

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Accelerated Induction Regimens of TNF-alpha Inhibitors in Patients with Moderate to Severe Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Scoping Review Protocol

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2017-019909 |
| Article Type: | Protocol |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 03-Oct-2017 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Johnston, Amy; University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Cardiovascular Research Methods Centre Natarajan, Sabrina; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy Hayes, Meghan; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy MacDonald, Erika; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy |
| Keywords: | Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Inflammatory bowel disease < GASTROENTEROLOGY, Scoping Review, Crohn's Disease, Ulcerative Colitis, knowledge synthesis |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Accelerated Induction Regimens of TNF-alpha Inhibitors in Patients with Moderate to Severe Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Scoping Review Protocol

Corresponding author:

Amy Johnston, MSc.
Cardiovascular Research Methods Centre,
University of Ottawa Heart Institute
40 Ruskin Street, Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1Y 4W7
Telephone: 613-798-5555 x 17481 or 613-620-0902
E-mail: AJohnston@ottawaheart.ca

Sabrina Natarajan, B.Sc.Pharm, ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa, Canada
Email: snatarajan@toh.on.ca

Meghan Hayes, B.Sc.Pharm, ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa, Canada
Email: mehayes@toh.on.ca

Erika Macdonald, MSc., ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa Hospital Research Institute
Ottawa, Canada
Email: erimacdonald@toh.on.ca

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables): 2198

ABSTRACT

Introduction: TNF-alpha inhibitors are commonly used to treat moderate to severe inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In patients with severe IBD who are unresponsive to their first induction dose, the implementation of an 'accelerated' induction dose schedule (doses more frequent than recommended in product monographs) is becoming increasingly common. It is unclear whether this practice results in favourable patient outcomes, such as avoidance of surgery and disease remission. As such, there is a need to identify and map the current evidence base on accelerated induction schedules of these medications in the treatment of moderate to severe IBD.

Methods and Analysis: A scoping review will be employed to systematically identify and characterize the nature of scientific literature on accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors. MEDLINE, EMBASE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, and grey literature will be searched to identify relevant studies. The titles/abstracts of all records and full-text of potentially relevant articles will be independently screened for inclusion by two reviewers. Data will be abstracted from included studies by one reviewer and verified for accuracy by another. The findings will be synthesized descriptively.

Ethics and Dissemination: We intend to report the findings of this scoping review in a peer-reviewed journal and a scientific conference.

Registration: This research was registered prospectively with the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/z7n2d/>)

Keywords: Inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, knowledge synthesis, scoping review, protocol

Strengths and Limitations of this study:

- We will conduct a comprehensive literature search of peer-reviewed and grey literature across several databases enabling us to identify both unpublished and difficult to locate studies
- Our scoping review will be undertaken using a systematically rigorous approach, guided by methodology outlined by Arksey and O'Malley and the Joanna Briggs Institute
- No restrictions will be placed on language
- To increase the feasibility of our review, data will be abstracted by one reviewer and independently verified by another reviewer
- While similar study designs and types of documents will be compared against each other, the quality of evidence will not be assessed in keeping with conventional scoping review methodology

1
2
3 **BACKGROUND**

4 **Introduction**

5 Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is an umbrella term used to describe a complex set of chronic
6 relapsing and remitting inflammatory conditions characterized by severe inflammation of the
7 gastrointestinal mucosa.[1-3] The two major categories of IBD are Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative
8 colitis (UC), which are distinguished from each other by clinical symptoms and location.[1] Whereas CD
9 can affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract, the gastrointestinal inflammatory effects of UC are
10 limited to the large intestine.[4]

11
12
13 As IBD is a chronic inflammatory disease for which there is no cure, therapies aim to induce and
14 maintain control of symptoms, minimize complications, and improve quality of life.[1, 5, 6] In addition
15 to lifestyle changes, current practice guidelines recommend the use of a variety of drug therapies in the
16 treatment of IBD, including: 5-aminosalicylic acid agents, immune-modulating agents such as
17 azathioprine or methotrexate, corticosteroids, and biologic agents (monoclonal antibodies), including
18 those designed to inhibit the cell signaling protein tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha).[1, 7-9]
19 Indeed, the advent of TNF-alpha inhibitors brought about a substantial shift in IBD therapy over the past
20 20 years. Today, these drugs are commonly used when patients fail to maintain remission of symptoms
21 with other agents or require high doses of corticosteroids to control symptoms.[1, 5] TNF-alpha
22 inhibitors can allow patients to avoid or delay the need for surgical interventions including the formation
23 of an ostomy, resection of the gastrointestinal tract, or colectomy.[10]

24
25
26
27 Infliximab was the first TNF-alpha inhibitor approved for the treatment of CD.[11] In 2002, Hanauer *et al.*
28 published the ACCENT I study in which the authors evaluated the efficacy of repeated doses of
29 infliximab to maintain remission in patients with moderate to severe non-fistulizing CD.[12] Patients
30 who received infliximab were found to have longer maintenance of remission compared to those who
31 received placebo. Subsequent studies illustrated the efficacy of infliximab in fistulizing CD (ACCENT II
32 trial)[13] and in maintaining remission in UC (ACT 1 and 2 trials).[14] Although the indication for TNF-
33 alpha inhibitors is moderate to severe IBD, most patients included in these studies were diagnosed with
34 moderate disease.[12,14] Notably, patients with severe UC requiring ongoing high-dose corticosteroids
35 were specifically excluded from the ACT 1 and 2 trials.[14] Since the publication of these landmark
36 studies, infliximab has become a key agent in the treatment of moderate to severe IBD; however, other
37 TNF-alpha inhibitors such as adalimumab, golimumab, and certolizumab have subsequently been
38 approved for this indication. More recently, TNF-alpha inhibitor biosimilars (medications designed to
39 have the same active properties as, and no clinically meaningful differences when compared to, existing
40 TNF-alpha inhibitor ‘reference products’[15]) as well as interleukin and integrin inhibitors have also been
41 approved for the treatment of moderate to severe IBD.[16-18]

42
43
44
45 Dosing of TNF-alpha inhibitors requires an induction phase and a maintenance phase. In the induction
46 phase, two or three doses of the TNF-alpha inhibitor are given within a few weeks to improve clinical
47 symptoms.[19] In the maintenance phase, the TNF-alpha inhibitor is administered at regular intervals to
48 maintain control of symptoms, and adjunctive medications are often continued. The dose can be
49 increased to treat worsening symptoms.[20-24] Induction doses of TNF-alpha inhibitors can also be
50 escalated in patients with poor or incomplete response to the initial induction doses.[13]

51
52
53 In 2015, Gibson *et al.* published a study examining whether patients with acute severe UC required more
54 frequent or higher infliximab doses to overcome the higher levels of inflammation and faster drug
55 clearance noted in this population.[25] In their retrospective study of 50 hospitalized patients with

acute severe UC, 15 received what the authors termed an “accelerated infliximab induction regimen”: three doses of infliximab within a median of 24 days rather than the usual six weeks. Although this was a small study, in the 12-month period after induction there was a statistically significant difference in the number of colectomies between the group who received the accelerated regimen compared to those who received the standard induction regimen (6.7% vs. 40%, $p=0.039$). This difference, however, was not maintained after long term follow-up (two years).

