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ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the interrelations among, and risk marker associations for, superficial and deep venous events—superficial venous thrombosis (SVT), deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE).

Design: Cross-sectional analysis.

Setting: San Diego, California, USA.

Participants: 2404 men and women aged 40–79 years from four ethnic groups: non-Hispanic White, Hispanic, African-American and Asian. The study sample was drawn from current and former staff and employees of the University of California, San Diego and their spouses/significant others.

Outcome measures: Superficial and deep venous events, specifically SVT, DVT, PE and combined deep venous events (DVE) comprising DVT and PE.

Results: Significant correlates on multivariable analysis were, for SVT: female sex, ethnicity (African-American=protective), lower educational attainment, immobility and family history of varicose veins. For DVT and DVE, significant correlates included: heavy smoking, immobility and family history of DVEs (borderline for DVE). For PE, significant predictors included immobility and, in contrast to DVT, blood pressure (BP, systolic or diastolic). In women, oestrogen use duration for hormone replacement therapy, in all and among oestrogen users, predicted PE and DVE, respectively.

Conclusions: These findings fortify evidence for known risk correlates/predictors for venous disease, such as family history, hormone use and immobility. New risk associations are shown. Striking among these is an association of PE, but not DVT, to elevated BP: we conjecture PE may serve as cause rather than consequence. Future studies should evaluate the temporal direction of this association. Oxidative stress and cell energy compromise are proposed to explain and predict many risk factors, operating through cell-death mediated triggering of coagulation activation.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic venous disease causes significant morbidity in diverse populations around the world1-5 and costs are material, with estimates suggesting that up to several per cent of total healthcare expenditures are linked to venous disorders.6-9 Considerable time and resources are devoted to venous conditions in clinical practice. The San Diego Population Study (SDPS) has sought to better define venous disease prevalence and epidemiology10 11 by clearly delineating and separating risk correlates for different elements of venous disease, irrespective of the directionality of the association. The present report pertains to a history of venous thrombotic events, including superficial venous thrombosis (SVT), deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). It assesses the relation of age, sex and other potential risk factors to a history of these events.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of 2404 men and women aged 40–79 years from four ethnic groups (non-Hispanic White, Hispanic, African-American and Asian), comprising current and former staff/employees of the University of California, San Diego and their spouses/significant others.
others, were targeted for participation in the SDPS. Inclusion of spouses/significant others modestly extended the age range of participants (29–91 years). Participants represented a spectrum of socioeconomic status, including the unemployed and retired as well as working persons. A description of the SDPS population, for which collected data from 1994 to 1998, is available elsewhere.10 The study’s primary aims related to prevalence, and the study was powered such that 95% confidence limits for prevalence for each sex were less than ±3.3%; and for subgroups of, for example, n=200, less than ±7%. The study was approved by the UCSD Human Research Protections Program, and all participants gave written informed consent.

Outcomes

SVT, DVT and PE were ascertained by self-report. Questions elicited a history of “a blood clot in a leg vein” and “phlebitis or inflamed vein in your leg,” stratified by whether the problem was in a superficial or deep vein and queried separately for each leg; “pulmonary embolism or blood clot in lung” and “heparin or coumadin/warfarin therapy for a problem with your veins”. Because PEs are pathophysiologically linked to DVTs, DVT and PE were analysed separately and conjointly as deep venous events (DVEs: DVT and/or PE).

Independent variables

Variables evaluated for their relation to SVT, DVT, PE and DVE included self-reported age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, self-reported activity level, education level (ranked from 1=grade school or less to 9=doctoral degree), occupation (categorical), hormone use in women including oral contraceptive use (and if so, number of years); and postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy (HRT) use (and if so, number of years); and heavy smoker status; and history of venous disease. For DVT, significant others modestly extended the age range of participants (29–91 years). Participants represented a spectrum of socioeconomic status, including the unemployed and retired as well as working persons. A description of the SDPS population, for which collected data from 1994 to 1998, is available elsewhere.10 The study’s primary aims related to prevalence, and the study was powered such that 95% confidence limits for prevalence for each sex were less than ±3.3%; and for subgroups of, for example, n=200, less than ±7%. The study was approved by the UCSD Human Research Protections Program, and all participants gave written informed consent.

