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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to examine longitudinal patterns of growth trajectories in children of women with eating disorders (ED): anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN).

Design: Prospective longitudinal birth cohort; Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).

Setting: South West England, UK.

Participants: The sample consisted of women and their children (n=10 190) from ALSPAC. Patterns of growth among children of women reporting a history of AN (n=137), BN (n=165), both AN and BN (n=68) and other psychiatric disorders (n=920) were compared with an unexposed group of children (n=8900).

Main outcome measures: Height and weight data, from birth to 10 years, were extracted from health visitor records, parental report from questionnaires and clinic attendances. Growth trajectories were analysed using mixed-effects models and constructed separately for male and female children.

Results: Between birth and 10 years, male children of women with AN were taller than children in the unexposed group. Male children of women with a history of AN and BN, and female children of women with AN, were shorter throughout childhood. Between the ages of 2 and 5, higher body mass index (BMI) was observed in male children in all maternal ED groups. Conversely, female children of women with AN had a BMI of −0.35 kg/m² lower at 2 years compared with the unexposed group, with catch-up by age 10.

Conclusions: Early childhood growth has been found to predict weight gain in adolescence and adulthood, and may be a risk factor for the development of an ED. These findings therefore have public health implications in relation to the prevention of weight-related and eating-related disorders later in life.

INTRODUCTION

Eating disorders (ED), such as anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN), are a common cause of psychiatric morbidity in women of childbearing age.1 AN is characterised by the restriction of energy intake, resulting in a significantly low body weight for age and height, an intense fear of gaining weight or becoming fat and a disturbance in body image which has an undue influence on self-evaluation. The main features of BN are recurrent binge eating combined with inappropriate compensatory behaviours, such as self-induced vomiting and misuse of laxatives.2

The risk for developmental problems in children of parents with psychiatric disorders has been well documented. Several aspects of child development, including physical, cognitive, emotional and behavioural, have all been shown to be affected.3 Until relatively recently, few studies have investigated associations between parental ED and their child’s development.

There is preliminary evidence to suggest that children of mothers with ED are at risk for adverse developmental outcomes during childhood4 and are also vulnerable to the developing disordered eating themselves.3 Several studies have now highlighted that the prevalence of feeding difficulties is elevated in children of mothers with AN and BN during infancy.6–12

Moreover, children of women with ED have been found to have different patterns of macronutrient intake and adhere to different dietary patterns, compared with children

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first large prospective longitudinal study of childhood growth in children of mothers with eating disorders.
- The study benefits from a large number of repeated measurements of childhood growth, between birth and 10 years, and information on confounding factors.
- Maternal eating disorder classification was made on the basis of maternal self-report and according to lifetime history, which may be subject to bias and may represent a range of eating disorder severities and duration of illness.
of women without ED, which may have implications for their physical development.\textsuperscript{13} Specifically, we have previously demonstrated that children of mothers with ED are more likely to follow a ‘health conscious or vegetarian’ dietary pattern and less likely have a ‘traditional’ dietary pattern, compared with children of mothers without ED.

ED during pregnancy are associated with an increased risk of intraterine growth restriction and low birthweight deliveries.\textsuperscript{14, 15} Some studies suggest that adequate postnatal catch-up growth may occur in favourable environments,\textsuperscript{12, 16} yet others indicate that poor growth may continue throughout infancy and childhood.\textsuperscript{17–19} While reduced growth has been highlighted in children of women with AN,\textsuperscript{17, 18, 20} there is some evidence that BN is associated with more rapid growth or obesity in the offspring.\textsuperscript{7, 18, 19}

There have been few studies of growth in this group, particularly among older children. In a small clinical sample of 13 mothers with ED,\textsuperscript{18} 32% reported that their offspring had abnormal weight or growth for their age. Children of women with AN in this study, in particular female children, were found to be lighter than children of women with BN, and there was evidence of wasting in 46% of the children investigated.

