

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, attempts, and completion in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-037154
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jan-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Pelton, Matthew Wisnousky, Holly Lazzara, Nick Ciarletta, Matt Manglani, Monica Chinchilli, Vernon Ssentongo, Anna Ssentongo, Paddy; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center,
Keywords:	HIV & AIDS < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, attempts, and completion in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and metaanalysis

Matt Pelton, B.A¹, Holly Wisnousky, B.A¹, Nick Lazzara, B.A¹, Matt Ciarletta, BA¹, Monica Manglani, BS¹, Vernon M. Chinchilli, PHD³, Anna E. Ssentongo, MPH^{2,3}, Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH^{3,4}§

¹Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

²Department of Surgery, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

³Department of Public Health Sciences, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

⁴Center for Neural Engineering, Department of Engineering, Science and Mechanics, The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, United States of America

$\S Corresponding author$

Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH

Assistant Professor of Research

Center for Neural Engineering

Dept. Engineering Science and Mechanics

The Pennsylvania State University

W321 Millennium Science Complex

University Park, PA 16802

Phone: 814-777-2741

pssentongo@pennstatehealth.psu.edu

Web: http://www.esm.psu.edu

Abstract

Aim To estimate the pooled incidence of suicide completion, and the incidence and prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA).

Method We will conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies published between January 1, 1985 and January 1, 2020, reporting the prevalence and incidence of suicide risk in PLWHA. We will search the following databases: PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO. No age, geographical location, study-design or language limits will be applied. This protocol was developed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols guidelines. Two reviewers will independently screen citations, abstracts and will identify full-text articles for inclusion, extract data and appraise the quality and bias of included studies. Discrepancies will be resolved by consensus or consultation with a third researcher. Risk of bias of included studies will be assessed by the appropriate Cochrane risk of bias tool. The primary outcomes will be the overall rate of suicide completion, suicide attempts, and suicide ideation in PLWHA. We will use the random-effects model with a logit transformation of proportions for the pooling of studies. We will assess the between-study heterogeneity using the *P* statistic, and Cochrane's *Q* statistic (significance level < 0.05). We will perform subgroup meta-analyses to look at geographical differences in the suicide risk and conduct a meta-regression analysis, using study level median age, and study level gender proportions, the proportion of study population with AIDS, HAART proportions, mean/median CD4 counts and percentage of the study population with a diagnosis of depression. We will report absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide. The Egger's test and funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias.

Ethics and dissemination No ethics clearance is required as no primary data will be collected. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at scientific conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The results may inform clinical management of PLWHA and may guide future population-specific interventions.

PROSPERO registration number pending: CRDXXXXXXXXXXXX

Strengths and limitation of this study

- This will be the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesize the current literature on the prevalence and incidence of suicide in PLWHA.
- We adhered to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility of the study.
- The review and meta-analysis may have implications for the management of PLWHA.
- Heterogeneity in the tools used to assess suicidal ideations, and suicide attempts may be a limitation.
- Heterogeneity in the cohort selection within each body of work; some studies may limit their work to perinatally infected individuals, pregnant women and/or IV drug users.
- To overcome these limitations, we will use meta-regression to statistically explore the sources of hetegogeneity in the outcome of interest.

Background

Since its discovery in the 1980s, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) continues to carry a significant global burden of disease. While the disease remains incurable, anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) has been effective in controlling disease progression, improving quality of life, and prolonging longevity¹. In 2018, the World Health Organization and the United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) approximated that globally, approximately 40 million people are living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)². HIV caused approximately 1 million deaths worldwide and was responsible for the annual 48 DALYs per 100,000 population ^{3 4}. While UNAIDS and the WHO provide an effective framework in controlling HIV infection, the current strategies fail to adequately address interventions for the psychosocial burden experienced by PLWHA.

Despite the improved prognosis of HIV, studies continue to find an association between HIV and suicide. Marzuk and colleagues found that nearly 9% of suicide victims had HIV⁵. Likewise, a cross-sectional study found that 77% of minority PLWHA had suicidal thoughts within the past week, and 26% had attempted suicide since diagnosis⁶. Data thus far has shown that patient suicide rates within the first year of HIV diagnosis exceed that of the general population 7-9. While the factors leading to suicide may mirror those seen in depression, identifying the risks correlated to suicidal behavior in HIV patients will inform effective preventative measures against suicide. Furthermore, as discussed above identification of risk factors of suicidal behavior can improve HIV management in at-risk populations.

Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in 1996, morbidity and mortality rates have declined in PLWHA¹⁰, although the relationship between HAART and suicide risk remains unclear. A longitudinal study followed 163 PLWHA for two years and found that HAART increased CD4 counts and decreased depressive symptoms with a temporal relationship¹¹. However, other studies have suggested that HAART with clarithromycin or efavirenz can induce a neuropsychiatric reaction, potentially increasing depressive symptoms and suicide risk 12-14.

To our knowledge, there is no systematic review and meta-analysis of the pooled incidences of suicide in PLWHA and examine associated risk factors.

OBJECTIVES

The objective of this study is to present a protocol for review and meta-analysis to ascertain the incidence of suicide ideation, attempt, and completion in PLWHA and to delineate the associated risk factors. Specific aims are:

- To examine the global incidence of suicide completion in PLWHA (i)
- To examine the global prevalence of suicide ideation, attempt, and completion in PLWHA (ii)
- To delineate risk factors associated with suicide ideation, attempt and completion in PLWHA (iii)

Review question

What is the incidence/prevalence of suicidal ideation, attempt, and completions in PLWHA is as reporter in studies between January 1, 1985, and January 1, 2020?

METHOD

We used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2915 statement and guidelines to inform on April 24, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright the development of this protocol. 15 16 See online supplementary appendix 1 for the checklist.

Patient and public involvement statement

Patients were not involved in the development of this protocol.

Study design

We will incorporate the following inclusion criteria:

Studies that:

- Reported suicide rates in PLWHA
- Published between January 1, 1985, to November 20, 2019
- Published in any language

We will exclude:

- Studies not conducted in humans
- Case reports and studies that did not report the incidence of suicide, suicide attempts, or suicide ideations were excluded
- Meeting abstracts, review papers, and commentaries

Domain

We will include studies if they are related to HIV/AIDS and suicide

Population

We will include studies that report data generated from HIV-infected participants, regardless of age, gender and sex.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes will be the overall rate of suicide completion, suicide attempts, and suicide ideation in PLWHA

Search Strategy

Geographical context

We will include studies from all over the globe. We will, however, estimate regional differences through subgroup analysis.

Database searches

The following databases will be searched: PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO. We will use a snowballing method include to search the citation lists of included papers. This will be accomplished by using the 'cited by' tool in Google Scholar. Efforts will be made to contact authors of ongoing studies and in-press literature for information regarding additional studies or missing data.

