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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To examine cytomegalovirus (CMV) seroprevalence and associated risk factors for CMV seropositivity in pregnant Norwegian women.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) in addition to two random samples of pregnant women from Sør-Trøndelag County.

Participants: Study group 1 were 1000 pregnant women, randomly selected among 46,127 pregnancies in the MoBa (1999–2006) at 17/18 week of gestation. Non-ethnic Norwegian women were excluded. Study groups 2 (n=1013 from 1995) and 3 (n=979 from 2009) were pregnant women at 12 weeks of gestation from Sør-Trøndelag County.

Outcome measures: CMV seropositivity in blood samples from pregnant Norwegian women.

Results: CMV-IgG antibodies were detected in 59.9% and CMV-IgM antibodies in 1.3% of pregnant Norwegian women in study group 1. Women from North Norway demonstrated a higher CMV-IgG seroprevalence (72.1%) than women from South Norway (58.5%) (OR 1.83, 95% CI 1.17 to 2.88). The CMV-IgG seroprevalence was higher among women with low education (70.5%) compared to women with higher education (OR 2.20, 95% CI 1.24 to 3.90). Between 1995 and 2009 the CMV-IgG seroprevalence increased from 63.1% to 71.4% in pregnant women from Sør-Trøndelag County (study groups 2 and 3; p<0.001). The highest CMV-IgG seroprevalence (79.0%) was observed among the youngest pregnant women (<25 years) from Sør-Trøndelag County in 2009 (study group 3).

Conclusions: The CMV-IgG seroprevalence of pregnant Norwegian women varies with geographic location and educational level. Additionally, the CMV-IgG seroprevalence appears to have increased over the last years, particularly among young pregnant women.

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is associated with a functional immunosuppression and, thus, may increase the risk of acquiring infections.1 Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection is a common viral infection in pregnancy2 and may have severe consequences for the developing fetus.3 About 0.15–2.0% of live-born neonates are infected with CMV4 and this may result in symptoms ranging from sensorineural hearing loss to multiorgan failure.3 There is currently no effective treatment for congenital CMV infection.5

The CMV virus persists in the host for life and may become reactivated during pregnancy.6 Recurrent CMV infection may either include reactivation of a latent virus or a reinfection with a different CMV strain.6 About 1–4% of CMV-IgG seronegative pregnant women will acquire a CMV infection for
the first time during pregnancy\(^7\)\(^8\) and about 30% of these transmit the virus to the fetus, resulting in congenital infection.\(^2\) In contrast, the transmission rate is around 1% during recurrent CMV infections.\(^2\) Recurrent CMV infections are common in pregnancy and constitute the majority of congenital infections in populations with high CMV-IgG seroprevalence.\(^9\)\(^10\)

The CMV-IgG seroprevalence among women in reproductive age ranges from 30% to 100% in different populations.\(^9\)\(^11\)\(^25\) Elements influencing CMV-IgG variability are geography, socioeconomic status (SES) and the woman’s age and parity.\(^12\)\(^13\)\(^15\)\(^21\)\(^22\) The highest CMV-IgG seroprevalence in pregnant women of 70–100% has been observed in Africa, Asia and South America.\(^9\)\(^15\)–\(^17\) Pregnant women in industrialised countries in Western Europe and North America have demonstrated lower CMV-IgG seroprevalence levels of about 30–50%.\(^11\)\(^15\)\(^21\)\(^25\) Factors associated with CMV-IgG seropositivity in developed countries are non-White race and lower SES.\(^21\)\(^22\) The high CMV-IgG seroprevalence in developing countries is probably due to lower SES and poor hygienic conditions. Countries like Spain, Japan and Germany has experienced a decrease in CMV-IgG seroprevalence.\(^17\)\(^18\)\(^23\)\(^24\) This could be explained as an improvement of SES in these countries. CMV is known to be transmitted through breast milk and infected infants shed virus in the urine years after inoculation.\(^25\)\(^27\) Thus, increased use of breastfeeding and day-care centre are suggested reasons for higher CMV-IgG seroprevalence in some developed countries, such as Sweden.\(^28\)

Since socioeconomic factors may influence acquisition patterns of viruses, updated studies are needed to monitor the level of immunity in a population. Norway is a highly developed country with a population of about 5 million people and 60 000 yearly deliveries. Two previous studies on CMV seroprevalence in pregnant Norwegian women demonstrated CMV-IgG prevalences of 69% (in 1992–1994)\(^19\) and 62.3% (in 2001),\(^20\) respectively. However, both studies only addressed the overall CMV-IgG seroprevalence\(^19\)\(^20\) and the study from 2001 was rather small (n=69).\(^20\) Thus, the aim of the present study was to (1) examine the seroprevalence of CMV-IgG and CMV-IgM antibodies in pregnant Norwegian women and (2) investigate the influence of geography, age, parity, education and income and (3) assess changes in CMV seroprevalence over time.

