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ABSTRACT

Objective The purpose of this study was to establish the use of mobile devices by learners at a selected medical school. Distribution of mobile devices was an inaugural initiative implemented by our college. Design A mixed methodology design using a questionnaire comprising both open-ended and close-ended questions was analysed from 179 (60 male; 119 female) second year medical students registered for the Anatomy course. Open-ended questions were analysed using a thematic approach by identifying emergent ideas and concepts. Close-ended questions were analysed using SPSS V.21.0. Setting and participants Second year medical students at a medical school in South Africa. Results Three main themes emerged, namely, (a) mobile device engagement, (b) advantages and (c) challenges affecting use of mobile devices. A majority of learners accessed their tablets for lecture notes; more females were inclined to access these devices than males. Challenges experienced included poor wifi connectivity on and off the university campus; some students were not keen on the idea of mobile devices and preferred traditional methods of teaching. Conclusions Mobile devices have been adopted by learners at our university. Uses of technology outlined are related to Eraut’s intentions of informal learning. Integrating tablets into classes had a positive effect on student access to course material.

INTRODUCTION

The last decade has seen the introduction of new technology which has transformed many aspects of our culture, commerce, communication and education. Mobile computing devices such as tablets, iPhones and the iPad have been swiftly implemented in many countries resulting in access to information in ways that were not possible before. This article begins with a brief description of mobile learning and it is proposed that the growing use of mobile technology at universities is the most current trend forcing educators to evaluate its merits. Mobile learning is defined as ‘any type of learning that takes place in learning environments and spaces that take account of the mobility of technology, mobility of learners and mobility of learning’. As mobile technology develops, it creates new opportunities for enhancing the learning experience of students at all levels of education. Cobcroft et al reported that ‘mobile technologies are able to support learners’ engagement in creative, collaborative, critical and communicative learning activities’. Traxler further emphasised that mobile learning offers a unique opportunity to support learning that is personalised, authentic and situated, thus facilitating a wide variety of teaching methods. The growing use of mobile technology at colleges and universities is the most current trend forcing educators to evaluate its merits and the College of Health Sciences (CHS) at our university has implemented a visual learning project and over 1400 health science students have received new Proline Tablet personal computers (PCs). This technology was the first in South Africa to provide such a platform to stream live lectures and to record lectures for later on-demand viewing. According to the Information Technology Department at the university, “the live lecture streaming incorporates an interactive classroom, where students can ask the lecturer questions electronically, and students can respond to questions, surveys and polls from their seats in the lecture room, or from a remote location. College management said this new solution opened up exciting opportunities that could even allow international
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Strengths and limitations of this study

- This paper demonstrates the use of mobile technology, and describes how it created new opportunities to enable positive student learning experiences in a selected university classroom.
- The use of this software application was novel in the discipline of anatomy education and was designed to enhance creativity and critical thinking and to promote increased interaction among students and faculty.
- The research sample was purposive and limited to a single cohort of second year medical degree students, which is composed largely of female students.


CrossMark
lecturers to lecture to the student population. The new technology would allow lecturers to reach the more remote areas of the university community, proving especially beneficial to CHS students when fulfilling the clinical service requirements of their degrees. Mobile learning presents students and faculty with a unique opportunity to access information instantaneously, regardless of location.

The main advantages of using tablet technology embrace the following perceptions, namely, using software applications to enhance creativity and critical thinking and encouraging greater interaction among students and faculty. The benefits of using the tablet have also included a reduction in the amount of paper used and a reduction in textbook costs as students have opted for electronic versions of the text. Engagement with tablet PCs in academic programmes creates a positive educational experience. Miller reported that tablet PCs have applications that serve as study aids and productivity tools for students and students are also able to use ‘apps’ to help create flashcards for studying, including retrieving and editing documents on ‘Google Docs’ for assignments. There are hundreds and thousands of applications, some free and some requiring paid subscriptions. These applications are available in a wide range of categories and are tailored for specific medical disciplines such as Medscape, Medical Tools, Gray’s Anatomy Atlas, Medical Abbreviations, Harrison’s Manual of Medicine, Anatomy Learning 3D Atlas, MediApp, Resuscitation, iGyno and O&G App. Further, some authors elaborate that the design of tablet PCs combines e-reading capabilities with web browsing, plus an assortment of applications that facilitate the integration of information by making information conveniently available, including creating a richer set of course notes.