Search Terms

Our keyword search will be based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) with various combinations of "Sajicide", OR "Depression", OR "Suicide attempt", OR "Suicide Ideation*", OR "Suicide Completion*" OR "Mental Illness*" OR "Anxiety*", AND HIV*" OR "Human immunodeficiency syndrome" OR "AIDS" OR "Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome". This search strategy will be further adapted and tailored for use with each database, using Boolean operators, truncations, proximity operators and Medical Subject Heading, as appropriate for each database. For a complete list of search terms see online supplementary appendix 2.

Study selection and data extraction

Title and abstract screening

The citations will be downloaded into the Endnote software and will exclude duplicate articles. Two review team members will independently screen studies in two stages. In the first stage, the two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts. They will document, with reasons, the studies excluded from the review.

Full-text screening and data extraction

In the second stage, full-text versions of selected abstracts will be downloaded/retrieved and assessed independently by the two reviewers. They will extract data from eligible papers identified during the abstract screening step. In the event of disagreement, the two authors will confer and discuss with each other and, if necessary, a third review author (PS) to reach consensus. When abstracts and subsequently included papers are not available in English, translators will be sought. Using the format of the standard data extraction form that has been validated and used somewhere, we will extract the following information: first author, country in which the study was conducted, year of publication, see arch methodology, total sample size, number of patients with suicidal ideation, number of patients with suicidal attempt, number of patients with suicidal completion, percent

pyright.

of study sample that was male, mean age, percent of population with HAART, average CD4 count, mean viral load, percent with reported depression and percent of individuals with AIDS and study limitations. Data will be extracted independently by two authors. In case of missing data, one attempt will be made to contact the corresponding authors of studies by email. If the author fails to provide additional information, a decision will be made as to whether to include the study in the final review.

Assessment of Methodological Quality of the Papers

Two authors will independently assess the quality of the papers included in the review. We anticipate finding only observational studies. Therefore, assessment of methodological quality will be conducted using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale, which is a validated tool for assessing quantitative cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies. Scores between 7 and the maximum score of 9 will be defined as high quality; scores between 4 and 6 will be defined as intermediate quality and scores between 1 and 3 will be defined as low quality. Discrepancies in scoring will be resolved by discussion with a third author. Studies will be included regardless of the risk of bias and quality scores, but sensitivity analysis will be conducted to ascertain the impact of their inclusion.

Data synthesis and analysis

We will use the metaprop function of the *meta*-package in R Statistical Software for analysis. ¹⁹ The primary outcomes will be the overall rate of suicide completion, suicide attempts, and suicide ideation in PLWHA. We will use the random-effects model with a logit transformation of proportions for the pooling of studies. The confidence intervals will be calculated using the exact binomial (Clopper-Pearson) interval method. We will assess the between-study heterogeneity using the I^2 statistic, expressed as %, low (25%), moderate (50%), and high (75% and Cochrane's Q statistic (significance level < 0.05). We will perform subgroup meta-analyses to look at geographical differences in the suicide risk and conducted a meta-regression analysis, using study level median age, and study level gender proportions, year of study, the proportion of study poperation with AIDS, HAART proportions, mean/median CD4 counts and percentage of the study population with depression diagnosis. We will report absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide. The Egger's test and funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias.

Risk of bias assessment for retained studies

We will perform sensitivity analysis by the use of subgroup meta-analyses to look at geographical differences in the suicide risk and conduct a metaregression analysis, 20 using study level median age, and study level gender proportions, year of study, the proportion of study population with AIDS, HAART proportions, mean/median CD4 counts and percentage of the study population with depression diagrassis. We will report absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide. The Egger's test and funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias.

Presentation of results and reporting

The PRISMA guidelines will be used and the checklist will accompany the publication. Quantitative data will be summarized and presented in tables, forest plots and maps. The prevalence and incidence of suicide in PLWHA will be presented by continents, by study design. Meta-regression analysis will be reported as absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide.

Potential amendments

The review of the protocol commenced in 2020 and the study is expected to be completed by 2021. We do not foresee amendments to this protocol. 24, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright However, in case a need for amendment should arise, it will be registered and reported.

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of this systematic review protocol.

Conclusion

This first systematic review and meta-analysis to address an existing knowledge gap in the risk of suicide in people living with HIV/AIDS. This review may improve suicide risk assessments and help to prioritize them in PLWHA, especially those in subgroups that could be at heightened risk.

Dissemination

The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The results will guide future population-specific interventions.

Contributors PS conceived this study. AS and PS drafted the manuscript.

HW, MP, MC, NL, MM, VMC critically reviewed the manuscript and provided comments. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

ORCID iD: Paddy Ssentongo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1565-5731

References

- 1. Mermin J, Were W, Ekwaru JP, et al. Mortality in HIV-infected Ugandan adults receiving antiretroviral treatment and survival of their HIVuninfected children: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet* 2008;371(9614):752-59.
- 2. WHO U. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS AIDS. Epidemic update 2018; http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/
- 3. Cassini A, Colzani E, Pini A, et al. Impact of infectious diseases on population health using incidence-based disability-adjusted life years (DALYs): results from the Burden of Communicable Diseases in Europe study, European Union and European Economic Area countries, 2009 to 2013. Eurosurveillance 2018;23(16)
- 4. Frank TD, Carter A, Jahagirdar D, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980–2017, and forecasts to 2030, for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2017. The Lancet HIV 2019
- 5. Marzuk PM, Tardiff K, Leon AC, et al. HIV seroprevalence among suicide victims in New York City, 1991–1993. American Journal of Psychiatry 1997;154(12):1720-25.
- 6. Cooperman NA, Simoni JM. Suicidal ideation and attempted suicide among women living with HIV/AIDS. Journal of behavioral medicine 2005;28(2):149-56.
- 7. Aldaz P, Moreno-Iribas C, Egüés N, et al. Mortality by causes in HIV-infected adults: comparison with the general population. BMC Public Health 2011;11:300. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-300 [published Online First: 2011/05/11]
- 8. Hentzien M, Cabie A, Pugliese P, et al. Factors associated with deaths from suicide in a French nationwide HIV-infected cohort. HIV Med 2018 doi: 10.1111/hiv.12633 [published Online First: 2018/06/01]
- 9. Jia CX, Mehlum L, Qin P. AIDS/HIV infection, comorbid psychiatric illness, and risk for subsequent suic et a nationwide register linkage study. J Clin Psychiatry 2012;73(10):1315-21. doi: 10.4088/JCP.12m07814 [published Online First: 2012/08/04]
- 10. Murphy EL, Collier AC, Kalish LA, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy decreases mortality and morbidity in patients with advanced HIV disease. Annals of internal medicine 2001;135(1):17-26.
- 11. Judd FK, Cockram AM, Komiti A, et al. Depressive symptoms reduced in individuals with HIV/AIDS treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: a longitudinal study. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2000;34(6):1015-21.
- 12. Prime K, French P. Neuropsychiatric reaction induced by clarithromycin in a patient on highly active antigetroviral therapy (HAART). Sexually transmitted infections 2001;77(4):297-98.
- 13. Cespedes MS, Aberg JA. Neuropsychiatric complications of antiretroviral therapy. *Drug safety* 2006;29(10):865-74.
- 14. Di Poggio MB, Anfosso S, Audenino D, et al. Clarithromycin-induced neurotoxicity in adults. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 2011;18(3):313-18.
- 15. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic reviews* 2015;4(1):1.
- 16. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysisprotocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *Bmj* 2015;349:g7647.
- 17. Ssentongo P, Lewcun JA, Candela X, et al. Regional, racial, gender, and tumor biology disparities in breast cancer survival rates in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one* 2019;14(11)
- 18. Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nontandomised studies in meta-analyses. by guest. Protected by copyright Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute 2011
- 19. Schwarzer G. meta: An R package for meta-analysis. *R news* 2007;7(3):40-45.
- 20. Harbord RM, Higgins JP. Meta-regression in Stata. *The Stata Journal* 2008;8(4):493-519.

