Gender differences in the association of individual social class and neighbourhood unemployment rate with prevalent type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional study from the DIAB-CORE consortium
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyse gender differences in the relationship of individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate with present type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Design: Five cross-sectional studies.

Setting: Studies were conducted in five regions of Germany from 1997 to 2006.

Participants: The sample consisted of 8871 individuals residing in 226 neighbourhoods from five urban regions.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Prevalent T2DM.

Results: We found significant multiplicative interactions between gender and the individual variables—social class and employment status. Social class was statistically significantly associated with T2DM in men and women, whereby this association was stronger in women (lower vs higher social class: OR 2.68 (95% CIs 1.66 to 4.34)) than men (lower vs higher social class: OR 1.78 (95% CI 1.22 to 2.58)). Significant associations of employment status and T2DM were only found in women (unemployed vs employed: OR 1.73 (95% CI 1.02 to 2.92); retired vs employed: OR 1.77 (95% CI 1.10 to 2.84); others vs employed: OR 1.64 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.67)). Neighbourhood unemployment rate was associated with T2DM in men (high vs low tertile: OR 1.52 (95% CI 1.18 to 1.96)). Between-study and between-neighbourhood variations in T2DM prevalence were more pronounced in women, as already observed for obesity.

Conclusions: Social class was inversely associated with T2DM in both men and women, whereby the association was more pronounced in women. Employment status only affected T2DM in women. Neighbourhood unemployment rate is an important predictor of T2DM in men, but not in women.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- The aim of this study was to examine disparities in the association of individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate with prevalent type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) by gender in a pooled analysis of five population-based regional studies.

Key messages

- Social class was statistically significantly associated with T2DM among women and men; however, the association was stronger in women than in men; in particular, individual employment status is an important determinant of T2DM in women.
- Between-study and between-neighbourhood variances in T2DM were more pronounced in women, as already observed for obesity.
- Neighbourhood unemployment rate was only associated with T2DM in men after adjustment for individual variables.

Strength and limitations of this study

- Data of five population-based representative studies were applied, linking data on the prevalence of T2DM to small areas and regions.
- This study adds knowledge to the research on the interaction of gender, social determinants and health at different levels.
- The limitations were as follows: the cross-sectional design does not allow causal conclusions; T2DM was based on a self-reported physician’s diagnosis; administrative definitions of neighbourhoods could result in exposure misclassification and underestimation of neighbourhood effects; problem of residential selection.
INTRODUCTION

Gender differences in health inequality vary by the studied health outcome, the measure of social status and the stage of life course.1–3 A systematic review of 23 case–control and cohort studies on socioeconomic differences in the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) concluded that inequality in the risk of T2DM was stronger in women than in men.4 However, the results are diverse with respect to the magnitude in the association of T2DM and social status in men: a number of studies have shown associations among both men and women,5–8 but there have also been studies published reporting associations only in women.9 10 With respect to occupational status, contrasting results have been presented by Kumari et al11 and Mayer et al.12 For instance, the first study showed a stronger inverse relationship between the civil service employment grade and the incidence of T2DM in men, applying data of the Whitehall study II.11

Beyond an individual’s social class, socioeconomic characteristics of the neighbourhood affect health.13–15 As part of the Diabetes Collaborative Research of Epidemiologic Studies (DIAB-CORE) in Germany, Schipf et al reported regional disparities in the age-standardised prevalence of T2DM.16 In two recent studies, we found that the prevalence of T2DM varied across regions in Germany, even after adjustment of individual characteristics. These variations could in part be explained statistically by the neighbourhood unemployment rate within cities or by regional deprivation.17 18

Gender differences may arise out of different exposures to social, psychosocial and behavioural determinants of health (‘differential exposure hypothesis’). Another explanation might be a different vulnerability to health determinants, characteristics of the neighbourhood and reaction to material, behavioural and psychosocial conditions of men and women (‘differential vulnerability hypothesis’).2 19 Differences in men’s and women’s perception of the neighbourhood context and social status may as well be a source of health disparities.19 Stafford et al19 examined gender differences in the relationship between self-rated health and the neighbourhood context and found a larger impact of the neighbourhood context on the health of women.

