



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## Examining the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccine & public health measures in Black communities: A qualitative study protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-063528
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Apr-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Ezezika, Obidimma; University of Toronto Scarborough, Department of Health and Society; Western University Girmay, Bethelehem; University of Toronto Scarborough, Department of Health and Society Adedugbe, Toluwalope; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Jonas, Isaac; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Thullah, Yanaminah; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Thompson, Chris; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC)
Keywords:	COVID-19, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1

2

3

4 1 **Examining the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards**

5 2 **COVID-19 vaccine & public health measures in Black**

6 3 **communities: A qualitative study protocol**

7

8

9 4 Obidimma Ezezika\*<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Bethlehem Girmay<sup>1</sup>, Toluwalope Adedugbe<sup>4</sup>, Isaac Jonas<sup>4</sup>, Yanaminah

10 5 Thullah<sup>4</sup>, Chris Thompson<sup>4</sup>

11

12

13 6

14 7 <sup>1</sup>*Department of Health and Society, University of Toronto, Scarborough, 1265 Military Trail,*

15 8 *Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada*

16

17 9 <sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, N6A 5B9, London, ON, Canada*

18

19 10 <sup>3</sup>*African Centre for Innovation & Leadership Development, Abuja, Nigeria*

20

21 11 <sup>4</sup>*Federation of Black Canadians (FBC), 607 - 10 Laurelcrest Street Brampton, On L6S 5Y3*

22

23

24 12

25

26 13

27 14 \*Obidimma Ezezika

28 15 Department of Health and Society, University of Toronto, Scarborough, 1265 Military Trail,

29 16 Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada

30 17 [obidimma.ezezika@utoronto.ca](mailto:obidimma.ezezika@utoronto.ca)

31

32 18

33

34 19

35

36 20

37

38 21

39

40 22

41

42 23

43

44 24

45

46 25

47

48 26

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

# Examining the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccine & public health measures in Black communities: A qualitative study protocol

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Black communities claim the highest number of cases and deaths due to COVID-19 in Canada. Generating culturally/contextually appropriate public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake in Black communities within Canada can better support the disproportionate impact of this pandemic. This study explores the barriers and enablers to public health measures limited to: mask-wearing, disinfection, and sanitation, social distancing and handwashing, as well as the barriers and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines among the Black community.

**Methods and analysis:** We will use qualitative approaches informed by the widely accepted Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to aid our analysis. We will employ semi-structured interviews and focus groups with Black populations across the major provinces of Canada to understand the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, including barriers and attitudes towards COVID vaccines. Data will be organized and analyzed based on the CFIR. Facilitators and barriers to COVID-19 preventative measures and the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards COVID vaccines will be organized to explore relationships across the data.

**Ethics and dissemination:** This study was REB approved through the Social Sciences, Humanities and Education Research Ethics Board at the University of Toronto. Participants will be informed about the study and their right to withdraw from this study. Participants will also be required to complete two consent forms prior to engaging in interviews. One consent form will be for the key informant interview and the second consent form will be for the focus group interview. Research material will be accessible to all researchers involved in this study as no personal identifiable information will be collected during the key informant semi-structured interviews and focus groups.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67

**Strengths and limitations of this study:**

- Advances the literature in understanding the barriers and facilitators of COVID-19 public health measures in Black communities in Canada;
- Provides rich data on the attitudes towards and barriers for COVID-19 vaccines in Black communities;
- Provides data that can inform the development of implementable, context-sensitive COVID-19 public health measures and vaccine uptake for Black communities in Canada
- Limitations of this study include generalizability of study findings due to potential barriers on the target population to accessing technology, as interviews will be conducted virtually;
- Language of interviews are limited to English and French, where individuals who cannot speak either one of the languages, such as some immigrants, cannot participate in the study

**Keywords:** barriers, facilitators, vaccines, COVID-19, public health measures, CFIR, race-based data

## Background

Over the summer of 2020, several Canadian news outlets—including the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC), Financial Post, and the Toronto Star—reported a dire need for race-based data (1–3). Several peer-reviewed articles and reports also highlight the need for collecting race-based data to fully understand the grounds for the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 in the Black community in Canada (4–7). While not new, the need for race-based data has become increasingly apparent during the COVID-19 pandemic (5,6). COVID-19 has underscored the complex, systemic inequities that oppress the Canadian Black community. Due to pre-existing economic, political, and healthcare challenges, Black Canadians are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 and continue to make up the majority of COVID-related deaths in the nation(5). A study conducted by the City of Toronto reported that, due to pre-existing health inequities, “Black people and other people of color make up 83 percent of reported COVID-19 cases while only making up half of Toronto’s population” (1). According to Public Health Ontario, neighborhoods with a high concentration of ethnic minorities have “a higher percentage of confirmed positive COVID-19 tests and over twice the hospitalization rate compared to those with lower ethnic concentration” (8).

Black-led Canadian Non-Profit organizations have also recognized the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on the Black community (9). Black Health Alliance (BHA), Black Physicians’ Association of Ontario (BPAO), Black-North Initiative & Black Opportunity Fund highlight the issue of COVID-19 vaccine distrust within Black communities with efforts to address this issue on vaccine hesitancy. African-Canadian Civic Engagement Council, in collaboration with Innovative Research Group presents the results of a research study to identify the perceptions of Black Canadians and their counterparts on the health and economic impact of COVID-19 in the Black community. These NGOs recognize the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 (i.e., health outcomes, attitudes, misconceptions and unacceptance of vaccines) among Black communities in Canada. However, due to the lack of race-based

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

92 data, there is still a gap in quantifying the impact of COVID-19 on Black Canadians and understanding  
93 their experience with public health measures compared to their counterparts.

94       Race-based data is needed to provide a deeper understanding of the systemic structures that have  
95 led to increased COVID-19 susceptibility in Black communities, as current government data does not  
96 provide a clear enough picture to determine the context and/or environments in which Black communities  
97 experience increased vulnerability (10). Race-based data will provide evidence that supports the need for  
98 culturally appropriate healthcare for Black Canadians. The overall purpose of this study is to understand  
99 the impact of COVID-19 on the Black Canadian population. We will conduct this qualitative study to  
100 meet the following objectives: (1) Create a national, Black, data infrastructure that collects, stores, and  
101 manages data about the lives and experiences of Black Canadians (2) Help inform current and future  
102 public health services how to serve better Black communities (3) Provide a better public understanding of  
103 how Black Canadians are affected by COVID-19, through providing increased community awareness,  
104 information, and education related to COVID-19.

105       This qualitative study will address the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health  
106 measures, including attitudes towards COVID vaccines. Previous work has also explored the barriers and  
107 enablers to COVID public health measures (11–13) and attitudes towards vaccines (14–20). Most of the  
108 studies exploring the barriers and facilitators to public health measures have only focused on the general  
109 population without taking racial differences into account (11,12). One study that collected race-based data  
110 reported perspectives of White, South Asian, Chinese, Filipino, First Nations/Metis/Inuit populations but  
111 did not include any data on the Black population (13). Therefore, there is a lack of contextual  
112 understanding of the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, specifically in the Black  
113 community.

114       The majority of the studies exploring the barriers and attitudes towards COVID vaccines also  
115 lacked race-based data (16,17,19–21). The few that reported race compared outcomes of minority groups  
116 to Caucasians (14,18), or only reported on the Black population (22). One of the studies found that  
117 sociodemographic factors including ethnicity and province of residence were not associated with

hesitancy towards vaccines (14). On the contrary, another study reported that medical mistrust was a barrier to vaccine uptake among marginalized Black, Indigenous, and People of color (BIPOC) communities (18). One study in the US conducted focus groups in Black population and also found that a barrier to vaccine uptake in Black populations is medical mistrust, including mistrust of the vaccine product itself due to limited data on long and short term side-effects, and the short timeframe for the development of the vaccine (22). This study also reported that the delivery of information and vaccine product from a trusted healthcare provider is a facilitator for vaccine uptake.

