Large regional differences in incidence of arthroscopic meniscal procedures in the public and private sector in Denmark
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: A recent study reported a large increase in the number of meniscal procedures from 2000 to 2011 in Denmark. We examined the nation-wide distribution of meniscal procedures performed in the private and public sector in Denmark since different incentives may be present and the use of these procedures may differ from region to region.

Setting: We included data on all patients who underwent an arthroscopic meniscal procedure performed in the public or private sector in Denmark.

Participants: Data were retrieved from the Danish National Patient Register on patients who underwent arthroscopic meniscus surgery as a primary or secondary procedure in the years 2000 to 2011. Hospital identification codes enabled linkage of performed procedures to specific hospitals.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Yearly incidence of meniscal procedures per 100 000 inhabitants was calculated with 95% CIs for public and private procedures for each region.

Results: Incidence of meniscal procedures increased at private and at public hospitals. The private sector accounted for the largest relative and absolute increase, rising from an incidence of 1 in 2000 to 98 in 2011. In 2011, the incidence of meniscal procedures was three times higher in the Capital Region than in Region Zealand.

Conclusions: Our study identified a large increase in the use of meniscal procedures in the public and private sector in Denmark. The increase was particularly conspicuous in the private sector as its proportion of procedures performed increased from 1% to 32%. Substantial regional differences were present in the incidence and trend over time of meniscal procedures.

INTRODUCTION

Arthroscopy for meniscal tears is the most common orthopaedic procedure with at least 700 000 meniscal resections performed in the USA in 2006.1 A recent study reported a large increase in the number of meniscal procedures from 2000 to 2011 in Denmark.2 This increase was observed almost exclusively in middle-aged and older patients despite uncertainty of the added benefit provided by arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM) over non-surgical treatments on patient-reported knee pain and function in these age groups with or without osteoarthritis (OA).3–11

The reason for the large increase in arthroscopic surgery for meniscal tears in Denmark is unclear. Danish media have reported an increase in the number and availability of hospitals and clinics in the private sector since 2005.12 In the USA, ambulatory surgery centres owned by physicians have surgical rates at least twice as high as outpatient surgery in public hospitals.13 14 In addition, higher mortality rates and payments have been observed in for-profit hospitals.15 16 In Denmark, public hospitals are also paid per service provided but the financial incentive for the individual surgeon is likely low as this does not affect individual surgeon salary. Furthermore, large regional differences have been reported in the use of surgical interventions.17

To further elucidate the increased use of arthroscopy for meniscal tears in Denmark, we examined the nation-wide distribution of meniscal procedures performed in the private and public sector in Denmark, as different incentives may be present and the use of these procedures may differ from region to region.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Unique nationwide registration of all hospital contacts and performed procedures in Denmark.
- Reliable estimation of time-related trends in surgical procedures.
- Coverage and validity are an issue for all registry studies.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a registry study of annual incidences of meniscal procedures in Denmark. We extracted data from The Danish National Patient Register (DNPR). The DNPR registers all patient contacts with hospitals (public and private) in Denmark.\(^\text{18}\) Administrative data include the unique person identification number given to all residents in Denmark (Central Person Register—CPR-number\(^\text{19}\)), hospital identification, date and time of activity, patient municipality, etc. Clinical data include types of surgical procedures (Nordic Classification of Surgical Procedures (NCSP)) and diagnoses (International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)). Data were retrieved on all patients who underwent arthroscopic meniscus surgery (KNGD and all subcodes) either as a primary procedure or as part of other surgery in the years 2000 to 2011 (including both years). The CPR-number was used to track patients with several meniscal surgeries (defined as surgery on separate dates) in the study period. In total, 151,228 procedures were performed on 148,819 individual patients.\(^\text{2}\)

Data were extracted on age and sex together with hospital identification code for each contact, which enables linkage of performed procedures to specific public and private hospitals as well as geographic location. For regional differences, data were obtained from 2005 to 2011. The Regions in Denmark were first established in 2007 in a merger of different municipalities and counties, however, population data are available from 2005.