Rationale

It is unclear whether accelerated TNF-alpha inhibitor induction dosing regimens result in favourable patient outcomes (e.g. decreased rates of surgical intervention and increased rates of disease remission) as studies examining the practice’s safety and efficacy do not appear to be well-documented in primary literature. Safety data, including degree of immunosuppression, potential risk of malignancy, hepatotoxicity, and antibody formation also appear to be scarce. It is therefore difficult to weigh the potential benefits and risks of implementing these dosing regimens in IBD patients.

A 2008 health technology inquiry by the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health revealed no relevant clinical studies, health technology assessments, or literature reviews on this topic.[26] Since that time, accelerated dosing schedules have become increasingly implemented in inpatient settings.[27, 28] Given the increasing global incidence and prevalence of IBD as well as the high cost of TNF-alpha inhibitors, the practice of accelerated dose scheduling, which involves prescribing medication more quickly than is currently recommended in product monographs, will put an even greater strain on hospital resources.[29] It is, therefore, prudent to systematically identify and map the extent, range, and nature of current research on this practice in the treatment of moderate to severe IBD.

METHODOLOGY

Study design

Scoping review methodology will be used to systematically identify and map the nature of evidence on this topic. As a guide, we will use the methodological framework described by Levac *et al.* (2010)[30] and the Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers’ Manual on Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews[31], both of which build upon the methods developed by Arksey and O’Malley (2005).[32]

This review will be completed in six stages by three pharmacists and a researcher. As part of stage one, the initial research question has been developed. Stage two will involve the identification of relevant studies. As a third stage, we will select studies for inclusion and, in the fourth stage we will extract relevant data from included studies. In the fifth stage of this review, we will synthesize and report our findings. Throughout the review process, we will engage in stakeholder consultation (stage six) with clinical specialists to gain insight on aspects about the research topic that the literature does not reveal.

Protocol

This protocol was developed using The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis for Protocols (PRISMA-P, appendix1).[33] It was reviewed and revised by all members of the research team as required. The research was registered prospectively with the Open Science Framework on August 31, 2017 (<https://osf.io/z7n2d/>).

Research question

This review will be guided by the research question: “What is the nature and extent of available

evidence and research activity relating to accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors in the treatment of moderate to severe IBD.” This question was developed and refined in collaboration with the entire research team.

Literature search

Scoping reviews aim to search broadly and extensively for available literature on a topic; however, certain guiding parameters are required to help direct the search. A research librarian (RS) formulated an initial comprehensive search strategy in collaboration with the research team (MEDLINE and EMBASE, appendix2). The strategy will be tested and refined using an iterative process to facilitate a robust and comprehensive search across other key databases (Cochrane CENTRAL via Wiley, and International Pharmaceutical Abstracts). No date or language restrictions will be applied to searches.

A search for grey literature search will also be conducted, and will include: conference abstracts, clinical trial registries, as well as targeted internet searches. We will also consult clinical experts to identify known studies that address this research topic as well as any key conferences and/or journals that may not be indexed. Mendeley[34] reference management and pdf organizer software will be used to manage records identified from all searches.

To validate the initial search strategy, search results will be cross-referenced against a list of relevant studies known to the research team. The validated search, as well as any subsequent search completed in additional databases, may be modified and repeated in the early stages of the review if new information emerges to facilitate the completion of a comprehensive literature search.

Study selection

While the aim of scoping reviews is to obtain a broad, iterative, examination of a topic of interest, some constraints on inclusion are required to ease the process of identifying the most appropriate literature.[32] As such, relevant studies will be selected for inclusion by screening all identified records against a set of *a priori* eligibility criteria as defined in **table1**.

Table1 | Population, Concept, and Context Elements of the Study Eligibility Criteria on this Topic

| |
|--|
| Population |
| - Patients of all ages and any sex diagnosed with moderate to severe IBD |
| Concept |
| - Treatment with any TNF-alpha inhibitor using any ‘accelerated’ dosing schedule* |
| - All reported comparisons of interest will be considered |
| - All primary and secondary outcomes as reported by study authors are of interest; we will not screen records for inclusion based on outcomes reported |
| Context |
| - We will include experimental and observational study designs that attempt to evaluate the efficacy and/or safety of using a TNF-alpha inhibitor induction regimen with doses given more frequently than what is specified in the most current product monograph as determined by the region in which the study was conducted (e.g. Canada, United States, or the European Union) |
| - Evidence reported in research syntheses (systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and narrative reviews) will also be considered |
| - Conference abstracts will be included if full-text publications are not available |
| - No date or language restrictions will be placed on the literature search |

* For the purposes of this review, 'accelerated' is defined as any dosing frequency that exceeds what is recommended in the most current product monograph

As suggested by Levac *et al.* (2010),[30] we will employ a team approach to the selection of included studies. First, two reviewers will independently complete a pilot screening exercise on a random sample of titles and abstracts of all types of records retrieved. Once completed, the review team will meet to discuss challenges and questions that arose during the pilot test and, if necessary, adjustments to eligibility criteria will be made in consultation with the research team. When all reviewers are satisfied with the results of the pilot test, screening of titles and abstracts of all records retrieved by the database and grey literature searches will then be completed independently by two reviewers. The research team will meet at the midpoint and final stages of this process to discuss any questions that arise throughout the screening process.

Any full-text records deemed potentially eligible for inclusion after the title/abstract screening stage will be retrieved and reviewed for eligibility by two independent reviewers. Discrepancies in inclusion will be resolved by discussion and consensus. Decisions that cannot be made through discussion will be brought to a third reviewer for review. Covidence,[35] a web-based systematic review software program, will be used to facilitate the study selection process.

Data extraction

The entire research team will be involved in the development, and refinement, of the final data extraction form; however, we have conducted a preliminary exercise to develop a small number of *a priori* data items, across four distinct categories, to be charted (**table2**). These items have been incorporated into a standard extraction form.