Ellaway categorised mobile use by medical learners into four groups, namely, (a) logistics (when learners use their devices for personal information management such as email and texting); (b) personal (when learners use their devices for social and entertainment purposes such as social media and gaming); (c) learning tools (when learners use their devices for undertaking learning tasks such as note taking and (d) learning content (when learners use their devices as a source of information such as checking drug interactions. This categorisation will used a framework to represent data later on in this paper.

Anatomy in higher education
Anatomy is an integral part of any medical degree. Within anatomy programmes, students are required to construct a comprehensive and sophisticated understanding of basic anatomy and then apply that information to clinical care. Anatomy requires students to learn a large volume of ancient languages based on terminology including muscle names, origins, insertions, joint, connective tissue and cellular, micro and gross anatomy. Traditionally, students use a rote or surface learning approach and have stated that anatomy is ‘boring, hard, dull’ in previous literature.

The nature of anatomical education has transformed substantially over the past decade due to both a new generation of students who learn differently from those of the past and the explosion of advances in anatomical imaging and programming. Medical students of today are products of the ‘interactive generation’. Millennial generation learners (sometimes referred to as digital natives) are defined as individuals whose development has been infused with technology and these individuals possess extensive experience with digital exploration, gaming and communication and are claimed to be adept with user-friendly digital devices.

Anatomy teaching in medical schools has been traditionally based around the use of human cadaveric specimens, either taking the whole body specimens for complete dissection or as prosected specimens. The debate on teaching via the conventional pedagogy of cadaveric dissection versus the computer and more innovative modalities has raged on for the last decade. Those who advocate retaining this traditional learning exercise (the so-called ‘traditionalists’) cite the value of the cadaver experience. Those who see the practice as redundant defend their position by pointing to recent technological advancements (the so-called ‘modernists’).

At our university, cadaver-based learning includes the actual dissection of cadavers by medical students under the supervision of qualified instructors and the study of prosected specimens where individual structures in the human body have been dissected and displayed by skilled disectors.

It is with this literature review in mind that the research questions addressed in this study are:

- What is the extent to which medical trainees use their mobile devices?
- What kinds of information are being accessed generally and specifically with reference to anatomical education?

AIM
The purpose of this exploratory study was to establish how learners at a selected medical school use their mobile devices since this was a unique initiative implemented by the college.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Design
A mixed methodology research design integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches was chosen to guide our enquiry to generate the data required to meet the aims of this study.

Context and participants
The study sought to explore how learners at a selected medical school use their mobile devices. The second year class was composed of a total of 257 medical students.
registered for the Anatomy course. Of these, only 179
students (60 male; 119 female) chose to participate in
this study (69.6% response rate). The mode of anatomy
instruction is composed of both lectures and practical
sessions in various regional anatomical themes. There are
five such themes per annum, each of which is conducted
over an 8-week period. There are a total of approximately
49 hours of lectures and 197 hours of practical sessions
per theme.

Students were informed about the study and their
consent was duly taken for their voluntary and anonym-
sous participation. Any chance of participant bias was
eliminated by clearly explaining the objective of the study
while obtaining their informed consent. There were no
specific exclusion criteria and no participants withdrew
from the study. Ethical approval was obtained from
the University Biomedical Research Ethics Committee
(BE386/15).

**Instrumentation**
A survey questionnaire containing structured and free
response items was used in this study to obtain both quan-
titative and qualitative information regarding student use
of their mobile device (online supplementary appendix). Questions 1 and 2 asked all respondents about their prior
exposure to mobile devices. Question 3 focused on student
knowledge of participation in the college project. Ques-
tions 4–9 concentrated on type, frequency and location
of usage of the tablets, including the preferred method of
learning anatomy. Question 10 covered internet connec-
tivity. Questions 11–13 and 15 asked respondents about
their views pertaining to the use of the tablet in accessing
anatomy content. Question 14 asked a general question
related to applications accessed by students.

**Data analysis**
The questionnaire was administered to students before
class and they were allocated 20 min for completion. The
open-ended questions were analysed by two of the authors
(LL and RS) using a thematic approach to identify emer-
gent ideas and concepts expressed by participants. Key
words, phrases and/or descriptions from the participants
were documented, as the authors reflexively engaged
with the data. Convergence and divergence of data were
noted, leading to the development of preliminary emerg-
ent themes. The themes were further interrogated
and developed with reference to participants’ original
words while also including the authors’ collective inter-
pretations. The close-ended questions were statistically
analysed using the SPSS V.21.0 (SPSS). Quantitative data
for comparison of categorical variables were tested using
the Pearson’s X² test to compute frequency tables and
descriptive statistics. A p value of <0.05 was considered to
be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Sample demographics**
There were 179 respondents with a sex distribution of 60
male and 119 female students and a population grouping
of 137 Black, 26 Indian, 6 White and 9 Coloured partic-
pants. (The term ‘Coloured’ is a historical throwback
from the apartheid racial classification which remains
in the post 1994 democratic era to assist the current
government in seeking restitution for various disad-
vantaged groupings. The term ‘Coloured’ refers to a
group of heterogeneous people, who may be more or
less accurately described as ‘mixed-race’.) Students
also indicated their socioeconomic background, namely,
urban (62), peri-urban (46) and rural (71) (table 1). The
average age of respondents was 20.2 years with a range
between 18 and 28 years.

