

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <a href="mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com">info.bmjopen@bmj.com</a>

# **BMJ Open**

# Cardiac complications of stroke: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-021416
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	KENMOGNE DOMNING, Guidelle Heloise; Universite des Montagnes, Higher Institute of Health Sciences Tatuene, Joseph; University of Liverpool, Brain Infections Group, Institute of Infection and Global Health; Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme Noumegni, Steve Raoul; University of Paris-Saclay, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine of Paris Sud FOKOUA DONGMO, Christophe Maxime; University at Albany – State University of New York, School of Public Health Zafack, Joseline; Laval University, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine Noubiap, Jean Jacques; University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital, Department of Medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, cardiac complications, Epidemiology < TROPICAL MEDICINE, Systematic review, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Cardiac complications of stroke: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Guidelle Héloïse KENMOGNE-DOMNING<sup>1\*</sup>, Joseph KAMTCHUM-TATUENE<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Steve

Raoul NOUMEGNI<sup>4</sup>, Christophe Maxime FOKOUA-DONGMO<sup>5</sup>, Joseline GUETSOP

ZAFACK<sup>6</sup>, Jean Jacques NOUBIAP<sup>7</sup>

- 1. Higher Institute of Health Sciences, Universite des Montagnes, Bagangte, Cameroon
- 2. Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme, Blantyre, Malawi
- 3. Brain Infections Group, Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
- 4. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine of Paris Sud, University of Paris-Saclay, Paris, France.
- 5. School of Public Health, University at Albany State University of New York, Albany, United States.
- 6. Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Laval University, Quebec, Canada
- 7. Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa
- \* These authors had equal contribution to this work.

**Correspondence to:** Dr Joseph KAMTCHUM TATUENE, Brain Infections Group, Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, 8 West Derby Street, Ronald Ross Building, L69 7BE, Liverpool, United Kingdom. E-mail: <a href="mailto:jkamtchum@yahoo.fr">jkamtchum@yahoo.fr</a>

Authors e-mail addresses: GHKD (kdguidelle@gmail.com), JKT (jkamtchum@yahoo.fr), SRN (stevenoumegni91@yahoo.com), CMFD (fokouamaxime@yahoo.fr), JGZ (zjoseline@yahoo.fr), JJN (noubiapjj@yahoo.fr).

Word count in main text: 1430

Keywords: Stroke, Cardiac complications, Systematic review, Epidemiology, Mortality

### **ABSTRACT**

### Introduction

Stroke is the second most common cause of death after ischaemic heart diseases and the third leading cause of disability worldwide. The contribution of cardiac complications to the mortality of patients with stroke is variable across studies, ranging from 12.5% to 22.7%. Many of these cardiac complications are preventable, and early recognition and adequate management guided by appropriate up-to-date knowledge of their relative incidence and fatality can help to improve patients' outcome. This systematic review aims to summarize the available data on the burden of cardiac complications of stroke.

## Methods and analysis

This review will include all cross-sectional, case-controls and cohort studies and clinical trials conducted between January 01, 1950 and December 31, 2017, involving adults and/or children, and reporting on the prevalence, the incidence and/or the mortality of cardiac complications of stroke. Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts of records retrieved from PubMed, Excerpta Medica Database, ISI Web of Science and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health for eligibility, and then assess the risk of bias and quality of reporting to select the studies which will be included. All authors will contribute to the retrieval of full-texts of eligible records and data extraction. Heterogeneity across studies will be evaluated by the  $\chi 2$  test on Cochrane's Q statistic. Study-specific estimates of the prevalence, incidence and mortality of cardiac complications of stroke across studies will be pooled through random-effect or fixed-effect meta-analysis depending on the source of the heterogeneity, after stabilizing the variance of individual studies using the

Freeman-Tukey double arc-sine transformation. Visual analysis of funnel plot and Egger's test will be done to detect small study effect.

#### **Ethics and dissemination**

This review and meta-analysis will be based on published data and will therefore not require a specific ethics clearance. The results will be published in peer-reviewed journals.

Study registration number: PROSPERO CRDXXX (pending).

### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW

- This review will provide an up-to-date summary of the burden of cardiac complications of stroke, reflecting the changes in diagnosis and management of stroke and cardiac diseases over the past decades.
- We will use robust meta-analysis tools to provide reliable estimates of the prevalence, incidence, and mortality of cardiac complications of acute stroke.
- One major limitation could be the predominance of data from randomized clinical trials known to recruit healthier patients and this might underestimate the real-world incidence of cardiac complications of stroke.

### INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the second most common cause of death after ischaemic heart diseases and the third leading cause of disability worldwide [1-3]. Between 1990 and 2010, the burden of ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke increased significantly in terms of the absolute number of people with incident ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke (37% and 47% increase, respectively), number of deaths (21% and 20% increase), and disability-adjusted life years lost (18% and 14% increase) [4]. In 2015, the number of stroke-related deaths was estimated to 6.7 million [5]. The initial neurologic injury is responsible for the death in up to 43.9% of cases [6]. The contribution of cardiac complications to the mortality of patients with stroke is variable across studies, ranging from 12.5% to 22.7% [6-8]. Data from the Virtual International Stroke Trials Archive (VISTA) reveal that most serious cardiac complications occur within the first 14 days after stroke [6]. They can arise as a direct consequence of the brain injury itself, from the ensuing reduction in mobility, or from stroke-related treatments [9]. The spectrum of abnormalities includes hypertension, hypotension, myocardial infarction, repolarization abnormalities, cardiac arrhythmias, left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, and cardiac arrest [10, 11]. Many of these cardiac complications are preventable and when this is not possible, early recognition and treatment can improve patients outcome [9]. In 2005, a systematic review on the risk of myocardial infarction and non-stroke vascular death after transient ischaemic attack (TIA) and ischaemic stroke revealed an annual risk of 2.2% (1.7 to 2.7) for total myocardial infarction, 0.9% (0.7 to 1.2) for nonfatal myocardial infarction and 1.1% (0.8 to 1.5) for fatal myocardial infarction [12]. However, the review did not consider other non-fatal cardiac complications of stroke. Furthermore, since the publication of this systematic review, the management of patients with stroke has changed dramatically to include earlier, longer and often more invasive cardiac monitoring [13, 14], earlier and more aggressive treatment with thrombolysis and antithrombotics [15-17],

