Microbleeds as a predictor of intracerebral haemorrhage and ischaemic stroke after a TIA or minor ischaemic stroke: a cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: We examined whether patients with cerebral microbleeds on MRI, who started and continued antithrombotic medication for years, have an increased risk of symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH).

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Settings: Multicentre outpatient clinics in the Netherlands.

Participants: We followed 397 patients with newly diagnosed transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or minor ischaemic stroke receiving anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs. 58% were men. The mean age was 65.3 years. 395 (99%) patients were white Europeans. MRI including a T2*-weighted gradient echo was performed within 3 months after start of medication. 48 (12%) patients had one or more microbleeds. They were followed every 6 months by telephone for a mean of 3.8 years.

Primary and secondary outcome measures:

Primary outcome was a symptomatic ICH. Secondary outcome were all strokes, ischaemic stroke, myocardial infarct, death from all vascular causes, death from non-vascular causes and death from all causes.

Results: Five patients (1%) suffered from a symptomatic ICH. One ICH occurred in a patient with microbleeds at baseline (adjusted HR 2.6, 95% CI 0.3 to 27). The incidence of all strokes during follow-up was higher in patients with than without microbleeds (adjusted HR 2.3, 95% CI 1.0 to 5.3), with a dose–response relationship. The incidences of ischaemic stroke, vascular death, non-vascular death and death of all causes were higher in patients with microbleeds, but not statistically significant.

Conclusions: In our cohort of patients using antithrombotic drugs after a TIA or minor ischaemic stroke, we found that microbleeds on MRI are associated with an increased risk of future stroke in general, but we did not find an increased risk of symptomatic ICH.

INTRODUCTION

Secondary prophylaxis with antithrombotics in patients with a transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or ischaemic stroke caused by atherosclerosis is effective.1 In patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation, oral anticoagulants are the first choice, whereas in patients with sinus rhythm, antiplatelet drugs should be prescribed.2 3 A feared side effect of antithrombotics is an intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH), which has an annual incidence of 0.3–2%, depending on the type of antithrombotic medication.4 In patients with no or minor residual deficits after the initial stroke, this issue is of particular importance. Although the incidence of ICH is low, the less residual symptoms there are after the initial stroke, the larger the impact is of new neurological deficit due to ICH caused by prophylactic medication.

Microbleeds are small hypointense dots on T2*-weighted gradient echo MRI. Probably, they represent the remnants of small
asymptomatic ICHs and are considered a sign of cerebral microangiopathy. They have been reported in 5% of the healthy elderly and in 10–15% of patients with cerebral infarcts. 

Cerebral microbleeds may be a marker of the tendency of the brain to bleed. Patients with these lesions have an increased risk for ICH. In patients with normal haemostasis, these spontaneous intracerebral bleedings are thought to remain small and asymptomatic. However, under the influence of antithrombotic drugs, they might grow into a larger symptomatic bleeding. This mechanism might be the explanation for the higher risk of ICH in Asian patients using antithrombotics and the unexpected high proportion of ICH in a previous trial with anticoagulants in patients with non-disabling cerebral ischaemia of arterial origin.

We studied a prospectively collected cohort of European patients with no or minor residual deficits after the initial ischaemic stroke who were prescribed antithrombotic drugs, with the aim of calculating the risk for a future symptomatic ICH that is associated with the presence of microbleeds.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

From June 2000 to January 2010, we prospectively included patients with a TIA or a minor ischaemic stroke (modified Rankin score of 3 or less) from 10 academic and non-academic hospitals in the Netherlands. This study started as a satellite study of the ESPRIT trial and continued on its own after completion of ESPRIT in December 2005. Patients had to be started on anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs because of the TIA or minor stroke within the previous 3 months. We excluded patients who were expected to die within months, with a low ability to understand or express themselves in the Dutch language, or those who were pregnant. We also excluded patients with a history of intracranial haemorrhage, brain concussion, or cerebral tumour and patients with a TIA or minor stroke caused by vasculitis. Follow-up was performed by a central trial office.

All patients underwent prespecified MRI including a T2*-weighted fast field echo (FFE) gradient echo within 3 months after the start of medication. We provided all centres with a protocol of MRI sequences (see online supplementary table S1). The MRI sequence parameters that were eventually used in most centres are provided in online supplementary table S2. Data and imaging were collected centrally. MRIs were read centrally by two independent investigators familiar with these imaging techniques. The presence of microbleeds was scored with the Microbleed Anatomical Rating Scale. The k of this rating was 0.36, which is within the limits of 0.33–0.95 that have been published before in studies of microbleeds. White matter lesions were scored according to the Age-Related White Matter Changes scale.

