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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the evidence for a causal relationship between dietary acid/alkaline and alkaline water for the aetiology and treatment of cancer.

Design: A systematic review was conducted on published and grey literature separately for randomised intervention and observational studies with either varying acid–base dietary intakes and/or alkaline water with any cancer outcome or for cancer treatment.


Results: 8278 citations were identified, and 252 abstracts were reviewed; 1 study met the inclusion criteria and was included in this systematic review. No randomised trials were located. No studies were located that examined dietary acid or alkaline or alkaline water for cancer treatment. The included study was a cohort study with a low risk of bias. This study revealed no association between the diet acid load with bladder cancer (OR=1.15: 95% CI 0.86 to 1.55, p=0.36). No association was found even among long-term smokers (OR=1.72: 95% CI 0.96 to 3.10, p=0.08).

Conclusions: Despite the promotion of the alkaline diet and alkaline water by the media and salespeople, there is almost no actual research to either support or disprove these ideas. This systematic review of the literature revealed a lack of evidence for or against diet acid load and/or alkaline water for the initiation or treatment of cancer. Promotion of alkaline diet and alkaline water to the public for cancer prevention or treatment is not justified.

INTRODUCTION

The general public is being encouraged by the lay press that to prevent cancer; they are persuaded to assess the acidity of their urine and/or saliva as an assessment of the acidity of their body and then to modify their diets accordingly.¹⁻⁷ The alkaline diet, or acid–ash hypothesis developed over 100 years ago.¹⁴ The acid–ash hypothesis suggests that to achieve a more alkaline load, one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸

Strengths and limitations of this study

▪ Broad search of both the published and unpublished literature on both alkaline diets and alkaline water was conducted for studies to include in this systematic review.
▪ We located only one observational study that fit the inclusion criteria.
▪ The objective, to determine if evidence of a causal relationship exists between dietary acid or alkaline and the etiology of cancer and/or the treatment of this disease, was defined a priori.
▪ Explicit reproducible methodology.
▪ Assessed the included study’s validity, ie risk of bias, using a quality checklist.
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The alkaline diet, or acid–ash hypothesis, suggests that to prevent cancer one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸

Promoters of this diet claim that changing food choices to more ‘alkaline’ selections prevents all of these conditions¹⁻⁹¹¹ and has the ability to treat cancer once it has been developed.⁵¹⁰¹²¹³ This diet is based on the concept that mineral components of foods make the body acidic, alkaline or neutral.¹⁴

The alkaline diet is designed to provide more alkaline ions after metabolism, based on the acid–ash hypothesis developed over 100 years ago.¹⁵ The acid–ash hypothesis suggests that to achieve a more alkaline load, one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸

Promoters of this diet claim that changing food choices to more ‘alkaline’ selections prevents all of these conditions¹⁻⁹¹¹ and has the ability to treat cancer once it has been developed.⁵¹⁰¹²¹³ This diet is based on the concept that mineral components of foods make the body acidic, alkaline or neutral.¹⁴

The alkaline diet is designed to provide more alkaline ions after metabolism, based on the acid–ash hypothesis developed over 100 years ago.¹⁵ The acid–ash hypothesis suggests that to achieve a more alkaline load, one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸

Promoters of this diet claim that changing food choices to more ‘alkaline’ selections prevents all of these conditions¹⁻⁹¹¹ and has the ability to treat cancer once it has been developed.⁵¹⁰¹²¹³ This diet is based on the concept that mineral components of foods make the body acidic, alkaline or neutral.¹⁴

The alkaline diet is designed to provide more alkaline ions after metabolism, based on the acid–ash hypothesis developed over 100 years ago.¹⁵ The acid–ash hypothesis suggests that to achieve a more alkaline load, one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸

Promoters of this diet claim that changing food choices to more ‘alkaline’ selections prevents all of these conditions¹⁻⁹¹¹ and has the ability to treat cancer once it has been developed.⁵¹⁰¹²¹³ This diet is based on the concept that mineral components of foods make the body acidic, alkaline or neutral.¹⁴