**METHODS**

**Study design and study population**

This is a cross-sectional study including three study populations of pregnant Norwegian women. In study group 1, The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) was included to assess CMV seroprevalence nationwide and to investigate the influence of selected risk factors. In study groups 2 and 3 two populations from Sør-Trøndelag County collected at 14 years interval were included to allow investigation of time trends.

**Study group 1**

The MoBa is a prospective population-based pregnancy cohort study conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.\(^29\)\(^30\) Participants were recruited from all over Norway in 1999–2008 and 38.5% of invited women consented to participate. The cohort now includes 108 000 children, 90 700 mothers and 71 500 fathers. Blood samples were obtained from both parents during pregnancy and from mothers and children (umbilical cord) at birth. Follow-up is conducted by questionnaires at regular intervals and by linkage to national health registries. Several substudies are conducting additional collections of data and biological materials.

For this study, a group of 1000 women were randomly selected from the total of 46 127 pregnancies included in the MoBa in 1999–2006. One of these women had participated with two pregnancies and her second pregnancy was excluded. Forty-two women stated that their first language was not Norwegian and were excluded from the overall analyses because of known ethnic variances in CMV seroprevalence.\(^13\)\(^15\) Therefore, study group 1 comprised a total number of 957 women and plasma samples collected from women at the 17/18 gestational week were used to examine levels of CMV-IgG and CMV-IgM antibodies.

Study group 1 included all Norwegian counties and was further divided into two large geographical regions; North Norway (including Sør-Trøndelag County and all counties further north) and South Norway (including all counties south of Sør-Trøndelag County). The women were divided into four age-defined groups; <25, 25–30, 31–35 and >35 years of age. Parity was divided into three groups; para 0, para 1 or para 2+. Information about education and income level was obtained from the MoBa questionnaires. Education was divided into four different categories; Elementary School, Secondary School, University Education <4 years or University Education >4 years. Income was classified into three groups of annual family income; low (< €19 809/year for at least one parent), middle (€19 809–€52 826/year for each parent) and high (>€52 826/year for at least one parent).

The MoBa population has been used for several substudies and linked to national health registries. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) includes information on all pregnant and delivering Norwegian women since 1968.\(^31\) Comparison of MoBa and MBRN registered pregnancies has shown more healthy pregnancies among MoBa women than for the general population of pregnant Norwegian women.\(^32\) Thus, to compare the status of study group 1 to all pregnant Norwegian women, MBRN registered Norwegian women delivering in 1996–2006 (n=453 395) was used for comparison.

**Study groups 2 and 3**

To investigate changes in CMV seroprevalence over time, serum samples collected in 1995 (study group 2) and 2009 (study group 3) from pregnant women in
Sør-Trøndelag County, a county in middle Norway, were tested and compared. Sør-Trøndelag County has 290,000 inhabitants, with nearly 60% of the population living in the city of Trondheim. In 2009, 3335 live born children were born in Sør-Trøndelag County. Antenatal care includes blood samples collected at first health visit between 8 and 12 weeks of pregnancy and blood samples from all pregnant women in the county are sent to the Department of Medical Microbiology at St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, for rubella antibody analysis. Study group 2 include sera from pregnant women (n=1013) sent for rubella screening in 1995 and study group 3 include sera from pregnant women (n=979) sent for rubella screening in 2009. Thus, study groups 2 and 3 were randomly selected pregnant women from the same county with sera collected at similar conditions and analysed in the same laboratory for the presence of CMV-IgG and CMV-IgM antibodies. In study group 3 information was available on female age, and ages were divided into four age groups; <25, 25–30, 31–35 and >35 years of age.