Table2 | Key information to be charted during the review process

| Study Characteristics | Participant characteristics | Intervention and comparator(s) | Key findings |
|--|--|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Authors - Trial name - Publication year - Country of origin - Primary and secondary objectives - Study design - Type of intervention - Study sponsor/funding agency | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inclusion and exclusion criteria - IBD diagnosis - Total number of participants - Total number of females/males - Mean age | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Duration of intervention (if applicable) - Accelerated dosing schedule - Comparators | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Results of primary outcome - Results of secondary outcomes |

Two reviewers will independently pilot the form on a random sample of five to ten included studies. Once completed, the research team will meet to review and revise the extraction form as necessary. As the extraction process continues, additional data of relevance may be identified that was not defined *a priori*. Given the iterative nature of the extraction process, we expect the form to be continually updated as the review progresses.[30,31] To assist with the feasibility of this review, Covidence systematic review software will be used to extract data from included studies and will be completed independently by one reviewer and verified for accuracy by another.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Quality assessment

Our aim is to map and describe the current available evidence on this topic, not to collect and analyze the best available evidence for the purposes of addressing a specific research question. As such, we will not appraise the methodological quality of included studies, or grade the evidence, as these types of activities are infrequently undertaken as part of the scoping review process.[31]

Data synthesis and reporting of findings

Details of the literature search and screening results will be summarized narratively and will be presented using a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Flow Diagram.[36] We will also provide a comprehensive descriptive table of studies that fully addressed the research question. Specific data items (*i.e.* study and participant characteristics) will also be collated and summarized in tables. To present a visual and descriptive summary of key results and descriptive data, we will use diagrams, such as a word cloud (Wordle.com), and a pictorial summary of the types of accelerated doses identified. Descriptive data will be synthesized using qualitative thematic analysis techniques by one reviewer and verified by another.

Stakeholder consultation

We will engage in ongoing stakeholder consultation throughout the progression of this review. Given the potential implication of this research on clinical practice, we feel it pertinent to engage with clinical experts beginning early in the review process as a part of the knowledge translation process.

CONCLUSIONS

This scoping exercise will allow for a broad, iterative examination of the current state of evidence on accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors in the treatment of moderate to severe IBD. We will employ the same systematic and rigorous methodology to retrieve and extract data as that used in the undertaking of a traditional systematic review and aim to identify knowledge gaps in this area to determine whether a more in-depth knowledge synthesis is warranted.

CONTRIBUTORS: Amy Johnston, Sabrina Natarajan, Meghan Hayes, and Erika MacDonald made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work, drafting the work, and revising it critically for important intellectual content and provided final approval of the version to be published and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank Risa Shorr for her assistance in developing the literature search

FUNDING: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

COMPETING INTERESTS: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/doi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

REFERENCES

- 1 Lahad A, Weiss B. Current therapy of pediatric Crohn's disease. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol* 2015;**6**:33–42. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i2.33
- 2 de Vries HS, van Oijen MG, Driessen RJ, *et al.* Appropriate infliximab infusion dosage and monitoring: results of a panel meeting of rheumatologists, dermatologists and gastroenterologists. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2011;**71**:7–19. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2010.03760.x
- 3 Karp LC, Targan SR. Heterogeneity of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: Targan SR, Shanahan F, Karp LC, eds. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Translating Basic Science into Clinical Practice*. West Sussex, UK: : Blackwell Publishing Ltd 2010.
- 4 Kasper DL, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, *et al.* Chapter 148: Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: *Harrison's Manual of Internal Medicine*. 2016. 790–4.
- 5 Abraham C, Cho JH. Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**361**:2066–78. doi:10.1056/NEJMra0804647.
- 6 Sandborn W, Schreiber S, Hanauer S, *et al.* Long-term maintenance of remission with no dose escalation after re-induction with certolizumab pegol in patients with Crohn's disease exacerbation who were naive to anti-TNF treatment: 4-year results from the precise 4 study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2010;**105**:S418.
- 7 Terdiman JP, Gruss CB, Heidelbaugh JJ, *et al.* American gastroenterological association institute guideline on the use of thiopurines, methotrexate, and anti-TNF- α biologic drugs for the induction and maintenance of remission in inflammatory Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;**145**:1459–63.
- 8 Kornbluth A, Sachar DB. Ulcerative colitis practice guidelines in adults: American College of Gastroenterology, Practice Parameters Committee. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;**105**:501–23. Erratum in: *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;**105**:500. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.727
- 9 Biancone L, Annesec V, Ardizzone S, *et al.* Safety of treatments for inflammatory bowel disease: Clinical practice guidelines of the Italian Group for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IG-IBD). *Dig Liver Dis* 2017;**49**:338–58. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2017.01.141
- 10 Surlin V, Copaescu C, Saftoiu A. An Update to Surgical Management of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: Szabo I, editor. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease* [Internet]. InTech;2012. doi: 10.5772/53057. Available from: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/inflammatory-bowel-disease/an-update-to-surgical-management-of-inflammatory-bowel-diseases>
- 11 Loftus E V. New Data on the Use of Biologic Agents for Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis: Highlights from the 2009 CCFA Advances in IBD Meeting. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2010;**6**:4–16.
- 12 Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, *et al.* Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: The ACCENT I randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;**359**:1541–9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08512-4
- 13 Sands BE, Anderson FH, Bernstein CN, *et al.* Infliximab maintenance therapy for fistulizing Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**350**:876–85. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa030815
- 14 Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, *et al.* Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *New Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:2462–76. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa050516
- 15 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Information on Biosimilars. 2016. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/developmentapprovalprocess/howdrugsaredevelopedandapproved/approvalapplications/therapeuticbiologicapplications/biosimilars/>
- 16 Côté-Daigneault J, Bouin M, Lahaie R, *et al.* Biologics in inflammatory bowel disease: what are the data? *United Eur Gastroenterol J* 2015;**3**:419–28. doi:10.1177/2050640615590302
- 17 Hlavaty T, Letkovsky J. Biosimilars in the therapy of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Eur J*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;**26**:581–7. doi:10.1097/MEG.0000000000000098

18 Wu S, Yang YM, Zhu J, *et al.* Meta-analysis of efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants compared with uninterrupted Vitamin K antagonists in patients undergoing catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol* 2016;**117**:926–34. 19

19 Schwartz M, Cohen R. Optimizing conventional therapy for inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* 2008;**10**:585–90.

