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ABSTRACT

Objective Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are among the most commonly prescribed drugs. Concerns have been raised about a potentially increased risk of gastric cancer following long-term use. Our aim is to assess the risk of gastric cancer associated with PPI use, taking into account underlying indications.

Design This is a population-based cohort study. Standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% CIs were calculated to compare the risk of gastric cancer among long-term PPI users with the corresponding background population, while taking confounding by indication into account.


Participants This study included virtually all adults residing in Sweden exposed to maintenance therapy with PPIs.

Exposure/Intervention Maintenance use of PPIs, defined as at least 180 days during the study period. Maintenance use of histamine 2 receptor antagonist was evaluated for comparison reasons.

Outcome measures Gastric cancer (cardia and non-cardia), and subgroup analysis for gastric adenocarcinoma, as defined by the Swedish Cancer Registry.

Results Among 797 067 individuals on maintenance PPI therapy, the SIR of gastric cancer was over threefold increased (SIR=3.38, 95% CI 3.23 to 3.53). Increased SIRs were found in both sexes and all age groups, but were especially increased among PPI users younger than 40 years (SIR=22.76, 95% CI 15.94 to 31.52). Increased SIRs were found for each indication studied, including those without an association with gastric cancer, for example, gastro-oesophageal reflux (SIR=3.04, 95% CI 2.80 to 3.31), and those with a supposedly decreased risk, for example, aspirin users (SIR=1.93, 95% CI 1.70 to 2.18). The association was similar for cardia and non-cardia gastric cancer. Analyses restricted to adenocarcinoma showed similar results to those for all gastric cancers. Long-term users of histamine 2 receptor antagonists, which have the same indications as PPIs, were not at any increased risk.

Conclusions Long-term PPI use might be an independent risk factor for gastric cancer. This challenges broad maintenance PPI therapy, particularly if the indication is weak.

INTRODUCTION

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), introduced in the 1980s, are potent gastric acid suppressors that reduce gastric acidity,1–3 PPIs are among the most prescribed drugs globally,4 used for healing peptic ulcers, counteracting gastro-oesophageal reflux, eradicating Helicobacter pylori (in combination with antibiotics) and preventing primary or recurrent peptic ulcers, for example, in individuals exposed to aspirin or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or with Zollinger-Ellison syndrome (a gastrin-secreting pancreatic tumour). However, it has been suggested that long-term PPI use increases the risk of premalignant gastric lesions (eg, polyps, atrophy and metaplasia) and gastric cancer.2,5 Gastric acid secretion blockage may disrupt the gastric microbiome, resulting in sufficient power to assess underlying indications (to assess confounding by indication).

To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date assessing the association between PPIs and gastric cancer.

The findings are standardised for age and sex, which are often described the major confounding factors in epidemiological studies, and calendar time. Yet other confounders could not be taken into account because the information was not available for the background population.

Exposure information is based on the Swedish Prescribed Drug Registry, which is initiated in July 2005 and has a complete nationwide coverage.

Although this study provides some evidence for an association between PPI use and gastric cancer beyond gastrointestinal indications of use, conclusions considering causality can never be drawn based on a single study.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is a population-based and nationwide design based on contemporary use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), resulting in sufficient power to assess underlying indications (to assess confounding by indication).
- To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date assessing the association between PPIs and gastric cancer.
- The findings are standardised for age and sex, which are often described the major confounding factors in epidemiological studies, and calendar time. Yet other confounders could not be taken into account because the information was not available for the background population.
- Exposure information is based on the Swedish Prescribed Drug Registry, which is initiated in July 2005 and has a complete nationwide coverage.
- Although this study provides some evidence for an association between PPI use and gastric cancer beyond gastrointestinal indications of use, conclusions considering causality can never be drawn based on a single study.
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interfere with nitrosamine formation, cause chronic atrophic gastritis and increase gastrin serum levels, which can all contribute to gastric cancer development. The effect of PPI use on the gut microbiome may even be more prominent than the effects of antibiotics. Among three recent meta-analyses on the topic, one found no association between long-term PPI use and premalignant gastric lesions, based on six randomised controlled trials (1789 patients in total). The second included an additional trial (2545 patients in total) and found no evidence of gastric tumour development in PPI users with atrophy or intestinal metaplasia, while an increased risk of gastric hyperplasia was indicated. The third, based on 11 observational studies (94558 participants), reported a 40% increase of gastric cancer among PPI users. However, the impact of confounding by indication remains unknown. The present study aimed to assess the risk of gastric cancer in long-term PPI users in a population-based design, while taking confounding by indication for such treatment into account. For comparison reasons, use of histamine 2 receptor antagonists (H2RAs), which are used for similar indications as PPIs, was also studied.

