Worldwide impact of economic cycles on suicide trends over 3 decades: differences according to level of development. A mixed effect model study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To investigate the trends and correlations of gross domestic product (GDP) adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP) per capita on suicide rates in 10 WHO regions during the past 30 years. Design: Analyses of databases of PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates. Countries were grouped according to the Global Burden of Disease regional classification system. Data sources: World Bank’s official website and WHO’s mortality database. Statistical analyses: After graphically displaying PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates, mixed effect models were used for representing and analysing clustered data. Results: Three different groups of countries, based on the correlation between the PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates, are reported: (1) positive correlation: developing (lower middle and upper middle income) Latin-American and Caribbean countries, developing countries in the South East Asian Region including India, some countries in the Western Pacific Region (such as China and South Korea) and high-income Asian countries, including Japan; (2) negative correlation: high-income and developing European countries, Canada, Australia and New Zealand and (3) no correlation was found in an African country. Conclusions: PPP-adjusted GDP per capita may offer a simple measure for designing the type of preventive interventions aimed at lowering suicide rates that can be used across countries. Public health interventions might be more suitable for developing countries. In high-income countries, however, preventive measures based on the medical model might prove more useful.

Suicide rates have increased dramatically over the past 45 years despite prevention efforts.1 There is marked geographic variability in suicide rates, with the highest rates being found in Eastern Europe and the lowest in Muslim and Latin American countries.1 2 So far, this variability in suicide rates has not been satisfactorily explained.2 The majority of studies in the psychiatric literature have approached the analysis of risk factors and correlates of suicidal behaviours from a clinical perspective in developed countries.3 In these countries, clinical studies definitively establish that psychiatric disorders are a major contributing factor to
suicide. It is not completely clear how well these studies can be extrapolated to the general population because psychiatric disorders are relatively uncommon in the general population and the majority of subjects diagnosed as having a mental disorder do not complete suicide. The impact of psychiatric risk factors on suicide rates differs significantly depending on socio-economic status in Western developed countries and, more importantly, this impact may be much smaller in developing countries.

Morselli and Durkheim were the first authors to point towards the socioeconomic driving forces operating on the changing suicide rates. Since their seminal 19th century studies, suicide has usually been considered a social problem, and several risk factors have been related to suicidal behaviour, both at the subject level (microsocioeconomic factors) and at the state level (macrosocioeconomic factors). Recently, Immorlati et al reported, consistently with previous research, significant associations between suicide rates and several macrosocioeconomic factors. Both high basal levels of income and elevated economic growth have been found to be associated with reduced suicide rates. Suicide rates are lower in Western high-income countries compared with low- and middle-income countries. In addition, the global change in economic activities that has taken place over the past 60 years has deeply affected people’s emotional health and may have influenced suicide rates. Gross domestic product (GDP), a measure of economic activity, has been negatively correlated with suicide rates, suggesting that suicide rates drop in times of economic expansion and increase in times of recession. In recent times, Luo et al suggested that business cycles affect suicide rates in the USA: the overall suicide rate usually rises during recessions and falls during expansions. Similarly, the Asian economic crisis has been linked with steep increases in suicide rates in some, but not all, East/Southeast Asian countries.

It has been suggested that the relationship between GDP per capita and suicide may follow an inverted U-shaped curve, with suicide trends declining after peaking at a certain threshold of economic development. Thus, although at low GDP levels, increases in GDP are associated with increases in suicide rates, once a given threshold of economic development is reached, further increases in GDP do not correlate with further increases in suicide rates. The threshold at which the inverted U-shaped curve starts trending down may vary depending on several social, economic and cultural differences across countries. On the other hand, some authors have suggested that, compared with GDP, more complex constructs such as ‘National Intelligence’ or the ‘K factor’—including measures of national IQ; gross national product; life expectancy; birthrates; infant mortality; HIV/AIDS and of rape, serious assault and homicide—may better explain the geographic variability of suicide rates.