increased availability of percutaneous coronarography intervention, which all might have changed the incidence and the mortality of cardiac complications of acute stroke. Here, we propose a protocol for a systematic review which aims at summarizing the available data on the burden of cardiac complications of stroke.

### **REVIEW QUESTION**

What is the burden of cardiac complications of stroke?

### **OBJECTIVES**

To determine:

- The prevalence and the incidence of cardiac complications of stroke
- The mortality rate of these complications

### **METHODS**

This review protocol has been prepared according to the 2015 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines (checklist provided as appendix 1) [19]. The review is registered in the PROSPERO International Prospective Register for systematic reviews, registration number CRDXXX (pending).

# 1. Criteria for considering studies for the review

## **Inclusion criteria**

We will include all cross-sectional, case-controls and cohort studies and clinical trials conducted between January 01, 1950 and December 31, 2017, involving adults and/or children, and reporting on the prevalence, the incidence or the mortality of cardiac complications of stroke or enough data to compute these estimates. No language restriction will be applied.

### **Exclusion criteria**

We will exclude reviews, commentaries, editorials, studies will small sample size (less than 30 participants), and studies lacking primary data or with incomplete methods description. For studies leading to more than one publication (duplicates), only the most comprehensive report including the largest sample size will be considered.

## 2. Search strategy for the identification of relevant studies

A comprehensive literature search will be performed in PubMed, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), ISI Web of Science (Science Citation Index), and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health (CINHAL) to identify potentially eligible studies. The literature search strategy is summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 for PubMed and EMBASE, respectively. Following the search in databases, we will screen the reference lists of eligible articles and relevant reviews as well as conference proceedings to identify additional sources of information. Search results will be compiled using the citation management software EndNote X6.0.1. The proposed start date for this review is 01<sup>st</sup> January 2017 and it is expected to be completed in a maximum of 6 months.

### 3. Selection of studies for inclusion in the review

Titles and abstracts of records identified through literature search will be independently screened for eligibility by two members of the research team (GHKD and JKT). Full-texts of records deemed eligible will be retrieved and further assessed for inclusion by the same investigators. A screening guide will be developed to ensure consistency of the screening method applied by both assessors. Any disagreement will be resolved by discussion and consensus. If the latter is not reached, arbitration will be sought from a third member of the team (JJN). The interrater agreement for the selection of studies will be assessed using a non-weighted Cohen's kappa statistic [18, 19]. Authors of publications reporting unclear data that

## 4. Assessment of the methodological quality and risk of bias

The Risk of Bias Tool for Prevalence Studies [20] and the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for randomized control trials [21] will be used to evaluate the methodological quality and risk of bias for each study using the full-text publication. The quality of reporting of the studies included will be assessed using either the STROBE or the CONSORT checklist depending on the nature of the study (observational study or clinical trial) [22, 23]. Risk of bias and quality of reporting scores will be presented in a table and interrater agreement will be assessed using a weighted Cohen's kappa statistic [24, 25].

## 5. Data extraction and management

A standardized data extraction sheet will be used to collect information on:

- Study identification: first author's name, year of publication, period of recruitment of participants, country.
- Study and participants' characteristics: study design (cross-sectional, cohort, case-control, clinical trial), setting (hospital-based, community-based), sample size, mean or median age, age range, proportions of male participants, proportion of patients with pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity, dyslipidaemia) or diseases (coronary artery disease, heart failure, previous stroke), mean or median stroke severity score on the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale, proportion of patients with each type of cerebrovascular disease (ischaemic stroke, intracranial haemorrhage, subarachnoid haemorrhage), proportion of patients with lesion of the insula (if reported), proportion of patients with right hemisphere lesion (if

- reported), diagnostic criteria for stroke, proportion of patients who received intravenous thrombolysis, duration of follow-up for cohort studies and clinical trials.
- Epidemiologic estimates: prevalence, incidence, and mortality of cardiac
  complications of stroke. Whenever these estimates are not readily available or
  computable using primary data in the publication, the corresponding author will be
  contacted to request the missing information. The definition and diagnostic criteria for
  each cardiac complication will also be reported.

## 6. Data analysis and reporting

Data will be analyzed using the metaprop command provided with the software STATA (version 13, StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) [26]. Heterogeneity will be evaluated by the χ2 test on Cochrane's Q statistic and quantified using I² values, considering that I² values of 25%, 50% and 75%, represent low, medium and high heterogeneity respectively [27]. Subgroup analyses will be performed to detect its possible sources. Depending on whether the heterogeneity between effect estimates is most likely due to clinical or methodological diversity between studies, or due to random variation, study-specific estimates of the prevalence, incidence and mortality of cardiovascular complications of stroke across studies will be pooled through fixed-effect or random effect meta-analysis respectively, after stabilizing the variance of individual studies using the Freeman-Tukey double arc-sine transformation [28, 29]. Study-specific estimates will be determined from the point estimate and the appropriate denominators, assuming a binominal distribution. Visual analysis of funnel plot and Egger's test will be done to detect small-study effect [30]. All tests will be two-sided and statistical significance will be defined as p < 0.05.