METHODS
Design
This was a nationwide Swedish population-based cohort study designed to examine the risk of gastric cancer in individuals exposed to maintenance therapy with PPIs (and to maintenance use of H2RAs), compared with the Swedish background population of the same sex, age and calendar period (7.1–7.6 million adults)

Only adults (at least 18 years) without a history of any cancer were included. The participants were followed up from the first prescription of a PPI (or H2RA) during the period 1 July 2005–31 December 2012. The data were derived from high-quality and nationwide Swedish registries, and information on individuals was linked between the registries by means of the unique Swedish personal identity number. The source cohort included all Swedish residents who received at least one dispensed prescription of commonly prescribed drugs (listed in online supplementary appendix 1) between 1 July 2005 and 31 December 2014 (with follow-up for cancer until 31 December 2012). Informed consent was not required.

Patient involvement
The Swedish patient organisation for cancer of the oesophagus, stomach, liver and pancreas was involved in supporting the present study (www.palema.org). The development of the research question and outcome measures were informed by patients’ priorities, experiences and preferences. The results will be disseminated to study participants by means of patient organisations. Patients are thanked in the acknowledgements.

Exposure
The study exposure was maintenance therapy with a PPI (or an H2RA) according to the Swedish Prescribed Drug Registry, defined as a cumulative defined daily dose (DDD) of at least 6 months (≥180 days) during the study period (before a potential cancer diagnosis). The DDD was the average maintenance dose per day for a drug used for its main indication in adults, which follows the WHO definition. This cumulative DDD was estimated by adding the DDD per package, which takes both the potency and the quantity of the drug into account, and is therefore a proxy for the duration of the exposure. The Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification system (ATC) was used to identify codes representing PPIs (code A02BC) and H2RAs (code A02BA). The participants were divided into three mutually exclusive exposure groups: (1) PPI users (≥180 days on PPIs and <180 days on H2RAs); (2) H2RA users (≥180 days on H2RAs and <180 days on PPIs); and (3) PPI and H2RA users (≥180 days on PPIs and ≥180 days on H2RAs). These medications were also available over the counter in Sweden, but only in small packages and at a much higher price per dose. Thus, maintenance users had their medication prescribed and were therefore included in this study.

Outcome
The main outcome was a first episode of any gastric cancer according to the Swedish Cancer Registry. Gastric cancer was defined by the diagnosis codes C16.0 (cardia) and C16.1–C16.9 (non-cardia) in the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), and adenocarcinoma (dominating histological type) was defined by the histology code 096.

Confounders
Age, sex and calendar period were adjusted for in the design. Confounding by indication was evaluated by analysing indications for PPI use separately (see online supplementary appendix 2 for ICD and ATC codes): gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, Barrett’s oesophagus, peptic ulcer disease, Zollinger-Ellison syndrome, gastrointestinal, dyspepsia/disruption of gastric function, _H. pylori_ infection, _H. pylori_ eradication (combined with _H. pylori_ infection in the analyses), and maintenance use (≥180 days) of aspirin or other NSAIDs.

Data sources
Four nationwide Swedish registries, all maintained by the governmental National Board of Health and Welfare, provided data for the study. The Swedish Prescribed Drug Registry started on 1 July 2005, and includes all drugs prescribed and dispensed in Sweden. Information from these prescriptions is transferred for registration monthly. This registry is highly complete (patient identity data are missing in <0.3% of all items), and was used to collect data on type of medication, dates of prescribing and dispensing, and DDD per package.

The Swedish Cancer Registry has at least a 96% complete registration of all cancers in Sweden since 1958, with 98% completeness for gastric cancer. This registry was used to identify gastric cancer cases among the cohort members and the corresponding background population (exact annual numbers were available for the used age and sex categories), and to exclude individuals with a history of any cancer.

