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1. CONSORT flow diagram

2. Estimate of initial patient collective necessary for a quantitative exercise training evaluation over 5 years

In the following we estimate the initial patient collective \( N_{\text{tot}} \) necessary to have \( N \) patients for quantitative exercise training evaluation over 5 years. The following losses are assumed to occur over the 5 year span. Numbers are given in fractions which refer to our collective.

**Total drop out: 78.3%**

*Drop outs due to death: 50%.*

Interpolating between the published mortality [15.0 deaths per 100 patient years (py) in DOPPS data, Kidney International (2014) 85, 158–165] and the quite low mortality rate of 5.7 /100 py in our study, we assume 10 /100 py. The 10/100 py would correspond to 23 deaths over our 230 py of the study which would amount to 50% of our \( N_{i} = 46 \) of initially exercising patients.

*Other drop outs: 28.3%*
In our study we have lost 13 patients (=28.3%) out of 46 due to reasons other than death.

Clinical stability: 50%
From the 20 patients still exercising after 5 years 10 were in clinically sufficiently stable condition for quantitative exercise training evaluation over the entire 5 years.

Voluntary participation: 64%
Voluntary participation has been a key element for the success of our program. Out of the N_{tot} = 72 patients N_{i}= 46 opted for participating in the structured exercise program.

Summarizing these factors leads the relation

\[ N_{tot} = N/[(1-0.783) * 0.5 * 0.64] = 14.4 \text{ N}. \]

How large N should be depends on the details of the data one would like to retrieve. For the quantitative data we have obtained we observe a spread among the patient results over time (see increasing variance in Figure 2 of the main paper). Keeping the final patient collective N small requires a study design, which after certain time spans dynamically assigns the patients to three different training achievement categories to be pre-defined. If each category is evaluated separately, we estimate that N = 3 * 10 = 30 is sufficient. Without such a split of groups N ~ 50 appears to be a lower limit.