The results of this systematic review will be reported according to the MOOSE guidelines

[31]. The study selection process will be summarized using a flow diagram. Reasons for study

exclusion will be described. Quantitative data will be presented in summary tables and forest plots where appropriate.

### 7. Potential amendments

We do not intend to make any amendment to this protocol. However, any necessary amendment will be documented and reported transparently.

### 8. Ethics and dissemination

This systematic review and meta-analysis will be based on published data and therefore will not require a specific ethics clearance. The results will be published in peer-reviewed journals and further presented at conferences. The review will be updated regularly as new data become available.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** None

**FUNDING:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS:** GHKD, JKT and JJN conceived the study. GHKD and JKT drafted the manuscript. SRN, CMFD, GHKD, JKT, JGZ and JJN revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version. JKT is the guarantor of the review.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1.Collaborators GBDCoD. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1151-1210.

2.DALYs GBD, Collaborators H. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries

- and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1260-1344.
- 3.Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1211-1259.
- 4.Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, et al. Global and regional burden of first-ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet Glob Health 2013;1(5):e259-281.
- 5.WHO. Top 10 causes of death Fact sheet N°310 Geneva: WHO Press; 2017 [updated January 2017; cited 2017 04 July ]. Available from:
- http://www.who.int/entity/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/index.html#.

- 6.Prosser J, MacGregor L, Lees KR, et al. Predictors of early cardiac morbidity and mortality after ischemic stroke. Stroke 2007;38(8):2295-2302.
- 7.Bronnum-Hansen H, Davidsen M, Thorvaldsen P, Danish MSG. Long-term survival and causes of death after stroke. Stroke 2001;32(9):2131-2136.
- 8.Machado MF, Brucki SM, Nogueira CF, Rocha MS. Infectious disease is the most common cause of death among stroke patients: two-years of follow-up. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2013;71(6):371-375.
- 9.Kumar S, Selim MH, Caplan LR. Medical complications after stroke. Lancet Neurol 2010;9(1):105-118.
- 10.Gregory T, Smith M. Cardiovascular complications of brain injury. Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain 2012;12(2):67-71.
- 11. Chen Z, Venkat P, Seyfried D, Chopp M, Yan T, Chen J. Brain-Heart Interaction: Cardiac Complications After Stroke. Circ Res 2017;121(4):451-468.
- 12. Touze E, Varenne O, Chatellier G, Peyrard S, Rothwell PM, Mas JL. Risk of myocardial infarction and vascular death after transient ischemic attack and ischemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2005;36(12):2748-2755.
- 13.Uphaus T, Grings A, Groschel S, et al. Automatic detection of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in patients with ischaemic stroke: better than routine diagnostic workup? Eur J Neurol 2017;24(7):990-994.
- 14. Carrazco C, Golyan D, Kahen M, Black K, Libman RB, Katz JM. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter Detection after Cryptogenic Ischemic Stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2017.
- 15.Balami JS, Hadley G, Sutherland BA, Karbalai H, Buchan AM. The exact science of stroke thrombolysis and the quiet art of patient selection. Brain 2013;136(Pt 12):3528-3553.
- 16.Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2008;359(13):1317-1329.
- 17.Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372(1):11-20.
- 18. Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Meas 1960;20(1):37-46.
- 19.Sim J, Wright CC. The kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and sample size requirements. Phys Ther 2005;85(3):257-268.
- 20.Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65(9):934-939.
- 21. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.

- 22. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, Group C. CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Clin Epidemiol 2010;63(8):834-840.
- 23.von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet 2007;370(9596):1453-1457.
- 24. Cohen J. Weighted kappa: nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. Psychol Bull 1968;70(4):213-220.
- 25. Viera AJ, Garrett JM. Understanding interobserver agreement: the kappa statistic. Fam Med 2005;37(5):360-363.
- 26.Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. Arch Public Health 2014;72(1):39.
- 27. Huedo-Medina TB, Sanchez-Meca J, Marin-Martinez F, Botella J. Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I2 index? Psychol Methods 2006;11(2):193-206.
- 28.Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, Norman RE, Vos T. Meta-analysis of prevalence. J Epidemiol Community Health 2013;67(11):974-978.
- 29. Freeman MF, Tukey JW. Transformations related to the angular and the square root. Ann Math Statist 1950;21(4):607-611.
- 30.Sterne JA, Egger M, Moher D. Addressing reporting biases. In: Higgins JP, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Chichester: Wiley; 2008. p. 297-334.
- 31.Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 2000;283(15):2008-2012.

