

Carvedilol compared to Propranolol for portal hypertension in cirrhosis						
Patient or population: patients with portal hypertension in cirrhosis						
Settings: inpatients						
Intervention: Carvedilol						
Comparison: Propranolol						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
		Carvedilol				
Percent of HVPG reduction 24 hours to 6 months Follow-up: 7 to 107 days		The mean percent of hvpg reduction 24 hours to 6 months in the intervention groups was 8.49 lower (12.36 to 4.63 lower)		312 (5 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
Hemo-dynamic response rate 24 hours to 6 months Follow-up: 7 to 107 days	Study population		RR 1.42 (1.11 to 1.82)	312 (5 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊖ moderate ¹	
	382 per 1000	542 per 1000 (424 to 694)				
	Moderate					
	412 per 1000	585 per 1000 (457 to 750)				
Post treatment MAP 24 hours to 6 months Follow-up: 7 to 107 days		The mean post treatment map 24 hours to 6 months in the intervention groups was 2.33 lower (5.59 lower to 0.93 higher)		212 (4 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
Orthostatic or symptomatic hypotension	Study population		RR 1.47 (0.71 to 3.05)	134 (3 studies)	⊕ ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ very low ^{1,3,4}	
	136 per 1000	200 per 1000 (97 to 416)				

Follow-up: 7 to 107 days	Moderate					
	174 per 1000	256 per 1000 (124 to 531)				
<p>*The basis for the assumed risk (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).</p> <p>CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio;</p> <p>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence</p> <p>High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.</p> <p>Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.</p> <p>Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.</p> <p>Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.</p>						
<p>¹ The trial (s) was (were) of high risk of bias</p> <p>² Total population size is less than 400</p> <p>³ The number of events in the intervention and control group were less than 300</p> <p>⁴ The confidence interval was too wide</p>						

Carvedilol compared to EVL for portal hypertension in cirrhosis (trials for the primary prevention of bleeding)						
Patient or population: portal hypertension in cirrhosis						
Settings: inpatients						
Intervention: Carvedilol						
Comparison: VBL						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	VBL	Carvedilol				
All cause mortality (HR) Follow-up: 1 to 49 months	Study population		HR 1.01 (0.64 to 1.61)	320 (2 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	242 per 1000	244 per 1000 (170 to 340)				
	Moderate					
	251 per 1000	253 per 1000 (177 to 350)				
Bleeding related mortality (Dichotomous) Follow-up: 1 to 49 months	Study population		RR 1.19 (0.41 to 3.47)	320 (2 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	37 per 1000	44 per 1000 (15 to 129)				
	Moderate					
	37 per 1000	44 per 1000 (15 to 128)				

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (HR) Follow-up: 1 to 49 months	Study population		HR 0.63 (0.33 to 1.20)	320 (2 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	143 per 1000	95 per 1000 (52 to 167)				
	Moderate					
	148 per 1000	99 per 1000 (54 to 172)				
Adverse events - Orthostatic or symptomatic hypotension Follow-up: 1 to 75 months	Study population		RR 5.05 (0.6 to 42.76)	320 (2 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
	Moderate					
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
Adverse events - Chest pain requiring medication Follow-up: mean 13 months	198 per 1000	6 per 1000 (0 to 97)	RR 0.03 (0 to 0.49)	168 (1 study)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2,3}	
Adverse events - Shortness of breath Follow-up: 1 to 75 months	Study population		RR 24.57 (4.94 to 122.14)	384 (3 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
	Moderate					
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
Adverse events - Nausea Follow-up: 1 to 48 months	Study population		RR 21.52 (2.97 to 155.92)	320 (2 studies)	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖ low ^{1,2}	
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
	Moderate					
	0 per 1000	0 per 1000 (0 to 0)				
Adverse events - Transient	674 per 1000	7 per 1000	RR 0.01	168	⊕ ⊕ ⊖ ⊖	

dysphagia Follow-up: mean 13 months	1000	(0 to 94)	(0 to 0.14)	(1 study)	low ^{1,2,3}	
<p>*The basis for the assumed risk (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).</p> <p>CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; HR: Hazard ratio;</p>						
<p>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence</p> <p>High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.</p> <p>Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.</p> <p>Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.</p> <p>Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.</p>						
<p>¹ The number of events in the intervention and control group were less than 300</p> <p>² The confidence interval was too wide</p> <p>³ Only one trial had been included</p>						