High reproducibility of adenosine stress cardiac MR myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the reproducibility of first-pass contrast-enhanced cardiac MR (CMR) myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy (NIDCM).

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Single centre, tertiary care hospital.

Participants: 6 outpatient participants with NIDCM.

Outcome: Reproducibility of semiquantitative myocardial perfusion analysis by CMR.

Method: 6 patients with NIDCM were studied twice using first-pass of contrast transit through the left ventricular (LV) myocardium with a saturation-recovery gradient echo sequence at rest and during adenosine-induced hyperaemia. The anterior wall was divided into endocardial (Endo) and epicardial (Epi) segments. The Myocardial Perfusion Index (MPI) was calculated as the myocardial signal augmentation rate normalised to the LV cavity rate. The Myocardial Perfusion Reserve Index (MPRI) was calculated as hyperemic/resting MPI.

Results: Between study 1 and 2, median MPI was similar for resting Endo (0.076 vs 0.077), hyperaemic Endo (0.143 vs 0.143), resting Epi (0.073 vs 0.074), and hyperaemic Epi (0.135 vs 0.134). Median MPRI was similar for Endo (1.84 vs 1.87) and Epi (1.90 vs 2.00). Combining Endo and Epi MPI (N=12), there was excellent agreement between Study 1 and 2 for resting and hyperemic MPI (r=0.998, intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) 0.998, coefficients of variation (CoV) 1.4%), hyperaemic MPI (r=0.979, ICC 0.963, CoV 3.3%) and MPRI (r=0.989, ICC 0.94, CoV 3.8%).

Conclusions: Resting and hyperemic myocardial perfusion using a normalised upslope analysis during adenosine CMR is a highly reproducible technique in patients with NIDCM.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Excellent reproducibility and agreement of first-pass, contrast-enhanced cardiac MR (CMR) myocardial perfusion imaging in a cohort of patients undergoing treatment for non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy.
- Enhanced spatial resolution of CMR is a potentially valuable tool to evaluate how endocardial hypoperfusion contributes to the pathophysiology of heart failure and whether heart failure treatment may favourably alter perfusion.
- To date, only three studies have published on reproducibility data using this CMR technique in humans (combined total of 28 normal or ‘low risk’ participants and 19 patients with coronary artery disease).
- Small sample size may limit the statistical power of the findings; however, despite the small sample size, the reproducibility was excellent and the statistical power was very strong.

INTRODUCTION

The technique for evaluating myocardial perfusion using cardiovascular MR (CMR) imaging is based on imaging the first-pass transit of contrast through the myocardium following its rapid intravenous bolus injection. The first-pass technique is often performed under resting conditions and during the peak vasodilatory hyperaemic effect of a continuous intravenous infusion of adenosine. The clinical utility and diagnostic accuracy of adenosine stress CMR perfusion imaging for detecting obstructive epicardial coronary artery disease has been demonstrated in several large multicenter trials and performs favourably with other non-invasive diagnostic testing. Myocardial perfusion abnormalities are also present in other conditions, such as endothelial dysfunction (so-called ‘syndrome X’) using CMR5 6 and non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy (NIDCM) using positron emission tomography.7 8

Endocardial hypoperfusion during vasodilator stress has been observed in animal models of heart failure,9–11 and a blunted
coronary vasodilatory reserve has been thought to contribute to the condition of ‘energy starvation’ in heart failure in humans. Based on the enhanced spatial resolution of CMR, we have shown that subendocardial perfusion is reduced and perfusion reserve is blunted in patients with NIDCM. The reproducibility of the CMR technique has been studied only in a small number of normal participants and patients with coronary artery disease but has not been previously reported in patients with NIDCM. Accordingly, we evaluated the reproducibility of first-pass contrast-enhanced CMR to assess indices of endocardial and epicardial perfusion performed in a cohort of treated patients with NIDCM under resting conditions and during a continuous intravenous adenosine infusion.