In the only follow-up study, Stein et al\textsuperscript{11} reported that children of women with ED had lower weight gain at 1 year compared with controls and children of women with postnatal depression.\textsuperscript{21} By 10 years of age, the body mass index (BMI) of the children born to mothers with ED was more comparable to the control group.\textsuperscript{22}

However, the majority of previous investigations have been limited by small sample size and include children at different ages, making the findings difficult to generalise. Longitudinal studies of growth in children of women with ED, which distinguish between maternal ED diagnostic classifications and child gender, are necessary to investigate whether problematic growth in children of women with ED is limited to early infancy or persists throughout childhood.

Objectives

The aims of this study were to investigate: (1) whether growth trajectories: height, ponderal index (PI) and BMI, from birth until 10 years, differ between children of women with and without lifetime ED (ie, self-report of ED referring to pre-pregnancy); (2) whether associations of maternal ED with offspring growth are specific to ED or more general psychopathology and (3) whether there are gender differences in the associations of maternal ED with child growth trajectories, since it has previously been demonstrated that adherence to specific dietary patterns is different for male and female children of mothers with ED.\textsuperscript{13}

METHODS

Participants and setting

This study is based on data collected from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).\textsuperscript{23} ALSPAC is a longitudinal birth cohort study, the full details of which have been described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{24, 25} Briefly, pregnant women living within Avon, with an expected delivery date between 1 April 1991 and 31 December 1992, were invited to participate. Of these women, 14,541 were recruited; 14,062 (96.7%) were live-births and 13,988 were alive at 1 year.

Measures

Exposure

At 12 weeks gestation, women were asked if they had a history of psychiatric problems, including depression, schizophrenia, alcohol abuse, AN, BN and other disorders. Children were classified on the basis of mothers’ self-reported maternal psychiatric disorder status. Children of mothers reporting a lifetime history of AN, BN or both (AN+BN) and mothers with other psychiatric disorders were compared with the remaining sample of women, who reported no history of a psychiatric disorder. The AN+BN category refers to women reporting a history of AN and BN during their lifetime. Mothers with a history of an ED and comorbid psychiatric disorder were included and analysed within ED categories.

Although self-reported psychiatric history may be subject to bias, a previous investigation of ED behaviours (eg, self-induced vomiting and laxative use) and cognitions (eg, shape and weight concern) in this sample of women provides support for the use of mothers’ self-reported diagnosis of lifetime ED status.\textsuperscript{26}

Measures of height and adiposity

Birth weight was extracted from the medical records, and birth length was measured by ALSPAC staff soon after birth (median 1 day, range 1–14 days). Height and weight data were extracted from health visitor records, parental report from questionnaires and research clinic attendances. From age 7, all children were invited to annual clinics, and standing height and weight were measured. Full details of the measurement protocols are presented in the online supplementary material. PI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared, and BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared.

Sociodemographic data: Maternal age, educational attainment, smoking and parity were reported in the antenatal mother’s questionnaires. Gestational age at birth was estimated from clinical records. Maternal height and weight were self-reported from the questionnaire administered at 12 weeks gestation and used to calculate prepregnancy maternal BMI.

Exclusion and inclusion criteria

Only singleton pregnancies were included; women were excluded from the current study if they did not respond to the 12-week questionnaire (n=2019) or if their child did not have at least one height/weight measure and full data on potential confounders.
Statistical analysis

The number of growth measurements and ages at which they were taken was very variable between children. A methodology was therefore required to create comparable growth variables for each child, regardless of when and how often they were measured; multilevel modelling is one way to do this. Individual growth trajectories were modelled using multilevel models (two levels: measurement occasion and individual) in the statistical package MLwiN, using fractional polynomials to identify the best-fitting curves. Full methodology is presented in the online supplementary material. Such models allow for the change in scale and variance of growth over time and use all available data under a missing at random assumption. They also allow for individual variation in growth trajectories, as random effects allow each individual to have different intercepts and slopes.

