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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Breathlessness remains a highly prevalent and distressing symptom for many patients with progressive life-limiting illnesses. Evidence-based interventions for chronic breathlessness are limited, and there is an ongoing need for high-quality research into developing management strategies for optimal palliation of this complex symptom. Previous studies have suggested that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors such as sertraline may have a role in reducing breathlessness. This paper presents the protocol for a large, adequately powered randomised study evaluating the use of sertraline for chronic breathlessness in people with progressive life-limiting illnesses.

Methods and analysis: A total of 240 participants with modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Scale breathlessness of level 2 or higher will be randomised to receive either sertraline or placebo for 28 days in this multisite, double-blind study. The dose will be titrated up every 3 days to a maximum of 100 mg daily. The primary outcome will be to compare the efficacy of sertraline with placebo in relieving the intensity of worst breathlessness as assessed by a 0–100 mm Visual Analogue Scale. A number of other outcome measures and descriptors of breathlessness as well as caregiver assessments will also be recorded to ensure adequate analysis of participant breathlessness and to allow an economic analysis to be performed. Participants will also be given the option of continuing blinded treatment until either study data collection is complete or net benefit ceases. Appropriate statistical analysis of primary and secondary outcomes will be used to describe the wealth of data obtained.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethics approval was obtained at all participating sites. Results of the study will be submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals and the key findings presented at national and international conferences.

Trial registration number: ACTRN12610000464066.

INTRODUCTION

Increasing numbers of individuals are living with multiple chronic conditions and high symptom burdens. Internationally, policymakers are calling for therapies that focus on promoting not just the quantity but quality of life.1 2 Breathlessness is one of the most...
prevalent and distressing symptoms experienced by people with progressive life-limiting illness and is defined as “a subjective experience of breathing discomfort that consists of qualitatively distinct sensations that vary in intensity”. The understanding of the physiological mechanisms as well as the interdependence of psychological, emotional, behavioural and environmental factors influencing the individual’s experience of breathlessness has increased in recent years. There is an ongoing need for high-quality research into interventions that may help ensure optimal palliation of this distressing symptom.

Chronic breathlessness is defined as persistent breathlessness at rest, or on minimal exertion despite optimal management of the underlying causes. It affects 50–70% of people with advanced malignancy and an even higher proportion of those with end-stage respiratory or cardiac failure. In the later phases of progressive life-limiting illnesses breathlessness, unlike most other symptoms, typically worsens and is a greatly feared symptom. This poses particular challenges for clinicians involved in providing symptom control and end of life care.

In spite of the prevalence and burden of breathlessness, management options are limited and the current evidence-based supports use of non-pharmacological interventions such as pulmonary rehabilitation and mobility aids; oxygen therapy in those with evidence of hypoxia and the potential of systemic, oral or parenteral morphine. The complex interplay between physiological and psychosocial antecedents to the subjective experience of breathlessness justifies exploration of novel pharmacotherapeutic interventions.

Serotonin as a neurotransmitter is strongly implicated in the control and regulation of respiratory physiology in the central nervous system. Sertraline is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) used widely as an antidepressant and in generalised anxiety disorders. A previously published literature review identified two non-randomised pilot studies that report symptomatic benefit from SSRIs in those with advanced lung cancer. A 20 participant phase II study was initially conducted at one site to establish feasibility and acceptability of the proposed phase III study and to estimate the variance from the intervention, they will be given the option of continuing the blinded treatment in an extension phase either until study data collection is complete or participants perceive that the net benefit ceases.

Participants will have assessments at baseline day 0, day 9 and day 29 (following primary end point completion on day 28) and day 35 (at the end of dose downward titration 6 days after treatment dose cessation) if the participant does not enter the extension phase.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

A placebo-controlled study was chosen because there is no registered medication for the pharmacological treatment of chronic breathlessness, and there is clinical equipoise regarding the net benefit of SSRIs in this clinical setting.

A 20 participant phase II study was initially conducted at one site to establish feasibility and acceptability of the proposed phase III study and to estimate the variance between the two groups. The phase II study was analysed while maintaining blinding of investigators and participants. There were no protocol changes following recruitment of these first 20 participants. Consequently, the phase III study proceeded to multisite recruitment, retaining these participants as the study design and procedures are identical.