**METHODS**

This study was conducted as part of a prospective interventional trial investigating the effects of a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, spironolactone, in 12 patients with NIDCM. To evaluate the reproducibility of adenosine stress CMR, half of these patients (N=6) underwent two identical CMR examinations while on stable medical therapy for heart failure using β-adrenergic blockade, either an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibiting or angiotensin receptor blocking drug, and spironolactone. The testing of reproducibility was prospectively evaluated in the final half (N=6) of the patients enrolled. Patients with newly diagnosed NIDCM were recruited. Inclusion criteria for eligible participants at enrolment were: age between 18 and 80 years, New York Heart Association Functional Class II–IV, a left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) of ≤35% by echocardiography and a serum potassium level <5.0 mg/dL while on a minimum of 3 months of stable medical therapy for heart failure including β-adrenergic blockade and either an ACE inhibiting or angiotensin receptor blocking drug. Individuals with a need for an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator or >50% stenosis in a major epicardial artery at angiography were excluded. Further exclusion criteria included severe chronic obstructive airway disease precluding adenosine use, creatinine >2.5 mg/dL, glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m², uncontrolled atrial fibrillation, spironolactone therapy at recruitment and physician preference. Patients were instructed not to consume caffeinated or decaffeinated beverages for 24 h, prior to the CMR study. All patients provided a written informed consent.

**Cardiac MRI**

Cardiac MRI was performed using a commercially available 1.5 T Siemens Magnetom Avanto scanner (Erlangen, Germany). Patients were scanned using a phased-array torso receiver coil. The imaging protocol consisted of three parts: (1) cine imaging for ventricular volume and function, (2) first-pass contrast-enhanced myocardial perfusion imaging during maximal adenosine-induced coronary vasodilation and under resting conditions, and (3) myocardial late gadolinium enhancement imaging.

For the perfusion study, adenosine (140 µg/kg/min) was infused intravenously over 4–6 min using an infusion pump (Continuum, Medrad, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA). Approximately 4 min into the adenosine infusion, 0.1 mmol/kg gadolinium-diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) (Magnevist, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Wayne, Indiana, USA) was injected intravenously into an antecubital vein (9 right, 3 left) at 5 mL/s using a power injector (Spectris Solaris, Medrad, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA), followed by a 15 mL saline flush. First-pass imaging of the wash-in of Gd-DTPA through the LV myocardium was performed in three short-axis imaging planes positioned in mid myocardial segments of the LV using a saturation-recovery turbo fast low-angle shot (FLASH) gradient echo sequence. All three short-axis images were acquired with each R-R interval over 50 consecutive heart beats starting with the injection of Gd-DTPA in order to capture the initial wash-in of Gd-DTPA through the myocardium. Following a 5-min delay, contrast-enhanced first-pass imaging was repeated under resting conditions with a second intravenous injection of 0.1 mmol/kg Gd-DTPA. All images were corrected for surface coil intensity variation using a normalisation filter. The typical acquisition parameters for perfusion images were: field of view (FOV) 300×340 mm, matrix 150×192, slice thickness (SL) 8 mm, flip angle (FA) 12°, echo time (TE) 1.1 ms, bandwidth (BW) 930 Hz/pixel, repetition time (TR) 180 ms and a variable trigger delay depending on heart rate to acquire data when possible in diastole. Parallel imaging was employed using the generalised autocalibrating partially parallel acquisition (GRAPPA) technique with an acceleration factor of 2. Blood pressure and heart rate were recorded at baseline and every minute during the adenosine infusion.

The LV volume, EF and mass were calculated from manually traced endocardial and epicardial end-diastolic and end-systolic contours from a stack of contiguous short-axis images that covered the LV from the apex to the base of the heart. These variables were calculated on a Leonardo workstation using Argus software, VB17 (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).

