# BMJ Open Maternal perinatal depression and health services utilisation in the first 2 years of life: a cohort study

Limor Adler (1),1,2 Joseph Azuri<sup>1,2</sup>

To cite: Adler L, Azuri J. Maternal perinatal depression and health services utilisation in the first 2 years of life: a cohort study. BMJ Open 2021;11:e052873. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-052873

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-052873).

Received 27 April 2021 Accepted 20 October 2021



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

<sup>1</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel <sup>2</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Maccabi Healthcare Services, Tel Aviv, Israel

**Correspondence to** 

Dr Limor Adler: adler\_l@mac.org.il

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objectives** Maternal perinatal depression is a common phenomenon, influencing infants' development. Studies have shown an inconsistent association between perinatal depression and healthcare resource utilisation. This study aimed to assess whether perinatal depression in mothers is associated with their infants' healthcare utilisation, during the first 2 years of life.

Design A cohort study based on computerised medical records.

Setting Nationwide primary care clinics in the second largest health maintenance organisation in Israel. Participants 593 children of women with depression (the exposed group) and 2310 children of women without

Primary and secondary outcome measures Primary outcome variables included general practitioner/ paediatrician (GP/Paed) visits (regular and telehealth), emergency room (ER) visits, hospital admission rates and child-development clinic visits. Secondary outcomes included antibiotic use and anaemia status. The exposure variable, perinatal depression, was based on Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. A score of ≥10 was classified as depression.

Results Multivariable analysis of the number of regular visits and telehealth to the GP/Paed showed an adjusted incidence rate ratio (aIRR) of 1.08, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.13 and aIRR 0.95, 95% CI 0.82 to 1.10, respectively. Children of mothers with perinatal depression had more hospital admissions (alRR 1.21, 95% Cl 1.01 to 1.46) and more visits to child development clinics (alRR 1.33, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.70). There was a non-significant increase in ER visits (IRR 1.26, 95% Cl 0.66 to 2.42), and non-significant decrease in antibiotics prescriptions (IRR 0.95, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.05) and anaemia status (IRR 0.93, 95% CI 0.72

Conclusion This study shows higher health services utilisation among children of mothers with perinatal depression, including regular GP/Paed visits, hospital admission rates, and child-development clinics.

#### INTRODUCTION

Perinatal depression is a common phenomenon affecting mothers with a reported global pooled prevalence of 17.7% and significant heterogeneity, ranging from 3% in Singapore and 38% in Chile. In a recenet survey conducted by the Centers for Disease Control

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- The dataset was based on a nationwide cohort, using electronic files of all patients in Maccabi Healthcare Services (MHS), the second largest health maintenance organisation in Israel.
- The length of follow-up (2 years) allowed us to explore long outcomes on children of mothers with perinatal depression.
- For the exposure variable, perinatal depression, we have used a validated tool, commonly used in studies of this field, the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression
- Not all women filled the EPDS in MHS, however. women who did and did not fill the EPDS were similar in most aspects, reducing the impact of selection
- The EPDS is a screening tool and not a diagnostic tool, leading to a non-differential misclassification

and Prevention (CDC), about 13% of women in the United States, reported depressive symptoms after birth.<sup>2</sup> During the perinatal period, women's mental health may impact their functioning, parenting capability, and the health and well-being of their children.<sup>3</sup> There are many possible influences on the infant's development, including bonding and attachment, <sup>4 5</sup> cognitive, <sup>6-9</sup> emotional <sup>10</sup> and language development, <sup>11</sup> including IQ level. 12

Parental mental health was shown to affect the healthcare resource utilisation of the child. There is an association between parental depression and a higher rate of specialty consultant visits, emergency department visits, hospital admissions and general practitioner/paediatrician (GP/Paed) visits. 13 14 Timing of depression is associated with higher utilisation of primary healthcare services, with the strongest association with recent depression. 15

Several studies examined the association between perinatal depression and healthcare resource utilisation. Most studies showed an



association between perinatal depression and higher rates of non-routine visits (sick/emergency visits) to the GP/Paed, <sup>14-20</sup> emergency room (ER) visits, <sup>21</sup> hospital admission rates, <sup>1921</sup> specialist consultations and pharmacy claims. <sup>21</sup> Controversy exists regarding the association between well-child visits and perinatal depression; while several studies found perinatal depression associated with decreased well-child visits, <sup>18</sup> others did not. <sup>2022</sup> Anderson *et al* did not find any association between perinatal depression and healthcare utilisation. <sup>23</sup> This might be explained by the substantial difference between the depressed and not depressed mothers, and because healthcare utilisation was based on self-report, which might have been subjected to measurement error.

