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ABSTRACT

Background: Vitamin D has been suggested to influence the incidence and prognosis of breast cancer, and studies have found better overall survival (OS) after diagnosis for breast cancer in summer–autumn, where the vitamin D level are expected to be highest.

Objective: To compare the prognostic outcome for early breast cancer patients operated at different seasons of the year.

Design: Open population-based cohort study.


Cases: 79 658 adjusted for age at surgery, period of surgery, tumour size, axillary lymph node status and hormone receptor status.

Statistical analysis: The association between OS and season of surgery was analysed by Cox proportional hazards regression models, at survival periods 0–1, 0–2, 0–5 and 0–10 years after surgery. A two-sided p value <0.05 was considered statistical significant.

Results: Only after adjustment for prognostic factors that may be influenced by vitamin D, 1-year survival was close to significantly associated season of surgery. 2, 5 and 10 years after surgery, the association between OS and season of surgery was not significant.

Limitations: Season is a surrogate measure of vitamin D.

Conclusions: The authors found no evidence of a seasonal variation in the survival after surgery for early breast cancer. Lack of seasonal variation in this study does not necessarily mean that vitamin D is of no importance for the outcome for breast cancer patients.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, ecological studies have inspired to the hypothesis that exposure to sunlight and hence difference in serum vitamin D may influence both risk and prognosis for breast cancer. 1 2 The hypothesis has been supported by several in vitro and animal studies, 3 4 in addition to case–control and cohort studies with measurements of vitamin D as serum 25 hydroxy-vitamin D (25(OH)D), 5–15 although not all studies including two meta-analyses could support these findings 16–19. Four studies found the prognosis of breast cancer to vary with the season for diagnosis. The three of them found that patients diagnosed in summer–autumn had a better disease outcome than those diagnosed in winter–spring, 20–22 and one study found a higher overall mortality for patients diagnosed in late summer compared with those diagnosed in mid-winter. 23

In Denmark, positioned at 55–58° northern latitude, there is no sufficient sun to synthesise vitamin D in the human skin during 6–8 months of the year. Measurements of vitamin D in healthy Danish volunteers demonstrate a pronounced seasonal variation of vitamin D with a maximum in late summer and a minimum in early spring, which indicates that the content of vitamin D in the average Danish diet could not compensate for the lack of sun-induced vitamin D production during wintertime. 24

If the vitamin D status at the time of the operation is important for the overall survival (OS), it should be both easy and inexpensive to adjust preoperatively. The aim of this study is to compare the prognostic outcome for early breast cancer patients diagnosed and operated at different seasons of the year based on a large population-based registration of women with breast cancer in
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Denmark including detailed information on prognostic factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG) founded in 1977 is a population-based registry, which collects data on almost all cases of invasive breast cancer among residents in Denmark (a population of 5.5 million, emigration and immigration rates <2%) (http://www.dst.dk). Virtually, all involved Danish hospital departments have applied DBCG’s guidelines for diagnostic procedures, surgery, radiotherapy, adjuvant systemic therapy and follow-up for early breast cancer. Diagnostic, therapeutic and follow-up data have been accumulated prospectively in the DBCG registry by the use of standardised forms. The DBCG Data Center applied the same procedures for all patients, including monitoring and analysis of data, whether or not the patients participated in randomised trials.25

Cases
The present analysis includes all women, who had a completely resected invasive carcinoma of the breast and no signs of distant metastasis as determined by routine examinations (physical examination, clinical chemistry, chest radiography and other examinations if indicated). Cases with bilateral breast cancer were included (n=1535), and the tumour characteristics of the side with the least favourable prognostic impact were recorded in the DBCG registry. A negative sentinel node biopsy or axillary clearance (levels I and II) in combination with breast-conserving surgery or mastectomy was required. Radiotherapy to the breast was mandatory following lumpectomy. Further description of the database and treatment guidelines has been given elsewhere.25 26

From 1 June 1978 to 31 May 2010, 89 409 cases were registered. Of these, 3113 had a diagnosis of previous breast cancer, other malignancy (except non-melanoma skin tumours) or distant metastasis and 610 patients were not operated. Further excluded from the analyses were patients with unknown tumour size (n=2045) and/or unknown axillary lymph node status (n=5678). In total, 79 658 cases were included for further analyses (figure 1).