Given the differences in growth during childhood in males and females, and potential gender-specific effects of maternal ED, trajectories were modelled separately for boys and girls. There is a lack of consensus in relation to the measurement of adiposity in infants, but some researchers favour PI over BMI in infants. Furthermore, patterns of BMI change in early childhood are extremely complicated. Given this, PI (kg/m\(^3\)), rather than BMI, was used as the measure of adiposity from birth to 2 years. BMI was modelled from 2 to 10 years and height from birth to 10 years. Implausible height and weight measurements (>4 SD from the mean for gender-specific and age-specific category, approximately 0.1% of all measurements) were recoded as missing.

Health visitor measurements of height and weight have good accuracy, but measurements recorded by the children’s carers in questionnaires are likely to be less accurate. Therefore, a binary indicator of measurement source (research clinic or health records vs questionnaire) was included in all models.

Differences in growth trajectories by maternal ED groups (AN, BN and AN+BN) were estimated by fitting interaction terms in the multilevel models between the maternal ED group and the constant term (representing birth length, PI at birth or BMI at age 2) and each of the polynomial terms. This generates separate average growth trajectories for children in each of the maternal groups. Growth trajectories are plotted visually to assess differences by ED group, and multilevel models were used to predict average height, PI and BMI at different ages in each ED group. Z-tests were used to assess the statistical evidence for the differences in anthropometry, comparing each group with the unexposed group (offspring of women with no ED or other psychiatric disorder) at various ages.

Potential difference in childhood growth trajectories were first assessed in unadjusted models; as a second stage of analysis standard confounders (eg, gestational age, maternal age, maternal education and parity) previously found to affect childhood growth were included in all models. Finally, variables thought to lie on the causal pathway between the exposure of interest and the outcome (eg, maternal prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy), therefore potentially mediating the effect of maternal ED on child growth, were included in the model.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic data

Data included in the PI model were available on 10 190 children: 4962 girls and 5228 boys, 72.7% of the full ALSPAC cohort alive at 1 year. Of these children, 137 (1.3%) mothers reported a history of AN, 165 (1.6%) reported a history of BN, 68 (0.7%) reported a history of AN and BN and 920 (9%) mothers reported a history of another psychiatric disorder. The remaining 8900 (87.3%) mothers reported no psychiatric disorder history and formed the unexposed comparison group.

There was a median of seven growth measurements per child, with most children having measurements at birth and several measures across the full age range under study (etable 2).

Table 1 outlines the maternal characteristics of women with and without a history of ED. Child gender, maternal age, education and parity were comparable across groups. Pre-pregnancy BMI of women with AN (21.3 kg/m\(^2\)) and AN+BN (21.5 kg/m\(^2\)) was lower (p=0.001) compared to women in the unexposed group (22.9 kg/m\(^2\)).

Birth length and height differences across ED group

Unadjusted analyses of birth length and height differences are displayed in the online supplementary material (see etable 3 and efigure 1).

Male children

After adjustment for confounders, predicted heights for male children in the maternal AN (between birth and 5 years) and BN (between birth and 10 years) groups were higher than children in the unexposed group (see table 1). In the maternal BN group, this difference widened with age, and at 10 years they were on average 1.88 cm (p=0.01) taller (see table 2). In contrast, by 10 years of age, children of women with AN were 0.75 cm shorter (p=0.38).

In contrast, children of women reporting AN+BN and other psychiatric disorders were shorter throughout childhood (see table 2), with the largest difference observed in children of women with other psychiatric disorders. Entering potential mediators slightly attenuated the association (see table 3).

Female children

In adjusted analyses, female children of women with AN had a predicted birth length of 0.47 cm (p=0.07) shorter than children in the unexposed group. Furthermore, predicted height values in female children of women with AN (between birth and 10 years) and AN...
+BN (between 1 year and 10 years) were lower than children in the unexposed group (table 2).

Compared with children in the unexposed group, female offspring in the maternal AN+BN were slightly longer at birth (difference 0.23 cm, p=0.52), but at 2 and 10 years they were on average 0.93 cm (p=0.22) and 0.32 cm shorter (p=0.77).