The DNPR has formed the basis for payment of public healthcare services performed at both public and private hospitals since the year 2000 via the Diagnosis Related Groups (DRG) system in Denmark. It is assumed that registration is complete for these services at public and at private hospitals since 2000. However, for patient paid and private healthcare insurance paid services performed in the private sector, reporting is not complete even though this has been mandatory since 2003. In 2008, it was estimated by the Danish National Board of Health that 5% of all private surgeries were missing in the DNPR.\(^\text{18}\) Registration of orthopaedic procedures has been reported to be correct in 92% of a sample of cases (inpatients and outpatients) and is even better for outpatients alone.\(^\text{20}\) Arthroscopy codes from public hospitals were recently validated for cartilage injuries of the knee. Registration was correct in 88% of 117 patients.\(^\text{21}\)

Denmark is divided into five regions: The Capital Region, Region Zealand, Region of Southern Denmark, Region Mid and Region North. Information on numbers of registered inhabitants of all ages in each region, per 1 January, for each year in the period from 2005 to 2012, was retrieved from Danish Statistics (http://www.statistikbanken.dk—accessed 13 March). Mid-year population was estimated from numbers at the beginning and end of each year. Yearly incidence of arthroscopic meniscal procedures per 100,000 inhabitants (all ages) was calculated with 95% CI for procedures performed in the public and private sector, respectively, for each region.

The $\chi^2$ test was used to assess differences in proportions of meniscal procedures performed on middle-aged and older patients, defined as aged 35 years and older, in the public sector compared with the private sector.
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RESULTS

Incidence of meniscal procedures increased at private and at public hospitals. However, the proportion of procedures performed in the private sector increased from 1% in 2000 to 32% in 2011 (figure 1) and the private sector also accounted for the largest increase in total number of procedures, rising from 65 procedures in 2000 to 5,478 in 2011. Still, the majority of meniscal procedures in Denmark are carried out in the public sector. In the same time interval, the number of private hospitals and clinics reporting to the DNPR in Denmark more than tripled from 16 in 2000 to 52 in 2011, with the largest increase observed in the Capital Region (from 7 to 24). Yearly incidence of arthroscopic meniscal procedures for the private sector in Denmark increased from 1 (95% CI 0.9 to 1.5) in 2000 to 98 (96 to 101) in 2011. The increase in incidence in the public sector in the same time period rose from 163 (159 to 166) to 213 (210 to 217). There was a significant difference ($p<0.001$) in age distribution as the private sector performed 83% of the procedures in patients aged 35 years and older compared to 73% in the public sector (table 1).

Large regional differences were present (table 2). In 2011, the total incidence (public and private) in the Capital Region was three times higher than in Region Zealand. The largest increase in incidence between 2005 and 2011 occurred in the Capital Region, from 165 (159–171) to 366 (357–375), while in two regions, Zealand and North, the incidence remained stable between 2005 and 2011.

Incidence of meniscal procedures in the private sector increased in all regions. In Region Mid the overall increase was exclusively caused by an increase in incidence of procedures performed in the private sector while the incidence of procedures increased in the public as well as private sector in the Capital Region and in Region of Southern Denmark. In Region North, the
incidence at private hospitals increased while the incidence rate decreased at public hospitals. In 2011 more meniscal procedures were performed in the private sector than in the public sector in the Capital Region while the public sector still accounted for most procedures performed in all other regions.

**DISCUSSION**

**Key results**

We examined the distribution of public and private sector meniscal procedures performed in Denmark together with regional differences. Incidence of meniscal procedures rose in the public and in the private sectors but the proportion of procedures performed in the private sector increased from 1% to 32% over the 12-year period. In the same time period, the incidence of meniscal procedures performed in the public sector increased by 31%. The proportion of procedures performed in patients aged 35 years and older was larger in the private sector: 83% compared to 73% in the public sector. While the incidence was stable in two regions (Zealand and North), it increased markedly in the public as well as the private sector in the Capital Region and in the Region of Southern Denmark. In Region Mid the increase in incidence of procedures was observed exclusively in the private sector.