Although these studies provide some race-based data, there is still a dire need to collect data that will better capture and contextualize the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, including barriers and attitudes towards vaccines, as these studies report data based on questionnaire like surveys (14), most of which do not report racial outcomes (16,17,19–21). Different sociodemographic characteristics and race will have different drivers and concerns. For instance, minority populations may face more barriers to public health measures due to economic factors, level of education or environmental factors. Furthermore, attitudes and barriers towards vaccines may also vary across racial demographics due to beliefs, cultures and experiences. To address this, we will gather contextually rich data and compare perspectives from Black populations across Canada. Without such perspectives, a better understanding cannot be developed to inform intervention development for Black communities within Canada. We will use the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to assess and understand the results collected from the interviews. This conceptual framework was developed to guide the systematic assessment of implementation contexts and factors that influence the effectiveness of interventions (23).

## Methods

### Study setting and context

Race-based data is vital to provide a deeper understanding of the systemic structures that have led to increased susceptibility due to COVID-19 in Black communities within Canada. Current government



data is limited in determining the context and/or environments in which Black communities undergo increased vulnerability to COVID (10). Study participants will be drawn from FBC Hubs which are from Ontario, British Columbia, Alberta, and Quebec, as well as FBC’s mailing list which include members from all over Canada, to capture the magnitude of the barriers and facilitators for COVID prevention measures and uptake of vaccines among Black communities across Canada. Participants will also be drawn from other Black led organizations partnering with FBC and through media outreach. In addition, an incentive program was developed for referrals from other organizations in underrepresented provinces in Canada. There are some rationales for drawing participants from FBC Hubs. Firstly, these Hubs are representative of the population of interest that we are studying. Secondly, FBC has a pre-existing mailing list that conveniently allows us to recruit participants for this study across Canadas 10 provinces. Lastly, this study maximizes its reach of the target populations by providing an incentive program in less represented provinces.

**Study aims**

The overall aim is to inform the development of culturally sensitive public health measures in Black communities in Canada. By comparing stakeholders’ perspectives and utilizing a framework from implementation science, we will investigate the implementation of current public health measures.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Identify the barriers and facilitators to public health measures limited to handwashing, mask-wearing, disinfecting/sanitizing, and social distancing.
2. Identify the attitudes towards COVID vaccines and the barriers to getting these vaccines.
3. Generate guidance for developing context-specific public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake.

**Study design**

A qualitative study design, using community-based participatory research, with focus groups and key informant semi-structured interviews will be used to conduct this study. We will conduct approximately 21 key informant semi-structured interviews across the ten provinces. We will also conduct 5 focus groups with 12 participants in each focus group. We will focus on four themes: (1) Examining the barriers and facilitators of COVID-19 preventative measures and (2) Examining the impact of COVID-19 in Black communities (3) Assessing the barriers, facilitators and attitudes towards vaccines (4) Assessing recovery from COVID-19 The data will be organized based on the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR).

Using community based participatory action plan, we started by virtue of piloting the four themes with FBC staff and FBC Hubs, and finalized the interview guide to use for this study. **Table 1.** shows the four themes that we used for both the focus group and key informant semi structured interview and the corresponding sample questions that were drawn from the four themes (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Themes and sample questions for focus group and semi-structured interviews

Themes	Sample questions
<b>Barriers and facilitators to practicing public health measures for COVID-19 in Black communities</b>	1. What are some barriers for you to practice mask-wearing? 2. What would make it easier for you to practice wearing a mask? 3. What are some barriers for you to practice social distancing? 4. What would make it easier for you to practice social distancing? 5. What are some barriers for you to practice sanitizing and disinfecting? 6. What would make it easier for you to practice sanitizing and disinfecting? 7. What are some barriers for you to practice handwashing? 8. What would make it easier for you to practice handwashing?

<b>Impact of COVID-19 on Black communities</b>	1. How has COVID-19 impacted the quality of your life? 2. What are the COVID-19 public health restrictions you know of? 3. How have these COVID-19 related public health restrictions impacted you?
<b>Attitudes and experiences towards COVID-19 vaccines</b>	1. How do you feel about the COVID-19 vaccine? 2. What barriers or challenges have you encountered in getting the COVID vaccine? 3. What would enable or make it easier for you to get the COVID vaccine? 4. Where do you get your information on vaccines for COVID-19?
<b>Recovery from COVID-19</b>	1. Have you, or anyone close to you ever tested positive for COVID-19? 2. If so, how was your experience in your recovery? 3. How did you get support (from family or friends) during your recovery? 4. Did you experience stigma during or after being infected with COVID?

**Patient and Public Involvement**

Initiating the engagement of the Black community involved presenting the purpose and objectives of this project to FBC stakeholders. The following suggestions were taken into account when formulating the semi-structured interview and focus group questions. To explore where participants get their information related to COVID vaccines, including their experiences and attitudes towards vaccines and their driving forces. Secondly, to include questions pertaining to community cohesion, community-based vaccination clinics, and increased engagement through online platforms.

Following this initial engagement, we had four meetings for each FBC hub. These meetings were held for 60 minutes, where discussions took place to get input on our research objectives and study questions

with 5- 12 stakeholders for each session. All the attendees were able to provide feedback related to the study questions. The meetings were held virtually in July 2021. These discussions allowed stakeholders to ask questions regarding the project, and they provided specific feedback on the study questions. During the British Columbia Hub meeting, a general question emerged regarding where to collect race-based data in British Columbia, especially since health centres do not collect data that indicate ethnicity (to prevent potential discrimination). Suggestive considerations were also made during these hub meetings. One of the suggestions was to use registered members of over 7000 and supporters reach of 10,000 from members of a non-profit organization tailored to Black populations to collect this race-based data. Another suggestion is to reach out to the 30,000 petitioners who initiated this project. It was also suggested that we include participants who may speak French and appoint French-speaking interviewers for the study. For the Alberta Hub meeting, overlapping suggestions emerged relating to participants that only speak French to maximize the reach of our target population.

Other suggestions included that the focus groups could be voluntary where participants from semi-structured interviews can voluntarily attend the focus groups to answer more questions. It was also suggested that demographic information such as age group, gender, and socioeconomic status of participants should be collected to contextualize the data. Another feedback was to consider life factors that can affect interviewees' comprehension when developing study and capture differences in literacy levels and relationships, written/spoken, to ensure accessibility and feasibility.

Some suggestions were not applied to this study in particular. For instance, it was also suggested to consider examining the data on a provincial, regional, and municipal level to develop an infographic as a tool that can be disseminated to Black populations across Canada. Another suggestion was to consider addressing the experience of Black populations with COVID concerning their mental health.

### **Participant selection criteria**

The study participants will be recruited based on a number of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Participants to be included in this study must be over the age of 18, identify as Black Canadians or

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

221 individuals of African descent (including immigrants), Black Canadians/immigrants or individuals of  
222 African descent residing in Canada, individuals that can understand and speak either English or French  
223 and individuals that have the ability to give informed consent. Participants will initially be recruited from  
224 FBCs mailing list, where those individuals have participated in previous FBC projects and/or are  
225 stakeholders with FBC. After which, we will use chain-referral sampling, where those FBC members  
226 initially recruited will suggest others from their community. Those who were suggested will then be  
227 invited to participate in this study.  
228 Individuals to be excluded from this study are:

- All Canadians and immigrants that are not Black or of African descent,
- Black Canadians/immigrants or individuals of African descent not living in Canada,
- Individuals under the age of 18,
- Individuals that cannot speak or understand either English or French,
- Individuals unable to give informed consent to participate in this study.

**Ethical considerations**

236 This study underwent delegated review and was REB approved under the Social Sciences,  
237 Humanities and Education Research Ethics Board at the University of Toronto. Participants will be  
238 informed of the purpose and rationale of the study, through email from FBC’s mailing list, online  
239 platforms and other communication channels.