The Swedish Patient Registry has a full nationwide coverage for all diagnoses from 1987. The registration of outpatient specialist care is nationwide since 2001. This registry was used to collect information on indications for PPI use.

The Swedish Causes of Death Registry has 100% completeness for recording of dates of death since 1952. This registry was used to collect date of death.

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses were conducted according to a preplanned protocol. The risk of gastric cancer was compared between the exposed cohort and the entire Swedish background population of the same sex (male or female), age group (categorised as 18–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69 or ≥70 years) and calendar period (2005–2006, 2007–2009 or 2010–2012). Standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% CIs were calculated by dividing the observed number of gastric cancer cases with the expected number, accounting for changes in age and calendar categories. The expected numbers were derived from the Swedish Cancer Registry and Statistics Sweden.

Follow-up time was calculated from the dispense date of the first prescription of PPI (or H2RA) within the study period, until death, any cancer or end of the study period (31 December 2012), whichever occurred first. Subgroup analyses were stratified for sex and age. Stratified analyses were performed for each indication whenever at least 10,000 exposed individuals were identified with this indication. To assess reverse causality (proptopathic bias), a sensitivity analysis was conducted excluding all cancer cases occurring within 1 year of the start of the study.

Duration of PPI use was estimated based on the total DDD per package prescribed before any cancer diagnosis, and was categorised into <1.0 year, 1.0–2.9 years, 3.0–4.9 years or ≥5 years.

The attributable fraction (AF) and the population attributable fraction (PAF) were calculated to estimate the proportion of gastric cancer in PPI users and the total population, respectively (assuming causality). The following formulas were used: AF = (SIR – 1)/SIR and PAF = p(SIR – 1)/(p(SIR – 1)+1), where p represents the prevalence of PPI maintenance use in the population (based on the population size in 2009). The χ² test was used to calculate p values.

There were no missing data on exposures, outcomes, age, sex or calendar period. When no information was available for the indication for PPI use (25.0%), the indication was considered absent.

**RESULTS**

**Study participants**

In total, 797,067 individuals on maintenance PPI therapy were included in the exposed cohort, resulting in 3,866,836 person-years of follow-up (mean 4.9 years). An additional 20,210 individuals had maintenance H2RA therapy, and 25,726 had both PPI and H2RA maintenance therapy. Figure 1 presents how the study participants were selected, and table 1 shows their characteristics. Women constituted 58.5% of the PPI cohort, and a majority (66.1%) was younger than 70 years. Maintenance therapy with aspirin (34.8%) and NSAIDs (30.4%) was the most common indication for maintenance PPI use, followed by gastro-oesophageal reflux (25.3%), gastroduodenitis (13.2%) and peptic ulcer (10.0%). *H. pylori* was the indication in 7.3% of all participants, and dyspepsia in 5.5%.

Barrett’s oesophagus and Zollinger-Ellison syndrome were rare indications (<1%) and therefore not eligible for separate analysis. A third (33.4%) of the participants had more than one of the listed indications, and were therefore included in more than one indication group. Overall mortality was slightly lower among PPI users (17.3%) compared with H2RA maintenance users (19.4%) (p < 0.001), while mortality in patients with gastric cancer did not differ significantly (p = 0.782).

**PPI use and overall risk of gastric cancer**

Among all participants exposed to maintenance PPIs, 2219 (0.28%) developed gastric cancer during follow-up. Of these, 1652 (74.4%) had non-cardia gastric cancer and 1943 (87.6%) had adenocarcinoma (table 1). Long-term PPI users were at more than a threefold increased SIR of...
### Table 1