Variables
The seasons of surgery, generally 1–3 weeks after the diagnosis, were defined as follows: winter (1 December to 28 or 29 February), spring (1 March to 31 May), summer (1 June to 31 August) and autumn (1 September to 30 November), so the summer period includes the months with the possibility of most sun exposure due to the altitude of the sun and vacations. Treatment periods were categorised according to the national programmes initiated in 1977, 1982, 1989, 1999, 2001, 2004 and 2007.25 The age at surgery was categorised in intervals: ≤39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79 and ≥80 years. Tumour size was categorised according to the largest tumour diameter: 0–10, 11–20, 21–50 and ≥51 mm. The spread of breast cancer to locoregional lymph nodes was categorised as negative, one to three positive lymph nodes and four or more positive lymph nodes. The hormone receptor status was categorised as: negative, oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor positive and unknown. The histopathological status was categorised in five groups as: grade I, II or III ductal carcinoma, lobular carcinoma and carcinoma of other types or unknown diagnosis. The frequency of allocated systemic treatment (chemotherapy and endocrine therapy) by season of surgery was reported.

End point
OS was measured from the date of surgery to the date of death. Observations were censored at emigration or at 1 June 2011, which was the date of data withdrawal of patient vital status from the Danish Centralised Civil Register.

Statistical analysis
The association between OS and season of surgery was analysed by Cox proportional hazards regression.
The effects of season of surgery were analysed in models with an increasing level of adjustment for prognostic variables: models stratified by treatment programme (adjusted I); models stratified by treatment programme and age at surgery (adjusted II) and models stratified by treatment programme, age at surgery, hormone receptor status and lymph node status and further including the effects of tumour size and histological type (fully adjusted). The interpretations of a seasonal effect on survival in these models differ according to the level of adjustment. In the fully adjusted model, the seasonal effect includes the effects of unknown or not included prognostic variables including the alleged effect of vitamin D. In the adjusted II model, the seasonal effect includes the effects of both known and unknown prognostic variables. In the adjusted I model, the seasonal effect further includes the effects of referral pattern, that is, patient age at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th></th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th></th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>18 760</td>
<td>20 067</td>
<td>20 033</td>
<td>20 798</td>
<td>79 658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at surgery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;39 years</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4203</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 years</td>
<td>3249</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3604</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>3524</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3637</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>14 014</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59 years</td>
<td>4906</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>5251</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>5232</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>5461</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>20 850</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69 years</td>
<td>5203</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>5506</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>5520</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>5702</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>21 931</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80 years</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4808</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period of surgery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977–1989</td>
<td>4592</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>4783</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>5115</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5448</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>19 938</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1999</td>
<td>5626</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>6160</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>6359</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>6559</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>24 704</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2010</td>
<td>8542</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>9124</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>8559</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>8791</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>35 016</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumour size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10 mm</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>3136</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>2972</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3211</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12 151</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20 mm</td>
<td>7419</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>7983</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>7945</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>8310</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>31 657</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–50 mm</td>
<td>7469</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>7964</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>8053</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>8201</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>31 687</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50 mm</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4163</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nodal status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>9767</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>10 672</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>10 723</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>11 233</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>42 395</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 positive</td>
<td>5772</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>5984</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>5915</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>6015</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>23 686</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4 positive</td>
<td>3221</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3411</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>3395</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>3550</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>13 577</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histological group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal grade I</td>
<td>4808</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>5129</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>5242</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>5390</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>20 569</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal grade II/II**</td>
<td>7268</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>7672</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>7542</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>7893</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>30 375</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductal grade III</td>
<td>3351</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>3504</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3626</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>13 998</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobular</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2086</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8321</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other invasive</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1627</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1752</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6395</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ER–PgR status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>2919</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>3176</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>3299</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>3217</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12 611</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>12 453</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>13 054</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>12 994</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>13 849</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>52 350</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3388</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>3837</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>3740</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>3732</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>14 697</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent ER–PgR positive</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Boldface indicates a significant difference in proportions at the 0.05 level.