In early childhood, the heights of female children of women with BN were similar to the unexposed group; by 5 years of age, they were slightly shorter (difference 0.18 cm, p=0.68); by 10 years, this difference widened to 0.64 cm (p=0.38) shorter than for children in the unexposed group (see table 2).

These patterns remained similar when potential mediators were entered into the model (see table 3).

PI and BMI differences across the ED group

Unadjusted analyses of PI and BMI are displayed in the supplementary web material (see etable 3 and figures 2 and 3).

PI and BMI in male children

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate some group differences in the PI and BMI trajectories of male children. Statistical evidence of these growth differences existed for some but not all of the growth periods studied; in many cases, the coefficients had large SDs, which is unsurprising given the small number of children in each maternal ED group.

In adjusted analyses, PI was lower in male children of women with AN, compared with the unexposed group. Between the ages of 2 and 10 years, children of women in all ED groups had higher BMI than children in the unexposed group. The largest differences were observed at 5 years in the maternal AN group, and children’s predicted BMI was 0.34 kg/m² (p=0.04) higher than children in the unexposed group. At 10 years, the BMI of male children of women with AN and AN+BN was more compared to children in the unexposed group (see table 2). These differences persisted after potential mediators were included in the model (see table 3). Male children of mothers with other psychiatric disorders tended to have higher PI at 2 years, but BMI trajectories later in childhood were comparable to children in the unexposed group.

Female children

As shown in figures 3, the PI of female children of women with BN was higher than the unexposed group at birth (+0.48 kg/m³, p=0.04); by 12 months, this difference had reduced (+0.06 kg/m³, p=0.81). The PI at birth of female children of women with AN and AN+BN was more comparable to children in the unexposed group.

Female children of women with BN and AN+BN continued to have a higher BMI until 5 years (figure 4), which by 10 years was more comparable to children in the unexposed group. By comparison, female children of women with AN had a lower BMI in early childhood, which was similar to children of mothers without ED later in childhood (see figure 4). Female children of women reporting other psychiatric disorders had a similar BMI trajectory to children in the AN group, see figure 4; however, at 10 years of age, their predicted BMI was on average 0.56 kg/m² (p=0.002) higher than the unexposed group.

