

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in healthcare environments: A scoping review and Concept analysis protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-077811
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Jul-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Kang, Jaejin; The Catholic University of Korea Kim, Hyekyung; Catholic Kwandong University Cho, Ok-Hee; Kongju National University,
Keywords:	Occupational Stress, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, COVID-19

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in healthcare environments: A scoping review and concept analysis protocol

Abstract

Introduction: The coronavirus disease pandemic has brought about significant changes in various aspects of society, including workforce and organizational dynamics. One noticeable phenomenon is the rise of quiet quitting, in which employees limit their efforts to fulfill assigned tasks without going beyond their designated responsibilities. This behavior can have detrimental effects on organizational culture and patient safety in healthcare settings. This article outlines the protocol for a scoping review of the published literature and a concept analysis of quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in the healthcare environment. The scoping review will address the broad research question, 'How can the concept of quiet quitting be characterized among healthcare professionals within the context of healthcare settings?' Aiming to provide an overview of the concept, environmental factors, and contextual factors of the occurrence and impacts on healthcare settings.

Methods and analysis: This literature review will adopt the Aromataris and Munn methodological framework for scoping reviews and the Walker and Avant method for concept analysis. The review will utilize the framework developed by the Joanna Briggs Institute, incorporating the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analysis Scoping Review Checklist. The following databases have been identified to retrieve the peer reviewed quantitative and qualitative studies within the scope of the review. Additionally, grey literature will be searched. The review will focus on literature published between January 1, 2009, and July 1, 2023.

Ethics and dissemination: This scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results

1
2
3
4 of this scoping review will be reported in peer reviewed publications.
5
6
7

8
9 Keywords: health personnel; COVID-19; occupational stress; work engagement
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Strengths and limitations of this study

-This will be the first scoping review of 'quiet quitting' in healthcare settings.

-We will conduct a comprehensive review of all literature pertaining to 'quiet quitting,' utilizing various search engines and including grey literature sources.

-The publication dates of the researched literature will include all materials published after the emergence of the term 'quiet quitting.'

-This study will utilize the framework developed by the Joanna Briggs Institute, incorporating the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analysis Scoping Review checklist. In addition, a concept analysis will be conducted following Walker and Avant's method.

-This review will include documents written only in English and Korean, leading to the potential omission of relevant materials written in other languages.

1. INTRODUCTION

Occupational perceptions evolve over time, and are influenced by changing social dynamics.¹ Recently, a phenomenon known as "quiet quitting" has been observed to be on the rise. This behavior entails employees limiting their dedication solely to assigned tasks, strictly adhering to the job description without engaging in any additional work.² It differs from the previously prevalent trend "hustle culture," which emphasizes work over personal life. In 2020, over 50% of American workers engaged in "quiet quitting," with the trend being more prominent among the Millennial and Generation Z cohorts. This phenomenon indicates a psychological detachment from job-related responsibilities.³

The concept of "quiet quitting" was introduced by Mark Boldger in 2009.⁴ However, it gained widespread recognition and renewed attention when "quiet quitting" went viral on social media in summer 2022.⁵ The COVID-19 pandemic brought about significant changes in working conditions, particularly with a surge in remote work.⁶ Following the pandemic, individuals started reassessing the costs and intensity associated with traditional jobs, leading to a notable increase in voluntary resignations, referred to as the "Great Resignation".⁷ Consequently, the remaining employees bear heavier workloads without adequate compensation. Additionally, a low-growth environment, economic recession, and inflation have made job transitions more challenging. Hence, "quiet quitting" has become a method employed by remaining employees to maintain a work-life balance.⁸

However, even if it is argued that such choices are made in pursuit of individuals' work-life balance, the phenomenon of quiet quitting within organizations has been reported to result in compromised work efficiency, diminished organizational commitment, and adverse impacts on organizational culture.⁹ There is also a perspective that views these occurrences as

1
2
3
4 manifestations of individual deviance and work disengagement. Moreover, deliberately
5 slowing down the completion of tasks that could be expedited, while still adhering to all work-
6 related standards and diminishing one's effort in their own job, can be likened to the concept
7 of an "work to rule" or "Italian strike".¹⁰ However, the context, intent, and characteristics of
8 the phenomenon of quiet quitting differ from those of work to rule or the Italian strike, requiring
9 a multifaceted approach to understand the phenomenon fully.
10

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 Moreover, a similar phenomenon is observed in China, referred to as "tang-ping." Tang-ping,
19 which literally translates to "lying flat," reflects the idea of individuals lying down
20 comfortably.¹¹ After the COVID-19 pandemic, many young people in China feel that they are
21 not adequately rewarded for their work and experience frustration due to rising costs of living,
22 housing prices, and long working hours. As a result, they choose to lower their professional
23 commitment and economic ambitions, simplify their goals, while still being financially
24 productive for their essential needs, and prioritize psychological well-being over materialistic
25 pursuits.¹² Similarly, in other countries, similar phenomena can occur due to societal changes
26 following the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, comparing these phenomena can clarify quiet
27 quitting.¹¹
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The phenomenon of quiet quitting has emerged following the COVID-19 pandemic, and
42 even if COVID-19 is not the direct cause, it has certainly contributed to the emergence of this
43 phenomenon.¹³ In other words, various social changes resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic
44 have influenced this phenomenon. One profession that has undergone the most significant
45 changes due to COVID-19 is the healthcare setting.¹⁴ Healthcare professionals have
46 experienced a different reality than other professionals due to the impact of the COVID-19
47 pandemic. They face a more vulnerable working environment, including higher risk of
48 infection, personnel and equipment shortages, increased workload, exclusion from the benefits
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 of remote work, and inadequate financial compensation.⁹ It is worth noting whether the
5
6 phenomenon of quiet quitting, which has been reported as a social phenomenon following the
7
8 COVID-19 pandemic, is perceived differently in the context of the healthcare setting, which
9
10 has experienced the most significant changes due to COVID-19.
11
12

13
14 Despite the unique circumstances in the healthcare setting, "quiet quitting" has also been
15
16 reported among healthcare professionals.¹⁵ However, the consequences of quiet quitting in
17
18 healthcare may extend beyond the employees and the workplace. In hospitals, patients rely on
19
20 healthcare professionals to possess the skills specified in their job descriptions. Additionally,
21
22 patients depend on healthcare professionals to deliver qualitative aspects of care, including
23
24 responsive communication that addresses their emotional needs.¹⁶ These aspects are not easily
25
26 quantifiable or explicitly outlined in job descriptions. As a result, the quiet quitting of
27
28 healthcare professionals can potentially create issues in these qualitative aspects and
29
30 significantly impact patient satisfaction and safety.
31
32

33
34 Furthermore, hospitals consist of various professions such as doctors, nurses, and others,
35
36 who interact, communicate, exchange opinions, and collaborate with each other as a
37
38 multidisciplinary in-hospital team.¹⁷ Unique relationships based on prescribing and reporting
39
40 exist within this environment. If quiet quitting occurs within one profession, it may have a
41
42 cascading negative impact on collaboration among different professions.¹⁵ Teamwork among
43
44 healthcare professionals, job satisfaction, perception of stress, and the working environment
45
46 are closely linked to the patient safety culture.¹⁸
47
48
49

50
51 Additionally, quiet quitting in the healthcare setting can be considered a violation of work
52
53 ethic.¹⁹ In healthcare, where the connection to patients' lives is profound, ethics are treated with
54
55 utmost importance.²⁰ Therefore, it is necessary to thoroughly investigate and define the
56
57 phenomenon and its outcomes specific to quiet quitting within this unique context of the
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 healthcare setting. On the other hand, there is a viewpoint that interprets the concept of “quiet
5 quitting” implies the need for systemic changes in working conditions, rather than individual
6 acts of deviance.⁹ This can be viewed as a transitional phase toward cultivating a healthier
7 professional culture. Considering the potential negative impacts of “quiet quitting,”
8 understanding this phenomenon is essential for identifying the elements necessary to promote
9 a desirable work culture.²¹ Quiet quitting serves as an important concept in comprehending the
10 rapidly evolving organizational culture, such as multidisciplinary collaboration, early large-
11 scale resignations in healthcare, and developing strategies to address these issues.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 In the healthcare setting, there is also an opinion that "quiet quitting" has existed among
24 healthcare professionals for a long time, and there are opinions suggesting that it is merely a
25 new term for a pre-existing concept.¹⁵ Therefore, it is essential to review existing research and
26 gain insights in order to provide a comprehensive understanding. To achieve this, it is necessary
27 to examine the concepts previously used to describe similar phenomena and establish a clear
28 definition of "quiet quitting" specifically in the context of healthcare professionals. By
29 exploring the phenomenon of "quiet quitting" within the context of organizational culture, we
30 can gain valuable insights into contemporary issues related to healthcare settings.⁹
31 Understanding this phenomenon can help guide efforts towards cultivating a more supportive
32 and sustainable work environment.

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 Hence, it is crucial to employ a research method called concept analysis.²² which involves
46 exploring the attributes of a phenomenon represented by a particular term, clarifying the
47 concept, and providing a precise definition. In this study, we aim to define the concept of quiet
48 quitting specifically within the healthcare setting, which has experienced significant changes
49 and challenges due to the COVID-19 pandemic. By conducting a scoping review, we will
50 examine the existing literature on this topic to gain a comprehensive understanding and propose

1
2
3
4 future research directions. A scoping review is a research method that maps the characteristics
5 and breadth of evidence on a specific topic, guiding the direction of further research.²³ In this
6
7
8
9 study, it is essential to examine the general characteristics of research related to quiet quitting
10
11 in healthcare settings and explore different categories of research conducted thus far.
12
13 Furthermore, conducting a concept analysis will help us examine and clarify any similar
14
15 existing concepts, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the essence of quiet
16
17 quitting within the healthcare context.
18

19
20 Consequently, this study aims to explore the existing literature on quiet quitting among
21
22 healthcare professionals, identify directions for future research, investigate the meaning of
23
24 quiet quitting within the healthcare field, examine the factors that influence it, and explore the
25
26 implications of quiet quitting in healthcare settings. To achieve these objectives, we will
27
28 conduct a scoping review and perform a concept analysis to provide valuable insights for
29
30 addressing this phenomenon in the healthcare. This examination will contribute to improved
31
32 clarity and comprehension of this phenomenon, providing a wealth of information for
33
34 understanding various issues within healthcare settings.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **2. METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

42 43 44 45 **Scoping Review and concept analysis**

46
47
48 This study will combine concept analysis and a scoping review to analyze the concept of
49
50 quiet quitting in a clear manner. Concept analysis involves investigating the current state of
51
52 knowledge about a concept and constructing precise meanings that are useful in research
53
54 applications.²⁴ A scoping review examines the extent and nature of available evidence for key
55
56 concepts across various research areas.²⁵ Quiet quitting has emerged as a concept that reflects
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 the recent social atmosphere. To understand the meaning of this concept in the context of
5 healthcare professionals, it is essential to analyze its definition and characteristics through
6 literature applied in healthcare settings. Walker and Avant²² recommend conducting a broad
7 and multidisciplinary literature review to gain a comprehensive understanding of this concept.
8 This review will follow the scoping review methodology outlined by the Joanna Briggs
9 Institute as described by Aromataris and Munn.²⁶ The protocol process of this study will be
10 reported following the PRISMA-ScR guidelines (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
11 Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for scoping reviews).²⁷ This quiet quitting scoping
12 review has been registered in the Open Science Framework (OSF); <https://osf.io/kv3p8>
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ***Step 1: Identify the Purpose***