The institutional medical ethics review boards of the participating hospitals approved the study protocol, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Patients were followed up every 6 months by telephone. At each contact, the occurrence of possible outcome events and hospital admissions was recorded. In case an event occurred, a report on the clinical details was requested. For all strokes during follow-up, we required a confirmation by CT scan, MRI or autopsies to determine the ischaemic or haemorrhagic nature of the stroke. For subtyping the ischaemic strokes, we used the Oxford classification. Except for four patients who were lost to follow-up, all patients had a close-out contact between 1 February 2011 and 1 May 2011. All data were collected and checked at the central trial office and entered in a database. During the study, none of the investigators had any knowledge of the event rates according to the presence or absence of microbleeds.

The primary outcome event was an ICH. Secondary endpoints included all strokes, ischaemic stroke, myocardial infarct, death from all vascular causes, death from non-vascular causes and death from all causes.

Death from vascular causes included death caused by cerebral infarction, intracranial haemorrhage, unspecified stroke, myocardial infarction, heart failure, pulmonary embolism, arterial bleeding or sudden death. If no information was available about the cause of death, we classified the reason as vascular other, according to priori probabilities. Non-fatal ischaemic stroke was diagnosed in case of sudden onset of a new or increasing neurological deficit that persisted for more than 24 h, resulting in an increase in handicap of at least one grade on the modified Rankin scale, and no signs of haemorrhage on the CT scan or MRI of the brain made within 2 weeks of the event. We used the same clinical criteria for the diagnosis of haemorrhagic stroke if a corresponding ICH was detected on CT scan or MRI of the brain. If no brain imaging or autopsy was performed...
and clinical evidence of stroke was present, we classified the event as stroke, unspecified. The outcome event of myocardial infarction required at least two of the following characteristics: a history of chest discomfort for at least half an hour, level of specific cardiac enzymes more than twice the upper limit of normal or the development of specific abnormalities (eg, Q waves) on the standard 12-lead ECG.

Outcome events were reported to the central trial office where all relevant data, including a brain scan or ECG, were obtained from the physician in charge. The clinical report of the outcome event was presented to two investigators (VIHK, IJK); they independently classified the event. If the classifications differed, the outcome event was discussed by the investigators, who made a decision by consensus.

**Statistical analysis**

We assumed a prevalence of microbleeds of 15% and an annual risk of ICH in patients on antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs of 0.31%. With 1800 patient-years, we would be able to detect a risk of symptomatic ICH with a risk ratio (RR) of 7.6 with a 95% CI of 1.3 to 52.

We compared the risk of ICH between patients with and without microbleeds at baseline by means of Cox regression analysis. Resulting HRs were accompanied by corresponding 95% CI. In addition to crude HRs, we calculated age-adjusted and sex-adjusted HRs.

**RESULTS**

We included 448 patients, of whom 51 did not have an FFE-gradient echo series during the MRI due to various reasons, leaving 397 analysable patients (figure 1). Patients who did not have an FFE-gradient echo MRI were, on average, 5 years younger, smoked more often (45% vs 27%) and had hyperlipidaemia (20% vs 42%) or atrial fibrillation (0% vs 8%) less frequently than patients who had an FFE-gradient echo MRI. There were no other differences between the two groups concerning sex, ethnicity, Rankin scores and other vascular risk factors (see online supplementary table S3).

Patient characteristics of the 397 analysable patients are given in table 1. At inclusion, 101 patients (25.4%) were using or had used antiplatelet agents some time in the past. One hundred and ninety-four (48.9%) had a TIA, 21 (5.3%) a transient monocular blindness and 183 (45.8%) a minor stroke. MRI was performed within a median of 11 days (25th–75th centiles: 4–55 days) after the TIA or minor stroke. Of these patients, 19 (4.8%) were scanned with a 0.5 T MRI, 71 (17.9%) with a 1.0 T MRI and 307 (77.3%) with a 1.5 T MRI. Although the number of patients scanned with a 0.5 T MRI was small, there were no microbleeds detected with this type of scans. The proportion of patients detected to have microbleeds with a 1.0 or 1.5 T MRI did not differ significantly (16.9% and 11.7%, respectively).

**Figure 1** Flow chart of the study.

Forty-eight (12%) patients had microbleeds, of which 29 (7%) had one, 16 (4%) had between 2 and 10, and 3 (1%) had more than 10 microbleeds. Patients with microbleeds were older and had slightly worse Rankin scores. The mean duration of follow-up was 3.8 (SD 2.5) years, varying from 0.1 to 10.4 years, accumulating to 1509 patient-years. Four (1%) patients were lost to follow-up. During follow-up, 40 (10.1%) patients used oral anticoagulants, but all others used antiplatelet drugs. Data on adherence to the medication for patients who also participated in the ESPRIT trial were as follows: at 5 years, 66% patients were on aspirin plus dipyridamole, 84% on aspirin alone and 68% on oral anticoagulation.