**Descriptive characteristics of the study cohort exposed to maintenance therapy with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and/or histamine 2 receptor antagonists (H2RAs)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>PPIs only</th>
<th>PPIs and H2RAs</th>
<th>H2RAs only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>797,067</td>
<td>25,726</td>
<td>20,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>330,885</td>
<td>977,783</td>
<td>807,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>466,182</td>
<td>15,948</td>
<td>12,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>89,231</td>
<td>18,853</td>
<td>18,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>104,003</td>
<td>32,668</td>
<td>24,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>155,963</td>
<td>58,783</td>
<td>39,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>177,606</td>
<td>63,545</td>
<td>45,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>270,264</td>
<td>84,041</td>
<td>73,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calendar period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–2006</td>
<td>446,068</td>
<td>23,066</td>
<td>16,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–2009</td>
<td>222,257</td>
<td>23,040</td>
<td>26,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–2012</td>
<td>128,742</td>
<td>84,041</td>
<td>99,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-oesophageal reflux</td>
<td>201,868 (25.3)</td>
<td>16,672 (26.2)</td>
<td>207,303 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s oesophagus</td>
<td>604,44 (0.8)</td>
<td>172 (0.7)</td>
<td>17 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcers</td>
<td>79,959 (10.0)</td>
<td>2,633 (10.2)</td>
<td>1,226 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zollinger-Ellison syndrome</td>
<td>31 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (0.01)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroduodenitis</td>
<td>104,955 (13.2)</td>
<td>3,695 (14.4)</td>
<td>132,131 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>43,901 (5.5)</td>
<td>1664 (6.5)</td>
<td>649 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicobacter pylori</em></td>
<td>58,366 (7.3)</td>
<td>2,041 (7.9)</td>
<td>641 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use</td>
<td>241,958 (30.4)</td>
<td>9,628 (37.4)</td>
<td>5012 (24.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term aspirin use</td>
<td>277,128 (34.8)</td>
<td>9929 (38.6)</td>
<td>6533 (32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of indications</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5402 (21.0)</td>
<td>7919 (39.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Continued**

---

**PPI use and risk of gastric cancer stratified by indication**

The SIR of gastric cancer was increased in each of the 10 studied groups of indications for PPI therapy (table 4). The highest SIRs were found among participants exposed to indications with a known association with gastric cancer, that is, *H. pylori* and peptic ulcer. However, the risk of gastric cancer of any type (SIR=3.38, 95% CI 3.25 to 3.53) and gastric adenocarcinoma (SIR=3.38, 95% CI 3.23 to 3.53) (table 2). After excluding early gastric cancers, the risk remained increased (SIR=1.61, 95% CI 1.51 to 1.71). The risk of gastric cancer was highest among individuals receiving PPI for shorter than 1 year (SIR=12.82, 95% CI 12.19 to 13.47), but there was also evidence for an increased risk up to 3 years of PPI use, yet reduced risks for use over 5 years (table 3). The strength of association was similar for cardia (SIR=3.55, 95% CI 3.27 to 3.86) and non-cardia gastric cancer (SIR=3.33, 95% CI 3.17 to 3.50). The risk of gastric cancer was similar in men (SIR=3.65, 95% CI 3.45 to 3.85) and women (SIR=3.07, 95% CI 2.87 to 3.28). The SIR was higher in younger ages; PPI users younger than 40 years had SIR of 22.76 (95% CI 15.94 to 31.52), while those who were at least 70 years old had SIR of 2.76 (95% CI 2.61 to 2.92) (table 2). The subgroup analyses for sex and age showed similar results as the overall findings in separate analyses for gastric adenocarcinoma, as well as for cardia and non-cardia gastric cancer (table 2).
SIRs were also increased for indications without any such association. The SIR for gastric cancer was 3.04 (95% CI 2.80 to 3.31) for gastro-oesophageal reflux. The SIRs were increased also for indications where a decreased risk of gastric cancer was expected. The SIR was 1.93 (95% CI 1.70 to 2.18) among those using PPIs because of aspirin use without any other indication. The SIRs were generally similar for non-cardia gastric cancer. Regarding cardia cancer, higher SIRs than for gastric cancer were found for the indications gastro-oesophageal reflux and among those exposed to aspirin or other NSAIDs, while the associations for *H. pylori* and peptic ulcer were less strong (table 4).

### AttrIBUTABLE RISK

Of all 5823 patients with gastric cancer diagnosed during the study period, 38.1% occurred among maintenance PPI users. Based on the overall SIR of 3.38 and a prevalence of PPI use of 10.7% among adults, the AF among PPI users (AF) was 70.4%, and 20.3% in the total population (PAF), assuming causality. Using the lowest SIR according to table 4 (SIR=1.41), the corresponding proportions were 29.1% (AF) and 4.2% (PAF), respectively. Among individuals younger than 40, the prevalence of PPI use was 3.3%, and 37.1% of all 97 gastric cancers occurred among PPI users, corresponding to AF of 95.6% and PAF of 41.8%.