28
29 The research question guides the review, and the concept analysis must be broad and
30 comprehensive (26). In the scoping review protocol, we defined the following research
31 question, adapting the population, concept, and context (PCC) framework: How can the
32 concept of quiet quitting (Concept) be characterized among healthcare professionals
33 (Population) within the context of healthcare settings (Context)?
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Population**

45 This study will consider studies involving all types of healthcare professionals engaged in a
46 healthcare setting. The healthcare professionals include not only nurses and doctors, but also
47 physicians, surgeons, medicine, pharmacists, and practitioners.
48
49
50
51

52 **Concept**

53 This study will review the studies on 'quiet quitting' among healthcare professionals.
54
55 Additionally, the concept of 'silent resignation' will be explored, which, like quiet quitting,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 refers to the behavior of fulfilling assigned tasks within the job description without quitting the
5
6 job.⁹
7

8 **Context**

9
10 The healthcare setting of this study will be reviewed including hospital, clinic, bedside, and
11
12 community.
13
14

15 16 17 ***Step 2: Search Strategy***

18
19
20
21
22 The search strategy is based on published data and includes qualitative research, quantitative
23
24 research, mixed methods, and a literature review. For this review, eight databases will be
25
26 searched for relevant literature, including PubMed, Google Scholar, Excerpta Medica database
27
28 (EMBASE), EBSCOhost Research Platform (selecting CINAHL Complete and MEDLINE
29
30 Complete databases), Scopus, Research Information Sharing Service (RISS), DataBase
31
32 Periodical Information Academic (DBPIA), and Korean studies Information Service System
33
34 (KISS). Grey literature will also be included because of its status as a relatively new concept.
35
36 Grey literature can provide valuable insights into topics currently under review. Both published
37
38 and unpublished grey literature sources, including major reports, such as white papers,
39
40 frameworks, and dissertations, will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review. Grey
41
42 literature will be searched using the ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest) and Google
43
44 databases. To include grey literature, we will also manually search the reference lists of the
45
46 selected articles. The literature includes both English and Korean texts.
47
48
49

50
51
52 As recommended by Aromataris and Munn,²⁶ the search terms should strike a balance between
53
54 specificity and breadth to capture the relevant literature for a thorough understanding while
55
56 managing the volume of literature for the review. The search parameters will include the term
57
58

1
2
3
4 "quiet quitting" in the abstract or title and restrict the results to publications from January 1,
5
6 2009, onwards, as the concept only emerged after 2009. Since the review will commence in
7
8 July 2023, it is possible that new literature will be published, and any relevant publications will
9
10 be included in the scoping review. Once the protocol has been published, formal data collection
11
12 for the scoping review will begin and will encompass more recent publications (Table 1).
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Databases filter**

19
20 When running the search terms in the selected databases, the asterisk symbol will be used as
21
22 an indicator for truncating the ends of word roots. The relevant literature identified will be
23
24 imported into the Covidence software program to ensure the removal of duplicate records.
25
26
27
28
29

30 ***Step 3: Selection Process***

31
32 The literature screening will involve two distinct reviews. The scoping review will be managed
33
34 using the Covidence software, and each citation will be independently assessed for eligibility
35
36 by two members of the research team. The eligibility process will consist of two stages: an
37
38 initial screening of eligible titles and abstracts, followed by a thorough review of the full-text
39
40 articles for eligible citations. Given the anticipated extensive body of literature, the eligibility
41
42 criteria will be refined during the screening of titles and abstracts. In addition, to identify further
43
44 relevant literature, the reference lists of the identified articles will be examined. This screening
45
46 process aligns with the strategy proposed by Aromataris and Munn.²⁶ The research team will
47
48 hold regular meetings, typically every 1-2 weeks, to discuss the project, refine the eligibility
49
50 criteria, and address any conflicts that arise regarding the eligibility of the articles.
51
52 Disagreements will be resolved during these team meetings, with majority consensus
53
54 determining eligibility. The project leader will make the final decision if a consensus cannot
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 be reached.
5
6
7

8 9 ***Title and Abstract Screening***

10
11 Before commencing the literature screening process, the project leader will provide
12 education to the research team members to ensure consistency in evaluating the literature.
13
14 During the initial team meeting, each member will receive detailed instructions on how to
15
16 effectively utilize Covidence software. Furthermore, there will be a thorough discussion of the
17
18 inclusion and exclusion criteria to address any questions that may arise. The team members
19
20 will then conduct a preliminary review of the literature based on the established criteria,
21
22 followed by an assessment of the inter-rater reliability (IRR) between each pair of team
23
24 members. The target IRR achievement between each pair is set at >0.75 , following the
25
26 guidelines of Aromataris and Munn.²⁶
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 ***Full Text Screening for Eligibility***

35
36 Before initiating the full-text screening, the research team will upload the full-text articles into
37
38 the Covidence software and hold a meeting to discuss the screening process, specifically
39
40 focusing on the utilization of the Covidence software at this stage of the review. Once
41
42 preparation is completed, each team member will independently review the articles and an IRR
43
44 calculation will be conducted. The target IRR achievement for each pair of team members is
45
46 set at >0.75 . If the expected IRR is not met, the research team will convene for additional
47
48 instructions and discussions to address any discrepancies. During the full-text screening, the
49
50 team will also conduct a reference list scan. Team members will share relevant citations with
51
52 project leaders. After completing the full-text screening, the project leader will present the
53
54 articles identified from the reference lists to the research team for consideration. The final
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 decision regarding eligibility will be made through a majority agreement. The project leader
5
6 will intervene to resolve any unresolved disagreements. The process of selecting and managing
7
8 literature for the scoping review will be presented in a PRISMA flow diagram.
9
10

11 12 13 ***Step 4: Charting the Data*** 14

15
16 The research team has developed a draft charting table for data extraction (Table 2). Selected
17
18 articles will be subjected to independent data extraction by two researchers. Any disagreements
19
20 regarding the extracted data will be discussed during a full team meeting. If a consensus cannot
21
22 be reached, the project leader will carefully consider all opinions and make the final decision.
23
24
25

26 27 ***Step 5: Data Analysis*** 28

29
30 Data analysis will be guided by Walker and Avant's 8-step concept analysis process.²² The
31
32 specific process of Walker and Avant's analysis method is Select a concept, Determine the aims
33
34 or purpose of analysis, Identify all uses of the concept that you can discover, Determine the
35
36 defining attributes, Identify a model case, Identify borderline, related, contrary cases, Identify
37
38 antecedents and consequences, Define empirical referents, and in this study, it is applied from
39
40 the step 4 (Table 3). For the analysis, researchers will independently review the data and group
41
42 them to gain a comprehensive understanding of the concept of quiet quitting. The fourth step
43
44 in the concept analysis process, involves identifying the characteristics or attributes that are
45
46 frequently mentioned in relevant literature regarding the concept being analyzed.²² In this study,
47
48 the attributes are defined to ensure that each attribute independently captures the essential
49
50 qualities of quiet quitting. The fifth step, the model case, illustrates the concept clearly through
51
52 examples to aid in understanding. It also states that all attributes of the previously mentioned
53
54 concepts are included in the model case. The sixth step involves developing additional cases,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 including borderline, related, and contrary cases, to explore concepts closely related to quiet
5 quitting but that may not fully encompass the defining attributes. The borderline case includes
6 most of the attributes of the concept but not all of them, while a contrast case consists of cases
7 that do not possess any of the defined attributes. Additionally, the related case is similar to a
8 model case but lacks some of the important attributes. In the seventh step, once clarity is
9 achieved regarding the defining attributes, the antecedents and consequences of the concept
10 will be identified. Antecedents are events or circumstances that must occur or be present before
11 the concept of quiet quitting occurs, whereas consequences are events or circumstances that
12 occur as a result of the concept. This step of the analysis helps establish a theoretical
13 understanding of how concepts are interconnected and sheds light on their resolution. Step
14 eight involves identifying empirical referents, which are indicators of everyday life that
15 represent familiarity with a concept. These studies support the development of measurement
16 tools and indicators for attributes.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **3. ETHICS and DISSEMINATION**

37
38 This scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results of this scoping review
39 will be reported in peer-reviewed publications. The scoping review and concept analysis of
40 "quiet quitting" is scheduled to commence in July 2023. The process of selecting and managing
41 literature for the scoping review will be presented as a flowchart. The concept analysis will
42 follow the method proposed by Walker and Avant.²² The findings of this study will be
43 disseminated through conference presentations and submissions to peer-reviewed scholarly
44 journals.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

4. DISCUSSION

This scoping review and concept analysis aim to investigate the phenomenon of "quiet quitting" in the healthcare setting and explore its impact on healthcare professionals. As a phenomenon that reflects the psychological state of employees, "quiet quitting" has received limited attention within the healthcare setting. Therefore, there is a need to clarify the concept and conduct a scoping review to examine it further. Through a literature review process, we anticipate gaining insights into whether "quiet quitting" has been a preexisting concept in the healthcare setting or if it is a newly emerging concept. Understanding these attributes is expected to play a crucial role in addressing the issue. In a healthcare setting, individuals are required to possess additional qualitative aspects, such as empathy, devotion, and therapeutic communication, in addition to the job-specific skills outlined in their job descriptions. Qualitative aspects are crucial determinants of healthcare service quality and influence patients' clinical outcomes.²⁸ Consequently, the potential impact of "quiet quitting" on patient safety and satisfaction can be significant. Therefore, it is expected that this study will contribute to understanding the potential outcomes associated with "quiet quitting." Consequently, the "quiet quitting" of healthcare professionals has a distinct impact compared with other sectors or organizations. Hence, the findings of this study can enhance the awareness of changes in the healthcare environment and provide evidence for organizational improvements aimed at advancing healthcare services.

Contributors

JK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration. HK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Collection, Writing – Original Draft. OHC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing.

Patient and Public Involvement Statement

The present review protocol did not involve individual patients or public agencies.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Competing interests

None declared

REFERENCES

1. Martela F, Pessi AB. Significant work is about self-realization and broader purpose: Defining the key dimensions of meaningful work. *Front Psychol.* 2018;9:363. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00363
2. Nseir S. Post-pandemic disengagement: What is quiet quitting? *Ariz Nurse.* 2013;76(1):8-9.
3. Jeon J. A study on the characteristics and improvement plan of 'quiet quitting' centered on the mz generation. *J Humanit Soc Sci.* 2022;13(6):5117-5132. doi: 10.22143/HSS21.13.6.355
4. Formica S, Sfodera F. The great resignation and quiet quitting paradigm shifts: An overview of the current situation and future research directions. *J Hosp Mark Manage.* 2022;31(8):899-907. doi: 10.1080/19368623.2022.2136601
5. Hamouche S, Koritos C, Papastathopoulos A. Quiet quitting: Relationship with other concepts and implications for tourism and hospitality. *Int J Contemp Hosp Manage.* 2023. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-11-2022-1362
6. Brynjolfsson E, Horton JJ, Ozimek A, Rock D, Sharma G, TuYe HY. Covid-19 and remote work: An early look at US data. *NBER Working Paper Series.* 2020;27344. doi: 10.3386/w27344
7. Tessema MT, Tesfom G, Faircloth MA, Tesfagiorgis M, Teckle P. The "great resignation": Causes, consequences, and creative HR management strategies. *J Hum Resour Sustain Stud.* 2022;10(1):161-178. doi: 10.4236/jhrss.2022.101011
8. Aydın E, Azizoğlu Ö. A new term for an existing concept: Quiet quitting—a self-determination perspective. In: *International Congress on Critical Debates in Social Sciences; 2022 Oct 7-9; Balıkesir, Turkey.*
9. Boy Y, Sürmeli M. Quiet quitting: A significant risk for global healthcare. *J Glob Health.* 2023;13:03014. doi: 10.7189/jogh.13.03014
10. Johnson JR. What's new about quiet quitting (and what's not). *Transdiscip J Manag.* 2023.
11. Nordgren H, Ingemarsson Björs A. Quiet quitting, loud consequences: The role of management in employee engagement [dissertation]. 2023. Available from: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-498675>
12. Yikilmaz I. Quiet quitting: A conceptual investigation. In: *Anadolu International Conference on Social Science.*
13. Ng E, Stanton P. The great resignation: Managing people in a post COVID-19 pandemic world. *Personnel Review.* 2023;52(2):401-407. doi: 10.1108/PR-03-2023-