Table 1: Search strategy for PubMed

	Search terms
#1	"Stroke"[Tiab] OR "Transient ischemic attack"[Tiab] OR "TIA"[Tiab] OR "Intracranial
	hemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Intracranial haemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Subarachnoid
	hemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Subarachnoid haemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "cerebrovascular
	accident"[Tiab]
#2	"Myocardial infarction"[Tiab] OR "acute coronary syndrome" [tiab] OR "myocardial
	ischaemia" [tiab] OR "Takotsubo" [Tiab] OR "Wall motion abnormalities" [Tiab] OR
	"Neurogenic cardiac damage"[Tiab] OR "Arrhythmia"[Tiab] OR "atrioventricular
	block" [tiab] OR "sinoatrial block" [tiab] OR "atrial flutter" [tiab] OR
	"supraventricular tachycardia" [tiab] OR "ventricular tachycardia" [tiab] OR "Atrial
	fibrillation"[Tiab] OR "QT prolongation" [tiab] OR "torsade de pointes" [tiab] OR
	"hypertension" [tiab] OR "hypotension" [tiab]
#3	"Mortality"[Tiab] OR "Death"[Tiab] OR "Fatality"[Tiab] OR "Prevalence"[Tiab] OR
	"Incidence"[Tiab] OR "Outcome"[Tiab]
#4	#1 AND #2 AND #3
#5	Restrict [humans]

**Table 2:** Search strategy for EMBASE

	Search tems
#1	("cerebrovascular accident"/exp OR "cerebrovascular accident":ti,ab OR
	"stroke":ti,ab) OR ("brain hemorrhage"/exp OR "brain hemorrhage":ti,ab OR
	"intracranial hemorrhage":ti,ab)
#2	"hypertension" :ti,ab OR "hypotension" :ti,ab OR 'heart infarction':ti,ab OR
	'myocardial infarction':ti,ab OR 'acute coronary syndrome':ti,ab OR 'heart
	muscle ischemia':ti,ab OR 'myocardial ischemia':ti,ab OR 'takotsubo':ti,ab OR
	'wall motion abnormalit*':ti,ab OR 'neurogenic cardiac damage':ti,ab OR
	'atrioventricular block':ti,ab OR 'sinoatrial block':ti,ab OR 'atrial flutter':ti,ab
	OR 'supraventricular tachycardia':ti,ab OR 'ventricular tachycardia':ti,ab OR
	'atrial fibrillation':ti,ab OR 'qt prolongation':ti,ab
#3	"death":ti,ab OR "Fatality"/exp OR "Fatal*":ti,ab OR "lethality"/exp OR
	"lethal*":ti,ab)
#4	#1 AND #2 AND #3
#6 (Restrict	#5 AND 'human'/de
to humans)	
#7 (Filter	#6 AND ('clinical study'/de OR 'clinical trial'/de OR 'cohort analysis'/de OR
by study	'comparative study'/de OR 'controlled clinical trial'/de OR 'controlled study'/de
type)	OR 'family study'/de OR 'major clinical study'/de OR 'medical record
	review'/de OR 'observational study'/de OR 'prospective study'/de OR
	'randomized controlled trial'/de OR 'retrospective study'/de OR 'systematic
	review'/de)

# **BMJ Open**

# Cardiac complications after stroke: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-021416.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Mar-2018
Complete List of Authors:	KENMOGNE DOMNING, Guidelle Heloise; Universite des Montagnes, Higher Institute of Health Sciences Tatuene, Joseph; University of Liverpool, Brain Infections Group, Institute of Infection and Global Health; Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme Noumegni, Steve Raoul; University of Paris-Saclay, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine of Paris Sud FOKOUA DONGMO, Christophe Maxime; University at Albany – State University of New York, School of Public Health Zafack, Joseline; Laval University, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine Noubiap, Jean Jacques; University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital, Department of Medicine
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, cardiac complications, Epidemiology < TROPICAL MEDICINE, Systematic review, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

- 1 Cardiac complications after stroke: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis
- 2 Guidelle Héloïse KENMOGNE-DOMNING<sup>1\*</sup>, Joseph KAMTCHUM-TATUENE<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Steve
- 3 Raoul NOUMEGNI<sup>4</sup>, Christophe Maxime FOKOUA-DONGMO<sup>5</sup>, Joseline GUETSOP
- 4 ZAFACK<sup>6</sup>, Jean Jacques NOUBIAP<sup>7</sup>
- 5 1. Higher Institute of Health Sciences, Universite des Montagnes, Bagangte, Cameroon
- 6 2. Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme, Blantyre, Malawi
- 7 3. Brain Infections Group, Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool,
- 8 United Kingdom
- 9 4. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine of Paris Sud, University of Paris-Saclay,
- 10 Paris, France.
- 5. School of Public Health, University at Albany State University of New York, Albany,
- 12 United States.
- 6. Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Laval University, Quebec, Canada
- 14 7. Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape
- 15 Town, South Africa
- \* These authors had equal contribution to this work.
- 17 Correspondence to: Dr Joseph KAMTCHUM TATUENE, Brain Infections Group, Institute
- of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, 8 West Derby Street, Ronald Ross
- 19 Building, L69 7BE, Liverpool, United Kingdom. E-mail: jkamtchum@yahoo.fr
- 20 Authors e-mail addresses: GHKD (kdguidelle@gmail.com), JKT (jkamtchum@yahoo.fr),
- 21 SRN (stevenoumegni91@yahoo.com), CMFD (fokouamaxime@yahoo.fr), JGZ
- 22 (zjoseline@yahoo.fr), JJN (noubiapjj@yahoo.fr).

## 1 Word count in main text: 1430

**Keywords**: Stroke, Cardiac complications, Systematic review, Epidemiology, Mortality

### 3 ABSTRACT

### 4 Introduction

- 5 Stroke is the second most common cause of death after ischaemic heart diseases and the third
- 6 leading cause of disability worldwide. The contribution of cardiac complications to the
- 7 mortality of patients with stroke is variable across studies, ranging from 12.5% to 22.7%.
- 8 Many of these cardiac complications are preventable, and early recognition and adequate
- 9 management guided by appropriate up-to-date knowledge of their relative incidence and
- 10 fatality can help to improve patients' outcome. This systematic review aims to summarize the
- available data on the burden of cardiac complications after stroke.