### USE OF HISTAMINE 2 RECEPTOR BLOCKERS ONLY OR PPIs AND HISTAMINE 2 RECEPTOR BLOCKERS AND RISK OF GASTRIC CANCER

There were 12 and 62 cases of gastric cancer among maintenance H2RAs users only and both H2RAs and PPIs, respectively. The risk of gastric cancer was not increased in the H2RA-only group (SIR=0.57, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.99), and was moderately increased in the group exposed to both PPIs and H2RAs (SIR=2.09, 95% CI 1.61 to 2.69).

### DISCUSSION

This study provides some evidence of an increased risk of gastric cancer among maintenance PPI users, including those who had PPI therapy for indications without any positive association with gastric cancer. Increased risks were found for both cardia and non-cardia gastric cancer, in both sexes and all age groups, although the risk was more pronounced in younger participants.
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratiﬁed by indication</th>
<th>Gastric cancer cases (n)/total</th>
<th>SIR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Cardia cancer cases (n)/total</th>
<th>SIR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Non-cardia gastric cancer cases (n)/total</th>
<th>SIR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>2216/797,697</td>
<td>3.38 (3.25 to 3.53)</td>
<td>857/797,697</td>
<td>3.04 (2.80 to 3.31)</td>
<td>1359/797,697</td>
<td>3.10 (2.98 to 3.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-oesophageal reﬂux</td>
<td>557/201</td>
<td>8.75 (8.12 to 9.41)</td>
<td>71/201</td>
<td>5.50 (4.15 to 7.25)</td>
<td>486/201</td>
<td>4.19 (3.09 to 5.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcers</td>
<td>721/697,697</td>
<td>3.68 (3.31 to 4.09)</td>
<td>63/697,697</td>
<td>2.71 (2.09 to 3.47)</td>
<td>658/697,697</td>
<td>3.50 (3.01 to 4.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroduodenitis</td>
<td>350/1,043,955</td>
<td>3.07 (2.83 to 3.36)</td>
<td>62/1,043,955</td>
<td>2.39 (1.78 to 3.18)</td>
<td>328/1,043,955</td>
<td>1.94 (1.53 to 2.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>1,034/3,901</td>
<td>3.07 (2.98 to 3.36)</td>
<td>112/3,901</td>
<td>2.55 (2.01 to 3.18)</td>
<td>922/3,901</td>
<td>2.29 (1.90 to 2.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicobacter pylori</td>
<td>440/536,986</td>
<td>9.76 (8.67 to 10.71)</td>
<td>45/536,986</td>
<td>2.87 (2.09 to 3.82)</td>
<td>395/536,986</td>
<td>2.73 (2.07 to 3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No H. pylori</td>
<td>1,178/781,955</td>
<td>2.91 (2.78 to 3.08)</td>
<td>51/781,955</td>
<td>1.99 (1.41 to 2.80)</td>
<td>1,027/781,955</td>
<td>2.73 (2.18 to 3.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID exposure</td>
<td>3,477/2,935,998</td>
<td>1.82 (1.64 to 2.05)</td>
<td>94/2,935,998</td>
<td>1.61 (1.21 to 2.13)</td>
<td>3,383/2,935,998</td>
<td>1.83 (1.70 to 2.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only aspirin exposure</td>
<td>872/2,583,990</td>
<td>1.41 (1.14 to 1.79)</td>
<td>32/2,583,990</td>
<td>1.02 (0.79 to 1.29)</td>
<td>840/2,583,990</td>
<td>1.39 (1.07 to 1.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths of the study include the nationwide and population-based design, the valid data on exposures, outcomes and indications for PPI use, and the large number of individuals exposed to maintenance treatment with PPIs. To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date on this topic, and the cohort allowed analyses of differences in associations between underlying indications.