		BMJ Open pen-2020-03
		BMJ Open
		2-ue − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −
		202
		0-0
PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items	for Systematic re	view and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*
Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item 0
	20011110	<u>უ</u>
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION Title:		
Title: Identification	10	<u>c</u>
identification	1a	Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, attempts, and completion in persons with HIV: a protocol for a
		systematic review and meta-analysis (page 1)
Update	1b	NA :
Registration	2	PROSPERO submission under review (Page 2)
Authors:		vn le
Contact	3a	All names, institutional affiliations, e-mail address of all protocol authors are previded as well as physical mailing address of corresponding author (Page 1)
Contributions	3b	The contributions of protocol authors are listed and the guarantor of the review is identified (Page 7).
Amendments	4	Amendments are not expected but all deviations will be documented and discussed (Page 5).
Support:		Ttp
Sources	5a	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 6) No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 6) No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 6)
Sponsor	5b	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 6)
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 6)
INTRODUCTION		n.bm
Rationale	6	The rationale for the review is described in contrast to what is already known and the gaps in literature (page 3).
Objectives	7	We provided our explicit objectives (Page 3) and the participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) on (page 4)
METHODS		April
Eligibility criteria	8	We explicitly described our inclusion and exclusion criteria (Page 4).
Information sources	9	We described our search strategy, databases that will be used and data sources (Page 4)
Search strategy	10	We described our search strategies and databases that will be systematically explored. We also described how we will extract the data (Page 4).
Study records:		gue
Data management	11a	We described the mechanism that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review (Pages 4-5)
Selection process	11b	
Data collection process	11c	We described the plan of extracting data from reports (Page 4)
Data items	12	We listed and defined all variables for which data will be sought Page 4) $\frac{\ddot{Q}}{\ddot{\Phi}}$
Outcomes and prioritization	13	We clearly state the process that will be used for selecting studies (Page 4) We described the plan of extracting data from reports (Page 4) We listed and defined all variables for which data will be sought Page 4) We listed and defined all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale (Page 4)
		Pationale (Fage 4)
		₫.

Risk of bias in individual studies	14	We described anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level (Page 5)
Data synthesis	15a	We described criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised Rage 5)
	15b	We described our plan to assess heterogeneity (Page 5)
	15c	We describe our additional analyses (including sensitivity, subgroup analyses, and meta-regression) (Page 5)
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, narrative synthesis will be used (Page 5)
Meta-bias(es)	16	We described the meta- bias
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	We will use a quality score as described. (Page 5)

^{*} It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items of systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

PubMed (MEDLINE) search terms

- 1. (("HIV"[Mesh] OR "Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome"[Mesh]))
- 2. (("Suicide"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Attempted"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Completed"[Mesh] OR "Suicidal,
- 3. (("Mental Disorder "[Majr] OR "Depressive Disorders"[Majr]))
- 4. 1 AND 2
- 5. 1 AND 3



BMJ Open

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-037154.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Jun-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Wisnousky, Holly; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Lazzara, Nick; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Ciarletta, Matt; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Pelton, Matt; Penn State College of Medicine, Manglani, Monica; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Chinchilli, Vernon; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences Ssentongo, Anna; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences Ssentongo, Paddy; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	HIV/AIDS
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Global health
Keywords:	HIV & AIDS < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES
	•

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Holly Wisnousky, BA¹, Nick Lazzara, BA¹, Matt Ciarletta, BA¹, Matt Pelton, BA¹, Monica Manglani, BS¹, Vernon M. Chinchilli, PHD³, Anna E. Ssentongo, MPH^{2,3}, Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH^{3,4}§

¹Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

²Department of Surgery, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

³Department of Public Health Sciences, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

⁴Center for Neural Engineering, Department of Engineering, Science and Mechanics, The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, United States of America

§Corresponding author

Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH

Assistant Professor of Research

Center for Neural Engineering

Dept. Engineering Science and Mechanics

The Pennsylvania State University

W321 Millennium Science Complex

University Park, PA 16802

Phone: 814-777-2741

pssentongo@pennstatehealth.psu.edu

Web: http://www.esm.psu.edu

Abstract

Introduction The prevalence of HIV/AIDS is high and is associated with psychiatric morbidity and suicide risk. The objective of this study will be to assess the incidence of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA).

Methods and Analysis We designed and registered a study protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting the suicidality outcome (suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths) in PLWHA. We will search PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO from their inception until January 1, 2020. No age, geographical location, or language limits will be applied. The primary outcome will be the incidence of suicidality outcomes. Secondary outcomes will be risk factors of suicide risk. Four reviewers will independently screen all citations, full-text articles, and abstract data. Potential conflicts will be resolved through discussion. The study methodological quality (or bias) will be appraised using an appropriate tool. If feasible, we will conduct random-effects meta-analysis with a logit transformation of proportions. We will report the probability of suicide risk as a measure of incidence rate, relative risk ratios (with 95% confidence intervals) to report the effects of the risk factors. Additional analyses will be conducted to explore the potential sources of heterogeneity (e.g. age, gender, geographical location, publication year). The Egger's test and funnel plots will be used to assess small study effects (publication bias).

Ethics and Dissemination No ethics clearance is required as no primary data will be collected. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at scientific conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The results may inform clinical management of PLWHA and may guide future population-specific interventions.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42020161501

Strengths and limitation of this study

- This will be the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesize the current literature on the epidemiology of suicidality outcome in PLWHA.
- We adhered to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility of the study.
- Heterogeneity in the tools used to assess suicidality outcome may be a limitation.
- Heterogeneity in the cohort selection within each body of work; some studies may limit their work to perinatally infected individuals, pregnant women and/or IV drug users.
- To overcome these limitations, we will use meta-regression to statistically explore the sources of heterogeneity in the outcome of interest.

Background

Since its discovery in the 1980s, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) continues to carry a significant global burden of disease. While the disease remains incurable, anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) has been effective in controlling disease progression, improving quality of life, and prolonging longevity¹. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) approximated that 40 million people globally are living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)². HIV caused an estimated 1 million deaths worldwide and was responsible for the annual 48 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) per 100,000 population ^{3 4}. While UNAIDS and the WHO provide an effective framework in controlling HIV infection, the current strategies fail to adequately address interventions for the psychosocial burden experienced by PLWHA.