Analyses

Participant characteristics were tabulated as a function of venous event status—no event, SVT, DVT or PE. The unadjusted relationship of demographic and potential risk variables to each event type was ascertained, using the t test of difference in mean values for continuous variables and $\chi^2$ testing for categorical variables. Relationships between SVT, DVT and PE were also evaluated.

For multivariable analyses, following the examination of correlations among predictor variables to assist in assessing issues of collinearity, logistic regression was performed. Age and sex-adjusted regressions were followed by multivariable regressions including all variables for which a relationship was supported in bivariable analysis (full model). Where several measures tapping the same variable were appraised, for example, pack years of smoking versus heavy smoking, the variable that bore the stronger apparent relationship to the outcome was employed in multivariable analyses. A ‘final’ regression model was then determined for each venous event outcome, adjusted for potential predictor variables identified from bivariable and age–sex adjusted or fully adjusted analyses. This assessed the multivariable relationship of candidate risk factors to events, controlling for potential confounders. Variables that approached significance on age–sex adjusted and/or fully adjusted analysis (p<0.2) were tested for inclusion in the final model. Those retaining potential predictive value (p<0.2) were retained in the final model. All logistic regression analyses were performed with and without stratification by sex; results of stratified analyses are presented only where effect modification by sex was present.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted adding back non-significant variables, but typically the final model variables were robustly supported (with exceptions specified). Significance was designated as two-sided p<0.05. Analyses employed Stata V.8.0 (College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

Population characteristics

Sixty-six per cent of participants were women (1580 women vs 824 men). Female participants were minimally but significantly younger on average than men (58.9 vs 60.1 years; p=0.012). Average values of predictor variables in this population, stratified by venous event status, are shown in tables 1 and 2. Variables that differed significantly in those with SVT versus no events (on unadjusted analysis) were male sex, African-American ethnicity (protective), lower education level, drinkers who did not specify maximum alcohol consumption and family history of venous disease. For DVT, significant factors were age, Caucasian ethnicity (with African-American...
ethnicity somewhat protective), family history of venous disease, heavy smoking and high maximum alcohol consumption (≥7 drinks/day). For PE, significant factors were heavy smoking, Caucasian ethnicity, SBP, DBP; and among women, oestrogen use duration for HRT among all women.

Table 1  Summary of demographic and predictor variables by venous event status (continuous variables)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No event N=2262 Mean (SD)</th>
<th>SVT N=63 Mean (SD)</th>
<th>DVT N=74 Mean (SD)</th>
<th>PE N=21 Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>59.1 (11.4)</td>
<td>60.5 (10.7)</td>
<td>61.9 (11.7)*</td>
<td>61.5 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education†</td>
<td>5.78 (1.73)</td>
<td>5.11 (1.89)***</td>
<td>5.73 (1.67)</td>
<td>5.29 (1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest number of drinks per day</td>
<td>2.70 (3.13)</td>
<td>2.24 (2.51)</td>
<td>3.32 (3.76)</td>
<td>3.06 (2.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes/day averaged over years totalled†</td>
<td>6.99 (11.5)</td>
<td>7.73 (14.1)</td>
<td>12.0 (16.1)***</td>
<td>13.2 (14.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years§</td>
<td>7.22 (14.9)</td>
<td>7.38 (18.7)</td>
<td>13.9 (22.3)***</td>
<td>16.5 (20.9)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>76.8 (11.3)</td>
<td>76.6 (10.8)</td>
<td>78.2 (9.67)</td>
<td>82.5 (7.69)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>210 (41.3)</td>
<td>207 (38.4)</td>
<td>211 (43.2)</td>
<td>209.2 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>54.5 (17.0)</td>
<td>51.4 (11.3)</td>
<td>50.9 (15.6)</td>
<td>49.9 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity level¶</td>
<td>3.71 (1.16)</td>
<td>3.69 (1.19)</td>
<td>3.53 (1.22)</td>
<td>3.40 (1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of times in a week engaging in ≥20 min of vigorous activity</td>
<td>3.60 (2.51)</td>
<td>3.78 (2.66)</td>
<td>3.52 (2.53)</td>
<td>3.40 (2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest period of immobility (days)</td>
<td>11.0 (110)</td>
<td>5.38 (11.9)</td>
<td>17.8 (51.8)</td>
<td>31.4 (84.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrogen use duration for HRT among all females††</td>
<td>0.743 (3.73)</td>
<td>0.882 (3.04)</td>
<td>0.313 (1.60)</td>
<td>5.92 (13.9)***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
†Ordinal rather than continuous: ranked from 1=grade school or less to 9=doctoral degree.
‡This correlated well with pack years, which showed a similar relationship to venous events; but variable transformations more suitably satisfied regression constraints for this variable, and the impact of this variable was more potent than that of pack years for deep events, the category of events for which it was predictive.
§Pack years was calculated by multiplying years smoked by the average number of cigarettes per day divided by 20 (the average number of cigarettes in one pack).
¶Rated relative to others your age, 1–5 with 5 being most active.
††Only women were included in this portion of the analysis.