**Quantitative results**
Significant p values (in bold) were recorded for the
different categorical variables according to sex, popu-
lation groups and socioeconomic status (table 2). The
majority of students came from a rural background
(39.7%) and these students indicated that they had no
prior exposure to a tablet device prior to receiving the
current one as part of their course (38%). A majority
of female students (46.4%) indicated that they had no
prior access to a tablet device—these results are statis-
tically significant (p<0.020) when this parameter was
investigated in the sexes. Comparisons for the different
population groups (p<0.000) and socioeconomic status
(p<0.000) also yielded statistically significant results
when this parameter was compared with students having
prior access to a tablet. The majority (43.6%) of Black
students were not aware of the visual learning project and
a statistically significant result (p<0.024) was obtained
when population groups were compared with the level of
student awareness of the visual learning project. A total
of 35.8% of female students indicated that they used the
tablet for both academic and personal reasons compared
with 21.2% of male students. Overall, females tended to
access their tablets for anatomy-related content more often

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60 (33.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>119 (66.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>138 (77.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>26 (14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>6 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloured</td>
<td>9 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socioeconomic status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>62 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-urban</td>
<td>46 (25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>71 (39.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question*</td>
<td>Incidence of demographic factor (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did you have access to a tablet prior to receiving the current one?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were you familiar with the visual learning programme prior to the provision of the tablet?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. What do you frequently use the tablet for?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic and personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. How often do you use the tablet to access anatomy-related content?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Everyday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Twice a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than three times week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once in 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Which of the following do you most frequently access via your tablet?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lecture notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual lecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demo and practical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Which method of studying anatomy do you find most beneficial to you?</td>
<td>No effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical dissection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
### Table 2  Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question*</th>
<th>Incidence of demographic factor (%)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Population groups</th>
<th>Socioeconomic status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Where do you generally use your tablet?</td>
<td>On campus</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Campus and home</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Do you have internet access at home?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Has the tablet made accessing anatomy-related content more convenient for you?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What do you consider most beneficial to you?</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A: Live streaming of lectures and practicals only</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B: Physical attendance to lectures and practicals only</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C: Live streaming of lectures AND physical attendance to lectures and practicals</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D: Access of anatomy-related study material only, that is, lecture notes</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: Live streaming of lectures AND access of anatomy-related study material, that is, lecture notes</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F: Physical attendance of lectures and practicals AND access of anatomy-related study material that is, lecture notes</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
when compared with their male counterparts (table 2) which yielded a statistically significant p value (p<0.017). A total of 37.4% of students from rural backgrounds used the tablet to access lecture notes and a statistically significant value of p<0.000 was obtained when socioeconomic status was compared with the latter parameter. A total of 24% of male students reported that they found physical dissection more beneficial in the study of anatomy as opposed to female students who preferred visual aids (15.1%). A total of 62% of female students reported that they accessed the tablets for lecture notes; these students also indicated that they accessed their tablets while on campus (36.3%). However, 17.4% of African students reported a lack of internet access at home (p<0.000 when population groups were correlated with internet availability). A total of 43% of female students indicated that the tablet made accessing anatomy-related content more convenient for them. A majority of female students (33.5%) reported that they found access to anatomy-related study material, that is, lecture notes via the tablet beneficial to them versus 29.6% of male students who accessed the tablet for a similar purpose. Despite having the tablet, female students still recorded lecture notes during classroom time (43.6%). Statistically significant correlates were recorded for sex (p<0.032) and population groups (p<0.014) versus traditional note taking. A total of 83.8% of students indicated that provision of the tablet did not affect their attendance (57.5% female and 26.3% male) (table 2).