An association was found between antidepressant use during pregnancy and higher rates of infant GP/Paed visits, specialist visits and hospital admissions. <sup>24</sup> Screening for postpartum depression in paediatric emergency departments showed high rates of maternal depression. <sup>23</sup> <sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> A recent study showed higher total healthcare costs in children of mothers with perinatal depression during the first 2 years of life compared with children of mothers without perinatal depression. <sup>21</sup>

This study aimed to assess whether perinatal depression in mothers is associated with their infants' healthcare utilisation in the first 2 years of life. Variables included GP/Paed visits (regular and telehealth), ER visits, hospital admissions, child-development clinic visits and antibiotic use. Haemoglobin at the age of 12 months was also assessed to evaluate anaemia (checking haemoglobin levels at this age is recommended for all children by Israeli health policy).

#### **METHODS**

# Study design and setting

This cohort study is based on computerised electronic medical records data of Maccabi Healthcare Services (MHS), the second-largest health maintenance organisation (HMO) in Israel, covering 2.8 million people nationwide. In a previous study, we identified all women who filled the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) during 2015-2016 (n=27 520), estimated 70% of all women who went to mother and child clinics in MHS. Nursing staff were responsible for delivering the EPDS to women. A score of 10 or above was classified as perinatal depression.<sup>27</sup> In the current study, we have followed the infants of mothers with or without depression according to the EPDS until they reached the age of 2 years old. All children born to women with perinatal depression (EPDS ≥10) were defined as the exposed group. Children of mothers with an EPDS score of 9 or below were considered as the unexposed group. The sample of children was chosen with a 4:1 ratio by stratified random sampling (for each child in the exposed group, four children with the same age of mother and socioeconomic status (SES) were chosen randomly).

#### **Variables**

The exposure variable, perinatal depression, was based on the EPDS as recorded for each woman in her electronic file. The EPDS is a validated, widely used scale, that was designed specifically to assess perinatal depression. 28 29 The EPDS comprises 10 questions that access information about the respondent's mood and depressive symptoms during the 7 days preceding its administration. The response to each question is scored 0–3; thus, the highest possible score is 30. In this study, a score of 10 or above was classified as depression. Women filled the EPDS during pregnancy and until 9 weeks post partum. If filled twice (antenatal and post partum), the lower score was taken to ensure a conservative estimate. Among the women who filled the questionnaire, we know the timing for 77.9%; 29.9% filled the questionnaire during pregnancy and 48% filled the questionnaire after delivery. For those who filled the questionnaire after delivery, the median number of days after delivery was 35. Women who did not fill the EPDS were excluded from this study. Women who did and did not fill the EPDS were similar in age and similar proportions lived in the periphery of the country.

Outcome variables for healthcare services utilisation in the first 2 years of life were number of visits to the GP/Paed (both regular and telehealth), visits to the ER (direct or with referral), hospital admissions, child-development clinic visits (a referral from the GP/Paed is mandatory) and antibiotic use. Anaemia status was measured at 12 months old, as it is recommended in Israel to check anaemia status for every child at this age. Anaemia was defined as a haemoglobin level of 0.105 g/L or below. We also collected maternal data: age, periphery residence index, SES, population group (Arab, orthodox Jew, other) and smoking status.

SES is a scale measuring socioeconomic status from 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest). It is defined by the Israel bureau of statistics by address. It was grouped into three levels: lower (1-4) and higher (8-10), while the middle group (5-7) was used as a reference group. Periphery residence index is also based on patients' addresses. Sociodemographic variables exist for all women in the database, since they are inserted automatically, and are not filled by demand from the patient. Data were collected anonymously from the electronic database of MHS.