The aims of this study were (1) to investigate if the association of individual social class, individual employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate with prevalent T2DM differs for men and women in a pooled analysis of five population-based regional studies and (2) to examine the extent to which the prevalence of T2DM varies by gender between neighbourhoods and regions in Germany. In a subanalysis, we performed study-specific calculations of the relationship between T2DM and social class in men and women.

METHODS

Within the DIAB-CORE, the cross-sectional data of five regional studies were pooled: the Cardiovascular Disease, Living and Ageing in Halle Study (CARLA), the Dortmund Health Study (DHS), the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study (HNR), the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) S4 Study and the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). Data collection was conducted between 1997 and 2006. The studies have similar study designs (population-based), sampling procedures (two-stage cluster or stratified random sampling) and response proportions (56–69%). The studies were approved by local ethics committees and informed written consent was obtained from the participants in the study. Within the five studies, similar instruments, questionnaires and medical measurements were applied to collect data. Study designs have been described elsewhere in more detail.20–24

In brief, data on 11 688 participants aged 45–74 years were provided. Of these participants, 2280 individuals living in rural areas of KORA and SHIP were excluded from the sample because they could not be assigned to spatial units below the level of municipalities; hence our study was limited to urban areas. Study participants were assigned to neighbourhoods via addresses of residence at baseline (8 participants could not be linked). These neighbourhoods were defined by administrative units: statistical administrative units (subdivision of city districts) in HNR and DHS, city districts in CARLA, planning regions (summary of city districts) in KORA and postal code areas in SHIP. Participants resided in 227 of the total 236 neighbourhoods in the five study regions. After further exclusion of participants with missing information on individual characteristics (n=529), the final sample consisted of 8871 residents in 226 neighbourhoods.

On the basis of the definition of the DIAB-CORE Consortium,25 a T2DM case was defined as a self-reported physician-diagnosed T2DM or self-reported T2DM treatment (insulin, oral antidiabetic agents, dietary treatment). Participants reporting an age at diagnosis of 30 years or younger were excluded from the analyses to avoid inclusion of possible cases of type 1 diabetes.

Social class was measured with a summary score of income and education. Its operationalisation was derived from the Winkler-Index of Socioeconomic Status,26 which summarises information on individual educational and professional attainment, net household income and the occupational position of the main earner of a household. The three dimensions are transformed to an ordinal scale ranging from 1 to 7 and summed up to an index with a scale from 3 to 21 points. Since the information on occupational status was not available for our analysis, the index was solely based on education and income, ranging between 2 and 14 points. The index was divided into three groups: higher social class, middle social class and lower social class. Study participants were classified into four employment status groups: employed, retired and unemployed individuals as well as persons with other forms of employment, including participants in vocational retraining, housewives and housemen.
Neighbourhood unemployment rate was applied as a proxy for the socioeconomic status of the neighbourhoods and was calculated as the number of unemployed residents in relation to the working-age population (aged 15–64), obtained from the statistical offices of each considered city. The median year of the data collection period of each study was used as the reference year. A number of studies applied unemployment rate as a measure of deprivation and it was proven to be a strong predictor of health outcomes.22 27–29 Campbell et al.30 highlighted that unemployment rate is a simple and good indicator for social and material deprivation, which is regularly updated and easily accessible. For our analysis, equally sized tertiles of the study-specific neighbourhood unemployment rate was used to detect a potential dose–response relationship. Hereafter, the authors refer to the low, medium and high levels of unemployment rate in relative terms, which however corresponds to considerably different levels of unemployment rate across study regions.

The variable marital status summarised information whether a study participant lived with or without a partner. Moreover, lifestyle variables including smoking status (current smoker; former smoker; never smoker), physical exercise (physical exercise; no physical exercise), body mass index (BMI; <30 kg/m²; ≥30 kg/m²) and alcohol consumption (no or moderate intake: women: ≤20 g/day; men: ≤40 g/day; high intake: women: >20 g/day; men: >40 g/day) were considered. Physical exercise was measured as hours spent per week on all kinds of exercise training excluding low-level exercise like walking. Owing to the homogenisation procedure, physical exercise was operationalised as any exercise irrespective of the frequency and duration. All variables were constructed following the DIAB-CORE standard procedures for the homogenisation of basic variables to ensure a high degree of comparability.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis included the calculation of crude and age-adjusted prevalence of T2DM (derived from a logistic regression) and corresponding 95% CIs by gender for individual variables and neighbourhood unemployment rate.