In the present study, we examined the trends in GDP per capita adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP) and suicide rates and investigated the correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates in 10 WHO regions during the past 30 years. The present study extends previous research by including all available information worldwide, thus allowing refinement and clarification of the regional differences that may explain the relationship between economic cycles, as measured by PPP-adjusted GDP per capita, and suicide rates.

**METHODS**

**Data collection**

We collected data on annual PPP-adjusted GDP per capita for 165 countries for the period 1980–2007 from the World Bank’s official website (http://www.worldbank.org/). GDP per capita is a basic measure of a country’s overall economic activity and development. PPP-adjusted GDP per capita adjusts the cost of living to the income level of each country and is a better measure of living standards of a given country than nominal GDP. WHO countries were grouped by regions based on the Global Burden of Disease regional classification system (available at http://www.who.int/choicedemography/regions/en/). All countries are classified into the following geographic subregions: African region, AFR; Eastern Mediterranean region, EMR; European region, EUR; region of the Americas, AMR; Southeast Asia region, SEAR; and Western Pacific Region, WPR. They were also classified by mortality strata: A, very low child, very low adult; B, low child, low adult; C, low child, high adult; D, high child, high adult and E, high child, very high adult).

Suicide statistics and population data for 56 countries were extracted from the latest WHO mortality database for the period 1980–2007. Cross-national data on suicide rates did not form a regular time series, that is, the time intervals were irregular. Thus, for instance, the gap between the years for which suicide rate data are available is sometimes 3, sometimes 5 or 6 years. We only included in our analyses those countries where statistics of suicide rates were available for a minimum of 6 years—the median and mode of the number of years with statistics of suicide rates was six—namely, AFR D

**WHO subregions were used as the units of analysis, and aggregation bias is typical of studies that collapse different countries into single categories.**

Several socioeconomic factors that operate at the individual level—microsocioeconomic factors (eg, gender, unemployment, divorce rate, urban/rural ratio, alcohol use, etc) might have a confounding effect and mediate trends in suicide rates. Major strengths of the present study are the longitudinal design, which allowed us to analyse trends, and the use of PPP-adjusted GDP per capita, one of the fairest and simplest measures of living standards.
(Mauritius); AMR A (Canada and the USA); AMR B (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Mexico, Panama, St. Lucia, Trinidad and Tobago and Venezuela); AMR D (Ecuador and Guatemala); EUR A (Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the UK); EUR B (Bulgaria, Georgia, Macedonia, Poland, Romania and the Slovak Republic); EUR C (Estonia, Hungary, Latvia and Lithuania); SEAR D (India); WPR A (Australia, New Zealand, Japan and Singapore) and WPR B (China and South Korea).

**Statistical analyses**

After graphically displaying the trends for PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates over the study period (see figure 1, supplementary material), correlations within regions were carried out to explore whether changes in GDP were associated with changes in suicide rates (see figures 2 and 3, supplementary material). To test for significance of the correlations within regions, an analysis of variance for each WHO region was calculated. The Bonferroni method was used to correct for multiple testing.

Mixed effect models are appropriate for representing and analysing clustered, and therefore dependent, data. In each analysis of variance, PPP-adjusted GDP per capita was treated as a fixed factor and individual countries within each region as random effects, with suicide rate that differs only in an (random) additive term or a (random) slope from the other countries in the cluster. This allows us to draw conclusions on the cluster level. Data analyses and graphics were performed using SPSS for Mac-version 17 (SPSS Inc.) and R (http://www.r-project.org).

**RESULTS**

**Descriptive analyses**

Figure 1 (Supplementary material) shows the annual PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates in each WHO region for the last 30 years. PPP-adjusted GDP per capita has increased over the last 3 decades in all regions. As for suicide rates, they have increased over the last 3 decades in developing Latin American and Caribbean countries (AMR B) and some WPR A countries (high-income Asian countries, such as Japan and South Korea). On the other hand, suicide rates have decreased over the last 3 decades in the majority of European countries and Canada. Finally, suicide rates have followed an inverted U shape over the last 3 decades in EUR C countries, such as Hungary and Latvia.