**Qualitative results**

The following themes emerged from the data, namely

i. mobile device engagement
ii. advantages of mobile devices
iii. challenges affecting use of mobile devices
iv. miscellaneous use

**Mobile device engagement**

Learners listed a number of uses in their engagement with mobile devices. These uses have been categorised according to Ellaway’s13 four activity groups as outlined in the Introduction of this paper (table 3) as follows:

- **Logistics**: Students reported the use of the tablet to access emails (16.2%) and browse the web (77%) using internet sites such as Firefox and Google supporting.
- **Personal**: Students also accessed social media such as Facebook (1.7%), social videos (8.4%) and games (7.3%).
- **Learning tools**: A large majority of the students accessed the tablet for lecture notes (91.6%) while 26.3% of learners used applications such as WPS Office to alter documents.
- **Learning content**: Students also accessed sites for drug guidelines such as Medscapes (1.7%) and 6.2% accessed Anatomy e-books such as Netter’s Interactive, Drake’s Grey’s Anatomy and software applications such as Essential Anatomy 2 and Visual Anatomy for learning content and expressed that they learnt...
better by watching anatomy videos on the tablet as anatomical specimens are clearer in the videos and enabled them to understand the content.

Students also mentioned that the tablet allowed them to learn at their own pace (21.2%).

The visual part, the one I use with the tablet enables me to combine what I learn in the class with what I see and it makes me able to know the exact locations of certain important structures. (Participant 1; male) (Count=31)

Advantages of mobile devices
Participants listed a number of advantages associated with the use of mobile tablets such as portability (6.7%) allowing students the opportunity of accessing information on campus and allowing them to revise at home, access to information on the internet (22.9%) and research areas of course content that were not covered in the lectures (9.5%).

With visual aids, I can access the slides and videos anytime (Participant 33; female) (Count=63)

Respondents also mentioned the value of using dissection as well as visual aids in the learning of anatomy (17.3%).

Tablet enables one to combine what is learnt in lectures with structures in the DH (Participant 21; female) (Count=6)

Challenges affecting use of mobile devices
Respondents reported on some of the challenges they experienced with the use of the tablet such as having no wifi access outside of campus (64.2%) as well as poor wifi access on campus (12.3%).

I do not have access to the internet at home (Participant 4; female) (Count=122)

Some also considered that learning from the tablet did not improve their understanding of anatomy (3.4%) and felt that learning from the cadaver was easier (60.3%) as opposed to the tablet.

The tablet does not provide visual aids of real specimens, just lecture slides. Looking at real specimens helps orientate oneself and get a three dimensional understanding. (Participant 70; female) (Count=103)

Respondents also reported on the lack of anatomy-related content being posted on the university website (3.4%).

There are no resources for Anatomy in the UKZN Tube. (Participant 2; male) (Count=4)

Miscellaneous use
Participants also used their devices for private use such as a camera (3.4%) and as a media player (6.1%). Some respondents stated that the tablet has no effect on their learning at all (11.2%).

**Table 3** User engagement of learners with tablets (n=179)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity group</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logistical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web browsing</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time keeping</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social videos</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning tools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing notes</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteration of documents</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning content</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug guides</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomy e-books and software applications</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conducting this exploratory investigation into student perceptions of tablet usage, it was found that there are many issues to consider when using this technology in practice. The results from this study reveal that students are using mobile devices for both academic and private purposes outside of the classroom. According to Yau and Leung, sex differences account for one of the factors affecting students’ use of technology. In this study, females were inclined to use their tablets more often than males which was statistically significant (Question 5, table 2). These results concur with that reported by Yau and Leung but differ from Kekkonen-Moneta and Moneta; the latter authors suggested that tablet...
technology use is a more dominant activity for male students. McNulty et al. and McNulty et al. demonstrated that medical student usage of web-based, computer-aided instruction is related to sex, learning styles and personality although students may be familiar with web-based educational resources.

The majority of students (91.6%) accessed their tablets for lecture notes. These lectures define a range of content available for web access, ranging from text files to audio or video-enhanced presentations to captured video lectures. According to Chan and Pawlina, lecture capture records an instructor’s presentation as it is delivered live in the classroom, as is the scenario at our university. Lecture capture is regarded as an effective review tool for students provided that the lecture is well presented. More than any previous mobile learning technology, tablets provide students with immediate and far-reaching access to information, course resources and real-world application of knowledge.

The educational practice of technology can be associated with learning theories. The various uses of mobile learning can be associated with the different stages in Kolb’s learning cycle. For example, accessing the tablet for related lecture notes in the dissecting hall provides an opportunity to practice skills, social media (such as YouTube videos and Wikipedia) can assist in maintaining learners’ reflections on experiences and applications which can offer access to knowledge to assist with abstract conceptualisation.