The alkaline diet is designed to provide more alkaline ions after metabolism, based on the acid–ash hypothesis developed over 100 years ago.¹⁵ The acid–ash hypothesis suggests that to achieve a more alkaline load, one must consume more fruit and vegetables with only a moderate intake of protein. While marketing of this diet implies that the diet changes will raise systemic pH, a well-conducted randomised trial of these diet changes altered only systemic pH by 0.014 units, while the urine pH increased by 1.02 units.¹⁶ This study reveals that diet changes can alter urine pH but does not change blood pH.¹⁶ Furthermore, the fact that the effect of phosphorus on calcium metabolism is opposite to that was predicted by the hypothesis¹⁷ raises questions about the validity of the hypothesis. Additionally, a review of the body of evidence regarding the acid–ash/alkaline hypothesis for bone health found that the hypothesis is not supported and there is no evidence that altering the diet acid load improves bone health.¹⁸
The marketing of the alkaline diet promotes not only a diet, but also the sale of related supplements and water alkaliniser machines through almost every media medium, including websites, books and videos.1–8 In our experience, patients with cancer are approached by salespeople who are promoting water alkalinisers as a way to treat their cancer. Studies suggest that a substantial and growing number of patients use the internet to obtain health information,19,20 which may not be innocuous. Oncologists report that internet use by patients with cancer contributes to confusion and anxiety among patients with cancer.29

There is some evidence that some cancer cells and tumours grow well in an acidic environment in the laboratory.21 22 While the alkaline diet is being promoted to correct the acid state that the modern diet creates, the American Institute of Cancer Research and the Canadian Cancer Society have stated that the body tightly regulates systemic pH and food choices will only affect urine pH and not body acidity.23 24 Studies show that while urine pH changes in response to diet changes, blood pH does not.16 25 As acid/base forming potential of foods does alter urinary pH,16 25 cancers of the urinary tract may be an important factor in investigating claims of the alkaline diet to prevent and treat cancer.

As far as we are aware, no systematic review has been done to evaluate the evidence for an association between dietary acid or/and alkaline, or the effectiveness of alkaline water, for the aetiology or treatment of cancer. The purpose of this systematic review is to conduct an extensive search of the published and unpublished literature to determine whether evidence of a causal relationship exists between dietary acid or alkaline and the aetiology of cancer and/or the treatment of this disease.

METHODS
Eligibility criteria
Randomised interventions and observational human studies of acid–base intakes of diet, supplemental salts to change systemic pH (such as potassium bicarbonate or potassium citrate) and consumption of alkaline water, with cancer outcomes (both aetiology and treatment), were sought. No language or publication date restrictions were imposed. Human participants of all ages and backgrounds were considered. Studies with no original research (narrative reviews, editorials) and non-human studies were excluded from this review.

Literature search
Two reviewers conducted independent literature searches, one with the assistance of a librarian (J.P.), in an attempt to find all human studies of the ash–acid diet hypothesis or an alkaline diet with any type of cancer as the outcome. Studies were identified through search text words and Medical subject headings: diet, dietary, acid, acidic, alkaline, acid-base, acid-base equilibrium, acid-ash, net acid excretion (NAE), ‘potential renal acid load, water, cancer, neoplasm’ (see online supplementary file). For published literature, databases searched included Ovid MEDLINE, PubMed, Cochrane Register of Clinical Trials, CINAHL and Embase up to April 2015. No limits were applied to searches, and studies reported in all languages were considered. In an effort to include all available studies, reference lists for the located articles were also reviewed. For grey literature, Current Controlled Trials, Canadian Cancer Trials, Google, and Google Scholar were manually searched up to April 2015.

Both reviewers examined the article titles for relevance to the topic and potential fit to the inclusion criteria independently, with the plan to use discussion and resolution by reaching consensus of any differences in assessments. When the title was not clear regarding the potential fit, both reviewers reviewed the abstracts. For abstracts which suggested relevance, the full text of the article was reviewed. Both researchers extracted data independently. There was no indication of unpublished data from the search, so authors were not contacted.

Data extracted included exposures to estimates of acid generated from diet, among humans, and with the outcome of any cancer.

Results with p values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant, and relative risk >2.0 with no plausible residual confounding were considered clinically relevant.26 We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to assess the included study for risk of bias.27

RESULTS
Description of studies
A total of 8278 citations were identified, and 252 abstracts were reviewed for potential fit; only 1 study met the inclusion criteria28 and was included in this systematic review. There were no disagreements about articles’ fit to the inclusion criteria between the reviewers. The literature search also located a systematic review that found no evidence for the alkaline diet29 and two narrative reviews discussing the acid–ash/alkaline diet and cancer30,31 No relevant unpublished studies were found.