The main problems of this study are confounding, especially by indication, and reverse causality. Confounding by indication has been investigated by looking at the different indication groups, including groups without any increased risk of gastric cancer. Unfortunately, we could not identify any underlying indication for 25% of the PPI users and 39% of the H2RA users. It is likely that clear indications were more readily recorded, while use for less rigorously diagnosed indications was more prone to being missing. This may indicate that PPI users had more severe symptoms than H2RA users, as also indicated by the higher proportion of PPI users presenting with multiple indications (34% vs 19%). Yet the lack of information on indication should not explain the associations for known indications—and those groups with no apparent risk factors should be less likely to have severe gastrointestinal symptoms. Therefore, it was unexpected to still see such increased risks of gastric cancer in those groups. Unfortunately, we lacked information about some potential confounders, for example, dietary factors, obesity, tobacco smoking and alcohol over-consumption, since these are not collected in the nationwide health registries. However, the lack of association between H2RAs and gastric cancer argues against bias from confounding or selection from lifestyle factors or any other unknown factors. Since H2RAs were used for similar indications as PPIs (yet clearly became less popular), the lack of association between H2RAs and gastric cancer supports that the association between PPI use and gastric cancer may be linked to the PPI medication per se. Yet PPIs clearly became the first choice of treatment for most indications for gastric acid suppression, with almost 40 times more PPI maintenance users than H2RA maintenance users in Sweden. The high prevalence of PPI maintenance use among adults (10.7% in Sweden) also means that the large majority with recognised risk factors for gastric cancer will have received PPI treatment at some point. This clearly hampers assessment of PPI use as an independent risk factor, and this may even be a larger problem in other study designs especially if indications of use cannot be assessed.

The problem of reverse causality, that is, individuals taking PPIs because of symptoms arising from an undetected cancer, should have been reduced by only including individuals with at least 180 days (6 months) of cumulative exposure before any cancer diagnosis. In addition, we excluded all individuals who had gastric cancer within a year after inclusion and also stratified the analyses by duration of use, which still showed increased risks (respectively SIR=1.61 and SIR=2.19 among those with an exposure duration between 1 and 3 years). These increased risks may not be entirely explained by reverse causality and detection bias alone—since most cases of gastric cancer are believed to be...
detected within 1 year after onset of symptoms. There are no significant waiting times or socioeconomic differences in access to endoscopy in Sweden, and no obvious differences were found between tumour stages (potential detection bias),\textsuperscript{20} or anatomical locations between the PPI-exposed cohort and the background population (online supplementary appendix 3).

The seemingly decreasing risk estimates with a longer duration of use are probably because PPI is beneficial for most individuals with known risk factors for cancer (eg, peptic ulcers, \textit{H. pylori}), yet further research seems needed for those on maintenance therapy without gastrointestinal indications (eg, aspirin and NSAIDs users).

Although our follow-up should ideally have been longer, our maximal follow-up time is 7.5 years, which is remarkably longer than all studies included in the two meta-analyses assessing premalignant lesions based on randomised controlled trials (6–36 months as maximal follow-up).\textsuperscript{2,6} Only two smaller cohort studies had longer follow-up,\textsuperscript{1,19} and these were included in the only meta-analysis evaluating gastric cancer risk based on observational studies.\textsuperscript{5} We also limited our study to maintenance use, defined as at least 180 days of exposure, which is a commonly used approach.\textsuperscript{5} Compliance and over-the-counter availability of some PPIs (esomeprazole, lansoprazole and pantoprazole—only in pharmacy, not in retail sale) may have resulted in different actual dosages. H2RAs were not available over the counter during the study period. Therefore, and because of lack of exposure data before the study period, we cannot be certain that the registered dosage reflects the actual dosage.