914

14. Sethi BA, Sethi A, Ali S, Aamir HS. Impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic on health professionals. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S6-11. doi: 10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2779
15. Kumar S. Quiet quitting and its relevance to the medical profession. *MGM J Med Sci.* 2023;10(1):1. doi: 10.4103/mgmj.mgmj_42_23
16. Hannawa AF, Wu AW, Kolyada A, Potemkina A, Donaldson LJ. The aspects of healthcare quality that are important to health professionals and patients: A qualitative study. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2022;105(6):1561-1570. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2021.10.01617
17. Epstein NE. Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2014;5(Suppl 7):S295-S303. doi: 10.4103/2152-7806.139612
18. Toso GL, Golle L, Magnago TSB de S, Herr GEG, Loro MM, Aozane F, Kolankiewicz ACB. Patient safety culture in hospitals within the nursing perspective. *Rev Gaucha Enferm.* 2016;37:e58662. doi: 10.1590/1983-1447.2016.04.58662
19. Scheyett A. Quiet quitting. *Soc Work.* 2023;68(1):5-7. doi: 10.1093/sw/swac051
20. Summers J, Morrison E. Principles of healthcare ethics. In: *Health Care Ethics*, 2nd ed. Sudbury: Jones Bartlett Publ; 2009. p. 41-58.
21. Haselden L, Barsotti C. Practice Management: EPs and Quiet Quitting: Hospitals Must Value Workers More Than Money. *Emerg Med News.* 2023;45(2B):1-2.
22. Walker LO, Avant KC. *Strategies for theory construction in nursing.* 6th ed. New York: Pearson; 2018.
23. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2018;18:143. doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
24. Penrod J, Hupcey JE. Concept advancement: Extending science through concept-driven research. *Res Theory Nurs Pract.* 2005;19(3):231-241. doi: 10.1891/rtnp.2005.19.3.231
25. Colquhoun HL, Levac D, O'Brien KK, Straus S, Tricco AC, Perrier L, et al. Scoping reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2014;67(12):1291-1294. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013
26. Aromataris E, Munn Z. *JBIM Manual for Evidence Synthesis.* JBI; 2020. Available from: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>. doi: 10.46658/JBIMES-20-01
27. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA

18

1
2
3
4 extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern*
5 *Med.* 2018;169(7):467-473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850
6

- 7
8 28. Nembhard IM, David G, Ezzeddine I, Betts D, Radin J. A systematic review of
9 research on empathy in healthcare. *Health Serv Res.* 2023;58(2):250-263. doi:
10 10.1111/1475-6773.14016
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 1. Initial search strategy

Framework Component	Criteria
Population	nurse OR doctor OR physician OR surgeon OR medicine OR pharmacist OR practitioner OR “healthcare professional” OR “healthcare worker” OR “healthcare personnel” OR “healthcare provider” OR “healthcare staff” OR “health worker” OR “health personnel” OR “health staff” OR “medical resident” OR “attending resident” OR “hospital technician” OR “paramedical personnel” OR “paramedical staff” OR “hospital support personnel”
Concept	“quiet quitting” OR “silent resignation”
Context	hospital OR clinic OR bedside OR community
Other criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define or directly discuss quiet quitting as a concept • Among healthcare professionals or take place in healthcare settings • Indicate a study result or finding on quiet quitting • English and Korean language literature • Studies of a qualitative and quantitative nature, of all types, whether experimental, quasi-experimental, literature reviews, meta-analyses, theses, and dissertations • Studies published in Jan 2009–July 2023.
Exclusion Criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publications that do not deliver any substantial contribution regarding the clarification of the concepts are to be excluded.
Database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase, EBSCO, Scopus, RISS, DBPIA, KISS • ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest)
Language	English, Korean
Time	2009–2023

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Article information

Title	Author	Year of Publication	City	Type of study	Study population	Types of data sources	Definition or description	Relevant finding
entry 1	data							
entry 2	data							

For peer review only

Table 3. Walker and Avant's 8-step concept analysis process

1. Select a concept.	4. Determine the defining attributes.
2. Determine the aims or purpose of analysis.	5. Identify a model case.
3. Identify all uses of the concept that you can discover.	6. Identify borderline, related, contrary cases.
	7. Identify antecedents and consequences.
	8. Define empirical referents.

For peer review only

BMJ Open

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-077811.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Kang, Jaejin; The Catholic University of Korea Kim, Hyekyung; Catholic Kwandong University Cho, Ok-Hee; Kongju National University,
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nursing, Medical management
Keywords:	Occupational Stress, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, COVID-19

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title Page**1. Title:**

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

2. Authors: Jaejin Kang, Hyekyung Kim, Ok-Hee Cho*

Jaejin Kang, PhD, RN

Research instructor, College of Nursing, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: jjkang@catholic.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/>

Hyekyung Kim, PhD, RN

Assistant Professor, Department of Nursing, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: hk1224@cku.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5504-9288>

Ok-Hee Cho, PhD, RN

Professor, Department of Nursing, College of Nursing and Health, Kongju National University, Gongju, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: ohcho@kongju.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8882-675X>

3. *Corresponding author

Ok-Hee Cho, PhD, RN

Professor, Department of Nursing, College of Nursing and Health, Kongju National University, Gongju, Republic of Korea.

Address: 56 Gongjudeahak-ro, Gongju-si, Chungcheong nam-do 32588, Republic of Korea

Tel: +82-41-850-0306

Fax: + 82-41-850-0315

E-mail: ohcho@kongju.ac.kr

4. Key words:

health personnel; COVID-19; occupational stress; work engagement

5. Word count: 3,887

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

Abstract

Introduction: The post-COVID-19 pandemic era has seen a rise in "quiet quitting," with employees limiting their efforts to fulfill assigned tasks without going beyond their designated responsibilities. The occurrence of quiet quitting in hospitals can have detrimental effects not only on organizational culture but also on patient safety and satisfaction. Therefore, the aim of this study is to define quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospitals through concept analysis, identify the associated factors and outcomes of quiet quitting, and conduct a scoping review based on this defined concept.

Methods and analysis: This study will adopt Walker and Avant method for concept analysis and Aromataris and Munn methodological framework as well as the Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's manual for scoping reviews. The concept analysis will follow eight steps: (1) choosing the concept; (2) outlining the objectives of the analysis; (3) recognizing the concept's uses; (4) selecting the concept's defining attributes; (5) constructing a model case; (6) constructing additional cases; (7) defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept; and (8) determining empirical referents. This study used databases of PubMed, Embase, PsycINFO, Scopus, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global for the English language, and NDSL, KCI, RISS, KISS, and DBpia for the Korean language. Additionally, grey literature will be searched.

Ethics and dissemination: This concept analysis and scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results of this study will be reported in peer reviewed publications.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We rigorously adhere to Walker and Avant's method in conducting a concept analysis to precisely define the concept of 'quiet quitting'.
- Our scoping review is conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews, ensuring the provision of trustworthy evidence.
- We conducted a systematic literature search from 2009, when the term 'quiet quitting' was first introduced, through 2023, encompassing all electronic databases and grey literature sources, to identify all available evidence.
- This review will include documents written only in English and Korean, leading to the potential omission of relevant materials written in other languages.
- The study focuses on healthcare professionals in the hospital setting, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other healthcare contexts.

1. INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Occupational perceptions evolve over time, and are influenced by changing social dynamics
8
9 [1]. Recently, a phenomenon known as "quiet quitting" has been observed to be on the rise.
10
11 This behavior entails employees limiting their dedication solely to assigned tasks, strictly
12
13 adhering to the job description without engaging in any additional work [2]. It differs from the
14
15 previously prevalent trend "hustle culture," which emphasizes work over personal life. In 2020,
16
17 over 50% of American workers engaged in "quiet quitting," with the trend being more
18
19 prominent among the Millennial and Generation Z cohorts. This phenomenon indicates a
20
21 psychological detachment from job-related responsibilities [3].
22
23

24
25 The concept of "quiet quitting" was introduced by Mark Boldger in 2009 [4]. However, it
26
27 gained widespread recognition and renewed attention when "quiet quitting" went viral on
28
29 social media in summer 2022 [5]. The COVID-19 pandemic brought about significant changes
30
31 in working conditions, particularly with a surge in remote work [6]. Following the pandemic,
32
33 individuals started reassessing the costs and intensity associated with traditional jobs, leading
34
35 to a notable increase in voluntary resignations, referred to as the "Great Resignation" [7].
36
37 Consequently, the remaining employees bear heavier workloads without adequate
38
39 compensation. Additionally, a low-growth environment, economic recession, and inflation
40
41 have made job transitions more challenging. Hence, "quiet quitting" has become a method
42
43 employed by remaining employees to maintain a work-life balance [8].
44
45
46
47

48 However, even if it is argued that such choices are made in pursuit of individuals' work-life
49
50 balance, the phenomenon of quiet quitting within organizations has been reported to result in
51
52 compromised work efficiency, diminished organizational commitment, and adverse impacts
53
54 on organizational culture [9]. There is also a perspective that views these occurrences as
55
56 manifestations of individual deviance and work disengagement. Moreover, deliberately
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 slowing down the completion of tasks that could be expedited, while still adhering to all work-
5 related standards and diminishing one's effort in their own job, can be likened to the concept
6 of an “work to rule” or "Italian strike" [10]. However, the context, intent, and characteristics
7 of the phenomenon of quiet quitting differ from those of work to rule or the Italian strike,
8 requiring a multifaceted approach to understand the phenomenon fully.
9

10
11 Moreover, a similar phenomenon is observed in China, referred to as "tang-ping." Tang-ping,
12 which literally translates to "lying flat," reflects the idea of individuals lying down comfortably
13 [11]. After the COVID-19 pandemic, many young people in China feel that they are not
14 adequately rewarded for their work and experience frustration due to rising costs of living,
15 housing prices, and long working hours. As a result, they choose to lower their professional
16 commitment and economic ambitions, simplify their goals, while still being financially
17 productive for their essential needs, and prioritize psychological well-being over materialistic
18 pursuits [12]. Similarly, in other countries, similar phenomena can occur due to societal
19 changes following the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, comparing these phenomena can
20 clarify quiet quitting [11].
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 The phenomenon of quiet quitting has emerged following the COVID-19 pandemic, and
40 even if COVID-19 is not the direct cause, it has certainly contributed to the emergence of this
41 phenomenon [13]. Professions that has undergone the most significant changes due to COVID-
42 19 is the healthcare professionals in hospitals [14]. They face a more vulnerable working
43 environment, including higher risk of infection, personnel and equipment shortages, increased
44 workload, exclusion from the benefits of remote work, and inadequate financial compensation
45 [9]. Therefore, it is worth noting whether the phenomenon of quiet quitting, which has been
46 reported as a social phenomenon following the COVID-19 pandemic, is perceived differently
47 in the context of the hospital, which has experienced the most significant changes due to
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 COVID-19.
5