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HRT, postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy; PE, pulmonary embolism; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SVT, superficial venous thrombosis.

Table 2  Summary of demographic and predictor variables by venous event status (categorical variable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No event (%)</th>
<th>SVT (%)</th>
<th>DVT (%)</th>
<th>PE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>19.0**</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension†</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinker (any alcohol)</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum alcohol consumption ≥7 drinks per day</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>14.3*</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinkers who did not specify maximum level of alcohol consumption</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>22.6***</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smoker (≥40 cigarettes per day during time smoked)</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>14.9***</td>
<td>14.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>78.4***</td>
<td>81.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4.76*</td>
<td>8.11*</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical, administrative or managerial</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and skilled</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiskilled</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labourer</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of venous diseases (venous condition in any first-degree relative)</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>76.2**</td>
<td>71.6*</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptive use (ever; women)</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
†Hypertension: SBP ≥140 or DBP ≥90.
‡DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; SVT, superficial venous thrombosis.

Relationships among events

The fraction of the population with superficial or deep venous events or PE is shown in table 3. A total of 142 had at least one type of thrombotic event (SVT, DVT or PE), including 11 in whom both lower extremity (DVT) and pulmonary thrombotic events were reported. A total of 132 people had at least one SVT or DVT. Of these, 29 people reported bilateral events of one or both types, two citing both (data not shown in table).

More than half of those with a PE were aware of having had a DVT (52.4%). This contrasts with only 2.65% of those without a PE being aware of a prior DVT, 14.9% of those with a DVT who had experienced a PE while only 0.43% of those with no reported DVT reported a PE. Thus, the expected relationship of DVT to PE (ie, increased likelihood of PE in the presence vs absence of reported DVT and vice versa) was upheld ($\chi^2 = 172.1$, $p < 0.001$).

A significant relationship ($\chi^2$ analysis) was also seen between experiencing an SVT and a DVT ($p<0.001$), as well as between experiencing an SVT and a PE ($p=0.010$).

### Multivariable analyses by event type

**SVT (table 4):** Female sex, lower educational attainment, failure to specify level of maximum alcohol consumption, history of immobility and family history of first-degree relatives with varicose veins showed significant (or for immobility, borderline significant, $p<0.1$) positive relations, while African-American ethnicity appeared protective in the limited (age–sex) adjustment models. Each was significant in the final model.

**DVT (table 5):** For DVT, significance on multivariable regression was seen for heavy smoker status; history of immobility; and family history of first-degree relatives with DVE. In addition to these variables, Caucasian ethnicity, age and family history of superficial venous events appeared significant in the limited (age–sex) adjustment model, but lost significance on multivariable analysis.

**PE (table 5):** For PE, significance on multivariable analysis was seen for: BP; history of immobility; and for women, duration of oestrogen use for HRT. Caucasian ethnicity and heavy smoking, with odds ratios (ORs) exceeding 2.5, were retained in the final model but did

### Table 3 Venous events in studied population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venous condition</th>
<th>All participants: N (%)</th>
<th>SVT: N (%)</th>
<th>DVT: N (%)</th>
<th>PE: N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVT</td>
<td>63 (2.68)</td>
<td>63 (100)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>74 (3.09)</td>
<td>5 (8.33)</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td>11 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>21 (0.87)</td>
<td>2 (3.17)</td>
<td>11 (14.9)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any deep event (DVT or PE)</td>
<td>84 (3.50)</td>
<td>5 (8.33)</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any event (SVT or DVT or PE)</td>
<td>142 (5.91)</td>
<td>63 (100)</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Items that are true by definition of terms (and thus true in 100%) are italicised.

