

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Death, Injury, and Disability from Kinetic Impact Projectiles in Crowd-Control Settings: A Systematic Review
AUTHORS	Haar, Rohini; Iacopino, Vincent; Ranadive, Nikhil; Dandu, Madhavi; Weiser, Sheri

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Anna Feigenbaum Bournemouth University, UK No Competing Interest
REVIEW RETURNED	06-Jul-2017

GENERAL COMMENTS	<p>The authors have done an excellent job with revisions, incorporating comments from reviewer 1 and 2, while responding to comments from reviewer 3 who do not have methodological knowledge in this area.</p> <p>I feel that the expansion of the limitations section and clarifications in method and findings now make the paper a model for doing these kinds of reviews in places where data is incredibly difficult to obtain and is reported after the fact. As a major public health issue facing these data challenges, it is crucial that such methodologies for medical research are developed and deployed.</p>
-------------------------	--

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Comments from Reviewer 1:

The authors have done an excellent job with revisions, incorporating comments from reviewer 1 and 2, while responding to comments from reviewer 3 who do not have methodological knowledge in this area. I feel that the expansion of the limitations section and clarifications in method and findings now make the paper a model for doing these kinds of reviews in places where data is incredibly difficult to obtain and is reported after the fact. As a major public health issue facing these data challenges, it is crucial that such methodologies for medical research are developed and deployed.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the careful and considerate review of the article and appreciate the time involved.