Myocardial enhancement occurred during the transit of Gd-DTPA through the heart, and the Myocardial Perfusion Index (MPI) was calculated for the endocardial and epicardial segments of the anterior LV wall as illustrated in figure 1. The endocardial and epicardial borders were semiautomatically drawn with manual editing (to exclude contamination by blood pool or epicardial fat) by one of the authors (DWA) using the analysis software (CMRTools, London, UK). The accuracy of the drawing was verified in a blinded fashion on randomly presented studies by a second author (MAL) with only a few minor edits required. No reference was made to Study 1 when processing Study 2. The anterior wall was selected for analysis to: (1) avoid the so-called ‘dark rim’ artefact that sometimes is encountered in the
septum and (2) avoid trabeculations along the lateral and inferior LV walls that can be particularly prominent in patients with dilated left ventricles. The anterior wall is generally considered to be the region with the fewest artefacts on CMR analysis. This proved to be the case in our study also. When we examined our cohort of six patients, the changes in MPI and MPRI were not limited to the anterior wall. The average MPRI for all segments showed similar significant results in five of six patients, but with obvious inferior wall artefact in one patient. Thus, to achieve the most valid statistical analysis, we utilised the anterior segment which had no imaging artefacts. MPI was calculated using software as the maximum slope of the time-intensity curve of myocardial enhancement, according to the following equation:

\[ \text{MPI} = \frac{\text{Maximal slope}_{\text{myocardium}}}{\text{Maximal slope}_{\text{LV cavity}}} \]

This yielded a normalised upslope of myocardial enhancement that produced a quantitative estimate of myocardial enhancement among the participants as previously described.\textsuperscript{18–20} MPI was calculated for the endocardium and epicardium of a mid-ventricular slice under resting conditions and during adenosine-induced hyperaemia in both studies in all six patients. This yielded a total of 24 measurements of the MPI. A Myocardial Perfusion Reserve Index (MPRI) was also calculated, according to the following equation:

\[ \text{MPRI} = \frac{\text{MPI}_{\text{stress}}}{\text{MPI}_{\text{rest}}} \]

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics and adenosine-induced haemodynamic changes**

Two patients were men and four were women, ranging in age from 27 to 63 years (median 52 years). A cardiac catheterisation had been performed on all six patients prior to enrolment. No coronary artery stenosis >50% was found on coronary angiography. The mean LVEF on the screening echocardiogram before heart failure treatment was 24±7.7% (mean±1 SD). The mean LVEF by CMR on heart failure treatment had increased to 48±5%. The LV end-diastolic volume averaged 143±18 mL, LV end-systolic volume 74±16 mL and the LV mass 144±18 g. The blood pressure and heart rate measured under resting conditions and during the peak hyperaemic effect of adenosine are given in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in the haemodynamic measurements between the two CMR studies. All patients completed the adenosine infusion. All patients were in sinus rhythm, and no arrhythmias were observed during image acquisition.

**Interstudy MPI measurements**

Figure 1 illustrates a representative segmented, still-frame short-axis CMR perfusion image and time-intensity curves from Study 1 and 2 in the same patient. Table 2 summarises endocardial and epicardial MPI under resting conditions and during adenosine-induced hyperaemia.

Endocardial and epicardial MPI acquired under resting conditions from the two studies are shown in...
The median resting endocardial MPI was 0.076 (IQR 0.066–0.085) for Study 1 and 0.077 (IQR 0.067–0.084) for Study 2. The median resting epicardial MPI was 0.072 (IQR 0.056–0.081) for Study 1 and 0.074 (IQR 0.056–0.081) for Study 2. Similarly, endocardial and epicardial MPI from the two studies acquired during adenosine infusion are shown in figure 3. The median hyperaemic endocardial MPI was 0.143 (IQR 0.121–0.156) for Study 1 and 0.143 (IQR 0.120–0.159) for Study 2. The median hyperaemic epicardial MPI was 0.134 (IQR 0.120–0.152) for Study 1 and 0.134 (IQR 0.124–0.158) for Study 2.