CMV antibody testing

Plasma samples from study group 1 were stored at −80°C and serum samples from study groups 2 and 3 were stored at −20°C before testing. Antibody levels of CMV-IgG and CMV-IgM in all samples were determined by an ELISA (Medac, Hamburg, Germany). The sensitivity and specificity for the Medac CMV-IgG kit have been estimated to 95.5–100% and 99.5%, respectively. The Medac CMV-IgM kit has shown a very good specificity in independent evaluations. Seropositivity was defined according to the guidelines given by the manufacturer. Sera yielding equivocal values (for IgG; study group 1 (n=34) and study groups 2 and 3 (n=50) and for IgM; study group 1 (n=7) and study groups 2 and 3 (n=10)), were excluded from further analysis. Identical tests from the same manufacturer were used for analysing samples from all three cohorts studied.

Statistical analysis

The primary outcome variable in this study was CMV seropositivity, defined as the presence of CMV-IgG or CMV-IgM antibodies in serum or plasma. CMV seroprevalence is defined as the prevalence of CMV seropositivity in a population.

All seroprevalence data were assessed as proportions (per cent) with CIs of 95%. Univariate differences in the proportions between groups were tested by $\chi^2$ test and logistic regression test was used to calculate crude and adjusted ORs of CMV seroprevalence by selected predictors in bivariate and trivariate regression models. The predictors were place of residence, age group, parity, educational level, maternal income and family income. Maternal age and education are both factors known to influence CMV seroprevalence and were adjusted for by logistic regression.

Two-sample t test was used to compare continuous data between CMV seronegative and seropositive mothers. Women with missing data were excluded from the analysis. The level of statistical significance was set to p<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the Predictive Analytics Software statistics V.18 (Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the pregnant Norwegian women in study group 1

Most women (88.8%) in study group 1 selected from the MoBa reside in South Norway. Women of age groups 25–30 and 31–35 years were dominating in study group 1 (44.0% and 34.1%, respectively) and most women were para 0 (42.6%) or para 1 (37.5%; table 1). The level of education was fairly high (20.1% with >4 years and 40.6% with <4 years of higher education) and most women (48.7%) were in the middle family income levels, where high education is referred to as University Education, missing values on education N=77. Income was classified into three groups of annual income; low (<€19,809/year), middle (€19,809–€52,826/year) and high (€52,826/year), missing values for income N=44.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Characteristics of study group 1 selected from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, 1999–2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study group 1 (n=957)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age in years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (&gt;4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (≤4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Missing values N=2.
†The education achieved by the women is given at separated levels, where high education is referred to as University Education, missing values on education N=77.
‡Income was classified into three groups of annual income; low (<€19,809/year), middle (€19,809–€52,826/year) and high (€52,826/year), missing values for income N=44.
category, while 28.1% of the women were in the high
family income category (table 1). Smoking prevalence
among the pregnant women in study group 1 was 12.7%
(data not shown).

The characteristics of study group 1 corresponded to
the MBRN registered general population of pregnant
Norwegian women regarding geography (81.7% gave
birth in South Norway and 18.3% in North Norway), age
and parity. However, study group 1 included fewer
smokers than the general population of pregnant
Norwegian women (12.7% vs 15.7%). Additionally, fewer
premature babies (4.1% vs 7.2%), higher birth weight (3641 vs
3526 g), higher gestational age (39.5 vs 39.3 weeks) and
fewer children with low birth weight (1.8% vs 5.2%)
were observed in study group 1 selected from MoBa
than in the general population of pregnant Norwegian
women delivering between 1996 and 2006.

CMV antibodies among pregnant Norwegian women in
study group 1

In total, the seropositivity rate of CMV-IgM antibodies, indi-
cating a recent CMV infection, was 1.3% (95% CI 0.6% to
2.2%) (n=947), whereas the CMV-IgG seroprevalence was
59.9% (95% CI 56.7% to 63.1%; n=923) in study group
1. The influences of geography, age, parity, and education
and income on CMV-IgG seroprevalence among the pregnant
Norwegian women of study group 1 are given in table 2.
Women from North Norway demonstrated a higher
CMV-IgG seroprevalence (72.1%) than those from South
Norway (58.5%; <0.01; table 2).