Despite the improved prognosis of HIV, studies continue to find an association between HIV and suicide. Carrieri and colleagues reported in 2017 that 6.3% of PLWHA are at risk for suicide⁵. Likewise, a cross-sectional study found that 77% of minority PLWHA had suicidal thoughts within the past week, and 26% had attempted suicide since diagnosis⁵. Data thus far has shown that patient suicide rates within the first year of HIV diagnosis exceed that of the general population ⁶⁻⁸. Furthermore, societal factors such as stigma, discrimination and lack of social support have been implicated^{9 10}. Because the risk factors implicated in suicidality in PLWHA are multifactorial, identifying the risks correlated to suicidal behavior in HIV patients may inform effective preventative measures against suicide. Furthermore, as discussed above identification of risk factors of suicidal behavior can improve HIV management in at-risk populations.

Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in 1996, morbidity and mortality rates have declined in PLWHA¹¹, although the relationship between HAART and suicide risk remains unclear. A longitudinal study followed 163 PLWHA for two years and found that HAART increased CD4 counts and decreased depressive symptoms with a temporal relationship¹². However, there is no systematic review and meta-analysis of the pooled incidences of suicide in PLWHA and examine associated risk factors.

Objectives

The objective of this study will be to assess the incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and suicide deaths in PLWHA. The specific review questions will be:

- (i) What is the global incidence of suicide deaths in PLWHA?
- (ii) What is the global prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide attempt in PLWHA?
- (iii) What are the risk factors associated with suicidality outcome in PLWHA?

Methods

The present protocol has been registered with PROSPERO (registration ID: **CRD42020161501).** The present study protocol is being reported in accordance with the reporting guidance provided in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement protocol. 16 17 (see PRISMA-P checklist in Additional file 1).

Eligibility criteria

Studies will be selected according to the following criteria: participants, condition or outcome(s) of interest, study design and context.

- * Participants (population): We will include studies involving children, adolescents and adult patients king with HIV (regardless of age or sex). Studies not conducted in humans will be excluded.
- * Condition or outcome(s) of interest: The primary outcome will be the incidence of suicidality outcome indicating the rate of new (or newly diagnosed) cases of suicidal ideations, suicide attempts or suicide deaths in people living with HIV. It is generally reported as the number of new

/right.

* Study design and context: Eligible studies will be randomized trials, observational cohort (prospective or retrospective) and cross-sectional studies reporting outcome data and conducted in a wide range of people living with HIV. We will exclude case series and case reports. No limitations will be imposed on study conduct period, and language of publication. Reviews, commentaries, and conference/meeting abstracts will be excluded.

Information sources and search strategy

The primary source of literature will be a structured search of electronic databases: PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO from their inception until January 1, 2020. The secondary source of potentially relevant material will be a search of the grey or difficult to locate literature, including Google Scholar. We will perform hand-searching of the reference lists of included studies, relevant reviews or other relevant documents. Efforts will be made to contact authors of ongoing studies and in-press literature for information regarding additional studies or missing data. The search will be based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), a broad range of terms and keywords related to: "suicide" and " human immunodeficiency syndrome / acquired immunodeficiency syndrome ". A draft search strategy for MEDLINE is provided in Additional file 2.

Study selection and data extraction

All articles identified from the literature search will be screened by four reviewers (HW, NL, MC and MP) in ependently.

Title and abstract screening

In the first stage, four reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts of articles returned from initial searches will based on the eligibility criteria outlined above. They will document, with reasons, the studies excluded from the review. In the case of potential disagreement, the four reviewers will consult a senior reviewer (PS) to reach consensus. The citations will be downloaded into the Endnote software and will exclude duplicate articles.

Full-text screening and data extraction

In the second stage, full-text versions of selected abstracts will be downloaded/retrieved and assessed independently by the four reviewers. They will extract data from eligible papers identified during the abstract screening step. In the event of disagreement the authors will confer and discuss with each other and, if necessary, a senior reviewer (PS) to reach consensus. When abstracts and subsequently induded papers are not available in English, translators will be sought. Using the format of the standard data extraction form that has been validated and used somewhere, we will extract the following information: first author, country in which the study was conducted, year of publication, study period, research methodology, total sample size, number of patients with suicidal ideation, number of patients with suicidal attempt, number of patients with suicidal completion, percent of study sample that was male, mean age, employment, homelessness, partner presence, percent of population with HEART, regimen of antiretroviral therapy, mean CD4 T cell count, median viral load, proportion with major depression, anxiety and other psychiatric librors, proportion with AIDS and AIDS associated central nervous system conditions. In case of missing data, one attempt will be made to contact the corresponding authors of studies by email. If the author fails to provide additional information, a decision will be made as to whether to include the study in the final review. A flow chart showing details of studies included and excluded at each stage of the study selection process will be provided.

Assessment of Methodological Quality of the Papers

Four authors will independently assess the quality of the papers included in the review. We anticipate finding only observational studies. Therefore, assessment of methodological quality will be conducted using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scape, which is a validated tool for assessing

copyright.

quantitative cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies.¹⁹ Scores of 8 to the maximum score of 9 will be defined as high quality; scores of 5 to 7 will be defined as intermediate quality, and scores of 1 to 4 will be defined as low quality. Discrepancies in scoring will be resolved by discussion with a third author. Studies will be included regardless of the risk of bias and quality scores, but sensitivity analysis will be conducted to ascertain the impact of their inclusion.

Data synthesis

The data from each paper (e.g. study characteristics, participants, outcomes and findings) will be used to build evidence tables of an overall description of included studies. Incidence and prevalence estimate of suicidality outcome will be presented as cases per 1,000 along with 95% confidence intervals. Relative risk ratios (RR) or odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals will be used to report the association of suicidality outcome with the risk factors. If feasible and appropriate, data points from primary studies will be used to perform random effects meta-analyses. Since heterogeneity is expected a priori, we will estimate the pooled incidence and its 95% confidence interval using the random effects model with logit transformation and back transformation. We will use the metaprop function of the *meta*-package in R Statistical Software for analysis.²⁰ The confidence intervals will be calculated using the exact binomial (Clopper-Pearson) interval method.²⁰ The random effects model assumes the study estimates follow a normal distribution, considering both within-study and between-study variation. Forest plots will be used to visualize the extent of heterogeneity among studies. We will quantify statistical heterogeneity by estimating the variance between studies using I² statistic. The I² is the proportion of variation in prevalence estimates that is due to genuine variation in prevalence rather than sampling (random) error. I² ranges between 0% and 100% (with values of 0-25% and 75-100% taken to indicate low and considerable heterogeneity, respectively). We will also report Tau² and Cochran Q test with a P value of < 0.05 considered statistically significant (heterogeneity).