20 Humira [Product Monograph]. St-Laurent, QC: AbbVie Corp; 2014. Available from: https://www.abbvie.ca/content/dam/abbviecorp/ca/en/docs/HUMIRA_PM_EN.pdf

21 Simponi [Product Monograph]. Toronto, ON:Janssen Inc;2017. Available from: http://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www_janssen_com_canada/files/prod_files/live/simponi_cpm.pdf

22 Remicade [Product Monograph]. Toronto, ON:Janssen Inc;2017. Available from: http://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www_janssen_com_canada/files/prod_files/live/remicade_cpm.pdf

23 European Medicines Agency: EMEA/H/C/000481-II/0168 - Humira 2017. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000481/WC500050870.pdf

24 European Medicines Agency: EMEA/H/C/000240 -N/0197 - Remicade 2016. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000240/WC500050888.pdf

25 Gibson DJ, Heetun ZS, Redmond CE, *et al.* An accelerated infliximab induction regimen reduces the need for early colectomy in patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;**13**:330–5.26

26 Compressed Dosing Schedule for Adalimumab and Infliximab for the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases : Clinical and Cost-Effectiveness. Ottawa, Canada: 2008. Available from: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/Compressed Dosing Schedule for Adalimumab and Infliximab for the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Dis.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/Compressed%20Dosing%20Schedule%20for%20Adalimumab%20and%20Infliximab%20for%20the%20Treatment%20of%20Inflammatory%20Bowel%20Dis.pdf)

27 University of North Carolina [Internet]. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina School of Medicine; c2016 [cited September 20, 2017]. UNC Inflammatory Bowel Disease Drug Protocol Infliximab (Remicade). Available from: https://www.med.unc.edu/gi/faculty-staff-website/patient-education/patient-education/ibd-protocols/UNCINFLAMMATORYBOWELDISEASE_antiTNFPROTOCOL_infliximab.pdf

28 Rofaiei R, Kohli S, Mura M, *et al.* A 53-year-old man with dyspnoea, respiratory failure, consistent with infliximab-induced acute interstitial pneumonitis after an accelerated induction dosing schedule. *BMJ Case Rep* 2017;**2017**. doi:10.1136/bcr-2017-219956

29 Ye Y, Pang Z, Chen W, *et al.* The epidemiology and risk factors of inflammatory bowel disease. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;**8**:22529–42. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4730025/>

30 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci* 2010;**5**:69. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

31 The Joanna Briggs Institute. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2015: Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews. Adelaide: 2015. Available from: http://joannabriggs.org/assets/docs/sumari/Reviewers-Manual_Methodology-for-JBI-Scoping-Reviews_2015_v1.pdf

32 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Methodol* 2005;**8**:19–32. doi:10.1080/1364557032000119616

33 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and

- Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;**4**:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- 34 Mendeley Reference Management Software & Researcher Network, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Available at www.mendeley.com
- 35 Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Available at www.covidence.org
- 36 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *Annu Intern Med* 2009;**151**:264–9. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist

Adapted from: Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item | Information reported | | Location |
|----------------------------|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | | | Yes | No | |
| Title: | | | | | |
| Identification | 1a | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review* | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Title page, abstract, methodology |
| Update | 1b | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Registration | 2 | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Abstract, Methodology (protocol) |
| Authors: | | | | | |
| Contact | 3a | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Cover page |
| Contributions | 3b | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contributors |
| Amendments | 4 | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Support: | | | | | |
| Sources | 5a | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| Sponsor | 5b | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
| Rationale | 6 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Rationale |
| Objectives | 7 | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |

| METHODS | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Eligibility criteria | 8 | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection) |
| Information sources | 9 | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (literature search) |
| Search strategy | 10 | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (literature search), Appendix 2 |
| Study records: | | | | | |
| Data management | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection and literature search) |
| Selection process | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection) |
| Data collection process | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Data items | 12 | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Outcomes and prioritization | 13 | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14 | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (quality assessment) |
| Data synthesis | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data synthesis and reporting of findings) |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Meta-bias(es) | 16 | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence | 17 | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (quality assessment) |

* This is a scoping review

For peer review only

Database: Embase Classic+Embase <1947 to 2017 January 11>, Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

```

1  infliximab/ (48700)
2  (Remicade or Remsima or Inflectra or infliximab).tw. (32147)
3  adalimumab/ (27627)
4  (Adalimumab or Humira or Exemptia).tw. (18650)
5  golimumab/ (4196)
6  (Golimumab or CNTO 148 or simponi).tw. (3210)
7  certolizumab pegol/ (4903)
8  Certolizumab.tw. (2898)
9  (CDP870 or CDP 870).tw. (353)
10 tumor necrosis factor alpha/ad, an, do, dt [Drug Administration, Drug Analysis, Drug Dose,
Drug Therapy] (3782)
11 (tnf alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*).tw. (8597)
12 (tumo?r necrosis factor alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*).tw. (3537)
13 (anti tnf or anti tumo?r necrosis factor).tw. (31425)
14 or/1-13 (90955)
15 inflammatory bowel disease/ (39746)
16 ulcerative colitis/ (97485)
17 Crohn disease/ (114048)
18 (ibd or inflammat* bowel or colitis or crohn*).tw. (256968)
19 or/15-18 (290827)
20 14 and 19 (27889)
21 ad.fs. (1819116)
22 *drug administration/ (7239)
23 dos* interval*.tw. (11909)
24 optim* dos*.tw. (33093)
25 (dos* adj2 paradigm*).tw. (817)
26 (accelerat* or compress*).tw. (780578)
27 ((optimi* or escalat* or augment* or intens*) adj3 (dosing or dosage or dose* or schedul* or
induction)).tw. (88951)
28 *dose response/ (63494)
29 drug dose escalation/ or drug dose increase/ or drug dose intensification/ or optimal drug
dose/ (64268)
30 or/21-29 (2792242)
31 20 and 30 (3375)
32 conference abstract.pt. (2447214)
33 31 and 32 (654) Conference Abstracts
34 31 not 33 (2721)
35 34 use emcxd (1427) Embase
36 Infliximab/ (48700)
37 (Remicade or Remsima or Inflectra or infliximab).tw. (32147)
38 Adalimumab/ (27627)
39 (Adalimumab or Humira or Exemptia).tw. (18650)
40 CNTO148.tw. (1)
41 (Golimumab or CNTO 148 or simponi).tw. (3210)
42 Certolizumab Pegol/ or Certolizumab.tw. (5836)
43 (CDP870 or CDP 870).tw. (353)
44 Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha/ai (14791)
45 (tnf alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*).tw. (8597)
46 (tumo?r necrosis factor alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*).tw. (3537)
47 (anti tnf or anti tumo?r necrosis factor).tw. (31425)
48 or/36-47 (93877)