**Effect of PPP-adjusted GDP per capita on suicide rates**

The results of the mixed effect models are displayed in table 1. With the exception of the African countries, there was a significant impact of PPP-adjusted GDP on suicide rates in all WHO subregions analysed (AFR D; F=0.42, p=0.55).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we examined worldwide trends and correlations of PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates in 10 WHO subregions during the past 30 years. PPP-adjusted GDP increased over the study period in all regions examined with the exception of the EUR C countries. Suicide rates increased in developing Latin American and Caribbean countries (AMR B) and high-income Asian countries (WPR A), while they decreased in most European countries and Canada. Our findings...
are partially consistent with the reports linking suicide rates with GDP measures\textsuperscript{8} \textsuperscript{11} \textsuperscript{12} but extend them by refining the direction of the correlation between suicide rates and GDP depending on the overall trends of economic expansion versus recession and distinguishing between developed and developing countries. Our study suggests that a country’s economic growth, measured by PPP-adjusted GDP per capita, is not invariably followed by a decrease in suicide rates. Interestingly, this is exactly what Morselli\textsuperscript{5} reported back in 1882. Of note, most of the countries in which we found a negative correlation between GDP per capita and suicide rates are developed countries (European countries, USA, Canada, New Zealand and Australia) in which the PPP-adjusted GDP per capita has been trending up during the period analysed. Conversely, most of the countries in which we found a positive correlation are developing Latin American and Caribbean countries in which the GDP per capita has also been trending up during the period analysed, but a positive correlation was also found in high-income economies such as Japan and South Korea. This is partially consistent with suggestions that suicide is a different phenomenon in developed and developing countries.\textsuperscript{3} \textsuperscript{10} In addition, our results showed that the relationship between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates is fairly stable across time worldwide. In other words, our study does not support the hypothesis that there is a lagged effect between economic cycles, as measured by PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates. Taken together, our data may suggest that if

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO region</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.213 to 0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR A</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>5541.93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.801</td>
<td>-0.789 to -0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>1040.71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>34.91</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.347 to 0.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR D</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>144.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.763 to 0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR A</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>465.48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>85.26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.473</td>
<td>-0.358 to -0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>141.67</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR B</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>502.38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.404</td>
<td>-0.367 to -0.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>120.14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR C</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>630.64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>155.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td>-0.788 to -0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>198.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>724.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.754 to 0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>94.66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPR A</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>523.74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.110 to -0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>102.47</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPR B</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>132.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>28.66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.858 to 0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>81.92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPP, purchasing power parity.
economic growth is not accompanied by adequate infrastructures for mental health services, suicide rates might trend up. This is supported by a study reporting that elevated economic growth and high basal levels of income reduce suicide rates, whereas income inequality causes them to increase.

In another recent study, public expenditure on health as a percentage of total government expenditures was one of the strongest predictors of suicide rates in the European Union. Lack of access to healthcare, particularly psychiatric care, appears to be a contributing factor to suicide risk in the USA. Even in the developed world, it takes a long time to develop health infrastructures and to show decreases in inequalities and healthcare progress in primary care settings. Characteristically, the development of mental health services is neglected as compared with overall health, particularly in developing countries. Thus, even if short-term suicide prevention measures can be useful during economic crisis, policy makers and mental health administrators should allocate resources, particularly during economic expansions, allowing for the rapid development of mental health services. This strategy might prove more useful in reducing suicide rates worldwide in the long run compared with ad hoc prevention plans.