**Interpretation**

There may be several reasons for the increase in incidence of meniscal procedures performed in the public and private healthcare sectors in Denmark. The most obvious would be an increased incidence of meniscal tears, which could be caused by increased sports and exercise participation in the adult population. In Denmark, the proportion of adults who self-reported participation in sport and exercise increased from 50% to 64% from 1998 to 2011. The predominant activities reported were jogging (31% of adult population), strength training (24%) and hiking (23%). None of these is recognised as a high risk activity for meniscal injury. Even though increased participation in sports and exercise may not lead to direct injury, it may contribute to symptom onset in patients with latent...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 545</td>
<td>1200 [10]</td>
<td>194 (190 to 198)</td>
<td>22 (21 to 23)</td>
<td>2357</td>
<td>380 [14]</td>
<td>144 (138 to 150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 110</td>
<td>2742 [21]</td>
<td>185 (182 to 189)</td>
<td>50 (48 to 52)</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1767 [50]</td>
<td>107 (102 to 112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7913</td>
<td>5197 [40]</td>
<td>144 (141 to 147)</td>
<td>95 (92 to 97)</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>1766 [50]</td>
<td>108 (100 to 115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 931</td>
<td>5684 [34]</td>
<td>198 (194 to 202)</td>
<td>103 (100 to 106)</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>252 [22]</td>
<td>153 (148 to 159)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in brackets are 95% CI.

N, number of procedures.
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degenerative knee disease such as degenerative meniscal tears. Thus, increased participation in sport and exercise may have caused an increased incidence on patient demand of care (ie, meniscal surgery) but is unlikely to be responsible for the large increase observed.

Another plausible reason includes financial reimbursement. In Denmark, the public sector and to some extent the private sector is paid according to the DRG rate. DRG is a classification system for patients originally developed in the USA but modified to fit the Danish diagnosis and treatment definitions.\(^{24}\) DRG rates represent prices for treatments within each group based on estimated average costs. This system was introduced in 1 January 2000. The DRG rate for arthroscopic knee surgery increased from 6502 DKK in 2000 to 10 483 in 2003 and was relatively stable thereafter (figure 2). Payment per procedure provides a potential incentive for surgeons to provide more procedures because payment is dependent on the quantity of care rather than the quality and outcome of care. Indeed, financial reimbursement has been shown to influence surgical decision-making in the private sector because of greater financial incentives for surgeons.\(^{13,14}\) To the best of our knowledge, whether this could also be the case in the public sector, where the financial incentive lies within the department and not the individual surgeon, has not been investigated. Still, a concern remains that surgery in this context may be preferred when watchful waiting and/or physiotherapy is the other, less profitable alternative.\(^{18}\)

Another potential cause is the political introduction of the ‘extended free hospital choice’, also known as the ‘treatment guarantee’, introduced in Denmark on 1 July 2002. The treatment guarantee allows patients to be treated at another public hospital or in the private sector if the initially chosen public hospital is not able to offer treatment within 2 months. This likely led to an increase in incidence of meniscal procedures performed in the private sector as well as in number of private hospitals and clinics in the period 2000 to 2011. The increase in incidence of meniscal procedures was further enhanced when the treatment guarantee was reduced to 1 month on 1 October 2007. In the public sector, there was a decline in incidence of meniscal

---

**Figure 2** Trends of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRG) rate (2000–2011), use of knee MRI examinations (2002–2011) and private health insurances (2003–2011).
procedures performed between 2006 and 2008, while in the same time period, a large increase in incidence of procedures was observed in the private sector (figure 1). This could represent patients ‘shifting’ from the public to the private sector as a consequence of the treatment guarantee in combination with a nurses strike in the public sector from April to June 2008.