Recall: some variables had missing data; and some participants had multiple types of events.

DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; SVT, superficial venous thrombosis.

### Table 4 Multivariate analyses for SVT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age–sex adjusted* OR (p value)</th>
<th>Fully adjusted OR (p value)</th>
<th>Final model OR (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.01 (0.306)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.224)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.437 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.275 (0.042)</td>
<td>0.470 (0.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American ethnicity</td>
<td>0.290 (0.038)</td>
<td>0.378 (0.204)</td>
<td>0.305 (0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.821 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.805 (0.079)</td>
<td>0.801 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity level</td>
<td>0.971 (0.796)</td>
<td>0.931 (0.868)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smoking (≥40 cigarettes per day during time smoked)</td>
<td>0.913 (0.901)</td>
<td>0.977 (0.983)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (per 20 mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.894)</td>
<td>2.14 (0.227)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (per 20 mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.842)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinkers who did not specify their maximum alcohol consumption per day</td>
<td>4.37 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>4.65 (0.011)</td>
<td>3.33 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of immobility (&gt;1 day)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.091)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.015)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of first-degree relatives with superficial venous events</td>
<td>1.54 (0.301)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.520)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of first-degree relatives with varicose veins</td>
<td>2.30 (0.002)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.706)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of first-degree relatives with DVE</td>
<td>1.74 (0.177)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.637)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age variable was adjusted for sex only; and sex variable was adjusted for age only.
†Instead of including both SBP and DBP, we used DBP and the residual of regression of SBP on DBP, so as not to double-count the shared (collinear) contribution by the SBP and DBP values.

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DVE, deep venous event; OR, odds ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SVT, superficial venous thrombosis.
Table 5: Multivariate analyses for DVT, PE and combined deep venous events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DVT OR (p value)</th>
<th>PE OR (p value)</th>
<th>DVE (DVT and/or PE) OR (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age–sex adjusted*</td>
<td>Fully adjusted</td>
<td>Final model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.02 (0.047)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.162)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.01 (0.955)</td>
<td>0.479 (0.312)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian ethnicity</td>
<td>2.35 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.754 (0.674)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity level</td>
<td>0.827 (0.059)</td>
<td>0.819 (0.418)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smoking (≥40 cigarettes per day during time smoked)</td>
<td>4.19 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>10.1 (0.007)</td>
<td>16.6 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (per 20 mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.227)</td>
<td>0.442 (0.445)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (per 20 mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.996 (0.975)</td>
<td>–†</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinkers who did not specify their maximum alcohol consumption per day</td>
<td>1.74 (0.170)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.739)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of immobility (&gt;1 day)</td>
<td>2.17 (0.001)</td>
<td>5.32 (0.008)</td>
<td>4.30 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of first-degree relatives with superficial venous events</td>
<td>3.32 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.719 (0.643)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of first-degree relatives with DVE</td>
<td>4.41 (0.003)</td>
<td>3.51 (0.066)</td>
<td>3.28 (0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrogen use duration for HRT¶ (per 10 years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrogen use duration among oestrogen users for HRT (per 10 years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caucasian ethnicity shows significant relationship to DVT if not adjusted for family history of DVE (OR=2.15, p=0.008). If both Caucasian ethnicity and family history of DVE are included, Caucasian ethnicity loses significance (OR 1.29, p=0.659) but family history of deep events retains significance (OR 3.17, p=0.026).

Age (OR=1.02, p=0.035) and Caucasian ethnicity (OR=1.97, p=0.015) show a significant relationship to combined deep events in the final model if family history of venous events is excluded. For combined DVT and/or PE, oestrogen use for HRT was tested as a predictor in women but was not significant. For oestrogen use duration (per 10 years), ORs (p values) for age-adjusted and final models were 0.532 (0.534) and 0.523 (0.611).

