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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Chronic inguinal pain is a frequently occurring problem in athletes. A diagnosis of inguinal disruption is performed by exclusion of other conditions causing groin pain. Up to now, conservative medical management is considered to be the primary treatment for this condition. Relevant large and prospective clinical studies regarding the treatment of inguinal disruption are limited; however, recent studies have shown the benefits of the totally extraperitoneal patch (TEP) technique.

This study provides a complete assessment of the inguinal area in athletes with chronic inguinal pain before and after treatment with the TEP hernia repair technique.

Methods and analysis: We describe the rationale and design of an observational cohort study for surgical treatment with the endoscopic TEP hernia repair technique in athletes with a painful groin (inguinal disruption).

The study is being conducted in a high-volume, single centre hospital with specialty in TEP hernia repair. Patients over 18 years, suffering from inguinal pain for at least 3 months during or after playing sports, and whom have not undergone previous inguinal surgery and have received no benefit from physiotherapy are eligible for inclusion. Patients with any another cause of inguinal pain, proven by physical examination, inguinal ultrasound, X-pelvis/hip or MRI are excluded.

Primary outcome is reduction in pain after 3 months. Secondary outcomes are pain reduction, physical functioning, and resumption of sport (in frequency and intensity).

Ethics and dissemination: An unrestricted research grant for general study purposes was assigned to the Hernia Centre. This study itself is not directly subject to the above mentioned research grant or any other financial sponsorship. We intend to publish the outcome of the study, regardless of the findings. All authors will give final approval of the manuscript version to be published.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The complete assessment of groin pain with validated questionnaires and standardised physical examination by an experienced hernia surgeon, a specialised sports doctor and a dedicated radiologist.
- A strict selection of patients is carried out to assess the favourability of totally extraperitoneal patch (TEP) hernia repair technique for inguinal disruption.
- A limitation of this study is the absence of a control group with a different operation technique or even a ‘sham’ operation. However, in light of our hypothesis, we believe that not carrying out an operation (sham) would be morally unethical. We hypothesise that a weak inguinal floor may be a causative or contributory factor in the pathophysiology of an inguinal disruption, and that strengthening this weakened floor with a TEP repair may be of benefit to patients with this condition.

BACKGROUND

Inguinal disruption is a condition of chronic inguinal pain in athletes, with an incidence of 0.5–6.2%.1–3 Until recently, no consensus regarding nomenclature, diagnosis and treatment was available.1–4

Inguinal disruption is defined as groin pain when no other obvious pathology exists to explain the symptoms.1 Owing to its unclear aetiopathophysiology and the absence of a typical pattern of symptoms, no radiographic study can confirm the diagnosis of inguinal disruption and this will lead to deferred treatment.1 Furthermore, other pathology might be present simultaneously.

Appropriate treatment is important for fast resumption of sport activities of the athlete and is aimed toward its specific pathology.
Management of inguinal disruption may start with conservative options (rehabilitation programmes, physiotherapy).\textsuperscript{1} Surgical repair may be necessary in patients with continuous inguinal pain.\textsuperscript{5–7}

The totally extraperitoneal patch (TEP) hernia repair technique ensures a comprehensive view of the hernia floor. Moreover, the preperitoneal approach is associated with less chronic postoperative pain and a faster recovery in daily activities.\textsuperscript{8}

Endoscopic TEP hernia repair with implantation of a polypropylene mesh could, therefore, be an appealing technique for athletes with inguinal disruption.\textsuperscript{9,10}

The aim of this manuscript is to describe the rationale and design of a prospective observational cohort study analysing outcomes of TEP hernia repair in athletes with inguinal disruption.

METHODS AND DESIGN

Study design

The study design is an observational, prospective cohort study. The study is designed to assess whether a systemic work-up of athletes with chronic groin pain can select patients who would benefit the most from surgical treatment using the TEP hernia repair technique. A high-volume hospital in the Netherlands (Diakonessenhuis Utrecht/Zeist), specialising in the TEP technique for inguinal hernia repair, will carry out the study in collaboration with the Royal Dutch Football Association (Koninklijke Nederlandse Voetbalbond/KNVB).

Resumption of sport (frequency and intensity), and pain parameters will be assessed preoperatively and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 12 weeks after surgery, measured by the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) and the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS).\textsuperscript{11,12}

Patient population

Patients over 18 years, suffering from inguinal pain for at least 3 months during or after playing sports, and who have not undergone previous inguinal surgery and have received no benefit from physiotherapy (at least 12 treatments), and who have undergone a rest period from sports of at least 6 weeks are eligible for inclusion in this study. Patients with any another cause of inguinal pain (confirmed by physical examination, inguinal ultrasound, X-pelvis/hip or MRI) are excluded.

Intake

Patients are recruited during their first visit to the sport-medical outpatient clinic of the Royal Dutch Football Association (Koninklijke Nederlandse Voetbalbond, KNVB). Informed consent is obtained during this visit.

Anamnesis, functional testing and supplemental examination are performed at the sport-medical outpatient clinic of the KNVB.

Anamnesis focuses on the type of sport, duration of symptoms, presence of pain during sport, pain-provoking factors, the duration, localisation, character and severity of the pain, history of previous surgery, the presence of an inguinal swelling, pain in other body areas and the presence of physical limitations. The use of pain medication and its effect is registered. Furthermore, previous history of consultations, imaging, and treatments (including the use of pain medication) and their effect is reported.

Physical examination includes inspection and palpation of the inguinal area and examination of sensory changes of the skin.