Our data set had a hierarchical structure including individuals (level 1), nested within neighbourhoods (level 2), which were nested in study regions (level 3). To account for this data structure in our statistical analysis, multilevel modelling methods were applied. We conducted a series of mixed effects logistic regression models. First, we tested for interactions between gender and individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate. To do so, we estimated regression models including terms for gender and social class, employment status or neighbourhood unemployment rate as main effects and an interaction term for the effect of social class, employment status or neighbourhood unemployment rate by gender. Second, gender-stratified analyses were conducted with a stepwise modelling strategy. The models were adjusted for the confounding variables age, marital status and the remaining social variables (social class/employment status/neighbourhood unemployment rate) depending on the variable of interest. Lifestyle factors, including smoking status, alcohol consumption, BMI and physical exercise, were evaluated as potential mediators in the relationship between T2DM and individual social class, employment status or neighbourhood unemployment rate. The results were presented as ORs with corresponding 95% CIs.

Random effects were included to capture between-study and between-neighbourhood variance reported as median ORs (MOR). The latter represents a transformation of the area-level variation \( (V_A \text{ on an OR-scale}) \). MOR gives the median value of all ORs between a randomly chosen highest-risk area and lowest-risk area and was calculated on the level of neighbourhoods and study regions with the following equation:

\[
MOR = \exp\left(\sqrt{2 \times V_A} \times 0.6745\right), \text{ where } 0.6745 \text{ is the } 75\text{th centile of the cumulative distribution function of the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1}. \]

Study-specific analyses were performed and analysed with meta-analytical tools. Owing to the small number of cases by study, the social class variable had to be applied as a continuous measure in this subanalysis (ranging between two points, highest social class, and 14 points, lowest social class). For this purpose, inverse-variance weighting was used to estimate fixed and random effects summary estimates and displayed in forest plots.33 Q-statistic and P index were applied to assess heterogeneity and the extent of heterogeneity between study results, respectively.34 Analyses were performed in STATA/SE V.11.0.

### RESULTS

In total, 8871 participants residing in 226 neighbourhoods from five urban regions were included in our analysis. Characteristics of the five studies are displayed in table 1. The crude T2DM prevalence was statistically significantly lower among women than men, 7.5% (95% CI 6.7 to 8.3) versus 10% (95% CI 9.1 to 10.9; significance derived from 95% CI). This pattern was observed in all five regional studies. Sociodemographic characteristics are reported in table 2. Compared with men, women belonged more often to the lower or middle social class and a higher proportion was not employed, except in SHIP. A higher proportion of women than men reported living without a partner.

The age-adjusted prevalence of T2DM was statistically significantly lower in higher social class women and men than in the lower social class (4.7% (95% CI 3.8 to 5.6), respectively, 9.7% (95% CI 8.3 to 11.5) in women; 6.9% (95% CI 5.9 to 8.1), respectively, 14.2% (95% CI 11.8 to 16.8) in men; table 3). Women had a statistically significantly lower age-adjusted T2DM prevalence than men.
Gender differences in the association of socioeconomic factors with diabetes