Additional analyses

If sufficient studies are identified and data points are available, potential sources of heterogeneity will be investigated further by subgroup or metaregression analyses according to baseline characteristics and methodological covariates. We plan to conduct analyses by geographical location (e.g. region and/or country), age (median), gender (e.g. proportion), year of study conduct, comorbidities (e.g. programme) of major depressive disorder, mean body mass index), CD4 T cell counts (median), HAART (proportion), AIDS (proportion) and quality score of each included study (high versus low/medium score).

Small study effects (publication bias) will be assessed by inspection of the funnel plots for asymmetry and with Egger's test, with the results considered to indicate potential small study effects when P values < 0.10.

Software considerations

Presentation of results and reporting

The PRISMA guidelines will be used and the checklist will accompany the publication. Quantitative data will be summarized and presented in tables, forest plots, and maps. The prevalence and incidence of suicide in PLWHA will be presented by continents, by study design. We define the prevalence of suicide as the number of existing cases within the described time period, and we define the incellence of suicide as the rate of new cases that occur amongst cohorts during the described time period. Meta-regression analysis will be reported as absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide. Strength of evidence will be assessed using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations) framework using four levels of quality of evidence: very low, low, moderate, and high. We use the following domains GRADE: risk of bias, imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness, and publication bias.²¹ We will report the overall strength of evidence of the outcome of interest.

5

Protected by copyright

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of this systematic review protocol.

Discussion

The systematic review and meta-analysis of studies presented in this protocol will identify, collect, and evaluate the existing knowledge underlying the incidence, prevalence and risk factors of suicidality associated with HIV infection. To our knowledge, there is no other systematic review and meta-analysis addressing this specific issue. The proposed systematic review and meta-analysis will be reported in accordance with the reporting guidance provided in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement²² and the Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) reporting guideline.²³ Any amendments made to this protocol when conducting the study will be outlined and reported in the final manuscript. Results will be disseminated through conference presentations and publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Major limitation is the inconsistence in the reporting of suicidality outcome at the study level. Such inconsistences may lead to lower or higher pooled prevalence of suicidality outcome. We will first conduct qualitative synthesis before carrying out meta-analysis. If we find a very high degree clinical, and methodological heterogeneity, we will not pool the results but will instead summarize the results qualitatively by using tables and figures. If we end up conducting a meta-analysis, will however mitigate the heterogeneity by conducting subgroup analysis and meta-regression. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The results will guide future population-specific interventions and may improve mental health and survival in people living with HIV, especially those in subgroups that could be at heightened risk.

Contributorship Statement PS conceived this study. AS and PS drafted the manuscript.

HW, MP, MC, NL, MM, VMC critically reviewed the manuscript and provided comments. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Guarantor of the review: PS

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any

funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

ORCID iD: Paddy Ssentongo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1565-5731

References

- 1. Mermin J, Were W, Ekwaru JP, et al. Mortality in HIV-infected Ugandan adults receiving antiretroviral treatment and survival of their HIVuninfected children: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet* 2008;371(9614):752-59.
- 2. WHO U. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS AIDS. *Epidemic update* 2018;http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/
- 3. Cassini A, Colzani E, Pini A, et al. Impact of infectious diseases on population health using incidence-based disability-adjusted life years (DALYs): results from the Burden of Communicable Diseases in Europe study, European Union and European Economic Area countries, 2009 to 2013. Eurosurveillance 2018;23(16)
- 4. Frank TD, Carter A, Jahagirdar D, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980–2017, and forecasts to 2030, for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2017. The Lancet HIV 2019
- 5. Cooperman NA, Simoni JM. Suicidal ideation and attempted suicide among women living with HIV/AIDS. Journal of behavioral medicine 2005;28(2):149-56.
- 6. Aldaz P, Moreno-Iribas C, Egüés N, et al. Mortality by causes in HIV-infected adults: comparison with the general population. BMC Public Health 2011;11:300. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-300 [published Online First: 2011/05/11]
- 7. Hentzien M, Cabie A, Pugliese P, et al. Factors associated with deaths from suicide in a French nationwide HIV-infected cohort. HIV Med 2018 doi: 10.1111/hiv.12633 [published Online First: 2018/06/01]
- 8. Jia CX, Mehlum L, Qin P. AIDS/HIV infection, comorbid psychiatric illness, and risk for subsequent suicide: a nationwide register linkage study. J Clin Psychiatry 2012;73(10):1315-21. doi: 10.4088/JCP.12m07814 [published Online First: 2012/08/04]
- 9. O'Donnell JK, Gaynes BN, Cole SR, et al. Ongoing life stressors and suicidal ideation among HIV-infected adults with depression. *Journal of*
- 10. Lopez JD, Shacham E, Brown T. Suicidal Ideation Persists Among Individuals Engaged in HIV Care in the Era of Antiretroviral Therapy. *AIDS* and behavior 2018;22(3):800-05. doi: 10.1007/s10461-016-1666-5 [published Online First: 2017/01/\$\overline{\text{98}}\)
- 11. Murphy EL, Collier AC, Kalish LA, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy decreases mortality and mæbidity in patients with advanced HIV disease. Annals of internal medicine 2001;135(1):17-26.
- 12. Judd FK, Cockram AM, Komiti A, et al. Depressive symptoms reduced in individuals with HIV/AIDS treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: a longitudinal study. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2000;34(6):1015-21.
- 13. Prime K, French P. Neuropsychiatric reaction induced by clarithromycin in a patient on highly active ant etroviral therapy (HAART). Sexually transmitted infections 2001;77(4):297-98.
- 14. Cespedes MS, Aberg JA. Neuropsychiatric complications of antiretroviral therapy. *Drug safety* 2006;29(10):865-74.
- 15. Di Poggio MB, Anfosso S, Audenino D, et al. Clarithromycin-induced neurotoxicity in adults. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 2011;18(3):313-18.
- 16. Moher D. Shamseer L. Clarke M. et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic reviews* 2015;4(1):1.
- 17. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysisprotocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *Bmj* 2015;349:g7647.
- 18. Ssentongo P, Lewcun JA, Candela X, et al. Regional, racial, gender, and tumor biology disparities in brest cancer survival rates in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one* 2019;14(11)
- 19. Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nongandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute 2011 Protected by copyright
- 20. Schwarzer G. meta: An R package for meta-analysis. *R news* 2007;7(3):40-45.

- 21. Siemieniuk R, Guyatt G. What is GRADE. British medical journal best practice Available at https://bestpractice bmj com/info/us/toolkit/learn-ebm/what-is-grade/ Accessed July 2019;10
- 22. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS med* 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- 23. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. *Jama* 2000;283(15):2008-12.



Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item 9
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	ON	ნ <u></u>
Title:		brc
Identification	1a	Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a
		systematic review and meta-analysis
		(page 1)
Update	1b	NA NA
Registration	2	PROSPERO submission under review (Page 2)
Authors:		å.
Contact	3a	All names, institutional affiliations, e-mail address of all protocol authors are provided as well as physical mailing address of corresponding author (Page 1)
Contributions	3b	The contributions of protocol authors are listed and the guarantor of the review identified (Page 7).
Amendments	4	Amendments are not expected but all deviations will be documented and discussed (Page 6).
Support:		njo
Sources	5a	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
Sponsor	5b	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
INTRODUCTION		om/
Rationale	6	The rationale for the review is described in contrast to what is already known and the gaps in literature (page 3).
Objectives	7	We provided our explicit objectives (Page 3) and the participants, interventions comparators, and outcomes (PICO) on (page 4)
METHODS		24 20
Eligibility criteria	8	We explicitly described our inclusion and exclusion criteria (Page 3, 4).
Information sources	9	We described our search strategy, databases that will be used and data sources (Fage 4)
Search strategy	10	We described our search strategies and databases that will be systematically experienced. We also described how we will extract the data (Page 4).
Study records:		P
Data management	11a	We described the mechanism that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review (Pages 4-5)
Selection process	11b	We clearly state the process that will be used for selecting studies (Page 4)
Data collection process	11c	We described the plan of extracting data from reports (Page 4)
Data items	12	We described the plan of extracting data from reports (Page 4) We listed and defined all variables for which data will be sought Page 4) S S S S S S S S S S S S S

Page 12 of 12

-
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
24 25 26
26
27
27 28 29
20
30
30 31
3 I

32 33 34

42 43

44 45 46

		33
Outcomes and prioritization	13	We listed and defined all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale (Page 4)
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	We described anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level (Page 4,5)
Data synthesis	15a	We described criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised Page 5)
	15b	We described our plan to assess heterogeneity (Page 5)
	15c	We describe our additional analyses (including sensitivity, subgroup analyses, and meta-regression) (Page 5)
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, narrative synthesis will be used (Page 5)
Meta-bias(es)	16	We described the meta- bias (5)
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	We will use a quality score as described. (Page 5)

^{*} It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when ava able) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a **Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

PubMed (MEDLINE) search terms

- 1. (("HIV"[Mesh] OR "Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome"[Mesh]))
- 2. (("Suicide"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Attempted"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Completed"[Mesh] OR "Suicidal,
- 3. (("Mental Disorder "[Majr] OR "Depressive Disorders"[Majr]))
- 4. 1 AND 2
- 5. 1 AND 3



BMJ Open

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-037154.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Aug-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Wisnousky, Holly; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Lazzara, Nick; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Ciarletta, Matt; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Pelton, Matt; Penn State College of Medicine, Manglani, Monica; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Medical School Chinchilli, Vernon; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences Ssentongo, Anna; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences Ssentongo, Paddy; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Sciences
 b>Primary Subject Heading:	HIV/AIDS
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health, Global health
Keywords:	HIV & AIDS < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Holly Wisnousky, BA¹, Nick Lazzara, BA¹, Matt Ciarletta, BA¹, Matt Pelton, BA¹, Monica Manglani, BS¹, Vernon M. Chinchilli, PHD³, Anna E. Ssentongo, MPH^{2,3}, Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH^{3,4}§

¹Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

²Department of Surgery, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

³Department of Public Health Sciences, Penn State Hershey College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

⁴Center for Neural Engineering, Department of Engineering, Science and Mechanics, The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, United States of America

§Corresponding author

Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH

Assistant Professor of Research

Center for Neural Engineering

Dept. Engineering Science and Mechanics

The Pennsylvania State University

W321 Millennium Science Complex

University Park, PA 16802

Phone: 814-777-2741

pssentongo@pennstatehealth.psu.edu

Web: http://www.esm.psu.edu

Abstract

Introduction The prevalence of HIV/AIDS is high and is associated with psychiatric morbidity and suicide risk. The objective of this study will be to assess the incidence of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA).

Methods and Analysis We present a study protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies reporting the suicidality outcome (suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths) in PLWHA. PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO databases will be searched from their inception until January 1, 2020. The primary outcome of interest will be the incidence of suicidality outcomes and associated. Secondary outcomes will be risk factors. Citations, full-text articles, and abstract will be screened by four reviewers independently. Disagrrements will be resolved through discussion. The study methodological quality (or bias) will be appraised using an appropriate tool. Random-effects meta-analysis will be conducted if we find that the studies are very heterogenous. For the suicidality outcome, probability of suicide risk will be reported. Relative risk ratios (with 95% confidence intervals) will be reported for the effects of the risk factors. Potential publication bias will be by conducting Egger's test and creating funnel plots. We will conduct additional analyses to explore the potential sources of heterogeneity (e for example age, sex and geographical location).

Ethics and Dissemination No ethics clearance is required as no primary data will be collected. The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at scientific conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The results may inform clinical management of PLWHA and may guide future population-specific interventions.

We will search PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO from their inception until January 1, 2020.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42020161501

Strengths and limitation of this study

- This will be the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesize the current literature on the epidemiology of suicidality outcome in PLWHA.
- We adhered to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols guidelies to ensure transparency and reproducibility of the study.
- Heterogeneity in the tools used to assess suicidality outcome may be a limitation.
- Heterogeneity in the cohort selection within each body of work; some studies may limit their work to pregnant women and/or IV drug users.
- To overcome these limitations, we will use meta-regression to statistically explore the sources of hetegogeneity in the outcome of interest.

Background

Since its discovery in the 1980s, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) continues to carry a significant global burden of disease. While the disease remains incurable, anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) has been effective in controlling disease progression, improving quality of life, and prolonging longevity¹. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) approximated that 40 million people globally are living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)². HIV caused an estimated 1 million deaths worldwide and was responsible for the annual 48 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) per 100,000 population ^{3 4}. While UNAIDS and the WHO provide an effective framework in controlling HIV infection, the current strategies fail to adequately address interventions for the psychosocial burden experienced by PLWHA.

Despite the improved prognosis of HIV, studies continue to find an association between HIV and suicide. Carrieri and colleagues reported in 2017 that 6.3% of PLWHA are at risk for suicide⁵. Likewise, a cross-sectional study found that 77% of minority PLWHA had suicidal thoughts within the past week, and 26% had attempted suicide since diagnosis⁵. Data thus far has shown that patient suicide rates within the first year of HIV diagnosis exceed that of the general population ⁶⁻⁸. Furthermore, societal factors such as stigma, discrimination and lack of social support have been implicated^{9 10}. Because the risk factors implicated in suicidality in PLWHA are multifactorial, ¹¹ identifying the risks correlated to suicidal behavior in HIV patients may inform effective preventative measures against suicide. Furthermore, as discussed above identification of risk factors of suicidal behavior can improve HIV management in at-risk populations.

Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in 1996, morbidity and mortality rates have declined in PLWHA¹², although the relationship between HAART and suicide risk remains unclear. A longitudinal study followed 163 PLWHA for two years and found that HAART increased CD4 counts and decreased depressive symptoms with a temporal relationship¹³. However, there is no systematic review and meta-analysis of the pooled incidences of suicide in PLWHA and examine associated risk factors.