240 There will be two consent forms for this qualitative study. A single consent form will be provided  
241 for study participants to partake in the key informant semi-structured interviews, and another consent  
242 form for the Focus Group. Participants will be informed of their right to withdraw on two occasions. The  
243 first is when the researcher introduces the study and goes over the consent form. Secondly, before the  
244 interview begins, the researcher will inform participants of their right to withdraw at any point throughout

the interview without any consequences. This project will be carried out through digital channels to ensure the safety of volunteers and community partners.

## Data collection

Data will be collected through semi-structured interviews and focus groups from participants meeting the eligibility criteria. The semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions will be conducted virtually over Zoom to conduct the data collection process in a safe, timely and efficient manner. Conducting these interviews virtually will also allow for the researchers and study participants to follow these COVID-19 mandates. Participants will be informed about this study including the purpose and rationale of the study. There will be two consent forms for this qualitative study. A single consent form will be provided for study participants to partake in the semi-structured interviews. Another consent form will be provided to those participating in the focus group. We will then initiate cold calling members in community organization networks once the study participants provide informed consent to participate in the interviews.

Topics of discussion will include barriers and facilitators to community preventive measures limited to personal protective behavior (hand hygiene), mask-wearing, limiting interactions (social distancing), sanitation (disinfection/cleaning), and vaccines for COVID-19, and attitudes and beliefs towards vaccines based on literature (4,24–26) and CFIR. However, we will allow for flexibility in topics to pursue issues in more depth as they emerge from the interviews. We expect the interviews will last approximately 30 minutes. Interviews will be audio-recorded, and then, they will be transcribed verbatim for analysis. Reflective notes will be taken after the interview.

Five focus groups, one for each province of British Columbia, Alberta and Quebec, and two for Ontario, will be conducted to obtain rich data, analyze the specific nature of the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health measures, and determine the attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines. Each focus group will be held virtually by two research team members (one to facilitate discussion and one to take notes of the discussion). The facilitator will explain their role and the ground rules for the discussion

before starting the focus group. It will be stressed that the discussion is confidential, and every opinion will be respected to encourage open discussion and the group members feel comfortable.

All researchers involved in this study will have access to the research material as no personal data will be collected during the semi-structured interviews and focus groups. We will store and share data using UofT's OneDrive, where it will be stored and accessible only to the research team. Only participant ID will be stated at the beginning, maximizing the privacy of the study participants for the audio-recorded interview (semi-structured interviews and focus groups).

**Data analysis**

The interview transcripts will be analyzed utilizing NVivo 12 Plus (27) through directed content analysis focused on identifying barriers and facilitators mentioned by key stakeholders. Two researchers will independently analyze the interview transcripts for barriers and facilitators, meeting periodically to adjudicate coding differences and create a consensus template. As an additional reliability check for coding, a third reviewer will code a subset of interviews and resolve any conflicts arising from the barriers and facilitators identified by the two researchers. Subsequently, the identified barriers and facilitators will be coded utilizing the CFIR.

The CFIR is a conceptual framework developed to guide the systematic assessment of implementation contexts and factors influencing effective intervention implementation (23). It will be beneficial to incorporate this conceptual framework during the analysis and synthesis phase, as it will increase the study results' generalizability and interpretability. In addition, the CFIR framework is known in systematic research to support implementing health care delivery interventions to produce actionable evaluation to improve implementation (28). The CFIR includes five major domains (intervention characteristics, outer setting, inner setting, characteristics of individuals, and process) with 39 underlying constructs and sub-constructs that can potentially influence efforts to change the practice (23). We will use the 39 constructs as a priori codes. The two researchers will independently use the CFIR as a coding frame for coding the final list of barriers and facilitators together with associated quotations. The barriers



and facilitators will each be coded in one of the 39 constructs, where each construct is categorized in one of the 5 domains. This will provide a better understanding of which constructs are most representative and least representative. The investigators will aim for an inter-rater reliability score of 80% or higher. In addition, the third reviewer will review all the coded barriers and facilitators.

The results will be organized by barrier and facilitator names, coded constructs, domains, and key quotes directly from the interviewees. The results will be presented in a table to help organize the presentation of themes with illustrative quotes and discussed in narrative synthesis. To improve the quality and trustworthiness of our data, we will use multiple coders and get feedback on the summary of findings from the key informants that will be interviewed for the study.

## Discussion

Understanding the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health measures and attitudes towards vaccines in Black communities is essential in mitigating mortality and morbidity due to COVID-19 in this demography. Using race-based data to develop context-specific/ culturally sensitive interventions can improve the uptake of vaccines and public health measures. This research will provide race-based data to develop interventions through a health equity lens and decrease the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 in Canada by incorporating key stakeholder views and CFIR domains.

One of the benefits of this research is the uncovering of the contextual factors influencing the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 despite the efforts of public health measures. Currently, there is little understanding of the barriers and facilitators to public health measures and vaccines in Black communities within Canada (16,17,19–21). Through publishing our research findings, we will provide policymakers, healthcare professionals, and researchers crucial information about barriers and enablers of public health measures and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines in Black communities.

A potential limitation of the study, however, is accessibility. Since the semi-structured interviews and focus groups will be conducted virtually, we may not reach those who do not have access to the internet, resulting in gaps in our data. Although we may not target all Black communities in Canada, data



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

will be collected from each participant through rigorous interview questions that will provide rich data.

Another potential limitation is excluding participants who do not speak English or French, such as some immigrants from Africa. These individuals excluded from the study may have different experiences in barriers and facilitators of public health measures and attitudes towards vaccines, limiting the generalizability of study findings. Due to pre-existing economic, political, and healthcare challenges, Black Canadians are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 and continue to make up the majority of COVID-related deaths in the nation (1). The insights from this project can inform the development of context-specific/culturally sensitive public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake that are implementable in Black communities. We will prepare a report which will be shared in an open access journal with various stakeholders including: Black community centers, medical professionals, researchers, organizations operating in Black communities, public health policymakers, and other health care professionals.

## Abbreviations

**BHA:** Black Health Alliance

**BIPOC:** Black, Indigenous, People of color

**BPAO:** Black Physicians' Association of Ontario

**COVID-19:** Coronavirus disease 2019

**CFIR:** Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research

All participants are given information about the study and will sign a consent form in order to be included.

## Declarations

### Authors' contributions

All the authors contributed to the conception and design of the study. BG drafted the first version of the manuscript. OE and BG revised the manuscript. OE critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. OE, BG, TA, IJ, YA and CT approved the final version.

### Funding

This study has received funding from the Public Health Agency of Canada; 2021-HQ-000156.

The role of designing this study, data analysis, interpretation of data and writing the manuscript does not involve funders.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approval from the University of Toronto Research Ethics Board has been received to conduct this study.

Written informed consent to participate in the study will be obtained from participants.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

362  
  
363  
  
364  
  
365  
  
366  
  
367  
  
368  
  
369  
  
370  
  
371  
  
372  
  
373

**Availability of data and materials**

Materials described in this paper pertain to the study protocol only, and there are no raw data reported.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for comments on earlier drafts of the protocol.