## 12 Methods and analysis

- 13 This review will include all cross-sectional, case-controls and cohort studies and clinical trials
- published between January 01, 1950 and December 31, 2017, involving adults and/or
- 15 children, and reporting on the prevalence, the incidence and/or the mortality of cardiac
- 16 complications after stroke. Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts of
- 17 records retrieved from PubMed, Excerpta Medica Database, ISI Web of Science and the
- 18 Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health for eligibility, and then assess the risk of bias
- and quality of reporting to select the studies which will be included. All authors will
- 20 contribute to the retrieval of full-texts of eligible records and data extraction. Heterogeneity
- across studies will be evaluated by the  $\chi 2$  test on Cochrane's Q statistic. Study-specific
- estimates of the prevalence, incidence and mortality of cardiac complications after stroke
- 23 across studies will be pooled through random-effect or fixed-effect meta-analysis depending
- on the source of the heterogeneity, after stabilizing the variance of individual studies using the

1				
2				
2				
2				
4				
5				
2 4 5 6 7				
6				
7				
8				
9				
1	0			
1				
1	2			
	3			
	4			
1	5			
	6			
	7			
1	8			
	9			
_	U			
2	0123456789			
2	2			
ว	3			
_	ر			
2	4			
2	5			
2	6			
_	_			
2	/			
2	8			
2	9			
_	_			
3	U			
3	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7			
3	2			
٠	_			
3	3			
3	4			
3	5			
`	_			
3	О			
3	7			
	8			
	9			
4	0			
4	1			
4				
4				
4	4			
4				
4				
4	7			
4				
4				
5	0			
5				
5				
5				
5	4			
5				
2	2			
	6			
5	7			

	Page <b>3 / 1</b> 4
1	Freeman-Tukey double arc-sine transformation. Visual analysis of funnel plot and Egger's
2	test will be done to detect small study effect.
3	
4	Ethics and dissemination
5	This review and meta-analysis will be based on published data and will therefore not require a
6	specific ethics clearance. The results will be published in peer-reviewed journals.
7	Study registration number: PROSPERO CRD42018082551.
8	
9	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW
10	- This review will provide an up-to-date summary of the burden of cardiac
11	complications after stroke, reflecting the changes in diagnosis and management of
12	stroke and cardiac diseases over the past decades.
13	- We will use robust meta-analysis tools to provide reliable estimates of the prevalence,
14	incidence, and mortality of cardiac complications after acute stroke.
15	- One major limitation could be the predominance of data from randomized clinical
16	trials known to recruit healthier patients and this might underestimate the real-world
17	incidence of cardiac complications after stroke.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	
25	

## INTRODUCTION

2	Stroke is the second most common cause of death after ischaemic heart diseases and the third
3	leading cause of disability worldwide [1-3]. Between 1990 and 2010, the burden of ischaemic
4	and haemorrhagic stroke increased significantly in terms of the absolute number of people
5	with incident ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke (37% and 47% increase, respectively),
6	number of deaths (21% and 20% increase), and disability-adjusted life years lost (18% and
7	14% increase) [4]. In 2015, the number of stroke-related deaths was estimated to 6.7 million
8	[5]. The initial neurologic injury is responsible for the death in up to 43.9% of cases [6]. The
9	contribution of cardiac complications to the mortality of patients with stroke is variable across
10	studies, ranging from 12.5% to 22.7% [6-8]. Data from the Virtual International Stroke Trials
11	Archive (VISTA) reveal that most serious cardiac complications occur within the first 14 days
12	after stroke [6]. They can arise as a direct consequence of the brain injury itself, from the
13	ensuing reduction in mobility, or from stroke-related treatments [9]. The spectrum of
14	abnormalities includes hypertension, hypotension, myocardial infarction, repolarization
15	abnormalities, cardiac arrhythmias, left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, and cardiac arrest [10,
16	11]. Many of these cardiac complications are preventable and when this is not possible, early
17	recognition and treatment can improve patients outcome [9].
18	In 2005, a systematic review on the risk of myocardial infarction and non-stroke vascular
19	death after transient ischaemic attack (TIA) and ischaemic stroke revealed an annual risk of
20	2.2% (1.7 to 2.7) for total myocardial infarction, 0.9% (0.7 to 1.2) for nonfatal myocardial
21	infarction and 1.1% (0.8 to 1.5) for fatal myocardial infarction [12]. However, the review did
22	not consider other non-fatal cardiac complications after stroke. Furthermore, since the
23	publication of this systematic review, the management of patients with stroke has changed
24	dramatically to include earlier, longer and often more invasive cardiac monitoring [13, 14],
25	earlier and more aggressive treatment with thrombolysis and antithrombotics [15-17],

- 1 increased availability of percutaneous coronarography intervention, which all might have
- 2 changed the incidence and the mortality of cardiac complications after acute stroke. Here, we
- 3 propose a protocol for a systematic review which aims at summarizing the available data on
- 4 the burden of cardiac complications after stroke.

### REVIEW QUESTION

7 What is the burden of cardiac complications after stroke?

### 8 OBJECTIVES

- 9 To determine:
- The prevalence and the incidence of cardiac complications after stroke
- The mortality rate of these complications

### 12 METHODS

- This review protocol has been prepared according to the 2015 Preferred Reporting Items for
- 14 Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines (checklist provided
- as appendix 1) [18]. The review is registered in the PROSPERO International Prospective
- 16 Register for systematic reviews, registration number CRD42018082551.