Bullock and Webb further cite the impact of technology on Eratut’s theory of informal learning in the workplace as being implicit, reactive or deliberate. In this study, the so-called workplace refers to the university environment. Learning from social media is regarded as being implicit learning; reactive learning is opportunistic, often occurring in the middle of an action such as accessing the tablet “to view content and determine anatomical positions realistically (Participant 3; female)”. Deliberate learning is regarded as having a goal and a set time where the learner clearly thinks about their actions such as accessing lecture notes for study purposes. Students reported that the immediate access to information enhanced in-class understanding of content (“…the tablet enables me to combine what I learn in the class with what I see and it makes me able to know the exact locations of certain important structures”).

The qualitative data in this study corroborate the views expressed by Rossing et al. particularly student responses in the themes of mobile device engagement and advantages of mobile devices.

The growing number of websites and databases further facilitate the ease with which students can ‘download certain books and videos using my tablet and so it has made accessing anatomy-related content more convenient.’ Some students were motivated enough to access additional anatomical content via web-based learning such as E-books, Drug Guides, Essential Anatomy 2 and Visual Anatomy supporting the deliberative aspect of informal learning.

Hafferty defines the informal mobile curriculum as those practices that ‘targets learning at the level of interpersonal interactions’. Such interpersonal interactions can be found in modern anatomy curricula in learning spaces such as anatomy laboratories where students access their tablets. The deliberate engagement with the tablets in this setting allows students to access notes, videos, e-books and software applications which provide interactive visual information to augment their learning. The touch screen capabilities of the tablet allows students to enlarge or rotate images with ease, thereby making learning more hands on. Further, they provide visual representations of anatomy that more closely resemble the structures in the human body. This is especially beneficial in those programmes in the field of health sciences that do not possess anatomy laboratories as a component of their curriculum; hence, anatomy applications may be a useful resource for augmenting student learning.

This study’s findings (“…since the tablet is portable, I am able to view lecture slides more frequently”) support the literature that recommends that today’s students desire and benefit from ‘anywhere, anytime’ learner participation. The literature suggests that mobile learners desire the ability and flexibility to choose their location and time for learning. As reported by this study, learners were allocated their own tablets; therefore, they had the option of using it for personal purposes, thus supporting Ellaway’s logistical category of mobile device activity. Students largely accessed their tablets on campus as they experienced problems accessing wireless connectivity at home. The failure of wifi connectivity leads to disenchantment with mobile devices (“…there is no wifi”) and severely hampers the learning process.

Some learners also felt that physical dissection provided the best method of learning anatomy (30.9%), corroborating the views of authors such as McLachlan et al. and McLachlan and Patten despite the implementation of the newer technology, while some students felt that the provision of the tablet had no effect at all on their learning (6.7%).

In order to maximise the benefit of mobile tablets, educators must carefully adapt the technology to specific learning goals and outcomes. Educators must not assume that students are prepared for new technologies and need to gauge student’s level of knowledge and comfort with new technological devices. It is essential to devote classroom time to students to acclimate to these new devices.

CONCLUSION

Mobile computing devices have been rapidly adopted by medical learners worldwide, including those at our university as illustrated by this pilot study, and it seems...
likely that their presence will soon be ubiquitous. This study offers a unique South African perspective which has the possibility to enhance learning and also has potential problems associated with its use. We can conclude from our study that integrating tablets into higher education anatomy classes had a positive effect on student access to course material. In a subject already using active learning through traditional methods, the addition of technology via quizzes, three-dimensional visual material and access to the internet could be an alternative method of engaging students in the learning process. Students are seizing opportunities of learning anywhere, anytime due to the portability of their mobile devices.

Limitations and implications for future research
First, this study is limited by the exclusive use of the Proline 7-inch android tablet. Additional research is necessary to incorporate the use of other branded technological devices such as the Apple iPad.

Second, as this study used purposive sampling, the results may be limited by the nature of the population and cannot be generalised to account for student experiences with other academic courses offered. Additional research is necessary to isolate disciplinary strengths and weaknesses.

Third, students in graduate programmes such as those registered for medical degrees are expected to be self-directed learners who have the ability to locate resources to supplement their learning. This could be a motivating factor for students to use their tablets for learning outside of the classroom. Further studies are necessary to determine whether mobile devices such as the tablet have an impact on academic performance and assessments and whether they encourage self-directed learning. Additional studies are warranted to determine the effect of tablet technology on examination performance.

Fourth, there should be no compromise in students having access to the material they are promised; university administrators should ensure that provisions are made for technological support in places such that living residences have wifi access points for students to use at their convenience. As mobile technology continues to grow and develop, universities cannot be caught with a wireless infrastructure incapable of handling the demand for connectivity.
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