```

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

49 inflammatory bowel diseases/ or colitis, ulcerative/ or crohn disease/ (168796)
50 (ibd or inflammat* bowel or colitis or crohn*).tw. (256968)
51 49 or 50 (285857)
52 48 and 51 (28004)
53 ad.fs. (1819116)
54 Dose-Response Relationship, Drug/ (757811)
55 Drug Administration Schedule/ (159528)
56 dos* interval*.tw. (11909)
57 optim* dos*.tw. (33093)
58 (dos* adj2 paradigm*).tw. (817)
59 (accelerat* or compress*).tw. (780578)
60 ((optimi* or escalat* or augment* or intens*) adj3 (dosing or dosage or dose* or schedul* or
induction)).tw. (88951)
61 or/53-60 (3316256)
62 52 and 61 (3355)
63 62 use ppez (1470) Medline
64 35 or 63 (2897)
65 remove duplicates from 64 (2220)
66 65 use ppez (1287) Medline (duplicates removed)
67 65 use emczd (933) Embase (duplicates removed)

BMJ Open

Accelerated Induction Regimens of TNF-alpha Inhibitors in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Scoping Review Protocol

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2017-019909.R1 |
| Article Type: | Protocol |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 07-Dec-2017 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Johnston, Amy; University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Cardiovascular Research Methods Centre Natarajan, Sabrina; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy Hayes, Meghan; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy MacDonald, Erika; The Ottawa Hospital, Pharmacy Shorr, Risa; The Ottawa Hospital |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Gastroenterology and hepatology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Evidence based practice, Pharmacology and therapeutics |
| Keywords: | Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Inflammatory bowel disease < GASTROENTEROLOGY, Scoping Review, Crohn's Disease, Ulcerative Colitis, knowledge synthesis |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

**Accelerated Induction Regimens of TNF-alpha Inhibitors in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease:
A Scoping Review Protocol**

Corresponding author:

Amy Johnston, MSc.
Cardiovascular Research Methods Centre,
University of Ottawa Heart Institute
40 Ruskin Street, Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1Y 4W7
Telephone: 613-798-5555 x 17481 or 613-620-0902
E-mail: AJohnston@ottawaheart.ca

Sabrina Natarajan, B.Sc.Pharm, ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa, Canada
Email: snatarajan@toh.on.ca

Meghan Hayes, B.Sc.Pharm, ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa, Canada
Email: mehayes@toh.on.ca

Erika Macdonald, MSc., ACPR
Pharmacy Department
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa Hospital Research Institute
Ottawa, Canada
Email: erimacdonald@toh.on.ca

Risa Shorr, MLIS
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
Ottawa, Canada
Email: rshorr@toh.on.ca

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables): 2711

ABSTRACT

Introduction: TNF-alpha inhibitors are commonly used to treat inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In patients with IBD who are unresponsive to their first induction dose, the implementation of an 'accelerated' induction dose schedule (doses more frequent than recommended in product monographs) is becoming increasingly common. It is unclear whether this practice results in favourable patient outcomes, such as avoidance of surgery and disease remission. As such, there is a need to identify and map the current evidence base on accelerated induction schedules of these medications in the treatment of IBD.

Methods and Analysis: A scoping review will be employed to systematically identify and characterize the nature of scientific literature on accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors. MEDLINE, EMBASE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, and grey literature will be searched to identify relevant studies. The titles/abstracts of all records and full-text of potentially relevant articles will be independently screened for inclusion by two reviewers. Data will be abstracted from included studies by one reviewer and verified for accuracy by another. The findings will be synthesized descriptively.

Ethics and Dissemination: We intend to report the findings of this scoping review in a peer-reviewed journal and a scientific conference.

Registration: This research was registered prospectively with the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/z7n2d/>)

Keywords: Inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, knowledge synthesis, scoping review, protocol

Strengths and Limitations of this study:

- We will conduct a comprehensive literature search of peer-reviewed and grey literature across several databases enabling us to identify both unpublished and difficult to locate studies
- Our scoping review will be undertaken using a systematically rigorous approach, guided by methodology outlined by Arksey and O'Malley and the Joanna Briggs Institute
- No restrictions will be placed on language
- To increase the feasibility of our review, data will be abstracted by one reviewer and independently verified by another reviewer
- While similar study designs and types of documents will be compared against each other, the quality of evidence will not be assessed in keeping with conventional scoping review methodology

BACKGROUND

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is an umbrella term used to describe a complex set of chronic relapsing and remitting inflammatory conditions characterized by severe inflammation of the gastrointestinal mucosa.[1-3] The two major categories of IBD are Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), which are distinguished from each other by clinical symptoms and location.[1] Whereas CD can affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract, the gastrointestinal inflammatory effects of UC are limited to the large intestine.[4]

As IBD is a chronic inflammatory disease for which there is no cure, therapies aim to induce and maintain control of symptoms, minimize complications, and improve quality of life.[1, 5, 6] In addition to lifestyle changes, current practice guidelines recommend the use of a variety of drug therapies in the treatment of IBD, including: 5-aminosalicylic acid agents, immune-modulating agents such as azathioprine or methotrexate, corticosteroids, and biologic agents (monoclonal antibodies), including those designed to inhibit the cell signaling protein tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha).[1, 7-9] Indeed, the advent of TNF-alpha inhibitors brought about a substantial shift in IBD therapy over the past 20 years. Today, these drugs are commonly used when patients fail to maintain remission of symptoms with other agents or require high doses of corticosteroids to control symptoms.[1, 5] TNF-alpha inhibitors can allow patients to avoid or delay the need for surgical interventions including the formation of an ostomy, resection of the gastrointestinal tract, or colectomy.[10]

Infliximab was the first TNF-alpha inhibitor approved for the treatment of CD.[11] In 2002, Hanauer *et al.* published the ACCENT I study in which the authors evaluated the efficacy of repeated doses of infliximab to maintain remission in patients with moderate to severe non-fistulizing CD.[12] Patients who received infliximab were found to have longer maintenance of remission compared to those who received placebo. Subsequent studies illustrated the efficacy of infliximab in fistulizing CD (ACCENT II trial)[13] and in maintaining remission in UC (ACT 1 and 2 trials).[14] Although the indication for TNF-alpha inhibitors is moderate to severe IBD, most patients included in these studies were diagnosed with moderate disease.[12,14] Notably, patients with severe UC requiring ongoing high-dose corticosteroids were specifically excluded from the ACT 1 and 2 trials.[14] Since the publication of these landmark studies, infliximab has become a key agent in the treatment of IBD; however, other TNF-alpha inhibitors such as adalimumab, golimumab, and certolizumab have subsequently been approved for this indication. More recently, TNF-alpha inhibitor biosimilars (medications designed to have the same active properties as, and no clinically meaningful differences when compared to, existing TNF-alpha inhibitor ‘reference products’[15]) as well as interleukin and integrin inhibitors have also been approved for the treatment of IBD.[16-18]