Objectives

The objective of this study will be to assess the incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and suicide deaths in PLWHA. The specific review questions will be:

- (i) What is the global incidence of suicide deaths in PLWHA?
- (ii) What is the global prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide attempt in PLWHA?
- (iii) What are the risk factors associated with suicidality outcome in PLWHA?

Methods

The methods of this protocol is similar to those reported elsewhere. This protocol has been registered with ROSPERO (registration ID: CRD42020161501) and is being reported in accordance with the reporting guidance provided in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement protocol. (see PRISMA-P) checklist in Additional file 1).

Eligibility criteria

- * Participants (population): Children, adolescents and adult patients living with HIV. No age and sex constraint.
- * Outcome(s) of interest: The primary outcome will be the incidence of suicidality outcome. New cases of suicidal ideations, suicide attempts or suicide deaths in people living with HIV. Risk factors associated with suicidality outcome (for example, Filly viral lead, CD4 T cell count, age, gender and race, major depression, alcohol or drug abuse and dependence, panic disorder, social phobia, and social phobia

Study design: Randomized control trials, observational cohort (prospective or retrospective) and cross-sectional studies reporting suicidality in people living with HIV. Case reports, case series, meta-analysis and reviews will be excluded.

Information sources and search strategy

PubMed (MEDLINE), Scopus, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, OVID (HEALTH STAR), OVID (MEDLINE), Joana Briggs Institute EBF Database, Web of Science and PsychINFO databases will be searched from their inception until January 1, 2020. In addition, grey literature will be searched. We will perform hand-searching of the reference lists of included studies, relevant reviews or other relevant documents. The search will be based on Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), a broad range of terms and keywords related to: "suicide" and " human immunodeficiency syndrome / acquired immunodeficiency syndrome ". A draft search strategy for MEDLINE is provided in Additional file2.

Study selection and data extraction

All articles identified from the literature search will be screened by four reviewers (HW, NL, MC and MP) independently.

Title and abstract screening

In the first stage, four reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts of articles returned from initial searches will based on the eligibility criteria outlined above. They will document, with reasons, the studies excluded from the review. In the case of potential disagreement, the four reviewers will consult a senior reviewer (PS) to reach consensus. The citations will be downloaded into the Endnote software and will exclude duplicate articles.

Full-text screening and data extraction

In the second stage, full-text versions of selected abstracts will be downloaded/retrieved and assessed independently by the four reviewers. They will extract data from eligible papers identified during the abstract screening step. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion until a consesus is reached. When abstracts and subsequently included papers are not available in English, translators will be saught. The following information will be extracted: first author, country in which the study was conducted, year of publication, study period, research methodology, total sample size, number of patients with suicidal ideation, number of patients with suicidal attempt, number of patients with suicidal gompletion, percent of study sample that was male, mean age, employment, homelessness, partner presence, percent of population with HAART, regimen of antiretroviral therapy, mean CD4 T cell count, median viral load, proportion with major depression, anxiety and other psychiatric illness, proportion with AIDS and AIDS associated central nervous system conditions. A PRISMA flow chart detailing the study selection process will be provided.

Assessment of Methodological Quality of the Papers

Methodological quality of studies will be conducted using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale which is a validated tool for assessing quantitative cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies.²⁰ Scores of 8 to the maximum score of 9 will be defined as high quality; scores of 5 to 7 will be defined as intermediate quality, and scores of 1 to 4 will be defined as low quality. Any discrepancy or disagreements in scoring will be resolved by discussion. Further, sensitivity analysis will be carried out using study quality score.

Data synthesis

The data from each paper (e.g. study characteristics, participants, outcomes and findings) will be used to buil evidence tables of an overall description of included studies. Incidence and prevalence estimate of suicidality outcome will be presented as cases per 1,000 along with 95% confidence intervals. Relative risk ratios (RR) or odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals will be used to report the association of suicidality outcome with the risk factors. If feasible and appropriate, data points from primary studies will be used to perform random effects meta-analyses. Since heterogeneity is expected a priori, we will estimate the pooled incidence and its 95% confidence interval using the random effects model with

logit transformation and back transformation. We will use the metaprop and metagen functions of the *meta*-package in R Statistical Software for analysis. 21 The confidence intervals will be calculated using the exact binomial (Clopper-Pearson) interval method. 21 The random effects model assumes the study estimates follow a normal distribution, considering both within-study and between-study variation. Forest plots will be used to visualize the extent of heterogeneity among studies. Quantification of statistical heterogeneity will be accomplished by estimating the variance between studies using I2 statistic, which is the proportion of variation in prevalence estimates that is due to true variation in prevalence rather than sampling (random) error. I2 ranges between 0% and 100% (with values of 0-25% and 75-100% indicating low and considerable heterogeneity, respectively). In addition, We will report Tau2 and Cochran Q test with a p value of < 0.05 considered statistically significant (heterogeneity). Potential publication bias will be explored using Egger's test and funnel plots.

Additional analyses

Potential sources of heterogeneity will be explored further by subgroup or meta-regression analyses by using baseline characteristics and methodological covariates. In addition, we plan to conduct analyses by geographical location, age (median), sex (e.g. proportion), comorbidities (e.g. proportion of major depressive disorder, mean body mass index), CD4 T cell counts (median), HAART (proportion), AIDS (proportion) and quality score of each included study (high versus low/medium score). We will assess publication bias by inspecting the funnel plots for asymmetry and with Egger's test, with the results considered to indicate potential small study effects when p values < 0.10.

Software considerations

All analyses will be conducted in meta-package in R Statistical Software for analysis.

Presentation of results and reporting

The PRISMA guidelines will be used and the checklist will accompany the publication. Quantitative data will be summarized and presented in tables, forest plots, and maps. The prevalence and incidence of suicide in PLWHA will be presented by continents, we study design. We define the prevalence of suicide as the number of existing cases within the described time period, and we define the incidence of suicide as the rate of new cases that occur amongst cohorts during the described time period. Meta-regression analysis will be reported as absolute differences (per 1000) in the overall probability of suicide. Strength of evidence will be assessed using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations) framework using four levels of quality of evidence: very low, low, moderate, and high. We assess the following domains GRADE: risk of bias, imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness, and publication bias.²² We will report the overall strength of evidence of the outcome of interest.

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the development of this systematic review protocol.

Discussion

The systematic review and meta-analysis of studies presented in this protocol will identify, collect, and evaluate the existing knowledge underlying the incidence, prevalence and risk factors of suicidality associated with HIV infection. We will report the findings of the systematic review and meta-analysis in accordance with the reporting guidance in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement²³ and the Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) reporting guideline. The results of the proposed systematic review and meta-analysis will be presented at conferences and published in a peer-review journal. The hope and believe the findings of the proposed review will guide interventions to improve the mental health of people living with HIV with the potential of proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the proposed and survival

Contributorship Statement PS conceived this study. AS and PS drafted the manuscript.