#### Sample size

According to MHS data, children visit their GP/Paed once every 1–2 months during the first 2 years of life (including regular visits and telehealth). The sample size was calculated to be 192 children in the exposed group and 767 in the unexposed group, assuming a difference of one visit (from 24 to 25 visits), with a significance level of 5%, 80% power and 1:4 ratio between the two groups. However, in the current study, we included all eligible children of women who filled the EPDS questionnaire, therefore the actual sample was much higher.



#### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated using mean and SD for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. Univariable and multivariable negative binomial models were constructed (with sample based estimation of the overdispersion parameter) to test the effect of perinatal depression and other potential predictors of healthcare resource utilisation. Akaike's Information Criterion was used for model selection between models that were significant according to the omnibus likelihood ratio test.

#### **Patient and public involvement**

No patients involved.

# **RESULTS**

#### **Descriptive statistics**

Data were collected from the electronic records of MHS for 593 children of women with depression (the exposed group) and 2310 children for the unexposed group (negative EPDS, no perinatal depression) matched by maternal age and SES. The total study population consisted of 2903 children. Both groups were similar in sex distribution, mothers' country of birth, and religious group (Arab or orthodox Jewish) (table 1). Mothers with perinatal depression were more likely to smoke during pregnancy (relative risk 1.51, 95% CI 1.19 1.94).

#### **Univariable analysis**

In a univariable analysis, children of women with perinatal depression had more regular visits to their GP/Paed (incidence rate ratio (IRR) 1.07, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.13, p value 0.005) but fewer telehealth visits (IRR 0.96, 95% CI 0.83 to 1.12, p value 0.653). They had more ER visits (IRR 1.26, 95% CI 0.66 to 2.42, p value 0.482), hospital admissions (IRR 1.19, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.43, p value 0.053) and visits to child development clinics (IRR 1.31, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.68, p value 0.03), but receive less antibiotics prescriptions (IRR 0.95, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.05, p value 0.313) and have less anaemia (IRR 0.93, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.20, p value 0.572) (table 2).

## **Multivariable analysis**

Multivariable analysis showed that children of mothers with perinatal depression have more regular visits to the GP/Paed (adjusted IRR (aIRR) 1.08, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.13, p value 0.002) (figure 1). Arab or orthodox Jewish children were less likely to go to their GP/Paed (aIRR 0.86, 95% CI 0.79 to 0.94, p value 0.001 and aIRR 0.76, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.84, p value <0.001, respectively). Males and children of mothers who were born in Israel were more likely to go to their GP/Paed (aIRR 1.08, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.12, p value <0.001 and aIRR 1.06, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.12 p value 0.039, respectively). In contrast, no difference was demonstrated for telehealth visits for children born to mothers with perinatal depression (aIRR 0.95, 95% CI 0.82 to 1.10, p value 0.491) (figure 2). Children of mothers who

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of children and mothers according to maternal, perinatal depression, defined by the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale

With perinatal depression n=593         Without perinatal depression n=2310           Characteristics         N (%)         N (%)           Children         N (%)         N (%)           Sex         Male         293 (49.4)         1237 (53.5)           Female         300 (50.6)         1073 (46.5)           Mothers         Age         Age           Mean±SD         33.4±0.24         32.8±0.12           Born in Israel         Yes         505 (85.2)         1994 (86.3)           No         88 (14.8)         316 (13.7)           Smoking status         Yes         73 (12.3)         173 (7.5)           No         520 (87.7)         2137 (92.5)           Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region         Yes         38 (6.4)         206 (8.9)           No         555 (93.6)         2104 (91.1)         Population group           Arab         49 (8.3)         169 (7.3)           Orthodox Jewish         30 (5.1)         126 (5.5)           Others         514 (86.7)         2015 (87.2)           Socioeconomic status           1-4         124 (20.9)         501 (21.7)           5-7         325 (54.8)         1227 (53.1)           8-10 <th>Boprossion edule</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Boprossion edule			
Children         Sex       Male       293 (49.4)       1237 (53.5)         Female       300 (50.6)       1073 (46.5)         Mothers         Age       Age         Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel       Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group       Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)		depression	tal perinatal depression	
Sex         Male       293 (49.4)       1237 (53.5)         Female       300 (50.6)       1073 (46.5)         Mothers         Age       Age         Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel         Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status         Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Characteristics	N (%)	N (%)	
Male       293 (49.4)       1237 (53.5)         Female       300 (50.6)       1073 (46.5)         Mothers       Age         Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel       Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers       Living in a peripheral region         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1–4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5–7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Children			
Female       300 (50.6)       1073 (46.5)         Mothers         Age       Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel       Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status         Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8) <t< td=""><td>Sex</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Sex			
Mothers         Age         Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel         Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status         Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Male	293 (49.4)	1237 (53.5)	
Age         Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel         Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status         Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Female	300 (50.6)	1073 (46.5)	
Mean±SD       33.4±0.24       32.8±0.12         Born in Israel       Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1–4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5–7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Mothers			
Born in Israel         Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       316 (13.7)       317 (7.5)         Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Age			
Yes       505 (85.2)       1994 (86.3)         No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Mean±SD	33.4±0.24	32.8±0.12	
No       88 (14.8)       316 (13.7)         Smoking status       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Born in Israel			
Smoking status  Yes 73 (12.3) 173 (7.5)  No 520 (87.7) 2137 (92.5)  Children and mothers  Living in a peripheral region  Yes 38 (6.4) 206 (8.9)  No 555 (93.6) 2104 (91.1)  Population group  Arab 49 (8.3) 169 (7.3)  Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5)  Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2)  Socioeconomic status  1-4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7)  5-7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Yes	505 (85.2)	1994 (86.3)	
Yes       73 (12.3)       173 (7.5)         No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       206 (8.9)         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	No	88 (14.8)	316 (13.7)	
No       520 (87.7)       2137 (92.5)         Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         Yes       38 (6.4)       2104 (91.1)         Population group       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Arab       49 (8.3)       126 (5.5)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Smoking status			
Children and mothers         Living in a peripheral region         Yes       38 (6.4)       206 (8.9)         No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Yes	73 (12.3)	173 (7.5)	
Living in a peripheral region  Yes 38 (6.4) 206 (8.9)  No 555 (93.6) 2104 (91.1)  Population group  Arab 49 (8.3) 169 (7.3)  Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5)  Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2)  Socioeconomic status  1–4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7)  5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	No	520 (87.7)	2137 (92.5)	
region Yes 38 (6.4) 206 (8.9) No 555 (93.6) 2104 (91.1) Population group Arab 49 (8.3) 169 (7.3) Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5) Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2) Socioeconomic status 1-4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7) 5-7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Children and mothers			
No       555 (93.6)       2104 (91.1)         Population group       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Arab       49 (8.3)       169 (7.3)         Orthodox Jewish       30 (5.1)       126 (5.5)         Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)				
Population group  Arab 49 (8.3) 169 (7.3)  Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5)  Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2)  Socioeconomic status  1–4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7)  5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Yes	38 (6.4)	206 (8.9)	
Arab 49 (8.3) 169 (7.3)  Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5)  Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2)  Socioeconomic status  1–4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7)  5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	No	555 (93.6)	2104 (91.1)	
Orthodox Jewish 30 (5.1) 126 (5.5)  Others 514 (86.7) 2015 (87.2)  Socioeconomic status  1–4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7)  5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Population group			
Others       514 (86.7)       2015 (87.2)         Socioeconomic status       1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Arab	49 (8.3)	169 (7.3)	
Socioeconomic status         1-4       124 (20.9)       501 (21.7)         5-7       325 (54.8)       1227 (53.1)	Orthodox Jewish	30 (5.1)	126 (5.5)	
1–4 124 (20.9) 501 (21.7) 5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Others	514 (86.7)	2015 (87.2)	
5–7 325 (54.8) 1227 (53.1)	Socioeconomic status			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1–4	124 (20.9)	501 (21.7)	
8–10 144 (24.3) 583 (25.2)	5–7	325 (54.8)	1227 (53.1)	
	8–10	144 (24.3)	583 (25.2)	

live in the periphery or are Arab or orthodox Jewish were less likely to use this method of communication with their GP/Paed (aIRR 0.75, 95% CI 0.60 to 0.93, p value 0.009; aIRR 0.21, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.29, p value <0.001; aIRR 0.18, 95% CI 0.12 to 0.25, p value <0.001respectively).