## References

1. Cheung J. Black people and other people of colour make up 83% of reported COVID-19 cases in Toronto | CBC News [Internet]. CBC. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/toronto-covid-19-data-1.5669091>
2. Huncar A. Black Canadians hit hard by COVID-19, new national study shows | CBC News [Internet]. CBC. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/edmonton/black-canadians-covid-19-study-1.5708530>
3. Paradkar S. Opinion | New StatCan data shows how Canada is failing new generations of Black youth. The Toronto Star [Internet]. 2020 Feb 29 [cited 2022 Jan 20]; Available from: <https://www.thestar.com/opinion/star-columnists/2020/02/29/how-canada-is-failing-new-generations-of-black-youth.html>
4. Ahmed R, Jamal O, Ishak W, Nabi K, Mustafa N. Racial equity in the fight against COVID-19: a qualitative study examining the importance of collecting race-based data in the Canadian context. Trop Dis Travel Med Vaccines. 2021 Dec;7(1):15.
5. Blair A, Warsame K, Naik H, Byrne W, Parnia A, Siddiqi A. Identifying gaps in COVID-19 health equity data reporting in Canada using a scorecard approach. Can J Public Health. 2021 Jun;112(3):352–62.
6. Denice P, Choi KH, Haan M, Zajacova A. Visualizing the Geographic and Demographic Distribution of COVID-19. :3.
7. Toronto C of. COVID 19: Ethno-Racial Identity & Income [Internet]. City of Toronto. City of Toronto; 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: <https://www.toronto.ca/home/covid-19/covid-19-pandemic-data/covid-19-ethno-racial-group-income-infection-data/>
8. Public Health Ontario. COVID-19 – What We Know So Far About... Social Determinants of Health. p. 13.
9. Pereira R. UNFUNDED: BLACK COMMUNITIES OVERLOOKED BY CANADIAN PHILANTHROPY. :34.
10. Toronto C of. COVID 19: Ethno-Racial Identity & Income [Internet]. City of Toronto. City of Toronto; 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 1]. Available from: <https://www.toronto.ca/home/covid-19/covid-19-pandemic-data/covid-19-ethno-racial-group-income-infection-data/>
11. Benham JL, Lang R, Burns KK, MacKean G, Léveillé T, McCormack B, et al. Attitudes, current behaviours and barriers to public health measures that reduce COVID-19 transmission: A qualitative study to inform public health messaging. PLOS ONE. 2021 Feb 19;16(2):e0246941.
12. Coroiu A, Moran C, Campbell T, Geller AC. Barriers and facilitators of adherence to social distancing recommendations during COVID-19 among a large international sample of adults. PLOS ONE. 2020 Oct 7;15(10):e0239795.
13. Lang R, Benham JL, Atabati O, Hollis A, Tombe T, Shaffer B, et al. Attitudes, behaviours and barriers to public health measures for COVID-19: a survey to inform public health messaging. BMC Public Health. 2021 Apr 21;21(1):765.

1  
2  
3 412 14. Benham JL, Atabati O, Oxoby RJ, Mourali M, Shaffer B, Sheikh H, et al. COVID-19 Vaccine–  
4 413 Related Attitudes and Beliefs in Canada: National Cross-sectional Survey and Cluster Analysis.  
5 414 JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2021 Dec 23;7(12):e30424.  
6  
7 415 15. Acharya A, Lam K, Danielli S, Ashrafian H, Darzi A. COVID-19 vaccinations among Black Asian  
8 416 and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups: Learning the lessons from influenza. Int J Clin Pract.  
9 417 2021;75(10):e14641.  
10  
11 418 16. Afifi TO, Salmon S, Taillieu T, Stewart-Tufescu A, Fortier J, Driedger SM. Older adolescents and  
12 419 young adults willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine: Implications for informing public  
13 420 health strategies. Vaccine. 2021 Jun 11;39(26):3473–9.  
14  
15 421 17. Dubé È, Dionne M, Pelletier C, Hamel D, Gadio S. COVID-19 vaccination attitudes and intention  
16 422 among Quebecers during the first and second waves of the pandemic: findings from repeated cross-  
17 423 sectional surveys. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2021 Nov 2;17(11):3922–32.  
18  
19 424 18. Griffith J, Marani H, Monkman H. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in Canada: Content Analysis of  
20 425 Tweets Using the Theoretical Domains Framework. J Med Internet Res. 2021 Apr 13;23(4):e26874.  
21  
22 426 19. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszek MM, Groenewoud R, Rachor GS, Asmundson GJG. A Proactive  
23 427 Approach for Managing COVID-19: The Importance of Understanding the Motivational Roots of  
24 428 Vaccination Hesitancy for SARS-CoV2. Front Psychol [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 24];11.  
25 429 Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.575950>  
26  
27 430 20. Government of Canada SC. Majority of Canadians intend to get the COVID-19 vaccine, September  
28 431 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 24]. Available from: [https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-](https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm)  
29 432 [quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm](https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm)  
30  
31 433 21. Muhajarine N, Adeyinka DA, McCutcheon J, Green KL, Fahlman M, Kallio N. COVID-19 vaccine  
32 434 hesitancy and refusal and associated factors in an adult population in Saskatchewan, Canada:  
33 435 Evidence from predictive modelling. Gesser-Edelsburg A, editor. PLOS ONE. 2021 Nov  
34 436 12;16(11):e0259513.  
35  
36 437 22. Momplaisir F, Haynes N, Nkwihoreze H, Nelson M, Werner RM, Jemmott J. Understanding  
37 438 Drivers of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccine Hesitancy Among Blacks. Clin Infect Dis. 2021 Nov  
38 439 15;73(10):1784–9.  
39  
40 440 23. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering  
41 441 implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for  
42 442 advancing implementation science. Implement Sci. 2009 Aug 7;4(1):50.  
43  
44 443 24. Amoako J, MacEachen E. Understanding the blended impacts of COVID-19 and systemic  
45 444 inequalities on sub-Saharan African immigrants in Canada. Can J Public Health. 2021  
46 445 Oct;112(5):862–6.  
47  
48 446 25. Dmytriw A, Phan K, Schirmer C, Settecase F, Heran M, Efendizade A, et al. Ischemic stroke  
49 447 associated with covid-19 and racial outcome disparity in North America. In: Late-Breaking Oral  
50 448 Abstracts [Internet]. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.; 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. p. A162.1-A162.  
51 449 Available from: <http://jniss.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.275>  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 450 26. Waldner D, Harrison R, Johnstone J, Saxinger L, Webster D, Sligl W. COVID-19 epidemiology in  
4 451 Canada from January to December 2020: the pre-vaccine era. *Blais JM, editor. FACETS*. 2021 Jan  
5 452 1;6:760–822.
- 7 453 27. Qualitative Analysis Software | Map and Data Library [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 31]. Available  
8 454 from: <https://mdl.library.utoronto.ca/technology/nvivo-software>
- 10 455 28. Keith RE, Crosson JC, O'Malley AS, Cromp D, Taylor EF. Using the Consolidated Framework for  
11 456 Implementation Research (CFIR) to produce actionable findings: a rapid-cycle evaluation approach  
12 457 to improving implementation. *Implement Sci*. 2017 Feb 10;12(1):15.

14  
15 458  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# BMJ Open

## Examining the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccine & public health measures for Black communities in Canada: a qualitative study protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-063528.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Oct-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Ezezika, Obidimma; University of Toronto Scarborough, Department of Health and Society; Western University Girmay, Bethlehem; University of Toronto Scarborough, Department of Health and Society Adedugbe, Toluwalope; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Jonas, Isaac; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Thullah, Yanaminah; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC) Thompson, Chris; Federation of Black Canadians (FBC)
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases
Keywords:	COVID-19, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1

2

3

4 1 **Examining the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards**

5 2 **COVID-19 vaccine & public health measures for Black**

6 3 **communities in Canada: a qualitative study protocol**

7

8

9 4 Obidimma Ezezika\*<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Bethlehem Girmay<sup>1</sup>, Toluwalope Adedugbe<sup>4</sup>, Isaac Jonas<sup>4</sup>, Yanaminah

10 5 Thullah<sup>4</sup>, Chris Thompson<sup>4</sup>

11

12

13

14 6

15 7 *<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, N6A 5B9, London, ON, Canada*

16 8 *<sup>2</sup> Department of Health and Society, University of Toronto, Scarborough, 1265 Military Trail,*

17 9 *Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada*

18

19 10 *<sup>3</sup>African Centre for Innovation & Leadership Development, Abuja, Nigeria*

20 11 *<sup>4</sup>Federation of Black Canadians (FBC), 607 - 10 Laurelcrest Street Brampton, On L6S 5Y3*

21

22

23

24 12

25

26 13

27 14 \*Correspondence to:

28 15 Obidimma Ezezika, Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, N6A 5B9, London, ON,

29 16 Canada

30 17 [oezezika@uwo.ca](mailto:oezezika@uwo.ca)

31

32

33

34 19

35

36 20

37

38 21

39

40 22

41

42 23

43

44 24

45

46 25

47

48 26

49

50 27

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60



## Abstract

**Introduction:** Black communities claim the highest number of cases and deaths due to COVID-19 in Canada. Generating culturally/contextually appropriate public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake in Black communities within Canada can better support the disproportionate impact of this pandemic. This study explores the barriers and enablers to public health measures limited to mask-wearing, disinfection, sanitation, social distancing, and handwashing, as well as the barriers and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines among the Black community.