6
7 Despite the unique circumstances in the hospital, "quiet quitting" has also been reported
8 among healthcare professionals [15]. However, the consequences of quiet quitting in hospitals
9 may extend beyond the employees and the workplace. In hospitals, patients rely on healthcare
10 professionals to possess the skills specified in their job descriptions. In addition to technical
11 skills, patients also value emotional care, kindness, and a genuine concern for their well-being
12 from healthcare professionals. These aspects contribute to overall patient satisfaction and a
13 positive patient experience [16]. These aspects are not easily quantifiable or explicitly outlined
14 in job descriptions. As a result, the quiet quitting of healthcare professionals can potentially
15 create issues in these qualitative aspects and significantly impact patient satisfaction.
16
17

18
19 Furthermore, hospitals consist of various professions such as doctors and nurses, who
20 interact, communicate, exchange opinions, and collaborate with each other as a
21 multidisciplinary in-hospital team [17]. If quiet quitting occurs within one profession, it may
22 have a cascading negative impact on collaboration among different professions [15].
23 Teamwork among healthcare professionals, job satisfaction, perception of stress, and the
24 working environment are closely linked to the patient safety culture [18].
25
26

27
28 In the hospital environment, advancements in medical technology continually introduce new
29 tasks, and the job descriptions within the hospital setting tend to be more vague and basic rather
30 than highly detailed [19]. For instance, the characteristics of such a hospital can cause role
31 ambiguity among nurses [20], who make up the largest portion of the healthcare workforce, as
32 the job description of "assisting physicians in patient care" may vary from one nurse to another.
33 Therefore, due to these characteristics of the hospital setting, there is a potential for even more
34 serious consequences when quiet quitting occurs.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 Additionally, quiet quitting in the hospital can be considered a violation of work ethic [21].
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 In hospitals, where the connection to patients' lives is profound, ethics are treated with utmost
5 importance [22]. As a result, quiet quitting among healthcare professionals may occur more
6 discreetly, making it challenging to identify and address the issue effectively [23]. Moreover,
7 due to its distinct characteristics compared to quiet quitting in other professions, it is necessary
8 to thoroughly investigate and define the phenomenon and its outcomes specific to quiet quitting
9 within this unique context of the hospital.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 The concept of “quiet quitting” implies the need for systemic changes in working conditions,
19 rather than individual acts of deviance [9]. Moreover, since "quiet quitting" is related to
20 healthcare professionals' work-life balance, comprehending it can potentially provide
21 assistance in addressing psychological problems [24] such as depression, anxiety, post
22 traumatic stress disorder, burnout, and suicide among healthcare workers, which can ultimately
23 have a profound impact on a hospital's performance and patient safety. Considering the
24 potential negative impacts of “quiet quitting,” understanding this phenomenon is essential for
25 identifying the elements necessary to promote a desirable work culture [25]. Quiet quitting
26 serves as an important concept in comprehending the rapidly evolving organizational culture,
27 and developing strategies to address these issues.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 In the hospital, there is also an opinion that "quiet quitting" has existed among healthcare
42 professionals for a long time, and it is merely a new term for a pre-existing concept [15]. If
43 "quiet quitting" is defined as when workers of an organization, faced with undesirable working
44 conditions, opt to stay and perform their tasks without enthusiasm rather than seeking new
45 employment opportunities, it can be quite reasonable. Hospitals have chronic understaffing
46 issues and often require tasks like supply management and mandatory training beyond regular
47 working hours, relying on healthcare professionals for extra work [23]. Therefore, it is essential
48 to establish a clear definition of "quiet quitting" specifically in the context of healthcare
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 professionals. Subsequently, conducting a scoping review to explore existing research and gain
5
6 insights becomes essential to provide a comprehensive understanding of this phenomenon.
7

8
9 If "quiet quitting" is clearly defined through concept analysis, it is anticipated that we can
10
11 ascertain how long it has been prevalent and in what forms, what aspects of hospital culture
12
13 are associated with "quiet quitting," and what outcomes result from it. Additionally, by
14
15 investigating previously used similar concepts, we can compare and gain valuable insights into
16
17 the issue of "quiet quitting" in hospitals. Furthermore, understanding this phenomenon can
18
19 contribute to fostering a more supportive and sustainable work environment.
20
21

22
23 Therefore, it is crucial to employ a research method called concept analysis [26]. which
24
25 involves exploring the attributes of a phenomenon represented by a particular term, clarifying
26
27 the concept, and providing a precise definition. In this study, we aim to define the concept of
28
29 quiet quitting specifically within the hospital, which has experienced significant changes and
30
31 challenges due to the COVID-19 pandemic. By conducting a concept analysis will help us
32
33 examine and clarify any similar existing concepts, thereby contributing to a deeper
34
35 understanding of the essence of quiet quitting within the hospital context. Furthermore,
36
37 conducting a scoping review, we will examine the existing literature on this topic to gain a
38
39 comprehensive understanding and propose future research directions. A scoping review is a
40
41 research method that maps the characteristics and breadth of evidence on a specific topic,
42
43 guiding the direction of further research [27].
44
45
46
47

48
49 Therefore, the aim of this study is to define the phenomenon of "quiet quitting" among
50
51 healthcare professionals in hospitals through a concept analysis. Additionally, through a
52
53 scoping review of the defined concept, we intend to examine how this phenomenon is described
54
55 and researched in existing literature. Furthermore, we aim to investigate and summarize the
56
57 factors associated with quiet quitting, its consequences, and research efforts aimed at mitigating
58
59

1
2
3
4 and understanding this phenomenon. This examination will contribute to improved clarity and
5
6 comprehension of this phenomenon, providing a wealth of information for understanding
7
8 various issues within hospitals.
9

10 11 12 13 **2. METHODS AND ANALYSIS** 14

15 16 17 **Concept analysis and scoping review** 18

19
20 This study will combine concept analysis and a scoping review to analyze the concept of
21
22 quiet quitting in a clear manner. Concept analysis involves investigating the current state of
23
24 knowledge about a concept and constructing precise meanings that are useful in research
25
26 applications [28]. A scoping review examines the extent and nature of available evidence for
27
28 key concepts across various research areas [29]. Quiet quitting has emerged as a concept that
29
30 reflects the recent social atmosphere. To understand the meaning of this concept in the context
31
32 of healthcare professionals, it is essential to analyze its definition and characteristics through
33
34 literature applied in hospitals. Walker and Avant [26] recommend conducting a broad and
35
36 multidisciplinary literature review to gain a comprehensive understanding of concept. The
37
38 concept analysis will be conducted in eight steps: (1) choosing the concept; (2) outlining the
39
40 objectives of the analysis; (3) recognizing the concept's uses; (4) selecting the concept's
41
42 defining attributes; (5) constructing a model case; (6) constructing additional cases; (7)
43
44 defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept; and (8) determining empirical
45
46 referents.
47
48
49
50
51

52 After defining 'quiet quitting,' we will conduct a scoping review following the methodology
53
54 outlined by the Joanna Briggs Institute, as described by Aromataris and Munn [30]. The
55
56 protocol process of this study will be reported following the PRISMA-ScR guidelines
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for scoping
5 reviews) [31]. This quiet quitting concept analysis and scoping review has been registered in
6
7 the Open Science Framework (OSF); <https://osf.io/kv3p8>
8
9

10 11 12 13 ***Step 1: choosing the concept*** 14

15
16 In the reviewed literature, the concept of "quiet quitting" has been expressed using different
17 terms. Instead of "quiet," the term "silent" has been used, and "quitting" has been replaced with
18 "resignation," among others. Additionally, similar concepts like "tang-ping" have also been
19 utilized. This phenomenon of using similar words during the translation process might arise
20 independently in different countries, or the concept itself could be similar. In our research, we
21 have chosen to analyze the expression "quiet quitting" coined by Mark Boldger in 2009 as the
22 concept to investigate.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 ***Step 2: outlining the objectives of the analysis*** 33

34 In this study, our primary objectives are to provide a clear definition of 'quiet quitting'
35 within healthcare professions in a hospital setting and to offer guidance for future research on
36 this phenomenon. 'Quiet quitting' is currently used without a clear definition and is often
37 interchangeable with various terms. Some consider it a new label for an existing phenomenon.
38 Therefore, establishing a precise definition will assist research efforts in addressing and
39 resolving this phenomenon and will contribute to shaping the future work environment in
40 hospital healthcare professions.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 ***Step 3: recognizing the concept's uses*** 51

52 The third step involves examining how the concept of 'quiet quitting' has been used in
53 the existing literature within the healthcare field. We will start by introducing the concept as
54 initially proposed by Mark Boldger, acknowledging it as a newly coined concept. Subsequently,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 we will explore how this phenomenon manifests and how the concept is employed within the
5
6 healthcare field.

7
8
9 ***Step 4: selecting the concept's defining attributes***

10
11 The fourth step in the concept analysis process, involves identifying the characteristics
12
13 or attributes that are frequently mentioned in relevant literature regarding the concept being
14
15 analyzed.²⁶ In this study, the attributes are defined to ensure that each attribute independently
16
17 captures the essential qualities of quiet quitting.

18
19
20
21 ***Step 5: constructing a model case***

22
23 The fifth step, the model case, illustrates the concept clearly through examples to aid
24
25 in understanding. It also states that all attributes of the previously mentioned concepts are
26
27 included in the model case.

28
29
30
31 ***Step 6: constructing additional cases***

32
33 The sixth step involves developing additional cases, including borderline, related, and
34
35 contrary cases, to explore concepts closely related to quiet quitting but that may not fully
36
37 encompass the defining attributes. The borderline case includes most of the attributes of the
38
39 concept but not all of them, while a contrast case consists of cases that do not possess any of
40
41 the defined attributes. Additionally, the related case is similar to a model case but lacks some
42
43 of the important attributes.

44
45
46
47 ***Step 7: defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept***

48
49 In the seventh step, once clarity is achieved regarding the defining attributes, the
50
51 antecedents and consequences of the concept will be identified. Antecedents are events or
52
53 circumstances that must occur or be present before the concept of quiet quitting occurs, whereas
54
55 consequences are events or circumstances that occur as a result of the concept. This step of the
56
57 analysis helps establish a theoretical understanding of how concepts are interconnected and
58

1
2
3
4 sheds light on their resolution.
5

6 ***Step 8: determining empirical referents***

7
8
9 Step eight involves identifying empirical referents, which are indicators of everyday
10 life that represent familiarity with a concept. These studies support the development of
11 measurement tools and indicators for attributes.
12
13
14

15 16 17 18 **Scoping review process**

19 ***Step 1: Identify the Purpose***

20
21
22 The research question guides the review, and the review must be broad and
23 comprehensive [30]. In the scoping review protocol, we defined the following research
24 question, adapting the population, concept, and context (PCC) framework: How is the
25 phenomenon of 'quiet quitting' manifesting among healthcare professionals in the hospitals?
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
What are some strategies to reduce 'quiet quitting'?

Population

This study will consider studies involving all types of healthcare professionals engaged
in a hospital. The healthcare professionals include not only nurses and doctors, but also
physicians, surgeons, medicine, pharmacists, and practitioners.

Concept

This study will review research on 'quiet quitting' among healthcare professionals. The
term 'quiet quitting' as used here is based on the definition established in the previously
conducted concept analysis.