Following informed consent and eligibility, participants will be randomised to receive either a daily dose of sertraline or matched placebo. The dose will be titrated in the first 7 days and continued for a total of 28 days (figure 1). If the participant perceives benefit from the intervention, they will be given the option of continuing the blinded treatment in an extension phase either until study data collection is complete or participants perceive that the net benefit ceases.
Regular telephone contact will also be made to assess safety and compliance.

If a participant agrees to enter the extension treatment phase of the study, they will receive fortnightly telephone contacts and monthly visits from a member of the research team. This will help to ensure ongoing, high-quality data collection and encourage compliance with the intervention. Additionally, all participants will receive two fortnightly telephone calls following treatment cessation to collect data for the economic analysis and to continuously assess participant safety and adverse effects.

Collaborating organisations

This multisite study will be coordinated by the Australian national PaCCSC and sponsored by Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia. It will recruit participants from across Australia with the collaborating sites indicated in box 1. Clinical teams involved include respiratory medicine, cardiology, oncology, general medicine and palliative care. This diversity of clinical teams reflects the heterogeneity and pervasiveness of breathlessness across multiple chronic, progressive illnesses.
Box 1  List of collaborating sites

▸ New South Wales
  – Braeside Hospital, Prairiewood
  – Calvary Mater Hospital, Newcastle
  – Sacred Heart Health Service, Darlinghurst
  – Calvary Healthcare, Kogarah
  – Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool
▸ South Australia
  – Southern Adelaide Palliative Services, Daw Park
  – Lyell McEwin Hospital, Elizabeth Vale
▸ Victoria
  – St Vincent’s Hospital, Melbourne
  – Barwon Health, Geelong
  – The Austin Hospital, Heidelberg
▸ Queensland
  – St Vincent’s Private Hospital, Brisbane
  – The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside  

Study objectives

The primary objective of the study is to compare the efficacy of sertraline with placebo in relieving the intensity of worst breathlessness in the previous 12 hours as assessed by a 0–100 mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). Secondary objectives include:

▸ Assessment of participant and caregiver quality of life and participant performance status.
▸ Assessment of the mastery subscale of the Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire (CRQ) between groups.
▸ Documentation and comparison of the side effects and frequency of side effects experienced in each arm.
▸ To determine which participants derive the greatest benefit from sertraline using data from baseline participant characteristics.
▸ Assessment of frequency of occurrence of common toxicities and adverse effects such as nausea and falls.
▸ Assessment of changes in anxiety and depression scores over the study period.
▸ Assessment of net effect (benefit vs harms).
▸ Economic analysis of the net effect of sertraline incremental to usual care from participant-level data collected on costs and effects.

Study population

Adults with breathlessness defined as level 2 or higher on the modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnoea Scale (table 1) despite optimal treatment of the underlying causes of breathlessness will be approached by study investigators.

Level 2 or higher breathlessness on this mMRC Scale corresponds with moderate-to-severe breathlessness in addition to being over the age of 18 years and being able to speak and read English the inclusion criteria are:

▸ Chronic breathlessness where the underlying causes have been maximally treated confirmed by a specialist relevant to the potential participant’s most significant underlying cause. The diagnosis of chronic is made once all identified reversible causes of breathlessness are documented and optimally managed. There is no minimum duration for this diagnosis.
▸ No changes in medication for management of breathlessness for 1 week, except ‘as needed’ medications.
▸ Estimated life expectancy of at least 2 months.
▸ Be able to complete the informed consent process and consent to participate in the study.

Owing to the multiple possible reported adverse effects associated with sertraline and other SSRIs, a number of exclusion criteria will be applied:

▸ Previous adverse reaction to sertraline.
▸ Severe hepatic impairment defined as Child-Pugh Class C or higher.
▸ Gastrointestinal bleeding within the previous 6 months.
▸ Plasma sodium of <128 mmol/L.
▸ Recent difficult seizure control.
▸ Respiratory depression with a respiratory rate <8 breaths per minute or previous opioid-induced respiratory failure.
▸ A respiratory or cardiac event in the previous week (not including mild upper respiratory tract infections).
▸ Pregnant or breast feeding.
▸ Depressive symptoms as defined by Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HADS) Subscale >16.
▸ Current treatment with medicines that increase the risk of serotonin syndrome (box 2).