Dosing of TNF-alpha inhibitors requires an induction phase and a maintenance phase. In the induction phase, two or three doses of the TNF-alpha inhibitor are given within a few weeks to improve clinical symptoms.[19] In the maintenance phase, the TNF-alpha inhibitor is administered at regular intervals to maintain control of symptoms, and adjunctive medications are often continued. The dose can be increased to treat worsening symptoms.[20-24] Induction doses of TNF-alpha inhibitors can also be escalated in patients with poor or incomplete response to the initial induction doses.[13]

In 2015, Gibson *et al.* published a study examining whether patients with acute severe UC required more frequent or higher infliximab doses to overcome the higher levels of inflammation and faster drug clearance noted in this population.[25] In their retrospective study of 50 hospitalized patients with

acute severe UC, 15 received what the authors termed an “accelerated infliximab induction regimen”: three doses of infliximab within a median of 24 days rather than the usual six weeks. Although this was a small study, in the 12-month period after induction there was a statistically significant difference in the number of colectomies between the group who received the accelerated regimen compared to those who received the standard induction regimen (6.7% vs. 40%, $p=0.039$). This difference, however, was not maintained after long term follow-up (two years).

Rationale

It is unclear whether accelerated TNF-alpha inhibitor induction dosing regimens result in favourable patient outcomes (e.g. decreased rates of surgical intervention and increased rates of disease remission) as studies examining the practice’s safety and efficacy do not appear to be well-documented in primary literature. Safety data, including degree of immunosuppression, potential risk of malignancy, hepatotoxicity, and antibody formation also appear to be scarce. It is therefore difficult to weigh the potential benefits and risks of implementing these dosing regimens in IBD patients.

A 2008 health technology inquiry by the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health revealed no relevant clinical studies, health technology assessments, or literature reviews on this topic.[26] Since that time, accelerated dosing schedules have become increasingly implemented in inpatient settings.[27, 28] Given the increasing global incidence and prevalence of IBD as well as the high cost of TNF-alpha inhibitors, the practice of accelerated dose scheduling, which involves prescribing medication more quickly than is currently recommended in product monographs, will put an even greater strain on hospital resources.[29] It is, therefore, prudent to systematically identify and map the extent, range, and nature of current research on this practice in the treatment of IBD.

METHODOLOGY

Study design

Scoping review methodology will be used to systematically identify and map the nature of evidence on this topic. As a guide, we will use the methodological framework described by Levac *et al.* (2010)[30] and the Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers’ Manual on Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews[31], both of which build upon the methods developed by Arksey and O’Malley (2005).[32]

This review will be completed in six stages by three pharmacists, a medical librarian, and a researcher. As part of stage one, the initial research questions have been developed. Stage two will involve the identification of relevant studies. As a third stage, we will select studies for inclusion and, in the fourth stage we will extract relevant data from included studies. In the fifth stage of this review, we will synthesize and report our findings. Throughout the review process, we will engage in stakeholder consultation (stage six) with clinical specialists to gain insight on aspects about the research topic that the literature does not reveal.

Protocol

This protocol was developed using The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis for Protocols (PRISMA-P, appendix1).[33] It was reviewed and revised by all members of the research team as required. The research was registered prospectively with the Open Science Framework on August 31, 2017 (<https://osf.io/z7n2d/>).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Research questions

The objective of this review is to summarize the nature and extent of available evidence and research activity relating to accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors in the treatment of IBD. For the purposes of this review, ‘accelerated’ is defined as any TNF-alpha inhibitor induction period dosing frequency that exceeds what is recommended in the most current product monograph. To fulfil this objective, this research will be guided by the following primary research question, “What studies have evaluated, and/or have been published on, accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors in the treatment of IBD?” Secondary research questions include:

- What kinds of accelerated induction dose schedules have been studied in the literature?
- What clinical indications (e.g. IBD severity) have warranted the use of the accelerated regimens in the literature?
- What primary and secondary outcomes have been used to evaluate the efficacy and safety of accelerated regimens in the literature?

All research questions were developed and refined in collaboration with the entire research team.

Literature search

Scoping reviews aim to search broadly and extensively for available literature on a topic; however, certain guiding parameters are required to help direct and increase the feasibility of the overall search. The draft MEDLINE literature search strategy (appendix2), was designed by a medical librarian (RS), in collaboration with the research team, to be broad yet sensitive in capturing relevant literature by combining relevant keywords related to the study population, concept, and context of interest (Table1). Specifically, the strategy combined elements from three concepts:

- 1) Participants with inflammatory bowel disease (e.g. (ibd or inflammat* bowel or colitis or crohn*),
- 2) TNF-alpha inhibitor medications, broadly (e.g. tn timer alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*)) and specifically (e.g. Remicade or Remsima or Inflectra or infliximab), and
- 3) Dosing scheme (e.g. dose and dosage in combination with related MESH subject headings)

to appear in author supplied keywords, titles, and abstracts.

The search strategy will be tested and refined using an iterative process to facilitate a robust and comprehensive search across other key databases (e.g. Cochrane CENTRAL via Wiley, and International Pharmaceutical Abstracts). No date or language restrictions will be applied to searches.

A search for grey literature will also be conducted, and will include: conference abstracts, clinical trial registries, as well as targeted internet searches. We will also consult clinical experts to identify known studies that address this research topic as well as any key conferences and/or journals that may not be indexed. The reference lists of included articles will be hand searched to identify additional studies of interest. Mendeley[34] reference management and pdf organizer software will be used to manage records identified from all searches.

To validate the initial search strategy, search results will be cross-referenced against a list of relevant studies known to the research team. The validated search, as well as any subsequent search completed in additional databases, may be modified and repeated in the early stages of the review if new information emerges to facilitate the completion of a comprehensive literature search. Any

modifications to a search strategy will be documented on our Open Science Framework registry and justification will be provided in the final publication of findings.

Study selection

While the aim of scoping reviews is to obtain a broad, iterative, examination of a topic of interest, some constraints on inclusion are required to ease the process of identifying the most appropriate literature.[32] As such, relevant studies will be selected for inclusion by screening all identified records against a set of *a priori* eligibility criteria as defined in **table1**.