The systematic review, published in 2014, reported finding four articles on the alkaline diet and cancer in a Google search but it did not report findings from these articles or their references.29

The two narrative reviews did not include any human studies of an association between diet acid/alkaline and cancer. The narrative review by Robey31 concluded: “there are numerous systemic pathways affected by diet-induced acidosis that may be cancer promoting, but a causal role is poorly defined”. The review by Lee and Shen30 suggested a post hoc analogy between the traditional Chinese medicine concepts of yin and yang with the acid/alkaline diet but did not cite any studies of the acid/alkaline diet and cancer.

The included study, by Wright et al.,29 was a prospective cohort study, nested within the α-Tocopherol,
β-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study, a randomised controlled trial (table 1). This study examined the diet acid load and the occurrence of bladder cancer. The exposure used in this study was an estimate of renal NAE, based on dietary intakes and anthropometrics. No studies were found that examined any other cancer or neoplastic outcomes with dietary acid, alkalinity, alkaline water, the use of an alkaline diet, alkaline water or change of the diet acid load for cancer treatment.

Wright et al28 examined the relationship between diet-estimated renal NAE using a food frequency questionnaire (and measured height and weight for the estimation of urinary organic acid excretion) with the risk of bladder cancer in a large cohort of 27,096 male smokers.28 The relative risk estimate for bladder cancer was 1.15 (CI 0.86 to 1.55, p=0.38), suggesting that urine pH is not a major risk factor for bladder cancer

Risks of bias assessment
Overall, we rated the Wright study28 as having a low risk of bias since it warranted a score of 7 out of a possible 9 on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.27 We reduced the score from a full 9/9 since food frequency questionnaires are imperfect descriptions of exposure to nutrients,32 and due to possible residual confounding. Additionally, since the study by Wright et al28 was a prospective observational study, this study had the strength of temporality, since the exposure (estimated urine acidity) preceded the outcome (bladder cancer risk). The study relied on estimated renal NAE to determine urine acidity, which could potentially result in misclassification of individuals.

DISCUSSION
This systematic review, based on only one relevant observational study, did not find support for the acid-ash hypothesis which suggests that acid from the diet causes or contributes to cancer development.1–6 The GRADE working group recommends that any associations from observational data are weak evidence unless the results show at least a twofold risk with no concerns about confounding, or a fivefold risk with narrow CIs when there are remaining concerns about bias.26 The estimates of effect between the diet acid load and bladder cancer in this study were not statistically significant, and the estimates of effect were low relative to the GRADE guidelines.

The included Wright study28 had strengths of having a low risk of bias on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale27 and temporality between the exposure (NAE) and bladder cancer risk and controlled for several potentially

Table 1  Included study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Potential modifiers or confounders</th>
<th>Other risk of bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al28</td>
<td>29,133 male residents of southwestern Finland, aged 50–69 years who smoked 5 or more years</td>
<td>Relative risk of bladder cancer</td>
<td>The relative risk (RR) for bladder cancer was 1.15 (CI 0.86 to 1.55, p=0.38), suggesting that urine pH is not a major risk factor for bladder cancer</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>Energy intake, age, number of cigarettes smoked daily, number of years of smoking, α-tocopherol and β-carotene supplementation, BMI, total fluid intake, education level, place of residence, pack-years of smoking, smoking inhalation, smoking cessation, calcium, magnesium and/or potassium supplements Effect modification by smoking duration, smoking dose, total fluid intake, BMI and intervention group was evaluated in stratified analysis</td>
<td>Used renal NAE based on a validated formula based on nutrient intake and anthropometric information to estimate true urine pH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; NAE, net acid excretion; RR, relative risk.
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cofounding variables. These study strengths provide support that the study reached accurate conclusions.

Our thorough literature search did not locate any studies of this acid/alkali hypothesis for any other forms of cancer or cancer treatment. Additionally, our literature search failed to locate any studies that evaluated whether alkaline water has a role in prevention or treatment of cancer. Thus, there is a lack of support for any advice to the public about the acid-ash hypothesis, the alkaline diet and/or alkaline water and their relationships to cancer. By searching the published and unpublished literature for studies on this topic, we decreased the risk of publication bias. It is a limitation of this study that we located only one observational study.