The proportion of the Danish population having private health insurance increased from 4% in 2003 to 24% in 2011 (figure 2). This likely facilitated access to surgery for those with private health insurance and may have contributed to the shifting of patients from the public to the private sector. Providing quick treatment for patients is often considered beneficial for early recovery and prognosis. However, in conditions where symptoms are known to fluctuate, such as in knee OA, quick access to surgery may actually not be advantageous since symptoms could remit without surgery. Indeed, for middle-aged and older patients, meniscal surgery is most often a resection of a degenerative meniscal tear. The knee symptoms of these patients are likely related to degenerative knee disease rather than meniscal tear, and it is recommended that the disease be treated according to clinical guidelines of knee OA with patient education, physiotherapy and weight loss if needed.

MRI examinations of the knee are increasingly used in diagnosing meniscal pathology and could influence surgical decision-making by detecting previously undiagnosed meniscus tears in a painful knee. Meniscal tears visualised on MRI provide a persuasive indication for surgery for the surgeon and for the patient with a painful knee. Indeed, the use of MRI of the knee has increased fivefold between 2002 and 2011 (figure 2). However, positive findings on MRI are common also in asymptomatic individuals and even more common in knees with OA. The increased use of MRI as a diagnostic tool could lead to treatment of patients whose symptoms are not related to a meniscal lesion, but to knee OA.

Even though financial incentives and the treatment guarantee seem plausible causes for the increased incidence of meniscal procedures, these causes are unlikely to account for the regional variations since regions share the same national reimbursement and healthcare policy. In the present study, we found large differences in incidence of meniscal procedures between regions, with the lowest incidence being 124/100 000 (116–131) in Region Zealand in 2011, compared to a three times greater incidence rate of 366 (357–375) in 2011 in the Capital Region. Large regional variations may suggest variations in surgeons’ opinions about clinical indications for surgery. This is known for indications of knee arthroplasty. However, a systematic review showed little evidence of different beliefs in clinical indications as a cause of regional variations in use of coronary angiography, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and carotid endarterectomy. If different clinical indications are not the cause of regional variation then high surgical rates in some regions may be related to traditions in surgical training or a strong belief in a specific procedure.

In this study, we found a low incidence of meniscal surgery in Region North but a report on shoulder surgery in Denmark found a high incidence of shoulder arthroscopy in the same region compared to the other regions. It seems there is little correlation in regional rates of procedures even within the same specialty. Similar discrepancies have been found in the USA.

Patient willingness to undergo surgery could be another cause of regional variation and has been reported to be higher in areas with higher incidence for knee arthroplasty. There may also be differences in the patient information given and in the willingness to incorporate the patients in the decision-making process of having surgery. These variations are also known to influence surgical rates.

Limitations

There are some limitations associated with our study. The DNPR does not differentiate between the regions patients reside in. It is possible that some patients received surgery in a Region other than their residential region. For instance, Region Zealand is closely related to the Capital Region and some patients may have chosen to be operated in a private hospital in the Capital Region. We assumed that registration in the DNPR has been complete for public hospitals since 2000. However, for private hospitals and clinics, reporting is not complete even though this has been mandatory since 2003. The Danish National Board of Health estimated that 5% of all private surgeries were missing in the DNPR. Thus, numbers of procedures in the private sector may be underestimated in the present study and some of the changes observed may be due to variable completeness of reporting.

Conclusions

A large increase in the use of meniscal procedures at public and at private hospitals in Denmark was observed between 2000 and 2011. The increase was particularly conspicuous in the private sector, as the proportion of procedures performed increased from 1% to 32% over the investigated 12-year period. Potential causes for the observed increase include financial reimbursement and healthcare policies along with an increase in number of private health insurances and MRI examinations of the knee. Substantial regional differences were present in the utilisation rate and trend over time of meniscal procedures. The exact reasons for this remain unknown. The increasing use and regional variation of meniscal procedures in the middle-aged and elderly is notable given the uncertainty for added patient-centred benefit from the intervention.
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