Table1 | Population, Concept, and Context Elements of the Study Eligibility Criteria on this Topic

| Population |
|---|
| - Patients of all ages and any sex diagnosed with IBD |
| Concept |
| - Treatment with any TNF-alpha inhibitor using any 'accelerated' induction phase dosing schedule |
| - All reported comparisons of interest will be considered |
| - All primary and secondary outcomes as reported by study authors are of interest; we will not screen records for inclusion based on outcomes reported |
| Context |
| - We will include experimental and observational study designs that attempt to evaluate the efficacy and/or safety of using a TNF-alpha inhibitor induction regimen with induction phase doses given more frequently than what is specified in the most current product monograph (as determined by the region in which the study was conducted, e.g. Canada, United States, or the European Union) |
| - Evidence reported in research syntheses (systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and narrative reviews) will also be considered |
| - Conference abstracts will be included if full-text publications are not available |
| - No date or language restrictions will be placed on the literature search |

As suggested by Levac *et al.* (2010),[30] we will employ a team approach to the selection of included studies. First, two reviewers will independently complete a pilot screening exercise on a random sample of titles and abstracts of all types of records retrieved. Once completed, the review team will meet to discuss challenges and questions that arose during the pilot test and, if necessary, adjustments to eligibility criteria will be made in consultation with the research team. When all reviewers are satisfied with the results of the pilot test, screening of titles and abstracts of all records retrieved by the database and grey literature searches will then be completed independently by two reviewers. The research team will meet at the midpoint and final stages of this process to discuss any questions that arise throughout the screening process.

Any full-text records deemed potentially eligible for inclusion after the title/abstract screening stage will be retrieved and reviewed for eligibility by two independent reviewers. Discrepancies in inclusion will be resolved by discussion and consensus. Decisions that cannot be made through discussion will be brought to a third reviewer for review. Covidence,[35] a web-based systematic review software program, will be used to facilitate the study selection process.

Abstracts or included full-text articles written in English and French will be screened and/or extracted by

Data synthesis and reporting of findings

Details of the literature search and screening results will be summarized narratively and will be presented using a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Flow Diagram.[37] We will also provide a comprehensive descriptive table of studies that fully addressed the research questions. Specific data items (*i.e.* study and participant characteristics) will also be collated and summarized in tables. To present a visual and descriptive summary of key results and descriptive data, we will use diagrams, such as a word cloud (Wordle.com), and a pictorial summary of the types of accelerated doses identified. Descriptive data will be synthesized using qualitative thematic analysis techniques by one reviewer and verified by another.

Stakeholder consultation

We will engage in ongoing stakeholder consultation throughout the progression of this review. Given the potential implication of this research on clinical practice, we feel it pertinent to engage with clinical experts beginning early in the review process as a part of the knowledge translation process.

CONCLUSIONS

This scoping exercise will allow for a broad, iterative examination of the current state of evidence on accelerated induction regimens of TNF-alpha inhibitors in the treatment of IBD. We will employ the same systematic and rigorous methodology to retrieve and extract data as that used in the undertaking of a traditional systematic review and aim to identify knowledge gaps in this area to determine whether a more in-depth knowledge synthesis is warranted.

CONTRIBUTORS: Amy Johnston, Sabrina Natarajan, Meghan Hayes, Erika MacDonald, and Risa Shorr made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work, drafting the work, and revising it critically for important intellectual content and provided final approval of the version to be published and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

FUNDING: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

COMPETING INTERESTS: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/doi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

REFERENCES

1 Lahad A, Weiss B. Current therapy of pediatric Crohn’s disease. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol* 2015;**6**:33–42. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i2.33

2 de Vries HS, van Oijen MG, Driessen RJ, *et al.* Appropriate infliximab infusion dosage and monitoring: results of a panel meeting of rheumatologists, dermatologists and gastroenterologists. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2011;**71**:7–19. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2010.03760.x

3 Karp LC, Targan SR. Heterogeneity of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: Targan SR, Shanahan F, Karp LC, eds. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Translating Basic Science into Clinical Practice*. West Sussex, UK: : Blackwell Publishing Ltd 2010.

4 Kasper DL, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, *et al.* Chapter 148: Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: *Harrison’s Manual of Internal Medicine*. 2016. 790–4.

5 Abraham C, Cho JH. Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**361**:2066–78. doi:10.1056/NEJMra0804647.

6 Sandborn W, Schreiber S, Hanauer S, *et al.* Long-term maintenance of remission with no dose escalation after re-induction with certolizumab pegol in patients with crohn’s disease exacerbation who were naive to anti-tnf treatment: 4-year results from the precise 4 study. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2010;**105**:S418.

7 Terdiman JP, Gruss CB, Heidelbaugh JJ, *et al.* American gastroenterological association institute guideline on the use of thiopurines, methotrexate, and anti-TNF-alpha biologic drugs for the induction and maintenance of remission in inflammatory Crohn’s disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;**145**:1459–63.

8 Kornbluth A, Sachar DB. Ulcerative colitis practice guidelines in adults: American College of Gastroenterology, Practice Parameters Committee. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;**105**:501–23. Erratum in: *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;**105**:500. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.727

9 Biancone L, Annesec V, Ardizzone S, *et al.* Safety of treatments for inflammatory bowel disease: Clinical practice guidelines of the Italian Group for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IG-IBD). *Dig Liver Dis* 2017;**49**:338–58. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2017.01.141

10 Surlin V, Copaescu C, Saftoiu A. An Update to Surgical Management of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. In: Szabo I, editor. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease* [Internet]. InTech;2012. doi: 10.5772/53057. Available from: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/inflammatory-bowel-disease/an-update-to-surgical-management-of-inflammatory-bowel-diseases>

11 Loftus E V. New Data on the Use of Biologic Agents for Crohn’s Disease and Ulcerative Colitis: Highlights from the 2009 CCFA Advances in IBD Meeting. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)* 2010;**6**:4–16.

12 Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, *et al.* Maintenance infliximab for Crohn’s disease: The ACCENT I randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;**359**:1541–9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08512-4

13 Sands BE, Anderson FH, Bernstein CN, *et al.* Infliximab maintenance therapy for fistulizing Crohn’s disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**350**:876–85. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa030815

14 Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, *et al.* Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *New Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:2462–76. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa050516

15 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Information on Biosimilars. 2016. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/developmentapprovalprocess/howdrugsaredevelopedandapproved/approvalapplications/therapeuticbiologicapplications/biosimilars/>

16 Côté-Daigneault J, Bouin M, Lahaie R, *et al.* Biologics in inflammatory bowel disease: what are the data? *United Eur Gastroenterol J* 2015;**3**:419–28. doi:10.1177/2050640615590302