** Table 1 Prognostic factors by season among 79 658 Danish women operated for early breast cancer between 1 June 1978 and 31 May 2010. **

| ER, oestrogen receptor; PgR, progesterone receptors. |
The person-years of observation were 78,587 for the winter surgery are presented in table 1. For the latter group, the median observation period for patients without an event was 10.0 year. The basic characteristics of the patient material according to season of surgery was assessed by the Wald $\chi^2$ statistic, and a two-sided p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The HRs of season of surgery (winter as reference level) together with their 95% CIs are reported. Due to the long period of inclusion, the potential heterogeneity of season effects according to period of inclusion was investigated in models including an interaction term of season of surgery and programme period of inclusion was investigated in models including the effects of tumour size and histopathological type. It should be noted that in our analysis, the ‘adjusted II’ models are stratified by treatment programme and age at surgery.

### RESULTS

The person-years of observation were 78,587 for the survival period 0–1 years, 151,980 for the survival period 0–2 years, 327,646 for the survival period 0–5 years and 516,011 for the survival period 0–10 years after surgery. For the latter group, the median observation period for patients without an event was 10.0 year. The basic characteristics of the patient material according to season of surgery are presented in table 1.

HRs of OS up to 10 years with surgery performed in winter as reference are given in table 2. Overall, no statistically significant association between OS and season of surgery are observed in 2-, 5- and 10-year follow-up periods. Only for the 1-year follow-up, a close to significant association is observed (p = 0.052, fully adjusted analysis); OS is highest for patients undergoing surgery in autumn (HR: 0.97, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.09) and lowest for patients undergoing surgery in summer (HR: 1.00, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.01). Heterogeneity of seasonal effects according to period of inclusion was not statistically significant irrespective of model adjustment or survival period.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found no evidence of a seasonal variation in the OS among almost 80,000 Danish women with primary breast cancer. The strengths of this study are the sample size, the population-based approach in a limited geographic area, the prospectively collected characteristics of tumour and lymph node status and the long follow-up (median 10.0 years). The detailed information's offer the possibility of including season of surgery in a multivariate analysis with the variables year, age at surgery, tumour size, nodal status, hormone receptor status and histopathological type. It should be noted that in our analysis, the ‘adjusted II’ models are stratified by treatment programme and age at surgery only. Thus, the estimates of association between OS and seasonal of surgery are not affected by the variables.
potentially associated with vitamin D or season of surgery (tumour size, positive axillary nodes, high-grade tumours and oestrogen receptor/progesterone receptor status). Using this approach, the independent prognostic effect of season of surgery seems to disappear. The limitations of the study are the lack of information about serum vitamin D in the individual patient at the time of surgery. Using the estimated UV dose as surrogate for vitamin D status must cause reservation, as it is not known whether vitamin D status of the breast cancer patients follow that of the background population. Lack of seasonal variation in this study does not necessarily mean that vitamin D is not important for the OS for breast cancer patients. The serum vitamin D in Danish women treated for breast cancer could be so low even among patients treated in the summer—autumn so that no difference could be detected. One nested case—control study (N=142) showed lower serum vitamin D among Danish patients at the diagnostic mammography. Cross-sectional studies of the plasma vitamin D in healthy Danish volunteers demonstrate a higher level in summer—autumn than in winter—spring.

Results from UK and Norway indicate a better prognosis if diagnosis of breast cancer takes place during the summer or autumn. This seasonal variation was interpreted as a result of vitamin D deficiency in the dark months of the year, although one author considered the possibility that the seasonal effect might be due to a relative higher rate of diagnoses in summer and the prevalence of infections during wintertime leading to early death. In contrast, results from Sweden demonstrate a worse OS for patients diagnosed in the summer probably due to a relative reduction in the number of early stage diagnoses from mammography screening which are closed in the summer months and the healthcare system treating primarily the most sick patients in holiday periods. Breast cancer is regarded as a relatively slow growing cancer, with a long preclinical course. If vitamin D level should be of etiologic or prognostic importance, it is supposed that the influence is working over a longer time period and not just reflected by vitamin D status at time of diagnosis. If the level of vitamin D at the time of surgery should influence prognosis, the mechanism must be differences in perioperative resistance to cancer dissemination and the logical precaution would be to ensure a high preoperative vitamin D level. However, limited evidence including the present study supports this statement.
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