Table 2

Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-IgG seropositivity according to selected risk factors in pregnant Norwegian women in study
group 1 from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, 1999–2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of residence††</th>
<th>Study group 1 (n=923)†</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR‡</th>
<th>Adjusted OR§</th>
<th>Adjusted OR¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Norway</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>478 (58.5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Norway</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>75 (72.1)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.17 to 2.88)*</td>
<td>1.81 (1.15 to 2.84)*</td>
<td>1.56 (0.97 to 2.49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group in years††</th>
<th>Study group 1 (n=923)†</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR‡</th>
<th>Adjusted OR§</th>
<th>Adjusted OR¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>76 (65.5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>231 (57.2)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.46 to 1.08)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.48 to 1.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>193 (61.5)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.54 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.58 to 1.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>53 (60.9)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.46 to 1.46)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.56 to 1.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity††</th>
<th>Study group 1 (n=923)†</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR‡</th>
<th>Adjusted OR§</th>
<th>Adjusted OR¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>230 (58.8)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>202 (58.9)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.75 to 1.35)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.75 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.69 to 1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>121 (64.7)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.89 to 1.84)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.91 to 1.96)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.83 to 1.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education††</th>
<th>Study group 1 (n=923)†</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR‡</th>
<th>Adjusted OR§</th>
<th>Adjusted OR¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school (&gt;4 years)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>89 (52.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (&lt;4 years)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>212 (61.8)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.03 to 2.16)**</td>
<td>1.56 (1.07 to 2.27)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>152 (59.4)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.91 to 1.99)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.91 to 2.09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>55 (70.5)</td>
<td>2.20 (1.24 to 3.90)*</td>
<td>2.21 (1.21 to 4.04)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income§§</th>
<th>Study group 1 (n=923)†</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive n (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR‡</th>
<th>Adjusted OR§</th>
<th>Adjusted OR¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>133 (53.8)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>260 (60.9)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.97 to 1.83)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.01 to 1.94)**</td>
<td>1.31 (0.95 to 1.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>129 (62.9)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.00 to 2.12)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.00 to 2.28)**</td>
<td>1.32 (0.87 to 2.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01.
**p<0.05.
†Equivocal results N=34 were excluded.
‡OR for CMV seropositivity was calculated by logistic regression in successive bivariate (crude OR) and trivariate (adjusted OR) models.
§Adjusted for age N=921.
¶Adjusted for education N=846.
††Missing values N=2.
||The education achieved by the women is given at separated levels, where high education is referred to as University education, missing
values on education N=77.
§§Income was classified into three groups of annual income; low (<€19 809/year), middle (€19 809–€52 826/year) and high (>€52 826/year),
missing values for income N=44.

Norwegian women (study group 1). The strongest risk factors for CMV-IgG seropositivity were place of residence (highest CMV-IgG seropositivity in North Norway (72.1%)) and low education (70.5%). More women with higher education were living in South Norway than North Norway and this could explain some of the geographical differences observed. The comparison of two random samples of pregnant women in Sør-Trøndelag County (study groups 2 and 3) suggested a CMV-IgG seroprevalence increase over time, from 63.1% in 1995 to 71.4% in 2009. Interestingly, the highest CMV-IgG seropositivity rate (79%) was detected in young women (<25 years).

The strength of this study is the national population-based design of study group 1 and the opportunity to assess regional differences in CMV-IgG seroprevalence. A limitation to this study is that the MoBa may be disposed to some self-selection. Nilsen et al. reported that the women in the MoBa had experienced somewhat healthier pregnancies as compared with the general pregnant population in Norway, and this is also reflected in our study group 1 from the MoBa. However, birth weight and prematurity, which were different between MoBa and MBRN in this material, have not been associated with CMV-IgG seropositivity and should therefore not have influenced the results. A higher level of education was also observed in our study group from the MoBa than generally in Norwegian women of the same age groups. Since high SES is negatively correlated with CMV-IgG seropositivity, such skewed selection of women in the MoBa may potentially lead to an underestimation of CMV-IgG seroprevalence. However, when women from Sør-Trøndelag County included in the MoBa (n=19; study group 1) were compared with the Sør-Trøndelag random sample from 2009 (study group 3), fairly similar CMV-IgG seroprevalences were observed (73.7% in the MoBa and 71.4% in the Sør-Trøndelag random sample). It is therefore likely that the estimates from the MoBa population represent the true CMV-IgG seroprevalence in the pregnant Norwegian population. Missing data among some women in study group 1 could potentially bias the results of the multivariate logistic regression analyses. These women, however, have a higher CMV-IgG seropositivity and are most likely lesser educated, hence the associations presented are underestimates rather than overestimates.