The increased risks of gastric cancer among individuals with \textit{H. pylori} and peptic ulcers were anticipated, since both these conditions are risk factors for gastric cancer, but the associations were stronger than expected, suggesting an additional aetiological role of the PPI use. Importantly, there was evidence of a substantially increased risk in individuals exposed to conditions not known to increase the risk of gastric cancer, including gastro-oesophageal reflux. Moreover, increased risks were found even among participants without any gastrointestinal indications who were exposed only to maintenance treatment with aspirin or other NSAIDs, although these drugs are expected to decrease the risk of gastric cancer.\textsuperscript{21,22}

The higher risk of gastric cancer in the younger age group might be related to a recently described increase in atrophic gastritis in Sweden, as a potential consequence of the stabilising seroprevalence of \textit{H. pylori} and increased prevalence of overweight and obesity.\textsuperscript{25} Increasing risks of gastric cancer in young populations have been described previously, in particular of the diffuse type of gastric adenocarcinoma.\textsuperscript{24,25} Gastric symptoms in this group are often overlooked, leading to a widespread (uncontrolled) use of PPIs.\textsuperscript{23} Additionally, gastric carcinogenesis may be accelerated in younger patients (who also have a higher likelihood of a family history of gastric cancer),\textsuperscript{26} which may in turn contribute to a higher vulnerability to PPI’s potentially harmful effects. A further exploration into the presence and severity of gastrointestinal risk factors, age-specific effects and potential mechanistic pathways is warranted.

The difference in the association with gastric cancer following maintenance therapy with PPIs and H2RAs could be explained simply by the fact that PPIs are more potent than H2RAs in inhibiting acid secretion.\textsuperscript{27} PPIs block the gastric proton pumps, while H2RAs compete with histamine for the histamine 2 receptors. At recommended dosages, PPIs induce a more profound and prolonged acid inhibition than H2RAs. Moreover, the acid inhibition of PPIs also increases over time, while the effects of H2RAs fade.\textsuperscript{27}

There are several mechanisms that might explain an association between PPI use and gastric cancer. Already 30 years ago, animal studies showed that profound inhibition of gastric acid secretion in rodents induces gastric tumours, with secondary overstimulation (hypergastrinaemia) leading to enterochromaffin-like cell (ECL) hyperplasia as generally accepted mechanism of this carcinogenic effect.\textsuperscript{28,29} ECL differentiation has also been described in human gastric carcinomas, particularly in the signet ring subtype.\textsuperscript{30,31} Histopathological changes occur due to the blockage of the normal gastric acid secretion, leading to hypergastrinaemia that might cause hyperproliferation of the gastric mucosa, chronic hypochlorhydria (reduction of hydrochloric acid in the gastric juice), chronic inflammation and disappearance of normal mucosal glands and their replacement by intestinal glands, and possible gastric atrophy.\textsuperscript{3} Long-term PPI use has also been linked to the development of fundic gland polyps.\textsuperscript{32} All these mucosal changes could promote gastric carcinogenesis. The blocked gastric acid secretion could decrease physiological defence mechanisms against pathogenic bacteria.\textsuperscript{7,8} Diarrhoea is, for example, a well-known side effect of PPI use, which is often due to infection with the bacteria \textit{Clostridium difficile}, \textit{non-typhoid Salmonella} species or \textit{Campylobacter jejuni}. This reduced bacterial defence mechanism might, in the long term, result in chronic inflammation and eventually gastric cancer development. Decreased gastric acidity may also result in increased bacterial colonisation, including non-gastric micro-organisms, and a greater number of bacteria that produce nitrosoamines, which are well-established carcinogens of the gastric mucosa.\textsuperscript{5,33} The impact of these proposed underlying mechanisms may also be person-dependent and time-dependent, which could explain why PPIs are seemingly more harmful in, for example, the younger age groups.

The results of a single study, even of this size, cannot determine causality. Yet the effect size, consistency across indications (especially those not associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer), lack of association with H2RAs and plausible carcinogenic mechanisms mean that maintenance PPI use cannot be dismissed as a potentially independent risk factor for gastric cancer. A higher awareness for gastric cancer could potentially be considered in maintenance users of PPI, especially if known underlying risk factors are present. Thus, these findings
need confirmation in future investigations, especially considering the generalisability of the results to populations with higher incidence of gastric cancer or other distribution of risk factors.

To conclude, this large and population-based cohort study provides evidence of a substantially increased risk of gastric cancer following maintenance use of PPIs per se. The consistency across sexes, age groups and indication groups, including indications that do not increase the risk of gastric cancer, supports the overall finding. If confirmed in further research, especially among those without gastrointestinal risk factors, these findings challenge a broad use of long-term PPI use, particularly for conditions where the indication is weak.
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