Context

We will exclusively focus on healthcare settings within hospitals. Healthcare
environments can vary widely, ranging from hospitals to local communities, but due to their

1
2
3
4 distinct characteristics, we have chosen to narrow down the scope of this concept analysis to
5
6 hospitals in order to provide a clearer definition of the concept's applicability.
7

8 9 ***Step 2: Search Strategy***

10
11 The search strategy is based on published data and includes qualitative research,
12
13 quantitative research, mixed methods, and a literature review. For this review, eight databases
14
15 will be searched for relevant literature, including PubMed, Google Scholar, Excerpta Medica
16
17 database (EMBASE), EBSCOhost Research Platform (selecting CINAHL Complete and
18
19 MEDLINE Complete databases), Scopus, Research Information Sharing Service, DataBase
20
21 Periodical Information Academic, and Korean studies Information Service System. Grey
22
23 literature will also be included because of its status as a relatively new concept. Grey literature
24
25 can provide valuable insights into topics currently under review. Both published and
26
27 unpublished grey literature sources, including major reports, such as white papers, frameworks,
28
29 and dissertations, will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review. Grey literature will
30
31 be searched using the ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest) and Google databases. To
32
33 include grey literature, we will also manually search the reference lists of the selected articles.
34
35 The literature includes both English and Korean texts.
36
37
38
39
40

41 The search terms should strike a balance between specificity and breadth to capture
42
43 the relevant literature for a thorough understanding while managing the volume of literature
44
45 for the review [30]. The search parameters will include the term "quiet quitting" in the abstract
46
47 or title and restrict the results to publications from January 1, 2009, onwards, as the concept
48
49 only emerged after 2009. Since the review will commence in July 2023, it is possible that new
50
51 literature will be published, and any relevant publications will be included in the scoping
52
53 review. Once the protocol has been published, formal data collection for the scoping review
54
55 will begin and will encompass more recent publications (Table 1).
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Scoping review search strategy

Framework Component	Criteria
Population	nurse OR doctor OR physician OR surgeon OR medicine OR pharmacist OR practitioner OR “healthcare professional” OR “healthcare worker” OR “healthcare personnel” OR “healthcare provider” OR “healthcare staff” OR “health worker” OR “health personnel” OR “health staff” OR “medical resident” OR “attending resident” OR “hospital technician” OR “paramedical personnel” OR “paramedical staff” OR “hospital support personnel”
Concept	“quiet quitting”; definition established in the concept analysis
Context	hospital OR clinic OR bedside OR ICU OR “general ward” OR clini* OR “Intensive care unit” OR “medical institution” OR hospice OR “medical center” OR “health center”
Other criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define or directly discuss quiet quitting as a concept • Among healthcare professionals or take place in hospital settings • Indicate a study result or finding on quiet quitting • English and Korean language literature • Studies of a qualitative and quantitative nature, of all types, whether experimental, quasi-experimental, literature reviews, meta-analyses, theses, and dissertations • Studies published in Jan 2009–July 2023.
Exclusion Criteria	• Publications that do not deliver any substantial contribution regarding the clarification of the concepts are to be excluded.
Database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase, EBSCO, Scopus, RISS, DBPIA, KISS • ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest)
Language	English, Korean
Time	2009–2023

Databases filter

When running the search terms in the selected databases, the asterisk symbol will be used as an indicator for truncating the ends of word roots. The relevant literature identified will be imported into the Covidence software program to ensure the removal of duplicate records.

Step 3: Selection Process

The literature screening will involve two distinct reviews. The scoping review will be managed using the Covidence software, and each citation will be independently assessed for eligibility by two members of the research team. The eligibility process will consist of two stages: an initial screening of eligible titles and abstracts, followed by a thorough review of the full-text articles for eligible citations. Given the anticipated extensive body of literature, the eligibility criteria will be refined during the screening of titles and abstracts. In addition, to identify further relevant literature, the reference lists of the identified articles will be examined. This screening process aligns with the strategy proposed by Aromataris and Munn [30]. The research team will hold regular meetings, typically every 1-2 weeks, to discuss the project, refine the eligibility criteria, and address any conflicts that arise regarding the eligibility of the articles. Disagreements will be resolved during these team meetings, with majority consensus determining eligibility. The project leader will make the final decision if a consensus cannot be reached.

Title and Abstract Screening

Before commencing the literature screening process, the project leader will provide education to the research team members to ensure consistency in evaluating the literature. During the initial team meeting, each member will receive detailed instructions on how to effectively utilize Covidence software. Furthermore, there will be a thorough discussion of the inclusion and exclusion criteria to address any questions that may arise. The team members will then conduct a preliminary review of the literature based on the established criteria, followed by an assessment of the inter-rater reliability (IRR) between each pair of team

1
2
3
4 members. The target IRR achievement between each pair is set at >0.75 , following the
5
6 guidelines of Aromataris and Munn [30].
7
8
9

10 11 **Full Text Screening for Eligibility** 12

13
14 Before initiating the full-text screening, the research team will upload the full-text
15 articles into the Covidence software and hold a meeting to discuss the screening process. Once
16 preparation is completed, each team member will independently review the articles and an IRR
17 calculation will be conducted. The target IRR achievement for each pair of team members is
18 set at >0.75 . If the expected IRR is not met, the research team will convene for additional
19 instructions and discussions to address any discrepancies. During the full-text screening, the
20 team will also conduct a reference list scan. Team members will share relevant citations with
21 project leaders. After completing the full-text screening, the project leader will present the
22 articles identified from the reference lists to the research team for consideration. The final
23 decision regarding eligibility will be made through a majority agreement. The project leader
24 will intervene to resolve any unresolved disagreements. The process of selecting and managing
25 literature for the scoping review will be presented in a PRISMA flow diagram.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 ***Step 4: Charting the Data*** 44

45 The research team has developed a draft charting table for data extraction (Table 2).
46 Selected articles will be subjected to independent data extraction by two researchers. Any
47 disagreements regarding the extracted data will be discussed during a full team meeting. If a
48 consensus cannot be reached, the project leader will carefully consider all opinions and make
49 the final decision.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Article information

Title	Author	Year of Publication	City	Type of study	Study population	Types of data sources	Definition or description	Relevant finding
entry 1	data							
entry 2	data							

Step 5: Data Analysis

The analysis of the collected data using the data extraction framework will showcase how 'quiet quitting' manifests and provide insights into research findings regarding potential solutions. For instance, we will categorize the phenomenon of 'quiet quitting' by different professions to demonstrate how it manifests in similar patterns and to explore which solutions may be more effective or worth implementing. The results will be presented in an appropriate format, such as tables and charts, to aggregate and visually represent the information (Table 3).

Table 3. Quiet quitting manifests by professions and potential solution

	Relationship with co-worker	Relationship with other professions	Deliberately avoided tasks	Inappropriate reward
Doctor				
Nurse				
Technician				
Etc.				
Solution				

Patient and Public Involvement

None.

3. ETHICS and DISSEMINATION

1
2
3
4 This scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results of this scoping review will
5
6 be reported in peer-reviewed publications. The process of selecting and managing literature for
7
8 the scoping review will be presented as a flowchart. The findings of this study will be
9
10 disseminated through conference presentations and submissions to peer-reviewed scholarly
11
12 journals.
13
14
15
16

17 **4. DISCUSSION**

18
19
20 Our concept analysis and scoping review exhibit several significant strengths. Firstly, we
21
22 will systematically search multiple databases to comprehensively identify relevant studies
23
24 related to 'quiet quitting' within the hospital context. Secondly, our review will encompass the
25
26 entire spectrum of available literature, beginning with the concept's inception in 2009 and
27
28 extending up to the present year, 2023. Thirdly, our search strategy will include both electronic
29
30 databases and grey literature sources to ensure inclusivity. Lastly, our concept analysis will
31
32 rigorously adhere to Walker and Avant's method, while our scoping review will strictly follow
33
34 the guidelines outlined by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) and the Preferred Reporting Items
35
36 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews. Consequently, the
37
38 findings of this study are poised to provide a robust foundation for scholarly discourse.
39
40
41
42

43
44 We anticipate several limitations in our study. Firstly, our inclusion criteria are limited to
45
46 English and Korean literature, potentially excluding documents published in other languages.
47
48 Additionally, we will not conduct quality assessments or assess the risk of bias for the selected
49
50 literature, as these aspects are typically not applied in scoping reviews. Finally, our study is
51
52 limited by its focus on healthcare professionals within the hospital setting, which does not
53
54 encompass the entirety of the healthcare environment. We recognize this limitation and suggest
55
56 that future research should consider a broader scope to include various healthcare contexts.
57
58
59
60

Contributors

JK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration. HK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Collection, Writing – Original Draft. OHC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Competing interests

None declared

REFERENCES

1. Martela F, Pessi AB. Significant work is about self-realization and broader purpose: Defining the key dimensions of meaningful work. *Front Psychol.* 2018;9:363. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00363
2. Nseir S. Post-pandemic disengagement: What is quiet quitting? *Ariz Nurse.* 2013;76(1):8-9.
3. Jeon J. A study on the characteristics and improvement plan of 'quiet quitting' centered on the mz generation. *J Humanit Soc Sci.* 2022;13(6):5117-5132. doi: 10.22143/HSS21.13.6.355
4. Formica S, Sfodera F. The great resignation and quiet quitting paradigm shifts: An overview of the current situation and future research directions. *J Hosp Mark Manage.* 2022;31(8):899-907. doi: 10.1080/19368623.2022.2136601
5. Hamouche S, Koritos C, Papastathopoulos A. Quiet quitting: Relationship with other concepts and implications for tourism and hospitality. *Int J Contemp Hosp Manage.* 2023. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-11-2022-1362
6. Brynjolfsson E, Horton JJ, Ozimek A, Rock D, Sharma G, TuYe HY. Covid-19 and remote work: An early look at US data. *NBER Working Paper Series.* 2020;27344. doi: 10.3386/w27344
7. Tessema MT, Tesfom G, Faircloth MA, Tesfagiorgis M, Teckle P. The "great resignation": Causes, consequences, and creative HR management strategies. *J Hum Resour Sustain Stud.* 2022;10(1):161-178. doi: 10.4236/jhrss.2022.101011
8. Aydın E, Azizoğlu Ö. A new term for an existing concept: Quiet quitting—a self-determination perspective. In: *International Congress on Critical Debates in Social Sciences; 2022 Oct 7-9; Balıkesir, Turkey.*
9. Boy Y, Sürmeli M. Quiet quitting: A significant risk for global healthcare. *J Glob Health.* 2023;13:03014. doi: 10.7189/jogh.13.03014
10. Johnson JR. What's new about quiet quitting (and what's not). *Transdiscip J Manag.* 2023.
11. Nordgren H, Ingemarsson Björs A. Quiet quitting, loud consequences: The role of management in employee engagement [dissertation]. 2023. Available from: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-498675>
12. Yikilmaz I. Quiet quitting: A conceptual investigation. In: *Anadolu International Conference on Social Science.*
13. Ng E, Stanton P. The great resignation: Managing people in a post COVID-19 pandemic world. *Personnel Review.* 2023;52(2):401-407. doi: 10.1108/PR-03-2023-