DISCUSSION

This study highlights differential patterns of growth in children of women with ED, compared to those with no ED history. Some noteworthy gender differences were apparent.
Table 2  Mean predicted anthropometry across categories of maternal eating disorder, with adjustment for standard confounders (gestational age, maternal age, maternal education, parity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean predicted</td>
<td>Mean difference (SD) from unexposed for offspring of women with:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean predicted</td>
<td>Mean difference (SD) from unexposed for offspring of women with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anthropometry (SD) of offspring of unexposed women</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa and Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>Other psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa and Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>Other psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>N=4588</td>
<td>N=74</td>
<td>N=85</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>N=501</td>
<td>N=4416</td>
<td>N=65</td>
<td>N=82</td>
<td>N=30</td>
<td>N=432</td>
<td>N=4416</td>
<td>N=65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>50.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.14 (2.0)</td>
<td>+0.17 (2.08)</td>
<td>−0.10 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.20 (2.2)**</td>
<td>49.7 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.47 (1.9)*</td>
<td>−0.08 (2.1)</td>
<td>+0.23 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.16 (2.2)*</td>
<td>+0.23 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.16 (2.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>76.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.32 (2.4)</td>
<td>+0.05 (2.4)</td>
<td>−0.09 (2.4)</td>
<td>−0.28 (2.5)**</td>
<td>74.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.27 (2.4)</td>
<td>+0.05 (2.4)</td>
<td>−0.73 (2.4)*</td>
<td>−0.18 (2.6)*</td>
<td>−0.73 (2.4)*</td>
<td>−0.18 (2.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>87.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.40 (3.0)</td>
<td>+0.01 (3.1)</td>
<td>−0.10 (3.0)</td>
<td>−0.32 (3.2)**</td>
<td>86.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.24 (3.0)**</td>
<td>+0.02 (3.0)</td>
<td>−0.93 (3.0)*</td>
<td>−0.18 (3.2)</td>
<td>−0.93 (3.0)*</td>
<td>−0.18 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>110.2 (6.8)</td>
<td>+0.39 (4.5)</td>
<td>+0.15 (4.5)</td>
<td>−0.17 (4.4)</td>
<td>−0.42 (4.7)**</td>
<td>109.6 (6.0)</td>
<td>−0.31 (4.3)</td>
<td>−0.18 (4.3)</td>
<td>−0.94 (4.2)</td>
<td>−0.17 (4.8)</td>
<td>−0.94 (4.2)</td>
<td>−0.17 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>140.7 (8.8)</td>
<td>−0.75 (7.3)</td>
<td>+1.88 (7.5)**</td>
<td>−0.81 (7.1)</td>
<td>−0.64 (7.9)*</td>
<td>138.9 (8.6)</td>
<td>−0.57 (7.0)</td>
<td>−0.65 (6.9)</td>
<td>−0.32 (6.7)</td>
<td>−0.12 (7.5)</td>
<td>−0.32 (6.7)</td>
<td>−0.12 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderal index (kg/m³)</td>
<td>N=4537</td>
<td>N=73</td>
<td>N=84</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>N=496</td>
<td>N=4363</td>
<td>N=64</td>
<td>N=81</td>
<td>N=30</td>
<td>N=424</td>
<td>N=4363</td>
<td>N=64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>26.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>−0.43 (2.5)</td>
<td>−0.28 (2.6)</td>
<td>+0.07 (2.5)</td>
<td>+0.13 (2.7)</td>
<td>26.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.07 (1.9)</td>
<td>+0.48 (2.2)**</td>
<td>−0.16 (2.2)</td>
<td>−0.09 (2.3)</td>
<td>−0.07 (1.9)</td>
<td>+0.48 (2.2)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>23.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>−0.12 (2.1)</td>
<td>+0.41 (2.2)*</td>
<td>+0.27 (2.1)</td>
<td>+0.22 (2.3)**</td>
<td>23.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>+0.39 (2.4)*</td>
<td>+0.06 (2.5)</td>
<td>+0.39 (2.7)</td>
<td>−0.22 (2.7)*</td>
<td>+0.39 (2.4)*</td>
<td>+0.06 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>N=4271</td>
<td>N=68</td>
<td>N=78</td>
<td>N=35</td>
<td>N=452</td>
<td>N=4117</td>
<td>N=61</td>
<td>N=78</td>
<td>N=29</td>
<td>N=398</td>
<td>N=4117</td>
<td>N=61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>16.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>+0.10 (2.0)</td>
<td>+0.26 (1.9)</td>
<td>+0.32 (1.7)</td>
<td>+0.15 (2.0)*</td>
<td>16.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>−0.35 (1.9)*</td>
<td>+0.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>+0.25 (1.8)</td>
<td>−0.07 (2.1)</td>
<td>−0.35 (1.9)*</td>
<td>+0.3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>15.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>+0.34 (1.4)**</td>
<td>+0.12 (1.3)</td>
<td>+0.49 (1.4)**</td>
<td>−0.02 (1.5)</td>
<td>16.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>−0.01 (1.5)</td>
<td>+0.26 (1.5)*</td>
<td>+0.32 (1.5)</td>
<td>+0.16 (2.1)**</td>
<td>−0.01 (1.5)</td>
<td>+0.26 (1.5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>17.7 (4.6)</td>
<td>+0.09 (3.3)</td>
<td>+0.12 (3.2)</td>
<td>+0.08 (3.2)</td>
<td>+0.09 (3.6)</td>
<td>18.1 (5.1)</td>
<td>+0.03 (3.5)</td>
<td>−0.29 (3.4)</td>
<td>−0.52 (3.3)</td>
<td>+0.56 (3.7)**</td>
<td>+0.03 (3.5)</td>
<td>−0.29 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero. P values are from Z-tests comparing each group to the offspring of women with no eating or other psychiatric disorder.

*p ≤ 0.1.