## 17 1. Criteria for considering studies for the review

### 18 Inclusion criteria

- 19 We will include all cross-sectional, case-controls and cohort studies and clinical trials
- 20 published between January 01, 1950 and December 31, 2017, involving adults and/or
- 21 children, and reporting on the prevalence, the incidence or the mortality of cardiac
- 22 complications after stroke or enough data to compute these estimates. No language restriction
- will be applied.

### **Exclusion criteria**

- 2 We will exclude reviews, commentaries, editorials, studies will small sample size (less than
- 3 30 participants), and studies lacking primary data or with incomplete methods description. For
- 4 studies leading to more than one publication (duplicates), only the most comprehensive report
- 5 including the largest sample size will be considered.

## 2. Search strategy for the identification of relevant studies

- 7 A comprehensive literature search will be performed in PubMed, Excerpta Medica Database
- 8 (EMBASE), ISI Web of Science (Science Citation Index), and the Cumulative Index to
- 9 Nursing and Allied Health (CINHAL) to identify potentially eligible studies. The literature
- search strategy is summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 for PubMed and EMBASE,
- 11 respectively. Following the search in databases, we will screen the reference lists of eligible
- articles and relevant reviews as well as conference proceedings to identify additional sources
- of information. Search results will be compiled using the citation management software
- EndNote X6.0.1. The proposed start date for this review is 01<sup>st</sup> January 2018 and it is
- expected to be completed in a maximum of 6 months.

# 16 3. Selection of studies for inclusion in the review

- 17 Titles and abstracts of records identified through literature search will be independently
- screened for eligibility by two members of the research team (GHKD and JKT). Full-texts of
- 19 records deemed eligible will be retrieved and further assessed for inclusion by the same
- 20 investigators. A screening guide will be developed to ensure consistency of the screening
- 21 method applied by both assessors. Any disagreement will be resolved by discussion and
- consensus. If the latter is not reached, arbitration will be sought from a third member of the
- team (JJN). The interrater agreement for the selection of studies will be assessed using a non-
- weighted Cohen's kappa statistic [19, 20]. Authors of publications reporting unclear data that

- may be subject to multiple interpretations will be contacted by email for clarification or to
- 2 request supplemental information. If a study is excluded, the reasons will be documented.

## 4. Assessment of the methodological quality and risk of bias

- 4 The Risk of Bias Tool for Prevalence Studies [21] and the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for
- 5 randomized control trials [22] will be used to evaluate the methodological quality and risk of
- 6 bias for each study using the full-text publication. The quality of reporting of the studies
- 7 included will be assessed using either the STROBE or the CONSORT checklist depending on
- 8 the nature of the study (observational study or clinical trial) [23, 24]. Risk of bias and quality
- 9 of reporting scores will be presented in a table and interrater agreement will be assessed using
- a weighted Cohen's kappa statistic [25, 26].

## 5. Data extraction and management

- A standardized data extraction sheet will be used to collect information on:
- Study identification: first author's name, year of publication, period of recruitment of
   participants, country.
  - Study and participants' characteristics: study design (cross-sectional, cohort, case-control, clinical trial), setting (hospital-based, community-based), sample size, mean or median age, age range, proportions of male participants, proportion of patients with pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity, dyslipidaemia) or diseases (coronary artery disease, heart failure, previous stroke), mean or median stroke severity score on the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale, proportion of patients with each type of cerebrovascular disease (ischaemic stroke, intracranial haemorrhage, subarachnoid haemorrhage), proportion of patients with

reported), diagnostic criteria for stroke, proportion of patients who received

lesion of the insula (if reported), proportion of patients with right hemisphere lesion (if

- intravenous thrombolysis, proportion of patients who received endovascular
  thrombectomy (without or with prior thrombolysis), mean (or median) time to the
  diagnosis of the complications reported (if available), duration of follow-up for cohort
  studies and clinical trials.
  - Epidemiologic estimates: prevalence, incidence, and mortality of cardiac complications after stroke. Whenever these estimates are not readily available or computable using primary data in the publication, the corresponding author will be contacted to request the missing information. The definition and diagnostic criteria used for each cardiac complication will also be reported. An illustrative list of the cardiac complications that will be considered in this review is provided in Table 3.

# 6. Data analysis and reporting

Data will be analyzed using the metaprop command provided with the software STATA (version 13, StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) [27]. Heterogeneity will be evaluated by the χ2 test on Cochrane's Q statistic and quantified using I² values, considering that I² values of 25%, 50% and 75%, represent low, medium and high heterogeneity respectively [28]. Subgroup analyses will be performed to detect its possible sources. Depending on whether the heterogeneity between effect estimates is most likely due to clinical or methodological diversity between studies, or due to random variation, study-specific estimates of the prevalence, incidence and mortality of cardiovascular complications after stroke across studies will be pooled through fixed-effect or random effect meta-analysis respectively, after stabilizing the variance of individual studies using the Freeman-Tukey double arc-sine transformation [29, 30]. Study-specific estimates will be determined from the point estimate and the appropriate denominators, assuming a binominal distribution. Visual analysis of

- funnel plot and Egger's test will be done to detect small-study effect [31]. All tests will be
- 2 two-sided and statistical significance will be defined as p < 0.05.
- 3 The results of this systematic review will be reported according to the MOOSE guidelines
- 4 [32]. The study selection process will be summarized using a flow diagram. Reasons for study
- 5 exclusion will be described. Quantitative data will be presented in summary tables and forest
- 6 plots where appropriate.