Although the Sør-Trøndelag groups (study groups 2 and 3) represent two unselected groups of pregnant women, we cannot exclude the possibility that the increasing immigration of non-ethnic Norwegians (from 2.5% in 1996 to 5.5% in 2009) could explain some of the increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence. However, a 3% increase in non-ethnic Norwegians would lead to only about a 1% increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence, leaving a change in the ethnic composition an unlikely explanation for the increasing trend in CMV-IgG seroprevalence over time. The youngest women <25 years had the

---

**Table 3** Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-IgG seropositivity according to maternal age in study group 3, pregnant women in Sør-Trøndelag County, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group in years</th>
<th>Study group 3 (n=929)</th>
<th>CMV-IgG seropositive (n (%))</th>
<th>Crude OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>139 (79.0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–30</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>268 (68.0)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.37 to 0.86)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>182 (72.5)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.46 to 1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>74 (68.5)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.34 to 1.00)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01.

**Table 3** Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-IgG seropositivity according to maternal age in study group 3, pregnant women in Sør-Trøndelag County, 2009

---

DISCUSSION

This study shows an overall seroprevalence of 59.9% for CMV-IgG and 1.3% for CMV-IgM among pregnant
highest CMV-IgG seroprevalence and the increasing trend in CMV-IgG seroprevalence could possibly be due to an increased proportion of young pregnant women in the population. However, in line with an increasing mean age of delivering women in Norway (1995: 28.3 years, 2009: 29.7 years), the proportion of delivering women <25 years of age in Sør-Trøndelag County was 24.6% in 1995 and only 16.7% in 2009.31

Sør-Trøndelag County, located in the middle of Norway, represents a typical Norwegian region. This study showed a statistically significant increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence among pregnant women from 1995 to 2009 (study groups 2 and 3). Additionally, the group from Sør-Trøndelag County in 2009 demonstrated a particularly high CMV-IgG seroprevalence among the youngest pregnant women. This is in contrast to other studies, reporting an increased CMV-IgG seroprevalence with increased age.12 15 18 Our findings suggest that more Norwegian women are CMV infected as children and teenagers now than before and indicate that the CMV-IgG seroprevalence among pregnant Norwegian women may be further increasing. This hypothesis is supported by findings in the MoBa, where the youngest pregnant women also demonstrated the highest CMV-IgG seroprevalence, suggesting that the increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence may be occurring at a national level.

Despite high socioeconomic conditions in the Scandinavian countries, the CMV-IgG seroprevalence seem to be relatively high. Including our estimates, CMV-IgG seroprevalence in Norway and Sweden ranges between 60% and 73%,19 20 38 whereas in other highly developed countries such as the Netherlands, Ireland, Canada and France, the CMV-IgG seroprevalence numbers are reported as low as 30–50% among pregnant Caucasian women.11–15 As a country becomes more developed, hygiene and socioeconomic conditions improves and thus the CMV-IgG seroprevalence is expected to decrease as reported in Spain, Japan and Germany.17 18 25 24 The surprisingly high CMV-IgG seroprevalence levels in Norway may probably be ascribed to high incidence of breastfeeding and children attending group day-care facilities. Breast milk from CMV-IgG seropositive women contains detectable CMV-DNA and infectious virus39 which can be transmitted to the newborn baby.26 Group day-care is also well known to increase the frequency of CMV infections in childhood.27 40 Both customs have increased in Norway since the 1970s.31–34 Today, about 98% of Norwegian children are breastfed during the first week of life, while 89.7% of all children attend day-care centre.33 45 Accordingly, these changes may have influenced the increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence observed among young pregnant women of Sør-Trøndelag County in this study. A relation between high breastfeeding rates and the use of day-care centre in developed countries has previously been suggested as a reason for high CMV-IgG seroprevalence rates,28 but updated Norwegian studies supporting this have been missing. This study provides contemporary data on an increase in CMV-IgG seroprevalence among pregnant Norwegian women supporting this hypothesis. There are also regional differences in breastfeeding and the use of day-care centre in Norway, but these are too small to explain the differences in CMV-IgG seroprevalence.46

This study demonstrates that CMV-IgG seroprevalence in pregnant Norwegian women is relatively high, especially for a developed country. The frequency is highest in the northern region and associated with fewer years of education. The observed CMV-IgG seroprevalence increase observed during the last 14 years, especially among the youngest women, may be due to increased breastfeeding rates of long duration and regular use of day care center in the Norwegian society.
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