51.17, 55.34) | Reference |  |
| Male | 48.40 (46.78, 50.03) | 4.85 (2.59, 7.10) | $<0.001$ |
| Childhood illness in the last $\mathbf{1 5}$ days |  |  |  |
| No | 54.90 (52.04, 57.77) | Reference |  |
| Yes | 50.49 (48.94, 52.05) | 4.41 (1.48, 7.34) | 0.003 |
| Access of mass media |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.51 (49.88, 53.15) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.13 (45.39, 50.88) | 3.38 (0.42, 6.34) | 0.028 |

Supplementary Table $\mathbf{2 b}$. Predictive ability of various indicators for the adjusted prevalence of stunting and adjusted prevalence difference (effect size) in the fitted multiple probit regression model.

|  | Prediction of adjusted stunting [\% (95\% CI)] | Prediction of adjusted prevalence difference as effect size* | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At least four ANC visits by a skilled service provider |  |  |  |
| Yes | $51.64(50.05,53.23)$ | Reference |  |
| No | 47.99 (45.46, 50.53) | 3.65 (1.08, 6.22) | 0.007 |
| Birth attendant/facility |  |  |  |
| Skilled | 51.87 (50.23, 53.51) | Reference |  |
| Unskilled | 49.42 (47.48, 51.36) | 2.45 (0.54, 4.35) | 0.011 |
| Mother involved in income-generating activities |  |  |  |
| No | 54.55 (50.90, 58.16) | Reference |  |
| Yes | 50.59 (49.08, 52.11) | 3.93 (0.39, 7.48) | 0.024 |
| Maternal BMI |  |  |  |
| BMI $\geq 18.5$ | 54.04 (52.01, 56.07) | Reference |  |
| BMI <18.5 | 49.01 (47.21, 50.81) | 5.03 (2.66, 7.40) | $<0.001$ |
| Maternal education was primary completed |  |  |  |
| Yes | 54.66 (52.58, 56.74) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.21 (46.67, 49.75) | 6.45 (4.49, 8.41 ) | $<0.001$ |
| HH food insecurity |  |  |  |
| Below severe | 53.01 (50.89, 55.12) | Reference |  |
| Severe | 50.37 (48.64, 52.10) | 2.64 (0.09, 5.19) | 0.042 |
| HH monthly income $\geq 15000$ BDT |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.32 (49.79, 52.84) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.56 (45.84, 51.28) | 2.75 (0.19, 5.32) | 0.035 |
| Involved with aquaculture |  |  |  |
| Yes | $51.22(49.73,52.71)$ |  |  |
| No | $46.99(43.28,50.69)$ | $4.24(0.73,7.74)$ | 0.018 |
| Hygienic latrine |  |  |  |
| Yes | 52.67 (50.94, 54.4) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.36 (46.5, 50.23) | 4.31 (2.34, 6.28) | $<0.001$ |
| Water and soap available in handwashing place |  |  |  |
| Yes | 52.64 (50.71, 54.58) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.53 (46.74, 50.33) | 4.11 (1.83, 6.39) | $<0.001$ |
| HH size |  |  |  |
| Below seven | $53.86(51.98,55.73)$ |  |  |
| Seven or above | $49.41(47.70,51.13)$ | $4.44(2.43,6.46)$ | $<0.001$ |
| HH dietary diversity |  |  |  |
| HDDS $\geq 7$ | 53.90 (51.23, 56.57) | Reference |  |
| HDDS <7 | 50.10 (48.71, 51.50) | 3.79 (1.60, 5.98) | $<0.001$ |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| Age $\leq 18$ months | 56.72 (54.76, 58.67) | Reference |  |
| Age >18 months | 46.59 (44.83, 48.35) | 10.1 (7.93, 12.3) | $<0.001$ |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Female | 53.25 (51.17, 55.33) | Reference |  |
| Male | 48.41 (46.78, 50.03) | 4.85 (2.60, 7.10) | $<0.001$ |
| Childhood illness in the last $\mathbf{1 5}$ days |  |  |  |
| No | 54.90 (52.04, 57.75) | Reference |  |
| Yes | 50.50 (48.94, 52.05) | 4.40 (1.47, 7.33) | 0.003 |
| Access of mass media |  |  |  |
| Yes | 51.51 (49.88, 53.15) | Reference |  |
| No | 48.13 (45.40, 50.86) | 3.38 (0.42, 6.34) | 0.028 |