**Methods and analysis:** We will use qualitative approaches informed by the widely accepted Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to aid our investigation. We will conduct 120 semi-structured interviews and five focus groups with Black populations across the major provinces of Canada to understand the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, including barriers and attitudes towards COVID vaccines. Data will be organized and analyzed based on the CFIR. Facilitators and barriers to COVID-19 preventative measures and the barriers, facilitators, and attitudes towards COVID vaccines will be organized to explore relationships across the data.

**Ethics and dissemination:** This study was approved by the Social Sciences, Humanities, and Education Research Ethics Board at the University of Toronto (41585). All participants are given information about the study and will sign a consent form in order to be included; participants are informed of their right to withdraw from the study. Research material will be accessible to all researchers involved in this study as no personal identifiable information will be collected during the key informant semi-structured interviews and focus groups. The study results will be provided to participants and published in peer-reviewed journals.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study will advance the literature in understanding the barriers and facilitators of COVID-19 public health measures in Black communities in Canada.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66

- Rich data on the attitudes towards and barriers for COVID-19 vaccines in Black communities will be provided.
- The study will provide data that can inform the development of implementable, context-sensitive COVID-19 public health measures and vaccine uptake for Black communities in Canada.
- Limitations of this study include the generalizability of study findings due to potential barriers on the target population to accessing technology, as interviews will be conducted virtually.
- Language of interviews will be limited to English and French, where individuals who cannot speak either one of the languages, such as some immigrants, will not be able to participate in the study.

**Keywords:** barriers, facilitators, vaccines, COVID-19, public health measures, CFIR, race-based data

## Introduction

Over the summer of 2020, several Canadian news outlets—including the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC), Financial Post, and the Toronto Star—reported a dire need for race-based data (1–3). Several peer-reviewed articles and reports also highlight the need for collecting race-based data to fully understand the grounds for the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 in the Black community in Canada (4–7). While not new, the need for race-based data has become increasingly apparent during the COVID-19 pandemic (5,6). COVID-19 has underscored the complex, systemic inequities that oppress the Canadian Black community. Due to pre-existing economic, political, and healthcare challenges, Black Canadians are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 and continue to make up the majority of COVID-related deaths in the nation(5). A study by the City of Toronto reported that, due to pre-existing health inequities, “Black people and other people of color make up 83 percent of reported COVID-19 cases while only making up half of Toronto’s population” (1). According to Public Health Ontario, neighborhoods with a high concentration of ethnic minorities have “a higher percentage of confirmed positive COVID-19 tests and over twice the hospitalization rate compared to those with lower ethnic concentration” (8).

Black-led Canadian Non-Profit organizations have also recognized the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on the Black community (9). Black Health Alliance (BHA), Black Physicians’ Association of Ontario (BPAO), Black-North Initiative & Black Opportunity Fund highlight the issue of COVID-19 vaccine distrust within Black communities with efforts to address this issue of vaccine hesitancy. African-Canadian Civic Engagement Council, in collaboration with Innovative Research Group presents the results of a research study to identify the perceptions of Black Canadians and their counterparts on the health and economic impact of COVID-19 in the Black community. These NGOs recognize the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 (i.e., health outcomes, attitudes, misconceptions, and unacceptance of vaccines) among Black communities in Canada. However, due to the lack of race-based data, there is

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

91 still a gap in quantifying the impact of COVID-19 on Black Canadians and understanding their  
92 experience with public health measures compared to their counterparts.

93       Race-based data is needed to provide a deeper understanding of the systemic structures that have  
94 led to increased COVID-19 susceptibility in Black communities, as current government data does not  
95 provide a clear enough picture to determine the context and environments in which Black communities  
96 experience increased vulnerability (10). Race-based data will provide evidence that supports the need for  
97 culturally appropriate healthcare for Black Canadians. The overall purpose of this study is to understand  
98 the impact of COVID-19 on the Black Canadian population. We will conduct this qualitative study to  
99 meet the following objectives: (1) Create a national, Black, data infrastructure that collects, stores, and  
100 manages data about the lives and experiences of Black Canadians (2) Help inform current and future  
101 public health services how to serve better Black communities (3) Provide a better public understanding of  
102 how Black Canadians are affected by COVID-19, through providing increased community awareness,  
103 information, and education related to COVID-19.

104       This qualitative study will address the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health  
105 measures, including attitudes towards COVID vaccines. Previous work has also explored the barriers and  
106 enablers to COVID public health measures (11–13) and attitudes towards vaccines (14–20). Most of the  
107 studies exploring the barriers and facilitators to public health measures have only focused on the general  
108 population without considering racial differences (11,12). One study that collected race-based data  
109 reported perspectives of White, South Asian, Chinese, Filipino, First Nations/Metis/Inuit populations but  
110 did not include any data on the Black population (13). Therefore, there is a lack of contextual  
111 understanding of the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, specifically in the Black  
112 community.

113       The majority of the studies exploring the barriers and attitudes towards COVID vaccines also  
114 lacked race-based data (16,17,19–21). The few that reported race compared outcomes of minority groups  
115 to Caucasians (14,18), or only reported on the Black population (22). One of the studies found that  
116 sociodemographic factors, including ethnicity and province of residence, were not associated with

hesitancy toward vaccines (14). On the contrary, another study reported that medical mistrust was a barrier to vaccine uptake among marginalized Black, Indigenous, and People of color (BIPOC) communities (18). One study in the US conducted focus groups in the Black population and also found that a barrier to vaccine uptake in Black populations is medical mistrust, including mistrust of the vaccine product itself due to limited data on long and short term side-effects and the short timeframe for the development of the vaccine (22). This study also reported that delivering information and vaccine product from a trusted healthcare provider facilitates vaccine uptake.

Several studies revealed that the unwillingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine was attributed to systemic racism, structural inequalities, vaccination attitudes/opinions gathered from social media, and ongoing racial healthcare inequalities that continue to persist, especially in the US, UK, and Canada (23,24). In the context of the United States, Black/African American adults were less likely to report intent to vaccinate against COVID-19 than their White counterparts, partly due to mistrust of the medical establishment, racial injustice, and harmful historical health policies such as the Tuskegee syphilis study (25–28). Another study suggests socioeconomic privilege and political ideology play a role in racial disparity for COVID-19 vaccination (29). More specifically, factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine disparities (CVD) identified were education, median income, and political ideology, whereas other social determinants of health were not strongly correlated to CVD (29).

Although these studies provide some race-based data, there is still a dire need to collect data that will better capture and contextualize the barriers and facilitators to public health measures, including barriers and attitudes towards vaccines, as these studies report data based on questionnaire-like surveys (14), most of which do not report racial outcomes (16,17,19–21). Different sociodemographic characteristics and races will have different drivers and concerns. For instance, minority populations may face more barriers to public health measures due to economic factors, level of education or environmental factors. Furthermore, attitudes and barriers toward vaccines may also vary across racial demographics due to beliefs, cultures, and experiences. To address this, we will gather contextually rich data and compare perspectives from Black populations across Canada. Without such perspectives, a better understanding

cannot be developed to inform intervention development for Black communities within Canada. We will use the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to assess and understand the results collected from the interviews. This conceptual framework was developed to guide the systematic assessment of implementation contexts and factors that influence the effectiveness of interventions (30).