*Age variable was adjusted for sex only; and sex variable was adjusted for age only.
†Although some variables lose significance in the fully adjusted model due to collinearity, variables which were of significance or borderline significance in unadjusted or age/sex adjusted models were added back to the final model. When Caucasian ethnicity and heavy smoking were included without another one in the final model, they resumed significance or borderline significance.
‡Instead of including both SBP and DBP, we used DBP and the residual of regression of SBP on DBP, so as not to double-count the shared (collinear) contribution by the SBP and DBP values.
§In the final model, either one of the blood pressure measures—SBP or DBP—could be included and remained statistically significant (only one of the two blood pressure variables was included because of collinearity).
¶Female-specific analysis. Excludes family history of first deep events (see comment for PE). The final female-specific model included age and history immobilisation, the sole other variables with p < 0.2 (neither <0.1).

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DVE, deep venous event; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; HRT, postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy; OR, odds ratio; PE, pulmonary embolism; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

not meet criteria for significance, reflecting the modest number of PEs (n=21). We underscore that SBP and/or DBP, though not related to DVT or SVT in any adjustment scenario, were significantly related to PE on unadjusted and adjusted analyses.

DVE (DVT and/or PE; table 5): As for DVT, age and Caucasian ethnicity, though significant in the limited adjustment model, lost significance with further adjustment. Significance was seen for heavy smoker status and history of immobility. Family history of DVE, with an OR of 2.49, was also retained in the final model. This variable approached but did not meet criteria for significance (p=0.057).

DISCUSSION
This study characterises, in a population sample, the relationships between superficial and deep venous events, and between DVTs and PEs; it also characterises the risk correlates for SVT, DVT, PE and DVE. Some anticipated relationships were confirmed; and some intriguing differences in the statistical correlates of SVT versus DVT and for DVT versus PE were revealed.

The expected significant relationship between DVT and PE was upheld.12 There was also a significant relationship between risk of SVT and risk of DVT, as well as of PE, as others have recently reported.13–16

Regarding sex differences, women were confirmed to have strongly and significantly higher rates of SVTs than men. Oestrogen use duration for HRT showed a link to DVE in women, consistent with existing findings.13 17–19

History of heavy smoking was not associated with SVT, but was a strong risk factor for DVT and DVE. Smoking has been inconsistently reported as a risk factor for venous thrombosis.20 though it has been recognised to amplify risk in the setting of oral contraceptive use,21 perhaps contributing to its association to venous thromboembolism in studies of women of reproductive age.22

Moreover, some studies do report an association of smoking to venous thromboembolism extending to older samples and men as well as women.23 24

Caucasian ethnicity bore an apparent relationship to DVT, PE and DVE that was, however, extinguished with multivariable adjustment. The demographics of San Diego are such that ethnic minorities are more strongly represented in younger ages. Consistent with this, Caucasians were on average older than other study participants. Some other studies have also reported a relation of ethnicity to DVT to be extinguished with adjustment for other factors.25 Family history showed an association to DVT that is also consistent with existing documentation of genetic variation in venous thrombosis risk.17 26–30

Immobility, a known risk factor for venous events,17 19 31–36 was affirmed here to be a strong predictor for DVT, PE and DVE. It was also a predictor, though less potent, for superficial events. Many factors elsewhere reported to be associated with thrombosis entail periods of immobility: these range from nursing home confinement,12 and hospitalisation,13 25–37 to peri-surgical, neurological and injury states,13 25 37 38 factors also extend to prolonged sitting in the work environment.31–33 35

High maximum alcohol consumption was linked to DVT. High maximum alcohol use (such as binge drinking) is the pattern most linked to blackouts,38–41 and thus immobilisation. This alcohol finding coheres with a recent report of an association of venous thromboembolism to hard liquor consumption and binge drinking (contrasted with a protective association for wine consumption).42

We suggest, in addition, that many (if not most) risk factors for venous thromboembolism, those identified here and elsewhere, share in common an association to elevated risk of cell death, through oxidative stress or adverse cell energy supply–demand balance. Cell death is a consideration with immobilisation (leading to focal ischaemia), heavy smoking (oxidative stress43–45 triggers apoptosis46), heavy alcohol (promoting oxidative stress47–50 and mitochondrial toxicity51–55 – as well as ischaemia from immobilisation). Cancer, trauma, surgery and the puerperium are associated with tissue injury and cell death. Pregnancy is associated with diversion of blood and energy substrates to the fetus, as well as potential for ischaemic compression, which can promote cell death. We observe that cell death triggers coagulation activation, via exposure at the cell surface of phosphatidylserine,56 and hypothesise that ultimately numerous additional factors, sharing in common elevated risk of cell death (with oxidative stress and/or cell energy supply–demand frequently involved), or correlation to these, will be identified in the future as risk factors for venous thromboembolism. Indeed, the observation that initial DVT accompanied by PE is a risk factor for recurrence of DVT57 also fits this theme: PE, by affecting oxygen transfer, has prospects to tip the energy adequacy balance, particularly in settings of energy compromise from other sources. Also relevant, central obesity is linked to oxidative stress and cell energy inadequacy,58 and has shown a reported link to thromboembolism risk.59