Table 1

Characteristics of the five population-based studies (CARLA, DHS, KORA, HNR and SHIP, Germany, 1997–2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Study state (region)</th>
<th>Sampling method</th>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Neighbourhoods (n)</th>
<th>Total regional population (neighbourhood range)</th>
<th>Unemployment rate (%; neighbourhood range)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARLA</td>
<td>12/2000–06/2003</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Halle (Saale)</td>
<td>43 city districts</td>
<td>229,078 (13–19,210)</td>
<td>14.1 (3.9–22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>09/2003–05/2004</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Bochum, Essen, Mülheim</td>
<td>1,142,112 (262-32,466)</td>
<td>7.5 (1.7–13.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KORA</td>
<td>12/1999–04/2001</td>
<td>Two-stage cluster sampling</td>
<td>Augsburg</td>
<td>252,725</td>
<td>15.3 (5.0–27.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNR</td>
<td>06/2003</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Mecklenburg-West Pomerania</td>
<td>587,607 (476–21,866)</td>
<td>15.3 (5.0–27.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP</td>
<td>10/1999–12/2002</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>North Rhine-Westphalia</td>
<td>1,142,112 (262-32,466)</td>
<td>7.5 (1.7–13.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data on neighbourhood unemployment were collected in the year 1999 in SHIP, in 2000 in KORA, in the year 2001 in HNR and in 2003 in CARLA and DHS.

Women residing in neighbourhoods with a medium level of unemployment showed significantly elevated odds of having T2DM than men in low unemployment neighbourhoods in the confounder-adjusted model 3. T2DM was no longer associated with marital status after adjustment for confounding variables in model 3 (living without vs living with a partner: OR 1.17 (95% CI 0.90 to 1.51) in women, OR 1.20 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.61) in men).

As part of mediation analysis, the associations of lifestyle variables and social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate as well as the association between T2DM and lifestyle variables were tested.
Table 2  Participants’ characteristics in the five population-based studies (CARLA, DHS, KORA, HNR, SHIP, Germany, 1997–2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>CARLA</th>
<th>DHS</th>
<th>KORA</th>
<th>HNR</th>
<th>SHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants 45–74 with full information</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of neighbourhoods (range of residing participants)</td>
<td>37 (3–139)</td>
<td>60 (1–42)</td>
<td>17 (13–141)</td>
<td>106 (1–140)</td>
<td>6 (95–396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude diabetes prevalence (%)</td>
<td>7.8 (5.4 to 10.8)</td>
<td>7.1 (5.1 to 9.7)</td>
<td>5.8 (4.0 to 8.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.5 to 7.9)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.7 to 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>61.0 (8.0)</td>
<td>60.9 (8.4)</td>
<td>58.9 (8.6)</td>
<td>58.7 (8.5)</td>
<td>58.6 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social class % (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>7.4 (53)</td>
<td>11.1 (46)</td>
<td>6.0 (32)</td>
<td>6.2 (140)</td>
<td>6.2 (140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>61.3 (441)</td>
<td>46.1 (191)</td>
<td>48.4 (258)</td>
<td>53.0 (1195)</td>
<td>67.3 (414)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>31.3 (225)</td>
<td>42.8 (177)</td>
<td>45.6 (243)</td>
<td>40.9 (922)</td>
<td>20.5 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status % (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>34.9 (251)</td>
<td>38.7 (160)</td>
<td>50.3 (268)</td>
<td>46.4 (1047)</td>
<td>33.3 (205)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>48.1 (346)</td>
<td>51.9 (215)</td>
<td>43.0 (229)</td>
<td>47.6 (1075)</td>
<td>54.0 (332)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>15.2 (109)</td>
<td>7.3 (30)</td>
<td>6.4 (34)</td>
<td>5.7 (129)</td>
<td>12.4 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1.8 (13)</td>
<td>2.2 (9)</td>
<td>0.4 (2)</td>
<td>0.3 (6)</td>
<td>0.3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with a partner</td>
<td>89.0 (640)</td>
<td>86.7 (359)</td>
<td>82.4 (439)</td>
<td>80.2 (2035)</td>
<td>88.3 (543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living without a partner</td>
<td>11.0 (79)</td>
<td>13.3 (55)</td>
<td>17.6 (94)</td>
<td>17.8 (72)</td>
<td>11.7 (72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARLA, Cardiovascular Disease, Living and Ageing in Halle Study; DHS, Dortmund Health Study; HNR, Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; SHIP, S4 Study and the Study of Health in Pomerania.
T2DM was related to BMI and physical exercise in men and women, but to smoking only in men and to alcohol consumption not in both (not taken into further consideration). BMI, physical exercise and smoking were tested to be statistically significantly associated with social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate, with some exceptions: In women, physical exercise was not associated with employment status and smoking was not related to any social variable. Thereupon, the lifestyle variables were introduced into model 4 and the estimates of social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate evaluated in respect of reductions in the association with T2DM. In men and women, we observed reductions in the effects of individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate when introducing these lifestyle factors in the analysis. The association between social class and T2DM was strongly reduced, especially in women. This reduction was mainly driven by BMI in women (solely adjusted by BMI, higher vs lower social class OR 1.73 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.85)) and by physical exercise in men (solely adjusted by physical exercise, higher vs lower social class OR 1.59 (95% CI 1.09 to 2.32)), providing evidence that these lifestyle variables partly mediated the relationship between social class and T2DM.