Recruitment and consent

Potentially eligible participants will be identified by their treating clinicians and referred to the local research team. Case identification in relevant outpatient clinics and inpatient services using case note review will be undertaken, with permission to approach potentially eligible participants given by the relevant case manager or consultant.

Written informed consent to participate in this study will follow a process of information exchange between study staff, clinicians and potential participants and caregivers. Participant information sheets will form the basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description of breathlessness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>I only get breathless with strenuous exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I get short of breath when hurrying on level ground or walking up a slight hill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>On level ground, I walk slower than people of the same age because of breathlessness, or I have to stop for breath when walking at my own pace on the level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I stop for breath after walking about 100 yards or after a few minutes on level ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I am too breathless to leave the house or I am breathless when dressing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1  The modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Scale

of discussion, and the participant will be given as much time as necessary to consider the study. Site coordinators and research nurses at each involved site are trained in the consent procedures for this study.

Any participant who consents to be included in the study may also nominate their primary caregiver (or person likely to provide care if they were to need care at some time in the future) for involvement in the study. The caregiver will be asked to consent to completion of a caregiver-specific quality of life assessment to be included in the study. Consent by caregivers to complete this assessment will not affect participant eligibility.

Randomisation
At each site involved, on referral to the study, participants will be sequentially allocated a unique identifying number to be used for all subsequent study documentation. This will ensure confidentiality is maintained. The randomisation request will be generated by the receipt of a prescription of the study drugs by a clinical trial site pharmacist. Randomisation will be stratified according to a baseline measure of the anxiety and depression subscales of the HADS. Initial stratification will be into two groups according to HADS anxiety subscale; 0–10 (normal to mild) or 11–21 (moderate to severe). Within these two groups, participants will be further stratified into two further groups categorised by HADS depression subscale; 0–10 (normal to mild) or 11–16 (moderate). This will create four strata (table 2).

Within each site and within each of the four strata, randomisation will occur in blocks of four in a 1:1 ratio to ensure even allocation.

**Intervention**
All participants will take one blinded opaque capsule each morning on waking for 28 days. Participants and investigators will be blinded to the medication provided. As there is a theoretical concern that SSRIs can blunt carbon dioxide sensitivity and because SSRIs are rarely tolerated at full dose when initiated without titration, therapy will be initiated at low dose with titration over 7 days. Those in the active arm will start oral sertraline 25 mg daily (figure 1). This dose will then double every 3 days to reach a maximum dose of sertraline 100 mg daily by the beginning of day 7 if tolerated. Those in the control arm will receive an identical appearing dose of placebo titrated over the same period of time. Low-dose oral morphine has demonstrated benefit over placebo for symptomatic relief of chronic breathlessness, hence, all participants will be prescribed immediate release morphine solution. Participants will be permitted to take 2.5 mg of oral morphine immediate release solution every 4 hours up to six doses per day on an as needed basis. Docusate with senna will also be prescribed to all participants for treatment of opioid-induced constipation if required.

Participation in the study will cease if adverse effects related to the study medicines develop and are unacceptable to the participant or clinician in charge of the participant’s care. Adverse effects will be classified by National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, v4 (NCI CTC AE v4), recorded and treated symptomatically. Anyone with NCI CTC AE v4 scores of 3 not responding to symptomatic treatment or scores of 4 or higher will be withdrawn from the study. Other reasons for discontinuation of study medicines are if treatment is deemed ineffective by the treating clinician and an alternative therapy is required, increasing breathlessness despite study medication and maximal use of rescue morphine solution. Withdrawal of consent, hyponatraemia, falls and other adverse events may also cause withdrawal from the study. Reasons for withdrawal will be documented.
Participants will be monitored for harms at days 3, 6 and 9. If adverse effects persist despite symptomatic treatment, participants may continue to take part in the study but will continue at the sertraline dose prior to adverse symptoms being noted. If adverse effects are noted at the starting dose of sertraline 25 mg, participants will be withdrawn from the study.