HW, MP, MC, NL, MM, VMC critically reviewed the manuscript and provided comments. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Guarantor of the review: PS

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

ORCID iD: Paddy Ssentongo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1565-5731

59

60

- 1. Mermin J, Were W, Ekwaru JP, et al. Mortality in HIV-infected Ugandan adults receiving antiretroviral treatment and survival of their HIVuninfected children: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet 2008;371(9614):752-59.
- 2. WHO U. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS AIDS. Epidemic update 2018; http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/
- 3. Cassini A, Colzani E, Pini A, et al. Impact of infectious diseases on population health using incidence-based disability-adjusted life years (DALYs): results from the Burden of Communicable Diseases in Europe study, European Union and European Economic Area countries, 2009 to 2013. Eurosurveillance 2018;23(16)
- 4. Frank TD, Carter A, Jahagirdar D, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980–2017, and forecasts to 2030, for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2017. The Lancet HIV 2019
- 5. Cooperman NA, Simoni JM. Suicidal ideation and attempted suicide among women living with HIV/AIDS. Journal of behavioral medicine 2005;28(2):149-56.
- 6. Aldaz P, Moreno-Iribas C, Egüés N, et al. Mortality by causes in HIV-infected adults: comparison with the general population. BMC Public Health 2011;11:300. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-300 [published Online First: 2011/05/11]
- 7. Hentzien M, Cabie A, Pugliese P, et al. Factors associated with deaths from suicide in a French nationwide HIV-infected cohort. HIV Med 2018 doi: 10.1111/hiv.12633 [published Online First: 2018/06/01]
- 8. Jia CX, Mehlum L, Qin P. AIDS/HIV infection, comorbid psychiatric illness, and risk for subsequent suicide: a nationwide register linkage study. J Clin Psychiatry 2012;73(10):1315-21. doi: 10.4088/JCP.12m07814 [published Online First: 2012/09/04]
- 9. O'Donnell JK, Gaynes BN, Cole SR, et al. Ongoing life stressors and suicidal ideation among HIV-infected adults with depression. Journal of affective disorders 2016;190:322-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.09.054 [published Online First: 2015/11/07]
- 10. Lopez JD, Shacham E, Brown T. Suicidal Ideation Persists Among Individuals Engaged in HIV Care in the Era of Antiretroviral Therapy. AIDS and behavior 2018;22(3):800-05. doi: 10.1007/s10461-016-1666-5 [published Online First: 2017/01/08]
- 11. Ssentongo P. Prevalence and incidence of new-onset seizures and epilepsy in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV): Systematic review and meta-analysis. Epilepsy & Behavior 2019;93:49-55. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.01.033
- 12. Murphy EL, Collier AC, Kalish LA, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy decreases mortality and morbidity in patients with advanced HIV disease. Annals of internal medicine 2001;135(1):17-26.
- 13. Judd FK, Cockram AM, Komiti A, et al. Depressive symptoms reduced in individuals with HIV/AIDS treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: a longitudinal study. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2000;34(6):1015-21.
- 14. Prime K, French P. Neuropsychiatric reaction induced by clarithromycin in a patient on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). Sexually transmitted infections 2001;77(4):297-98.
- 15. Cespedes MS, Aberg JA. Neuropsychiatric complications of antiretroviral therapy. *Drug safety* 2006;29(13):865-74.
- 16. Di Poggio MB, Anfosso S, Audenino D, et al. Clarithromycin-induced neurotoxicity in adults. *Journal of Cipical Neuroscience* 2011;18(3):313-18.
- 17. Ssentongo P, Lewcun JA, Ssentongo AE, et al. Incidence, risk factors and outcomes of early postoperative hyperglycemia in surgical patients: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2020
- 18. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysi protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic reviews 2015;4(1):1.
- 19. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysi&protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *Bmj* 2015;349:g7647.
- 20. Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute 2011
- 21. Schwarzer G. meta: An R package for meta-analysis. R news 2007;7(3):40-45.
- 22. Siemieniuk R, Guyatt G. What is GRADE. British medical journal best practice Available at https://bestprectice bmj com/info/us/toolkit/learnebm/what-is-grade/ Accessed July 2019;10
- 23. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses the PRISMA statement. PLoS med
- 24. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposat for reporting. Jama 2000;283(15):2008-12.

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item 9
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	ON	ָ ָ ת
Title: Identification	1a	Incidence and risk factors of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide deaths in persons with HIV: a protocol for a
		systematic review and meta-analysis
		(page 1) O
Update	1b	0
Registration	2	PROSPERO submission under review (Page 2)
Authors:		<u>Q</u>
Contact	3a	All names, institutional affiliations, e-mail address of all protocol authors are provided as well as physical mailing address of corresponding author (Page 1)
Contributions	3b	The contributions of protocol authors are listed and the guarantor of the review identified (Page 7).
Amendments	4	Amendments are not expected but all deviations will be documented and discussed (Page 6).
Support:		njo
Sources	5a	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
Sponsor	5b	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	No specific funding or sponsorship has been provided for this review (Page 7)
INTRODUCTION		om/
Rationale	6	The rationale for the review is described in contrast to what is already known and the gaps in literature (page 3).
Objectives	7	We provided our explicit objectives (Page 3) and the participants, interventions comparators, and outcomes (PICO) on (page 4)
METHODS		24, 20
Eligibility criteria	8	We explicitly described our inclusion and exclusion criteria (Page 3, 4).
Information sources	9	We described our search strategy, databases that will be used and data sources (Page 4)
Search strategy	10	We described our search strategies and databases that will be systematically expored. We also described how we will extract the data (Page 4).
Study records:		P
Data management	11a	We described the mechanism that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review (Pages 4-5)
Selection process	11b	We clearly state the process that will be used for selecting studies (Page 4)
Data collection process	11c	
Data items	12	We described the plan of extracting data from reports (Page 4) We listed and defined all variables for which data will be sought Page 4) 8

		<u> </u>
Outcomes and prioritization	13	We listed and defined all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale (Page 4)
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	We described anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level (Page 4,5)
Data synthesis	15a	We described criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised Page 5)
	15b	We described our plan to assess heterogeneity (Page 5)
	15c	We describe our additional analyses (including sensitivity, subgroup analyses, and meta-regression) (Page 5)
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, narrative synthesis will be used (Page 5)
Meta-bias(es)	16	We described the meta- bias (5)
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	We will use a quality score as described. (Page 5)

^{*} It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when ava the checklist) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2:349(jan02 1):g7647.

PubMed (MEDLINE) search terms

- 1. (("HIV"[Mesh] OR "Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome"[Mesh]))
- 2. (("Suicide"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Attempted"[Mesh] OR "Suicide, Completed"[Mesh] OR "Suicidal,
- 3. (("Mental Disorder "[Majr] OR "Depressive Disorders"[Majr]))
- 4. 1 AND 2
- 5. 1 AND 3