There were five (1.3%, overall incidence 0.3%/year (95% CI 0.1 to 0.8%/year)) patients who had a symptomatic ICH during follow-up; of these, only one occurred in a patient with microbleeds at baseline. In this patient, we detected 5 microbleeds. The corresponding crude HR was 1.8 (95% CI 0.2 to 16) and 2.6 (95% CI 0.3 to 27) after adjustment for age and sex (table 2).

The incidence of all strokes was higher in patients with microbleeds (crude HR 2.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 6.2), also after correction for age and sex (HR 2.3, 95% CI 1.0 to 5.3; figure 2). The more microbleeds a patient...
had, the higher was the risk for future strokes (table 3). The incidence of ischaemic strokes was also higher in patients with microbleeds, but the HRs did not reach statistical significance. Interestingly, of the ischaemic strokes in patients with microbleeds, the proportion of lacunar infarcts (67%) was larger than that in patients without microbleeds (35%), but again this was not statistically significant (see online supplementary table S4).

The incidence of myocardial infarction was low and did not occur at all in patients with microbleeds. Vascular deaths, non-vascular deaths and deaths of all causes were also more frequent in patients with microbleeds, but did not reach statistical significance.

Since white matter lesions are strongly associated with microbleeds, an additional analysis with white matter lesions was performed, but white matter lesions were not associated either with a higher risk of future ICH or ischaemic stroke (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In our cohort, we did not observe an increased risk for future symptomatic ICHs in patients with microbleeds who used antithrombotic drugs after a TIA or minor ischaemic stroke. However, microbleeds were associated with an increased risk of future stroke in general, with a dose–response relationship: the higher the number of microbleeds, the higher the risk of stroke. These findings confirm that microbleeds should be considered as a biomarker that is associated with an increased risk of future cerebral vascular events. We included patients with no or minor deficits, because in these patients new neurological deficits would have a larger impact than in patients with severe residual deficit caused by the initial stroke.

Our cohort is representative of a contemporary outpatient vascular clinic. The low overall risk for symptomatic ICH and ischaemic events resembles that of prior recent studies in patients on antithrombotic agents for secondary prevention and concurs with our assumptions in the power calculation. The prevalence of microbleeds was similar to that reported in patients with a TIA or ischaemic stroke. Moreover, the proportion of patients who used oral anticoagulants equals that in other studies.

### Table 1 Patients’ baseline characteristics of the MRI cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With MB, n=48</th>
<th>Without MB, n=349</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>70.8 (8.8) year</td>
<td>64.6 (12.4) year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>28 (58%)</td>
<td>204 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White European ethnicity</td>
<td>48 (100%)</td>
<td>347 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rankin score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15 (31%)</td>
<td>159 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 (38%)</td>
<td>107 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>15 (31%)</td>
<td>83 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>164 (35) mm Hg</td>
<td>152 (29) mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>86 (14) mm Hg</td>
<td>86 (16) mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidaemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent claudication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ischaemic stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MB, microbleeds; numbers (%), unless stated otherwise.

### Table 2 Outcome events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With MB</th>
<th>Without MB</th>
<th>Crude HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>HR adjusted for age and sex (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>1 (2.1%)</td>
<td>4 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.2 to 16)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.3 to 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic stroke</td>
<td>6 (12.5%)</td>
<td>17 (4.9%)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.1 to 6.9)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.9 to 5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All strokes</td>
<td>7 (14.6%)</td>
<td>21 (5.7%)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.1 to 6.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.0 to 5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdural bleeds</td>
<td>1 (2.1%)</td>
<td>5 (1.4%)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2 to 12)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1 to 8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarct</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (1.1%)</td>
<td>Not estimable</td>
<td>Not estimable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular death</td>
<td>5 (10.4%)</td>
<td>16 (4.6%)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.8 to 6.0)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6 to 4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vascular death</td>
<td>4 (8.3%)</td>
<td>15 (4.3%)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6 to 5.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5 to 4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All deaths</td>
<td>9 (18.8%)</td>
<td>31 (8.9%)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0 to 4.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8 to 3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MB, microbleeds; numbers (%).
The risk for ICH in stroke patients treated with antithrombotic agents after an ischaemic stroke probably differs between Eastern and Western patients. In two Chinese studies, a higher risk of ICH was found in patients with microbleeds with HRs of 7.95 and 7.3, respectively, but with large CI (95% CI, 2.56 to 24.66, and 0.8 to 63, respectively). A Japanese study reported an RR of 50 (95% CI 16.7 to 150.9). In a European hospital-based cohort of patients with a major stroke, a trend to a higher risk of ICH was observed in patients with than in patients without microbleeds (adjusted HR 1.6, 95% CI 0.8 to 3.1). In a Canadian cohort of stroke patients, only one ICH occurred in both group of patients with and without MB. In a large European case-control study of patients with ICH, a higher risk of a new ICH during the use of antiplatelet drugs was observed in patients with than in patients without microbleeds (adjusted HR 1.6, 95% CI 0.8 to 3.1). In these publications, the population studied was either of a different ethnicity or had another type of cerebrovascular disease than our population with inherently different baseline risks of ICH. A systematic review of published and unpublished cohorts, including a small European prospective cohort of ischaemic stroke or TIA patients using antithrombotic drugs, suggested that anticoagulants may be hazardous in patients with microbleeds.