Some review articles suggest that alterations in acid-base homeostasis in the tumour microenvironment are common in the pathology of cancer and that tumour interstitial fluid has a reduced buffering capacity compared with normal tissues, and along with high rates of production of metabolic end products, tumours may cause an acidic extracellular environment. Furthermore, cancer therapy may be influenced by pH. Some in vitro and animal studies have suggested that metabolic alkalosis may be useful in enhancing some cancer treatment regimens.

However, these in vitro examinations and animal studies of cancer cell behaviour are at the hypothesis-generating phase and should not be extrapolated to human health. These cell culture studies do not address the questions or provide evidence regarding cancer aetiology or treatment. Studies have demonstrated that alkaline supplements or alkaline-promoting diets can alter urine pH; however, they do not appreciably change overall systemic pH. Three studies of acid load interventions have measured both urine and blood pH changes as a result of various interventions, including changes in food intake, ingesting a bicarbonate salt or a phosphate salt. In each case, the urine pH increased markedly, from 0.2 to 1.2 pH units, while blood pH did not changed minimally, by 0.01 to 0.05 pH units. The urine pH changes were 7.5 to 17 multiples of the blood pH changes. This comparison shows that kidneys are effective at excreting acid to maintain systemic pH balance and that urine pH does not provide a measurement of systemic pH.

In spite of finding no human studies of alkaline water and cancer, our search did locate some studies of alkaline water; however, none of which supported the promotions that suggest alkaline water supports good health. We found outcomes from alkaline water included: in humans, inhibited gastric secretion, reduced gall bladder emptying, and toxic reactions in rat pups: cardiac necrosis and growth restriction. Therefore, the promotion of alkaline water as health promoting is not supported by evidence.

There may be a safety concern involving eating an ‘alkaline diet’ and subsequently to increase urine pH of patients with bladder cancer. For instance, the influence of ciprofloxacin on human bladder cell lines suggests that the antiproliferative potential and antitumour effect of this drug may be superior at low urine pH. Overall, safety considerations for increasing urinary pH in patients with bladder cancer undergoing certain cancer therapy treatments needs to be evaluated before the patients should undertake urine pH alterations.

Future study direction may focus on systematic reviews to examine the relationships between the alkaline diet and other health conditions. Several observational prospective studies have suggested a relationship between acid load and risk of type 2 diabetes as well as hypertension. However, in all three of these studies, the estimates of effect are of low magnitude, below the threshold of the relative risk of 2, the minimum considered to indicate important associations. Furthermore, these studies had large sample sizes (1732–66 485); small significant p values are likely in studies with large sample sizes even when there is no important effect. Other prospective studies have suggested a lack of association between the dietary acid load and risks of type 2 diabetes as well as hypertension. Furthermore, in observational studies, residual confounding is likely, many lifestyle variables are interrelated. Therefore, it is not recommended to suggest that the findings from such studies represent independent causal relationships. It must be noted that although observational studies are important in generating hypotheses, intervention studies are required to investigate and confirm associations between diet and disease.

The alkaline diet emphasises, to varying degrees, fresh fruits, vegetables, roots and tubers, and legumes with only a moderate protein intake. It is possible that some of these foods may have cancer-protective effects, not through their acidity/alkaline-promoting qualities but rather due to nutrient and non-nutritive compounds. A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies show that a high intake of dietary fibre is associated with reduced risk of colorectal cancer. A review and meta-analysis of epidemiological research on physical activity, diet and adiposity found that a prudent dietary pattern of high intakes of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, legumes and fish appeared to be protective against breast cancer. However, the alkaline diet promotes the exclusion of many foods, and several of which contribute many beneficial nutrients and have health benefits.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the promotion of the alkaline diet and alkaline water by the media and salespeople, there is almost no actual research to either support or disprove them. A systematic review of the literature revealed a lack of evidence of an association between a diet acid load or alkaline water for cancer risk and no studies alkaline treatment for cancer. Promotion of alkaline diet and alkaline water to the public for cancer prevention or treatment is not justified.
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