914

14. Sethi BA, Sethi A, Ali S, Aamir HS. Impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic on health professionals. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S6-11. doi: 10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2779
15. Kumar S. Quiet quitting and its relevance to the medical profession. *MGM J Med Sci.* 2023;10(1):1. doi: 10.4103/mgmj.mgmj_42_23
16. Hannawa AF, Wu AW, Kolyada A, Potemkina A, Donaldson LJ. The aspects of healthcare quality that are important to health professionals and patients: A qualitative study. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2022;105(6):1561-1570. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2021.10.01617
17. Epstein NE. Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2014;5(Suppl 7):S295-S303. doi: 10.4103/2152-7806.139612
18. Toso GL, Golle L, Magnago TSB de S, Herr GEG, Loro MM, Aozane F, Kolankiewicz ACB. Patient safety culture in hospitals within the nursing perspective. *Rev Gaucha Enferm.* 2016;37:e58662. doi: 10.1590/1983-1447.2016.04.58662
19. Eagar SC, Cowin LS, Gregory L, Firtko A. Scope of practice conflict in nursing: A new war or just the same battle?. *Contemporary Nurse.* 2010;36(1-2):86-95. doi: 10.5172/conu.2010.36.1-2.086
20. Cengiz A, Yoder LH, Danesh V. A concept analysis of role ambiguity experienced by hospital nurses providing bedside nursing care. *Nursing & Health Sciences.* 2021;23(4):807–817. doi:10.1111/nhs.12888
21. Scheyett A. Quiet quitting. *Soc Work.* 2023;68(1):5-7. doi: 10.1093/sw/swac051
22. Summers J, Morrison E. Principles of healthcare ethics. In: *Health Care Ethics*, 2nd ed. Sudbury: Jones Bartlett Publ; 2009. p. 41-58.
23. Rosanne R. Quiet quitting: Checking in on checking out. *Nursing Management.* 2023;54(7):4. Doi:10.1097/nmg.000000000000029
24. Yayla A, İlgin VE. The relationship of nurses' psychological well-being with their coronaphobia and work–life balance during the covid-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs.* 2021;(30):3153-3162. doi:10.1111/jocn.15783
25. Haselden L, Barsotti C. Practice Management: EPs and Quiet Quitting: Hospitals Must Value Workers More Than Money. *Emerg Med News.* 2023;45(2B):1-2.
26. Walker LO, Avant KC. *Strategies for theory construction in nursing.* 6th ed. New York: Pearson; 2018.
27. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic

21

- 1
2
3
4 or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18:143. doi:
5 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
6
7
8 28. Penrod J, Hupcey JE. Concept advancement: Extending science through concept-
9 driven research. *Res Theory Nurs Pract*. 2005;19(3):231-241. doi:
10 10.1891/rtnp.2005.19.3.231
11
12 29. Colquhoun HL, Levac D, O'Brien KK, Straus S, Tricco AC, Perrier L, et al. Scoping
13 reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *J Clin Epidemiol*.
14 2014;67(12):1291-1294. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013
15
16 30. Aromataris E, Munn Z. *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. JBI; 2020. Available
17 from: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>. doi: 10.46658/JBIMES-20-01
18
19
20 31. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA
21 extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern*
22 *Med*. 2018;169(7):467-473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Open

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-077811.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Nov-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Kang, Jaejin; The Catholic University of Korea Kim, Hyekyung; Catholic Kwandong University Cho, Ok-Hee; Kongju National University,
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nursing, Medical management
Keywords:	Occupational Stress, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, COVID-19

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title Page**1. Title:**

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

2. Authors: Jaejin Kang, Hyekyung Kim, Ok-Hee Cho*

Jaejin Kang, PhD, RN

Research instructor, College of Nursing, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: jjkang@catholic.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/>

Hyekyung Kim, PhD, RN

Assistant Professor, Department of Nursing, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: hk1224@cku.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5504-9288>

Ok-Hee Cho, PhD, RN

Professor, Department of Nursing, College of Nursing and Health, Kongju National University, Gongju, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: ohcho@kongju.ac.kr

ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8882-675X>

3. *Corresponding author

Ok-Hee Cho, PhD, RN

Professor, Department of Nursing, College of Nursing and Health, Kongju National University, Gongju, Republic of Korea.

Address: 56 Gongjudeahak-ro, Gongju-si, Chungcheong nam-do 32588, Republic of Korea

Tel: +82-41-850-0306

Fax: + 82-41-850-0315

E-mail: ohcho@kongju.ac.kr

4. Key words:

health personnel; COVID-19; occupational stress; work engagement

5. Word count: 3,711

Quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospital environments: A concept analysis and scoping review protocol

Abstract

Introduction: The post-COVID-19 pandemic era has seen a rise in "quiet quitting," with employees limiting their efforts to fulfill assigned tasks without going beyond their designated responsibilities. The occurrence of quiet quitting in hospitals can have detrimental effects not only on organizational culture but also on patient safety and satisfaction. Therefore, the aim of this study is to define quiet quitting among healthcare professionals in hospitals through concept analysis, identify the associated factors and outcomes of quiet quitting, and conduct a scoping review based on this defined concept.

Methods and analysis: This study will adopt Walker and Avant method for concept analysis and Aromataris and Munn methodological framework as well as the Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's manual for scoping reviews. The concept analysis will follow eight steps: (1) choosing the concept; (2) outlining the objectives of the analysis; (3) recognizing the concept's uses; (4) selecting the concept's defining attributes; (5) constructing a model case; (6) constructing additional cases; (7) defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept; and (8) determining empirical referents. This study used databases of PubMed, Embase, PsycINFO, Scopus, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global for the English language, and NDSL, KCI, RISS, KISS, and DBpia for the Korean language. Additionally, grey literature will be searched.

Ethics and dissemination: This concept analysis and scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results of this study will be reported in peer reviewed publications.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We rigorously adhere to Walker and Avant's method in conducting a concept analysis to precisely define the concept of 'quiet quitting'.
- Our scoping review is conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews, ensuring the provision of trustworthy evidence.
- We conducted a systematic literature search from 2009, when the term 'quiet quitting' was first introduced, through 2023, encompassing all electronic databases and grey literature sources, to identify all available evidence.
- This review will include documents written only in English and Korean, leading to the potential omission of relevant materials written in other languages.
- The study focuses on healthcare professionals in the hospital setting, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other healthcare contexts.

1. INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Occupational perceptions evolve over time, and are influenced by changing social dynamics
8
9 [1]. Recently, a phenomenon known as "quiet quitting" has been observed to be on the rise.
10
11 This behavior entails employees limiting their dedication solely to assigned tasks, strictly
12
13 adhering to the job description without engaging in any additional work [2]. It differs from the
14
15 previously prevalent trend "hustle culture," which emphasizes work over personal life. In 2020,
16
17 over 50% of American workers engaged in "quiet quitting," with the trend being more
18
19 prominent among the Millennial and Generation Z cohorts. This phenomenon indicates a
20
21 psychological detachment from job-related responsibilities [3].
22
23

24
25 The concept of "quiet quitting" was introduced by Mark Boldger in 2009 [4]. However, it
26
27 gained widespread recognition and renewed attention when "quiet quitting" went viral on
28
29 social media in summer 2022 [5]. The COVID-19 pandemic brought about significant changes
30
31 in working conditions, particularly with a surge in remote work [6]. Following the pandemic,
32
33 individuals started reassessing the costs and intensity associated with traditional jobs, leading
34
35 to a notable increase in voluntary resignations, referred to as the "Great Resignation" [7].
36
37 Consequently, the remaining employees bear heavier workloads without adequate
38
39 compensation. Additionally, a low-growth environment, economic recession, and inflation
40
41 have made job transitions more challenging. Hence, "quiet quitting" has become a method
42
43 employed by remaining employees to maintain a work-life balance [8].
44
45
46
47

48 However, even if it is argued that such choices are made in pursuit of individuals' work-life
49
50 balance, the phenomenon of quiet quitting within organizations has been reported to result in
51
52 compromised work efficiency, diminished organizational commitment, and adverse impacts
53
54 on organizational culture [9]. There is also a perspective that views these occurrences as
55
56 manifestations of individual deviance and work disengagement. Moreover, deliberately
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 slowing down the completion of tasks that could be expedited, while still adhering to all work-
5 related standards and diminishing one's effort in their own job, can be likened to the concept
6 of an “work to rule” or "Italian strike" [10]. However, the context, intent, and characteristics
7 of the phenomenon of quiet quitting differ from those of work to rule or the Italian strike,
8 requiring a multifaceted approach to understand the phenomenon fully.

9
10
11 Moreover, a similar phenomenon is observed in China, referred to as "tang-ping." Tang-ping,
12 which literally translates to "lying flat," reflects the idea of individuals lying down comfortably
13 [11]. After the COVID-19 pandemic, many young people in China feel that they are not
14 adequately rewarded for their work and experience frustration due to rising costs of living,
15 housing prices, and long working hours. As a result, they choose to lower their professional
16 commitment and economic ambitions, simplify their goals, while still being financially
17 productive for their essential needs, and prioritize psychological well-being over materialistic
18 pursuits [12]. Similarly, in other countries, similar phenomena can occur due to societal
19 changes following the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, comparing these phenomena can
20 clarify quiet quitting [11].

21
22
23 The phenomenon of quiet quitting has emerged following the COVID-19 pandemic, and
24 even if COVID-19 is not the direct cause, it has certainly contributed to the emergence of this
25 phenomenon [13]. Professions that has undergone the most significant changes due to COVID-
26 19 is the healthcare professionals in hospitals [14]. They face a more vulnerable working
27 environment, including higher risk of infection, personnel and equipment shortages, increased
28 workload, exclusion from the benefits of remote work, and inadequate financial compensation
29 [9]. Therefore, it is worth noting whether the phenomenon of quiet quitting, which has been
30 reported as a social phenomenon following the COVID-19 pandemic, is perceived differently
31 in the context of the hospital, which has experienced the most significant changes due to

1
2
3
4 COVID-19.
5

6
7 Despite the unique circumstances in the hospital, "quiet quitting" has also been reported
8 among healthcare professionals [15]. However, the consequences of quiet quitting in hospitals
9 may extend beyond the employees and the workplace. In hospitals, patients rely on healthcare
10 professionals to possess the skills specified in their job descriptions. In addition to technical
11 skills, patients also value emotional care, kindness, and a genuine concern for their well-being
12 from healthcare professionals. These aspects contribute to overall patient satisfaction and a
13 positive patient experience [16]. These aspects are not easily quantifiable or explicitly outlined
14 in job descriptions. As a result, the quiet quitting of healthcare professionals can potentially
15 create issues in these qualitative aspects and significantly impact patient satisfaction.
16
17

18
19 Furthermore, hospitals consist of various professions such as doctors and nurses, who
20 interact, communicate, exchange opinions, and collaborate with each other as a
21 multidisciplinary in-hospital team [17]. If quiet quitting occurs within one profession, it may
22 have a cascading negative impact on collaboration among different professions [15].
23 Teamwork among healthcare professionals, job satisfaction, perception of stress, and the
24 working environment are closely linked to the patient safety culture [18].
25
26

27
28 In the hospital environment, advancements in medical technology continually introduce new
29 tasks, and the job descriptions within the hospital setting tend to be more vague and basic rather
30 than highly detailed [19]. For instance, the characteristics of such a hospital can cause role
31 ambiguity among nurses [20]. Therefore, due to these characteristics of the hospital setting,
32 there is a potential for even more serious consequences when quiet quitting occurs.
33
34

35
36 Additionally, quiet quitting in the hospital can be considered a violation of work ethic [21].
37 In hospitals, where the connection to patients' lives is profound, ethics are treated with utmost
38 importance [22]. As a result, quiet quitting among healthcare professionals may occur more
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 discreetly, making it challenging to identify and address the issue effectively [23]. Moreover,
5
6 due to its distinct characteristics compared to quiet quitting in other professions, it is necessary
7
8 to thoroughly investigate and define the phenomenon and its outcomes specific to quiet quitting
9
10 within this unique context of the hospital.
11
12