Between-study and between-neighbourhood variations in the prevalence of T2DM were larger in women than in men. The prevalence of T2DM in men varied only between study regions (unadjusted model: MOR: 1.20; adjusted model: MOR: 1.33).
Table 4  Gender-stratified multilevel logistic regression of type 2 diabetes mellitus by individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate* † ‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social class (reference: higher social class)</th>
<th>Employment status (reference: employed)</th>
<th>Unemployment rate (reference: low unemployment rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1§</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.11 (2.04 to 4.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.42 (1.14 to 1.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2¶</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2.25 (1.46 to 3.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.21 (0.96 to 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3**</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2.02 (1.31 to 3.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.13 (0.89 to 1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4†† ‡‡</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.52 (0.98 to 2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.03 (0.81 to 1.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ORs and 95% CIs derived from three-level mixed effects logistic regression models.
†Data from five population-based studies: the Cardiovascular Disease, Living and Ageing in Halle Study (CARLA), the Dortmund Health Study (DHS), the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study (HNR), the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) S4 Study and the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP), Germany, 1997–2006.
‡Men: n=4538, women: n=4333.
§Model 1: unadjusted.
¶Model 2: adjusted by age.
**Model 3: adjusted by age, social class, employment status, neighbourhood unemployment rate, marital status.
††Model 4: adjusted by age, social class, employment status, neighbourhood unemployment rate, marital status, body mass index, physical exercise, smoking status (only for men).
‡‡Sample size n=8732 due to 139 missing values on lifestyle factors.
which was fully explained statistically by age, social class, employment status, neighbourhood unemployment rate, marital status and lifestyle factors. T2DM prevalence in women showed a large variation across neighbourhoods (unadjusted model: MOR: 1.47; VA: 0.16; SE: 0.10) and study regions (unadjusted model: MOR: 1.31; VA: 0.07; SE: 0.06), which was not dissolved by the explanatory variables considered (between-neighbourhood variation: MOR: 1.32; VA: 0.08; SE: 0.09, between-study variation: MOR: 1.29; VA: 0.07; SE: 0.06; model 5, online supplementary table S5).

Regarding gender differences in health inequalities across regions, the effect estimates of the five studies were tested to be homogeneous (figure 1). Low social class was associated with higher odds of T2DM, adjusted for age, employment status, marital status and neighbourhood unemployment rate. In women, an increase of one point on the social class score (decrease in social class) was associated with an increase of 13% (pooled OR: 1.13 (95% CI 1.06 to 1.21); I²=14.0%; p=0.325) in the odds of having T2DM. This association was smaller in men (pooled OR: 1.06 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.11); I²=0.0%; p=0.456), although the differences between genders were not significant. This was observed in all studies, except CARLA.

**DISCUSSION**

This study assessed gender differences in the association of individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate with prevalent T2DM, using data from five regional population-based studies in Germany. Women and men belonging to the lower social class had a higher prevalence of T2DM. We found that the gradient in the prevalence of T2DM across social classes was clearly stronger in women than in men. This pattern was consistent across all regions but CARLA and mainly in line with results of prior studies presenting only associations in women,7–9 or in women and men, but more pronounced in women.5 6

In our study, individual employment status was only associated with T2DM in women. Being unemployed, retired or a housewife yielded higher odds of T2DM. However, since we were not able to consider occupational position in our analyses, the interpretation of these findings is limited. In the literature, two contrasting theories are discussed for the effects of paid employment on women’s health. Employment can have a health promoting function due to role accumulation in contrast to the monotony, isolation, low-social status and self-esteem of housewives. A health damaging effect could arise due to role strains, for example, stress due to multiple roles, and heavy job demands.35 36