Three factors were found significant in hospital admissions; children of mothers with perinatal depression were more likely to be admitted to the hospital (aIRR 1.21, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.46, p value 0.034). Lower rates of admissions were recorded for females (aIRR 0.82, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.96, p value 0.014) and children of older mothers (aIRR 0.98, 95% CI 0.97 to 0.99, p value 0.026).

For child development clinic visits, two significant factors were found; children of mothers with perinatal depression and males had more of these visits, aIRR 1.33,

Table 2         Primary outcomes—children's healthcare service utilisation during the first 2 years of life, univariable analysis						
Characteristics	With perinatal depression n=593	Without perinatal depression n=2310	Incidence rate ratio (95% CI)	P value		
Number of regular visits to general practitioner/paediatrician mean±SD	28.74±0.60	26.8±0.31	1.07 (1.02 to 1.13)	0.005		
Number of telehealth visits to the GP/Paed mean±SD	3.78±0.26	3.91±0.12	0.96 (0.83 to 1.12)	0.653		
Emergency room visits (ever)	12 (2%)	37 (1.6%)	1.26 (0.66 to 2.42)	0.482		
Hospital admissions (ever)	154 (26%)	502 (21.7%)	1.19 (1.00 to 1.43)	0.053		
Visits to child development clinics (ever)	85 (14.3%)	252 (10.9%)	1.31 (1.03 to 1.68)	0.03		
Number of prescriptions for antibiotics mean±SD	2.49±0.11	2.61±0.06	0.95 (0.86 to 1.05)	0.313		

GP/Paed, general practitioner/ paediatrician.

95% CI 1.04 to 1.70, p value 0.024 and aIRR 1.30, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.62, p value 0.016, respectively.

For the number of prescriptions for antibiotics, perinatal depression was not found significant in the multivariate analysis. Males and Jewish orthodox background were less likely to get prescriptions (aIRR 0.83, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.89, p value <0.001 and aIRR 0.71, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.85, p value <0.001, respectively). Children of mothers born in Israel were more likely to get prescriptions (aIRR 1.12, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.26, p value 0.05). Children from lower SES groups were more likely to get prescriptions, and children from higher SES groups were less likely to get prescriptions (aIRR 1.18, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.31, p value 0.004 and aIRR 0.83, 95% CI 0.75 to 0.91, p value <0.001 respectively).

# DISCUSSION Main findings

This study suggests that perinatal depression affects children's healthcare utilisation from birth to 2 years old. These children more frequently visit their GP/Paed's

and child development clinics and have higher hospital admission rates. There was also a non-significant increase in ER visits. However, there was no significant difference in the rates of telehealth visits or the number of antibiotic prescriptions issued.

# **Strengths**

The strengths of the study include the dataset which is based on a nationwide cohort, using electronic files of all patients in MHS, the second largest HMO in Isarel. All HMOs in Israel have fully computerised health-care systems, and therefore sociodemogrphic data were available for all participants in the study. The length of follow-up allowed us to explore long outcomes on children of mothers with perinatal depression. For the exposure variable, perinatal depression, we have used a validated tool, commonly used in studies of this field.

#### Weaknesses

The sample was based on the filled EPDS questionnaires in the electronic database of MHS. Not all women filled the EPDS. However, women who did and did not




Figure 1 Regular visits to the general practitioner/paediatrician, multivariable analysis.




Figure 2 Telehealth visits to the general practitioner/paediatrician, multivariate analysis.

fill the EPDS were similar in most aspects<sup>27</sup> such as age (33.2±5.63 vs 32.4±6.17, respectively) or women who live in the periphery (8% vs 7.5%, respectively). This similarity reduces the impact of selection bias. Second, the EPDS is a screening tool and not a diagnostic tool, leading to a non-differential misclassification bias. Third as this study is based on electronic data mining, information bias may exist, due to missing data such as private visits, outside the HMO. However, this is not likely, as in Israel, GP/Paed visits are fully reimbursed.