### 7 7. Potential amendments

- 8 We do not intend to make any amendment to this protocol. However, any necessary
- 9 amendment will be documented and reported transparently.

## 10 8. Ethics and dissemination

- 11 This systematic review and meta-analysis will be based on published data and therefore will
- not require a specific ethics clearance. The results will be published in peer-reviewed journals
- and further presented at conferences. The review will be updated regularly as new data
- become available.
- **FUNDING:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
- 18 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** None

- **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS:** GHKD, JKT and JJN conceived the study. GHKD and
- 20 JKT drafted the manuscript. SRN, CMFD, GHKD, JKT, JGZ and JJN revised the manuscript.
- 21 All authors approved the final version. JKT is the guarantor of the review.
- **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

1

2

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

- 2 1.Collaborators GBDCoD. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264
- 3 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
- 4 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1151-1210.
- 5 2.DALYs GBD, Collaborators H. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years
- 6 (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries
- 7 and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
- 8 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1260-1344.
- 9 3.Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence,
- and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a
- systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet
- 12 2017;390(10100):1211-1259.
- 4.Krishnamurthi RV, Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, et al. Global and regional burden of first-
- ever ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden
- of Disease Study 2010. Lancet Glob Health 2013;1(5):e259-281.
- 5.WHO. Top 10 causes of death Fact sheet N°310 Geneva: WHO Press; 2017 [updated
- January 2017; cited 2017 04 July ]. Available from:
- http://www.who.int/entity/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/index.html#.
- 6. Prosser J, MacGregor L, Lees KR, et al. Predictors of early cardiac morbidity and mortality
- 20 after ischemic stroke. Stroke 2007;38(8):2295-2302.
- 7.Bronnum-Hansen H, Davidsen M, Thorvaldsen P, Danish MSG. Long-term survival and
- 22 causes of death after stroke. Stroke 2001;32(9):2131-2136.
- 8. Machado MF, Brucki SM, Nogueira CF, Rocha MS. Infectious disease is the most common
- cause of death among stroke patients: two-years of follow-up. Arq Neuropsiquiatr
- 25 2013;71(6):371-375.
- 9.Kumar S, Selim MH, Caplan LR. Medical complications after stroke. Lancet Neurol
- 27 2010;9(1):105-118.
- 28 10.Gregory T, Smith M. Cardiovascular complications of brain injury. Contin Educ Anaesth
- 29 Crit Care Pain 2012;12(2):67-71.
- 30 11. Chen Z, Venkat P, Seyfried D, Chopp M, Yan T, Chen J. Brain-Heart Interaction: Cardiac
- 31 Complications After Stroke. Circ Res 2017;121(4):451-468.
- 32 12. Touze E, Varenne O, Chatellier G, Peyrard S, Rothwell PM, Mas JL. Risk of myocardial
- infarction and vascular death after transient ischemic attack and ischemic stroke: a systematic
- 34 review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2005;36(12):2748-2755.
- 35 13.Uphaus T, Grings A, Groschel S, et al. Automatic detection of paroxysmal atrial
- 36 fibrillation in patients with ischaemic stroke: better than routine diagnostic workup? Eur J
- 37 Neurol 2017;24(7):990-994.
- 38 14.Carrazco C, Golyan D, Kahen M, Black K, Libman RB, Katz JM. Prevalence and Risk
- 39 Factors for Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter Detection after Cryptogenic Ischemic
- 40 Stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2017.
- 41 15.Balami JS, Hadley G, Sutherland BA, Karbalai H, Buchan AM. The exact science of
- stroke thrombolysis and the quiet art of patient selection. Brain 2013;136(Pt 12):3528-3553.
- 43 16.Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after
- acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2008;359(13):1317-1329.
- 45 17.Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment
- 46 for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372(1):11-20.
- 47 18.Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
- 48 meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.

		Page <b>11 / 14</b>
1 2		
3	1	19. Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Meas
4	2	1960;20(1):37-46.
5	3	20.Sim J, Wright CC. The kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and sample
6	4	size requirements. Phys Ther 2005;85(3):257-268.
7	5	21. Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies:
8	6	modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol
9 10	7	2012;65(9):934-939.
11	8	22. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for
12	9	assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.
13	10	23. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, Group C. CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated
14	11	guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Clin Epidemiol 2010;63(8):834-
15	12	840.
16	13	24.von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
17	14	Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.
18	15 16	Lancet 2007;370(9596):1453-1457.
19 20	16	25. Cohen J. Weighted kappa: nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. Psychol Bull 1968;70(4):213-220.
21	17 18	26. Viera AJ, Garrett JM. Understanding interobserver agreement: the kappa statistic. Fam
22	19	Med 2005;37(5):360-363.
23	20	27. Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of
24	21	binomial data. Arch Public Health 2014;72(1):39.
25	22	28. Huedo-Medina TB, Sanchez-Meca J, Marin-Martinez F, Botella J. Assessing heterogeneity
26	23	in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I2 index? Psychol Methods 2006;11(2):193-206.
27	24	29.Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, Norman RE, Vos T. Meta-analysis of prevalence. J
28	25	Epidemiol Community Health 2013;67(11):974-978.
29 30	26	30. Freeman MF, Tukey JW. Transformations related to the angular and the square root. Ann
31	27	Math Statist 1950;21(4):607-611.
32	28	31. Sterne JA, Egger M, Moher D. Addressing reporting biases. In: Higgins JP, Green S,
33	29	editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Chichester: Wiley;
34	30	2008. p. 297-334.
35	31	32.Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in
36	32	epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in
37	33	Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 2000;283(15):2008-2012.
38	2.4	
39 40	34	
41	35	
42		
43	2.0	
44	36	
45		
46	37	
47		
48 49	2.2	
49 50	38	
51		
52	39	
53		
54		
55	40	
56		