Men residing in neighbourhoods with a high level of unemployment rate were more likely to have T2DM than men in better-off neighbourhoods. These effects remained even after adjustment for confounding and mediator variables, whereas associations between neighbourhood unemployment rate and T2DM in women were dissolved by the introduction of confounding variables. These deviating effects of neighbourhood unemployment rate between men and women may be explained by the fact that men were more often engaged in employment and hence depended more on the regional labour market and its employment
opportunities than women. The potential underlying mechanisms in the relationship between neighbourhood unemployment rate and T2DM include neighbourhood resources such as the availability of grocery stores offering healthy food and recreational facilities, the adoption and maintenance of risky health behaviour and psychosocial factors such as chronic stress.

Between-study and between-neighbourhood variations in the prevalence of T2DM were larger in women than in men. We found that individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate played important roles in explaining statistically regional differences in the prevalence of T2DM in men. A large fraction of the detected variation in the prevalence of T2DM on the level of neighbourhoods and regions remained statistically unexplained in women, suggesting that there were characteristics on the individual, neighbourhood and regional levels that determined the presence of T2DM and were not considered in our analysis. Previous work on regional variation in self-rated health and BMI also found a larger regional variation in women.

The gender-specific pattern in the association between social class and the prevalence of T2DM needs to be further explored, since the pathways are still unknown. Macintyre and Hunt noted that socioeconomic determinants vary in their meaning for men and women, since both genders are socialised in different ways with diverging social roles and coping strategies against stress; they hold different occupational positions in the labour market and have dissimilar access to material and psychosocial resources. In our study, overall women were less likely to be in the higher social class and were less often employed.

To gain more insight into mechanisms of social inequalities on health, the analysis of population subgroups is essential, since one limitation of the existing literature is the assumption that mechanisms operate identically in different population groups. This work adds knowledge to the research on the interaction of gender, social determinants and health. So far, only a few studies have examined this interaction with regard to T2DM and, to our knowledge, no study has considered neighbourhood unemployment rate in regard to that until now. Data sources providing representative population-based data on the prevalence of T2DM with a linkage to small areas and regions are still rare.

Some limitations of this work should be acknowledged. We analysed cross-sectional data with limited causal conclusions. We could not use occupation as an indicator of social class in our analysis since the assessment was not comparable between studies. The prevalence of T2DM was based on a self-reported T2DM physician’s diagnosis only, which could not be validated. Therefore, undetected T2DM could be a source of bias. However, Okura et al. found a high accuracy between self-reports and medical records for diabetes and other chronic diseases. Recently, Jackson et al. concluded that self-reported diabetes is a valid outcome for observational studies with an accuracy of 91.8% of self-reported prevalent diabetes validated by medical records based on the Women’s Health Initiative. Another potential limitation is the selection by response (response proportions: 56–69%), which might have affected our results. The exclusion of participants from the initial sample due to missing information on individual characteristics (mainly due to missing information on net household income) could have led to an underestimation of the social gradient in T2DM, because these participants were on average older, more often women, out of employment and with a lower educational status. However, a sensitivity analysis showed similar results applying education as a measure of social class.

We used administrative definitions for neighbourhoods. Hence, neighbourhoods in our study may not capture the immediate neighbourhood of residence of our study participants. This could lead to exposure misclassification and underestimation of the social gradient in T2DM, because these participants were on average older, more often women, out of employment and with a lower educational status. However, a sensitivity analysis showed similar results applying education as a measure of social class.

In conclusion, our study identified different relationships of individual social class, employment status and neighbourhood unemployment rate with the prevalence of T2DM for women and men. In both men and women, the prevalence of T2DM was inversely related to social class. This social gradient was stronger in women. Regional variance in T2DM prevalence was larger in women than in men. Although the major proportion of the variance in T2DM prevalence remained statistically unexplained in women, the regional variance in men was low and completely explained by the variables considered.
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