# Interpretation

In this study, we report an increase of 8% in the number of regular visits to the GP/Paed by children of mothers with perinatal depression. Many studies have differentiated between sick/emergency visits and well-child visits. While the first is increased for children of mothers with perinatal depression in most studies the second is more controversial. In this study, we could not differentiate between the two visit types. In addition to frontal visits, we also examined telehealth visits. To our knowledge, this is the first study that examined this type of visits in the context of health services utilisation. We report no significant difference for telehealth visits of children born to mothers with or without perinatal depression.

We did not have data about parity and the place of the child in relation to his or her siblings. This might be an important variable that could confound the findings.

Mandl *et al* suggested the excessive use of healthcare services may be a sign of help-seeking behaviour of the mother or a sign of anxiety to the well-being of her child.<sup>17</sup> It has been suggested that some women might find it easier to seek help for their child rather than for themselves.<sup>16</sup> On the other hand, mothers with perinatal depression may feel unconfident about their children's health issues, thus bringing them more quickly to see their GP/Paed.<sup>15</sup> Non-specific baby-related complaints may reflect maternal distress (crying, irritability, feeding or

weight problems). <sup>19</sup> Another explanation could suggest that mothers of infants with more health problems may be more prone to depression. <sup>16</sup> However, in this study, we identified children who were born following maternal, perinatal depression. While children of mothers with perinatal depression significantly visit their GP/Paed more often, telehealth visits are less used by these mothers. This may also reflect the more soothing effect of a regular visit vs the distant and technical telehealth visit.

Higher rates of visits to child-development clinics may result from several factors. Children of mothers with perinatal depression show higher rates of developmental problems, including cognitive, emotional and language development.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, it may be related to the more worried mother as a reflection of her depression.

Minorities in Israel, such as Arabs and orthodox Jewish populations, were shown to visit the GP/Paed less frequently, in both regular visits and telehealth. Orthodox Jewish children also received fewer antibiotic prescriptions during the first 2 years of life. As there are no restrictions to consumption for these population groups, these findings may be explained in several aspects. We hypothesise that as these populations tend to have larger families, with more children than the average Israeli family, 31 32 they may be more experienced with raising children and dealing with health problems. These populations also have a high level of community support that may help when minor health problems arise. However, these assumptions should be investigated in future studies.

# **CONCLUSION**

This study shows higher health services utilisation among children of mothers with perinatal depression, including GP/Paed visits, hospital admissions and child-development clinics. This emphasises the need to increase awareness of perinatal depression in mothers,



thus reducing the burden of their children's health service utilisation. Further studies are needed to examine if increased awareness and early diagnosis of mothers' perinatal depression reduce the use of their children's health services.

Contributors LA conceptualised and designed the study protocol, made the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafted the manuscript, approved the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. LA is the guarantor for this manuscript. JA designed the study protocol, made interpretation of data, revised the work critically for important intellectual content, approved the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding This work was supported by Kahn-Sagol-Maccabi Research and Innovation Institute.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The local ethics committee (IRB) of MHS approved the study, ID 0022-19-MHS.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iD**

Limor Adler http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9335-6596

# **REFERENCES**

- 1 Hahn-Holbrook J, Cornwell-Hinrichs T, Anaya I. Economic and health predictors of national postpartum depression prevalence: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression of 291 studies from 56 countries. Front Psychiatry 2017;8:248.
- 2 Bauman BL, Ko JY, Cox S, et al. Vital Signs: Postpartum Depressive Symptoms and Provider Discussions About Perinatal Depression -United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:575–81.
- 3 Walker LO, Murphey CL, Nichols F. The broken thread of health promotion and disease prevention for women during the postpartum period. J Perinat Educ 2015;24:81–92.
- 4 Nonnenmacher N, Noe D, Ehrenthal JC, et al. Postpartum bonding: the impact of maternal depression and adult attachment style. Arch Womens Ment Health 2016;19:927–35.
- 5 Alhusen JL. A literature update on maternal-fetal attachment. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2008;37:315–28.
- 6 Beck CT. The effects of postpartum depression on child development: a meta-analysis. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 1998;12:12–20.
- 7 Murray L, Arteche A, Fearon P, et al. The effects of maternal postnatal depression and child sex on academic performance at age 16 years: a developmental approach. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2010:51:1150–9.
- 8 Sutter-Dallay AL, Murray L, Dequae-Merchadou L, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of the impact of early postnatal vs. chronic maternal depressive symptoms on child development. Eur Psychiatry 2011;26:484–9.
- 9 Conroy S, Pariante CM, Marks MN, et al. Maternal psychopathology and infant development at 18 months: the impact of maternal