**Table 1:** Search strategy for PubMed

	Search terms
#1	"Stroke"[Tiab] OR "Transient ischemic attack"[Tiab] OR "TIA"[Tiab] OR "Intracranial
	hemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Intracranial haemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Subarachnoid
	hemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "Subarachnoid haemorrhage"[Tiab] OR "cerebrovascular
	accident"[Tiab]
#2	"Myocardial infarction"[Tiab] OR "acute coronary syndrome" [tiab] OR "myocardial
	ischaemia" [tiab] OR "Takotsubo" [Tiab] OR "Wall motion abnormalities" [Tiab] OR
	"Neurogenic cardiac damage"[Tiab] OR "Arrhythmia"[Tiab] OR "atrioventricular
	block" [tiab] OR "sinoatrial block" [tiab] OR "atrial flutter" [tiab] OR
	"supraventricular tachycardia" [tiab] OR "ventricular tachycardia" [tiab] OR "Atrial
	fibrillation"[Tiab] OR "QT prolongation" [tiab] OR "torsade de pointes" [tiab] OR
	"hypertension" [tiab] OR "hypotension" [tiab]
#3	"Mortality"[Tiab] OR "Death"[Tiab] OR "Fatality"[Tiab] OR "Prevalence"[Tiab] OR
	"Incidence"[Tiab] OR "Outcome"[Tiab]
#4	#1 AND #2 AND #3
#5	Restrict [humans]
L	

 Table 2: Search strategy for EMBASE

	Search tems
#1	("cerebrovascular accident"/exp OR "cerebrovascular accident":ti,ab OR
	"stroke":ti,ab) OR ("brain hemorrhage"/exp OR "brain hemorrhage":ti,ab OR
	"intracranial hemorrhage":ti,ab)
#2	"hypertension" :ti,ab OR "hypotension" :ti,ab OR 'heart infarction':ti,ab OR
	'myocardial infarction':ti,ab OR 'acute coronary syndrome':ti,ab OR 'heart
	muscle ischemia':ti,ab OR 'myocardial ischemia':ti,ab OR 'takotsubo':ti,ab OR
	'wall motion abnormalit*':ti,ab OR 'neurogenic cardiac damage':ti,ab OR
	'atrioventricular block':ti,ab OR 'sinoatrial block':ti,ab OR 'atrial flutter':ti,ab
	OR 'supraventricular tachycardia':ti,ab OR 'ventricular tachycardia':ti,ab OR
	'atrial fibrillation':ti,ab OR 'qt prolongation':ti,ab
#3	"death":ti,ab OR "Fatality"/exp OR "Fatal*":ti,ab OR "lethality"/exp OR
	"lethal*":ti,ab)
#4	#1 AND #2 AND #3
#6 (Restrict	#5 AND 'human'/de
to humans)	
#7 (Filter	#6 AND ('clinical study'/de OR 'clinical trial'/de OR 'cohort analysis'/de OR
by study	'comparative study'/de OR 'controlled clinical trial'/de OR 'controlled study'/de
·	

Page 14 / 14

type)	OR 'family study'/de OR 'major clinical study'/de OR 'medical record		
	review'/de OR 'observational study'/de OR 'prospective study'/de OR		
	'randomized controlled trial'/de OR 'retrospective study'/de OR 'systematic		
	review'/de)		

3 Table 3: List of possible cardiac complications after stroke

Acute coronary	Myocardial infarction
syndromes	Regional wall motion abnormality without infarction / neurogenic
	cardiac damage / Takotsubo cardiomyopathy
Repolarization	Abnormal waves and segments
abnormalities	ST elevation
	T waves (inversion or abnormal shape)
	U waves
	Q waves
	QT prolongation
Arrhythmias	Atrial fibrillation
	Atrial flutter
	Supraventricular tachycardia
	Ventricular tachycardia
	Torsade de pointe
	Sinoatrial block
	Atrioventricular block
	Bundle block
Elevated cardiac	Troponin
enzymes	Creatinine kinase-MB
	Atrial natriuretic peptide
Others	Sudden cardiac death
	Hypertension
	Hypotension
	• 1

 PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item 2	Page
ADMINISTRATIVE I	NFORM A	ATION	
Title:		20	
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	NA
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	Pending
Authors:		O <sub>A</sub>	
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical address of corresponding author	1
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	9
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	NA
Support:		njop Njop	
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	9
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	NA
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	NA
INTRODUCTION		on A	
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	4
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	5
METHODS		4 by	
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review.	5-6
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	ner 5-6
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planne limits, such that it could be repeated	ld 12
Study records:			
		copyright.	
		ght	

		7-0	
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review $\frac{N}{2}$	7-8
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	6
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	7-8
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources, any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	7-8
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	7-8
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether his will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	6-7
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	8-9
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, method of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I², Kendall's τ)	8-9
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	8-9
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	8-9
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	8-9
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	8-9

<sup>\*</sup> It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (externation experiment) to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.