Negative correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates

The negative correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates in both developing and developed European countries might be explained not only by economic development but by the implementation of national mental health policies and infrastructures and the perception of social integration of European citizens. In this regard, a negative correlation between the number of practicing General Practitioners, a measure of healthcare resources, and suicide rates was reported in Hungary. The healthcare systems of the 27 European Union member states are diverse. However, virtually all European countries have regulated universal healthcare. The convergence of high living standards and the fight against social exclusion have been major concerns of the European Union since the early 1990s. Sufficient income, employment, health, social and familial support are important factors for social inclusion, and the bulk of European societies perceive themselves to be socially integrated. In Canada, even if mental health is unequally distributed across socio-economic levels, the universal healthcare system is closer to the public health systems in European countries than to the US health system. Australia is a high-income country with one of the highest standards of health development worldwide. The Australian health system is broadly considered as one of the most effective and efficient worldwide; this system is a complex mixture of private and public health and includes a broad range of regulatory mechanisms and funding. In the case of New Zealand, the universal provision of free care was implemented since its very beginning as a nation and includes Māori minorities.

Positive correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates

The positive correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates found in Latin American and Caribbean countries can be explained by several factors. First of all, suicide rates in Latin American and Caribbean countries are particularly low, even when compared with southern European countries. Religion, strong socio-familial ties and the fact that these countries may not be reporting reliable statistics may help explain their low suicide rates. Low- and medium-income countries are less likely to have a mental health policy that might serve an ‘umbrella-like’ function when living standards are improving. Clinical services and mental health infrastructures are typically poor in low- and middle-income countries. Most of the Latin American and Caribbean countries in which we found a positive correlation between PPP-adjusted GDP per capita and suicide rates are currently upper-middle-income economies. Economic growth might be related to precarious labour market conditions, interpersonal distrust, social exclusion and economic and health inequalities. Latin America is the subcontinent with the highest income inequality, with a high incidence of homicides and violence, unequal distribution of healthcare and social exclusion. In addition, in contrast to Europe, most Latin American and Caribbean countries lack a universal public health care system. The availability of mental health workers is very low compared with the USA and European countries.

Suicide rates in India were also positively correlated with GDP rates. However, it should be noted that the quality of evidence used in mortality estimates for India is poor to fair (level 3 in WHO rating system). India estimates suicide rates based on a sample of the population. Moreover, suicide is illegal in India, so there is an even greater risk of under-reporting. It has been estimated that only about 25% of deaths in India are registered and only about 10% are medically certified. Comparisons of verbal autopsy studies of all deaths with the official suicide rate have shown that the suicide rate reported for this country is probably significantly lower than the actual rate. Therefore, the results regarding India should be interpreted with caution. As for Japan and South Korea, although after Bonferroni correction, results were no longer statistically significant, the particular characteristics of this world region deserve a comment on their own. Both countries are high-income economies characterised by universal health coverage. However, in contrast with other high-income countries where public health systems predominate, in both countries, the private health sector prevails over public health resources. Japan has the third largest economy in the world and one of the most equalitarian societies in the world. However, the reputation of Japan’s
Suicide is an extremely complex behaviour, and its conceptualisation as a single final pathway might be simplistic.43 Some authors have suggested that the suicide rate is more responsive to economic factors, such as real GDP per capita or growth rate of real GDP per capita, than to social factors, such as female labour participation or divorce rate.9 The results of the present study have important implications for public health and suicide prevention. The present study suggests that prevention strategies should be tailored to each WHO region. PPP-adjusted GDP per capita offers a simple measure to help decide the type of preventive measure that is more suitable across countries.10 In order to reduce population suicide rates, macroeconomic public health interventions—for example, the provision of basic needs, the reduction of socioeconomic inequalities—might be more suitable for developing countries where socioeconomic and cultural factors appear to play a major contributing role in suicide.3 10 In high-income countries, where the medical model prevails and suicide is understood as an unfortunate consequence of psychiatric illness—particularly depressive disorders3—preventive measures based on the medical model—for example, incrementing the number of psychiatric or counselling services—might prove more fruitful in helping to decrease the daunting suicide rates worldwide.1

CONCLUSIONS
The present study shows that GDP is strongly correlated to suicide rates worldwide and that the direction and magnitude of the correlation differs between developing and developed countries.
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