**Methods and analysis**

**Study setting and context**

Race-based data is vital to understand better the systemic structures that have led to increased susceptibility due to COVID-19 in Black communities within Canada. Current government data is limited in determining the context and environments in which Black communities undergo increased vulnerability to COVID (10). Study participants will be drawn from FBC Hubs which are from Ontario, British Columbia, Alberta, and Quebec, as well as FBC’s mailing list which include members from all over Canada, to capture the magnitude of the barriers and facilitators for COVID prevention measures and uptake of vaccines among Black communities across Canada. Participants will also be drawn from other Black-led organizations partnering with FBC and through media outreach. In addition, an incentive program was developed for referrals from other organizations in underrepresented provinces in Canada. There are some rationales for drawing participants from FBC Hubs. Firstly, these Hubs represent the population of interest we are studying. Secondly, FBC has a pre-existing mailing list that conveniently allows us to recruit participants for this study across Canada’s 10 provinces. Lastly, this study maximizes its reach of the target populations by providing an incentive program in less represented provinces.

**Study aims**

The overall aim is to inform the development of culturally sensitive public health measures in Black communities in Canada. By comparing stakeholders’ perspectives and utilizing a framework from implementation science, we will investigate the implementation of current public health measures. The specific objectives are to:

1. Identify the barriers and facilitators to public health measures limited to handwashing, mask-wearing, disinfecting/sanitizing, and social distancing.
2. Identify attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination
3. Identify barriers to and facilitators of COVID-19 vaccination
4. Generate guidance for developing context-specific public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake.

### Study design

A qualitative study design, using community-based participatory research, with focus groups and key informant semi-structured interviews will be used to conduct this study. We will conduct approximately 120 key informant semi-structured interviews across the ten provinces. We will also conduct 5 focus groups with 12 participants in each focus group. We will focus on four themes: (1) Examining the barriers and facilitators of COVID-19 preventative measures and (2) Examining the impact of COVID-19 in Black communities (3) Assessing the barriers, facilitators and attitudes towards vaccines (4) Assessing recovery from COVID-19. The data will be organized based on the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR).

Using a community-based participatory action plan, we started by piloting the four themes with FBC staff and Hubs and finalized the interview guide for this study. **Table 1** shows the four themes that we used for the focus group and key informant semi-structured interview and the corresponding sample questions drawn from the four themes (Table 1).

**Table 1. Themes and sample questions for focus group and semi-structured interviews**

Themes	Sample questions
--------	------------------



<b>Barriers and facilitators to practicing public health measures for COVID-19 in Black communities</b>	<div>1. What are some barriers for you to practice mask-wearing?</div> <div>2. What would make it easier for you to practice wearing a mask?</div> <div>3. What are some barriers for you to practice social distancing?</div> <div>4. What would make it easier for you to practice social distancing?</div> <div>5. What are some barriers for you to practice sanitizing and disinfecting?</div> <div>6. What would make it easier for you to practice sanitizing and disinfecting?</div> <div>7. What are some barriers for you to practice handwashing?</div> <div>8. What would make it easier for you to practice handwashing?</div>
<b>Impact of COVID-19 on Black communities</b>	<div>1. How has COVID-19 impacted the quality of your life?</div> <div>2. What are the COVID-19 public health restrictions you know of?</div> <div>3. How have these COVID-19 related public health restrictions impacted you?</div>
<b>Attitudes and experiences towards COVID-19 vaccines</b>	<div>1. How do you feel about the COVID-19 vaccine?</div> <div>2. What barriers or challenges have you encountered in getting the COVID vaccine?</div> <div>3. What would enable or make it easier for you to get the COVID vaccine?</div> <div>4. Where do you get your information on vaccines for COVID-19?</div>
<b>Recovery from COVID-19</b>	<div>1. Have you, or anyone close to you ever tested positive for COVID-19?</div> <div>2. If so, how was your experience in your recovery?</div> <div>3. How did you get support (from family or friends) during your recovery?</div> <div>4. Did you experience stigma during or after being infected with COVID?</div>

**Patient and public involvement**



Initiating the Black community's engagement involved presenting this project's purpose and objectives to FBC stakeholders. The following suggestions were considered when formulating the semi-structured interview and focus group questions. To explore where participants get their information related to COVID vaccines, including their experiences and attitudes towards vaccines and their driving forces. Secondly, to include questions pertaining to community cohesion, community-based vaccination clinics, and increased engagement through online platforms.

Following this initial engagement, we had four meetings for each FBC hub. These meetings were held for 60 minutes, where discussions took place to get input on our research objectives and study questions with 5- 12 stakeholders for each session. All the attendees were able to provide feedback related to the study questions. The meetings were held virtually in July 2021. These discussions allowed stakeholders to ask questions regarding the project and provided specific feedback on the study questions. During the British Columbia Hub meeting, a general question emerged regarding where to collect race-based data in British Columbia, especially since health centers do not collect data that indicate ethnicity (to prevent potential discrimination). Suggestive considerations were also made during these hub meetings. One of the suggestions was to use registered members of over 7000 and supporters reach of 10,000 from members of a non-profit organization tailored to Black populations to collect this race-based data. Another suggestion is to reach out to the 30,000 petitioners who initiated this project. It was also suggested that we include participants who may speak French and appoint French-speaking interviewers for the study. For the Alberta Hub meeting, overlapping suggestions emerged relating to participants that only speak French to maximize the reach of our target population.

Other suggestions included that the focus groups could be voluntary where participants from semi-structured interviews can voluntarily attend the focus groups to answer more questions. It was also suggested that demographic information such as age group, gender, and socioeconomic status of participants should be collected to contextualize the data. Another feedback was to consider life factors that can affect interviewees' comprehension when developing study and capture differences in literacy levels and relationships, written/spoken, to ensure accessibility and feasibility.

Some suggestions were not applied to this study in particular. For instance, it was also suggested to consider examining the data on a provincial, regional, and municipal level to develop an infographic as a tool that can be disseminated to Black populations across Canada. Another suggestion was to consider addressing the experience of Black populations with COVID concerning their mental health. Unfortunately, we could not incorporate these suggestions as they were beyond the scope of the study objectives and would have required additional resources.

**Participant selection criteria**

The study participants will be recruited based on a number of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Participants to be included in this study must be over the age of 18, identify as Black Canadians or individuals of African descent (including immigrants), Black Canadians/immigrants or individuals of African descent residing in Canada, individuals that can understand and speak either English or French and individuals that can give informed consent. Participants will initially be recruited from FBCs mailing list, where those individuals have participated in previous FBC projects and/or are stakeholders with FBC. After which, we will use chain-referral sampling, where those FBC members initially recruited will suggest others from their community. Those who were suggested will then be invited to participate in this study.

Individuals to be excluded from this study are:

- All Canadians and immigrants that are not Black or of African descent,
- Black Canadians/immigrants or individuals of African descent not living in Canada,
- Individuals under the age of 18,
- Individuals that cannot speak or understand either English or French,
- Individuals unable to give informed consent to participate in this study.

**Data collection**

Data will be collected through semi-structured interviews and focus groups from participants meeting the eligibility criteria between March and September, 2022. The semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions will be conducted virtually over Zoom to conduct the data collection process in a safe, timely, and efficient manner. Conducting these interviews virtually will allow the researchers and study participants to follow these COVID-19 mandates. Participants will be informed about this study including the purpose and rationale of the study. There will be two consent forms for this qualitative study. A single consent form will be provided for study participants to partake in the semi-structured interviews. Another consent form will be provided to those participating in the focus group. We will then initiate cold calling members in community organization networks once the study participants provide informed consent to participate in the interviews.

Topics of discussion will include barriers and facilitators to community preventive measures limited to personal protective behavior (hand hygiene), mask-wearing, limiting interactions (social distancing), sanitation (disinfection/cleaning), and vaccines for COVID-19, and attitudes and beliefs towards vaccines based on literature (4,31–33) and CFIR. However, we will allow for flexibility in topics to pursue issues in more depth as they emerge from the interviews. We expect the interviews will last approximately 30 minutes. Interviews will be audio-recorded, and then, they will be transcribed verbatim for analysis. Reflective notes will be taken after the interview.