Perhaps the most novel findings from this analysis were that SBP and DBP, though unrelated to DVT, were strongly related to PE. We suggest that given the absence of a relation of BP, in this sample, to the requisite precursor event DVT, and given the measurement of BP after venous event occurrence, causality could operate in the reverse direction: PE, known to be a risk factor for pulmonary hypertension,56–64 could drive elevation in arterial BP. Indeed, transient hypoxaemia in other settings (such as sleep apnoea) promotes BP elevation.65–69

Even a modest reduction in oxygen transfer, arising from PE, might influence BP adversely—concordant with assembled evidence that a range of factors that impair cell energy promote hypertension (and other metabolic syndrome factors).58 Additional potentially compatible information derives from data that initial DVT accompanied by PE is a risk factor for recurrence...
of DVT, and that arterial hypertension is a risk factor for recurrent DVT, which we hypothesise could be a marker for prior overt or occult PE.

Longitudinal studies assessing change in risk markers following events are seldom undertaken. Therefore, cross-sectional designs’ lack of ‘temporality’ may serve here not as a fault but as an advantageous feature, enabling event-factor as well as factor-event relations to be uncovered. However, prospective studies are desired to confirm hypothesised reverse directionality. Irrespective of whether elevated BP ultimately proves to be a consequence of PE, as we propose, the relationship will be important to understand.

This study has limitations, including those pertaining to all cross-sectional studies. Though the sample was diverse economically and ethnically, findings for this population need not generalise to all others; however, reproduction in this sample of many previously reported associations reduces concerns regarding the generalisability of the findings. The study measures historical occurrence rather than prospective incidence. Neither fatal events nor clinically silent ones were included in our analyses. Assessment is by self-report, which may involve recall and reporting bias; however, self-report of venous events has been used in other studies.

In one study, it was shown that most self-reported DVTs were corroborated by a surgeon’s assessment (via phone call), and concordance was particularly strong for PE. Most significantly, numerous associations identified here, both among venous outcomes and between risk factors and venous outcomes, cohere with associations reported in other studies using alternate event assessment modalities, providing strong convergent validation for the findings. An additional limitation, as in all observational studies, is inherent potential for omitted variable bias, which can influence the apparent relationship of tested variables to the outcome of interest. Most of the retained variables showed relationships robust across sensitivity analyses, supporting the relevance of the variables identified. Exceptions arose with inclusion/exclusion of ethnicity and family history. In this as in all studies, apparent ethnic and family relationships may represent proxies for (measured and) unmeasured variables with which ethnicity (or family) correlate. There were few cases of current cancer in our sample; elsewhere, cancer has been reported to predict venous events. Finally, events had already occurred when risk markers were measured. For modifiable risk factors, the events could drive the factors rather than the converse, as discussed for the association of PE to increased SBP and DBP.

This study supports previously reported relationships of sex, history of immobility, heavy smoking and duration of HRT to venothrombotic events. It supports recent evidence for a relation of heavy maximal alcohol consumption to venous thromboses, consistent with prior evidence linking binge drinking to venous events.

Family history was also affirmed to bear a strong relation to venous events, consistent with (but not exclusive to) recognised genetic risk factors. An intriguing association of SBP and DBP to PE, but not to DVT, was identified. This novel finding is illuminated by, and simultaneously contributes to, an emerging body of evidence linking mediators of cell energy compromise to increased risk of hypertension—and to other metabolic syndrome factors. It is also observed, to the best of our knowledge for the first time, that factors promoting cell death—including factors that contribute to (or reflect) cell energy compromise or oxidative stress—may be expected to dispose to venous thromboembolism, explaining many observed risk factors, and predicting numerous additional ones.
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