Assessment of net clinical benefit will be conducted on day 29 of the study by study nurses for the primary outcome of worst breathlessness averaged over the last three study days and the adverse effects of the intervention that were specifically sought during the study. If there is no clinical benefit, dose will be tapered down by halving the dose every 3 days to 25 mg and then stopped. As previously mentioned, participants will have the option of continuing the blinded treatment until completion of study data collection or benefit ceases.

Data collection and outcome measures

Current literature suggests that there may be two discrete pathways involved in the sensation of breathlessness. O’Donnell et al suggest that a two-phase gating model for breathlessness exists. A first gate considers the intensity of breathlessness; the second considers its unpleasantness. Some studies suggest that people can discriminate between these two pathways. Participants in this study will therefore be asked to rate breathlessness intensity and unpleasantness using VAS and Likert Scales (box 3).

Changes in breathlessness intensity will be measured on a 100 mm VAS and 4-point Likert Scales (box 3). Participants will be asked to complete a diary in the morning and evening at baseline and on days 7, 14, 26, 27 and 28 (table 3), recording the intensity and unpleasantness of breathlessness using the two scales. The primary outcome measure will be based on average worst morning and evening breathlessness intensity based on VAS scores on days 26, 27 and 28 of the intervention period. A 15% improvement in breathlessness score from baseline is considered a clinically meaningful improvement, consistent with patient-defined minimally clinically important differences in VAS Scales.

Secondary outcomes will be assessed by completion of a number of validated participant and research team-mediated measures at various time points throughout the study period. Box 3 gives an overview of the questionnaires and scales used in this study, and table 3 provides an overview of the study timeline and the outcome measures recorded at each time point. The range of assessment tools will ensure quantitative and qualitative assessment of participants’ symptom severity and ensure measurement of quality of life for participants and caregivers in line with Australian National Palliative Care Strategy’s aims.

Blood sampling will be required for laboratory assessment of full blood count, electrolytes, liver and renal function at eligibility. A sample will be taken at eligibility assessment if no sample is available from the preceding 7 days. Child-Pugh scoring of hepatic dysfunction will also occur at eligibility. Sertraline is known to cause hyponatraemia so serum sodium levels will be monitored weekly throughout the study.

Respiratory and cardiac function will be recorded from recent spirometry and echocardiography testing if available but are not essential for participation in this study. Owing to the theoretical risk of respiratory depression, end-tidal carbon dioxide levels and pulse oximetry will be measured at baseline and at study exit.

Demographics including age, gender, availability of primary caregiver, postcode, ability to read and speak English and Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander status will be recorded. In addition, main clinical diagnoses will be detailed including the dominant aetiology of the breathlessness, co-morbid illnesses and smoking history recorded in pack years. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) will be used as a uniform measure of co-morbidity and tested as an independent predictor of long-term survival.

The participant diary will form an important source of data collection. It is completed at baseline and on days 7, 14, 21 and on the past 3 days of intervention 26, 27 and 28 (table 3). It will be used to document and record:

- Breathlessness intensity and unpleasantness VAS and Likert Scales.
- Current, average and worst breathlessness and relief of breathlessness using VAS and Likert Scales.
- mMRC breathlessness scale measures functional impairment due to breathlessness.
- Symptoms associated with sertraline use using Likert Scales.
- Well-being and global quality of life using numerical rating scale.
- Record of ‘as needed’ medication usage.

Data will be collected on potential adverse effects of sertraline including nausea; diarrhoea; tremor; agitation and restlessness; sexual dysfunction and general wellbeing. Adverse events are assessed according to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines and recorded and reported according to PaCCSC standard operating procedures.

Data will also be collected from participants on the use of hospital services, specialised palliative care services, community nurses, local General Practitioner/practice nurses and changes in medicines to inform the economic analysis.