Five focus groups, one for each province of British Columbia, Alberta and Quebec, and two for Ontario, will be conducted to obtain rich data, analyze the specific nature of the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health measures, and determine the attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines. Each focus group will be held virtually by two research team members (one to facilitate discussion and one to take notes of the discussion). The facilitator will explain their role and the ground rules for the discussion before starting the focus group. It will be stressed that the discussion is confidential, and every opinion will be respected to encourage open discussion and the group members feel comfortable.

All researchers involved in this study will have access to the research material as no personal data will be collected during the semi-structured interviews and focus groups. We will store and share data

using UofT’s OneDrive, where it will be stored and accessible only to the research team. Only participant ID will be stated at the beginning, maximizing the privacy of the study participants for the audio-recorded interview (semi-structured interviews and focus groups).

**Data analysis**

The interview transcripts will be analyzed utilizing NVivo 12 Plus (34) through directed content analysis focused on identifying barriers and facilitators mentioned by key stakeholders. Two researchers will independently analyze the interview transcripts for barriers and facilitators, meeting periodically to adjudicate coding differences and create a consensus template. As an additional reliability check for coding, a third reviewer will code a subset of interviews and resolve any conflicts arising from the barriers and facilitators identified by the two researchers. Subsequently, the identified barriers and facilitators will be coded utilizing the CFIR.

The CFIR is a conceptual framework developed to guide the systematic assessment of implementation contexts and factors influencing effective intervention implementation (30). It will be beneficial to incorporate this conceptual framework during the analysis and synthesis phase, as it will increase the study results’ generalizability and interpretability. In addition, the CFIR framework is known in systematic research to support implementing health care delivery interventions to produce actionable evaluation to improve implementation (35). The CFIR includes five major domains (intervention characteristics, outer setting, inner setting, characteristics of individuals, and process) with 39 underlying constructs and sub-constructs that can potentially influence efforts to change the practice (30). We will use the 39 constructs as a priori codes. The two researchers will independently use the CFIR as a coding frame for coding the final list of barriers and facilitators together with associated quotations. The barriers and facilitators will each be coded in one of the 39 constructs, where each construct is categorized in one of the 5 domains. This will provide a better understanding of which constructs are most representative and least representative. The investigators will aim for an inter-rater reliability score of 80% or higher. In addition, the third reviewer will review all the coded barriers and facilitators.

The results will be organized by barrier and facilitator names, coded constructs, domains, and key quotes directly from the interviewees. The results will be presented in a table to help organize the presentation of themes with illustrative quotes and discussed in a narrative synthesis. In addition, we will provide a summary table of the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants to provide context for the interpretation of the results. To improve the quality and trustworthiness of our data, we will use multiple coders and get feedback on the summary of findings from the key informants that will be interviewed for the study. The data analyses will be completed between October 2022 and March 2023.

### **Ethics and dissemination**

This study was approved by the Social Sciences, Humanities and Education Research Ethics Board at the University of Toronto (41585). Participants will be informed about the study and their right to withdraw from this study. Participants will also be required to complete two consent forms prior to engaging in interviews. A single consent form will be provided for study participants to partake in the key informant semi-structured interviews, and another consent form for the focus groups. Participants will be informed of their right to withdraw on two occasions. The first is when the researcher introduces the study and goes over the consent form. Secondly, before the interview begins, the researcher will inform participants of their right to withdraw at any point throughout the interview without any consequences. Research material will be accessible to all researchers involved in this study as no personal identifiable information will be collected during the key informant semi-structured interviews and focus groups. Our results will be disseminated through reports sent to participants, and available through the Federation of Black Canadians website. We will disseminate our findings to researchers locally and internationally through conference presentations and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

### **Discussion**

Understanding the barriers and facilitators to COVID-19 public health measures and attitudes towards vaccines in Black communities is essential in mitigating mortality and morbidity due to COVID-19 in this demography. Using race-based data to develop context-specific/ culturally sensitive interventions can improve the uptake of vaccines and public health measures. This research will provide race-based data to develop interventions through a health equity lens and decrease the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 in Canada by incorporating key stakeholder views and CFIR domains.

One of the benefits of this research is the uncovering of the contextual factors influencing the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 despite the efforts of public health measures. Currently, there is little understanding of the barriers and facilitators to public health measures and vaccines in Black communities within Canada (16,17,19–21). Through publishing our research findings, we will provide policymakers, healthcare professionals, and researchers crucial information about barriers and enablers of public health measures and attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccines in Black communities.

A potential limitation of the study, however, is accessibility. Since the semi-structured interviews and focus groups will be conducted virtually, we may not reach those who do not have access to the internet, resulting in gaps in our data. A systematic review examining the relationship between the use of social media and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy found that the outcomes of the two variables vary across studies. Most of the studies showed that higher social media use translated to lower vaccine acceptance rates, where a few studies showed a positive correlation between the two variables (36). Most social media platforms covering the topic of COVID-19 vaccines focused on concerns related to the safety of getting vaccinated, and other concerns such as the fast development of the vaccine and the vaccines ability to protect individuals from the virus (36). Although these findings show the impact social media use has on vaccine acceptance rates, there’s no clear ethnic representation of social media users and their attitudes and responses based on their engagement on platforms that are pro-vaccine or anti-vaccine.

Although we may not target all Black communities in Canada, data will be collected from each participant through rigorous interview questions that will provide rich data. Another potential limitation is excluding participants who do not speak English or French, such as some immigrants from Africa. These

individuals excluded from the study may have different experiences in barriers and facilitators of public health measures and attitudes towards vaccines, limiting the generalizability of study findings. Due to pre-existing economic, political, and healthcare challenges, Black Canadians are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 and continue to make up the majority of COVID-related deaths in the nation (1). The insights from this project can inform the development of context-specific/culturally sensitive public health measures and strategies for vaccine uptake that are implementable in Black communities. We will prepare a report which will be shared in an open-access journal with various stakeholders including: Black community centers, medical professionals, researchers, organizations operating in Black communities, public health policymakers, and other health care professionals.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Abbreviations**

- BHA:** Black Health Alliance
- BIPOC:** Black, Indigenous, People of color
- BPAO:** Black Physicians’ Association of Ontario
- COVID-19:** Coronavirus disease 2019
- CFIR:** Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research

**Declarations**

**Contributors**

All the authors contributed to the conception and design of the study. BG drafted the first version of the manuscript. OE and BG revised the manuscript. OE critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. OE, BG, TA, IJ, YA and CT approved the final version.

**Funding**

This study has received funding from the Public Health Agency of Canada; 2021-HQ-000156. The role of designing this study, data analysis, interpretation of data, and writing the manuscript does not involve funders.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for comments on earlier drafts of the protocol.