- personality disorder and depression. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2012;51:51–61.
- 10 Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet* 2014;384:1800–19.
- 11 Quevedo LA, Silva RA, Godoy R, et al. The impact of maternal postpartum depression on the language development of children at 12 months. Child Care Health Dev 2012;38:420–4.
- Hay DF, Pawlby S, Sharp D, et al. Intellectual problems shown by 11-year-old children whose mothers had postnatal depression. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2001;42:871–89.
- 13 Sills MR, Shetterly S, Xu S, et al. Association between parental depression and children's health care use. *Pediatrics* 2007;119:e829–36.
- 14 Dreyer K, Williamson RAP, Hargreaves DS, et al. Associations between parental mental health and other family factors and healthcare utilisation among children and young people: a retrospective, cross-sectional study of linked healthcare data. BMJ Paediatr Open 2018;2:e000266.
- 15 Lyngsøe BK, Rytter D, Munk-Olsen T, et al. Maternal depression and primary healthcare use for children: a population-based cohort study in Denmark. Br J Gen Pract 2019;69:e182–9.
- 16 Chee CYI, Chong Y-S, Ng TP, et al. The association between maternal depression and frequent non-routine visits to the infant's doctor--a cohort study. J Affect Disord 2008;107:247–53.
- 17 Mandl KD, Tronick EZ, Brennan TA, et al. Infant health care use and maternal depression. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1999;153:808–13.
- 18 Minkovitz CS, Strobino D, Scharfstein D, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and children's receipt of health care in the first 3 years of life. Pediatrics 2005;115:306–14.
- 19 Eilat-Tsanani S, Merom A, Romano S, et al. The effect of postpartum depression on women's consultations with physicians. *Isr Med Assoc* J 2006:8:406–10.
- 20 Farr SL, Dietz PM, Rizzo JH, et al. Health care utilisation in the first year of life among infants of mothers with perinatal depression or anxiety. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2013;27:81–8.
- 21 Moore Simas TA, Huang M-Y, Packnett ER, et al. Matched cohort study of healthcare resource utilization and costs in young children of mothers with postpartum depression in the United States. J Med Econ 2020;23:174–83.
- 22 Chung EK, McCollum KF, Elo IT, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and infant health practices among low-income women. *Pediatrics* 2004;113:e523–9.
- 23 Anderson LN, Campbell MK, daSilva O, et al. Effect of maternal depression and anxiety on use of health services for infants. Can Fam Physician 2008;54:1718–9.
- 24 Ververs TF, van Wensen K, Freund MW, et al. Association between antidepressant drug use during pregnancy and child healthcare utilisation. BJOG 2009;116:1568–77.
- 25 Birmingham MC, Chou KJ, Crain EF. Screening for postpartum depression in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2011;27:795–800.
- 26 Stock A, Chin L, Babl FE, et al. Postnatal depression in mothers bringing infants to the emergency department. Arch Dis Child 2013;98:36–40.
- 27 Adler L, Tsamir J, Katz R, et al. Associations of sociodemographic and clinical factors with perinatal depression among Israeli women: a cross-sectional study. BMC Psychiatry 2019;19:331.
- 28 Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. development of the 10-item Edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987;150:782–6.
- 29 Ali G-C, Ryan G, De Silva MJ. Validated screening tools for common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0156939.
- 30 Slomian J, Honvo G, Emonts P, et al. Consequences of maternal postpartum depression: a systematic review of maternal and infant outcomes. Womens Health 2019;15:1745506519844044
- 31 Ahmad Hleihel. Fertility among Jewish Women in Israel, by Level of Religiosity, 1979-2017 [online]. Central Bureau of Statistics – Senior Department of Demography and Census. Available: https://www.cbs.gov.il/he/publications/DocLib/pw/pw101/pw101.pdf
- 32 Central Bureau of Statistcs. Israel fertility and birth.