**p<0.05.

***p<0.01

BMI, body mass index.
### Table 3 Predicted anthropometry across categories of maternal eating disorder, with adjustment for standard confounders (gestational age, maternal age, maternal education, parity) and potential mediators (maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Mean predicted anthropometry (SD) of offspring of unexposed women</th>
<th>Mean difference (SD) from unexposed for offspring of women with:</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Mean predicted anthropometry (SD) of offspring of unexposed women</th>
<th>Mean difference (SD) from unexposed for offspring of women with:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean predicted anthropometry (SD) of unexposed women</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>Other psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean predicted anthropometry (SD) of unexposed women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa and Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>50.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.21 (2.0)</td>
<td>+0.17 (2.1)</td>
<td>49.8 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.41 (1.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>76.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.40 (2.3)*</td>
<td>+0.05 (2.4)</td>
<td>74.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>87.4 (5.4)</td>
<td>+0.47 (3.0)*</td>
<td>+0.01 (3.1)</td>
<td>85.7 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.18 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>110.3 (6.8)</td>
<td>+0.47 (4.5)</td>
<td>+0.16 (4.5)</td>
<td>109.7 (6.0)</td>
<td>−0.25 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>140.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>−0.64 (7.3)</td>
<td>+1.89 (7.5)**</td>
<td>138.9 (6.6)</td>
<td>−0.52 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderal index (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>N=4537</td>
<td>N=73</td>
<td>N=84</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>N=496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>26.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>−0.37 (2.49)</td>
<td>−0.29 (2.6)</td>
<td>26.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.12 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>23.4 (4.72)</td>
<td>−0.07 (2.14)</td>
<td>+0.39 (2.2)*</td>
<td>23.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>−0.34 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>N=4271</td>
<td>N=68</td>
<td>N=78</td>
<td>N=35</td>
<td>N=452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>16.8 (3.92)</td>
<td>+0.19 (2.0)</td>
<td>+0.27 (2.0)</td>
<td>16.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>−0.26 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>15.9 (3.92)</td>
<td>+0.43 (1.4)**</td>
<td>+0.11 (1.4)</td>
<td>16.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>+0.08 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>17.7 (4.57)</td>
<td>+0.17 (3.2)</td>
<td>+0.11 (3.2)</td>
<td>18.1 (5.1)</td>
<td>−0.09 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for offspring of mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero, mean prepregnancy BMI (22.94 kg/m$^2$), non-smoker during pregnancy. P values are from Z-tests comparing each group to the offspring of women with no eating or other psychiatric disorder.

*p ≤ 0.1.

**p<0.05.

***p<0.01.

BMI, body mass index.
Adipose

Previous research has suggested that children of women with BN may be particularly vulnerable to being overweight or obese; in the present investigation, higher adiposity was not specific to maternal BN but was present in male children in all maternal ED groups, and most pronounced at the age of 5. These growth differentials are possibly specific to maternal eating pathology, rather than more general psychopathology, since male children of women with other psychiatric disorders had comparable BMI trajectories to children of mothers without ED. Rapid growth in childhood may increase the risk of obesity and health problems later in life; it will therefore be important to follow-up the health and development of these children during adolescence.

The BMI trajectories of female children of women with ED fluctuated throughout childhood, and female children of women with BN and AN+BN tended to have a higher BMI in early childhood but lower at 10 years, whereas the opposite pattern was found in female children of women with AN, who had higher BMI in early childhood but more comparable BMI by the age of

Figure 1  Average fractional polynomial curve of ponderal index trajectories for boys by maternal eating disorder, birth to 2 years, adjusted for confounders. Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for offspring of mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero.

Figure 3  Average fractional polynomial curve of ponderal index trajectories for girls by maternal eating disorder, birth to 2 years, adjusted for confounders. Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for offspring of mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero.

Figure 2  Average fractional polynomial curve of BMI trajectories for boys by maternal eating disorder, 2–10 years, adjusted for confounders. Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for offspring of mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero. BMI, body mass index.