Sample size calculation

As previously mentioned, this is a phase III study that incorporates a blinded analysis of 20 participants from a single-site phase II study with an identical protocol. This analysis was conducted and overseen by the Data Safety Monitoring Committee. The blinded results were shared with the study investigators to ensure feasibility, acceptability of the outcome measures and that the variance of the primary outcome measure was within the anticipated range. No changes were made to the phase III protocol.
as a result of this analysis. Using these data, 75 completed participants in each study group will provide ∼ 80% power at a two-tailed type 1 error of 0.05 to detect a difference of 41% of participants in the sertraline group meeting the definition of response, versus 20% in the placebo group. The study needs to recruit 60 participants in each stratum randomised 1:1 between intervention and control. Allowing for attrition, 240 people will be randomised to give 150 complete sets of data.

**Box 3  Assessment methods and questionnaires used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity of breathlessness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 100 mm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 0=&quot;no breathlessness at all&quot;; 100=&quot;breathlessness as bad as you can imagine&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Likert Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 4-point scale: none, mild, moderate and severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unpleasantness of breathlessness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 100 mm scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 0=&quot;not unpleasant at all&quot;; 100=&quot;the most unpleasant breathlessness I’ve ever felt&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Likert Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 4-point scale: none, mild, moderate, severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptors of breathlessness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ Fifteen categorical descriptors presented to participants in random order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Participants choose appropriate descriptors that characterise their breathlessness and indicate up to three most applicable statements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical analysis**

The null hypothesis of the study is that there is no difference between the net clinical effects of sertraline and placebo on the sensation of chronic breathlessness. As previously detailed, response is defined as at least 15% improvement in breathlessness scores from baseline.

Analysis of the primary outcome will be through comparison of the percentages of participants achieving a 15% reduction in breathlessness from baseline in the two study groups by χ² testing without continuity.
### Table 3: Table of study measures according to time point and timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point Assessment type</th>
<th>Dose up-titration and core study period</th>
<th>Dose down-titration</th>
<th>Ongoing treatment phase</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline 0 and 1 T/C Visit 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 T/C Visit 7 T/C Visit 8 Treatment cessation T/C Visit End+3 End+6</td>
<td>T/C Visit 14, 21, 25 T/C Visit End+3 End+6</td>
<td>T/C Visit 42 T/C Visit 56 T/C Visit 70 T/C Visit 84 T/C Visit 98 T/C Visit 112</td>
<td>Fortnightly for 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline day</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 and 1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research team mediated assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital signs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloods: FBC, Elec, CC</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse oximetry</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirometry</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-tidal CO₂</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and physical examination</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical history</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKPS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and AE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug compliance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global impression of change</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to continue therapy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic analysis data</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diary reminder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant mediated assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descriptors of breathlessness</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMRC Breathlessness Scale</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRQ-Breathlessness Scale</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EORTC QLQ-C15</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQOLC</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life space assessment</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
correction. Secondary analysis will be through longitudinal repeated measures mixed models with unstructured covariance matrices assessing group by time and group by intervention effects across the entire study period with particular focus on the past 3 days to compare each arm. This approach will be applied for breathlessness and Quality of Life (QOL) assessments, as well as main anticipated adverse effects.

Multivariable regression models will be used to establish clinically relevant baseline predictors of response (percent reduction over baseline breathlessness) exploring baseline breathlessness, baseline gas exchange, CCI,$^{62}$ HADS scores,$^{40}$ including depression and anxiety subscores and functional status.

Secondary outcomes such as caregiver well-being, changes in functional status using Australian modified Karnofsky Performance Status (AKPS),$^{57}$ (primarily as a safety measure) and utility associated with health quality of life between the placebo group and the sertraline group will be examined using Mann-Whitney U tests. This same statistical method will also be used to compare adverse effects between study arms. Missing data for primary and secondary end points will be dealt with by multiple imputations.