Interstudy myocardial perfusion reserve measurements

The MPI results are tabulated in table 2 and shown in figure 4. The median endocardial MPRI was 1.84 (IQR 1.78–1.96) for Study 1 and 1.78 (IQR 1.81–1.95) for Study 2. The median epicardial MPRI was 1.9 (IQR 1.8–2.2) for Study 1 and 2.0 (IQR 1.8–2.1) for Study 2.

Agreement analysis

The median time between the two studies was 14 days (range 1–150 days, IQR 1–60 days). Figures 2A and 3A depict excellent correlation between Study 1 and 2 under resting conditions (r=0.99) and during adenosine-induced hyperaemia (r=0.98). Table 3 shows excellent agreement in MPI and MPRI between Study 1 and 2.

When combining endocardial and epicardial segments (N=12), the ICC was 0.998 under resting conditions and 0.963 during adenosine-induced hyperaemia. The CoV1 for resting MPI for Study 1 and 2 was 20.2% and 20.3%, respectively. The CoV1 for hyperaemic MPI for Study 1 and 2 was 13.9% and 14.1%, respectively. The CoV2 for MPI under resting conditions was 1.4% and during adenosine-induced hyperaemia was 3.3%. The CoV2 for MPRI was 3.8%. Good agreement was also demonstrated in the Bland-Altman plots (figures 2B, 3B and 4B) which demonstrate very small differences between the two MPI measurements and MPRI as compared to the size of the measurement. No bias had been observed.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that there is excellent agreement and reproducibility of the first-pass, contrast-enhanced CMR perfusion technique for measuring MPI in patients with NIDCM. Little was known previously about the reproducibility of such measurements in this patient population. Furthermore, a high level of agreement is maintained even after the myocardium is divided into endocardial and epicardial regions. Thus, this technique should be useful for detecting changes in endocardial perfusion in NIDCM and is a potentially valuable tool for the evaluation of endocardial hypoperfusion and the condition of ‘energy starvation’ in heart failure.
Reliability is the reproducibility of a measurement when it is randomly repeated on the same study subject and can be described by several statistical analysis methods. CoV is an index of a test’s performance consistency and measures the distribution or dispersion of measurements around the mean. Our CoV₁ analysis showed a variation of MPI up to 20% around the mean MPI for each study subset (either Study 1 or 2); however, the variation in the difference between Study 1 and 2, or CoV₂, was <4%. CoV is impacted by scale and the number of observations (which is indirectly included in the denominator for CoV). Since our mean MPI scale is very low, CoV is exquisitely sensitive to small changes in the mean, and therefore, is not an ideal statistical tool and does not accurately measure reliability. Therefore, we employed ICC as an index of reliability to assess the consistency of measurements made over multiple observations. ICC is a calculated value using the formula, ICC = 1 – (percent variation) within repeated measures relative to the total variation of the measures. The ICC showed excellent reliability of this CMR technique to measure MPI with <4% variation across all measurements. Since some lack of agreement between measurements is inevitable, the Bland-Altman plot graphically depicts the amount of disagreement between measurements (via their differences). Our MPI measurements showed excellent agreement with small differences between measurements such that there was a tight range of the limits of agreement about the mean of the differences (which was close to 0). There was no systematic variation across the range of measurements.

Published reproducibility data using the upslope method are limited and have been studied in small patient populations. Three studies have reported
favourable reproducibility data on a small number of normal participants and patients with coronary artery disease.15–17 Elkington et al performed serial adenosine perfusion CMR studies on seven normal participants and nine patients with coronary artery disease. Using a similar normalised upslope analysis, normal participants were found to have a transmural, endocardial and epicardial CoV2 of 39, 37 and 64%, respectively. Patients with coronary artery disease had transmural, endocardial and epicardial CoV2 of 41, 50 and 31%, respectively. Chih et al reported their reproducibility findings on serial adenosine CMR studies in 10 ‘low risk’ patients (a presumably near-normal population) and 10 patients with coronary artery disease. Only transmural MPI was calculated in their study. They found a favourable CoV2 for transmural MPI of 18% in the control participants and patients with coronary artery disease. In a group of 11 young normal participants (mean age 33±7 years), Larghat et al, performed a comprehensive analysis of endocardial and epicardial MPI at rest and during adenosine infusion whether measured during diastole or systole. Resting endocardial MPI CoV2 was 17% measured during systole and 20% during diastole, whereas stress endocardial MPI CoV2 was 14% and 15%, respectively. Similar numbers were reported for epicardial MPI. Our measurement of MPI performed equally well with an even lower CoV2 than reported in these prior studies and provides further confirmation that this technique is robust for clinical and research applications.