The strength of our study is its specific design for the following patients with microbleeds with a nearly complete and the longest follow-up until now. Ninety-nine per cent of patients could be followed up until the close-out visit. Second, owing to the multicentre design, the results can be extrapolated to a general neurology practice. Third, we think that the population of patients we studied is an important subgroup of stroke patients, since they had no or only minor neurological deficits after their event and had much to lose if they would have a complication of their prophylactic treatment.

There are several limitations to our study. Since the incidence of ICH was lower than we expected, the study is underpowered and our estimate of the relationship between microbleeds and ICH might be considered as imprecise. To reach a more accurate result, many more patient-years would be needed. A formal meta-analysis of all available studies might solve this problem. However, even when the risk turns out to be higher in patients with microbleeds, the absolute numbers of ICH are so low that, in our opinion, the efforts to screen patients for microbleeds to prevent a single ICH would not be cost-effective compared with the benefits of antithrombotic drugs to prevent ischaemic events. Our results do not support the need for MRI scanning in every patient in whom antithrombotic treatment should be prescribed.

An explanation for the low incidence of ICH is that most of our patients used aspirin instead of oral anticoagulants. The incidence of ICH was similar to that in studies on patients on antiplatelet drugs. For the few patients on oral anticoagulants, we cannot exclude that the presence of microbleeds predicts a higher risk of ICH. Further studies with European cohorts of patients using anticoagulants in the presence of microbleeds are underway.

A biological explanation for the low incidence of ICH is that the effects of microangiopathy in a white European population differ from those in an Eastern population. The incidence of ICH in Eastern populations is twice as high as in white Western people. The interaction between genetic variations with environmental factors differs in Asian and Western patients. For example, Asian carriers of the APOE genotype ε2 and ε4 have almost twice as large a risk of future ICH compared with European carriers.

A third possible explanation for the low incidence of ICH is that the presumed microhaemorrhages are in fact no small ICHs caused by breaking of the small vessels, but merely leakage of the blood–brain barrier, a

---

**Figure 2** Cumulative number of any kind of strokes in patients with microbleeds (dotted line) or without microbleeds (straight line).

**Table 3** Risk for any stroke according to the number of microbleeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of microbleeds</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Number of patients with any stroke</th>
<th>Crude HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>HR adjusted for age and sex (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8 (0.5 to 6.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5 to 5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9 (1.3 to 11.4)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.2 to 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Microbleeds as a predictor of intracerebral haemorrhage and ischaemic stroke

hypothesis in which breakdown of the blood–brain barrier would lead to both lacunar infarcts and microbleeds, as well as white matter lesions. Such a hypothesis might explain the higher risk for both ICH and ischaemic strokes in patients with microbleeds, since microbleeds are in that case also a marker of the underlying disease leading to both ICH and lacunar infarcts.

An important portion (40%) of our patients with microbleeds only had one microbleed. Radiological-pathological correlations of microbleeds are scarce. Although we excluded microbleed-mimics, a single microbleed might not be a sign of general microangiopathy. Also, not all centres had high quality MRI’s at the time of the study. Although a minority, some patients were scanned with a 0.5T MRI, and in none of them did we find a microbleed. Therefore, we might have underestimated the number of microbleeds. With newer high-field MR-techniques, more microbleeds in more patients can be detected, perhaps revealing true microangiopathy.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that in our cohort of mainly white European patients the number of microbleeds on gradient echo MRI is associated with the risk of future stroke in general, but we could not demonstrate an association of microbleeds with future symptomatic ICH. There is no need to withhold antiplatelet agents in these patients. The number of patients who used anticoagulants in this study was too small to draw definitive conclusions in this perspective.
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