**References**



1. Cheung J. Black people and other people of colour make up 83% of reported COVID-19 cases in Toronto | CBC News [Internet]. CBC. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/toronto-covid-19-data-1.5669091>
2. Huncar A. Black Canadians hit hard by COVID-19, new national study shows | CBC News [Internet]. CBC. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/edmonton/black-canadians-covid-19-study-1.5708530>
3. Paradkar S. Opinion | New StatCan data shows how Canada is failing new generations of Black youth. The Toronto Star [Internet]. 2020 Feb 29 [cited 2022 Jan 20]; Available from: <https://www.thestar.com/opinion/star-columnists/2020/02/29/how-canada-is-failing-new-generations-of-black-youth.html>
4. Ahmed R, Jamal O, Ishak W, Nabi K, Mustafa N. Racial equity in the fight against COVID-19: a qualitative study examining the importance of collecting race-based data in the Canadian context. *Trop Dis Travel Med Vaccines*. 2021 Dec;7(1):15.
5. Blair A, Warsame K, Naik H, Byrne W, Parnia A, Siddiqi A. Identifying gaps in COVID-19 health equity data reporting in Canada using a scorecard approach. *Can J Public Health*. 2021 Jun;112(3):352–62.
6. Denice P, Choi KH, Haan M, Zajacova A. Visualizing the Geographic and Demographic Distribution of COVID-19. :3.
7. Toronto C of. COVID 19: Ethno-Racial Identity & Income [Internet]. City of Toronto. City of Toronto; 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 24]. Available from: <https://www.toronto.ca/home/covid-19/covid-19-pandemic-data/covid-19-ethno-racial-group-income-infection-data/>
8. Public Health Ontario. COVID-19 – What We Know So Far About... Social Determinants of Health. p. 13.
9. Pereira R. UNFUNDED: BLACK COMMUNITIES OVERLOOKED BY CANADIAN PHILANTHROPY. :34.
10. Toronto C of. COVID 19: Ethno-Racial Identity & Income [Internet]. City of Toronto. City of Toronto; 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 1]. Available from: <https://www.toronto.ca/home/covid-19/covid-19-pandemic-data/covid-19-ethno-racial-group-income-infection-data/>
11. Benham JL, Lang R, Burns KK, MacKean G, Léveillé T, McCormack B, et al. Attitudes, current behaviours and barriers to public health measures that reduce COVID-19 transmission: A qualitative study to inform public health messaging. *PLOS ONE*. 2021 Feb 19;16(2):e0246941.
12. Coroiu A, Moran C, Campbell T, Geller AC. Barriers and facilitators of adherence to social distancing recommendations during COVID-19 among a large international sample of adults. *PLOS ONE*. 2020 Oct 7;15(10):e0239795.
13. Lang R, Benham JL, Atabati O, Hollis A, Tombe T, Shaffer B, et al. Attitudes, behaviours and barriers to public health measures for COVID-19: a survey to inform public health messaging. *BMC Public Health*. 2021 Apr 21;21(1):765.

1  
2  
3 420 14. Benham JL, Atabati O, Oxoby RJ, Mourali M, Shaffer B, Sheikh H, et al. COVID-19 Vaccine–  
4 421 Related Attitudes and Beliefs in Canada: National Cross-sectional Survey and Cluster Analysis.  
5 422 JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2021 Dec 23;7(12):e30424.  
6  
7 423 15. Acharya A, Lam K, Danielli S, Ashrafian H, Darzi A. COVID-19 vaccinations among Black Asian  
8 424 and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups: Learning the lessons from influenza. Int J Clin Pract.  
9 425 2021;75(10):e14641.  
10  
11 426 16. Afifi TO, Salmon S, Taillieu T, Stewart-Tufescu A, Fortier J, Driedger SM. Older adolescents and  
12 427 young adults willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine: Implications for informing public  
13 428 health strategies. Vaccine. 2021 Jun 11;39(26):3473–9.  
14  
15 429 17. Dubé È, Dionne M, Pelletier C, Hamel D, Gadio S. COVID-19 vaccination attitudes and intention  
16 430 among Quebecers during the first and second waves of the pandemic: findings from repeated cross-  
17 431 sectional surveys. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2021 Nov 2;17(11):3922–32.  
18  
19 432 18. Griffith J, Marani H, Monkman H. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in Canada: Content Analysis of  
20 433 Tweets Using the Theoretical Domains Framework. J Med Internet Res. 2021 Apr 13;23(4):e26874.  
21  
22 434 19. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszczek MM, Groenewoud R, Rachor GS, Asmundson GJG. A Proactive  
23 435 Approach for Managing COVID-19: The Importance of Understanding the Motivational Roots of  
24 436 Vaccination Hesitancy for SARS-CoV2. Front Psychol [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 24];11.  
25 437 Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.575950>  
26  
27 438 20. Government of Canada SC. Majority of Canadians intend to get the COVID-19 vaccine, September  
28 439 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 24]. Available from: [https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-](https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm)  
29 440 [quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm](https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/201217/dq201217c-eng.htm)  
30  
31 441 21. Muhajarine N, Adeyinka DA, McCutcheon J, Green KL, Fahlman M, Kallio N. COVID-19 vaccine  
32 442 hesitancy and refusal and associated factors in an adult population in Saskatchewan, Canada:  
33 443 Evidence from predictive modelling. Gesser-Edelsburg A, editor. PLOS ONE. 2021 Nov  
34 444 12;16(11):e0259513.  
35  
36 445 22. Momplaisir F, Haynes N, Nkwihoreze H, Nelson M, Werner RM, Jemmott J. Understanding  
37 446 Drivers of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccine Hesitancy Among Blacks. Clin Infect Dis. 2021 Nov  
38 447 15;73(10):1784–9.  
39  
40 448 23. Fuller H, Dubbala K, Obiri D, Mallare M, Advani S, De Souza S, et al. Addressing Vaccine  
41 449 Hesitancy to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake Across the  
42 450 UK and US. Front Public Health [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Oct 7];9. Available from:  
43 451 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2021.789753>  
44  
45 452 24. Ala A, Wilder J, Jonassaint NL, Coffin CS, Brady C, Reynolds A, et al. COVID-19 and the  
46 453 Uncovering of Health Care Disparities in the United States, United Kingdom and Canada: Call to  
47 454 Action. Hepatol Commun. 2021;5(10):1791–800.  
48  
49 455 25. Cheng Z, Li Y. Racial and ethnic and income disparities in COVID-19 vaccination among Medicare  
50 456 beneficiaries. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2022;70(9):2638–45.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

26. María Nápoles A, Stewart AL, Strassle PD, Quintero S, Bonilla J, Alhomsy A, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in intent to obtain a COVID-19 vaccine: A nationally representative United States survey. *Prev Med Rep.* 2021 Dec 1;24:101653.
27. McClaran N, Rhodes N, Yao SX. Trust and Coping Beliefs Contribute to Racial Disparities in COVID-19 Vaccination Intention. *Health Commun.* 2022 Oct 15;37(12):1457–64.
28. Williams AM, Clayton HB, Singleton JA. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage: The Contribution of Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors. *Am J Prev Med.* 2022 Apr 1;62(4):473–82.
29. Agarwal R, Dugas M, Ramaprasad J, Luo J, Li G, Gao G. Socioeconomic privilege and political ideology are associated with racial disparity in COVID-19 vaccination [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Oct 7]. Available from: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2107873118>
30. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci.* 2009 Aug 7;4(1):50.
31. Amoako J, MacEachen E. Understanding the blended impacts of COVID-19 and systemic inequalities on sub-Saharan African immigrants in Canada. *Can J Public Health.* 2021 Oct;112(5):862–6.
32. Dmytriw A, Phan K, Schirmer C, Settecase F, Heran M, Efendizade A, et al. Ischemic stroke associated with covid-19 and racial outcome disparity in North America. In: Late-Breaking Oral Abstracts [Internet]. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.; 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 20]. p. A162.1-A162. Available from: <http://jnis.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-SNIS.275>
33. Waldner D, Harrison R, Johnstone J, Saxinger L, Webster D, Sligl W. COVID-19 epidemiology in Canada from January to December 2020: the pre-vaccine era. Blais JM, editor. *FACETS.* 2021 Jan 1;6:760–822.
34. Qualitative Analysis Software | Map and Data Library [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 31]. Available from: <https://mdl.library.utoronto.ca/technology/nvivo-software>
35. Keith RE, Crosson JC, O'Malley AS, Crompt D, Taylor EF. Using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to produce actionable findings: a rapid-cycle evaluation approach to improving implementation. *Implement Sci.* 2017 Feb 10;12(1):15.
36. Cascini F, Pantovic A, Al-Ajlouni YA, Failla G, Puleo V, Melnyk A, et al. Social media and attitudes towards a COVID-19 vaccination: A systematic review of the literature | Elsevier Enhanced Reader [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Oct 7]. Available from: <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S2589537022001845?token=04CF320C83E8EAB2BBFE1C6075E9F891C97C563F0F4C206FC358565C235D2C3360A86F143D33ABEC40674F6480FB2CB6&originRegion=us-east-1&originCreation=20221007192600>