Functional testing includes range of motion of the hip joint, leg length discrepancy, anterior impingement test and FABER test (impingement of the hip musculature), adductor squeeze test, Thomas test (flexibility of the iliopsoas muscle group), and Ely’s test (tightness of rectus femoris).\textsuperscript{13–15}

Supplemental examination performed is directed at finding abnormalities suggestive of other causes of inguinal pain: painful pubic tubercle on palpation (pubalgia), the test of Carnett (discerning visceral pain from parietal pain in anterior cutaneous nerve entrapment syndrome (ACNES), the test of Lasegue (spinal disc herniation), pain on the hip-adductor insertion (adductor tendinitis) or painful movement of the hip (bursitis iliopectinea, arthrosis).

In case of inguinal disruption, patients are recruited for the study and informed consent is obtained.

Inguinal disruption is defined as pain, either of a gradual or acute onset, which occurs predominantly in the groin area near the pubic tubercle and where no other obvious pathology, such as a hernia, exists to explain the symptoms.\textsuperscript{7}

Athletes with chronic inguinal pain and diagnosed with inguinal disruption are referred to the Department of Surgery in the Diakonessenhuis Utrecht/Zeist. Physical examination is repeated and additional imaging is performed (ultrasonography, X pelvis/hip and MRI) for all patients. After exclusion of an inguinal hernia and other causes of groin pain, patients are planned for treatment using the TEP technique.

All data are collected using standard forms in Dutch: a self-designed Sportsmen form, the Numeric Rating Scale, and the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS).\textsuperscript{11,12} The patient will fill in these standard forms assessing pain, the use of pain medication and restrictions in movements during activities preoperatively and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 weeks postoperatively. Resumption of sport (frequency and intensity) and parameters of pain will be assessed preoperatively, and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 12 weeks after surgery.

Interventions

The perioperative care and surgical technique is standard for all patients undergoing this procedure and does not differ for patients participating in this study. The applied surgical method is the totally extraperitoneal patch technique for inguinal hernia repair using a mesh implantation technique and performed under general...
anaesthesia. A 10×15 cm, polypropylene monofilament mesh with small pores, weighing 80–85 g/m² (Prolene, Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson company, Amersfoort, the Netherlands) is used in all patients. There is no consensus regarding the best mesh for endoscopic hernia repair. Recently, we performed a large RCT comparing lightweight Ultrapro and heavyweight Prolene mesh for TEP repair. In the early postoperative period up to 3 months, we did not find any difference in pain, discomfort, and quality of life between the two groups. The 2-year results have been analysed, and these showed significantly higher pain scores and higher hernia recurrence rates after use of Ultrapro mesh (unpublished results). Owing to the results of our previous findings, we decided to use Prolene in this study. The mesh is positioned in a tension-free manner in the preperitoneal space, as previously described. The mesh graft is not fixed, as fixation may induce pain due to nerve entrapment or haematoma. Intraoperative complications and operative time are registered in the Electronic Patient Chart (Dutch: EPD).

Postoperative management
Patients are discharged on the day of surgery and are advised to take analgesics (paracetamol and, if necessary, diclofenac), according to need and to avoid strenuous physical activity (lifting and sports) during the first postoperative week. There are no other (physical) restrictions.

Follow-up
The follow-up of patients is 3 months. The patient will fill in standard forms assessing pain scores, the use of pain medication and its effect, and restrictions in movements during activities preoperatively and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 weeks postoperatively. Resumption of sport (frequency and intensity) and pain parameters will be assessed preoperatively, and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 12 weeks after surgery. Data are collected using standard forms in Dutch: Sportsmen form, the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS), and the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS). Intraoperative data are registered in the Electronic Patient Chart (Dutch: EPD).

After 6 weeks, the patients will visit the surgical outpatient clinic to assess pain parameters and possible postoperative complications. After 3 months, the patient will visit the sport-medical outpatient clinic of the Royal Dutch Football Association and will again fill in the same standard forms assessing pain and restrictions in movements during activities.

Outcomes
The primary outcome is the presence of pain 3 months after an endoscopic preperitoneal (TEP) hernia repair surgery and consists of a pain score measured by a Numeric Rating Scale (NRS).

Secondary outcomes are:

- Pain scores measured at rest and during activity preoperatively, and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 weeks postoperatively, using the NRS scale where 0=no pain and 10=extremely painful (Dutch version).
- Resumption of sport (frequency and intensity) measured preoperatively, and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 12 weeks postoperatively.
- Quality of Life is measured by the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS) preoperatively, 1, 6 and 12 weeks postoperatively.

Sample size calculation
Limited data are available regarding pain scores after TEP hernia repair in athletes with inguinal pain. A study of Dojcinović et al.6 shows that patients who underwent surgery for chronic groin pain that was unresponsive to conservative options had benefits from surgery. Pain scores measured by a Visual Analogue Scale were 6.49 preoperatively and 0.54 12 weeks postoperatively. Based on a Cohen’s effect size of 0.5 with a type 1 error, a significance level of α=0.05 and a power of 80%, the calculated sample size is 32 patients.

Statistical methods
Analyses will be performed using SPSS V.17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics were used for baseline data. Differences in preoperative and postoperative parameters will be analysed by means of a paired sample t test (parametric data) or a Wilcoxon signed-ranked test (non-parametric data). Data will be compared to the reference values in the literature. Significance is set at a level of p≤0.05 (two-sided).

STATUS
This study does not fall under the scope of the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (WMO) in accordance with the regional Medical Ethics Committee (VCMO, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands), and the local Ethics Board of the Diakonessenhuis Utrecht/Zeist, the Netherlands. Estimated inclusion is 18 months beginning on the 1 January 2015. We expect to include 32 patients in total two patients per month during a period of 15 months with a follow-up of 3 months.
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