Limitations
Several limitations to this study require comment. First, the small sample size may limit the statistical power of the findings. However, despite the small sample size, the reproducibility was excellent and the statistical power was very strong. The sample size we present is comparable to other studies which also showed a high reproducibility of the CMR technique in normal participants and patients with coronary artery disease.15–17 Our finding of high reproducibility is in keeping with the excellent reproducibility reported for other CMR parameters, such as LV volume and mass.22 Grothes et al found a coefficient of variability of 5.7% in LV stroke volume across subgroups of normal, heart failure and patients with LV hypertrophy. This high reproducibility translated to a smaller sample size needed to observe changes in the measured parameter (eg, to observe a 10 mL change in LV stroke volume, only six patients would need to be studied by CMR vs 37 patients by echocardiography). Second, our interstudy reproducibly compared scans acquired on two different days that were separated by a moderately broad-time range. Prior studies have reported interstudy time intervals averaging between 13 and 7.7 days.15,16 Our time interval is
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**Figure 4** Agreement of Myocardial Perfusion Reserve Index (MPRI) between Study 1 and 2. Linear regression graph (A) and Bland-Altman plot (B) of endocardial (circles) and epicardial (triangles) MPRI.

**Table 3** Intraclass correlation coefficient and coefficient of variation for MPI and MPRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ICC (95% CI)</th>
<th>Study 1 CoV1 (%)</th>
<th>Study 2 CoV1 (%)</th>
<th>CoV2 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPI (resting)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>(0.992 to 1.00)</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI (hyperaemia)</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>(0.842 to 0.99)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPRI</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>(0.794 to 0.98)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoV, coefficient of variation; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; MPI, Myocardial Perfusion Index; MPRI, Myocardial Perfusion Reserve Index.
comparable to prior studies, if the median interval (14 days) is considered instead of the mean. One patient in our cohort was scanned 150 days between studies due to an unexpected transportation problem. A broad time-interval range will skew the mean time interval to appear too high in such a small sample size. At casual glance, this may be a limitation. However, it is of interest that there was strong agreement between the two CMR scans, even when separated by 150 days, and suggests that this patient’s heart failure treatment was durable and resulted in a lasting beneficial effect on myocardial perfusion. Finally, Elkington et al presented perfusion analysis data using two methods: Fermi deconvolution and normalised upslope (which was the method used in the current study). The Fermi deconvolution method had superior agreement, although the authors concede that the dual bolus protocol used for Fermi deconvolution calculations is more complex to execute than the single bolus protocol used in the normalised upslope technique, and that residual contrast may interfere with the calculations. Christian et al also compared the Fermi deconvolution and normalised upslope methods with absolute myocardial blood flow (in mL/min/g) determined by radiolabeled microspheres in an experimental animal model. Although MPI did not fall on the line of identity with absolute myocardial blood flow, a linear relationship was nevertheless demonstrated between MPI and myocardial blood flow such that a decline in myocardial blood flow resulted in a lower MPI.

CONCLUSIONS

The semi-quantitative evaluation of resting and hyperaemic myocardial perfusion using a normalised upslope analysis during adenosine stress CMR is a highly reproducible technique with strong inter-study agreement in patients with NIDCM. Such reproducibility should provide accurate assessment of changes in MPI resulting from heart failure treatment.
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