13
14 The concept of “quiet quitting” implies the need for systemic changes in working conditions,
15
16 rather than individual acts of deviance [9]. Moreover, since "quiet quitting" is related to
17
18 healthcare professionals' work-life balance, comprehending it can potentially provide
19
20 assistance in addressing psychological problems [24] such as depression, anxiety, post
21
22 traumatic stress disorder, burnout, and suicide among healthcare workers, which can ultimately
23
24 have a profound impact on a hospital's performance and patient safety. Considering the
25
26 potential negative impacts of “quiet quitting,” understanding this phenomenon is essential for
27
28 identifying the elements necessary to promote a desirable work culture [25]. Quiet quitting
29
30 serves as an important concept in comprehending the rapidly evolving organizational culture,
31
32 and developing strategies to address these issues.
33
34
35

36
37 In the hospital, there is also an opinion that "quiet quitting" has existed among healthcare
38
39 professionals for a long time, and it is merely a new term for a pre-existing concept [15]. If
40
41 "quiet quitting" is defined as when workers of an organization, faced with undesirable working
42
43 conditions, opt to stay and perform their tasks without enthusiasm rather than seeking new
44
45 employment opportunities, it can be quite reasonable. Hospitals have chronic understaffing
46
47 issues and often require tasks like supply management and mandatory training beyond regular
48
49 working hours, relying on healthcare professionals for extra work [23]. Therefore, it is essential
50
51 to establish a clear definition of "quiet quitting" specifically in the context of healthcare
52
53 professionals. Subsequently, conducting a scoping review to explore existing research and gain
54
55 insights becomes essential to provide a comprehensive understanding of this phenomenon.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 If "quiet quitting" is clearly defined through concept analysis, it is anticipated that we can
5 ascertain how long it has been prevalent and in what forms, what aspects of hospital culture
6 are associated with "quiet quitting," and what outcomes result from it. Additionally, by
7 investigating previously used similar concepts, we can compare and gain valuable insights into
8 the issue of "quiet quitting" in hospitals. Furthermore, understanding this phenomenon can
9 contribute to fostering a more supportive and sustainable work environment.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Therefore, it is crucial to employ a research method called concept analysis [26], which
19 involves exploring the attributes of a phenomenon represented by a particular term, clarifying
20 the concept, and providing a precise definition. In this study, we aim to define the concept of
21 quiet quitting specifically within the hospital, which has experienced significant changes and
22 challenges due to the COVID-19 pandemic. By conducting a concept analysis will help us
23 examine and clarify any similar existing concepts, thereby contributing to a deeper
24 understanding of the essence of quiet quitting within the hospital context. Furthermore,
25 conducting a scoping review, we will examine the existing literature on this topic to gain a
26 comprehensive understanding and propose future research directions. A scoping review is a
27 research method that maps the characteristics and breadth of evidence on a specific topic,
28 guiding the direction of further research [27].
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Therefore, the aim of this study is to define the phenomenon of "quiet quitting" among
44 healthcare professionals in hospitals through a concept analysis. Additionally, through a
45 scoping review of the defined concept, we intend to examine how this phenomenon is described
46 and researched in existing literature. Furthermore, we aim to investigate and summarize the
47 factors associated with quiet quitting, its consequences, and research efforts aimed at mitigating
48 and understanding this phenomenon. This examination will contribute to improved clarity and
49 comprehension of this phenomenon, providing a wealth of information for understanding
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 various issues within hospitals.
5
6
7

8 9 **2. METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

10 11 12 13 **Concept analysis and scoping review**

14
15
16 This study will combine concept analysis and a scoping review to analyze the concept of
17 quiet quitting in a clear manner. Concept analysis involves investigating the current state of
18 knowledge about a concept and constructing precise meanings that are useful in research
19 applications [28]. A scoping review examines the extent and nature of available evidence for
20 key concepts across various research areas [29]. Quiet quitting has emerged as a concept that
21 reflects the recent social atmosphere. To understand the meaning of this concept in the context
22 of healthcare professionals, it is essential to analyze its definition and characteristics through
23 literature applied in hospitals. Walker and Avant [26] recommend conducting a broad and
24 multidisciplinary literature review to gain a comprehensive understanding of concept. The
25 concept analysis will be conducted in eight steps: (1) choosing the concept; (2) outlining the
26 objectives of the analysis; (3) recognizing the concept's uses; (4) selecting the concept's
27 defining attributes; (5) constructing a model case; (6) constructing additional cases; (7)
28 defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept; and (8) determining empirical
29 referents.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 After defining 'quiet quitting,' we will conduct a scoping review following the methodology
49 outlined by the Joanna Briggs Institute, as described by Aromataris and Munn [30]. The
50 protocol process of this study will be reported following the PRISMA-ScR guidelines
51 (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for scoping
52 reviews) [31]. This quiet quitting concept analysis and scoping review has been registered in
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 the Open Science Framework (OSF); <https://osf.io/kv3p8>
5
6
7

8
9 ***Step 1: choosing the concept***

10
11 In the reviewed literature, the concept of "quiet quitting" has been expressed using different
12 terms. Instead of "quiet," the term "silent" has been used, and "quitting" has been replaced with
13 "resignation," among others. Additionally, similar concepts like "tang-ping" have also been
14 utilized. This phenomenon of using similar words during the translation process might arise
15 independently in different countries, or the concept itself could be similar. In our research, we
16 have chosen to analyze the expression "quiet quitting" coined by Mark Boldger in 2009 as the
17 concept to investigate.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ***Step 2: outlining the objectives of the analysis***

28
29 In this study, our primary objectives are to provide a clear definition of 'quiet quitting'
30 within healthcare professions in a hospital setting and to offer guidance for future research on
31 this phenomenon. 'Quiet quitting' is currently used without a clear definition and is often
32 interchangeable with various terms. Some consider it a new label for an existing phenomenon.
33 Therefore, establishing a precise definition will assist research efforts in addressing and
34 resolving this phenomenon and will contribute to shaping the future work environment in
35 hospital healthcare professions.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 ***Step 3: recognizing the concept's uses***

46
47 The third step involves examining how the concept of 'quiet quitting' has been used in
48 the existing literature within the healthcare field. We will start by introducing the concept as
49 initially proposed by Mark Boldger, acknowledging it as a newly coined concept. Subsequently,
50 we will explore how this phenomenon manifests and how the concept is employed within the
51 healthcare field.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 ***Step 4: selecting the concept's defining attributes***
5

6
7 The fourth step in the concept analysis process, involves identifying the characteristics
8 or attributes that are frequently mentioned in relevant literature regarding the concept being
9 analyzed.²⁶ In this study, the attributes are defined to ensure that each attribute independently
10 captures the essential qualities of quiet quitting.
11
12
13
14

15
16 ***Step 5: constructing a model case***
17

18 The fifth step, the model case, illustrates the concept clearly through examples to aid
19 in understanding. It also states that all attributes of the previously mentioned concepts are
20 included in the model case.
21
22
23
24

25 ***Step 6: constructing additional cases***
26

27 The sixth step involves developing additional cases, including borderline, related, and
28 contrary cases, to explore concepts closely related to quiet quitting but that may not fully
29 encompass the defining attributes. The borderline case includes most of the attributes of the
30 concept but not all of them, while a contrast case consists of cases that do not possess any of
31 the defined attributes. Additionally, the related case is similar to a model case but lacks some
32 of the important attributes.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 ***Step 7: defining the consequences and antecedents of the concept***
42

43 In the seventh step, once clarity is achieved regarding the defining attributes, the
44 antecedents and consequences of the concept will be identified. Antecedents are events or
45 circumstances that must occur or be present before the concept of quiet quitting occurs, whereas
46 consequences are events or circumstances that occur as a result of the concept. This step of the
47 analysis helps establish a theoretical understanding of how concepts are interconnected and
48 sheds light on their resolution.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ***Step 8: determining empirical referents***
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Step eight involves identifying empirical referents, which are indicators of everyday
5 life that represent familiarity with a concept. These studies support the development of
6 measurement tools and indicators for attributes.
7
8
9
10

11 12 13 **Scoping review process**

14 15 ***Step 1: Identify the Purpose***

16
17 The research question guides the review, and the review must be broad and
18 comprehensive [30]. In the scoping review protocol, we defined the following research
19 question, adapting the population, concept, and context (PCC) framework: How is the
20 phenomenon of 'quiet quitting' manifesting among healthcare professionals in the hospitals?
21
22 What are some strategies to reduce 'quiet quitting'?
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 30 **Population**

31
32 This study will consider studies involving all types of healthcare professionals engaged
33 in a hospital. The healthcare professionals include not only nurses and doctors, but also
34 physicians, surgeons, medicine, pharmacists, and practitioners.
35
36
37
38

39 40 **Concept**

41
42 This study will review research on 'quiet quitting' among healthcare professionals. The
43 term 'quiet quitting' as used here is based on the definition established in the previously
44 conducted concept analysis.
45
46
47

48 49 **Context**

50
51 We will exclusively focus on healthcare settings within hospitals. Healthcare
52 environments can vary widely, ranging from hospitals to local communities, but due to their
53 distinct characteristics, we have chosen to narrow down the scope of this concept analysis to
54 hospitals in order to provide a clearer definition of the concept's applicability.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Step 2: Search Strategy

The search strategy is based on published data and includes qualitative research, quantitative research, mixed methods, and a literature review. For this review, eight databases will be searched for relevant literature, including PubMed, Google Scholar, Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), EBSCOhost Research Platform (selecting CINAHL Complete and MEDLINE Complete databases), Scopus, Research Information Sharing Service, DataBase Periodical Information Academic, and Korean studies Information Service System. Grey literature will also be included because of its status as a relatively new concept. Grey literature can provide valuable insights into topics currently under review. Both published and unpublished grey literature sources, including major reports, such as white papers, frameworks, and dissertations, will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review. Grey literature will be searched using the ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest) and Google databases. To include grey literature, we will also manually search the reference lists of the selected articles. The literature includes both English and Korean texts.

The search terms should strike a balance between specificity and breadth to capture the relevant literature for a thorough understanding while managing the volume of literature for the review [30]. The search parameters will include the term "quiet quitting" in the abstract or title and restrict the results to publications from January 1, 2009, onwards, as the concept only emerged after 2009. Since the review will commence in July 2023, it is possible that new literature will be published, and any relevant publications will be included in the scoping review. Once the protocol has been published, formal data collection for the scoping review will begin and will encompass more recent publications (Table 1).

Table 1. Scoping review search strategy

Framework Component	Criteria
Population	nurse OR doctor OR physician OR surgeon OR medicine OR pharmacist OR practitioner OR “healthcare professional” OR “healthcare worker” OR “healthcare personnel” OR “healthcare provider” OR “healthcare staff” OR “health worker” OR “health personnel” OR “health staff” OR “medical resident” OR “attending resident” OR “hospital technician” OR “paramedical personnel” OR “paramedical staff” OR “hospital support personnel”
Concept	“quiet quitting”; definition established in the concept analysis
Context	hospital OR clinic OR bedside OR ICU OR “general ward” OR clini* OR “Intensive care unit” OR “medical institution” OR hospice OR “medical center” OR “health center”
Other criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define or directly discuss quiet quitting as a concept • Among healthcare professionals or take place in hospital settings • Indicate a study result or finding on quiet quitting • English and Korean language literature • Studies of a qualitative and quantitative nature, of all types, whether experimental, quasi-experimental, literature reviews, meta-analyses, theses, and dissertations • Studies published in Jan 2009–July 2023.
Exclusion Criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publications that do not deliver any substantial contribution regarding the clarification of the concepts are to be excluded.
Database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase, EBSCO, Scopus, RISS, DBPIA, KISS • ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (ProQuest)
Language	English, Korean
Time	2009–2023

Databases filter

When running the search terms in the selected databases, the asterisk symbol will be used as an indicator for truncating the ends of word roots. The relevant literature identified will be imported into the Covidence software program to ensure the removal of duplicate records.