### Economic evaluation

The main objective of the economic evaluation is to estimate the incremental costs, effects and cost-effectiveness of sertraline relative to placebo for the palliative relief of breathlessness in people with chronic breathlessness. A within-trial cost-effectiveness analysis will be undertaken from a healthcare provider perspective using participant-level data collected from randomisation up to 28 days after completing the study intervention or death, whichever occurs sooner. Mean costs and effectiveness will be estimated for the sertraline and placebo arms including: sensation of breathlessness, quality of life, caregiver quality of life, intervention costs, hospitalisations, community support and other medications controlling for baseline use. Resource use will be costed according to the Australian Manual of Resource Items and their Associated Costs$^{65}$ in Australian dollars. Incremental net monetary benefit (INMB) and cost-effectiveness acceptability curves (CEACs) will be estimated at potential threshold values for: (1) a 1% reduction in breathlessness and (2) one extra responder (response is defined as at least 15% improvement in breathlessness scores from baseline). INMB is the monetary value of additional effects of care minus the additional costs of care.$^{66}$ CEACs graphically represent the probability of a positive INMB over plausible threshold values for the outcome of interest. The threshold value indicates the decision-maker's maximum acceptable monetary value for 1 unit gain of effect.$^{66}$ $^{67}$ Bootstrapping on participants' costs and effects across 5000 replicates will be used to assess the uncertainty for costs, effects and cost-effectiveness, and 95% CIs will be calculated for the INMB and CEACs using these replicates.$^{68}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Assessment type</th>
<th>Timeline day</th>
<th>Dose up-titration and core study period</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1 0 and 1</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td>0 3 and 6</td>
<td>0 3 and 6</td>
<td>0 3 and 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 2 4 5 6</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3 and 6 9</td>
<td>1 and 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 and 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 8</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1 and 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 and 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 and 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 10 11</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>End T/C Visit</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ethical considerations

In order to maximise the collection of valuable data while minimising patient burden, only outcome measures previously validated in this patient population will be collected. Invasive measures have been minimised to cause the least possible physical stress. It is recognised that completion of written study measures can be a significant source of stress and burden to the population who will participate in this study. Sensitive issues regarding the measurement of functional status can be confronting for participants and discussing quality of life and other questions may also cause psychological distress. As such, any emotional distress caused by the study would prompt intervention and support from the specialist palliative care team who would be involved in the care of the people participating in this study. The research team will always be attuned to monitoring for signs of participant distress, and ongoing training will be provided to the research teams in conjunction with GCP principles.64

Consent to participate will be obtained by a research team member not involved in the participant’s usual clinical care. This will also assist with the separation of research and clinical responsibilities of the clinical staff. Everyone has the ability to decline to participate in the study, and participants can withdraw at any time without detriment to the provision or quality of their clinical care.

The protocol has been initially reviewed and approved by the Cancer Institute New South Wales (CI NSW), Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC), New South Wales in 2010 (approval number 2010C/02/120) but was transferred to the Prince of Wales Hospital HREC in 2013 (approval number 13/327HREC/13/POWH/785) following closure of the CI NSW HREC. Each individual collaborating site has also each obtained relevant HREC approvals to recruit to this study.

Confidentiality

Data will be retained in accordance with the principles of GCP.64 Participants will be allocated a unique identification (ID) number at entry. The master list linking participant personal information and ID number will be maintained in a separate locked cabinet and password-protected hard drive. Data will be analysed by ID number only. Records will be retained for 15 years after study completion and then destroyed in accordance with PaCCSC standard operating procedures consistent with current HREC requirements.

Dissemination

The results of this trial will be submitted for publication in peer-reviewed publications and the key findings presented at national and international conferences. If the study shows a net benefit, contact will also be made with key professional groups, and regulatory and funding bodies.

DISCUSSION

This study protocol represents a large, randomised study evaluating a non-opioid pharmacological intervention for chronic breathlessness in people with progressive life-limiting illnesses. Chronic breathlessness is one of the most feared symptoms and the potential implications for quality of life are substantial if effective treatment can be found. Currently, there is no medicine registered internationally for the treatment of chronic breathlessness despite its prevalence. This study will evaluate a new, but theoretically useful therapy by quantifying net clinical effect as well as assessing cost-efficacy to inform clinicians, policymakers and patients.