Figure 4  Average fractional polynomial curve of BMI trajectories for girls by maternal eating disorder, 2–10 years, adjusted for confounders. Values are predicted from the multilevel models, and represent the predicted anthropometry for offspring of mean gestational age (39.4 weeks) and with a mother with the following characteristics: mean age (28.2 years), less than O-level education, parity of zero. BMI, body mass index.
10 years. It was not an aim of this study to investigate the relationship between children’s growth and food intake; however, it is possible that the observed differences in growth among children of mothers with AN may be related to changes in dietary patterns across childhood. We have previously reported that female children of women with AN show greater adherence to a ‘health conscious/vegetarian’ dietary pattern, which diminished across childhood. Further research is necessary to investigate this hypothesised association between childhood growth and dietary patterns in this particular group of children.

**Height**

In this study, male children of women with BN were found to be taller with a higher BMI from 2 years onwards, compared with children of women without ED. In contrast, male children of women with AN were taller in early childhood but shorter by the age of 10 years. Furthermore, male children of women with a history of AN and BN were shorter throughout childhood. Similarly, female children of women with ED, particularly lifetime AN, tended to be shorter than children of mothers without ED. Taken together, these findings suggest that children of mothers with AN may be at particular risk for stunted growth during childhood. These findings are in line with previous case studies and studies of growth among younger children of women with ED, which have indicated reduced growth among children of women with AN.17 18 20

In the ED groups, height differentials were found to widen over childhood while remaining stable in children of mothers with other psychiatric disorders. This study raises interesting questions regarding the underlying mechanisms for differential patterns of growth in children of mothers with ED, which warrant further investigation. The findings may suggest that height differences in children of women with other psychiatric disorders are possibly driven by intrauterine processes, whereas for the ED group differences in growth are more likely to be due to postnatal factors, such as feeding and diet. However, the factors contributing to the differential patterns of growth observed in this study are likely to be multifactorial and include biological, psychological and social pathways, which were beyond the scope of the present investigation. Further studies are required to determine the underlying mechanisms for the associations found in this study.

**Gender differences**

Previous investigations suggest that mothers with ED show greater concern for their daughter’s weight and shape than sons, who may have a higher risk of being underweight. Our findings support the idea of gender-specific growth trajectories of children of women with ED; however, since our growth models were constructed separately for males and females, it was not possible to formally test gender interactions. Differences should therefore be treated with caution and require replication in other studies.

**Strengths and limitations**

The main strengths of this study are its uniqueness in examining growth trajectories longitudinally, in a large sample with a large number of repeated measurements and information on potential confounding factors. Our modelling approach allowed us to examine growth trajectories across childhood, taking into account the different timings and numbers of measurements between children, and enabled us to explore the associations of maternal ED with childhood growth at a range of ages within the same children.

However, maternal ED classification was made on the basis of maternal self-report and according to lifetime history, which may be subject to bias and may represent a wide range of ED severities and ages/duration of illness. This measure also does not allow for an investigation of the associations of current ED symptoms and childhood growth. Furthermore, the number of women within each of the ED groups was relatively small, and it is therefore possible that negative findings are a result of small sample size.

PI and BMI are the most commonly used methods of evaluating adiposity in children, particularly in large prospective cohort studies. Nevertheless, BMI remains associated with height in children, with taller children on average having a higher BMI. We think this is unlikely to have biased our results, which generally show shorter height and greater BMI in the children of women with ED. However, it will be interesting if future studies investigate direct measures of fat mass in this sample using dual emission X-ray absorptiometry.

**CONCLUSION**

The present investigation highlights several new findings regarding the growth of children of mothers with ED. Specifically, these findings indicate that female children of women with AN have an increased risk for reduced growth in early childhood, while the risk of more rapid growth may be apparent in male children of mothers with ED. This is the first longitudinal study of growth trajectories in this group, and our findings require replication and further examination of the pathways underlying differences in childhood growth trajectories. Nevertheless, health professionals should be aware of the potential associations between maternal ED and growth in their children, in order to monitor their health and development. At a public health level, given the rise in obesity, it will be important to explore the underlying mechanisms of growth differences in children of women with ED.
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