Step 3: Selection Process

1
2
3
4 The literature screening will involve two distinct reviews. The scoping review will be
5 managed using the Covidence software, and each citation will be independently assessed for
6 eligibility by two members of the research team. The eligibility process will consist of two
7 stages: an initial screening of eligible titles and abstracts, followed by a thorough review of the
8 full-text articles for eligible citations. Given the anticipated extensive body of literature, the
9 eligibility criteria will be refined during the screening of titles and abstracts. In addition, to
10 identify further relevant literature, the reference lists of the identified articles will be examined.
11 This screening process aligns with the strategy proposed by Aromataris and Munn [30]. The
12 research team will hold regular meetings, typically every 1-2 weeks, to discuss the project,
13 refine the eligibility criteria, and address any conflicts that arise regarding the eligibility of the
14 articles. Disagreements will be resolved during these team meetings, with majority consensus
15 determining eligibility. The project leader will make the final decision if a consensus cannot
16 be reached.

36 **Title and Abstract Screening**

37
38 Before commencing the literature screening process, the project leader will provide
39 education to the research team members to ensure consistency in evaluating the literature.
40 During the initial team meeting, each member will receive detailed instructions on how to
41 effectively utilize Covidence software. Furthermore, there will be a thorough discussion of the
42 inclusion and exclusion criteria to address any questions that may arise. The team members
43 will then conduct a preliminary review of the literature based on the established criteria,
44 followed by an assessment of the inter-rater reliability (IRR) between each pair of team
45 members. The target IRR achievement between each pair is set at >0.75 , following the
46 guidelines of Aromataris and Munn [30].

Full Text Screening for Eligibility

Before initiating the full-text screening, the research team will upload the full-text articles into the Covidence software and hold a meeting to discuss the screening process. Once preparation is completed, each team member will independently review the articles and an IRR calculation will be conducted. The target IRR achievement for each pair of team members is set at >0.75 . If the expected IRR is not met, the research team will convene for additional instructions and discussions to address any discrepancies. During the full-text screening, the team will also conduct a reference list scan. Team members will share relevant citations with project leaders. After completing the full-text screening, the project leader will present the articles identified from the reference lists to the research team for consideration. The final decision regarding eligibility will be made through a majority agreement. The project leader will intervene to resolve any unresolved disagreements. The process of selecting and managing literature for the scoping review will be presented in a PRISMA flow diagram.

Step 4: Charting the Data

The research team has developed a draft charting table for data extraction (Table 2). Selected articles will be subjected to independent data extraction by two researchers. Any disagreements regarding the extracted data will be discussed during a full team meeting. If a consensus cannot be reached, the project leader will carefully consider all opinions and make the final decision.

Table 2. Article information

Title	Author	Year of Publication	City	Type of study	Study population	Types of data sources	Definition or description	Relevant finding
entry 1	data							
entry 2	data							

Step 5: Data Analysis

The analysis of the collected data using the data extraction framework will showcase how 'quiet quitting' manifests and provide insights into research findings regarding potential solutions. For instance, we will categorize the phenomenon of 'quiet quitting' by different professions to demonstrate how it manifests in similar patterns and to explore which solutions may be more effective or worth implementing. The results will be presented in an appropriate format, such as tables and charts, to aggregate and visually represent the information (Table 3).

Table 3. Quiet quitting manifests by professions and potential solution

	Relationship with co-worker	Relationship with other professions	Deliberately avoided tasks	Inappropriate reward
Doctor				
Nurse				
Technician				
Etc.				
Solution				

Patient and Public Involvement

None.

3. ETHICS and DISSEMINATION

This scoping review does not require ethical approval. The results of this scoping review will be reported in peer-reviewed publications. The process of selecting and managing literature for

1
2
3
4 the scoping review will be presented as a flowchart. The findings of this study will be
5
6 disseminated through conference presentations and submissions to peer-reviewed scholarly
7
8 journals.
9

10 11 12 13 **4. DISCUSSION** 14

15 Our concept analysis and scoping review exhibit several significant strengths. Firstly, we
16
17 will systematically search multiple databases to comprehensively identify relevant studies
18
19 related to 'quiet quitting' within the hospital context. Secondly, our review will encompass the
20
21 entire spectrum of available literature, beginning with the concept's inception in 2009 and
22
23 extending up to the present year, 2023. Thirdly, our search strategy will include both electronic
24
25 databases and grey literature sources to ensure inclusivity. Lastly, our concept analysis will
26
27 rigorously adhere to Walker and Avant's method, while our scoping review will strictly follow
28
29 the guidelines outlined by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) and the Preferred Reporting Items
30
31 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews. Consequently, the
32
33 findings of this study are poised to provide a robust foundation for scholarly discourse.
34
35
36
37
38

39 We anticipate several limitations in our study. Firstly, our inclusion criteria are limited to
40
41 English and Korean literature, potentially excluding documents published in other languages.
42
43 Additionally, we will not conduct quality assessments or assess the risk of bias for the selected
44
45 literature, as these aspects are typically not applied in scoping reviews. Finally, our study is
46
47 limited by its focus on healthcare professionals within the hospital setting, which does not
48
49 encompass the entirety of the healthcare environment. We recognize this limitation and suggest
50
51 that future research should consider a broader scope to include various healthcare contexts.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Contributors

JK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration. HK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Collection, Writing – Original Draft. OHC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Competing interests

None declared

REFERENCES

1. Martela F, Pessi AB. Significant work is about self-realization and broader purpose: Defining the key dimensions of meaningful work. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9:363. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00363
2. Nseir S. Post-pandemic disengagement: What is quiet quitting? *Ariz Nurse*. 2013;76(1):8-9.
3. Jeon J. A study on the characteristics and improvement plan of 'quiet quitting' centered on the mz generation. *J Humanit Soc Sci*. 2022;13(6):5117-5132. doi: 10.22143/HSS21.13.6.355
4. Formica S, Sfodera F. The great resignation and quiet quitting paradigm shifts: An overview of the current situation and future research directions. *J Hosp Mark Manage*. 2022;31(8):899-907. doi: 10.1080/19368623.2022.2136601
5. Hamouche S, Koritos C, Papastathopoulos A. Quiet quitting: Relationship with other concepts and implications for tourism and hospitality. *Int J Contemp Hosp Manage*. 2023. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-11-2022-1362
6. Brynjolfsson E, Horton JJ, Ozimek A, Rock D, Sharma G, TuYe HY. Covid-19 and remote work: An early look at US data. *NBER Working Paper Series*. 2020;27344. doi: 10.3386/w27344
7. Tessema MT, Tesfom G, Faircloth MA, Tesfagiorgis M, Teckle P. The "great resignation": Causes, consequences, and creative HR management strategies. *J Hum Resour Sustain Stud*. 2022;10(1):161-178. doi: 10.4236/jhrss.2022.101011
8. Aydın E, Azizoğlu Ö. A new term for an existing concept: Quiet quitting—a self-determination perspective. In: *International Congress on Critical Debates in Social Sciences*; 2022 Oct 7-9; Balıkesir, Turkey.
9. Boy Y, Sürmeli M. Quiet quitting: A significant risk for global healthcare. *J Glob Health*. 2023;13:03014. doi: 10.7189/jogh.13.03014
10. Johnson JR. What's new about quiet quitting (and what's not). *Transdiscip J Manag*. 2023.
11. Nordgren H, Ingemarsson Björs A. Quiet quitting, loud consequences: The role of management in employee engagement [dissertation]. 2023. Available from: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-498675>
12. Yikilmaz I. Quiet quitting: A conceptual investigation. In: *Anadolu International Conference on Social Science*.
13. Ng E, Stanton P. The great resignation: Managing people in a post COVID-19 pandemic world. *Personnel Review*. 2023;52(2):401-407. doi: 10.1108/PR-03-2023-

914

14. Sethi BA, Sethi A, Ali S, Aamir HS. Impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic on health professionals. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2020;36(COVID19-S4):S6-11. doi: 10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2779
15. Kumar S. Quiet quitting and its relevance to the medical profession. *MGM J Med Sci*. 2023;10(1):1. doi: 10.4103/mgmj.mgmj_42_23
16. Hannawa AF, Wu AW, Kolyada A, Potemkina A, Donaldson LJ. The aspects of healthcare quality that are important to health professionals and patients: A qualitative study. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2022;105(6):1561-1570. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2021.10.01617
17. Epstein NE. Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2014;5(Suppl 7):S295-S303. doi: 10.4103/2152-7806.139612
18. Toso GL, Golle L, Magnago TSB de S, Herr GEG, Loro MM, Aozane F, Kolankiewicz ACB. Patient safety culture in hospitals within the nursing perspective. *Rev Gaucha Enferm*. 2016;37:e58662. doi: 10.1590/1983-1447.2016.04.58662
19. Eagar SC, Cowin LS, Gregory L, Firtko A. Scope of practice conflict in nursing: A new war or just the same battle?. *Contemporary Nurse*. 2010;36(1-2):86-95. doi: 10.5172/conu.2010.36.1-2.086
20. Cengiz A, Yoder LH, Danesh V. A concept analysis of role ambiguity experienced by hospital nurses providing bedside nursing care. *Nursing & Health Sciences*. 2021;23(4):807–817. doi:10.1111/nhs.12888
21. Scheyett A. Quiet quitting. *Soc Work*. 2023;68(1):5-7. doi: 10.1093/sw/swac051
22. Summers J, Morrison E. Principles of healthcare ethics. In: *Health Care Ethics*, 2nd ed. Sudbury: Jones Bartlett Publ; 2009. p. 41-58.
23. Rosanne R. Quiet quitting: Checking in on checking out. *Nursing Management*. 2023;54(7):4. Doi:10.1097/nmg.000000000000029
24. Yayla A, İlgin VE. The relationship of nurses' psychological well-being with their coronaphobia and work–life balance during the covid-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs*. 2021;(30):3153-3162. doi:10.1111/jocn.15783
25. Haselden L, Barsotti C. Practice Management: EPs and Quiet Quitting: Hospitals Must Value Workers More Than Money. *Emerg Med News*. 2023;45(2B):1-2.
26. Walker LO, Avant KC. *Strategies for theory construction in nursing*. 6th ed. New York: Pearson; 2018.
27. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic

21

1
2
3
4 or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18:143. doi:
5 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
6

- 7
8 28. Penrod J, Hupcey JE. Concept advancement: Extending science through concept-
9 driven research. *Res Theory Nurs Pract*. 2005;19(3):231-241. doi:
10 10.1891/rtnp.2005.19.3.231
11
12 29. Colquhoun HL, Levac D, O'Brien KK, Straus S, Tricco AC, Perrier L, et al. Scoping
13 reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *J Clin Epidemiol*.
14 2014;67(12):1291-1294. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013
15
16 30. Aromataris E, Munn Z. *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. JBI; 2020. Available
17 from: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>. doi: 10.46658/JBIMES-20-01
18
19 31. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA
20 extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern*
21 *Med*. 2018;169(7):467-473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60