The recently published randomised controlled trial investigating the use of the azapirone serotonergic anxiolytic buspirone 20 mg daily in the management of cancer-related breathlessness is an example of the high-quality studies that are now being undertaken. This large, multicentre phase II trial failed to show improvement in breathlessness as measured by the Oxygen Cost Diagram or anxiety, measured by the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, after 6 weeks of intervention.26 This study protocol continues the theme of investigating the potential uses of non-sedating anxiolytics in the management of breathlessness and builds on previous work by using a different medicine with careful dose titration in a larger and more diverse participant population for a longer follow-up period.

With the exception of buspirone, developing the evidence base to date has focused on morphine for the treatment of chronic breathlessness. Although the balance of evidence favours symptomatic benefit safety, many practitioners are still concerned about the potentially rare but catastrophic problem of respiratory depression when using opioids. The largest trials to date have sought to further minimise any risk by using regular, low dose oral extended release morphine to minimise peak drug concentrations.6 19 43

Recruitment to randomised controlled palliative care studies is challenging but feasible if it is approached systematically.69 70 PaCCSC has a strong track record of successfully designing and conducting phase III studies in this patient population,71–73 making this the world’s largest palliative care clinical trial group having randomised more than 1700 participants to phase III studies. The key to the anticipated success of this study is that it is being conducted across multiple sites which will allow adequate and timely recruitment. The trial began enrolling participants in January 2011 and as of April 2016 has randomised 192 participants with a projected recruitment completion date of March 2017. In addition, this protocol has been adapted by a group in the UK investigating the effect of mirtazapine in the management of chronic breathlessness in a similar group of participants.74

The economic analysis will aid evidence-based decision-making in palliative care service provision and inform any subsequent regulatory or funding body
applications by providing prospectively collected, and jointly considered data on health resource use and costs in the clinical setting of palliating chronic breathlessness.

Author affiliations

1Department of Palliative Care, Calvary Mater Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia
2School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia
3Faculty of Health and Graduate School of Health, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
4Clinical Trials, Ingham Institute of Applied Medical Research, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
5Department of Palliative and Supportive Services, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
6South West Sydney Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
7School of Nursing, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
8Aust Health, Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Austin Hospital, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia
9Australian Respiratory and Sleep Medicine Institute, Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
10Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand
11Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

Collaborators All authors on behalf of the Australian national Palliative Care Clinical Studies Collaborative (PaCCSC).

Contributors GJW updated the literature review and wrote the manuscript by adapting the original study protocol for publication, subsequent reviews and amendments. KC contributed to the development and refining of the protocol, writing of manuscript and subsequent review. MA contributed to the development of the study protocol, writing of manuscript and subsequent review, and PMD contributed to the writing of the original study protocol and subsequent review. LL reviewed, commented and informed the methodology and statistical analytical approach of the protocol. CM, DS, MD and APA reviewed, commented and informed methodology, development and writing of the protocol. NM contributed to the conception and design of the study protocol specifically the design of the economic evaluation and participated in drafting the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content. DCC is the lead study investigator and lead author of original study protocol.

Funding This work was supported by the Australian Government, Commonwealth Department of Health through the National Palliative Care Program and NHMRC Project Grant (grant number GNT1010065) and sponsored by Flinders University.

Competing interests DCC has received an unrestricted research grant from Mundipharma, is an unpaid member of an advisory board for Helsinn Pharmaceuticals and has consulted to Mayne Pharma and received intellectual property payments from them.

Ethics approval Cancer Institute New South Wales (CI NSW), Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC), New South Wales in 2010 (approval number 2010/0/02/120). Transferred to the Prince of Wales Hospital HREC in 2013 (approval number 13/327/HREC/13/POWH/785).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES


Study protocol: a phase III randomised, double-blind, parallel arm, stratified, block randomised, placebo-controlled trial investigating the clinical effect and cost-effectiveness of sertraline for the palliative relief of breathlessness in people with chronic breathlessness

Gareth J Watts, Katherine Clark, Meera Agar, Patricia M Davidson, Christine McDonald, Lawrence T Lam, Dimitar Sajkov, Nicola McCaffrey, Matthew Doogue, Amy P Abernethy and David C Currow

BMJ Open 2016 6:
doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013177

References

This article cites 63 articles, 16 of which you can access for free at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/11/e013177#BIBL

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
- Palliative care (72)
- Respiratory medicine (330)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/