17 Hlavaty T, Letkovsky J. Biosimilars in the therapy of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Eur J*

- Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;**26**:581–7. doi:10.1097/MEG.0000000000000098
- 18 Wu S, Yang YM, Zhu J, *et al.* Meta-analysis of efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants compared with uninterrupted Vitamin K antagonists in patients undergoing catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol* 2016;**117**:926–34. 19
 - 19 Schwartz M, Cohen R. Optimizing conventional therapy for inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* 2008;**10**:585–90.
 - 20 Humira [Product Monograph]. St-Laurent, QC: AbbVie Corp; 2014. Available from: https://www.abbvie.ca/content/dam/abbviecorp/ca/en/docs/HUMIRA_PM_EN.pdf
 - 21 Simponi [Product Monograph]. Toronto, ON:Janssen Inc;2017. Available from: http://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www_janssen_com_canada/files/prod_files/live/simponi_cpm.pdf
 - 22 Remicade [Product Monograph]. Toronto, ON:Janssen Inc;2017. Available from: http://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www_janssen_com_canada/files/prod_files/live/remicade_cpm.pdf
 - 23 European Medicines Agency: EMEA/H/C/000481-II/0168 - Humira 2017. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000481/WC500050870.pdf
 - 24 European Medicines Agency: EMEA/H/C/000240 -N/0197 - Remicade 2016. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000240/WC500050888.pdf
 - 25 Gibson DJ, Heetun ZS, Redmond CE, *et al.* An accelerated infliximab induction regimen reduces the need for early colectomy in patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;**13**:330–5.26
 - 26 Compressed Dosing Schedule for Adalimumab and Infliximab for the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases : Clinical and Cost-Effectiveness. Ottawa, Canada: 2008. Available from: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/Compressed Dosing Schedule for Adalimumab and Infliximab for the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Dis.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/Compressed%20Dosing%20Schedule%20for%20Adalimumab%20and%20Infliximab%20for%20the%20Treatment%20of%20Inflammatory%20Bowel%20Dis.pdf)
 - 27 University of North Carolina [Internet]. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina School of Medicine; c2016 [cited September 20, 2017]. UNC Inflammatory Bowel Disease Drug Protocol Infliximab (Remicade). Available from: https://www.med.unc.edu/gi/faculty-staff-website/patient-education/patient-education/ibd-protocols/UNCINFLAMMATORYBOWELDISEASE_antiTNFPROTOCOL_infliximab.pdf
 - 28 Rofaiei R, Kohli S, Mura M, *et al.* A 53-year-old man with dyspnoea, respiratory failure, consistent with infliximab-induced acute interstitial pneumonitis after an accelerated induction dosing schedule. *BMJ Case Rep* 2017;**2017**. doi:10.1136/bcr-2017-219956
 - 29 Ye Y, Pang Z, Chen W, *et al.* The epidemiology and risk factors of inflammatory bowel disease. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;**8**:22529–42. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4730025/>
 - 30 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci* 2010;**5**:69. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-5-69
 - 31 The Joanna Briggs Institute. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2015: Methodology for JBI Scoping Reviews. Adelaide: 2015. Available from: http://joannabriggs.org/assets/docs/sumari/Reviewers-Manual_Methodology-for-JBI-Scoping-Reviews_2015_v1.pdf
 - 32 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Methodol* 2005;**8**:19–32. doi:10.1080/1364557032000119616
 - 33 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and

Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;**4**:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

34 Mendeley Reference Management Software & Researcher Network, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Available at www.mendeley.com

35 Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Available at www.covidence.org

36 Balk EM, Chung M, Chen ML, et al. Assessing the Accuracy of Google Translate to Allow Data Extraction From Trials Published in Non-English Languages [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2013 Jan. Introduction. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK121301/>

37 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *Annu Intern Med* 2009;**151**:264–9. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist

Adapted from: Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item | Information reported | | Location |
|----------------------------|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | | | Yes | No | |
| Title: | | | | | |
| Identification | 1a | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review* | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Title page, abstract, methodology |
| Update | 1b | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Registration | 2 | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Abstract, Methodology (protocol) |
| Authors: | | | | | |
| Contact | 3a | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Cover page |
| Contributions | 3b | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contributors |
| Amendments | 4 | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Support: | | | | | |
| Sources | 5a | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| Sponsor | 5b | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Funding |
| INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
| Rationale | 6 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Rationale |
| Objectives | 7 | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Table 1 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| METHODS | | | | | |
| Eligibility criteria | 8 | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection) |
| Information sources | 9 | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (literature search) |
| Search strategy | 10 | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (literature search), Appendix 2 |
| Study records: | | | | | |
| Data management | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection and literature search) |
| Selection process | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (study selection) |
| Data collection process | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Data items | 12 | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Outcomes and prioritization | 13 | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data extraction) |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14 | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (quality assessment) |
| Data synthesis | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I ² , Kendall's τ) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (data synthesis and reporting of findings) |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Meta-bias(es) | 16 | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Applicable |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence | 17 | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Methodology (quality assessment) |

* This is a scoping review

For peer review only

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to November 20, 2017>
Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Infiximab/ (9741)
 - 2 (Remicade or Remsima or Inflectra or infiximab).tw,kw. (11384)
 - 3 Adalimumab/ (4612)
 - 4 (Adalimumab or Humira or Exemptia).tw,kw. (5747)
 - 5 CNTO148.tw,kw. (1)
 - 6 (Golimumab or CNTO 148 or simponi).tw,kw. (829)
 - 7 Certolizumab Pegol/ or Certolizumab.tw,kw. (1019)
 - 8 (CDP870 or CDP 870).tw,kw. (40)
 - 9 Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha/ai (15378)
 - 10 (tnf alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*)).tw,kw. (4017)
 - 11 (tumo?r necrosis factor alpha adj (inhibit* or block* or antagonist*)).tw. (1738)
 - 12 (anti tnf or anti tumo?r necrosis factor).tw. (12428)
 - 13 or/1-12 (34621)
 - 14 inflammatory bowel diseases/ or colitis, ulcerative/ or crohn disease/ (76833)
 - 15 (ibd or inflammat* bowel or colitis or crohn*).tw,kw. (110415)
 - 16 14 or 15 (122077)
 - 17 13 and 16 (8348)
 - 18 ad.fs. (1383163)
 - 19 Dose-Response Relationship, Drug/ (406894)
 - 20 Drug Administration Schedule/ (102898)
 - 21 dos* interval*.tw,kw. (5521)
 - 22 optim* dos*.tw,kw. (14565)
 - 23 (dos* adj2 paradigm*).tw,kw. (357)
 - 24 (accelerat* or compress*).tw,kw. (364179)
 - 25 dos* strateg*.tw,kw. (2093)
 - 26 (dose or dosage or dosing).tw,kw. (1199587)
 - 27 ((optimi* or escalat* or augment* or intens*) adj3 (dose* or schedul* or induction)).tw. (34621)
 - 28 or/18-27 (2776572)
 - 29 17 and 28 (2146)
 - 30 remove duplicates from 29 (1924)